
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT FEDERAL CLAIM AND WHISTLE- BLOWER DISCLOSURE

Draft Revision 1.55 - Update 11.03.21 - E

Filed With: **FBI** – (C. Wray, P. Cair, Bennett, J. Comey & IG); **DOJ** – (Merrick, S. Hinds, E. Holder, S. Winslow, M. Lo, W. Garbers & IG), **CFTC** – (Inspect off.), **IG**, **GAO** (fraudnet, IG), **FCC** (IG), **FEC** – (IG), **SEC** – (Charman, SF office), **OGE** (IG), **AG**, **OSC** – (Alexander), **DOE** – (Secty & IG), **FTC** (IG & Chairman) **CONGRESSIONAL ETHICS OFFICES** - (Filing Secty) ; **SSA** – (Human Rights Complaints, IG,); **SPECIAL COUNSEL JOHN W. HUBER** – (J. Huber, DOJ-IG) – **SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE** – (Filing Secty) **SPECIAL COUNSEL JOHN DURHAM** - (J. Durham, DOJ-IG) and related law enforcement and regulatory agencies

Based on investigation data from 1976 to 2021

Immediate Response Demanded

Table of Contents

Case Overview.....	4
Court-Format Filing.....	25
Plaintiff Testimony.....	70
Plaintiff Demand.....	90
The Retaliation Attacks.....	94
Officials Manipulated Social Security Money To Retaliate Against Whistle-Blowers.....	94
Demand For FBI ‘302 Reports’ On Each Attacker.....	99
The Attackers.....	143
The Deaths.....	145
The Cartel.....	148
The Bosses.....	150
Felony Charges Against The Key Suspects Under RICO.....	150
Felony Charges Against Elon Musk.....	158
Felony Charges Against Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, Sergey Brin And Jared Cohen.....	215
Felony Charges Against Mark Zuckerberg And Cheryl Sandberg.....	261
Felony Charges Against U.S. Senators And Other Public Officials.....	266
Related Cases And Dockets.....	270
Trillions Of Dollars Of Mining Manipulations.....	272
Energy Corruption Recap.....	412
The Money Laundering.....	425
Crony Insider Payola.....	430
Claim And Damages.....	445
Plaintiffs Causes Of Action.....	445
Comps For Whistle-Blower And Damages Validation Metrics.....	450
EXHIBITS.....	451
The Bay Area Culture Of Political Corruption.....	452
Why Silicon Valley CEOs are such raging psychopaths.....	460
Palo Alto, California – Home Of The Tech Mobsters.....	555
Running The Scams.....	557
The Tech Bribes Using The Stock Market.....	562
The Hit Job.....	579
The Sex Cult Club These Perpetrators Use.....	582
The Crimes And Corruption That Plaintiffs experienced.....	590
U.S. Senators, Agency Heads And Congress-People Were bribed With These Payments:.....	600
CAPSULE OVERVIEW:.....	620
The Quid Pro Quo.....	627
Next Steps.....	686
You Owe It To Yourself And The Nation To Take These Crooks Down!.....	695
How Quid Pro Quo Works At The U.S. Dept Of Energy.....	705
The Corrupt Crony-Capitalism Quid Pro Quo Payola.....	713
The Blockades Of The Victim’s Legal Representation.....	713
The Indisputable Facts.....	725
The RICO Racketeering Complaint And Reprisal Complaints.....	745
Blockade Of Plaintiff Legal Representation And Rights.....	763

Victim/Witnesses' Demand State-Funded Legal Representation.....	763
The Office Of The Special Counsel (OSC) Is Not Your Friend.....	765
How The Members Of The Public Were Harmed, Specifically.....	772
The Demand By Victim/Plaintiff.....	775
How Much Have Others Been Paid For The Same Damages?.....	777
One Example Of The Corruption experience.....	779
Recent Results Of Federal And Private Investigations.....	808
The Scams At The Secretary Of Energy's Office.....	809
Witnesses Letter To The FBI.....	815
State-Sponsored Reprisal Attacks Suffered By Plaintiff's.....	823
Killing The Messenger.....	844
Character Assassins Contracted By The White House.....	851
Legal Opinion Media Attack Analysis On Hit-Job On Plaintiff.....	874
State Sponsored Reprisal Programs Operated By Public Officials.....	889
The Culture Of Crime In Silicon Valley.....	905
The Tech Cartel And Their Khaki Pants Mobsters.....	913
The Factual Allegations.....	916
The Rico Violations That Prove That These Guys Are Mobsters.....	984
The Rico Tech Cartel Verifications Of Crime.....	986
What Sort Of Laws And Regulations Were Violated.....	1012
What A Jury Would Discover About This Case.....	1017
Congress Took Massive Bribes from Big Tech.....	1030
Highlight Graphics.....	1033
References, Proof And Bibliography.....	1073
Acknowledgments & Additional Exhibits.....	1464
PRESS COVERAGE OF THE CASE.....	1465

This damages claim and criminal action complaint includes, generally: **1.)** An overview, **2.)** a formal legal-format federal complaint, **3.)** a narrative form of the complaint, **4.)** proof of a blockade of Plaintiffs legal rights, **5.)** details of the retaliation attacks, **6.)** evidence exhibits.

Notes:

- Request higher resolution copy, if needed.
- Supporting evidence materials available at <http://www.majestic111.com> and many other online repositories
- This document is updated daily as new evidence arrives

Case Overview

Senior government officials defrauded Plaintiff in an energy industry stock market scam, and, in partnership with their Silicon Valley tech financiers, engaged in a RICO law violating organized criminal ‘enterprise’ and also ran over \$30 million of Fusion GPS/Black Cube/Gawker-Gizmodo-Google attacks against Plaintiff, a competitor and whistle-blower. The attackers used government resources, agency staff and government funds. They sought to terminally harm Plaintiff in retaliation for competing with Defendants and for assisting police with investigations of Defendants. Herein, and via referenced Congressional and Inspector General investigations, we have provided proof of **over** 1000 instances of government officials running retaliation attacks and engaging in an organized pattern of criminal activity via an organized ‘enterprise’ as defined by RICO and anti-trust laws.

Plaintiff has personally known many of these politicians, their families and their Silicon Valley financiers since College. The Defendants invited Plaintiff into their criminal scheme but Plaintiff chose to assist law enforcement and public justice instead.

While the government is planning to give \$450,000.00 to each illegal immigrant, ((<https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/news/biden-administration-weighing-450k-a-person-compensation-to-migrant-families-separated-by-trump>)); that same government is with-holding millions of dollars from Plaintiff, who saved the government billions of dollars. That same government hired Fusion GPS, Black Cube, Perkins Coie, Gawker, Gizmodo and other attack services to destroy Plaintiff according to federal investigators. Plaintiff demands compensation, back-owed monies and recovery of losses. Government agencies responsible for correcting the situation are engaging in cover-ups and stone-walling.

This complaint covers:

- The historical background of the perpetrators and their Cartel actions.
- Plaintiff first-hand interactions with perpetrators.
- Related cases and charges against perpetrators by other parties and agencies.
- The covert financial profit administration/beneficiary web disclosed by ICIJ and federal agency investigations.
- The reprisal attacks against Plaintiff financed, commanded and controlled by the perpetrators.
- The cover-up, black-listing, stone-walling and obfuscation tactics deployed by major public officials in order to delay justice in this case.
- The manipulation of public funds and agencies for the unjust gain of the Cartel and it’s perpetrator/members at the expense of the public.
- The ‘convenient’ deaths of a surprising number of individuals.
- Billions of dollars of political campaign financing in national and regional elections.
- Personal communications between White House staff and government agencies.

- Trillions of dollars of energy industry and media industry profiteering and stock market manipulations.
- Billions of dollars of high tech and automobile market anti-trust law violations.

Government officials engaged in monopolistic, market-rigging, blacklist-based crimes which harmed Plaintiff. Then those officials operated spy-agency-type retaliation/reprisal/revenge attacks against Plaintiff in retaliation for: 1.) reporting those crimes, 2.) competing with defendants, and 3.) assisting in the investigation of those crimes.

Every public official who attacked Plaintiff: A.) held stock and assets in Plaintiff's competitors, B.) was compensated by Plaintiff's competitors, C.) was a member of organizations who paid news, internet and information services to manipulate their output for their own unjust gain, D.) knew that their malicious assertions were false and defamatory, and E.) and otherwise met the legal means test to qualify as violators of federal RICO laws, Human Rights laws, Civil Rights standards and related State and Federal Constitutional rights laws.

By rigging HUD, SSA, DOE, and other public benefits determinations, to harm the funding, payments, witness fees, informant fees, whistle-blower awards and stock market profits of adversaries, the Defendants engaged in felony crime. The purpose of this case is to cripple the whole crime organization via numerous charges, which shall be brought against multiple defendants, under multiple liability, which means that prosecutors will find the underlying elements of these acts and then have conspiracy on top of it, and then each and *every charge* against the perpetrators is *separate* and runs *consecutively* .

For example when Elon Musk is charged with bribery and conspiracy that charge may have led to 10-15 years in prison in the old days, now under RICO, when found guilty of racketeering he can be fined up to \$25,000 and sentenced to 20 years in prison per *each* racketeering count.

RICO charges, as part of the charge set, will make these *convictions easier*. In many cases, the threat of a RICO indictment *can force these defendants to plead guilty* to lesser charges, in part because the seizure of their assets would make it difficult to pay a defense attorney for a case this large. Racketeering and RICO-related crimes are grave and *require* skilled defense, that is prepared to face the government-assembled legal teams in federal court. *Essentially, "it means the convicted would never see the light of day again, they are never getting out."*

Despite its harsh provisions, these RICO-related charges are easy to prove in court, as they focus on patterns of behavior as opposed to criminal acts. The government officials and their tech oligarch partners were audacious in publicly promoting their crimes and their above-the-law attitudes. RICO, here, also permits a private individual "*damaged in his business or property*" by a "*racketeer*" to file a civil suit. The government should, thus, join with Plaintiff in this case.

The *plaintiff* has *proven* the existence of an "*enterprise*".

The defendant(s) are *not* the enterprise; in other words, the *defendant(s)* and the *enterprise* are *not* one and the same.

The defendant(s) meet the construct of four specified relationships:

1. the defendant(s) and the enterprise: either the defendant(s) invested the proceeds of the pattern of racketeering activity into the enterprise;
2. the defendant(s) acquired or maintained an interest in, or control of, the enterprise through the pattern of racketeering activity;
3. the defendant(s) conducted or participated in the affairs of the enterprise “through” the pattern of racketeering activity ;
4. the defendant(s) conspired to do all of the above crimes.

The enterprise, herein, is the ‘prize,’ ‘instrument,’ ‘victim,’ or ‘perpetrator’ of the racketeers. A civil RICO action for this matter should be filed in state *AND* federal court.

We intend that both the *criminal* and *civil* components will allow the recovery of treble damages (damages in *triple* the amount of actual/compensatory damages) for Plaintiff.

Our evidence has focused on *patterns of behavior AND criminal acts*.

We seek multiple liability (charges brought against multiple defendants, which means if prosecutors now find the underlying elements of of these acts, you have conspiracy on top of it)

Each and *every charge* against defendants is *separate* and runs *consecutively*. *Admissible* hearsay evidence is offered in this case. Associative evidence is allowed (*guilt by association* meaning if a defendant profited off the crimes of an organization even if he was not directly involved with the crime himself he shall still be charged as a co-conspirator and go *all the way* up the chain of command).

This case structure will incentivize the operatives (soldati) at the corrupt law firms, CPA’s, lobbyists and media outlets to flip, or roll-over, on the bosses.

This case structure allows *both* the government and Plaintiff to engage in substantial asset seizure. The owners of the racketeering shell corporations, real estate scams and trusts are well-known to often abscond with the assets. An injunction and/or performance bond ensures that there is something to *seize* in the event of a *guilty verdict*. In addition, the racketeers must *forfeit all* ill-gotten gains and interest in any business gained through a pattern of “*racketeering activity*.” Over 1000 false-front shells, trusts, dark money and PAC assets are known to be held by the defendants.

The attackers hired, paid, commanded and controlled the attack services: Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media, Google, YouTube, Media Matters, Black Box, Fusion GPS, Black Cube, PsyOps, Shareblue, and other brands, and used them to operate retaliation attacks against Plaintiff and to manipulate government agency procedures against Plaintiff in revenge/reprisal procedures. An investor group, which included Plaintiff, with support from Congress, sued the Department of Energy for corruption and won. In the course of that case The Secretary of Energy, The U.S. Attorney General, The Director of the FBI and over 100 other federal employees were fired for cronyism, corruption and insider

trading.

The Defendants have been proven to have received bribes from Plaintiffs competitors. For example: the most senior officials in The U.S. Government are the worshipers of Elon Musk, investor's in Elon Musk's companies and suppliers, deciders of the financing for Elon Musk, suppliers of staffing to Elon Musk, recipients of political campaign financing by Elon Musk and Musk's covert Google And Facebook partnership, social friends of Elon Musk and the attackers of Elon Musk's competitors. Plaintiff demands damages payments and monies owed.

This matter covers:

- 1.) The creation and operation of the disclosed organized crime entity involving public figures
- 2.) The methods and tactics of the bribery they employed using the stock market
- 3.) The attacks they operated against whistle-blowers and competitors using government resources, black-listing, funds blockades and dirty tricks services.
- 4.) The systemized sex trafficking and employee abuse protection scheme they operate
- 5.) The industrialization of political payola that they enforce using corrupt contracted services and former intelligence agency operatives
- 6.) Their coordinated violations of free speech and their systemized control of all digital news and information
- 7.) The harms to the public that they caused
- 8.) Judges and regulators who reviewed this case who owned the culprits companies:
<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-10038643/131-federal-judges-broke-law-hearing-cases-involving-companies-financial-in.html>

Complaint for:

Retaliation, discrimination, abuse of process; FTCA violations; account stated; breach of contract; conversion; defamation; fraudulent misrepresentation; fraudulent concealment; injurious falsehood, product disparagement and trade libel; civil rights violations and violations of the U.S. Constitution; misappropriation of trade secrets; prima facie tort; quantum meruit; tortious interference including a.) Tortious interference with an existing contract, b.) Tortious interference with prospective, c.) Tortious interference with business relations contractual relations; patent infringement; personal injury; unjust enrichment; anti-trust law violations; labor law violations and related causes engaged in by the perpetrators.

Submitted To:

State and federal law enforcement and regulatory agencies, Congress and Inspector General offices including OSC, DOJ, FBI, FTC, SEC, GAO, SFPD, SMPD, AG, Congress, et al. **Status:** Finger-

pointing, cover-ups, stone-walling, avoidance of action by agency officials. We have received over 100 letters from agencies finger-pointing to the agency that pointed us to them.

The Perpetrators include:

See individual names of Defendants, below, including, White House officials and their staff, contractors and employees; **United States Senators** and their staff, contractors and employees; **Department Of Energy** officials and their staff, contractors and employees; **Department of Justice** officials and their staff, contractors and employees; **Social Security Administration** officials and their staff, contractors and employees; **National Highway And Transportation Administration** officials and their staff, contractors and employees; and, **Other government agencies** and their staff, contractors and employees as DOES 1 through 20 as proven by their leaked emails, Congressional and FBI investigation records, eye-witness testimony, their banking and stock market records and recordings. Thus, it is impossible for any agency to say that this is not their concern or jurisdiction.

Claim And Demand:

For offsets involves corrupt acts and collusion by government employees and contractors, all of whom engaged in said acts in order to acquire unjust gain with their stock market holdings and other hidden compensation routes. All other whistle-blowers and victims are on public, and court record, receiving between \$300,000.00 and \$150,000,000.00 with an average award of \$25,000,000.00. Demand includes SSA blockades of funds, blockades of payments, damages, informant fee blockades, whistle-blower fee blockades, back-monies owed, income loss, stock market insider trading interference by public officials losses and related costs based on court-record historical precedents and GAAP historical comparative records of competitors who benefited at Plaintiff expense via covert relationships with public officials.

Public News Coverage Context:

Joshua Comins disclosed how Nancy Pelosi's financial dealings gave her \$315 million in assets on just a government salary. Organized crime is suspected. If Bay Area politicians get mad at a constituent they can have that constituent "erased" from SSA, HUD and pension benefits, black-listed, media-attacked and utterly destroyed.

Where's the politician's 'dirty money' money coming from?, everyone is asking.

House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, D-Calif., has served in Congress for nearly 34 years and presently presides in a top-tier position as one of the most powerful figures in The U.S. Government .

Speaker was unable to pass the bipartisan infrastructure bill as progressives in her own party signaled their disapproval. Many have condemned her policies, saying they're making it "harder and harder for average Americans to accumulate wealth," shackling them with taxes and "destroying the dollar with reckless spending."

The current salary of a Speaker of the House sits in the low six-figures, yet Pelosi is one of the richest members of Congress.

So what is her secret: "It appears to be her husband, Paul."

"After they got married, Paul opened up a real estate and venture capital firm. Through his connections, he pushed Nancy into the political world, helping her get elected to Congress in 1987,". This is just like how Dianne Feinstein and Richard Blum hooked up their own deal.

The couple has timed the market and insider trading perfectly over the years while Nancy's been a Washington insider. With real estate and stocks, the Pelosis always know what the right investment is based on her lobbyist deals. The Pelosis own several pieces of real estate including a mansion in Napa Valley valued up to \$25 million, a DC waterfront condo worth over \$2 million, and a red brick mansion in California's Pacific Heights.

Her husband owns commercial properties in San Francisco "combined, worth up to \$50 million.

In 2018, the Pelosis' wealth has skyrocketed. That year, her financial disclosure report revealed a net worth of over \$114 million. In 2019, Pelosi's assets total up to a whopping \$271 million and in 2020, those numbers went up even more to as high as \$315 million.

In 2007, Visa worried the new Democrat Congress would target their swipe fees, costing them billions. So they hired a team of lobbyists who descended on Pelosi. Visa's CEO personally met with her. She got donations from them. One of his advisers left and became a VISA lobbyist himself. Suddenly, Paul Pelosi got a phone call from his broker. He was in luck, Paul was offered a prescreen-ed invite to get in early on Visa's \$18 billion IPO. Did Nancy and her husband hesitate? No, they bought between \$1 million and \$5 million worth of Visa stock. But it gets better. While Pelosi was speaker, bills that would have hurt Visa's stock price were blocked in the House. Visa shares going up over 200 percent during the time, making the Pelosis a fortune on paper.

In January, the Pelosis got a million dollars worth of Tesla stock right before Joe Biden announced electric car incentives in June. The Pelosi family cashed in big time just before Congress was set to pounce on Big Tech. Mr. Pelosi exercised options on Google's parent company, Alphabet, making an easy \$5.3 million.

Pelosi, Harris, Feinstein, Google and George Soros own Elon Musk's companies. Could any competitor to Musk get a fair shake in commerce or government funding? Never!

In March, Paul Pelosi exercised \$2 million worth of Microsoft options, just two weeks before the tech giant got a \$22 billion contract to equip the US Army with high tech headsets. The Pelosis and the

Feinsteins have never been indicted for insider trading, but her marriage investments and access, combined with extremely fortunate timing, have created a lot of suspicions.

FINCEN, GAO, FBI, ICIJ and private investigators are now following the money, and there sure is a lot of it. There are hundreds of suspected criminal dark money charges that have been pointed at San Francisco Bay Area politicians.

Speaking of COVER-UPS:

1.) Simone Biles, The U.S. Olympic Gymnastics Team and Larry Nasser sex case , 2.) The Jeffrey Epstein sex cult case, 3.) The Gov. Gretchen Whitmer kidnapping case, 4.) The 9/11 families case, 5.) The Michael Sussmann cover-up, and hundreds of other cases prove that government officials cover-up big crimes in order to avoid personal shame. The victims reported to the FBI, DOJ, GAO, FTC, SEC and Congress in a timely manner and got stonewalled, lied to, attacked and retaliated against simply because they reported a crime! **THIS CASE is yet another such case where the crimes were reported long ago but because it involved famous rich people, it was covered up by public officials. With modern internet media, though, the truth always finally comes out. **As an analogy:** If you wanted to plan one of the largest crimes in history, you could use street 'Stop Signs', for example.**

You know that the government pays for all of the stop signs. You could have your friends buy all of the stop sign pole factories, stop sign bolt factories and red reflective paint factories in advance. You then bribe your politicians and fake news outlets to proclaim that there is a global crisis of people running stop signs and killing school children. You manufacture this "stop sign running crisis" that will "kill all of the children within 15 years" if we don't stop it. You claim that the stop sign running is "man made" and caused by your political opponents because they make whiskey and that causes stop sign running. You get your politicians to create a giant "stimulus" that ends up almost exclusively giving all of the stimulus money to you and your corrupt crony friends who own all of the stop sign pole factories, stop sign bolt factories and red reflective paint factories. There is no doubt that people run stop signs. The police data proves it. Is stop sign running the biggest crisis in the nation above Cancer, Housing, Drug Cartels, Political Bribery, Veterans Benefits, hmmm?

The people who make yellow warning lights and other traffic control devices are cut-out of those funds because they are competitors to the insiders who own all of the stop sign pole factories, stop sign bolt factories and red reflective paint factories. You would hire Gawker, Google, Gizmodo, Fusion GPS, Black Cube, Media Matters, Cambridge Analytica, Shareblue, IN-Q-Tel and other attacker-for-hire services to kill those competitors and whistle-blowers exposing your crime.

In the pretend "stimulus" the government treasury funds are used to payola your friends monopolies and cut all of their competitors. If you had done these "stimulus scams" in 2008 and 2021 and evaded paying taxes like Elon Musk did....you would have pulled off a trillion dollar crime with you and your friends.

FINCEN, The FBI and the GAO have proven that stock ownership by politicians creates non-contractible quid pro quo relations with firms like Tesla, Google, Facebook and Netflix. The ownership by US Congress members in these firms contributing to their election campaigns is higher than in non-contributors. This bias toward contributors depends on the financial incentives of politicians and the relation's value. Firms with a stronger ownership–contribution association receive more government contracts, cash and tax waivers, such as Elon Musk's record-breaking government payola. The financial gains from these contracts are economically large when politicians buy stocks in covert exchange for contributions to the politicians, via insider arrangements.

In the “**THE FACEBOOK FACE-PLANT**” matter, citizens are calling their elected officials and demanding that the Department of Defense and The Department Of Justice CUT-OFF **Facebook/WhatsApp/Instagram** from their global DNS servers until they answer to Congress for their crimes. This Silicon Valley social crime operation has been proven to be a national security risk, a threat to our youth, [*a bribery operator*](#) and a threat to society! The October 3, 2021 CBS News 60 Minutes story was only part of the facts about the Facebook social crimes. October 4, 2021 was the first day in modern human history that the entire planet was freed from the social stain that is the Facebook empire. University data scientists and forensic internet analysts were able to compare traffic manipulations on Oct 1., 2021, by Facebook's web manipulation and privacy harvesting gang, to the "clean" internet that uniquely existed on Oct. 4, 2021. You can see the changes. You can see who was controlling what. You can see the fake user data stop...and start. Congressional Senate Commerce hearing finds that FACEBOOK operates exactly like a Mexican drug cartel run by 'El Zucko' pushing addictive media and messaging to kids and vulnerable citizens. FACEBOOK makes over one billion dollars, per day, for each day that they delay Congress from acting! This demonstrates the power that the referenced “Tech Cartel” has and their ability to “own” Congress.

Plaintiff built and operated one of the first, if not THE first, online social networks not long after Mark Zuckerberg was born. This claim by Plaintiff was affirmed in a federal hearing at the U.S. Patent Office, as the First-To-Invent and operate a social network online years before Facebook even existed.

In actuality, when federal and private investigators traced the instigators and beneficiaries of some other notorious "crisis" things: they and their politicians turned out to all be the exact same handful of people who owned all of the exclusive "solutions" to the manufactured "crisis".

Case numbers of related cases and filings in this matter are available upon request.

HISTORY PROVES THAT EVERY COVER-UP HARMS THE GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, THAT RUN THE COVER-UP, MORE THAN ANYONE ELSE

'I'm triggered, drained...': Aly Raisman details devastating toll of reliving Larry Nassar sexual abuse for senate's FBI probe - as she blasts DoJ's failure to attend: 'They didn't think it was worth their time, which is concerning'



Raisman, 27, Simone Biles, 24, McKayla Maroney, 26, and Maggie Nichols, 24, all gave damning testimony before the Senate Judiciary Committee on Wednesday.

28 comments 3 videos 29 shares

Simone Biles earns coveted spot on Time 100 list of most influential people alongside Olympic teammate Suni Lee - as she gives heartbreaking testimony about Larry Nassar's abuse at Senate hearing on Capitol Hill



Biles, 24, was featured on a cover of the 2021 Time 100 issue, for which she was profiled by tennis pro Serena Williams.

Tearful McKayla Maroney says the FBI 'made entirely false claims about what I said' during excoriating testimony about Larry Nassar sexual abuse at Senate probe into agency 'lies and cover-up'



Maroney and Biles blamed USA Gymnastics and the FBI for enabling Nassar's sexual abuse to dozens of women and girls, due to the agency's failure to promptly investigate allegations against Nassar.

85 comments 4 videos 315 shares



'They Wanted To Cover It Up': McKayla Maroney Blasts FBI ...

<https://www.shorenewsnetwork.com/2021/09/16/they-wanted-to-cover-it-up-mckayla-...>
Maroney accused the FBI of failing to protect her like they were supposed to do and instead 'they wanted to cover it up.' 'This was very clear, cookie-cutter pedophilia and abuse,' Maroney testified.

Editor Daily Rundown: Olympic Gymnast Accuses FBI Of ...

<https://dailycaller.com/2021/09/16/editor-daily-rundown-olympic-gymnast-accuses-fbi-...>
THE FBI FAILED TO PROTECT THE VULNERABLE. 'They Wanted To Cover It Up': McKayla Maroney Blasts FBI For Concealing Her Detailed Accounts Of Larry Nassar Abuse . U.S.
Olympic gymnast McKayla Maroney accused the FBI of concealing her detailed account of sexual abuse by Larry Nassar at a Senate hearing on the agency's investigation.

MASSIVE FBI Cover Up Exposed: Las Vegas Massacre Linked To ...

<https://conservativefireingline.com/massive-fbi-cover-exposed-las-vegas-massacre-lin-...>
The "official" narrative you've been fed by the FBI and Las Vegas officials about the massacre at Mandalay Bay that claimed 58 lives is purely fiction, a polished story contrived to cover up the disturbing facts surrounding the worst mass shooting ever in the United States, according to the FBI insiders and high-ranking intelligence officials.

Unsealed Epstein Docs EXPOSE FBI Cover-Up of Powerful ...

<https://theadlineusa.com/epstein-docs-expose-fbi-cover-up/>
Hillary Clinton, meanwhile, was embroiled in an equally notorious FBI cover-up of her own. "Operation Mid-Year Review," which was led by corrupt agent Peter Strzok. Appropriately, another convicted pedophile, Anthony Weiner, would prove to be her undoing after classified emails showed up on the former congressman's personal laptop while ...

[Benghazi Scandal Cover-up – Clinton & Obama Administration ...](#)

<https://www.nationalreview.com/2016/06/benghazi-scandal-hillary-clinton-state-depar-...>

The Benghazi terrorist attack occurred 56 days before the 2012 presidential election. In his campaign for reelection, President Obama repeatedly declared that the ...

Pope taps Chilean sex abuse whistleblower for Vatican panel

By Associated Press - March 24th 2021, 12:38:59 pm

VATICAN CITY (AP) - Pope Francis on Wednesday named a Chilean survivor of clerical sex abuse to serve on a Vatican commission that advises the pontiff on how.

Man accused of being involved in plot to kidnap Michigan Gov. Gretchen Whitmer claims FBI agent tried to 'cover up the fact the agency encouraged the conspiracy'

By Snejana Farberov For Dailymail.com - August 18th 2021, 5:22:22 pm

Brandon Caserta, one of the six men indicted for allegedly plotting to kidnap Gov Gretchen Whitmer, claims that an FBI agent told an informant to lie and delete texts as part of an entrapment coverup.

DEEDS DONE IN THE DARK ALWAYS COME TO LIGHT

The FBI, and other law enforcement organizations, define a "cartel" as: '*An association of industrialists and business firms organized covertly to establish a national, or international monopoly, by price fixing and ownership of controlling stock, to operate a trust or monopoly to reduce competition between themselves by allocating markets, censoring public knowledge, manipulating governments or controlling the price and production of a product or service.*'

This case is about a crime "cartel" which operating within government offices.

Every single California Senator, federal public official and White House staffer that we have charged with crimes in the complaint has now been exposed in main stream global media, in tens of thousands of print, web and video news reports as the owners of the stock, and the board members of, the companies that engaged in the crimes. 90% of the political officials charged with these crimes owned the stock of, and received other bribes from, the competitors of Plaintiff:

REVEALED: Two Fed presidents with insider knowledge of US monetary policy sold millions of dollars of stock in blue-chip firms including Amazon, Apple, and Google last year, prompting ethics review



Dallas Fed President Robert Kaplan (left) and Boston Fed President Eric Rosengren (right) sold millions in stock last year - prompting Fed Chair Jerome Powell (inset) to call for ethics review.

Members of The Fed, The White House, Congress and government agency bosses are paid bribes via trillions of dollars of covert stock market transactions!

White House Staff including *Rahm Emanuel, Bill Daley, Jay Carney, David Plouffe, Robert Gibbs, Steve Rattner, David Axelrod, John Podesta*, et al; and *Steven Chu, Daniel Cohen* and *Bill Cooper* were, (from 2007 forward), either financed by, friends, with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; our business adversaries, or the Senators and politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political digital search manipulation services to. Criminal U.S. Senators coordinated and profited in these schemes. Their own family members have now supplied evidence against them. Elon Musk and his frat boys display their self-aggrandizing vanity in sociopath glory because nobody tells them "no". Now we are calling them out!

HOW HUNTER RECEIVED CHINESE STATE MONEY




Figure 1: It is well documented that White House & Senate officials and their family members received tens of millions of dollars in unjust payola. [Hunter Biden still appears to hold a 10% equity stake in Bohai Harvest RST \(Shanghai\) Equity Investment Fund Management](#). The president said his family would not be involved in "any business, any enterprise that is in conflict with or appears to be in conflict with an appropriate distance from the presidency and government" during the presidential transition period in December..but he seems to have lied!


POLITICAL CAMPAIGN FINANCIERS EACH RECEIVED WHITE HOUSE FAVORS EQUAL IN SIZE TO THEIR CASH IN





Source: Center for Responsive Politics. Disclosed donations only



Figure 1. Percentage Increase in Access Revealed Donors Gained, At Or Above Each Level



All of the bribes by Defendants used a technique called “money laundering”:




From 2007 forward, The White House and various agencies were controlled by the Silicon Valley tech oligarchs for monopolistic profiteering! That is a violation of the law, the Constitution and the American Way. The named person's we have presented to the FBI, DOJ, SEC and other agencies, engaged in crimes to personally profit in the stock market and political venues. At the same time they operated the command and control of millions of dollars of defamation, character assassination and black-list attacks against us for reporting their crimes. These perpetrators are now being exposed, arrested, indicted and drained of their ill-gotten profits. We are owed our damages compensation and we will not rest until we get it! Every member of the public is invited to help crowd-source the termination of these corrupt crooks.

This Cartel of California & Washington, DC politicians is being paid **bribes** with: billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures stock and stock warrants which is never reported to the FEC; Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures search engine rigging and shadow-banning which is never reported to the FEC; Free rent; Rare-Earth mining rights; Male and female prostitutes; Cars; Dinners; Party Financing; Sports Event Tickets; Political campaign printing and mailing services "Donations"; Secret PAC Financing; Jobs in Corporations in Silicon Valley For The Family Members of Those Who Take Bribes And Those Who Take Bribes; "Consulting" contracts from McKinsey as fronted pay-off gigs; Overpriced "Speaking Engagements" which are really just pay-offs conduit-ed for donors; Gallery art; Private jet rides and the use of Government fuel depots (ie: Google handed out NASA jet fuel to staff); Recreational drugs; Real Estate; Fake mortgages; The use of Cayman, Boca Des Tores, Swiss and related money-laundering accounts; The use of HSBC, Wells Fargo, Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank money laundering accounts and covert stock accounts; Free spam and bulk mailing services owned by Silicon Valley corporations; Use of high tech law firms such as Perkins Coie, Wilson Sonsini, MoFo, Covington & Burling, etc. to conduit bribes to officials; and other means now documented by us, The FBI, the FTC, The SEC, The FEC and journalists.

Bribes are conduit-ed as “speaker fees” and Netflix “Board Positions”:

Bill Clinton's earnings from speaking fees



Source: CNN

V

Figure 2: A common method of paying bribes is via inflated "speaker fees"

We worked for, with, in and around the White House and various agencies. We were at the homes of the most famous people in government and their Silicon Valley financiers. We have cooperated with top law enforcement and Congressional investigators. Additional whistle-blowers have provided our effort with even more evidence. Ourselves, and investigating agencies, have absolute proof of the crimes, corruption and illicit activities and the ongoing cover-ups.

These crooks hide their bribes in covert "trust arrays". Any corporation can add more bribe cash to these trusts and an intermediary later informs the politician or oligarch that the "money is in". It's well known, at least among tax lawyers and accountants for the ultra-wealthy: The estate tax can be easily avoided by exploiting a loophole unwittingly created by Congress three decades ago. By using special trusts, a rarefied group of Americans has taken advantage of this loophole, reducing government revenues and fueling inequality.

There is no way for the public to know who uses these special trusts aside from when they've been disclosed in lawsuits or securities filings. There's also been no way to quantify just how much in estate tax has been lost to them, though, in 2013, the lawyer who pioneered the use of the most common one — known as the grantor retained annuity trust, or GRAT — estimated they may have cost the U.S. Treasury about [\\$100 billion](#) over the prior 13 years.

As Congress considers cracking down on GRATs and other trusts to help fund President Joe Biden's domestic agenda, a new analysis by ProPublica based on a trove of tax information about thousands of

the wealthiest Americans sheds light on just how widespread the use of special trusts to dodge the estate tax has become.

More than half of the nation's 100 richest individuals have used GRATs and other trusts to avoid estate tax, the analysis shows. Among them: former Democratic presidential candidate Michael Bloomberg; Leonard Lauder, the son of cosmetics magnate Estée Lauder; Stephen Schwarzman, a founder of the private equity firm Blackstone; Charles Koch and his late brother, David, the industrialists who have underwritten libertarian causes and funded lobbying efforts to roll back the estate tax; and Laurene Powell Jobs, the widow of Apple founder Steve Jobs. (Powell Jobs' Emerson Collective is among ProPublica's largest donors.)

More than a century ago amid soaring inequality and the rise of stratospherically wealthy families such as the Mellons and Rockefellers, Congress created the estate tax as a way to raise money and clip the fortunes of the rich at death. Lawmakers later added a gift tax as a means of stopping wealthy people from passing their fortunes on to their children and grandchildren before death. Nowadays, 99.9% of Americans never have to worry about these taxes. They only hit individuals passing more than \$11.7 million, or couples giving more than \$23.4 million, to their heirs. The federal government imposes a roughly 40% levy on amounts above those figures before that wealth is passed on to heirs.

For her part, Powell Jobs has decried as "dangerous for a society" the early 20th century fortunes of the Mellons, Rockefellers and others. "I'm not interested in legacy wealth buildings, and my children know that," she [told The New York Times](#) last year. "Steve wasn't interested in that. If I live long enough, it ends with me."

Nonetheless, after the death of her husband in 2011, Powell Jobs used a series of GRATs to pass on around a half a billion dollars, estate-tax-free, to her children, friends and other family, according to the tax records and interviews with her longtime attorney. By using the GRATs, she avoided at least \$200 million in estate and gift taxes.

Her attorney, Larry Sonsini, said Powell Jobs did this so that her children would have cash to pay estate taxes when she dies and they inherit "nostalgic and hard assets," such as real estate, art and a yacht. (At 260 feet, [Venus](#) is among the larger pleasure ships in the world.) Without the \$500 million or so passed through the trusts, he said, Powell Jobs' heirs would have to sell stock that she intends to give to charity to pay her estate tax bill.

Sonsini said Powell Jobs, whose fortune is pegged at \$21 billion by Forbes, has already given billions away to charity and paid \$2.5 billion in state and federal taxes between 2012 and 2020. "When you look at an estate that may be worth multiple billions, and all the rest is going to charity, and you put it in perspective, what is the problem we're worried about here?" Sonsini asked. "This is not about creating dynasty wealth for these kids."

In a written statement, Powell Jobs said she supports "reforms that make the tax code more fair. Through my work at Emerson Collective and philanthropic commitments, I have dedicated my life and assets to the pursuit of a more just and equitable society."

Others whose special trusts ProPublica identified, including Bloomberg and the Kochs, declined to comment on why they'd set up the trusts or their estate-tax implications. Representatives for Lauder didn't respond to requests to accept questions on his behalf. Schwarzman's spokesperson wrote that he is "one of the largest individual taxpayers in the country and fully complies with all tax rules."

A typical GRAT entails putting assets, like stocks, in a trust that ultimately benefits a person's heirs. The trust pays back an amount equal to what the trust's creator put in plus a modest amount of interest. But any gains on the investments above that amount flow to the heirs free of gift or estate taxes. So if a person puts \$100 million worth of stock in a GRAT and the stock rises in value to \$130 million, their heirs would receive about \$30 million tax-free.

In 1990, Congress accidentally [created](#) GRATs when it closed another estate tax loophole that was popular at the time. The IRS challenged the maneuver but lost in court.

"I don't blame the taxpayers who are doing it," said Daniel Hemel, a professor at the University of Chicago Law School. "Congress has virtually invited them to do it. I blame Congress for creating the monster and then failing to stop the monster once it became clear how much of the tax base the GRAT monster would eat up."

Users of the trusts extend well beyond the top of the Forbes rankings, ProPublica's analysis of the confidential IRS files show. Erik Prince, founder of the military contractor Blackwater and himself heir to an auto parts fortune, used the shelter. Fashion designer Calvin Klein has used them, as have "Saturday Night Live" creator Lorne Michaels and media mogul Oprah Winfrey.

"We have paid all taxes due," a spokesperson for Winfrey said. A representative of Klein did not accept questions from ProPublica or respond to messages. A spokesman for Michaels declined to comment.

Prince also did not answer questions. "Hey if you publish private information about me I'll be sure to return the favor," he wrote. "Go ahead and fuck off."

The GRAT has become so ubiquitous in recent decades that high-end tax lawyers consider it a plain vanilla strategy. "This is an off-the-shelf solution," said Michael Kosnitzky, co-leader of the private wealth practice at law firm Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman. "Almost every wealthy person should have one."

ProPublica's tally almost certainly undercounts the number of Forbes 100 members who use shelters to avoid estate taxes. ProPublica counted only those people whose tax records or public filings explicitly mention GRATs or other trusts commonly used to dodge gift and estate taxes. But a wealthy person can call their trusts whatever they want, leaving plenty of trusts outside of ProPublica's count.

This month, the House and Senate are hammering out proposals to raise revenue to help pay for the Biden administration's plans to expand the social safety net. The legislative [blueprint](#) released by House Ways and Means Committee Chairman [Richard Neal, D-Mass.](#), would defang GRATs and other trusts, which would still be legal but no longer be as useful for estate tax avoidance. If the provision makes it into law, "it would put a major dent in GRATs," said Bob Lord, an Arizona attorney who specializes in trusts and estates.

Senate Budget Committee Chairman [Bernie Sanders, I-Vt.](#), has [proposed](#) going further in undercutting estate tax avoidance tools. But the prospect of any reform is uncertain, as Democrats on Capitol Hill struggle to find the votes to pass the package of spending and tax changes.

GRATs are commonly described by tax lawyers as a “heads I win, tails we tie” proposition. If the investment placed in the GRAT soars in value, that increase passes to an heir without being subject to future estate tax. If the investment doesn’t go up, the wealthy person can simply try again and again until they succeed, leading many users to have multiple GRATs going at a time.

For example, Herb Simon, founder of the country’s biggest shopping mall empire and owner of the Indiana Pacers, was one of the most prolific GRAT creators in records reviewed by ProPublica. Since 2000, he has hatched dozens of the trusts, often more than one a year. In an [interview](#) with The Indianapolis Star in 2017, the octogenarian Simon said, “It’s always a big tax problem” for the next generation when someone dies, “but we’ve worked that tax problem. We won’t have a problem with that.”

A spokesperson for Simon didn’t respond to questions for this article.

Mentions of these trusts have periodically surfaced in the press after being disclosed in securities filings, as was the case with [trusts held](#) by Facebook co-founders Mark Zuckerberg and Dustin Moskovitz and Chief Operating Officer Sheryl Sandberg. In 2013, Bloomberg News published [a groundbreaking series](#) on GRATs, mining securities filings and other records to reveal how the mega-rich, including casino magnate Sheldon Adelson and such families as [Walmart’s Waltons](#), had perfected the use of the device.

ProPublica’s data shows that Michael Bloomberg, the majority owner of the company that bears his name and No. 13 on Forbes’ list of the wealthiest Americans, is himself a heavy user of GRATs. Over the course of a dozen years, he repeatedly cycled pieces of his private company in and out of the trusts — often opening multiple GRATs in one year. During that time, hundreds of millions of dollars in income flowed through Bloomberg’s GRATs, giving him opportunities to shield parts of his fortune for his heirs.

ProPublica described the transactions (but not the name of the person engaging in them) to Lord, the trusts and estates attorney. The GRAT is “the perfect loophole to avoid estate and gift tax in this situation,” said Lord, who is also tax counsel for Americans for Tax Fairness and an advocate for estate tax reform.

When Bloomberg ran for president in 2020, he vowed to shore up the estate tax. “Owners of the biggest estates are expert at gaming the system to reduce what they owe,” a campaign fact sheet for his tax plan said. Bloomberg vowed to “lower the estate-tax threshold, so that more estates are taxed,” and to “shut down multiple estate-tax avoidance schemes.” His fact sheet offered few details as to how he would do that, and it didn’t mention GRATs.

The legislation Congress is now considering to curtail GRATs would leave open other options for estate tax avoidance, including a cousin to the GRAT known as a charitable lead annuity trust, or CLAT,

which contributes to charity while passing gains from stocks and other assets on to heirs. And the legislation would grandfather in existing trusts, meaning that those who have already established trusts would be able to continue to use them to avoid paying estate taxes.

That has set off a predictable push by tax lawyers to get their clients to create tax-sheltering trusts before any new legislation takes effect.

Porter Wright, a law firm that offers estate planning services, [told existing and potential clients](#) it was “critical” to evaluate opportunities because “the window may close very soon. There are important and time sensitive issues which could substantially impact the amount of wealth you are able to transfer free of estate and gift tax to future generations.”

Court-Format Filing

FORMAL COMPLAINT FILING

(DRAFT NOTES DOCUMENT # 1.44 Revision J)

_____)	
WITNESS2021B,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Case No.
v.)	
)	
The U.S. Government and DOES 1)	
through 20)	
Defendants)	
_____ /)	
)	
)	
)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

(For Compensatory Relief)

Parties

- Plaintiff WITNESS2021B (“WITNESS”) is an innovative alternative energy technology producer and inventor associated with a global auto production group and a global power plant development group. WITNESS, who obtained a (“GOVT”) award grant in 2005 from the United States Congress, under the Iraq War Bill, has received commendations from the Congress and from the federal government’s various premier high technology research organizations like the Defense Advanced Research Projects Administration (“DARPA”). WITNESS has been associated with The White House and government agency projects, in technology development and law enforcement investigation, since 1978.
- Defendant is The U.S. Government (“GOVT”), a government body. WITNESS has reported to, and interacted with, said entity via it’s agencies: **DOE, DOJ, FBI, SEC, FTC, GAO, FEC, FERC, HUD, SSA, The White House and related agencies**, and DOES 1 through 20 and those parties participated in cover-ups, attacks, reprisals, defunding, defamation, RICO violations and related crimes and abuses.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- This case presents a federal matter within this Court’s jurisdiction under Article III of the United States Constitution, 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 702; and under other related law acts and precedents.
- This Court has authority to grant declaratory relief under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201 et seq., and to award damages, costs and attorneys’ fees under 28 U.S.C. § 2412; and under other related acts and precedents.
- Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e); and under other related acts and precedents.

Facts

Background

- Pursuant to §136 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, 42 U.S.C. § 17013, the U.S. Department of Energy (“GOVT”) administers the Advanced Technology Vehicle Manufacturing (ATVM) loan program to support the manufacture of advanced technology vehicles and components in the United States. In 2008, Congress authorized GOVT to make \$25 billion in ATVM loans. GOVT currently has over \$16 billion of unused lending authority. Congress-people, GOVT agency executives and their Silicon Valley financiers invested in stock ownerships of the upside collateral profits from the manipulation of Department of Energy and foreign mining benefits from Iraq, Afghanistan and other foreign mining regions.
- The public officials operated a profiteering scam based on the exploitation of stocks from government policy financed energy and mining deals and they operated this scheme in violation of RICO, anti-trust, civil rights and other law violations. The perpetrators ‘before’ and ‘after’ stock market account records and leaked private emails and phone calls prove this.
- WITNESS has attempted hundreds of “proper procedure” efforts to resolve this matter in writing but government officials either:
 - 1.) Stonewall any response, or
 - 2.) Get fired or transferred if they investigate the matter, or
 - 3.) Send a generic non-responsive form letter, or
 - 4.) Finger point to another agency in an endless runaround.
- WITNESS was attacked by government financed, directed and resourced hired attack services who had command-and-control operated by government officials.
- The GOVT has spent over ten times more money on delaying the payment of funds to WITNESS than if they had just settled with WITNESS in the first place. The GOVT has exacerbated the case to the point that it has gained thousands of times more media coverage than if they had just settled with WITNESS in the first place.
The GOVT has wasted vast amounts of taxpayer money and created an international media

incident when those losses could have been entirely avoided if they had just settled with WITNESS, and been responsive to the WITNESS, in the first place.

- Many government officials, up to and including: the U.S. Attorney General, The Secretary of Energy, The Director of the FBI, etc., have been fired over this case and related matters, due to their attempts to manipulate government funds and resources to inure to a cartel of insiders who backed those officials appointments to those positions. (ie: The Covington & Burling files)
- WITNESS has served his nation and his community for the full duration of his life. WITNESS became a government witness and reported on crimes by government officials. In reprisal, revenge, vendetta, retaliation and retribution, angry government officials took away his house, his income, his job, his life savings, his brand and every possible source of income using sophisticated spycraft-type attacks. They attacked him as reprisal/vendetta because he reported an organized crime activity involving famous U.S. Senators and their billionaire sex-addict financiers.(ie: for context, look up the court cases of [Jeffrey Epstein](#), [Andy Rubin](#), [Howard Rubin](#), [Ed Buck](#) and the hundreds of other related sex cult cases in the federal courts on <http://www.pacer.gov>.)
- WITNESS served the public, only to have the criminals they investigated get hundreds of billions of dollars, at the expense of WITNESS and public tax dollars, while WITNESS got nothing and lost everything.
- In 2007, if someone said: “*SSA and other government offices have been weaponized to provide revenge, retribution, reprisal and retaliation attacks against witnesses, whistleblowers and journalists...*” one might have laughed. Now, as of 2021, over 2000 victorious lawsuits and IG investigations later, the assertion of vendetta attacks by public agencies has irrefutably been proven, in courts, to have been totally true.
- It is now well documented in court records and in federal investigations that over 120 companies (listed by name, below, in the Exhibits) sell attack services (“hit-jobs”) to well known politicians.
- WITNESS’s investigators have proven that *kill services* (like Gawker-Gizmodo, Black Cube, Fusion GPS, etc.) were hired by public officials and aimed at one the victims because of their effective law enforcement cases. Police records prove that the arrested and/or charged financiers of these public officials (ie: [Howard Rubin](#), Ed Buck, Roger Boas, [Jeffrey Epstein](#), [Joe Lonsdale](#) , [Eric Schmidt](#), [Andy Rubin](#), [Sergy Brin](#), [Steve Bing](#), [Michael Goguen](#), [Forrest Hayes](#), [Tom Perkins](#), etc.) ran a national executives-only sex cult in which some victims were murdered and many were underage. The depth of their sick depravity proves that the perpetrators will stoop to any crime, using the highest levels of government resources, to cover-up their crimes. WITNESS helped investigate some of these perverted perpetrators and has had government agencies deployed to attack him in reprisal, retribution, retaliation efforts as payback. The FBI has the full capacity and resources to easily confirm this via an interview and investigation of the Defendants hired

attackers, specifically Nicholas Guido Denton, John Herrman, Gabriel Darbyshire, Patrick George, Jay Carney, Robert Gibbs, Adrian Covert, John Cook, Elon Musk and those other parties listed herein, and, in fact, may have already done so. The FBI will be subpoenaed in this matter.

- The Silicon Valley tech oligarchs from Tesla, Google, Facebook, Kleiner Perkins, etc., manage a large part of these crimes. For example, one applicant's Senators have made many tens of millions of dollars in profits and bribes off (hidden in real estate (ie: <https://www.wsj.com/articles/big-tech-companies-amass-property-holdings-during-covid-19-pandemic-11632821401>
- ...off-shore accounts, unbilled search engine manipulations, electric vehicle stocks, Netflix board "fees", etc.) of Google. Google is one victim's competitor, patent infringer and operator of the largest of the attacks. Google, literally, controlled and staffed, The White House in 2008. The financing, command and control, deployment and beneficiaries all point directly to the perpetrators, like Google VC's and the politicians they financed, in this case. The FBI, FinCEN and other investigators have "no doubt" about who attacked the Applicant and the scope of the spy agency tactics that were used.
- Over 1000 news stories such as:
<https://nypost.com/2021/08/04/facebook-exec-helped-cuomo-smear-sex-harassment-accuser-ag/>
... prove that politicians partner with Silicon Valley oligarchs to character assassinate law abiding citizens in retribution campaigns.
- Further proving our assertions, this story:
<https://www.vice.com/en/article/g5gk73/google-fired-dozens-for-data-misuse>
... (and thousands more like it) proves that big tech's deepest internal staff sell services to political parties for spy-agency-like attacks on citizens.
- In the report:
<https://www.newsmax.com/us/federal-agencies-cyberattacks-report-security/2021/08/03/id/1030939/>
...and the associated 47 page government report, The U.S. Government has confirmed that it was incapable of safe-guarding the victim's data during the past period.
- Over 10,000 news reports like:
<https://www.khaama.com/afghanistan-the-saudi-arabia-of-lithium-1747/>
and
<https://www.mining.com/1-trillion-motherlode-of-lithium-and-gold-discovered-in-afghanistan/>
and

<https://www.seeker.com/afghanistan-the-saudi-arabia-of-lithium-discovery-news-1766491089.html>

... prove that the U.S. war in Afghanistan was pitched as an opportunity to grab “**trillions**” of dollars of electric car and cell phone minerals for Silicon Valley oligarchs who funded White House political campaigns in exchange for market exploitation exclusives for those mining deals. Barack Obama's staff and financiers owned the stock market assets for those mining deals. Secretary of Energy Steven Chu (as well as Jennifer Granholm) and staff also own the same stocks. Mining oligarch Frank Giustra

(<https://www.businessinsider.com/frank-giustras-amazing-comment-about-bill-clinton-2015-4?op=1>) was the business partner of the Clinton dynasty invested in that mining.

Dianne Feinstein, Nancy Pelosi, Harry Reid and other senators own electric car company Tesla Motors supplier interests. It is **impossible** for any party to deny the fact that lithium ion battery mining did not motivate the entire government administration from 2007 to today.

- With the fall of Afghanistan to the Taliban on **Aug. 16, 2021**, the entire sham of the Afghan War has been exposed. On, or around, that date former and current White House, Pentagon and related officials like Natalie “May” Edwards and John Denniston’s staff, in anger over the administrative failure of the entire Afghanistan program, (See attached Inspector General report and other material in **Exhibits**) released, leaked and/or discussed formerly confidential information confirming the veracity of the assertions of WITNESS. Additionally, invading forces PR offices, in Afghanistan, are now leaking all of the war documents, since Russia invaded Afghanistan, to the press and the open internet. Additionally, WITNESS had personal relationships with senior White House, State Department, USAID and City Hall executives and those parties shared internal evidence and policy decision materials with WITNESS. Additionally, The news of a full breach of the State Department has been announced. The breach comes just weeks after a Senate Homeland Security Committee **report** rated the department’s overall information security program as a “D” – the lowest possible rating within the federal government’s model. The report found the department’s security to be “ineffective in four of five function areas” and highlighted the fact that “sensitive national security information” was at risk.

Names, dates of birth, and social security numbers used for passport vetting were among the sensitive information the committee’s report noted were hacked, including the files relating to WITNESS and this case data: “Auditors identified weaknesses related to State’s protection of sensitive information and noted the Department ‘did not have an effective data protection and privacy program in place’,” the committee said.

- **All of the charged political figures had:**
- 1.) the exclusive means,
- 2.) the exclusive motivation,

- 3.) the exclusive extensive history of using attack services,
- 4.) the exclusive benefits from such attacks on the whistle-blowers,
- 5.) the exclusive jobs to allow them to engage in corruption,
- 6.) the positions as business competitors to the victims, whose competing technologies obsoleted their investments; and other forensic connections which make it obvious that those parties were the perpetrators of the attacks on the citizens discussed herein.
- WITNESS was specifically and illegally excluded from participation in funding, benefits, jobs and income by major political figures, including U.S. Senators and White House executives who he knew personally, and their Silicon Valley oligarch financiers. They attacked him as reprisal because he would not cooperate with their sex trafficking, tax evasion, off-shore money laundering, political bribery quid-pro-quo, revolving door payola scams, foreign nation-sponsored domestic manipulations, stock market rigging, internet censorship and search engine manipulation and other crimes.
- The perpetrators attacked WITNESS in retaliation because he reported their crimes to federal police. They attacked him as revenge because his products obsoleted their products. They attacked him using taxpayer-funded state resources. That is a felony violation of the law. The ongoing cover-up of these crimes and attacks is also a felony violation of the law. WITNESS is owed damages compensation, witness fees and back-pay.
- In 1988 The Federal Office Of Personnel Management ranked this victim, known herein as “*Witness2021B*” , with a top 85 percentile ranking as a *Criminal Investigator* in the 1811-C nationals when he was being solicited by the government for cross-border case work as a Federal Criminal Investigator (See OPM documents, attached). In other words, he placed top-in-the-nation when the government assessed his criminal investigation skills. His father, an electronics signals intelligence analyst specialist with the U.S. Army and grandfather, a U.S. Air Force Colonel had both been federal intelligence specialists in a portion of their careers. By 1988, *Witness2021B* had already been working on organized crime and corruption matters since 1978. His white-collar and corruption cases were predominantly under-cover and sting operations and were side-projects keyed off of his public work as a private sector management and technology specialist for corporations and community programs.
- Famous political figures, spent many evenings at victim’s house, were friends with victim, worked with victim, confided in victim, and provided victim with the highest level knowledge and experience in public policy operations. In fact, when *Witness2021B* ran for Mayor of his City, it was actually a sting operation which indicted two other well-known political figures and exposed a political City Hall sex ring and the Chief Administrator of the City was arrested over this.

- Some of those figures informed *Witness2021B* of their organized crime scheme, the participants and the operators. They invited *Witness2021B* to become part of their scheme.
- As a White House and City Hall commended Federal patent-awarded inventor/CEO, *Witness2021B* has been government, and network newscast, documented as the first to invent, launch, operate and deliver the companies and technology that Google, Facebook and Netflix copied to create their, later, operations. Google, Facebook and Netflix, Tesla executives and VC's also happen to be the organizing, financing and beneficiary partners in the market manipulation scheme in this case. They have all known *Witness2021B* since college. Thus, *Witness2021B* had the opportunity to see them form their "*Silicon Valley Cartel*", **collude, conspire** and **organize against the government, the public and industry** in a manner which the tech oligarchs describe in their own emails as being based on "*...the Italian Mafia!*". *Indeed, The Silicon Valley Tech oligarchs have formed a collusion-based, law-violating, tax-evading, government-manipulating crime empire protected by famous politicians, whom they bribe!*
- *Witness2021B* has been covertly reporting to GAO, FBI, FTC, SEC, FEC, IG OSC, California Fair Political Practices officials and Senators and others for decades.
- His investigation work highlights include:
 - 1974 – Advocated for and helped realize the creation of Dan Lowenstein's California Fair Political Practices Commission and helped conduct investigations for CFPC and task force groups. Peers included Paul Kangas, Jack Palladino, Sam Brown, Melvin Belli's investigators.
 - 1978 - Undercover White-Collar Crime & Corruption Sting Operative
 - 1988 - Placed in top percentile in Federal OPM Criminal Investigator 1811-C national test. Received first CIA solicitation.
 - 1990 - American Society For Industrial Security - active coordinator
 - 1990 - International Narcotic Enforcement Officers Association - active coordinator
 - 1991 - California Association of Licensed Investigators - active coordinator
 - 2000 - California Department of Consumer Affairs Investigator - active coordinator
 - 2000-2008 - Investigative Consultant to Congressional and journalism organizations
 - 2009- 2018 - Assisted agencies in one of the largest anti-corruption projects in the last decade
 - - Recipient of White House and Congressional Commendations and Federal Grants
 - - Instrumental in creating multiple federal laws and legal precedents
 - - Designed key sting-operation protocols

- - Created first digital crowd-sourced law enforcement technologies
- - Received multiple federal patents on IC and LE technologies
- - Multiple high-profile white-collar case wrap-ups
- His cases included notorious subjects that most people have seen in the news headlines. Lately, by partnering with the messaging, media outreach, investigations and demands of <https://socialsecurityworks.org> , <https://seniorsleague.org> , AARP, ICIJ and other related organizations, and using [Streisand Effect amplifiers](#), torrents and mass social media processing, This applicant, and his peers were able to get the heads of the Social Security Administration, the heads of The U.S. Government and the Attorney General fired from their jobs for corruption and abuse of public funds.
- Nobody should tolerate political bullies that collude to abuse the public! Most members of the public, the non-corporate news media and community groups know that this level of corruption exists. You can see indisputable proof of these assertions in the documentary film:
http://focus-book.com/public/HOW_POLITICAL_CORRUPTION_WORKS.m4v
- http://newsplus007.com/public/HOW_POLITICAL_CORRUPTION_WORKS.m4v
- ... and at many streaming video outlets.
- Due to the effectiveness of Applicant's associates anti-corruption efforts, public officials have engaged in reprisal/retaliation attacks on Applicant and those officials engaged in felonies by doing that.
- In the Solyndra/Silion Valley Cartel matter, *Witness2021B* did "too good a job" and broke up one of the largest corruption matters in America with roots that ran all the way to the Oval Office. The FBI raid on Solyndra was only the tip of the iceberg. Energy and Media Industry crimes like these have a SIX TRILLION DOLLAR upside if the crooks can pull them off.
- The matter illuminated an organized crime scheme, in violation of RICO, anti-trust and other laws, between major public officials, Silicon Valley tech oligarchs and their operatives. Mr. Rusco and his investigation team at the United States Government Accountability Office (<http://www.gao.gov>) spent significant time interviewing the witness. GAO and Congress then published a series of reports condemning the actions of the U.S. Department of Energy and their manipulations of taxpayer funds. Congressional staff asked *Witness2021B* to attempt a new kind of activist public-interest lawsuit against The U.S. Government and the individual executives of the GOVT. The litigation was won by *Witness2021B* and his investors and they received the remand they sued for. The case was also "won" in that it achieved it's goals to make history, set new legal precedents, put the corruption process on public record and prove that *Witness2021B* HAD been targeted for payback by government officials "infected with corruption". (<http://www.pacer.gov>)

- The United States Congress had awarded *Witness2021B*, and his team, a commendation and a multi-million dollar federal contract to build America’s energy “back-up” plan should the Middle East oil interests ‘*go sideways*’, as they, indeed, have.
- Documents, NDA’s, emails and other evidence has proven that *Witness2021B* has had a relationship with the Department of Energy, and their labs, since, at least 2000. FBI agents Richard Auerbach, Peter D. Cair, Patricia Rich, Charles Brennan and William Wadman should be able to provide information about the oldest FBI contacts. Victim even had connections with local FBI Hero Mark Felt (known as ‘Deep Throat’ in the Watergate case) who lived near him. Reporters Ed Montgomery, Leslie Wayne, Carol Leoning and other well-known reporters have records which will verify some of the earliest investigation work by *Witness2021B*. Recent FBI discussions are documented in the FBI building logs. The Federal report entitled: ***San Francisco’s Corruption Culture*** (Available as an additional attachment document in the Exhibits set) goes into great detail about the organized political crime activities in the region.
- *Witness2021B* and his engineering team, as early as 2005, had informed the Department of Energy, in writing that, as Lithium ion batteries age, the ‘dendrite defects’ in their internal chemistry cause the batteries to constantly increase their tendency to blow up. This was proven via x-ray analysis of lithium ion batteries, particularly those from Panasonic that are used by Tesla Motors. This data was intentionally covered up by White House and Department of Energy executives because they wanted those “trillions” of dollars of profits in their pockets from the *fabled* Afghanistan mining fields.
- External forces, moisture, ambient energy waves and other factors, increase their tendency to self-ignite. Department of Energy Secretary's of Energy, their staff, White House and CIA executives have been proven, via their public stock brokerage records, to own lithium ion battery companies and child labor rare earth mining interests.
- That is why they cover up the dangers of toxic lithium ion (the burning smoke of which causes cancer, brain and fetus damage) and sabotage domestic efforts to market competing energy storage like fuel cells, ultra capacitors, alkali metal-chlorine batteries, ni-cad batteries, beta-voltaic batteries, tritium batteries and competing alternative energy storage: in violation of anti-trust laws.
- Secretary of Energy Jennifer Granholm’s Proterra Buses are now blowing up, Tesla is known for blowing up, Bolt and Volt cars are now blowing up and under massive recall. Ener1 batteries destroyed millions of dollars of Fisker’s when they got wet... The U.S. Government has hundreds of reports proving this to be a fact.
-

AS LITHIUM ION BATTERIES AGE, THE DENDRITE DEFECTS IN THEIR INTERNAL CHEMISTRY CAUSE THE BATTERIES TO CONSTANTLY INCREASE THEIR TENDENCY TO BLOW UP:

EXTERNAL FORCES, MOISTURE, AMBIENT ENERGY WAVES AND OTHER FACTORS, INCREASE THEIR TENDENCY TO SELF-IGNITE.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY SECRETARY'S OF ENERGY, THEIR STAFF, WHITE HOUSE AND CIA EXECUTIVES HAVE BEEN PROVEN, VIA THEIR PUBLIC STOCK BROKERAGE RECORDS TO OWN LITHIUM ION BATTERY COMPANIES AND MINING INTERESTS.

THAT IS WHY THEY COVER UP THE DANGERS OF LITHIUM ION AND SABOTAGE EFFORTS TO MARKET FUEL CELLS, ULTRA CAPS, NICAD BATTERIES AND ALTERNATIVE ENERGY STORAGE.



-
- *Witness2021B* asks to end this cover up that allows corrupt politicians to profiteer on the death of Americans!
-
- The United States Patent Office had also awarded *Witness2021B* one of the historically largest set of patents on a new, entirely produced-in-the-USA, energy technology per <http://www.uspto.gov>
- These technologies competed with the corrupt overseas rare earth mining schemes owned by California Senators, Department of Energy Executives, White House staff and the Silicon Valley tech Cartel.
- *Witness2021B* has been a provider, advisor and/or advocate in almost every major current federal case against the Silicon Valley Cartel since the federal “no poaching” lawsuit against the Cartel. (Case #'s **11-cv-2509** and **5:2011cv02509** and **1:10-cv-01629** and **1:10-cv-02220**). These cases, prove that the Silicon Valley Cartel colludes to harm the public and monopolize industries.
- State-sponsored “hit-jobs” were ordered, operated and financed by government officials in order to engage in revenge, reprisal and vendetta, against *Witness2021B* because of his help to Federal investigators and Congress in these investigations. Those attacks fully incapacitated the victim. In his public life, he has spent decades proving that nothing is "impossible" and that one is "only limited by their imagination" per his reference letters from clients and employers. Over the course of his work, he has assembled a global force of some of the world's top engineering, science, physics, programming, operations and logistics experts. He, and his peers, can respond instantly for disaster relief, market shifts

and new global solutions demands. Solving global challenges with novel new innovations and conceptual-blockbusting program management.

- His career has been focused on solving the world's greatest challenges. He has received awards, commendations, issued federal patents and industry acclaim for his networking, transportation, housing, medical, communications, energy and other technologies that he invented, built and marketed globally. Millions of user around the world deploy technologies first created, produced and patent-awarded to him.
- His hundreds of letters of reference are from the White House, Mayor's, Fortune 500 CEO's and other well known leaders. He is renown for his life-time provision of service to his community and his nation.
- His work stopped in 2008 when the attacks began. Many of the attacking operatives have been identified by name and **the FBI has been asked to interview them and confirm who was paying and directing them.** White House, Congressional and co-worker whistle-blowers, as well as recent Chinese, Russian and Syrian hacks have also cross-confirmed the attackers and their directives.
- The victim has, for years, filed all of the proper forms, gone "through proper channels" and inquired as to next-steps from officials at the highest levels. For his efforts he has been rewarded with cover-ups, lies, obfuscation, stone-walling and avoidance. The victim now has one of America's largest collections of "Finger-Pointing" letters from government agencies and officials, each correspondence, essentially, saying: "*Oh, it's not us go try DOJ or...(FBI, SEC, GAO..(Insert agency name here)..*". No government body will step up to resolve the issue or allow their IG to investigate, or let "302 reports" get written for interviews that never happen. It is the RUN-AROUND on an epic scale.
- Today, in San Francisco, arrested, indicted City Hall public officials, some of whom *Witness2021B* helped uncover, get paid \$44,000.00 per month by the government even though they are arrested criminals. San Francisco also pays homeless people \$60,000.00 per year to sleep in a tent in front of City Hall. Today, in Washington DC, arrested, indicted Congress-people, some of whom *Witness2021B* helped uncover, get paid \$150,000.00 per year by the government even though they are arrested criminals.
- Today, on the other hand, *Witness2021B*, has had every source of income (See reprisal attack details, below) blockaded by the government as reprisal for whistle-blowing.
- 90% of the public officials with jurisdiction own stock market assets in, or are financed by, *Witness2021B*'s competitors. Workers at government agencies serving *Witness2021B* have been exposed as extreme ANTIFA-type biased activists in their leaked emails, social media postings, web photos and recorded conversations.
- *Witness2021B* is a claimant making claims for witness fees, informant fees, rewards, whistle-blower fees, legal fees and damages caused by this matter. Additionally, claimant

demands legal counsel be provided by your office, for claimant, because his rights to fair legal representation, his civil rights and his Constitutional rights have been blockaded by these reprisal attacks, in violation of the law.

- Pursuant to §1703 of Title XVII of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, 42 U.S.C. §§ 16511, 16513, GOVT also administers the §1703 loan guarantee program (“LGP”) to support innovative clean energy technologies that are typically unable to obtain conventional private financing due to high technology risks. Under LGP, GOVT guarantees up to eighty percent of a loan for projects that “avoid, reduce, or sequester air pollutants or anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases; and employ new or significantly improved technologies as compared to commercial technologies in service in the United States at the time the guarantee is issued.” GOVT currently has approximately \$34 billion in loan authority, with an additional \$170 million in appropriated credit subsidy carried over from previous years.

ATVM Loan Application #2

- After being previously awarded a multi-million dollar valued, fully executed contract in 2005; On or about November 10, 2008, WITNESS at the request of U.S. Senators and Department of Energy officials applied for a \$40 million ATVM loan to build a scalable, innovative and efficient electric car for government agencies including the Pentagon. The GOVT’s own Sandia National Laboratory (“Sandia”) was identified as a project subcontractor.
- This application was in response to a GOVT solicitation. GOVT was supposed to evaluate loan applications on a “first in, first out” basis and to make ATVM loan funds available in January, 2009 for successful applicants.
- WITNESS’s car design used advanced “hot-swap cartridges” to provide nearly unlimited range, cost less than \$20,000 in base configuration, required no gasoline or extension cords to charge, required no garage, could be used by apartment dwellers, was easy to repair and build, and used “damp down” crash effect reduction materials.
- WITNESS had operations in Detroit, the Midwest and the San Francisco Bay area. Its design team included highly experienced automotive designers and senior Detroit automotive management staff, the senior creation staff for the Corvette and the Mustang and aerospace industry professionals.
- A critical WITNESS innovation, based on a decade of research, was the use of next-generation polymer plastics in the automotive body. By replacing metal doors, body panels, hoods and roofs with lightweight polymer plastics on a carbon fiber frame and lightweight alloy chassis, WITNESS could build a four-seat, SUV-format vehicle with a curb weight of less than 1,400 pounds, or approximately one-third the weight of a Toyota Prius. This, in turn, enhanced vehicle efficiency and performance.
- The polymer plastic construction also added to vehicle safety, with the foam skinned membranes functioning as a wraparound, pre-deployed airbag to withstand impacts and

“damp out” crash damage.

- WITNESS’s pressure membrane technology was well proven and widely used in a variety of applications, including zodiac (inflatable) boats used in leisure, commercial and military applications, airbags, Mars landing equipment and even buildings and arenas with polymer membrane coverings.
- All of the WITNESS car’s key parts were built and tested, or already existed in off-the-shelf components proven in the industry for over a decade. Autodesk and other engineering software allowed for full virtual prototyping and operational testing of the design.
- WITNESS’s financial and technical partners included Ranson Green Community Development Foundation (a 501(c)(3) nonprofit), ZAP and Detroit Electric, major automobile manufacturers and national laboratories.
- WITNESS offered GOVT asset collateral of over \$100 million to secure the ATVM loan.
- WITNESS’s ATVM loan application revealed sensitive and confidential technical and business information as defined by 10 CFR §§ 1004.10(b)(4), 11 and 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4) to GOVT. This information included fuel cell and hydrogen storage technologies, fuel cassettes and pressure membrane body parts.
- WITNESS also disclosed his issued patents for a solid-state energy storage system and an electric and hybrid vehicle system with an estimated 3rd party appraised value of \$17,291,568.64; another U.S. Patent with an estimated 3rd party appraised value of \$10,524,792.26; another U.S. Patent with an estimated 3rd party appraised value of \$5,607,695.94; another U.S. Patent with an estimated 3rd party appraised value of \$104,072,538.36; and other trade secret, trademark and intellectual property assets. These IP assets were offered as collateral to GOVT.
- In consideration for WITNESS’s ATVM loan application, Defendants promised to protect WITNESS’s technical and business secrets and not to infringe its patents.
- On December 2, 2008, Seward wrote to WITNESS acknowledging receipt of its application and requesting certain additional information. See [Exhibits](#).
- WITNESS provided this information.
- On December 31, 2008, Seward informed WITNESS that its application was substantially complete, and that GOVT would advise WITNESS if it needed additional information during the application review process. See [Exhibits](#).
- Upon information and belief, WITNESS’s ATVM loan application was the first to be deemed substantially complete.
- At all times relevant, WITNESS qualified for the ATVM loan under GOVT’s published underwriting criteria. For example:

- WITNESS was an “automobile manufacturer” that demonstrated “improved fuel economy” as defined at 10 C.F.R. § 611.100(a)(1).
 - WITNESS was “financially viable” as defined at 10 C.F.R. § 611.100(a)(2).
 - WITNESS’s application satisfied all of GOVT’s requirements under 10 C.F.R. § 611.101 et seq.
 - WITNESS’s product satisfied all of GOVT’s evaluation criteria under 10 C.F.R. §611.103(b). Among other things, WITNESS’s car used no gasoline and traveled over 125 miles on a single charge.
 - WITNESS met all of GOVT’s environmental requirements under 10 C.F.R. § 611.106 et seq.
 - WITNESS was a “covered firm” as defined by GOVT at 10 C.F.R. § 611.207(a), and eligible for the set aside specified at 10 C.F.R. § 611.207(b).
 - GOVT internal staff Excel comparison matrices placed WITNESS in the top 5% in over-all comparison metrics of all applicants.
- GOVT ostensibly began processing WITNESS’s ATVM loan application in or about January, 2009. This process should have taken no more than several weeks.
 - However, GOVT did not promptly underwrite WITNESS’s application.
 - WITNESS was unaware that its application had been “set aside” in favor of applications from politically-connected government cronies.
 - WITNESS was unaware that Defendants had “fixed” the ATVM loan process to benefit political donors.
 - WITNESS also was unaware that Defendants had no intention of approving WITNESS’s ATVM loan application under any circumstances as a matter or reprisal for whistle-blowing and unfair business practices to avoid competition against Tesla and other Congressional stock holdings.
 - Instead, WITNESS assumed Defendants were acting in good faith and in accordance with law.
 - Therefore, WITNESS repeatedly contacted GOVT to provide engineering, financial and other information in support its application.
 - On April 23, 2009, Jason Gerbsman, the Chief of Staff and Senior Investment Officer, at the Loan Programs Office, Automotive Division, of GOVT, notified WITNESS that: *WITNESS has submitted a substantially complete application and has been assigned to both a technical eligibility and merit review team, as well as a financial viability analysis team. The technical team is very close to finishing their evaluations on both eligibility and project merit, and the financial team will be launching a more detailed and interactive due diligence phase of the WITNESS application review very soon. Following the technical and financial evaluation under the second stage of the process, we will move into the underwriting phase where our goal is to negotiate a conditional*

commitment, including a detailed term sheet. This will be followed by the fourth phase of the loan process where the final details will be negotiated and the loan will be closed.

- On May 26, 2009, Gerbsman offered an in-person meeting to discuss “WITNESS’s next steps.”
- On May 28, 2009, WITNESS flew a delegation from California to meet with Gerbsman, who said that GOVT had determined “everything was in order” with WITNESS’s ATVM loan application, that “everything looked good” and that WITNESS “appeared to be fully compliant and passed technical review.”
- Shortly thereafter, WITNESS discovered that Tesla Motors, Inc. (“Tesla”) and Fisker Motors, Inc. (“Fisker”) had received and were receiving special assistance from GOVT staff with the ATVM loan application process. Fisker even had a business office in GOVT’s headquarters.
- WITNESS asked for similar assistance from GOVT staff but was denied it, ostensibly because the quality of its application was so high that no assistance was needed.
- At all times relevant, WITNESS was actively worked with other financing sources, including Wells Fargo Bank, to leverage the ATVM loan and fund product production.
- Notwithstanding GOVT’s delays and the bankruptcy of other industry players due to the U.S. economic collapse, WITNESS continued to grow throughout 2009.
- On June 15, 2009, WITNESS informed GOVT that it was a semi-finalist in the Forbes “America’s Most Promising Companies List” for 2009.
- On or about June 22, 2009, GOVT advised WITNESS that a Northern California solar energy company had requested a copy of WITNESS’s ATVM loan application from GOVT through the Freedom of Information Act (“FOIA”).
- WITNESS contacted this company to see why it was interested in WITNESS’s ATVM loan.
- WITNESS spoke with the company’s principal, who said that he had been “screwed over” by GOVT and wanted to know if others had similar experiences.
- He said that the company had suffered “bad dealings” with Matt Rogers, a “stimulus advisor” to Chu from McKinsey & Company, and Steven Spinner, a key player in GOVT’s loan program office. Spinner, an Obama campaign bundler who raised millions for the President and who had been appointed to his government position in exchange for his fund raising, also had worked at McKinsey & Company and, according to his biography posted by the Center for American Progress, had served as an active advisor and investor to Tesla.
- WITNESS was told that Rogers and Spinner were “rigging the game.” It was given Spinner’s phone number and told to call Spinner and ask him why WITNESS’s ATVM loan

application was not moving forward.

- WITNESS texted Spinner and then called him. Spinner answered the phone and told WITNESS words to the effect of “*Do not ever call me again. The awards have already been decided.*”
- On June 24, 2009, GOVT announced \$8 billion in ATVM loans to Ford Motor Company (“Ford”), Nissan North America, Inc. (“Nissan”) and Tesla. Tesla -- an WITNESS competitor -- received an ATVM loan of \$465 million at a rate of 1.6% from GOVT to manufacture an expensive electric car.
- On June 29, 2009, WITNESS wrote to Gerbsman again asking for action on its ATVM loan application, pointing out that other lenders were hanging back until after GOVT issued its term sheets, the offering document issued by GOVT that specifies the detailed terms and conditions under which GOVT may enter into a Conditional Commitment with the Applicant.
- In the following weeks, authorized GOVT representatives repeatedly assured WITNESS that “everything was fine”, “everything is on-track”, and “you [WITNESS] appear to meet every criteria” with respect to an ATVM loan. WITNESS was even told that “we [GOVT] should be able to announce [an approved ATVM loan] any day now...”
- However, on August 21, 2009, Seward denied WITNESS’s ATVM loan application. See Exhibits.
- Seward, a known “White House lackey”, said WITNESS’s application was “determined to be eligible” in accordance with the “evaluation criteria” in 10 C.F.R. §611,103 but GOVT was “not in a position to award every eligible application [an ATVM loan].” He also said necessity required GOVT to “choose applications that are most likely to use [ATVM loan] proceeds in a way that will best achieve the goals of the program” and that WITNESS’s application was rejected on this basis. He did not disclose the criteria GOVT used to weigh competing qualified applications or explain why WITNESS fell short in the merit review.
- WITNESS then asked GOVT to specify its reasons for denial.
- In an email to GOVT’s Chris Foster, WITNESS requested GOVT’s merit review documents and asked how GOVT technical evaluators could reasonably conduct a ten month comparative merit review of WITNESS’s ATVM loan application without speaking to any single company engineers or senior project staff member for more than 1% of the time that GOVT staff attended to Tesla, Nissan Ford and Fisker during the same review period.
- On or about August 26, 2009, WITNESS called Foster.
- Foster said GOVT had denied the application because Foster said WITNESS’s “*electric car did not use E85 gasoline, WITNESS was not planning on building “enough” cars, WITNESS was not planning on selling cars to the government, WITNESS’s electric motors*

and batteries were too futuristic and not developed for commercial use, WITNESS's car was a "hydrogen car," and WITNESS had underestimated the cost of metal body fabrication."

- These "reasons" were ***baseless pretexts to protect Tesla, and other insider stock holdings, that U.S. Senators and DOE executives held.***
 - None of WITNESS's competitors that were given ATVM loans to build electric cars used E85 gasoline in their vehicles.
 - WITNESS's car was designed to be easily scalable, using "off the shelf" parts from existing commercial sources with multiple points of supply.
 - WITNESS's business plan specifically provided for large government and fleet sales.
 - WITNESS's "futuristic" electric motor and battery configuration had been in commercial and government use for decades.
 - WITNESS's car was an electric car not a hydrogen car.
 - WITNESS's car body contained minimal amounts of metal, using safer and easier to source and fabricate polymers and plastics.

- Foster never provided WITNESS with GOVT's merit review documents or described the applicable evaluation criteria.

- On September 21, 2009, WITNESS wrote to Chu requesting reconsideration of GOVT's ATVM loan denial. See [Exhibits](#)

- WITNESS demonstrated that the stated reasons for GOVT's denial were false. It asked Chu to explain why GOVT staff repeatedly assured WITNESS that approval would be forthcoming and that no additional information was necessary (leading WITNESS and its principals to expend huge sums of money in reliance thereon), and why government-crony companies that applied after WITNESS were reviewed earlier, given the benefit of extensive access to and interaction with GOVT staff (a benefit denied to WITNESS), and then awarded funds.

- On October 23, 2009, Seward replied to WITNESS. See [Exhibit 5](#). He did not answer WITNESS's questions. Instead, he backfilled with new but equally baseless reasons for WITNESS's rejection.

- To begin with, Seward said that WITNESS's application was "deemed Substantially Complete on November 10, 2009." In fact, WITNESS's application had been deemed substantially complete on December 31, 2008.

- Seward said that the "proposed technology appeared...to be at a development stage and not yet ready for commercialization" and that the "assumption that the vehicle concept would be ready for production in three years" was a "significant weakness" due to the "high level of risk associated with the design." In fact, the WITNESS vehicle's technology had been in use commercially for years by the U.S. Department of Defense, NASA and the automobile industry; the politically-connected companies that were awarded ATVM loan funds were no further ahead in production than WITNESS; and elements of WITNESS's

“high risk design” were already in use by Toyota and Nissan.

- Seward said “*the proposed project’s impact on fuel economy...was determined to be weak.*” In fact, non-gasoline powered automobiles are uniformly recognized to offer the most significant impact on fuel economy performance. And, the WITNESS vehicle promised even better fuel economy than any of the ATVM loan “winners” (Tesla, Nissan, Ford or Fisker) proposed or actually offers to this day.
- Seward said “A review of the advanced fuels in your project and the feasibility of that energy source...was [sic] questionable.” In fact, the fuels, products and sub parts of the “questionable” energy source are readily available to consumers at REI Sporting Goods, Amazon.com and Safeway supermarkets.
- Seward said “A review of the calculations and assumptions supporting your claims for reductions in petroleum use were deemed to be unrealistic.” In fact, over 200 institutional research and white papers from respected government and university agencies from around the world supported WITNESS’s claimed reductions.
- Seward said that WITNESS’s project “may be commercializable [sic] in the future, but is far too early in the development process to qualify” for an ATVM loan. In fact, WITNESS was further along in the “development process” than the politically-connected companies GOVT funded.
- Seward’s letter was the first time any of these issues had been raised by GOVT with WITNESS, notwithstanding ten months of “underwriting,” and multiple meetings, phone calls, and emails. Incomprehensibly, GOVT had refused to consult with any of WITNESS’s engineers and denied WITNESS the “interactive” review that had promised in April and had in fact been provided to Tesla, Fisker, Nissan and Ford.
- Defendants did not review WITNESS’s ATVM loan application in good faith and in accordance with its regulations, policies and promises. Instead, it stonewalled WITNESS.

ATVM Application #3

- Or about February 1, 2009, WITNESS (through Limnia) applied for a \$15 million ATVM loan to help fund work with GOVT’s own Sandia National Laboratories (“Sandia”) to produce a “best of breed and state of the art” automobile energy storage system using WITNESS’s patented fuel cell technology.
- On April 10, 2009, Seward denied this application on the grounds that it “[did] not appear to be designed for installation in an advanced technology vehicle...” See Exhibit 6. At all times relevant, Defendants knew Seward’s claim was false and a mere pretext to preserve ATVM loan funds for government-favored companies.
- On April 11, 2009, WITNESS requested reconsideration, reminding Seward that the relevant patents provided the technology was for use in advanced technology vehicles, that Sandia’s vehicle technologies group was the prime subcontractor for the project, and that

GOVT had funded the technology specifically for use in advanced technology vehicles. See Exhibits.

- On May 13, 2009, Seward again denied the application because the technology was “not installed in the advanced technology vehicle.” This time, though, he asked for more information. See Exhibits
- On June 3, 2009, WITNESS again requested reconsideration, pointing out that the device “*must* be installed prior to use in an advanced technology vehicle and are, accordingly, designed for such installation, and therefore... ‘qualifying components’” and answering Seward’s other questions. See Exhibits.
- Defendants never responded to this letter.

LGP Application (Application #4)

- At all times relevant, WITNESS qualified for a LGP guarantee.
- At all times relevant, GOVT recognized that the LGP application fees and process were unduly onerous and burdensome.
- On or about February 1, 2009, WITNESS participated in a conference call with John Podesta, Chu and Interior Secretary Kenneth Salazar during which Chu promised to waive the application fee.
- On or about February 10, 2009, WITNESS (through Limnia) filed a LGP application with a cover letter stating that it was WITNESS’s understanding that GOVT had waived the application fee.
- On February 26, 2009, GOVT’s Myrtle Gross called and said that the application fee of \$18,000 had to be paid and that funds needed to be wired by midnight for the LGP application to be considered. This was WITNESS’s first notice from GOVT that the agency had reneged on its promise to waive the LGP application fee.
- WITNESS had access to the funds to make payment but could not complete the transaction by the midnight deadline.
- On February 27, 2009, Daniel Tobin, GOVT’s Loan Programs Office Senior Investment Officer, called and said that there were “a few days of flexibility” to send in the application fee and promised to provide wire instructions.
- Tobin also promised to “pre-review” the application and to call back with feedback.
- WITNESS never heard back from Tobin or anyone else at GOVT.
- WITNESS repeatedly contacted Tobin by email, phone call and messenger, but neither he nor anyone else at GOVT would respond or provide wire instructions.

- Instead, on April 9, 2009, Tobin dismissed WITNESS from the LGP without recourse, writing WITNESS that “due to non-remittance of the required application fee, your application will not be reviewed.” See Exhibits
- GOVT ignored WITNESS’s request for reconsideration.

Defendants’ Loan Program Abuses

- Because GOVT’s ATVM loan program and LGP were not transparent, and GOVT’s underwriting criteria were easily skewed and manipulated by political officials, these programs became cash cows for government cronies.
- To begin with, WITNESS discovered in or about end of Dec. 2008 that Seward, angered at WITNESS’s public complaints about GOVT’s ATVM loan and LGP administration told his staff in 2009 that it would be “a cold day in hell before I let [WITNESS] get any money.” Seward used his power to arbitrarily and wrongly blackball WITNESS’s ATVM loan and LGP applications.
- In February, 2011, GAO issued an investigative report on GOVT’s ATVM program. See Exhibits “Advanced Technology Vehicle Loan Program Implementation Is Under Way, but Enhanced Technical Oversight and Performance Measures Are Needed,” GAO-11-145 (Feb 28, 2011)(the “GAO ATVM Loan Report”). It found that GOVT had made billions in loans without engaging “engineering expertise needed for technical oversight.... As a result, GOVT cannot be adequately assured that the projects will be delivered as agreed. [Furthermore], GOVT has not developed sufficient performance measures that would enable it to fully assess the extent to which it has achieved its...program goals.”
- In truth, GOVT’s ATVM loan program was nothing more than a veil for political officials to steer hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to government cronies, including Tesla and Fisker.
- For example, Tesla’s loan of \$465 million was announced on June 24, 2009.
- This loan was obtained in material part through the efforts and influence of Steven Westly who had “bundled” hundreds of thousands of dollars in political contributions. Westly sat on Tesla’s board from March 2007 to December 2009, the time period in which GOVT made the ATVM loan.
- In consideration for his financial contributions, Westly was given unusual Executive Branch access and an appointment on a key advisory board counseling Chu. This allowed Westly to help shape GOVT policy to advance his personal business interests.
- GOVT’s Spinner, who played a key role in the ATVM loan program, was also a political “bundler,” and a former Tesla investor and advisor.
- Additionally, Tesla’s founder and CEO also made large political contributions to Democrats, including President Obama, in or about 2008.

- On November 12, 2012, Tesla notified the Securities and Exchange Commission that: *On January 20, 2010, we entered into a loan facility with the Federal Financing Bank (FFB), and The U.S. Government (GOVT), pursuant to the Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing (ATVM) Incentive Program. This loan facility was amended in June 2011 to expand our cash investment options, in February 2012 to modify the timing of certain future financial covenants and funding of the debt service reserve account, and in June 2012 to allow us to effect certain initiatives in our business plan. We entered into another amendment with the GOVT in September 2012 to remove our obligation to comply with the current ratio financial covenant as of September 30, 2012 and amend the timing of pre-funding the principal payment due in June 2013. Under the GOVT Loan Facility, the FFB has made available to us two multi-draw term loan facilities in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$465.0 million. Up to an aggregate principal amount of \$101.2 million had been made available under the first term loan facility to finance up to 80% of the costs eligible for funding for the powertrain engineering and the build out of a facility to design and manufacture lithium-ion battery packs, electric motors and electric components (the Powertrain Facility). Up to an aggregate principal amount of \$363.9 million has been made available under the second term loan facility to finance up to 80% of the costs eligible for funding for the development of, and to build out the manufacturing facility for, our Model S sedan (the Model S Facility). Under the GOVT Loan Facility, we are responsible for the remaining 20% of the costs eligible for funding under the ATVM Program for the projects as well as any cost overruns for each project. As of August 31, 2012, we have fully drawn down the aforementioned facilities.*

- Also on November 12, 2012, with \$465 million of taxpayer money spent, Tesla reported delivering a grand total of 256 vehicles for sale to customers.
- Fisker’s ATVM loan of \$528.7 million was announced on September 22, 2009, approximately a month after Seward rejected WITNESS’s application for a \$40 million ATVM loan.
- This loan was obtained in material part through the efforts and influence of John Doerr, a major political contributor and government crony. At all times relevant, Doerr was a partner in the investment firm of Kleiner, Perkins, Caufield & Byers, along with former Vice President Al Gore, among others.
- Kleiner, Perkins was a major Fisker investor. Doerr and his partners donated millions to the 2008 Obama campaign and related political causes, obtaining in exchange preferential access and treatment for their business interests. Among other things, Doerr’s political contributions produced high-level Executive Branch access and a seat on the President’s Council on Jobs and Competitiveness.
- When GOVT announced Fisker’s half-a-billion dollar loan facility, it claimed that Fisker’s ATVM loan would “create or save about 5,000 jobs for domestic parts suppliers” by funding “two lines of plug-in hybrids.” Approximately \$169.3 million of taxpayer funds were for “engineering integration” need for final assembly of a high-cost electric luxury car in Finland. Approximately \$359 million of taxpayer funds was for manufacturing a low-

cost plug-in hybrid sedan in the U.S. through “Project Kx.” See Exhibits “Conditional Commitment Letter by and between United States Department of Energy and Fisker Automotive, Inc. – Execution Copy (September 18, 2009).

- When GOVT approved Fisker’s loan, the Project Kx car did not exist, not even in prototype. Upon information and belief, GOVT lacked even detailed engineering and production specifications for the car. Nevertheless, Defendants parroted Fisker’s claim that “up to 75,000-100,000 [Project Kx] vehicles will roll off assembly lines in the U.S. every year beginning in late 2012” to justify the Kx loan.
- In 2011, Fisker promised that the Kx vehicle, which had yet to be publicly show, would be in mass production by the end of 2012.
- In or about February, 2012, after Fisker had borrowed over \$170 million, GOVT froze Fisker’s ATVM loan credit facility because Fisker missed key production milestones.
- In or about June, 2012, Fisker finally revealed the Project Kx prototype, a “low cost” \$55,000 auto called the “Atlantic.”
- On or about October 18, 2012, Fisker reported that Atlantic production would be delayed until 2014 or 2015.
- Since 2008, Fisker has sold approximately 1,500 vehicles world-wide.
- Upon information and belief, the over \$170 million in taxpayer money GOVT has given to Fisker has “saved or created” fifty or fewer jobs.

Defendants’ LGP Abuse

- In March, 2012, and in response to complaints by WITNESS and others, GAO issued an investigative report on GOVT’s LGP performance. See Exhibits “GOVT Loan Guarantees: Further Actions Needed to Improve Tracking and Review of Applications,” GAO-12-157 (March, 2012). GAO found that GOVT treated LGP applicants inconsistently, favoring some and disadvantaging others; lacked systematic mechanisms for LGP applicants to administratively appeal its decisions; often ignored its own underwriting standards and skipping review steps; and re-reviewed rejected applications on an ad hoc basis. In fact, GOVT’s “Omitting or poorly documenting reviews reduces LGP’s assurance that it has treated applicants fairly and equitably.”
- In October, 2012, emails were released confirming that at least a number of LGP decisions were based on political factors not GOVT’s stated evaluation criteria. See *e.g.* Exhibits (Email from Jonathan Silver, former Executive Director, GOVT Loan Programs Office, to James C. McCrea, GOVT LPO credit adviser, dated June 25, 2010, stating “WH wants to move Abound [project] forward. Policy will have to wait...”); Exhibits (Email from James C. McCrea to B. Oakley stating “Pressure is on real heavy...due to interest from VP”); Exhibits (Email from Monique Fridell to Kimberly Heimert, et al. dated May 25, 2010 stating “GOVT has made a political commitment to get Unistar through the approval

process by 6/15”; Exhibit 17 (Email from James C. McCrea to Monique Fridell dated June 1, 2010 stating “Secretary [of Energy]...is adamant that this transaction is going to OMB by the end of the day Fri if not sooner. Not a way to do things but a direct order”)

- GOVT bent the rules for political allies such as Sen. Harry Reid and Rep. Steny Hoyer, while government crony companies received special personal access to high-ranking GOVT officials. See e.g. Exhibits (Email from James C. McCrea to “barbiar” dated December 5, 2009 stating “[Harry] Reid may be desperate. WH may want to help. Short term considerations may be more important than long term considerations and what’s a billion anyhow?”); Exhibits (Email from James C. McCrea to Julie Stewart dated May 25, 2010 stating “7th Floor has decided mid June CRB...there has been a commitment from S1 [Secretary Chu] to Steny Hoyer on this. Nothing like over committing and under delivering”; Exhibits (Email from Brightsource Chairman John Woolard, an LGP applicant, to Jonathan Silver, GOVT Loan Office Director dated November 10, 2010 stating “*Thanks for offering to meet at your house tomorrow morning.*” Silver replied “*Came [sic] anytime. Guest bedroom is ready.*”). Defendants regularly slept with each other and at each others homes.

Defendants’ Overall Abuse Of, And Harms To, WITNESS

- Defendants’ wrongdoing abused and severely damaged WITNESS.
- When Defendants “fixed” the ATVM loan and LGP programs to benefit government cronies, they knowingly and intentionally rendered the ATVM loan and LGP applications by WITNESS and other similarly situated companies futile and meaningless.
- Defendants intentionally induced WITNESS and others similarly situated through multiple written and verbal representations from GOVT officials with actual and apparent authority to bind GOVT, to spend hundreds of thousands of dollars and invest thousands of hours of engineering and professional time on a meaningless snipe hunt.
- Defendants’ administration of the ATVM loan program effectively dried up all alternative sources of capital and denied WITNESS and others similarly situated access to private financing by delaying term sheets and/or wrongly denying ATVM loans, among other things. Defendants had actual or constructive knowledge that delaying or denying a small company’s ATVM loan application would be as a business death sentence.
- Chu and Seward skewed, manipulated and fixed GOVT’s ATVM loan review process against WITNESS and other companies similarly situated to protect and advance the business and political interests of government cronies and caused WITNESS’s ATVM loan and LGP applications to be rejected on false bases and flimsy pretexts.
- For example:
 - a. Government cronies who applied for the ATVM loan after WITNESS were reviewed earlier, walked through the “underwriting” process by GOVT staff and given the benefit

of unique agency interaction, and then awarded funds.

- b. GOVT staff first issued over 20 documents, public statements, policy notices, powerpoints and other materials verifying that ATVM loans would be processed on a “first-come, first served” basis. Because crony companies did not submit applications in a timely fashion, GOVT subsequently altered this policy in mid-stream.
- c. GOVT paid outside, unqualified technical reviewers to conduct pre-textual diligence and application reviews. WITNESS spoke with Carol Battershel, who stated she was the due diligence technical lead on WITNESS’s application. She said she had gotten everything she needed “off [WITNESS’s] website.” GOVT reviewers never talked to WITNESS’s founder, inventor, engineers, project leads or primary contractors, and refused to speak with WITNESS’s engineers, even after WITNESS’s engineers called and visited them to offer data and other information.
- d. GOVT officials directed Argonne National Laboratory staff to manipulate data in order to favor certain applicants.
- e. GOVT employees were ordered by senior GOVT and Executive Branch political officials to ignore non-favored applicants until deadlines had passed to shrink the applicant pool.
- f. GOVT ignored standard commercial bank loan processes, including the use of competent engineers to carry out technical review and the consistent application of the same underwriting criteria to each loan application. Instead, the “loan review process” was intentionally manipulated, bypassed or stalled, to ensure that funds were given to government favored “winners” but not to WITNESS or other companies that lacked large political contributions and special Executive Branch access.
- g. The ATVM loan funds distribution date was repeatedly manipulated by Defendants to frustrate WITNESS and other similarly situated companies’ efforts to attract private investors, thereby starving WITNESS of capital.
- h. Political officials made review and “underwriting” decisions.
- i. GOVT staff told WITNESS and others that GOVT was out of money and thus ATVM loan applications could not advance. However, the ATVM loan fund was never out of money and, indeed, a “carve out” of appropriated funds was “held” for government cronies who made political contributions and hired political fixers to obtain “top-tier status” and “special relationships” with GOVT.
- j. GOVT eased expectations for projected car sales volume by Fisker, a favored crony company with close ties to senior political officials in the White House, after GOVT had conditionally approved an approximate \$560 million loan, and then made allowances for scaling back projections in the final loan agreement, and then renegotiated loan terms to ameliorate Fisker’s cash flow crisis in the weeks before the 2012 Presidential election.

- k. GOVT applied different metrics to ATVM loan applicants based on political connections and contributions.
- l. GOVT promised to waive the LGP application fee. Within hours of the due date, GOVT reneged. The next day, GOVT contacted WITNESS promising to accept late payment. Again, GOVT reneged.
- m. GOVT hid the “merit review” data, reviewer identities, reviewer work histories, and other information from WITNESS, all other ATVM loan applicants and the public. This information, if disclosed, would have allowed for an open and transparent loan process and allowed WITNESS and others to evaluate the efficacy of GOVT’s merit review.
- n. GOVT willfully, intentionally and substantially overestimated government crony company production capabilities and sales performance to justify its approval of ATVM loans. For example, GOVT promised that Fisker alone would have “75,000 – 100,000” ATVM loan-funded cars rolling off of U.S. assembly lines. In 2012, Ford, Nissan, Fisker and Tesla (the ATVM loan “winners”) combined sold fewer than 25,000 ATVM loan-funded vehicles nationwide.
- o. Applicant’s 2008 benefits application was hacked and manipulated by public officials in order to engage in reprisal, retribution and revenge against Applicant for reporting about a federal crime involving public officials. Applicant has been waiting for his proper benefits payments since 2008. Investigations have proven that government officials were ordered to not approve Applicant as reprisal/vendetta punishment for his testimony against public officials with access to government records operations, records and determinations.
- p. The majority of Applicant’s injuries came from his contractor/employment work for the Government.
- q. The majority of Applicant’s injuries were never investigated by government officials in an unbiased or conclusive manner per word scans of government officials records.
- r. Government officials that met with, or interacted, with Applicant concurrently, or later, ended up working for his political/business enemies and bragged about that fact in their emails, voicemails, text messages, social media postings, photo postings and other media. They were biased to harm Applicant.
- s. Government official “Mario U” harassed, threatened, defamed and cyber-stalked Applicant in political reprisal and the government owes Applicant monetary damages for those actions by compromised government officials. The government officials emails, social media postings, texts, recorded conversations and other records prove that they acted in a biased and threatening manner towards Applicant for reprisal/vendetta purposes. A FOIA has been filed for all recordings of the meeting between Applicant and government officials. All records, discussing, referring, or relating to all meetings or

communications between Administration staff and Applicant and their representatives including minutes of those meetings, or any memorandum written about these meetings by Administration employees and contractors who were present has been demanded. The FBI, a third party with a fountain pen video camera and/or a cell phone, in addition to government recordings, are thought to exist for this **CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATION** incident. While there have been a lot of [concerns](#) lately about efforts to misuse "wiretapping" laws that forbid any recordings of people without their knowledge, it appears at least a few courts are recognizing how silly that is. Yet another court has now said that [secretly recording a conversation -- in this case with an iPhone -- is okay](#), assuming there was no crime committed with the recording, and the recording was for a legitimate purpose. As the court noted: "*The defendant must have the intent to use the illicit recording to commit a tort of crime beyond the act of recording itself.*" That makes sense. The act of recording alone, shouldn't be a criminal act, as it really depends on what is being done with the recording. And, in an age where not only is recording everything easier, but for some becoming [standard](#), requiring permission to record all audio seems like an outdated concept. Using an iPhone to secretly record a conversation is not a violation of the Wiretap Act if done for legitimate purposes, a federal appeals court has ruled. "The defendant must have the intent to use the illicit recording to commit a tort of crime [beyond the act of recording itself](#)," (.pdf) the 2nd U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals ruled. The decision by the 2nd U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals, which involves a civil lawsuit over a secret audio recording produced from the 99-cent Recorder app, mirrors decisions in at least three other federal appeals courts. The lawsuit concerns a family dispute over the making of a dying mother's will. Days before the Connecticut woman died, her son secretly recorded a kitchen conversation between the son, mother, stepfather and others over how to handle her estate after her death. The son, in a probate dispute, turned over the audio file to the court in 2008 to bolster his position concerning the estate of his late mother, who died without a will. The stepfather sued him, alleging a privacy breach under the Wiretap Act. A federal judge dismissed the case, and the stepfather appealed. The appeals court ruled that, even if the son consented to his own taping, he could be sued for money damages for a breach of the Wiretap Act if and only if he did so with a nefarious intent. "We affirm, and, in so doing, hold that the exception to the one-party consent provision of 18 U.S.C. § 2511(2)(d) requires that a communication be intercepted for the purpose of a tortious or criminal act that is independent of the intentional act of recording," the New York-based federal appeals court said.

- t. The Inspector General has supplied certified federal records of numerous vendetta/reprisal attacks by government officials against other American citizens as reprisal/vendetta acts.
- u. All of the U.S. Inspector General offices for ALL agencies have documented thousands of vendetta/reprisal attacks by State and Federal public officials.

- v. An ongoing practice of vendetta/reprisal attacks by State and Federal public officials exists and operates within government agencies.
- w. The volume and scope of vendetta/reprisal attacks by State and Federal public officials uncovered against Applicant proves that he is a “targeted whistle-blower”.
- x. The hackers, by name, (Listed in the Exhibits) have been identified by FBI, CIA, DIA, NSA and FinCEN as having hacked and having great ease to hack government servers and that some of them were employed by Applicant’s enemies including working in the political offices of public officials (particularly U.S. Senators) who competed with or were adversarial to Applicant in their, or their family business dealings.
- y. Applicant worked over 60 hours per week for many decades based on assurances from the government that he would receive, at least, \$1400.00 per month upon retirement but the government assurances, to WITNESS, were false.
- z. The government only pays Applicant \$778.00 per month while Applicant’s peers receive \$3000.00 per month from the government while his ARRESTED adversaries and competitors receive over \$10,000.00 per month from the government.
- aa. All government agencies represent the “United States Government” and it is a false assertion for the agencies under the United States Government to have denied responsibility for their actions.
- bb. The United States Government asked Applicant to invest his life savings in a government energy program and defrauded Applicant out of his life-savings, years of his time and his pending profits while providing those same profits to his competitors and to his elected representatives who were financial partners and shareholders with those competitors.
- cc. The IRS, GOVT and other agencies were sued in Federal Court and LOST the court hearings as they WERE found to be engaging the abuse of government offices for vendetta/reprisal attacks. Those Plaintiffs were compensated but WITNESS has not received his compensation. There was an ongoing failure to adequately respond to Applicant's requests which is damaging Applicant via accruing monetary harms on a daily basis. Applicant and Applicant’s advocates have provided over two million pages of FBI-quality evidence, proving all of the assertions herein, via hard drives and online file repositories. At no time has the government ever provided written statements disputing any of the criminal charges in this matter. The website at <http://www.majestic111.com> is an example of thousands of evidence repositories posted online about this case.
- dd. Applicant has filed federal RICO charge referrals in this matter with DOJ.
- ee. By with-holding and blockading Applicant’s funds, SSA and other government agencies have prevented Applicant from having access to adequate legal representation. In

previously provided documents Applicant's advocates have provided extensive legal references and proofs that such blocking of Applicant's legal representation by via the with-holding of government funds is illegal.

- ff. Applicant has never received a response to his multiple SSA Form 561-U2 or 7008 filings Applicant has filed multiple letters confirming that there should be no blank years in is earnings statement and has received no responsive action from SSA on those assertions and the hundreds of work proof reference letters, videos and press clippings he provided proving the assertions. SSA and The U.S. Government owes Applicant monies for damages caused by government actions, harms and inaction.
- gg. Applicant has provided the government with the medical records of other U.S. citizens that were sent to Applicant by the government in violation of federal HIPPA laws. The government said that the record's were Applicant's yet they had other people's names, SS#s and medical information. This is one of many evidence items that clearly prove that Applicant's records were manipulated, confused, and mismanaged by government staff. To be clear, government officials sent the medical records of multiple other citizens to Applicant in violation of HIPPA laws and those other parties privacy rights.
- hh. Government officials told Applicant, in meetings at the San Francisco government offices, in 2007 and 2008, that he was fully qualified, with government credits. Applicant demands his funds for his damages and the back-owed monies.
- ii. Defendants employed spy agency "dirty tricks" campaigns against Plaintiff. The attacks included the following methods and tactics:

- DEFRAUDING PLAINTIFFS:

Government agency bosses solicited the targets with false promises of future loans, carbon credit sales, billions of dollars of stock market valuation profits, contracts and/or grants from their agencies and caused the target Plaintiffs to expend millions of dollars and years of their time for projects which those government bosses had covertly promised to their friends. They used the target Plaintiffs as a "smokescreen" to cover their illegal government slush-funds for the Plaintiffs competitors and personal enemies. By using this tactic, the attackers drained the target Plaintiffs funds and forced Plaintiffs into an economic disaster, without the government bosses fearing any reprisal for their scam. The crony insiders made hundreds of billions of dollars in profit in the notorious Solyndra-type scandals as seen in the CBS 60 Minutes episode: "**The Cleantech Crash**", thousands of TV news segments and the related GAO and Congressional corruption reports.

- MOLES AND SPYING:

White House financier Kleiner Perkins placed moles inside of Plaintiffs companies in order to sabotage, delay and misdirect operations. The moles were discovered to be staff of Kleiner Perkins. The main offices of Kleiner Perkins were broken into, per San Mateo

County police reports, and records of corruption copied or duplicated by a state-sponsored intelligence agency entity, which provided further proof that Kleiner Perkins and the White House were exchanging Quid Pro Quo.

- BLOCKADES OF LEGAL COUNSEL RIGHTS:

- Government officials and the federal agency: Legal Services Corporation (LSC corporation -A federal agency dedicated to providing legal services to citizens) blockaded Plaintiffs rights to legal representation in order to prevent Plaintiffs from personally suing the attackers because such a lawsuit would have embarrassed corrupt public officials. High tech law firms that were discussing a services agreement with Plaintiffs were threatened and ordered to not help Plaintiffs or “*they would be black-listed or be cut-off from tens of millions of dollars of Google, Netflix, Facebook and government contracts*”. Individual lawyers were threatened with black-listing and getting “*flooded with more filings than you could ever respond to in your life-time...*” LSC officials, who were almost entirely Obama Administration associates, refused to assist with lawyer referrals. That is a violation of their federal contract with The U.S. Government .

- HIRED CHARACTER ASSASSINATION AND DEFAMATION SERVICES:

An sophisticated animated attack film was produced by Google/YouTube and Nicholas Denton attacking Plaintiffs. An animated film is an expensive effort involving considerable time and expense. An attacker must be well financed to undertake such an effort. The film was published on YouTube and locked onto the very top search result line on every YouTube search in front of 7.5 billion internet users for over a decade. The damage to Plaintiffs reputation is estimated in the tens of millions of dollars. YouTube steadfastly refused to remove or adjust the search results even though YouTube executives knew Plaintiffs and knew that the video represented a character assassination attempt against Plaintiffs because YouTube owners finance the political campaigns of the public officials who ordered the attacks. While Google/YouTube stated to Congress that all of it’s search results are arbitrary, the never-moving search result of this attack video proved that Google’s and YouTube’s search results are manually manipulated by human maintained black-lists. The corrupt officials hired Nicholas Guido Denton and his character-assassination-for-hire sleazy tabloid publication empire known as Gawker Media AKA Gizmodo Media. They own Gawker, Gizmodo, Jalopnik and a number of fake news sites based in the USA and near-Russian regions. The offshore sites are used for money laundering and tax evasion. The FBI has been asked to interview and financially trace the payments and command-and-control orders back and forth between Nicholas Guido Denton and his attacker/operatives: Ian Fette, Adrian Covert, Nick Cook, Gabrielle Darbyshire, John Hermann, Patrick George, et al and Google. All of whom transferred payments and communications between each other to conspire, operate, produce and publish the articles, videos, blogs and server manipulations for the

attacks against the victims around the world. These attacks resulted in billions of dollars of damages to the victims. The orders for these attacks can be traced back to The White House.

- FACTORY PROCESSED SOCIAL MEDIA ATTACKS:

Social networking sites including MeetUp, Match, Facebook, etc. and all other IAC-owned, or similar, sites (IAC is managed by Hillary Clinton's daughter, whose Mother knew Plaintiffs) have had their profiles, texts, and inter-member communications, since those companies were started, hacked or purchased. The financiers of almost everyone of these sites are also the financiers of the suspects. The attack service providers use Palantir, Acronym, In-Q-Tel financed data analysis software to analyze every activity in those services in order to find honey-trap, blackmail and social conflict exploitation opportunities. Your social life will, essentially, end. Every photo on every social site is cross checked with every other photo on the internet in order to cull your Facebook, LinkedIn, Snapchat and other social media together to create a total manipulation profile data file on you. New contacts on these sites were contacted by the attackers and told to “avoid” the Plaintiffs in order to damage Plaintiffs.

- GOVERNMENT BENEFITS BLOCKADES AND MANIPULATIONS:

Social Security, SSI, SDI, Disability and other earned benefits were stone-walled. Applications for benefits for the Plaintiffs were intentionally “lost” like a “Lois Lerner hard drive”. Files in the application process “disappeared”. A U.S. Senator ordered Plaintiffs benefits to “never be approved” even though Plaintiffs worked 60 hour+ weeks for decades in service to their nation and their community. A SSA official in the local SSA office, who had a devout expressed hatred against one United States President ordered a benefits blockade against Plaintiffs because he found out that Plaintiffs ex-lawyer now worked in the White House. Forensic evidence and backgrounders on every person who worked on, or had access to, Applicants files, records, benefits decisions and related data sets shows that a number of those employees and contractors were members, financiers, web promoters or supporters of ANTIFA anarchy groups or KKK or Proud Boys related political activist-type groups. In San Francisco and Marin Counties SSA offices, in employees workspaces and on their Facebook and MySpace sites, many employees proudly display pictures of themselves wearing their pink "pussy hats", black riot gear and sporting political tattoos. FBI records and IG investigations show that SSA has the highest percentage of political activist employees of any federal agency. Such persons are inclined to become drunk with power when allowed access to the trillions of dollars of government technology on the SSA file and decisions systems. A number of these persons have worked for, or with, U.S. Senators and other politicians who targeted Applicant in political reprisal. At least 3 persons in the San Francisco SSA office, at least 2 persons in the San Mateo SSA office and at least 2 persons in Marin SSA office are known to have engaged in such actions. Applicants funding and benefits

were manipulated, so as to harm Applicant, as political reprisal as vendetta for his provision of testimony to federal investigators in a trillion dollar political corruption matter involving famous political figures featured in global news coverage. A vast number of agency abuse cases and lawsuits are now on public record in the Inspector General's offices and federal courts. It is an indisputable fact that some government agencies run "hit-jobs" on citizens on orders from certain corrupt politicians.

These actions are felony violations of the law. Federal and State Agencies including SSA, FEC, GOVT, HHS, VA, CIA, HUD, SA, SEC, FBI, DOJ and many others, have been charged, and found guilty, in these crimes against citizens. In the Congressional investigation published by the United States Congress in review of the U.S. Department of Energy LGP/ATVM programs, it is clearly proven that the U.S. Department of Energy was used as a slush-fund by some GOVT executives in order to pay off campaign financiers by attacking and sabotaging their competitors. The GOVT Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant under contracts with The U.S. Government and the government-owned U.S. Enrichment Corp paid \$5M whistle-blower awards to those whistle-blowers who were attacked, using government agency resources, for reporting a crime. Dept. of Energy Hanford URS has agreed to settle a lawsuit brought by former employee Walter Tamosaitis for \$4.1 million.

The settlement in the whistle-blower case comes almost one year before the case was set for a jury trial in federal court in Richland and compensates Tamosaitis for attacks against him, by GOVT officials, in retribution for reporting a crime. VA officials attacked hundreds of citizens who reported corruption, ie:

<https://www.thenewamerican.com/usnews/health-care/item/18610-va-whistleblowers-facing-retribution>. As shown in this report: <https://www.pogo.org/analysis/2018/08/new-report-confirms-whistleblower-retaliation-is-alive-and-well-at-department-of-veterans-affairs/>, Agencies attack often and harshly. CIA and NSA executives have been widely shown to use spy tools to attack domestic citizens they don't like, ie: <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2435011/NSA-employees-used-phone-tapping-tools-spy-girlfriends-cheating-husbands.html> , and hundreds of other news links that can be provided. Elon Musk and Tesla, as well as Eric Schmidt and Larry Page at Google, have been proven to use the CIA group: IN-Q-TEL, to run government sponsored/financed attacks on business competitors. In Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-00777-RBW GOVERNMENT AGENCIES WERE CAUGHT BEING USED FOR ATTACKS AGAINST CITIZENS AND PUNISHED IN THE COURT AND THE MEDIA! The IRS, and hordes of other government agencies have been caught and proven, IN COURT, to target and attack people for presumed political differences.

Why should we assume that the Social Security Administration is not ALSO doing this too to harm citizens who speak out? The Lois Lerner IRS attacks took many years to resolve. In an unprecedented victorious conclusion to a four year-long legal battle

against the IRS, the bureaucratic agency admitted in federal court that it wrongfully targeted citizens, during the Obama Administration, because of their political viewpoints and issued an apology to those people for doing so. In addition, the IRS is consenting to a court order that would prohibit it from ever engaging in this form of unconstitutional discrimination in the future. In a proposed Consent Order filed with the Court, the IRS has apologized for its treatment of U.S. citizens including organizations from 20 states that applied for 501(c)(3) and (c)(4) tax-exempt status with the IRS between 2009 and 2012 -- during the tax-exempt determinations process. Crucially, following years of denial by the IRS and blame-shifting by IRS officials, the agency now expressly admits that its treatment of our clients was wrong and a total violation of our Democracy. As set forth in the proposed Order: “The IRS admits that its treatment of Plaintiffs during the tax-exempt determinations process, including screening their applications based on their names or policy positions, subjecting those applications to heightened scrutiny and inordinate delays, and demanding of some Plaintiffs’ information that TIGTA determined was unnecessary to the agency’s determination of their tax-exempt status, was wrong.

For such treatment, the IRS expresses its sincere apology.” Throughout litigation of this case, activists have remained committed to protecting the rights of the public who faced unlawful and discriminatory action by the IRS and other agencies. The objective from the very beginning has been to hold agencies accountable for corrupt practices.

This Consent Order represents a historic victory for the public and sends the unequivocal message that a government agency’s targeting of citizens organizations, or any organization, on the basis of political viewpoints, will never be tolerated and that revenge will be swift and vast. The Order will put an end, once and for all, to the abhorrent practices utilized against citizens, as the agreement includes the IRS’s express acknowledgment of – and apology for – its wrongful treatment of the public. While this agreement is designed to prevent any such practices from occurring again, rest assured that all public interest lawyers will remain vigilant to ensure that the IRS, SSA, DOJ or SEC does not resort to such tactics in the future. Per detailed reports, in March of 2012 lawyers began being contacted by literally dozens of citizens and groups who were being harassed by the Obama IRS after submitting applications for tax-exempt status. Their tax-exempt applications were held up for years (over seven years in some cases), and they began receiving obtrusive and unconstitutional requests for donor and member information. That began a now more than five and a half year fight with the burgeoning bureaucracy at the IRS. Then on May 10, 2013, Lois Lerner, the then head of the IRS Tax Exempt Organizations Division, publicly implicated the IRS in one of the worst political targeting scandals of the century.

This is an extraordinary victory against government agency abuse. It sends a powerful warning to the deep state bureaucracy that it will not be allowed to violate the

Constitution in order to silence and shut down the whistle-blowers. In addition to the IRS's admissions of and apology for its wrongful conduct, the Consent Order would specifically award Plaintiffs the following: - A declaration by the Court that it is wrong to apply the United States tax code to any tax-exempt applicant or entity based solely on such entity's name, any lawful positions it espouses on any issues, or its associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint; - A declaration by the Court that any action or inaction taken by the IRS must be applied evenhandedly and not based solely on a tax-exempt applicant or entity's name, political viewpoint, or associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint; and - A declaration by the Court that discrimination on the basis of political viewpoint in administering the United States tax code violates fundamental First Amendment rights.

Disparate treatment of taxpayers based solely on the taxpayers' names, any lawful positions the taxpayers espouse on any issues, or the taxpayers' associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint is unlawful. In the Order, the IRS has also agreed that (unless expressly required by law) certain actions against the Plaintiffs— i.e. the sharing, dissemination, or other use of information unnecessarily obtained by the IRS during the determinations process (such as donor names, the names of volunteers, political affiliations of an organization's officers, etc.) – would be unlawful. In addition, the IRS promises not to take any retaliatory action against our clients for exposing the targeting scheme. Finally, and of crucial significance, the IRS admits it targeted persons and groups based on their viewpoints (i.e., "policy positions") and that such viewpoint discrimination violates fundamental First Amendment rights. This is the first time the IRS has admitted that its targeting scheme was not just "inappropriate" – as TIGTA found – but, as alleged, blatantly unconstitutional.

To ensure consistency and uniformity within the agency's operations going forward, the IRS is required, pursuant to the Order, to inform all employees within the Exempt Organizations Division, as well as the Commissioners and Deputy Commissioners within other divisions, of the Order's terms. This Order not only validates allegations about their treatment at the hands of the corrupt Obama-era IRS but also provides important assurances to the American public that the agency understands its obligation to refrain from further such discriminatory conduct. As Attorney General Sessions acknowledged in this regard, "[t]here is no excuse for [the IRS's] conduct," as it is "without question" that the First Amendment prohibits the conduct that occurred here, i.e., subjecting American citizens to disparate treatment "based solely on their viewpoint or ideology." Sessions further confirmed his Department's commitment to ensuring that the "abuse of power" in which the IRS engaged here "will not be tolerated." It is impossible to overstate the importance of this victory.

This marks a years-long fight for justice in defense of the constitutional rights of the public. This is an extraordinary victory against abuse of power and corruption. It sends a powerful warning to the deep state bureaucracy that it will not be allowed to violate the Constitution and manipulate the IRS, SSA and other agencies in order to silence and shut down those who speak out about political corruption crimes. In the wake of Wisconsin Watchdog's investigation into SSA staff allegations of incompetence, misconduct, and retaliation in Social Security disability appeals offices, several employees have taken their complaints to a Senate committee led by Wisconsin Sen. Ron Johnson.

An official with knowledge of the complaints said the Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee, chaired by the Oshkosh Republican, has received emails and other contacts from "certain people" inside the Social Security Administration's Office of Disability Adjudication and Review. The initial complaints came from an employee inside the Milwaukee office following Wisconsin Watchdog's opening investigative report that found some claimants waiting more than 1,000 days for an appeals decision on their disability benefits claim. Following Wednesday's story of a whistle-blower in the Madison ODAR office, the committee has received more specific complaints about retaliation against employees, the source said. Committee staff members sent the latest Watchdog piece to SSA administrators hoping they will "cooperate," the source said. To date, the agency has been less than cooperative. "This is an ongoing process, and they are not always as forthcoming as we'd like them to be," the source said. "Hopefully with your continued reporting, this is an issue they can't duck." A Senate committee member said officials there are working with the Office of Special Counsel on "multiple whistle-blower retaliation claims." The committee continues to request information from the SSA. The whistle-blower in the Madison office claims management retaliated against her after she was called to testify in a misconduct case.

The incident involved "inappropriate behavior" by an administrative law judge, she said. "They are so corrupt. It's absolutely horrible," said the woman, a lead case technician in the Madison Office of Disability Adjudication and Review. She spoke on condition of anonymity, fearing more retribution from her supervisors. While she said recounting her particular experiences will more than likely betray her identity anyway, the ODAR case worker insisted she has had enough. "I'm at point where they don't care about me, I don't see why I'm protecting them. This is my last resort," she said. "I want to do my work without fear of retaliation." She said she has contacted the Senate committee. "I forwarded my information to them and I got an email back from them. See these reports:

- jj. [**WSJ: 131 Federal Judges Broke Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had Financial Interest...**](#)

Dirty profits from bench...

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/hidden-interest-judges-financial-conflicts-graphic-11632834079>

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/131-federal-judges-broke-the-law-by-hearing-cases-where-they-had-a-financial-interest-11632834421>

kk. They said people are coming out of the woodwork with their complaints (about ODAR) following your story,” the whistle-blower said. Ronald Klym, a long-time senior legal assistant in the Milwaukee ODAR office, alleges he has been retaliated against by supervisors for going public with his charges of incompetence and misconduct in the agency. The federal employee, who has worked for SSA for 16 years, provided Wisconsin Watchdog with documents showing extremely long wait times for claimants appealing their denied applications for benefits. Doug Nguyen, SSA regional spokesman, in a previous story said the agency acknowledges that Milwaukee ODAR has a “high average processing time for disability appeal hearings, and we are working to address the issue.” Beyond the delays is what Klym calls the “shell game,” the wholesale transferring of cases to other parts of the country by administrators to make the Milwaukee office’s numbers look better than they are. The Madison office whistle-blower confirmed Klym’s allegations, saying at one point she saw 2,000 cases from the Milwaukee office handed off to the Oak Brook operation. There are over 10,000 SSA disability manipulation charges against SSA executives and staff.

- BLACKLISTING:

Government officials and tech oligarchs contacted members of the National Venture Capital association (NVCA) and created national “black-lists” to blockade Plaintiffs from receiving investor funding. This was also confirmed in a widely published disclosure by Tesla Motors Daryl Siry and in published testimony. If Silicon Valley political campaign finance oligarchs black-list you (see the "AngelGate" Scandal and the "High Tech No Poaching Class Action Lawsuit" cases) you will never get investor funding again.

- FOIA OBFUSCATION:

Federal FOIA requests were hidden, frozen, stone-walled, delayed, lied about and only partially responded to in order to seek to hide information and run cover-ups. In once instance, even though GOVT FOIA staff had the requested FOIA files in their top desk drawers, they delayed handing the FOIA copies over for nearly a decade in order to run a cover-up.

- ARBITRARY DEADLINE MANIPULATION:

Crony state and federal officials play an endless game of Catch-22 by arbitrarily determining that deadlines had passed that they, the government officials, had stonewalled and obfuscated applications for, in order to force these deadlines that they set, to appear to be missed.

- POLITICAL POISONING & TOXIC EXPOSURE:

Plaintiffs were found to be strangely poisoned, not unlike the Alexander Litvenko case, The Salisbury Case and hundreds of other political poisoning cases. Heavy metals and toxic materials were found right after Plaintiffs work with The U.S. Government weapons and energy facilities. Many wonder if Plaintiffs was intentionally exposed to toxins in retribution for their testimony. The federal MSDS documents clearly show that a number of Plaintiffs were exposed to deadly compounds and radiations, via GOVT, without being provided with proper HazMat suits which GOVT officials knew were required.

- WORKPLACE SABOTAGE AND OBSTRUCTION:

Plaintiffs employers were called, and faxed, and ordered to fire target Plaintiffs from their places of employment, in the middle of the day, with no notice, as a retribution tactic.

- MEDIA ASSASSINATION PROGRAMS:

On orders from Obama White House officials Google, YouTube, Gawker Media and Gizmodo Media produced attack articles. Google locked these contrived attack articles from the Nicholas Guido Denton tabloid empire on the top line, of the front page of all Google searches for a decade in front of 7.5 billion people, around the world. This attack-type uses over \$40 million dollars in server farms, production costs and internet rigging. The forensic data acquired from tracking some of these attacks proves that Google rigged these attacks against Plaintiffs on the internet and that all of Google's "impressions" are manually controlled by Google's executives who are also the main financiers and policy directors of the Obama Administration. This data was provided to the European Union for its ongoing prosecution of Google's political manipulation of public perceptions. Hired attackers Nicholas Guido Denton, John Herman, Adrian Covert, Ian Fette, Patrick George, Gabrielle Darbyshire and John Cook have been referred to the FBI for surveillance, tracking and interview relative to the command, control and compensation for those attacks.

- COMMERCIAL EMPLOYMENT DATABASE POISONING AND RED-FLAGGING:

Plaintiffs HR and employment records, on Taleo, Palantir and EVERY recruiting and hiring database, was embedded with negative keywords and "flags" in order to prevent the Plaintiffs from ever gaining future employment.

- ATTEMPTS ON THE LIVES OF WHISTLE-BLOWERS:

Gary D. Conley, Seth Rich, Rajeev Motwani who Plaintiffs knew, and many other whistle-blowers in these matters, turned up dead under strange circumstances. Plaintiffs has received ongoing death threats for his help to federal investigations in the larger organized crime investigation relative to this matter. See the list of over 120 dead victims, lower down in this document, 1/3 of whom worked with Plaintiffs and were threatened in advance of their deaths.

- REVENUE BLOCKADES AND INTERNET INCOME RE-DIRECTION:

Paypal (A DNC-biased operation owned and operated by White House political campaign financiers) and other on-line payments for on-line sales by Plaintiffs are de-platformed, delayed, hidden, or re-directed in order to terminate income potential for target who competed with the attackers interests and holdings. This further denied Plaintiffs income. As a test, Plaintiffs built an online store with hundreds of thousands of products and marketed it globally. Trackers, placed by Plaintiffs technicians, on servers, discovered that Paypal and an outside "Virginia-based system" were DNS and payment re-directed all traffic away from the store so that Plaintiffs received no traffic and no income. In DNS redirection, "website spoofing" sends target Plaintiffs websites to dead ends where no sales orders or customer inquiries actually get back to the target. These internet revenue activity manipulations are conducted using outside covert servers operated by the attackers and revealed in the Snowden Leaks. All commercial storefronts and on-line sales attempts by target Plaintiffs, had their sites hidden, or search engine de-linked by a massively resourced facility located in Virginia, Texas or Palo Alto, California in order to terminate revenue potentials for the Plaintiffs.

- TROLL FARM DEPLOYMENTS:

Contracted trolls, shills, botnets and synth-blog deployments are deployed to place defamatory statements and disinformation about Plaintiffs in front of 7.5 billion people around the world on the internet in order to seek to damage their federal testimony credibility by a massively resourced facility. Some of these troll farms were uncovered in Russia, Ukraine, Israel and Brazil. Renown author Farrow writes about this technique in his book: "*Catch And Kill*".

- FUSION GPS, MEDIA MATTERS BRAND "KILL" CONTRACTS:

Campaign finance dirty tricks contractors were hired by campaign financiers to attack the friends and family members of the target Plaintiffs in order to create low morale for the target Plaintiffs psyche and motivation.

- MANUAL SEARCH ENGINE LOCK-IN ATTACKS:

In one case covert political partner: Google, transferred large sums of cash to dirty tricks contractors and then manually locked the media portion of the attacks into the top lines

of the top pages of all Google searches globally, for years, with hidden embedded codes in the links and web-pages which multiplied the attacks on Plaintiffs by many magnitudes.

- U.S. PATENT OFFICE MANIPULATION TO BLOCKADE REVENUE:

Covert Cartel financier: Google, placed Google's lawyer: Michelle Lee, in charge of the U.S. Patent Office and she, in turn, stacked all of the U.S. Patent Office IPR and ALICE review boards and offices with Google-supporting employees in order to rig the U.S. Patent Office to protect Google from being prosecuted for the vast patent thefts that Google engages in. Google has hundreds of patent lawsuits for technology theft and a number of those lawsuits refer to Google's operations as "Racketeering", "Monopolistic Cartel" and "Government Coup-like" behaviors. Thousands of articles and investigations detail the fact that Google, "essentially" ran the Obama White House and provided over 80% of the key White House staff. A conflict-of-interest unlike any in American history. Google's investors personally told Plaintiffs they would "kill him". Google and the Obama Administration were "the same entity". Plaintiffs testified in the review that got Michelle Lee terminated and uncovered a tactical political and social warfare group inside Google who were financed by Federal and State funds. For additional proof, SEE THE VIDEO at:

http://focus-book.com/public/The_Battle_to_Save_Inventing.m4v

or

http://newsplus007.com/public/The_Battle_to_Save_Inventing.m4v

Silicon Valley has taken over the U.S. Patent Office with lobbyists and influence payments. Per Randy Landreneau, the President the U.S. Inventor guild: "*Independence Day is that special time when we Americans remember and celebrate our country's freedom. While it may mean different things to many people, suffice to say, it is the reason we are Free, we have Liberty.* Founder, writer, statesman and inventor Benjamin Franklin wrote, "On Historical occasions, Questions of Right and Wrong, Justice and Injustice, will naturally arise." Here, on this honored day, we take a quick look at Invention and our Independence. Towards the beginning of the Declaration of Independence, whose principal author was our eventual third president, Thomas Jefferson, the very purpose of our Founders' quest was established. It is stated: "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness." These were men and women of incredible vision, of intense courage, and they succeeded in accomplishing their seemingly insurmountable task, rising up and defeating perhaps the most powerful global Empire of the time, Great Britain. The United States of America eventually became the beacon of hope and individual freedom around the world. People from virtually every land came to this

country to seek the American Dream, which ideals include individual and property rights, religious freedom, liberty, equality and the opportunity for upward mobility, achieved through dedication and hard work. One of the reasons America became the world leader in nearly all categories is due, in no small part, to how our Founders recognized and encouraged invention and innovation. They understood what builders, creators and designers could mean to a small, fledgling country with such a noble purpose. After declaring our independence and fighting a long and bloody war to establish it, our Founders gathered once again in Philadelphia for the Constitutional Convention to form a government that would embody those ideals embodied in our Declaration of Independence. The Father of our Constitution and eventual fourth President, James Madison wrote, in Federalist 43 (January 23, 1788): "A power 'to promote the progress of science and useful arts, by securing, for a limited time, to authors and inventors, the exclusive right to their respective writings and discoveries.' "The utility of this power will scarcely be questioned. The copyright of authors has been solemnly adjudged, in Great Britain, to be a right of common law. The right to useful inventions seems with equal reason to belong to the inventors. The public good fully coincides in both cases with the claims of individuals. The States cannot separately make effectual provisions for either of the cases, and most of them have anticipated the decision of this point, by laws passed at the instance of Congress." Thus, within our U.S. Constitution is that short item, located in Article I Section 8 as Clause 8: "To promote the Progress of Science and useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to their respective Writings and Discoveries." That clause is, in reality, a significant and powerful part of the American Dream, allowing people from all walks of life who have a bright and useful idea to capitalize on their innovations and creations. For more than two centuries that which our Founders established for inventors and patent holders held true, for the most part. However, the biggest blow to true Independence for Inventors came with passage of the America Invents Act of 2011 (AIA). Due to ambiguous language and loopholes in that piece of legislation, multinational conglomerates and Big Tech have all but wiped out what our Founders had set up, which they hoped would endure - patent holder property rights. When any form of poor legislation is passed and enacted we are reminded of what Samuel Adams, Sons of Liberty founder and a leader of the Boston Tea Party, said; "The grand end of civil government, from the very nature of its institution, is for the support, protection, and defense of those very rights; the principal of which, as is before observed, are Life, Liberty, and Property."

Indeed, Jefferson states it quite succinctly, "Our legislators are not sufficiently apprized of the rightful limits of their power; that their true office is to declare and enforce only our natural rights and duties, and to take none of them from us." Madison adds to that, "A Government is instituted to protect property of every sort...This being the end of government, that alone is a just government, which impartially secures to every man,

whatever is his own.” As we celebrate Independence Day, and all that our Founders provided for our great country, we at US Inventor are working to correct the wrongs and injustices that have befallen inventors, particularly since the AIA was enacted, by revitalizing the spirit of our Declaration of Independence (“...Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.”) through restoration of patent rights and protection as guaranteed in Article I Section 8 Clause 8 of our United States Constitution (“securing, for a limited time, to ... inventors, the exclusive right to their respective ... discoveries.”). We will not rest until the rights of inventors have been restored. We're gaining ground, but our enemies are powerful. We need your help in forwarding our cause and becoming involved when it matters. This isn't just for us, it's for our children, our grandchildren, and the future existence of the American Dream.”

Silicon Valley oligarchs have spent billions of dollars to put their people inside the United States Patent Office and to manipulate patent rulings in order to harm WITNESS and his peers for the purposes of: 1.) cheating instead of competing by stealing technologies and not paying for them and, 2.) operating reprisal, vendetta, retaliation campaigns against competitors and political enemies.

- HONEY-TRAPS:

“Honeytraps” and moles were employed by the attackers. In this tactic, people who covertly worked for the attackers were employed to approach the “target” in order to spy on and misdirect the subject. Match.com and other dating sites are owned by famous politicians and election campaign financier involved with Jeffrey Epstein and those sites were used to source girls for Epstein and Honey-traps to lure political adversaries.

- FAKE NEWS TABLOID EMPIRE CREATED JUST FOR DEFAMATION ATTACKS:

Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media, Snopes, SPLC and other hired media assassins were retained to produce "hatchet job" character assassination articles about Plaintiffs. Then those articles were faxed, mailed and emailed to Kaiser Permanente and investors with a note saying: "You don't want to have anything to do with this person, do you..?" in order to get Plaintiffs fired from their job and get Plaintiffs loans or financing pulled. The attackers use their round one attack media, that they authored, to create a round two second wave attack designed to end Plaintiffs life status via economic warfare.

- HOUSING BLOCKADES:

Mortgage and rental applications had red flags added to them in databases to prevent the targets from getting homes or apartments.

- HACKING OF PLAINTIFFS DEVICES:

Krebs On Security, Wired, Ars Technica, The Wall Street Journal and most major IT publications have reported that hundreds of spy "back-doors" have been found on every

Intel, AMD, Apple, Xfinity, Cisco, Microsoft, Juniper Networks motherboard, chip-set and hardware component set. This means that the attackers used a "key" code can open any of Plaintiffs computer, server, router, cloud-network or other network connected device and read every file, photo, video, your calendar and email on devices at any time from any location on Earth. This has been widely reported on by Glenn Greenwald, Edward Snowden, Scahill, Cheryl K of CBS News and others. Plaintiffs was hacked at least 10 times. In a number of instances, people, who Plaintiffs had been communicating with online, were mysteriously contacted by a third party who sent them the Gizmodo attack article or phoned them with warnings to avoid Plaintiffs. These kinds of Man-In-The-Middle interceptions would only have been possible from hacking and MITM surveillance tactics.

- TECH INDUSTRY BLACK-LIST COORDINATION:

McCarthy-Era "Black-lists" were created and employed against target Plaintiffs who competed with Obama Administration executives and their campaign financiers to prevent them from getting funding and future employment. This White House process is known as "RatFucking", a tactic that is documented in a variety of published reports and on Wikipedia. Using Gust, Google Docs, Dropbox and secret meetings, a black-list has been maintained to attack Plaintiffs for whistle-blowing.

- HUD AND USDA MORTGAGE RIGHTS BLOCKADES:

The housing rights of Plaintiffs were stalled in reprisal. Public records show that tens of thousands of other Plaintiffs were moved ahead of Plaintiffs even though Plaintiffs validation metrics exceeded those of almost every other Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs was "black-listed".

- Additional 'dirty tricks' efforts were used per:
<https://www.justice-integrity.org/634-intelligence-agencies-use-social-media-for-dirty-tricks-propaganda-new-report>
- <https://www.commondreams.org/news/2014/02/07/gchqs-dirty-tricks-revealed-false-flags-virus-attacks-and-honey-traps>
- <https://theintercept.com/2014/02/24/jtrig-manipulation/>
- https://www.salon.com/2011/02/11/threats_against_glenn_greenwald_wikileaks/
- <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2013/jun/24/surveillance-us-national-security>
- <https://www.straight.com/news/482846/government-trolls-use-psychology-based-influence-techniques-social-media>
- http://federal-report.com/public/The_Political_Reprisal_Vendetta_Playbook.pdf
- <https://thedailybanter.com/2014/02/05/greenwalds-latest-snowden-revelation-the-british-gchq-is-waging-war-on-hackers/>
- <https://www.cia.gov/library/readingroom/docs/CIA-RDP89-01258R000100010002-4.pdf>
- ...and thousands of additional reports proving the attacker assertions.

Claims for Relief

First Claim for Relief: Administrative Procedure Act

- WITNESS repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs above
- GOVT's final agency action denying WITNESS applications exceeded statutory authority, was not in accordance with law and was arbitrary and capricious.
- WITNESS has been directly harmed and aggrieved by these final, illegal, arbitrary and capricious agency actions, and by GOVT's failure to comply with applicable statutes and regulations in the consideration of WITNESS applications.
- WITNESS has exhausted all administrative remedies.
- Alternatively, such exhaustion would be futile as GOVT has fixed the funding programs to benefit government cronies such that there are no circumstances under which WITNESS applications would ever be approved by any agency.

Second Claim for Relief: Whistle-Blower protection Act

- WITNESS repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs above
- GOVT's final agency action denying WITNESS applications exceeded its statutory authority, was not in accordance with law, was operated as revenge, reprisal, retaliation for whistle-blowing and was arbitrary and capricious.
- WITNESS has been directly harmed and aggrieved by GOVT's final, illegal, arbitrary and capricious agency actions and by its failure to comply with applicable statutes and regulations in consideration of his funding, rights and benefits applications.
- WITNESS has exhausted all administrative remedies.
- Alternatively, such exhaustion would be futile as GOVT has fixed the funding programs to benefit government cronies such that there are no circumstances under which WITNESS applications would ever be approved by any agency.

Third Claim for Relief: Constitutional Rights Violations

- WITNESS repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs above.
- GOVT's final agency action refusing to consider WITNESS applications exceeded its statutory authority, was not in accordance with law and was arbitrary and capricious.
- WITNESS has been directly harmed and aggrieved by GOVT's final, illegal, arbitrary and capricious agency action and by its failure to comply with applicable statutes and regulations in consideration of Witnesses SSA, HUD, DOE, FBI and other agency funds applications, which was a deliberate strategy to benefit government crony companies by shrinking the pool of potential recipients.
- WITNESS has exhausted all administrative and traditional remedies.
- Alternatively, such exhaustion would be futile as GOVT has fixed the funding programs to benefit government cronies such that there are no circumstances under which WITNESS applications would ever be approved by any agency.
- This is to confirm that Complainants rights were violated by U.S. Government contractors and employees including: - Freedoms of speech, religion, assembly, The right to petition the government, The right to procedural due process, Freedom from discrimination for protected classes (sex, race, and national origin)
- Complainant was mistreated based on characteristics such as race, gender, religion, or disability including: Unreasonable searches and seizures of electronic data, Cruel and unusual punishment via dirty tricks attacks, Losing a job or being passed over for a

promotion due to discrimination, Abuse by a public official, Discrimination based on a superficial quality or belief, Violating federal laws by withholding someone's welfare benefits, Termination of employment for exercising a protected right such as voting; Violating due process and expelling or suspending a person for exercising their rights; Discriminatory, harmful, and unequal treatment of Complainant because of their color, race, age, gender, disability status, and sexual orientation.

- According to 42 U.S. Code § 1983, violations of civil rights typically involve an individual who is acting from a position of governmental power or "under the color of the law" enforcing a decree. Individuals acting under governmental authority can also be government officials when they're off-duty or independent contractors. The conduct of specific private organizations, individuals, and entities can be treated as "state action" if the state validated, encouraged, or caused the action. Such circumstances are common when private entities are contracted to take over functions that are traditionally considered state responsibilities. Examples include: Private citizen hired to administer primary or general elections; Organizations contracted to maintain and operate specific government services; government staff using their email accounts and computers at home; government staff working for a competitor or adversary of Complainant during or right after their work at their government job..

Relief Requested

WHEREFORE WITNESS requests the following relief.

A. A declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B) that the denial of WITNESS's applications was unlawful, and compensatory and injunctive relief directing GOVT to approve same.

B. A declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B) that the denial of WITNESS'S associated organization applications was unlawful, and compensatory and injunctive relief directing GOVT to approve same.

C. Actual damages claimed for the acts alleged under the basis for these amounts per Exhibits, including any punitive or exemplary damages claimed, in the precedent amounts per past related cases, per the reasons claimed herein that WITNESS is entitled to in actual and punitive money damages.

D. Such costs and attorney fees as WITNESS may be entitled to under law.

E. WITNESS is also making claims for witness fees, informant fees, rewards, whistleblower fees, legal fees and damages in relation this matter, from his interaction with government agencies.

F. Such other relief as this Court deems just.

Motion For Appointment Of Counsel

Defendants have attacked Plaintiff economically in order to prevent Plaintiff from affording a law firm for this claim, Plaintiff hereby makes a written motion asking the court to appoint an attorney for Plaintiff. The Court has copies of Plaintiff's finances, and the vast number of attempts to find a lawyer who does not have a conflict-of-interest or a pro-bono ability. The Court should appoint a lawyer for Plaintiff. The Court should appoint an attorney who has indicated a willingness to accept such cases on a pro bono basis to represent per 28 U.S.C. §191. Claimant demands legal counsel be provided by the Court, for claimant, because his rights to fair legal representation, his civil rights and his Constitutional rights have been blockaded by the Defendants reprisal attacks, in violation of the law.

Verification

I am the the plaintiff in this action.

I have read the forgoing complaint, and verify and declare that its factual allegations are true, except to those matters stated on information and belief and as to those matters I believe them to be true to the best of my knowledge.

WITNESS2021B

_____ Date: _____

By:

Its:

Plaintiff Testimony

Famous political figures, spent many evenings at victim's house, were friends with victim, worked with victim, confided in victim, and provided victim with the highest level knowledge and experience in public policy operations. In fact, when *Witness2021B* ran for Mayor of his City, it was actually a sting operation which indicted two other well-known political figures and exposed a political official-focused sex ring.

Some of those figures informed *Witness2021B* of their organized crime scheme, the participants and the operators. They invited *Witness2021B* to become part of their scheme.

As a White House and City Hall commended Federal patent-awarded inventor/CEO, *Witness2021B* has been government, and network newscast, documented as the first to invent, launch, operate and deliver the companies and technology that Google, Facebook and Netflix copied to create their, later, operations. Google, Facebook and Netflix, Tesla executives and VC's also happen to be the organizing, financing and beneficiary partners in the market manipulation scheme in this case. They have all known *Witness2021B* since college. Thus, *Witness2021B* had the opportunity to see them form their "*Silicon Valley Cartel*", **collude, conspire** and **organize against the government, the public and industry** in a manner which the tech oligarchs describe in their own emails as being based on "**...the Italian Mafia!**". *Indeed, The Silicon Valley Tech oligarchs have formed a collusion-based, law-violating, tax-evading, government-manipulating crime empire protected by famous politicians, whom they bribe!*

Witness2021B has been covertly reporting to *GAO, FBI, FTC, SEC, FEC, IG OSC, California Fair Political Practices officials and Senators and others* for decades.

His investigation work highlights include:

1974 – Advocated for and helped realize the creation of Dan Lowenstein's California Fair Political Practices Commission and helped conduct investigations for CFPC and task force groups. Peers included Paul Kangas, Jack Palladino, Sam Brown, Melvin Belli's investigators.

1978 - Undercover White-Collar Crime & Corruption Sting Operative

1988 - Placed in top percentile in Federal OPM Criminal Investigator 1811-C national test. Received first CIA solicitation.

1990 - American Society For Industrial Security - active coordinator

1990 - International Narcotic Enforcement Officers Association - active coordinator

1991 - California Association of Licensed Investigators - active coordinator

2000 - California Department of Consumer Affairs Investigator - active coordinator

2000-2008 - Investigative Consultant to Congressional and journalism organizations

2009- 2018 - Assisted agencies in one of the largest anti-corruption projects in the last decade

- Recipient of White House and Congressional Commendations and Federal Grants
- Instrumental in creating multiple federal laws and legal precedents
- Designed key sting-operation protocols
- Created first digital crowd-sourced law enforcement technologies
- Received multiple federal patents on IC and LE technologies
- Multiple high-profile white-collar case wrap-ups

His cases included notorious subjects that most people have seen in the news headlines. Lately, by partnering with the messaging, media outreach, investigations and demands of <https://socialsecurityworks.org> , <https://seniorsleague.org> , AARP, ICIJ and other related organizations, and using [Streisand Effect amplifiers](#), torrents and mass social media processing, This applicant, and his peers were able to get the heads of the Social Security Administration, the heads of The U.S. Government and the Attorney General fired from their jobs for corruption and abuse of public funds.

Nobody should tolerate political bullies that collude to abuse the public! Most members of the public, the non-corporate news media and community groups know that this level of corruption exists. You can see indisputable proof of these assertions in the documentary film:

http://focus-book.com/public/HOW_POLITICAL_CORRUPTION_WORKS.m4v

http://newsplus007.com/public/HOW_POLITICAL_CORRUPTION_WORKS.m4v

... and at most streaming video outlets.

Due to the effectiveness of Applicant's associates anti-corruption efforts, public officials have engaged in reprisal/retaliation attacks on Applicant and those officials engaged in felonies by doing that.

In the Solyndra/Silion Valley Cartel matter, *Witness2021B* did "too good a job" and broke up one of the largest corruption matters in America with roots that ran all the way to the Oval Office. The FBI raid on Solyndra was only the tip of the iceberg. Energy and Media Industry crimes like these have a SIX TRILLION DOLLAR upside if the crooks can pull them off.

The matter illuminated an organized crime scheme, in violation of RICO, anti-trust and other laws, between major public officials, Silicon Valley tech oligarchs and their operatives. Mr. Rusco and his investigation team at the United States Government Accountability Office (<http://www.gao.gov>) spent significant time interviewing the witness. GAO and Congress then published a series of reports condemning the actions of the U.S. Department of Energy and their manipulations of taxpayer funds. Congressional staff asked *Witness2021B* to attempt a new kind of activist public-interest lawsuit against The U.S. Government and the individual executives of the GOVT. The litigation was won by

Witness2021B and his investors and they received the remand they sued for. The case was also “won” in that it achieved it’s goals to make history, set new legal precedents, put the corruption process on public record and prove that *Witness2021B* HAD been targeted for payback by government officials “infected with corruption”. (<http://www.pacer.gov>)

The United States Congress had awarded *Witness2021B*, and his team, a commendation and a multi-million dollar federal contract to build America’s energy “back-up” plan should the Middle East oil interests ‘go sideways’, as they, indeed, have.

Documents, NDA’s, emails and other evidence has proven that *Witness2021B* has had a relationship with the Department of Energy, and their labs, since, at least 2000. FBI agents Richard Auerbach, Peter D. Cair, Patricia Rich, Charles Brennan and William Wadman should be able to provide information about the oldest FBI contacts. Victim even had connections with local FBI Hero Mark Felt (known as ‘Deep Throat’ in the Watergate case) who lived near him. Reporters Ed Montgomery, Leslie Wayne, Carol Leoning and other well-known reporters have records which will verify some of the earliest investigation work by *Witness2021B*. Recent FBI discussions are documented in the FBI building logs. The Federal report entitled: ***San Francisco’s Corruption Culture*** (Available as an additional attachment document) goes into great detail about the organized political crime activities in the region.

Witness2021B and his engineering team, as early as 2005, had informed the Department of Energy, in writing that, as Lithium ion batteries age, the ‘dendrite defects’ in their internal chemistry cause the batteries to constantly increase their tendency to blow up. This was proven via x-ray analysis of lithium ion batteries, particularly those from Panasonic that are used by Tesla Motors. This data was intentionally covered up by White House and Department of Energy executives because they wanted those “trillions” of dollars of profits in their pockets from the *fabled* Afghanistan mining fields.

External forces, moisture, ambient energy waves and other factors, increase their tendency to self-ignite. Department of Energy Secretary's of Energy, their staff, White House and CIA executives have been proven, via their public stock brokerage records, to own lithium ion battery companies and child labor rare earth mining interests.

That is why they cover up the dangers of toxic lithium ion (the burning smoke of which causes cancer, brain and fetus damage) and sabotage efforts to market fuel cells, ultra caps, nicad batteries and competing alternative energy storage: in violation of anti-trust laws.

Secretary of Energy Jennifer Granholm’s Proterra Buses are now blowing up, Tesla is known for blowing up, Bolt’s are now blowing up. Ener1 batteries destroyed millions of dollars of Fisker’s when they got wet... The U.S. Government has hundreds of reports proving this to be a fact.

AS LITHIUM ION BATTERIES AGE, THE DENDRITE DEFECTS IN THEIR INTERNAL CHEMISTRY CAUSE THE BATTERIES TO CONSTANTLY INCREASE THEIR TENDENCY TO BLOW UP:

EXTERNAL FORCES, MOISTURE, AMBIENT ENERGY WAVES AND OTHER FACTORS, INCREASE THEIR TENDENCY TO SELF-IGNITE.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY SECRETARY'S OF ENERGY, THEIR STAFF, WHITE HOUSE AND CIA EXECUTIVES HAVE BEEN PROVEN, VIA THEIR PUBLIC STOCK BROKERAGE RECORDS TO OWN LITHIUM ION BATTERY COMPANIES AND MINING INTERESTS.

THAT IS WHY THEY COVER UP THE DANGERS OF LITHIUM ION AND SABOTAGE EFFORTS TO MARKET FUEL CELLS, ULTRA CAPS, NICAD BATTERIES AND ALTERNATIVE ENERGY STORAGE.



Witness2021B asks to end this cover up that allows corrupt politicians to profiteer on the death of Americans!

The United States Patent Office had also awarded *Witness2021B* one of the historically largest set of patents on a new, entirely produced-in-the-USA, energy technology per <http://www.uspto.gov>

These technologies competed with the corrupt overseas rare earth mining schemes owned by California Senators, Department of Energy Executives, White House staff and the Silicon Valley tech Cartel.

Witness2021B has been a provider, advisor and/or advocate in almost every major current federal case against the Silicon Valley Cartel since the federal "no poaching" lawsuit against the Cartel. (Case #'s **11-cv-2509** and **5:2011cv02509** and **1:10-cv-01629** and **1:10-cv-02220**). These cases, prove that the Silicon Valley Cartel colludes to harm the public and monopolize industries.

State-sponsored "hit-jobs" were ordered, operated and financed by government officials in order to engage in revenge, reprisal and vendetta, against *Witness2021B* because of his help to Federal investigators and Congress in these investigations. Those attacks fully incapacitated the victim. In his public life, he has spent decades proving that nothing is "impossible" and that one is "only limited by their imagination" per his reference letters from clients and employers. Over the course of his work, he has assembled a global force of some of the world's top engineering, science, physics, programming, operations and logistics experts. He, and his peers, can respond instantly for disaster relief, market shifts and new global solutions demands. Solving global challenges with novel new innovations and conceptual-blockbusting program management.

His career has been focused on solving the world's greatest challenges. He has received awards, commendations, issued federal patents and industry acclaim for his networking, transportation, housing, medical, communications, energy and other technologies that he invented, built and marketed globally. Millions of user around the world deploy technologies first created, produced and patent-awarded to him.

His hundreds of letters of reference are from the White House, Mayor's, Fortune 500 CEO's and other well known leaders. He is renown for his life-time provision of service to his community and his nation.

His work stopped in 2008 when the attacks began. Many of the attacking operatives have been identified by name and **the FBI has been asked to interview them and confirm who was paying and directing them.** White House, Congressional and co-worker whistle-blowers, as well as recent Chinese, Russian and Syrian hacks have also cross-confirmed the attackers and their directives.

The victim has, for years, filed all of the proper forms, gone "through proper channels" and inquired as to next-steps from officials at the highest levels. For his efforts he has been rewarded with cover-ups, lies, obfuscation, stone-walling and avoidance. The victim now has one of America's largest collections of "Finger-Pointing" letters from government agencies and officials, each correspondence, essentially, saying: "Oh, it's not us go try DOJ or...(FBI, SEC, GAO..(Insert agency name here)...". No government body will step up to resolve the issue or allow their IG to investigate, or let "302 reports" get written for interviews that never happen. It is the RUN-AROUND on an epic scale.

Today, in San Francisco, arrested, indicted City Hall public officials, some of whom *Witness2021B* helped uncover, get paid \$44,000.00 per month by the government even though they are arrested criminals. San Francisco also pays homeless people \$60,000.00 per year to sleep in a tent in front of City Hall. Today, in Washington DC, arrested, indicted Congress-people, some of whom *Witness2021B* helped uncover, get paid \$150,000.00 per year by the government even though they are arrested criminals.

Crony Capitalism Corruption Goes High Tech

After a year of waiting and being assured that their loan application was complete and good, XP Vehicles received a letter in August stating simply that their loan application under the Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing (ATVM) Program had been rejected. No reasons were given in the letter and only after several attempts at phoning the ATVM office were they able to receive the reasons orally. Most were not even applicable to the loan application and did not reflect what was included in the submission or what, in fact, was available for review, clearly visible on our website and in the extensive media about our company.

The rejection appears to have been driven by political and competitive market interests and not technical or innovative valuation.

The XP Vehicles car goes an almost unlimited range via hot-swap cartridges, costs less than \$20,000, uses no gasoline, is easy to repair and build, saves lives better than any other car, is faster than competing solutions, does not require an extension cord, uses electricity and creates green jobs. The factory can be built quickly and at very low cost and, in fact, partially already exists via our manufacturing partners. The company already has thousands of customers lined up who want to buy our unique and very “green” car. The company hand delivered letters from those customers to the DOE ATVM office in Washington DC in 2008. The company currently has no significant debt and the company leaders have been contributing their time and resources for many years based on positive feedback received repeatedly by Department of Energy (DOE) loan reviewers and staff. The company won a semi-finalist position in the *Forbes: America’s Most Promising Companies* contest.

Certainly company officials are not claiming that their vehicles will solve all of our energy problems. However with each car that is sold, we will help reduce our reliance on imported fuel by putting a vehicle on the road that uses absolutely no gasoline. This vehicle is truly using “advanced technology” – a major goal of this loan program. In addition, with a company that has no current debt, several patents, and thousands of interested customers, the company asserts that DOE’s financial risk in investing in our technology would be extremely low.

We would understand a rejection of this loan application if legitimate reasons were given, but they were not. One of the reasons given was that our car does not use E85 gasoline. No, this car uses NO gasoline which we thought is a goal our country should want to attain. Another reason was that we were not making millions of cars. Our marketing plan did not support that nor did the funding levels we requested, AT THIS STAGE. But we stated that we hoped to grow to make as many cars as Ford, GM or any other competitor but that we intended to grow, “in stages” as any smart business would. DOE also stated that XP was not planning to sell cars to the government which is 100% false and clearly stated in our application that the core sales plan of the company is based on government fleet sales. We cannot help but wonder if DOE even read the application. Finally, and another example of a failure to read the application, was that DOE asserted our factory cost estimates were too low because the metal body fabrication systems were not calculated high enough. XP Vehicles use no metal fabrication in its bodies.

XP Vehicles sent a follow up letter to Energy Secretary Steven Chu with the following questions that still remain unanswered and unexplained:

“

1. DOE reviewers never even talked to the founder, inventor, engineers, project leads or primary contractors to obtain additional information. We even were told over and over that everything in our application was complete and that nothing was needed. “Everything is on track” was an expression repeated to the company by DOE reviewers. This is despite the fact that the reasons given for our rejection did not reflect the technology being used and therefore the ATVM reviewers did not understand our concept and product. Why was no one at XP Vehicles contacted? Why was the staff at DOE during the course of the year positive about the outcome and never asked for additional information?
2. Rejection comments supplied by Chris Foster of DOE and third party press seem to be unrelated to the business of the company and have no foundation in fact. Why is that?

3. One of the main reasons given for the rejection was the fact that our vehicles do not use E85 gasoline. If that was true, why did competitors Tesla & Nissan get approved funding? Their vehicles also do not use E85. Additionally, in reviewing the transcripts of the two Public Meetings held to brief stakeholders on the loan program, **not one DOE speaker stated that E85 was a required component.**
4. While it is true that we do not wish to use carcinogenic gasoline, (Here in California we have a sticker on every gas pump that warns that filling your tank is likely to expose you to cancer), at no point was E85 gasoline ever mentioned, discussed, commented on or requested. In fact the topic was particularly avoided by DOE staff. Why not?
5. Another rejection point was that we were not planning to make enough cars. This is false. The company would like to build and sell more cars than any other car company. We are fully willing to produce millions of vehicles if provided with the appropriate funding as it has quantified millions of fleet buyers for its vehicles. However, no DOE entity ever asked us to adjust, discuss or amend our numbers and we were more than willing to adjust those numbers if anyone had even bothered to ask. One must start out with small steps and we planned to ramp up to a massive number over time. To suggest that one do otherwise would demonstrate questionable judgment. What is the validity of this comment by the reviewers based on?
6. We provided \$100 million+ of asset collateral opportunity for only a \$40M loan. To repeat, we provided over TWICE the collateral of the value of the loan. How is this not as secure of a structure as any of the other applicants?
7. We had selected a primary, secondary and additional back-up factory buildings that DOE said would be fully NEPA compliant yet Tesla had no building, planned to build a structure which was not possible to meet Section 136 parameters in time, still does not have a building yet they received funding. How did that happen if the Section 136 rules required a NEPA compliant building upon application filing?
8. We were told that we were rejected because we were not planning to sell cars to the government. **This is 100% false.** The core sales plan of the company is based on government and commercial fleet sales. Why did your reviewers say this?
9. Additionally we were told that electric motors and batteries were considered by the reviewers to be too futuristic of a technology and not developed for commercial use even though they have been in use in over 40 industries for over 20 years, including by NASA. What is the rationale for this argument?
10. Almost every other part of the XP car was to be purchased from existing commercial sources with multiple points of supply so it is not possible to see how a reviewer might think the vehicle had any significant technical acquisition hurdles. Why does DOE assume that the following companies with whom we would be contracting could not perform the following responsibilities:

- 10.a. Deloitte & Touche to provide auditing and reporting of financial data.
 - 10.b. Autodesk or Microsoft to deliver the process and design software.
 - 10.c. NEC, Intel or the other leading electronics companies in the world to build our controllers.
 - 10.d. Roush Automotive, one of the most successful automobile electronics groups in the world, to build the electronic module.
 - 10.e. US National Lab system to solder a box together.
 - 10.f. Over 100 other major supplier companies that have been building parts for the auto, aerospace and industry for decades to deliver the component parts for our vehicles.
11. The primary purpose of this loan program, XP was told by its authors, was to develop advanced technology and further reduce our dependence on gasoline. The XP Vehicles car uses no gasoline and gets over 125 miles per battery charge. How is this not a direct conflict with the precepts of the Section 136 law?
 12. XP was also told that its factory cost was too low because the metal body fabrication systems were not calculated high enough but the reviewers apparently did not even pay attention to the fact that XP uses no metal fabrication in its body. What was the rationale in making such an erroneous comment?
 13. Reviewers also stated that the car was a "hydrogen car" which it is not. It is an electric car. Why did they say that?
 14. In what ways were the following documents actually reviewed? The ATVM office stated that they "lost our documents" twice. Why?

Documents XP vehicles submitted to DOE:

- Detailed financials that cost the company almost \$200,000 to prepare;
- Metrics that demonstrated that the XP car can save millions of lives per year and that it was safer than any vehicle;
- Metrics that demonstrate that a gasoline/hybrid vehicle is dangerously carcinogenic when filled at a gas station compared to an XP Vehicle;
- Engineering and IP metrics that beat every competitor on price, range, safety, TOC, efficiency, toxic safety and hundreds of other points;
- Examples of work from \$3M of cash and person-hours previously invested by founders, DOE & partners;
- Lists of top auto and aerospace corporate partners, staff and resources, on stand-by, equaling thousands of people in all groups combined;
- Validation of a deep team of core staff that have been developing the project and parts of the project for 3-15 years part time;
- Samples of extensive international positive press coverage;

- Proof of a market opening timed with tax and national imperative incentives that created a dramatic window for success;
- Proof that XP was the lowest overhead car company in the market which equates to the best chance for profit and return funds;
- Samples of an in-house created online process management architecture;
- CAD designs, engineering plans and manufacturing plans;
- A detailed website;
- A detailed path to \$1.5B within 5 years or less from a less than \$100M investment;
- Examples of dozens of prototypes as seen in the photograph on the BUILDS page of our website;
- Numerous patents and issued trademarks;
- Large pending portfolio with third party valuation and validation reports valuing IP at over \$100M;
- People: Senior Scientists, Chemists & Engineers from Top University & Federal Labs, including staff that has built and delivered millions of vehicles to the consumer market;
- Partners: Federal, University, Fortune 500, Private Research Organizations;
- Written Customer inquiries from a massive national customer base of qualified retail leads and 1.2M of commercial unit opportunities equaling a \$1.5B+ opportunity. Also submitted an extensive package of letters from each customer candidate;
- Contracts: Federal Contract fully executed and MOU's executed;
- Awards/Commendations: Congress, DARPA;
- Research Data: Over 200+ technical research documents & 15+ years of research;
- Know How: Over 22,000+ man hours of development;
- Market data, studies and plans;
- Over 100+ documents of industry study;
- Unique access to Federal Labs & leased facility options;
- and other supporting materials.

After several more attempts at receiving more clarification from the ATVM office, the company received a follow up letter of explanation for the rejection. While more explanatory than the first, the reasons still are very questionable and the process greatly lacking in transparency. Below are additional questions that the company is raising, along with the ones above that still have not been answered.



In the October 23, 2009 follow up letter, Mr. Lachlan Seward states that the XP Vehicles loan application was deemed Substantially Complete on November 10, 2009. **This is completely false** as XP Vehicles received a letter on December 31, 2008 states that the application was substantially complete.



Department of Energy
Washington, DC 20585

December 31, 2008

The Department of Energy (DOE) has determined that your application for the ATVM loan program is substantially complete.

XP Vehicles, Inc
601 Van Ness Avenue, Suite E3613
San Francisco, CA 94102

The Department of Energy (DOE) has determined that your application for the ATVM loan program is substantially complete. Accordingly your application will now be reviewed to determine whether you are an Eligible Applicant as set forth in Section 611.100 of the Interim Final Rule (IFR) and your proposed project is an eligible project as defined in Section 611.2 of the IFR. DOE will advise you if it needs additional information as it continues the application review process. We are committed to processing your application as quickly and responsibly as possible.

Since your application has been reviewed by DOE and is substantially complete, we will now proceed to the next stage in the review process. To enable DOE to more efficiently proceed with its review, please submit your application (with any changes included to reach the substantially complete level) in electronic form. Please send your documents to Brent.Peterson@hq.doe.gov in their original format (i.e., as Word and/or Excel files). Do not submit PDF files for this review.

Additionally, the NEPA for XP Vehicles had been reviewed, edited and approved by DOE National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) staff (Matthew McMillen) at the beginning of 2009



The letter also states that “extensive review” was conducted yet (as mentioned above) not one XP Vehicle company official, engineer, designer, investor, technician or anyone else who had designed and developed the car was contacted by DOE to answer questions and provide more information. It seems incredulous that after conducting an extensive review that DOE would not have at least one question about the application for any of the technical staff, or the founder who flew to the DOE in Washington, DC, twice, and was told by DOE staff, on each occasion, that “no additional information was needed and everything was in hand to finalize the application”.



The first reason given for the rejection was that the proposed vehicle is at a “development stage” and not ready for commercialization. Yet applications that have already been approved, we have been told, have had less plans or hard development data. These awardees also had the same three-year timeframe in their proposals, and one even went into 2013. We also find this contrary to the Administration’s stated goal about electric vehicles. According to a DOE spokesman, the Administration “shares the goal of ensuring that the program (ATVM) is flexible enough to account for the full range of available technologies.”



The second reason was the project’s impact on fuel economy of the US Light Duty Fleet over time was weak. This was never discussed with our team at any point of the process. However, it is surprising to us how a vehicle that is lighter than any other applicant by half, safer than any other applicant by many times and beats the metrics of every other applicants could not have exceeded every applicant on any comparison to Light Duty fleet metrics, a

market that was core to our business plan. Our fleet sales were targeted directly at the Light Duty fleet so we find this reason to be confusing at best.



The third reason cited in the letter was about the use of “advanced fuels.” First of all, at no point did anyone from DOE ask about or discuss with our technical staff our fuel plans. The letter further goes on to say that our use of hydrogen was one of the reasons that our application was being rejected. **Yet hydrogen is non-essential to our vehicle.** The hydrogen tank is rather an optional and stand-by power system for our electric vehicles. Further we fail to see how DOE could state that hydrogen is an “impractical and unproven energy source” in light of the fact that Honda and BMW are already shipping cars using that fuel source. But again, we must reiterate that the use of hydrogen is not an essential component of our vehicles and had DOE asked us about this fuel source, we could have explained that to them.



Finally, the letter states that the XP Vehicles petroleum use reductions were unrealistic. We are most confused about this point as **our car uses absolutely no gasoline.** How could our reductions be unrealistic? Is this not a goal of the Obama Administration?



The company hired Ford Motor Company’s senior systems engineer to validate the final vehicle numbers submitted in the base response and provided numbers in support of that data produced by Sandia National Laboratories. How could those entities have provided numbers which the ATVM office could have interpreted so negatively for a vehicle which weighs less, goes farther and requires less energy storage than any other submitted vehicle in the entire set of applicants to date? How could the ATVM reviewers never even submit a question to the XP technical team about any of these metrics?



Why have none of our FOIA requests been responded to?”

In summation, these clarifying reasons for rejecting the XP Vehicles ATVM loan application are still confusing, not applicable in many cases and unwarranted when considering those applications that have been approved. The listed points appear to have no foundation in facts relative to our design and we again question why there was no communication from DOE with the developers of the vehicle over a year.

Further we have been told that competing larger companies were given much counseling, guidance, feedback and opportunity to “tweak” their applications by DOE. These companies submitted their applications later than XP Vehicles and were awarded funding. Our question is then why did Carol Battershell, DOE Senior Advisor state during the December 1, 2008 Public Meeting that “And that might lead one to believe that applying earlier is better than apply later.” When the program was first announced, that indeed was the guidance given – first come, first served – so scores of smaller, electric car companies and suppliers submitted their applications. Yet the rules changed mid-way through the process to allow larger automotive companies who did not submit their applications first to send them in and now they are being funded.

Finally, we were very disappointed to read in the September 23, 2009 issue of E&E News that Secretary Chu had suggested in June that the Administration was hoping that GM and Chrysler

would be able to participate in the (ATVM) loan program. “There is money there, I wouldn’t say set aside, but let’s just say we are trying to stretch those dollars as far as we can.” This forces us to ask whether these funds are being set aside at the expense and loss of smaller, more advanced technology electric car companies and suppliers who are requesting billions less in guaranteed loans and who are offering more forward-thinking and advanced projects to help us move away from our dependence on oil.

This is only a partial list of the problematic issues that we experienced with the ATVM group at DOE.

Every year since 2000 our research group has checked in with consumer car owners and auto industry suppliers. The bottom line has always been that no consumer electric car market will EVER happen until every consumer can charge their car at their home garage or home apartment parking spot. NOBODY, in any significant numbers, is going to drive many miles to a charging station, wait in line to get to the charger and then wait more than 4 minutes for their car to charge. The current time to charge most electric cars is 40 minutes with all of the fussing around accounted for.

We proposed a hot-swap battery system that any consumer can use, without driving to some special location. A consumer can hot-swap a wheeled battery in less than two minutes.

Senators and government officials don't like that because they bought stock market investments in the ways that don't work. Those White House and Department of Energy officials get bribes and stock market payola from Proterra, Ener1, Tesla and the old school suppliers, so they will not allow non-lithium-ion batteries and they will not allow fuel cell and ultra-capacitors to exist. Those politicians will not allow the only solutions that work to get to market. Here is what we told the Department of Energy and the White House, in writing for the last decade. With revelations like these:

MORAL HAZARD? Fed President Active Trader of Stocks...

Boston Official Who Warned on Real Estate Was Active REIT Trader...

Agency buying bonds of companies that don't seem to need central bank's help...

...it is clear the government officials, especially Department of Energy bosses, don't care about their salaries, they are entirely motivated by their stock market holdings, which compete with the public.

Yes, Department of Energy staff get paid by special interests via stock market insider trading and so those energy executives sabotage any company that competes with their lithium ion battery mining, assembly or marketing payola.

The United States has about 100,000 public chargers, far fewer than Europe and China. It needs 10 times as many, auto experts say, to complete the switch from combustion engine vehicles.

Over the past year, the electric vehicle charging industry has been swept up in a Wall Street gold rush because of growing optimism about electric cars and trucks.

In President Biden’s vision of a green future, half of all new cars sold in 2030 will be electric. But something really basic is standing in the way of that plan: enough outlets to plug in all those cars and trucks.

The country has tens of thousands of public charging stations — the electric car equivalent of gas pumps — with about 110,000 chargers. But energy and auto experts say that number needs to be at least five to 10 times as big to achieve the president’s goal. Building that many will cost tens of billions of dollars, far more than the \$7.5 billion that lawmakers have set aside in the infrastructure bill.

Private investors are pouring hundreds of millions of dollars into building chargers, but the business suffers from a chicken-and-egg problem: Sales of electric cars are not growing fast enough to make charging profitable. It could be years before most charging companies break even, let alone mint big profits like Exxon Mobil and Chevron.

Fast chargers — ones that can fill up an electric car battery in 20 to 40 minutes — cost tens of thousands of dollars but are typically used less than humdrum gas pumps. Yet the auto and energy industries need to build them to reassure people that they won’t be stranded in an electric car with no plug in sight.

“E.V. charging infrastructure is the single biggest barrier to E.V. adoption,” said Asad Hussain, a senior analyst at PitchBook, a research firm. “You talk to anyone who’s on the fence about buying an E.V. and the No. 1 concern that comes to mind is range anxiety.”

The European Union, which is further along in electrifying cars, had nearly 200,000 public charging points last year. China, where electric cars are even more common than in Europe, had more than 800,000 in 2020.

European and Chinese officials have offered better incentives and imposed tougher regulations in part because they want to win a global race to build the cars and trucks of the future. U.S. policies, including the infrastructure bill, have been more modest because most Republicans and some Democrats oppose the regulation and spending needed to quickly ditch fossil fuels.

Soon, even \$7.5 billion won’t be enough to lay the groundwork for the electric age, Nick Nigro, founder of Atlas Public Policy, a consulting and research firm based in Washington, said about the proposed federal spending on charging stations.

“Is it sufficient? No,” he said. “But it gets things going.”

Most drivers today plug in their electric cars at home, and only occasionally use public charging stations. But those stations will be crucial, especially to those who live in apartments and people who

drive long distances.

For years, start-ups, automakers and other companies have been slowly building chargers, mainly in California and other coastal states where most electric cars are sold. These businesses use different strategies to make money, and auto experts say it is not clear which will succeed. The company with the most stations, ChargePoint, sells chargers to individuals, workplaces, stores, condo and apartment buildings, and businesses with fleets of electric vehicles. It collects subscription fees for software that manages the chargers. Tesla offers charging mainly to get people to buy its cars. And others make money by selling electricity to drivers.

Once the poor cousin to the hip business of making sleek electric cars, the charging industry has been swept up in its own gold rush. Venture capital firms poured nearly \$1 billion into charging companies last year, more than the five previous years combined, according to PitchBook. So far in 2021, venture capital investments are up to more than \$550 million.

On Wall Street, publicly traded special purpose acquisition companies, or SPACs, have struck deals to buy eight charging companies out of 26 deals involving electric vehicle and related businesses, according to Dealogic, a research firm. The deals typically include an infusion of hundreds of millions of dollars from big investors like BlackRock.

“It’s early, and folks are trying to wrap their heads around what does the potential look like,” said Gabe Daoud Jr., a managing director and analyst at Cowen, an investment bank.

These businesses could benefit from the infrastructure bill, but it is not clear how the Biden administration would distribute money for charging stations.

Another unanswered question is who will be the Exxon Mobil of the electric car age. It might well be automakers.

Tesla, which makes about two-thirds of the electric cars sold in the United States, has built thousands of chargers, which it made free for early customers. The company could open its network to vehicles made by other automakers by the end of the year, its chief executive, Elon Musk, said in July.

Volkswagen also owns a charging network, Electrify America, which is already available to all makes of cars. In Europe, Volkswagen, BMW, Ford Motor, Daimler and other automakers jointly own a charging company called Ionity. Drivers pay fees to charge in both cases, but some automakers offer free charging for a few years to entice car buyers.

Energy giants like BP and Royal Dutch Shell have gotten into the business, too, by buying charging companies in Europe and the United States.

And 14 electric utilities from Maine to Texas have formed the Electric Highway Coalition to build stations at intervals of 100 miles or less. Utilities elsewhere are also building chargers, as are cities like Los Angeles and New York.

They are all competing in a tiny market: Less than 4 percent of new car sales and less than 1 percent of vehicles on U.S. roads are electric.

Charging companies claim they can succeed even if it takes years for electric vehicles to take over. Some businesses like ChargePoint have been around for more than a decade, while others raising money don't have much of a track record.

The chief executive of ChargePoint, Pasquale Romano, says his company avoids some costs by using contract manufacturers to build equipment and selling stations to employers who own electric vehicle fleets, retailers and others, who also buy software and maintenance subscriptions.

"Everyone thinks this can go fast, and it can't," Mr. Romano said. "You have to get in and start pedaling to help shape what it looks like."

Volta, a smaller charging company, places chargers near the entrances of retailers like Whole Foods Market and Walgreens. The chargers show ads, generating revenue, and the stations pay for themselves within a few years, said the company's president and a co-founder, Chris Wendel. "It's a sponsored service brought to you by brands that care about what you're doing."

But some companies have stumbled. In December, TPG Pace Beneficial Finance, a SPAC backed partly by TPG, the private equity firm, announced that it would buy EVBox, an Amsterdam-based maker of charging equipment, valuing the company at \$1.4 billion.

In January, Jim Cramer, the host of CNBC's "Mad Money," said EVBox was his favorite charging company because it is an established player in Europe. Shares of TPG Pace Beneficial climbed to \$31 in February, from around \$10.

But this month, the companies delayed the merger's closing because EVBox has not yet released its audited financial statements for 2020. TPG Pace said in a regulatory filing that there was "significant uncertainty" about the deal's completion, and its shares have fallen back to about \$10.

A spokeswoman for EVBox declined to comment.

Mr. Cramer no longer stands by the EVBox pick. "I suppose we put too much faith in the financials as presented to investors at the time," he said in an email.

Since the start of 2020, 16 proposed SPAC mergers have been canceled or withdrawn. And investors

and regulators have raised questions about the optimistic claims made by executives and promoters of SPACs.

Yet investors continue to pour money into charging. One charging company, EVgo, completed a SPAC deal and started trading in July. Trading in Volta started last month. Several other deals have been announced in recent months, including for Tritium, which makes fast chargers; Wallbox, which sells charging equipment, software and related services; and Allego, which operates a large charging network in Europe.

Some investors think that charging cars might not be the best approach. Lithium ion batteries cause wars, corruption, genocide, mass rapes, child labor, unexpected fires, environmental damage, cancers, liver damage, worker deaths and other awful things.

Last month, Ample, which aims to build stations where drained E.V. batteries are replaced with charged ones, raised \$160 million. Raed Masri, founder of Transform VC, an investor in Ample, said battery swapping would be better for people without a place to plug in their cars because it is much faster.

“They need a quick energy delivery system, and only swapping provides that,” Mr. Masri said.

Other investors are making lots of bets. Energy Impact Partners, a private equity firm based in New York, has invested in several charging networks, a repair app for charging stations and an app that optimizes charging.

Cassie Bowe, a principal at the firm, said that with electric vehicle sales growing fast, it was urgent to build a network to support them. “There’s no more time,” she said. “We need this infrastructure fast.”

The reality is that the current plan can never work. There is not enough money, consumer interest, logistical embrace or other support for public chargers to ever be more than a feel good fancy. The consumer desire for speed-of-delivery increases over 10% per year. Nobody is going to put up with the wait, especially after sitting in rush-hour congestion every morning and night.

Electric cars will never happen in any volume unless every home and apartment has a charger, the rates for the chargers are less than gasoline and the batteries or energy cassettes can be instantly hot-swapped. Department of Energy officials avoid this option, though, because it competes with their stock market holdings. Corruption in America will never end until Congress makes it illegal for a public servant, or their family, to own stocks!

Forensic data has proven that Applicant’s records and decisions were altered by government staff and external hackers in an effort to harm Applicant in reprisal for his testimony to law enforcement as a witness, whistle-blower and advocate.

Applicant was a criminology student on the multi-campus “Core Curriculum” which was offered through Stanford, SFSU and Berkeley campuses. He lived on Chenery Street, in San Francisco, with

Janet R. T. Who was the best friend of Kathryn Feinstein, daughter of the future mayor of San Francisco. Janet's mother worked for Dianne Feinstein. Kathryn Feinstein often stayed at Applicant's home and partied there in the hot tub in the back yard and in the downstairs living room. Where she told tales of San Francisco politics. This led to Applicant's recording and production of the investigative film series: "City Circus", about the characters in San Francisco politics.

Mayor George Moscone was then assassinated one day while Applicant was on the way to City Hall for a meeting at the Mayor's office. This assassination made Diane Feinstein Mayor of San Francisco and, later, a U.S. Senator.

Mayor Feinstein issued a large published proclamation to Applicant for his work on a major project for the City of San Francisco, a tribute to George Moscone viewed by millions of citizens and had Applicant produce many socialite events at City Hall for, and with, the Mayor's friend Charlotte Maillard.

Applicant was working on the 50th Anniversary Golden Gate bridge events but a rift developed between Applicants team and another group. The opposing group was being accused of embezzling Bridge District funds and was headed by two personal friends of Diane Feinsteins. The failures of the opposition group became national news as it was also backed by David Rockefeller's money man, who financed Diane Feinstein.

At this time Applicant, had numerous law enforcement and intelligence credentials, commendations and certifications and was approached to assist with a sting operation involving some of those officials. The sting operation required that Applicant run for Mayor of San Francisco because only a mayoral candidate could have access to the proper people, information and proximity needed for such an operation. Department of Justice, FBI, California Fair Political Practices Commission and other entities had an interest in these task force-type efforts. The combined efforts resulted in one famous official being arrested for running an underage prostitution ring for San Francisco public officials, co-managed by a SFPD official and another candidate for mayor, associated with the old school North Beach mob, being run out of politics and having his young daughter removed by the police to protect her from attempted abuse. Both of the indicted officials had close relationships with Diane Feinstein.

Applicant ran the opening ceremonies of David Rockefeller's Embarcadero Center in San Francisco which Rockefeller, Feinstein and James Bronkema were key players in. James Bronkema, The head of the Golden Gate Bridge District had his mistress intermediate a potential "peace treaty" between applicant and his Cartel. He later sought to invite Applicant into the Bay Area political Cartel he ran and disclosed the insider scheme to Applicant, hoping to entice him. Bay Area VC Tom Perkins also made Applicant this offer and confirmed the existence, operation and scope of this Cartel which included famous politicians.

Subsequently, the Feinstein family became the investors, government financial agent, contractors, HR providers, staffing liaison, and friends for Elon Musk and managed the State and Federal gifts of billions of dollars of tax payer funds to Musk, which the Feinstein family profited from.

At the same time, Applicant was operating a U.S. Government funded electric vehicle manufacturing company and an energy production company that competed with Elon Musk's companies. Applicant contacted the Fremont, California NUMMI plant which Elon Musk had publicly stated he "had no use for NUMMI". Applicant had been in negotiations and had arranged the first request to re-task NUMMI. The Washington DC consulting group: "Eye On Washington" and CBS News 60 Minutes Producer: Bob Simon, then had communications with Diane Feinstein's Chief of Staff who threatened Applicant against having anything to do with the NUMMI plant. Subsequently Elon Musk announced he had stepped back in and was taking over the plant in a surprise announcement MC'd, in person by Diane Feinstein, whose family was managing the leasing, hiring and contracting for the NUMMI and next door Solyndra buildings.

Applicant had received a Congressional commendation for his work.

Subsequently, the FBI discovered (confirmed via a declassified congressional report) that Daniel Jones, a former intelligence committee staffer for Sen. Dianne **Feinstein**, hired **Fusion GPS** and Christopher Steele to push the Russian story and used Fusion GPS, and other services to put hit-jobs on people for Feinstein. Additionally, the FBI discovered that high level Chinese spies worked for Feinstein, including driving her around. Fusion GPS, Media Matters, Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media Google and Youtube were subsequently found to have been hired by "a famous politician" to operate over \$30M of character assassination reprisal media defamation attacks against Applicant to punish him for helping law enforcement in these cases. It is well known that Feinstein, Pelosi, Harris, Reid and other Senators commonly use intelligence agency resources and "dirty tricks" to attack citizens they are mad at.

Applicant was cooperating with agency investigations at this time.

Applicant, at this time, discovered that he had been exposed to toxic materials, possibly intentionally as "political poisoning" (ie: The Salisbury Poisonings and the Alexander Litvinenko poisoning), as a reprisal tactic, from his work with The U.S. Government and Kaiser laboratories. Applicant filed for his benefit rights in 2007, which he had full credits for, but political officials from Senate offices ordered those funds frozen and used intelligence agency dirty tricks to manipulate and alter government records. The "Solarwinds", "Supernova", "Spectre" and hundreds of other hacks show that the very servers that Applicant's records were on were, in fact, penetrated.

Intelligence agency staffers manipulated Applicant's filed benefits applications with SSA, HUD and other agencies, in order to cut off his funds in reprisal for Applicant's assistance to corruption investigations.

Congressional officials then assisted Applicant and his peers with a first-ever federal anti-corruption lawsuit. This case set many new legal precedents. Applicant's team won the lawsuit proving that government agencies "infected by corruption" had manipulated, blockaded and stone-walled Applicant's funding.

SSA staff and officials from the SSA San Francisco office and the notorious "Mario U" SSA staff attack against Applicant have shown that some SSA staff ran political reprisals on Applicant. History

has shown that some Bay Area SSA staff since 2007 have gone on to work for politicians in dispute with Applicant or for ANTIFA-like political activism groups that advocate attacks.

Further details in the matter can be viewed at:

<http://www.how-political-corruption-actually-works.com>

and

<http://american-corruption.com>

... and hundreds of thousands of other public transparency sites.

Victim and his peers have aggressively pushed for the creation of an FTC task force to take on Silicon Valley tech monopolies. They have submitted thousands of pages of reports about Facebook, Tesla, Paypal and Google monopoly crimes based on data from San Francisco insiders at, and around, those companies.

The Federal Trade Commission has now launched a task force to monitor competition in the US's technology markets, commissioners announced. In reaction, the Silicon Valley oligarchs are attempting every bribe, favor, influence manipulation and delay effort to stall that development.

The task force will include current officials working in the agency's Bureau of Competition in order to "enhance the Bureau's focus on technology-related sectors of the economy, including markets in which online platforms compete." It will also include 17 staff attorneys who will be tasked with investigating anti-competitive behavior in the tech industry.

"The role of technology in the economy and in our lives grows more important every day," FTC Chairman Joe Simons said. "As I've noted in the past, it makes sense for us to closely examine technology markets to ensure consumers benefit from free and fair competition."

"Technology markets ... raise distinct challenges for antitrust enforcement"

The new task force comes amid growing pressure for antitrust action against large tech companies like Facebook and Google. Earlier this month, it was reported that FTC officials have been looking to levy a multi billion-dollar fine on Facebook for repeatedly violating a privacy agreement the two bodies came to back in 2011. A coalition of advocacy groups argued that a fine would not be enough to incentivize Facebook to be more cautious with consumer data and asked the FTC to force the company spin-offs, Instagram and WhatsApp, back into their own entities once again. The groups argued that Facebook was too big for it to adequately care for user data for all three major apps.

Discussion over retroactive merger reviews that may result in companies divesting previously approved assets has been heating up over the last few months. The Democratic-led House Judiciary Committee has been reportedly beefing up its antitrust arm and hiring on big names like Lina Khan in the academic sphere.

“Technology markets, which are rapidly evolving and touch so many other sectors of the economy, raise distinct challenges for antitrust enforcement,” said Bureau Director Bruce Hoffman. “By centralizing our expertise and attention, the new task force will be able to focus on these markets exclusively – ensuring they are operating pursuant to the antitrust laws, and taking action where they are not.”

Hoffman confirmed that the task force would look into consummated mergers, but could not name any investigations specifically. When it comes to remedies for problematic mergers, Hoffman said that firms could be “broken out,” or could be forced to “spin off” previous acquisitions as new competitors in order to recreate the markets pre-merger.

Hoffman said that the task force would be working closely with the FTC’s Consumer Protection Bureau as it relates to consumer privacy enforcement especially in cases in which these issues coalesce.

“Our ongoing Hearings on Competition and Consumer Protection in the 21st Century are a crucial step to deepen our understanding of these markets and potential competitive issues. The Technology Task Force is the next step in that effort,” Simons said in the press release.

The Justice Department, which also has antitrust jurisdiction, is aware of the FTC’s new task force, according to Hoffman, and both agencies will continue to work separately on this front.

An alliance of investigators, forensics experts, EU prosecution offices, FBI specialists, journalists, voters and public crowd-sourced volunteers have been campaigning for the arrest, prosecution, exposure and termination of each and every company, group and individual who engaged in these crimes and reprisal attacks on those who reported them.

See Also: <https://www.usnews.com/news/world/articles/2019-02-27/billionaire-list-shows-1t-hit-from-18-market-meltdown>

The biggest problem with the FTC being effective is that the Silicon Valley Mafia have bribed many FTC officials and placed Silicon Valley lawyers inside the FTC as staff members. On top of that, over 42 Senators have taken over 120 different kinds of bribes from Silicon Valley and those Senators influence the FTC to “hold off on any major actions”.

In other words, over 50% of the FTC is controlled by the entities they are supposed to investigate!!!!
The fox is running the hen house!

Plaintiff Demand

THE VICTIMS HEREIN DEMAND:

HUMAN RIGHTS AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS DAMAGES PAYMENTS AND REINSTATEMENT OF BLOCKADED RIGHTS!

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

FULL BENEFITS PAYMENTS FROM 2007 FORWARD BASED ON EARNED BENEFIT RIGHTS FROM 60 HOURS PER WEEK WORK FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY PROJECTS FOR MANY DECADES AND TIMELY FILED DISABLING CONDITIONS CLAIMS THAT WERE BLOCKADED IN REPRISAL FOR WHISTLE-BLOWING

WITNESS FEES

INFORMANT FEES

WHISTLE-BLOWER FEES

COMPENSATORY FEES

LOSS OF INCOME COMPENSATION INCLUDING BUSINESS INCOME FROM SECURITIES INTERESTS

THIS IS ALSO A DEMAND FOR STATE SPONSORED LEGAL COUNSEL AND FINANCIAL SERVICES SUPPORT AND/OR FOR DOJ TO TAKE ON THIS CASE AND REPRESENT PLAINTIFFS INCLUDING COVERAGE AND COMPENSATION FOR CAUSES OF ACTION INCLUDING: ABUSE OF PROCESS; FTCA VIOLATIONS; ACCOUNT STATED; BREACH OF CONTRACT; CONVERSION; DEFAMATION; FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION;

FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT; INJURIOUS FALSEHOOD, PRODUCT DISPARAGEMENT AND TRADE LIBEL; CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS AND VIOLATIONS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION; MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS; PRIMA FACIE TORT; QUANTUM MERUIT; TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE INCLUDING a.) Tortious interference with an existing contract, b.) Tortious interference with prospective, c.) Tortious interference with business relations contractual relations; PATENT INFRINGEMENT; PERSONAL INJURY; UNJUST ENRICHMENT; ANTI-TRUST LAW VIOLATIONS; LABOR LAW VIOLATIONS AND OTHER CAUSES.


"...WE DEMAND PAYMENT FROM The U.S. Government AND THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR OUR DAMAGES, FEES, BACK-PAY AND STATE-CAUSED LOSSES FROM THIS POLITICAL CORRUPTION AND THE RELATED COVER-UP. IF WE DON'T GET OUR MONEY SOON, THE STREISAND EFFECT, THE FEDERAL INVESTIGATIONS, THE DOCUMENTARIES, THE RELEASE OF THE 'INSURANCE POLICY' AND PUBLIC FORENSICS WILL TOTALLY DESTROY EVERY SINGLE POLITICIAN AND TECH OLIGARCH THAT OPERATED THESE CRIMES! THESE ASSHOLES PROBABLY SHOULDN'T HAVE PUT HIT JOBS ON PEOPLE WHO HAVE FRIENDS IN THE CIA, NSA, FBI AND THE 'OTHER' WHITE HOUSE..."

The Victims were specifically and illegally excluded from participation in funding, benefits, jobs and income by major political figures, including U.S. Senators and White House executives who they knew, and those politician's corrupt Silicon Valley oligarch financiers. The tech Cartel and politicians attacked the victims because they would not cooperate with the crooked: sex trafficking, tax evasion, off-shore money laundering, political bribery quid-pro-quo, revolving door payola scams, foreign nation-sponsored domestic manipulations, stock market rigging, internet censorship and search engine manipulation and other crimes. They attacked the victims because they reported the crimes to federal police. They attacked the victims because their products obsoleted the products the Senators owned the stock of (ie: Tesla, Google, Facebook, Netflix are covertly owned by California politicians). They attacked the victims using taxpayer-funded state resources. That is a felony violation of the law. The ongoing cover-up of these crimes and attacks is also a felony violation of the law. The victims are owed damages compensation, witness fees and back-pay.

Federal investigators have proven (via records-tracking, financial data, surveillance, insider tips, leaks, etc.) that White House executives, government agency executives (SSA, LSC, GOVT, USPTO, etc.) and California political bosses, including California U.S. Senators, owned interests in the victims competitors worth many billions of dollars. Any citizen can confirm these assertions via public records and the evidence in this report. Those government officials ordered, financed and executed whistleblower reprisals and anti-trust violating attacks on the victims using state-sponsored, taxpayer-funded resources. Using government resources, they engaged in the illegal reprisal attacks on the victims, as listed in the claim section entitled: "*The Specific Attacks And Harms Undertaken Against Plaintiffs*".

The government officials manipulated SSA, HHS, GOVT, USPTO, DOJ, and other agency, payments, funds, benefits and rights in order to harm and economically damage the victims. They produced and financed a massive media defamation campaign using their Paypal Mafia-based “Silicon Valley Cartel”. This ‘Cartel’: 1.) finances the political campaigns of the Senators and the other politicians that own stock in their Silicon Valley dirty tech companies, 2.) is the competitor of the victims, 3.) shared staff with the named politicians, 4.) is the nearly exclusive beneficiary of policy actions by those politicians, and 5.) has a massive number of conflict-of-interest relationships with each of the charged politicians. The victims reported the attackers crimes to the FBI, DOJ, IG and other officials and got attacked for reporting the crimes, for busting up the organized crime effort and for running companies whose product technologies obsoleted those of the tech Cartel. The victims are claiming their rights to their damages and fee compensation, but those same public figures have blocked them from an equitable jury trial to address the matter.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND WHITE HOUSE



The Retaliation Attacks

The Hired Attack Services And Hit-Job Contracting Parties:

When you enter these names in any major law enforcement or intelligence agency database forensic comparative-connection analysis software (ie XKEYSCORE), you find that they all narrow back to the same organized political crime network. The attackers hired, paid, commanded and controlled the attack services: Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media, Google, YouTube, Media Matters, Black Box, Fusion GPS, Black Cube, PsyOps, Shareblue, and other brands and used them to operate retaliation attacks against Plaintiff and to manipulate government agency procedures against Plaintiff in revenge/reprisal procedures.

Officials Manipulated Social Security Money To Retaliate Against Whistle-Blowers

Nancy Pelosi and her staff, Dianne Feinstein and her staff, Barack Obama and his staff, former CIA boss Robert James "Jim" Woolsey Jr and his staff; and over 100 other public officials, have the spy agency-type tools, resources, will and past experience to manipulate any HUD or Social Security decision against any citizen that they place on a 'black-list' or 'hit-list' in reprisal for competing with them or whistle-blowing on them. Per the evidence below:

Social Security, SSI, SDI, Disability and other earned benefits were stone-walled. Applications for benefits for the Plaintiffs were intentionally "lost" like a "Lois Lerner hard drive". Files in the application process "disappeared". A U.S. Senator ordered Plaintiffs benefits to "never be approved" even though Plaintiffs worked 60 hour+ weeks for decades in service to their nation and their community. A SSA official in the local SSA office, who had a devout expressed hatred against one United States President ordered a benefits blockade against Plaintiffs because he found out that Plaintiffs ex-lawyer now worked in the White House. Forensic evidence and backgrounders on every person who worked on, or had access to, Applicants files, records, benefits decisions and related data sets shows that a number of those employees and contractors were members, financiers, web promoters or supporters of ANTIFA anarchy groups or KKK or Proud Boys related political activist-type groups. In San Francisco and Marin Counties SSA offices, in employees workspaces and on their Facebook and MySpace sites, many employees proudly display pictures of themselves wearing their pink "pussy hats", black riot gear and sporting political tattoos. FBI records and IG investigations show that SSA has the highest percentage of political activist employees of any federal agency. Such persons are inclined to become drunk with power when allowed access to the trillions of dollars of government technology on the SSA file and decisions systems.

A number of these persons have worked for, or with, U.S. Senators and other politicians who targeted Applicant in political reprisal. At least 3 persons in the San Francisco SSA office, at least 2 persons in the San Mateo SSA office and at least 2 persons in Marin SSA office are known to have engaged in such actions. Applicants funding and benefits were manipulated, so as to harm Applicant, as political reprisal as vendetta for his provision of testimony to federal investigators in a trillion dollar political corruption matter involving famous political figures featured in global news coverage. A vast number of agency abuse cases and lawsuits are now on public record in the Inspector General's offices and federal courts. It is an indisputable fact that some government agencies run "hit-jobs" on citizens on orders from certain corrupt politicians.

These actions are felony violations of the law. Federal and State Agencies including SSA, FEC, GOVT, HHS, VA, CIA, HUD, SA, SEC, FBI, DOJ and many others, have been charged, and found guilty, in these crimes against citizens. In the Congressional investigation published by the United States Congress in review of the U.S. Department of Energy LGP/ATVM programs, it is clearly proven that the U.S. Department of Energy was used as a slush-fund by some GOVT executives in order to pay off campaign financiers by attacking and sabotaging their competitors. The GOVT Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant under contracts with The U.S. Government and the government-owned U.S. Enrichment Corp paid \$5M whistle-blower awards to those whistle-blowers who were attacked, using government agency resources, for reporting a crime. Dept. of Energy Hanford URS has agreed to settle a lawsuit brought by former employee Walter Tamosaitis for \$4.1 million.

The settlement in the whistle-blower case comes almost one year before the case was set for a jury trial in federal court in Richland and compensates Tamosaitis for attacks against him, by GOVT officials, in retribution for reporting a crime. VA officials attacked hundreds of citizens who reported corruption, ie:

<https://www.thenewamerican.com/usnews/health-care/item/18610-va-whistleblowers-facing-retribution>. As shown in this report: <https://www.pogo.org/analysis/2018/08/new-report-confirms-whistleblower-retaliation-is-alive-and-well-at-department-of-veterans-affairs/>, Agencies attack often and harshly. CIA and NSA executives have been widely shown to use spy tools to attack domestic citizens they don't like, ie:

<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2435011/NSA-employees-used-phone-tapping-tools-spy-girlfriends-cheating-husbands.html> , and hundreds of other news links that can be provided. Elon Musk and Tesla, as well as Eric Schmidt and Larry Page at Google, have been proven to use the CIA group: IN-Q-TEL, to run government sponsored/financed attacks on business competitors. In Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-00777-RBW GOVERNMENT AGENCIES WERE CAUGHT BEING USED FOR ATTACKS AGAINST CITIZENS AND PUNISHED IN THE COURT AND THE MEDIA! The IRS, and hordes of other government agencies have been caught and proven, IN COURT, to target and attack people for presumed political differences.

Why should we assume that the Social Security Administration is not ALSO doing this too to harm citizens who speak out? The Lois Lerner IRS attacks took many years to resolve. In an unprecedented victorious conclusion to a four year-long legal battle against the IRS, the bureaucratic agency admitted in federal court that it wrongfully targeted citizens, during the Obama Administration, because of their political viewpoints and issued an apology to those people for doing so. In addition, the IRS is consenting to a court order that would prohibit it from ever engaging in this form of unconstitutional discrimination in the future. In a proposed Consent Order filed with the Court, the IRS has apologized for its treatment of U.S. citizens including organizations from 20 states that applied for 501(c)(3) and (c)(4) tax-exempt status with the IRS between 2009 and 2012 -- during the tax-exempt determinations process. Crucially, following years of denial by the IRS and blame-shifting by IRS officials, the agency now expressly admits that its treatment of our clients was wrong and a total violation of our Democracy. As set forth in the proposed Order: “The IRS admits that its treatment of Plaintiffs during the tax-exempt determinations process, including screening their applications based on their names or policy positions, subjecting those applications to heightened scrutiny and inordinate delays, and demanding of some Plaintiffs’ information that TIGTA determined was unnecessary to the agency’s determination of their tax-exempt status, was wrong.

For such treatment, the IRS expresses its sincere apology.” Throughout litigation of this case, activists have remained committed to protecting the rights of the public who faced unlawful and discriminatory action by the IRS and other agencies. The objective from the very beginning has been to hold agencies accountable for corrupt practices.

This Consent Order represents a historic victory for the public and sends the unequivocal message that a government agency’s targeting of citizens organizations, or any organization, on the basis of political viewpoints, will never be tolerated and that revenge will be swift and vast. The Order will put an end, once and for all, to the abhorrent practices utilized against citizens, as the agreement includes the IRS’s express acknowledgment of – and apology for – its wrongful treatment of the public. While this agreement is designed to prevent any such practices from occurring again, rest assured that all public interest lawyers will remain vigilant to ensure that the IRS, SSA, DOJ or SEC does not resort to such tactics in the future. Per detailed reports, in March of 2012 lawyers began being contacted by literally dozens of citizens and groups who were being harassed by the Obama IRS after submitting applications for tax-exempt status. Their tax-exempt applications were held up for years (over seven years in some cases), and they began receiving obtrusive and unconstitutional requests for donor and member information. That began a now more than five and a half year fight with the burgeoning bureaucracy at the IRS. Then on May 10, 2013, Lois Lerner, the then head of the IRS Tax Exempt Organizations Division, publicly implicated the IRS in one of the worst political targeting scandals of the century.

This is an extraordinary victory against government agency abuse. It sends a powerful warning to the deep state bureaucracy that it will not be allowed to violate the Constitution in order to silence and shut down the whistle-blowers. In addition to the IRS's admissions of and apology for its wrongful conduct, the Consent Order would specifically award Plaintiffs the following: - A declaration by the Court that it is wrong to apply the United States tax code to any tax-exempt applicant or entity based solely on such entity's name, any lawful positions it espouses on any issues, or its associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint; - A declaration by the Court that any action or inaction taken by the IRS must be applied evenhandedly and not based solely on a tax-exempt applicant or entity's name, political viewpoint, or associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint; and - A declaration by the Court that discrimination on the basis of political viewpoint in administering the United States tax code violates fundamental First Amendment rights.

Disparate treatment of taxpayers based solely on the taxpayers' names, any lawful positions the taxpayers espouse on any issues, or the taxpayers' associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint is unlawful. In the Order, the IRS has also agreed that (unless expressly required by law) certain actions against the Plaintiffs— i.e. the sharing, dissemination, or other use of information unnecessarily obtained by the IRS during the determinations process (such as donor names, the names of volunteers, political affiliations of an organization's officers, etc.) – would be unlawful. In addition, the IRS promises not to take any retaliatory action against our clients for exposing the targeting scheme. Finally, and of crucial significance, the IRS admits it targeted persons and groups based on their viewpoints (i.e., “policy positions”) and that such viewpoint discrimination violates fundamental First Amendment rights. This is the first time the IRS has admitted that its targeting scheme was not just “inappropriate” – as TIGTA found – but, as alleged, blatantly unconstitutional.

To ensure consistency and uniformity within the agency's operations going forward, the IRS is required, pursuant to the Order, to inform all employees within the Exempt Organizations Division, as well as the Commissioners and Deputy Commissioners within other divisions, of the Order's terms. This Order not only validates allegations about their treatment at the hands of the corrupt Obama-era IRS but also provides important assurances to the American public that the agency understands its obligation to refrain from further such discriminatory conduct. As Attorney General Sessions acknowledged in this regard, “[t]here is no excuse for [the IRS's] conduct,” as it is “without question” that the First Amendment prohibits the conduct that occurred here, i.e., subjecting American citizens to disparate treatment “based solely on their viewpoint

or ideology.” Sessions further confirmed his Department’s commitment to ensuring that the “abuse of power” in which the IRS engaged here “will not be tolerated.” It is impossible to overstate the importance of this victory.

This marks a years-long fight for justice in defense of the constitutional rights of the public. This is an extraordinary victory against abuse of power and corruption. It sends a powerful warning to the deep state bureaucracy that it will not be allowed to violate the Constitution and manipulate the IRS, SSA and other agencies in order to silence and shut down those who speak out about political corruption crimes. In the wake of Wisconsin Watchdog’s investigation into SSA staff allegations of incompetence, misconduct, and retaliation in Social Security disability appeals offices, several employees have taken their complaints to a Senate committee led by Wisconsin Sen. Ron Johnson.

An official with knowledge of the complaints said the Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee, chaired by the Oshkosh Republican, has received emails and other contacts from “certain people” inside the Social Security Administration’s Office of Disability Adjudication and Review. The initial complaints came from an employee inside the Milwaukee office following Wisconsin Watchdog’s opening investigative report that found some claimants waiting more than 1,000 days for an appeals decision on their disability benefits claim. Following Wednesday’s story of a whistle-blower in the Madison ODAR office, the committee has received more specific complaints about retaliation against employees, the source said. Committee staff members sent the latest Watchdog piece to SSA administrators hoping they will “cooperate,” the source said. To date, the agency has been less than cooperative. “This is an ongoing process, and they are not always as forthcoming as we’d like them to be,” the source said. “Hopefully with your continued reporting, this is an issue they can’t duck.” A Senate committee member said officials there are working with the Office of Special Counsel on “multiple whistle-blower retaliation claims.” The committee continues to request information from the SSA. The whistle-blower in the Madison office claims management retaliated against her after she was called to testify in a misconduct case.

The incident involved “inappropriate behavior” by an administrative law judge, she said. “They are so corrupt. It’s absolutely horrible,” said the woman, a lead case technician in the Madison Office of Disability Adjudication and Review. She spoke on condition of anonymity, fearing more retribution from her supervisors. While she said recounting her particular experiences will more than likely betray her identity anyway, the ODAR case worker insisted she has had enough. “I’m at point where they don’t care about me, I don’t see why I’m protecting them. This is my last resort,” she said. “I want to do my work without fear of retaliation.” She said she has contacted the Senate committee. “I forwarded my information to them and I got an email back from them. See these reports:

II. [WSJ: 131 Federal Judges Broke Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had Financial Interest...](#)

[Dirty profits from bench...](#)

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/hidden-interest-judges-financial-conflicts-graphic-11632834079>

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/131-federal-judges-broke-the-law-by-hearing-cases-where-they-had-a-financial-interest-11632834421>

mm. They said people are coming out of the woodwork with their complaints (about ODAR) following your story,” the whistle-blower said. Ronald Klym, a long-time senior legal assistant in the Milwaukee ODAR office, alleges he has been retaliated against by supervisors for going public with his charges of incompetence and misconduct in the agency. The federal employee, who has worked for SSA for 16 years, provided Wisconsin Watchdog with documents showing extremely long wait times for claimants appealing their denied applications for benefits. Doug Nguyen, SSA regional spokesman, in a previous story said the agency acknowledges that Milwaukee ODAR has a “high average processing time for disability appeal hearings, and we are working to address the issue.” Beyond the delays is what Klym calls the “shell game,” the wholesale transferring of cases to other parts of the country by administrators to make the Milwaukee office’s numbers look better than they are. The Madison office whistle-blower confirmed Klym’s allegations, saying at one point she saw 2,000 cases from the Milwaukee office handed off to the Oak Brook operation. There are over 10,000 SSA disability manipulation charges against SSA executives and staff.

Demand For FBI ‘302 Reports’ On Each Attacker

Demand for FBI 302 reports on the following identified attackers has been hereby submitted to the FBI. The FBI and FINCEN have been requested to interview and engage in surveillance upon these individuals who engaged with organizations who transferred funds abroad and who sought to subvert public policy. The investigation of each should include NSA recordings known to exist, for each, from 2005 to date. Conclusions from those 302 interviews and investigations should be directed to accurately answering investigation parameters including:

1. Who had command and control of the attackers actions against Plaintiff?
2. How were the attackers compensated?
3. How did each attacker first hear of the existence of Plaintiff?
4. Identify each party who edited, reviewed, or consulted with the work product of each attacker?
5. What was the quid pro quo with clicks, advertising exposure, blog postings and web metrics?
6. Did any attacker pose as a third party to write their own blog comments under an assumed name?

Which postings?

7. Who paid for the animated attack video production and which studio edited and sound produced the content?
8. Produce each email communication that went to, from or CC to any party at Google, Youtube, Alphabet or their subsidiaries? Submissions will be cross-referenced with NSA archives.
10. Provide all attackers, and their families, banking, bitcoin, Paypal, credit card and tax records from 2005 to date.
11. In addition to orders from your superiors, when did you first, otherwise, hear of Plaintiff?
12. Why did you avoid ever giving Plaintiff a chance to review, comment on or correct any material prior to publication?
13. Why did your release of the attack media only occur via your outlets, and via no other outlet on Earth, at exactly the same time?
14. In Presidential elections, how much money, and other compensation, did your office pay, or receive, in order to operate these same kinds of attacks against an opposition candidate?

These Are The Hired Attackers:

THE ATTACKERS. REPORTED TO FBI, DOJ AND POLICE



THE VICTIM'S HAVE DEDICATED ALL AVAILABLE RESOURCES TO PLACING THEM UNDER SURVEILLANCE AND LAW ENFORCEMENT MONITORING FOR THE REST OF THEIR LIVES. EVERY ILLICIT SEX, DRUG, TAX, REAL ESTATE, POLITICAL FINANCE AND OTHER ACT IS DOCUMENTED AND REPORTED. THE TARGETS ALL SHARE FINANCIAL BENEFITS, COMMUNICATIONS, COMMAND/CONTROL, MOTIVATION, OPPORTUNITY, UNJUST REWARDS AND OTHER TRACKING METRICS.

The Courts and Congressional hearings have proven that White House, U.S. Senators and their ex-CIA and Ex-FBI operatives have been proven to have used SSA and other government agencies, over and over again, to harm witnesses, reporters and whistle-blowers in illegal retribution attacks. Applicant's own Senators have been caught doing this over and over in order to protect their stock market ownership in Tesla Motors, see:

http://focus-book.com/public/THE_ELON_MUSK_STORY.m4v

http://newsplus007.com/public/THE_ELON_MUSK_STORY.m4v

... available on many streaming video sites.

Some government agencies have avoided any and all responsive replies to the Applicant, or his advocates, due to the political and media embarrassment involved for some of their staff. That non-responsive, generic reply, stone-walling effort can no longer be tolerated.

Since 2008 when Applicant raised these issues, over 100 government staff have been fired, the FBI Director and Attorney General involved in this case were fired, 60 Minutes and hundreds of other newscasts have covered this issue and there is no end in sight of investigations against government officials who engaged in reprisal and vendettas against Applicant simply because he did the right thing and assisted Congress and the FBI. Numerous books and films about this matter are now distributed globally. It is not rational for government officials to allow this matter to fester.

This natural-born American citizen (*Witness2021B*) was attacked with a \$30 million dollar+ retribution/political-reprisal/revenge hatchet-job program. The cost of the attack is proven by bank records, payments and comparative analysis of hit-jobs run by political candidates against each other.

The "hit-job" was contracted by White House and Department of Energy political operatives, their appointees and staffing. Those public officials illegally used taxpayer-financed government funds. They were also business competitors the witness.. They are mad because they got caught doing crimes with taxpayer funds and the witness assisted with their interdiction. Thousands of similar attacks, on other citizens, have now been widely covered in major news stories and court cases. It is now impossible to deny that such attacks exist on a regular basis. Reprisal attacks are SOP in DC!

The victim and his associates made legal history by helping the government sue itself for corruption. Their energy investment group won their federal lawsuit case proving that: **public agencies infected with corruption manipulate policy against those who report corruption and/or their competitors.**

Since that case, many other cases have been won by other victims who proved the same assertion. There is, now, no legal or historical-fact question about the veracity of the proof that public officials put "hit-jobs" on reporters, whistle-blowers or competitors using government resources to do so. In fact, today, the U.S. Congress is spending nearly a third of its time on the question of agencies being used as reprisal operations.

A large number of well-known public officials were removed from their government positions because of their illicit deeds, exposed in these cases. Plaintiff/Applicant's files were hacked by government-paid

hackers. In particular, the employees and contractors of United States senators and White House executives hired these hackers via layered contracting routes.

Additionally, Federal prosecutors in Manhattan determined that FBI employees — three analysts and an agent — were able to view citizens private material because it was entered in Silicon Valley's Palantir software through the program's default settings. "When data is loaded onto the Platform, the default setting is to permit access to the data to other FBI personnel otherwise authorized to access the Platform," prosecutors wrote in a published court letter. It has been discovered that many Palantir staff can look at those records and re-sell that data to Google, Tesla or any third party. Plaintiff's records have been seen in the easy-to-interdict Palantir system.

In our communication with agencies, some of those agencies provided links to their entire server files which exposed the names, addresses, phone numbers, poverty status, emails and other records of their clients. They also exposed direct server access for hackers to easily exploit. We have informed each agency and they have now deleted the materials but other agency systems are known to be at risk and we do not have the resources to address them all.

Whereas, numerous Congressional reports, IT staff reports and security industry reports have verified that agency servers and files, including those upon which Plaintiffs records were housed, have been hacked, moved, deleted and edited by outside third parties including Chinese and Russian hackers, bored teens and hired opposition research operatives and that the hardware level back-doors for SPECTRE and many other incursion sets still exist in agency Cisco, Intel, Juniper Networks and other Network devices now connected to government file networks at GOVT, SSA, FEC, and other agencies and this fact is indisputable. At the very least, China, Russian or Brazilian teen hackers have them up for sale on the Dark Web. The NSA certainly has copies of them.

Further: <https://arstechnica.com/information-technology/2021/07/an-explosive-spyware-report-shows-limits-of-ios-android-security/>

FOR THE RECORD:

Per the FBI, DOJ, FCC and Congressional investigators:

It is widely verified by the U.S. DOJ that hackers such as Wang Dong, Sun Kailiang, Wen Xinyu, Huang Zhenyu, and Gu Chunhui, who were officers in Unit 61398 of the Third Department of the Chinese People's Liberation Army (PLA) and Aleksei Sergeyevich Morenets, 41, Evgenii Mikhaylovich, Serebriakov, 37, Ivan Sergeyevich Yermakov, 32, Artem Andreyevich Malyshev, 30, and Dmitriy Sergeyevich Badin, 27, who were each assigned to Military Unit 26165, and Oleg Mikhaylovich Sotnikov, 46, and Alexey Valerevich Minin, 46, who were also GRU officers, and hackers-for-hire including Kevin David Mitnick, Adrian Lamo, Albert Gonzalez, Matthew Bevan, Richard Pryce, Jeanson James Ancheta, Michael Calce, Kevin Poulsen, Jonathan James, The hacker known as ASTRA, The hacker known as GUCIFER, The hacker known as ANON 4CHAN and THOUSANDS of other individuals had free access and free reign throughout NSA, FBI, SSA, HUD, DOJ, OPM, CIA and other government servers via the SPECTRE, EMOTET, PRIME ROOTKIT, SERCOMM BACKDOOR, NOTPETYA, MELTDOWN, MASTERKEY, RYZENFALL, FALLOUT,

CHIMERA, and hundreds of other back doors and penetration vulnerabilities in Cisco, Intel, Juniper Networks, AMD, and other equipment. Additionally, all of the core server penetration tools used by the CIA and the NSA were hacked by foreign nations and their core source code posted on the internet for all to use. On July 27, 2021 this was disclosed: <https://news.sky.com/story/irans-secret-cyber-files-on-how-cargo-ships-and-petrol-stations-could-be-attacked-12364871>

It is ludicrous for any agency to state that any government servers, prior to 2020, were not widely penetrated and manipulated. The hackers are all known to have sold, or provided the results of their work to famous politicians for use against their competitors.

Nancy Pelosi is an owner of the hacking manipulation firm: CROWDSTRIKE. Crowdstrike and famous California Senators had the easy means, the motivations, the staffing, the resources and the known engagement of services to manipulate SSA, DOJ, SEC, FTC and other agency decisions and filing records in order to harm Plaintiffs, reporters and whistle-blowers who reported their crimes and corruptions.

Reports such as:

<http://www.opensecrets.org/personal-finances/nancy-pelosi/net-worth?cid=N00007360&year=2011>

and

https://www.realclearinvestigations.com/articles/2020/10/09/pelosi_takes_big_stake_in_crowdstrike_democrat-tied_linchpin_of_russiagate_125557.html

...prove that, for example, Nancy Pelosi owns digital attack services.

Reports such as:

<https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/politics/feinstein-s-former-staffer-helped-funnel-millions-to-steele-and-fusion-gps-after-2016/ar-BB1gBzxQ>

and

<https://dailycaller.com/2019/11/07/feinstein-fusion-gps-steele/>

... and thousands of other reports prove that Dianne Feinstein's staff regularly hire hit-job services. Those services have been proven by the FBI, DOJ and JSOC to regularly hire hackers.

The hackers, daily, use the common tools of:

A. Injection. Injection flaws, such as SQL, NoSQL, OS, and LDAP injection, occur when untrusted data is sent to an interpreter as part of a command or query. The attacker's hostile data can trick the interpreter into executing unintended commands or accessing data without proper authorization.

B. Broken Authentication. Application functions related to authentication and session management are often implemented incorrectly, allowing attackers to compromise passwords, keys, or session tokens, or to exploit other implementation flaws to assume other users' identities temporarily or permanently.

C. Sensitive Data exposure. Many web applications and APIs do not properly protect sensitive data, such as financial, healthcare, and PII. Attackers may steal or modify such weakly protected data to conduct credit card fraud, identity theft, or other crimes. Sensitive data may be compromised without extra protection, such as encryption at rest or in transit, and requires special precautions when exchanged with the browser.

D. XML External Entities (XXE). Many older or poorly configured XML processors evaluate external entity references within XML documents. External entities can be used to disclose internal files using the file URI handler, internal file shares, internal port scanning, remote code execution, and denial of service attacks.

E. Broken Access Control. Restrictions on what authenticated users are allowed to do are often not properly enforced. Attackers can exploit these flaws to access unauthorized functionality and/or data, such as access other users' accounts, view sensitive files, modify other users' data, change access rights, etc.

F. Security Misconfiguration. Security misconfiguration is the most commonly seen issue. This is commonly a result of insecure default configurations, incomplete or ad hoc configurations, open cloud storage, misconfigured HTTP headers, and verbose error messages containing sensitive information. Not only must all operating systems, frameworks, libraries, and applications be securely configured, but they must be patched/upgraded in a timely fashion.

G. Cross-Site Scripting XSS. XSS flaws occur whenever an application includes untrusted data in a new web page without proper validation or escaping, or updates an existing web page with user-supplied data using a browser API that can create HTML or JavaScript. XSS allows attackers to execute scripts in the victim's browser which can hijack user sessions, deface web sites, or redirect the user to malicious sites.

H. Insecure Deserialization. Insecure deserialization often leads to remote code execution. Even if deserialization flaws do not result in remote code execution, they can be used to perform attacks, including replay attacks, injection attacks, and privilege escalation attacks.

I. Using Components with Known Vulnerabilities. Components, such as libraries, frameworks, and other software modules, run with the same privileges as the application. If a vulnerable component is exploited, such an attack can facilitate serious data loss or server takeover. Applications and APIs using components with known vulnerabilities may undermine application defenses and enable various attacks and impacts.

J. Insufficient Logging & Monitoring. Insufficient logging and monitoring, coupled with missing or ineffective integration with incident response, allows attackers to further attack systems, maintain persistence, pivot to more systems, and tamper, extract, or destroy data. Most breach studies show time to detect a breach is over 200 days, typically detected by external parties rather than internal processes or monitoring.

We have provided reports, evidence and time to investigate and assist in interviews to the FBI, GAO, SEC and other Congressional and agency assets. The investigations have found that famous senators, their Silicon Valley oligarch financiers and their associates run a felony-class organized crime insider-trading scam that abuses taxpayers and sabotages competing businesses at the expense of the public treasuries.

Imagine living in a world where almost every one of the public officials that were supposed to help you turned out to be your business competitors. Imagine having them use government resources to profit at your expense, blockade you and treat democracy like a garage sale!

This is about a group of U.S. Senators, Silicon Valley Oligarchs, Crooked Law Firms and Lobbyists who commit crimes in order to manipulate over a trillion tax dollars into their, and their friends pockets. They use media monopoly tricks to try to shut out any other viewpoints. They push manufactured "emotional trigger" issues that they believe will get more tax money allocated to "issue solutions" that they, and their friends, happen to already own the monopolies for. They are felons yet they control some of the offices of the agencies who are supposed to arrest them. Silicon Valley bought K Street lobby firms and U.S. Senators, gave them more 'Dark Money' than history has ever seen and then had giant tech-law firms bribe, hit-job and blockade any attempts to arrest them.

The U.S. Government hired victim, paid him part of the offered money, then asked him to spend his life savings and years of his time on THEIR federal project based on their lies and false-promises.

He was defrauded by the government assertions!

Then the government took the assets victim were asked to invest, plus the money they owed him, and gave it to their friends. When he and his associates complained to the FBI, Congress and the SEC, they hired Fusion GPS-like companies to run "hit-jobs" on him and threaten his life.

The Victim was lied to and defrauded by government agencies.

Government officials took the money they had promised him and gave it to their friends, who are competitors to the victim.

The government used him, and his peers, as a smoke-screen to hide their crony payola crime that put taxpayer cash in their crony friend's pockets.

Victim has received ZERO justice and ZERO compensation for his damages, his time, his witness testimony and his help provided to the authorities!

The Victim **demands** recompense **money from the State And Federal authorities!**

One Of Their Attack Procedures - Documented

Here is just one of the ways Google and the DNC's Nick Denton attack tabloids (Gawker, Gizmodo, Jalopnik, etc.) partnered to attack whistle-blowers under orders from Senators Boxer, Pelosi Feinstein, Reid, Harris and others.

ROBERT EPSTEIN hereby verifies the following:

Authorities in the UK have finally figured out that fake news stories and Russian-placed ads are not the real problem. The UK Parliament is about to impose stiff penalties—not on the people who place the ads or write the stories, but on the Big Tech platforms that determine which ads and stories people actually see.

Parliament’s plans will almost surely be energized by the latest leak of damning material from inside Google’s fortress of secrecy: The Wall Street Journal recently reported on emails exchanged among Google employees in January 2017 in which they strategized about how to alter Google search results and other “ephemeral experiences” to counter President Donald Trump’s newly imposed travel ban. The company claims that none of these plans was ever implemented, but who knows?

While U.S. authorities have merely held hearings, EU authorities have taken dramatic steps in recent years to limit the powers of Big Tech, most recently with a comprehensive law that protects user privacy—the General Data Protection Regulation—and a whopping \$5.1 billion fine against Google for monopolistic practices in the mobile device market. Last year, the European Union also levied a \$2.7 billion fine against Google for filtering and ordering search results in a way that favored their own products and services. That filtering and ordering, it turns out, is of crucial importance.

As years of research I’ve been conducting on online influence has shown, content per se is not the real threat these days; what really matters is (a) which content is selected for users to see, and (b) the way that content is ordered in search results, search suggestions, news feeds, message feeds, comment lists, and so on. That’s where the power lies to shift opinions, purchases, and votes, and that power is held by a disturbingly small group of people.

I say “these days” because the explosive growth of a handful of massive platforms on the internet—the largest, by far, being Google and the next largest being Facebook—has changed everything. Millions of people and organizations are constantly trying to get their content in front of our eyes, but for more than 2.5 billion people around the world—soon to be more than 4 billion—the responsibility for what algorithms do should always lie with the people who wrote the algorithms and the companies that deployed them.

In randomized, controlled, peer-reviewed research I’ve conducted with thousands of people, I’ve shown repeatedly that when people are undecided, I can shift their opinions on just about any topic just by changing how I filter and order the information I show them. I’ve also shown that when, in multiple searches, I show people more and more information that favors one candidate, I can shift opinions even farther. Even more disturbing, I can do these things in ways that are completely invisible to people and in ways that don’t leave paper trails for authorities to trace.

Worse still, these new forms of influence often rely on ephemeral content—information that is generated on the fly by an algorithm and then disappears forever, which means that it would be difficult, if not impossible, for authorities to reconstruct. If, on Election Day this coming November, Mark Zuckerberg decides to broadcast go-out-and-vote reminders mainly to members of one political party, how would we be able to detect such a manipulation? If we can’t detect it, how would we be able

to reduce its impact? And how, days or weeks later, would we be able to turn back the clock to see what happened?

Of course, companies like Google and Facebook emphatically reject the idea that their search and newsfeed algorithms are being tweaked in ways that could meddle in elections. Doing so would undermine the public's trust in their companies, spokespeople have said. They insist that their algorithms are complicated, constantly changing, and subject to the "organic" activity of users.

This is, of course, sheer nonsense. Google can adjust its algorithms to favor any candidate it chooses no matter what the activity of users might be, just as easily as I do in my experiments. As legal scholar Frank Pasquale noted in his recent book "The Black Box Society," blaming algorithms just doesn't cut it; the responsibility for what an algorithm does should always lie with the people who wrote the algorithm and the companies that deployed the algorithm. Alan Murray, president of Fortune, recently framed the issue this way: "Rule one in the Age of AI: Humans remain accountable for decisions, even when made by machines."

Given that 95 percent of donations from Silicon Valley generally go to Democrats, it's hard to imagine that the algorithms of companies like Facebook and Google don't favor their favorite candidates. A newly leaked video of a 2016 meeting at Google shows without doubt that high-ranking Google executives share a strong political preference, which could easily be expressed in algorithms. The favoritism might be deliberately programmed or occur simply because of unconscious bias. Either way, votes and opinions shift.

It's also hard to imagine how, in any election in the world, with or without intention on the part of company employees, Google search results would fail to tilt toward one candidate. Google's search algorithm certainly has no equal-time rule built into it; we wouldn't want it to! We want it to tell us what's best, and the algorithm will indeed always favor one dog food over another, one music service over another, and one political candidate over another. When the latter happens ... votes and opinions shift.

Here are 10 ways—seven of which I am actively studying and quantifying—that Big Tech companies could use to shift millions of votes this coming November with no one the wiser. Let's hope, of course, that these methods are not being used and will never be used, but let's be realistic too; there's generally no limit to what people will do when money and power are on the line.

1. Search Engine Manipulation Effect (SEME)

Ongoing research I began in January 2013 has shown repeatedly that when one candidate is favored over another in search results, voting preferences among undecided voters shift dramatically—by 20 percent or more overall, and by up to 80 percent in some demographic groups. This is partly because people place inordinate trust in algorithmically generated output, thinking, mistakenly, that algorithms are inherently objective and impartial.

But my research also suggests that we are conditioned to believe in high-ranking search results in much the same way that rats are conditioned to press levers in Skinner boxes. Because most searches are for

simple facts (“When was Donald Trump born?”), and because correct answers to simple questions inevitably turn up in the first position, we are taught, day after day, that the higher a search result appears in the list, the more true it must be. When we finally search for information to help us make a tough decision (“Who’s better for the economy, Trump or Clinton?”), we tend to believe the information on the web pages to which high-ranking search results link.

As The Washington Post reported last year, in 2016, I led a team that developed a system for monitoring the election-related search results Google, Bing, and Yahoo were showing users in the months leading up to the presidential election, and I found pro-Clinton bias in all 10 search positions on the first page of Google’s search results. Google responded, as usual, that it has “never re-ranked search results on any topic (including elections) to manipulate political sentiment”—but I never claimed it did. I found what I found, namely that Google’s search results favored Hillary Clinton; “re-ranking”—an obtuse term Google seems to have invented to confuse people—is irrelevant.

Because (a) many elections are very close, (b) 90 percent of online searches in most countries are conducted on just one search engine (Google), and (c) internet penetration is high in most countries these days—higher in many countries than it is in the United States—it is possible that the outcomes of up to 25 percent of the world’s national elections are now being determined by Google’s search algorithm, even without deliberate manipulation on the part of company employees. Because, as I noted earlier, Google’s search algorithm is not constrained by equal-time rules, it almost certainly ends up favoring one candidate over another in most political races, and that shifts opinions and votes.

2. Search Suggestion Effect (SSE)

When Google first introduced autocomplete search suggestions—those short lists you see when you start to type an item into the Google search bar—it was supposedly meant to save you some time. Whatever the original rationale, those suggestions soon turned into a powerful means of manipulation that Google appears to use aggressively.

My recent research suggests that (a) Google starts to manipulate your opinions from the very first character you type, and (b) by fiddling with the suggestions it shows you, Google can turn a 50–50 split among undecided voters into a 90–10 split with no one knowing. I call this manipulation the Search Suggestion Effect (SSE), and it is one of the most powerful behavioral manipulations I have ever seen in my nearly 40 years as a behavioral scientist.

How will you know whether Google is messing with your election-related search suggestions in the weeks leading up to the election? You won’t.

3. The Targeted Messaging Effect (TME)

If, on Nov. 8, 2016, Mr. Zuckerberg had sent go-out-and-vote reminders just to supporters of Mrs. Clinton, that would likely have given her an additional 450,000 votes. I’ve extrapolated that number from Facebook’s own published data.

Because Zuckerberg was overconfident in 2016, I don’t believe he sent those messages, but he is surely not overconfident this time around. In fact, it’s possible that, at this very moment, Facebook and other

companies are sending out targeted register-to-vote reminders, as well as targeted go-out-and-vote reminders in primary races. Targeted go-out-and-vote reminders might also favor one party on Election Day in November.

My associates and I are building systems to monitor such things, but because no systems are currently in place, there is no sure way to tell whether Twitter, Google, and Facebook (or Facebook's influential offshoot, Instagram) are currently tilting their messaging. No law or regulation specifically forbids the practice, and it would be an easy and economical way to serve company needs. Campaign donations cost money, after all, but tilting your messaging to favor one candidate is free.

4. Opinion Matching Effect (OME)

In March 2016, and continuing for more than seven months until Election Day, Tinder's tens of millions of users could not only swipe to find sex partners, they could also swipe to find out whether they should vote for Trump or Clinton. The website iSideWith.com—founded and run by “two friends” with no obvious qualifications—claims to have helped more than 49 million people match their opinions to the right candidate. Both CNN and USA Today have run similar services, currently inactive.

I am still studying and quantifying this type of, um, helpful service, but so far it looks like (a) opinion matching services tend to attract undecided voters—precisely the kinds of voters who are most vulnerable to manipulation, and (b) they can easily produce opinion shifts of 30 percent or more without people's awareness.

At this writing, iSideWith is already helping people decide who they should vote for in the 2018 New York U.S. Senate race, the 2018 New York gubernatorial race, the 2018 race for New York District 10 of the U.S. House of Representatives, and, believe it or not, the 2020 presidential race. Keep your eyes open for other matching services as they turn up, and ask yourself this: Who wrote those algorithms, and how can we know whether they are biased toward one candidate or party?

5. Answer Bot Effect (ABE)

More and more these days, people don't want lists of thousands of search results, they just want the answer, which is being supplied by personal assistants like Google Home devices, the Google Assistant on Android devices, Amazon's Alexa, Apple's Siri, and Google's featured snippets—those answer boxes at the top of Google search results. I call the opinion shift produced by such mechanisms the Answer Bot Effect (ABE).

My research on Google's answer boxes shows three things so far: First, they reduce the time people spend searching for more information. Second, they reduce the number of times people click on search results. And third, they appear to shift opinions 10 to 30 percent more than search results alone do. I don't yet know exactly how many votes can be shifted by answer bots, but in a national election in the United States, the number might be in the low millions.

6. Shadowbanning

Recently, Trump complained that Twitter was preventing conservatives from reaching many of their followers on that platform through shadowbanning, the practice of quietly hiding a user's posts without the user knowing. The validity of Trump's specific accusation is arguable, but the fact remains that any platform on which people have followers or friends can be rigged in a way to suppress the views and influence of certain individuals without people knowing the suppression is taking place. Unfortunately, without aggressive monitoring systems in place, it's hard to know for sure when or even whether shadowbanning is occurring.

7. Programmed Virality and the Digital Bandwagon Effect

Big Tech companies would like us to believe that virality on platforms like YouTube or Instagram is a profoundly mysterious phenomenon, even while acknowledging that their platforms are populated by tens of millions of fake accounts that might affect virality.

In fact, there is an obvious situation in which virality is not mysterious at all, and that is when the tech companies themselves decide to shift high volumes of traffic in ways that suit their needs. And aren't they always doing this? Because Facebook's algorithms are secret, if an executive decided to bestow instant Instagram stardom on a pro-Elizabeth Warren college student, we would have no way of knowing that this was a deliberate act and no way of countering it.

The same can be said of the virality of YouTube videos and Twitter campaigns; they are inherently competitive—except when company employees or executives decide otherwise. Google has an especially powerful and subtle way of creating instant virality using a technique I've dubbed the Digital Bandwagon Effect. Because the popularity of websites drives them higher in search results, and because high-ranking search results increase the popularity of websites (SEME), Google has the ability to engineer a sudden explosion of interest in a candidate or cause with no one—perhaps even people at the companies themselves—having the slightest idea they've done so. In 2015, I published a mathematical model showing how neatly this can work.

8. The Facebook Effect

Because Facebook's ineptness and dishonesty have squeezed it into a digital doghouse from which it might never emerge, it gets its own precinct on my list.

In 2016, I published an article detailing five ways that Facebook could shift millions of votes without people knowing: biasing its trending box, biasing its center newsfeed, encouraging people to look for election-related material in its search bar (which it did that year!), sending out targeted register-to-vote reminders, and sending out targeted go-out-and-vote reminders.

I wrote that article before the news stories broke about Facebook's improper sharing of user data with multiple researchers and companies, not to mention the stories about how the company permitted fake news stories to proliferate on its platform during the critical days just before the November election—problems the company is now trying hard to mitigate. With the revelations mounting, on July 26, 2018, Facebook suffered the largest one-day drop in stock value of any company in history, and now it's facing a shareholder lawsuit and multiple fines and investigations in both the United States and the EU.

Facebook desperately needs new direction, which is why I recently called for Zuckerberg's resignation. The company, in my view, could benefit from the new perspectives that often come with new leadership.

9. Censorship

I am cheating here by labeling one category "censorship," because censorship—the selective and biased suppression of information—can be perpetrated in so many different ways.

Shadow-banning could be considered a type of censorship, for example, and in 2016, a Facebook whistle-blower claimed he had been on a company team that was systematically removing conservative news stories from Facebook's news-feed. Now, because of Facebook's carelessness with user data, the company is openly taking pride in rapidly shutting down accounts that appear to be Russia-connected—even though company representatives sometimes acknowledge that they "don't have all the facts."

Meanwhile, Zuckerberg has crowed about his magnanimity in preserving the accounts of people who deny the Holocaust, never mentioning the fact that provocative content propels traffic that might make him richer. How would you know whether Facebook was selectively suppressing material that favored one candidate or political party? You wouldn't. (For a detailed look at nine ways Google censors content, see my essay "The New Censorship," published in 2016.)

10. The Digital Customization Effect (DCE)

Any marketer can tell you how important it is to know your customer. Now, think about that simple idea in a world in which Google has likely collected the equivalent of millions of Word pages of information about you. If you randomly display a banner ad on a web page, out of 10,000 people, only five are likely to click on it; that's the CTR—the "click-through rate" (0.05 percent). But if you target your ad, displaying it only to people whose interests it matches, you can boost your CTR a hundredfold.

That's why Google, Facebook, and others have become increasingly obsessed with customizing the information they show you: They want you to be happily and mindlessly clicking away on the content they show you.

In the research I conduct, my impact is always larger when I am able to customize information to suit people's backgrounds. Because I know very little about the participants in my experiments, however, I am able to do so in only feeble ways, but the tech giants know everything about you—even things you don't know about yourself. This tells me that the effect sizes I find in my experiments are probably too low. The impact that companies like Google are having on our lives is quite possibly much larger than I think it is. Perhaps that GOVT doesn't scare you, but it sure scares me.

The Same Direction

OK, you say, so much for Epstein's list! What about those other shenanigans we've heard about: voter fraud (Trump's explanation for why he lost the popular vote), gerrymandering, rigged voting machines, targeted ads placed by Cambridge Analytica, votes cast over the internet, or, as I mentioned earlier,

those millions of bots designed to shift opinions. What about hackers like Andrés Sepúlveda, who spent nearly a decade using computer technology to rig elections in Latin America? What about all the ways new technologies make dirty tricks easier in elections? And what about those darn Russians, anyway?

To all that I say: kid stuff. Dirty tricks have been around since the first election was held millennia ago. But unlike the new manipulative tools controlled by Google and Facebook, the old tricks are competitive—it's your hacker versus my hacker, your bots versus my bots, your fake news stories versus my fake news stories—and sometimes illegal, which is why Sepúlveda's efforts failed many times and why Cambridge Analytica is dust.

“Cyberwar,” a new book by political scientist Kathleen Hall Jamieson, reminds us that targeted ads and fake news stories can indeed shift votes, but the numbers are necessarily small. It's hard to overwhelm your competitor when he or she can play the same games you are playing.

Now, take a look at my numbered list. The techniques I've described can shift millions of votes without people's awareness, and because they are controlled by the platforms themselves, they are entirely noncompetitive. If Google or Facebook or Twitter wants to shift votes, there is no way to counteract their manipulations. In fact, at this writing, there is not even a credible way of detecting those manipulations.

And what if the tech giants are all leaning in the same political direction? What if the combined weight of their subtle and untraceable manipulative power favors one political party? If 150 million people vote this November in the United States, with 20 percent still undecided at this writing (that's 30 million people), I estimate that the combined weight of Big Tech manipulations could easily shift upwards of 12 million votes without anyone knowing. That's enough votes to determine the outcomes of hundreds of close local, state, and congressional races throughout the country, which makes the free-and-fair election little more than an illusion.

Full disclosure: I happen to think that the political party currently in favor in Silicon Valley is, by a hair (so to speak), the superior party at the moment. But I also love America and democracy, and I believe that the free-and-fair election is the bedrock of our political system. I don't care how “right” these companies might be; lofty ends do not justify shady means, especially when those means are difficult to see and not well understood by either authorities or the public.

Can new regulations or laws save us from the extraordinary powers of manipulation the Big Tech companies now possess? Maybe, but our leaders seem to be especially regulation-shy these days, and I doubt, in any case, whether laws and regulations will ever be able to keep up with the new kinds of threats that new technologies will almost certainly pose in coming years.

I don't believe we are completely helpless, however. I think that one way to turn Facebook, Google, and the innovative technology companies that will succeed them, into responsible citizens is to set unsophisticated monitoring systems that detect, analyze, and archive what they're showing people—in effect, to fight technology with technology.

As I mentioned earlier, in 2016, I led a team that monitored search results on multiple search engines. That was a start, but we can do much better. These days, I'm working with business associates and academic colleagues on three continents to scale up systems to monitor a wide range of information the Big Tech companies are sharing with their users—even the spoken answers provided by personal assistants. Ultimately, a worldwide ecology of passive monitoring systems will make these companies accountable to the public, with information bias and online manipulation detectable in real time.

With November drawing near, there is obviously some urgency here. At this writing, it's not clear whether we will be fully operational in time to monitor the midterm elections, but we're determined to be ready for 2020.

- Robert Epstein is a senior research psychologist at the American Institute for Behavioral Research and Technology in California.

The members of the Silicon Valley Cartel, and the Senators that they own, created the above-listed tactics and deploy them against their competitors and whistle-blowers, in violation of human rights and civil rights laws.

The preps manually set-up these digital attack processes. They are not “glitches” or “bugs”.

All of the above tactics have been documented being used by Google, Facebook, YouTube and LinkedIn, against victim, as reprisal, on orders from U.S. Senator

Senators Are Your Attackers And Your Business Competitors

When the White House puts a [hit-job](#) on you, you probably won't survive it. They, and the crooked California Senators that facilitate such payback and reprisal attacks, are really good at state-sponsored revenge tactics.

At least you will know that the crime you reported was real. You will get a bit of vindication on top of the death threats, Fusion GPS bots, Black Cube trolls, and Nick Denton character assassination screeds.

You will know for sure that the political mobster-thing you whistle-blew on was about to take down ***The President of the United States*** if it got traction in the news. The "main-stream" Silicon Valley corporation-controlled propaganda news is hard to get around. A multi-trillion dollar embezzlement of public funds could have done it, though.

The government asked the victims to invest their life savings, years of their lives, their health, their brands and their friends money in a federal project. The victims agreed and that got them inside the darkest corridors of Washington, DC. What they saw there shocked them and unraveled an epic crime that affects every citizen in America!

This book documents the crime, the corruption, the reprisals and the state of modern Democracy...

Federal investigators have proven (via records-tracking, financial data, surveillance, insider tips, leaks, etc.) that White House executives, government agency executives (SSA, LSC, GOVT, USPTO, etc.) and California political bosses, including California U.S. Senators, owned interests in the victims competitors worth many billions of dollars. Any citizen can confirm these assertions via public records and the evidence in this report.

The FBI has been arresting major political figures in this organized crime ring in San Francisco City Hall. Those government officials ordered, financed and executed whistle-blower reprisals and anti-trust violating attacks on the victims using state-sponsored, taxpayer-funded resources. Using government resources, they engaged in the illegal reprisal attacks on the victims. Those harms are listed in detail in the claim section of the filed Victim Demand entitled: "*The Specific Attacks And Harms Undertaken Against Plaintiffs*".

Corrupt government officials manipulated SSA, HHS, GOVT, USPTO, DOJ, and other agency, payments, funds, benefits and rights in order to harm and economically damage the victims. They produced and financed a massive media defamation campaign using their Paypal Mafia-based "Silicon Valley Cartel". This 'Cartel': **1.)** finances the political campaigns of the Senators and the other politicians that own stock in their Silicon Valley dirty tech companies, **2.)** is the competitor of the victims, **3.)** shared staff with the named politicians, **4.)** is the nearly exclusive beneficiary of policy actions by those politicians, and **5.)** has a massive number of conflict-of-interest relationships with each of the charged politicians.

The victims reported the attackers crimes to the FBI, DOJ, IG and other officials and got attacked for reporting the crimes, for busting up the organized crime effort and for running companies whose

product technologies obsoleted those of the tech Cartel. The victims are claiming their rights to their damages and fee compensation, but those same public figures have blocked them from an equitable jury trial to address the matter.

The government has provided the attacker/political campaign financiers/competitors of the victims with tens of billions of dollars of free government cash yet the victims have gotten nothing but grief from the public officials who are supposed to help them because a criminal cover-up is in process.

The politicians who got those “bad guys” that free taxpayer-provided money, own the stock of those criminal’s companies, are best friends with those oligarchs and are politically financed by those corrupt players. This organized crime cartel operates the most audacious and corrupt scheme in American political history.

This legal demand is based on first-hand damages, experience, interviews and discussions, with hundreds of government employees and contractors; well-known politicians and their support teams; plus tech CEO’s and their employees. It is also based on FBI records, GAO reports and Congressional forensic experts.

This material has been filed with the FBI, DOJ, FTC, OSC, SEC, SSA, FCC, IG Offices, U.S. Congress and other relevant agencies as please to help the victims of these crimes. This is not just an informational document, it is a demand for justice! Some of the victims have been providing evidence to the DOJ and FBI, in this organized crime investigation, since 1978.

Not only do the Department of Energy, The Inspector General’s office and all of the left-over staffers from the Obama Administration refuse to take any action; they actively operate a cover-up and expend vast amounts of taxpayer dollars to operate this cover-up and to engage in “hit-jobs”, reprisals and revenge tactics against the victims to punish them for whistle-blowing. They do this to hide billions of dollars of dirty stock market profits they engage in to this day. They do this to hide quid pro quo revolving door felony corruption schemes they engage in to this day. They do this to hide their felony crimes that they profit from, via unjust rewards, to this day.

Additionally, some of the material in this demand was provided to the authors by those agencies. While some of this material is politically embarrassing to some of those agencies, all parties acknowledge that this disclosure assists in improved transparency at those agencies.

In every government agency there are three types of workers: 1.) The “good ones”, 2.) the “evil ones” and 3.) the “worker bees”. No matter how many “evil ones” there are at a given agency or department, it only takes ten “good ones” to bust them and get them fired or arrested. The “worker bee” ones tend to support the “good ones” in order to avoid disruption. This report could not have existed without the help of a few of the Elliot Ness-type “good ones” from each agency.

The dictionary defines an ***Omerta*** (*ō-mûr'tə, ō'mër-tä'*) as: ► n., A rule or code that prohibits speaking or divulging information about certain activities, especially the activities of a criminal organization; Alternative spelling of *omertà*.(<https://www.wordnik.com/words/omertà>); a code of silence practiced by the Mafia; a refusal to give evidence to the police about criminal activities.

This case is about an *Omerta* practiced by well-known public officials and their Silicon Valley

financiers. Calling this crime, both, a “*Capitol Hill Omerta*” and a “*Capital Hill Omerta*” are both appropriate since this involves a White House and Congress corrupted by cash.

Facebook, Google, Amazon, Ebay, Twitter, LinkedIn and Tesla bosses collude with politicians and conspire to run racketeering operations.

Their synchronized and coordinated manipulation of news and media always has only one profiteering intention and always uses tactics which steer the manipulation effects to the benefit of the tech Cartel. The tech Cartel bosses are all exclusive recipients of government cash, jobs, contracts and other payola provided to them as quid pro quo. Their scam is operated for the oligarchs by: 1.) technology law firms, 2.) CPA corporations, 3.) “bundlers” and 4.) lobbyists that run the whole corrupt process for these crooks. ***These four groups, more than any other parties MUST be FBI raided, outlawed and shut down by Congressional action! They are the biggest mobsters in the whole scheme!***

These crooks interact, every moment, with billions of average people around the world without oversight. There is no effective Congressional or public monitoring of these oligarchs. No other companies in the world are allowed the same access to government and the same exemption from the law. Their access and position is based on taxpayer financed resources which only *they* are allowed to use because of their bribe payments to famous politicians. In other words: ***You, the public, paid for the government resources and perks they use to operate their abusive monopolies.***

They have used their monopolistic, extremist, synchronized access to control public and government impressions, policies, elections, social moods and perceptions for their ideological and profiteering goals. Bribes in the form of cash, stock, advertising, revolving door jobs, sex workers, real estate, and hundreds of other kinds of payola, have been paid to *most* of the U.S. Congress and regulatory agency staff.

They meet covertly in restaurant and bar back-rooms (ie: “The AngelGate Scandal”), hotel suites, Aspen resorts and private mansions in order to plot and scheme their coordinated efforts. They control significant numbers of executives at the FBI, GOVT, FTC, DOT, SEC and other agencies, who, under non-compromised conditions, would normally arrest or indict them. They have an extraordinary number of ex-CIA, ex-IN-Q-TEL and ex-Mossad spy staff employed at their companies because they enjoy the power of spy trade craft tools to manipulate business and politics.

They tell their naive young employees one thing and use their work-efforts to accomplish another thing: Their political goals!

They use Scientology and Rajneesh cult-like ‘*mindfulness*’ and ‘*holistic*’ echo-chambering to exploit their employees.

They have staged a nearly one trillion dollar government funds kickback operation, via the highly placed government officials they control, in a massive quid pro quo and stock market manipulation schemes.

FBI and DOJ bosses (including Comey, Holder, McCabe, etc.) were fully aware of these facts because they received written reports stating this facts as early as 2008. They covered up the crimes of the Cartel in order to protect their profiteering insider partners.

Very few people understand how the Silicon Valley media Cartel's subliminal messaging, mood manipulation and intention triggering technologies work and how they can be used to cause physical, emotional and cultural harm on an epic scale. The mass manipulation power of the Cartel is astonishing and VERY hard for the average person to comprehend from a technological and psychological perspective. In the 2020 elections, San Francisco voters overwhelmingly approved a ballot measure to impose an extra tax on any big tech company that pays its highest-paid employee over 100 times more than its median worker. This was a direct attack on the corrupt bosses of Tesla, Google, Facebook, and their ilk, that our team organized.

The ballot question was [approved](#) by voters,. As the [ballot question](#) states, the new tax is to be imposed on "businesses in San Francisco when their highest-paid managerial employee earns more than 100 times the median compensation paid to their employees in San Francisco."

This is because 1.) ***criminal tech oligarchs*** pay-off 2.) ***politicians*** and their 3.) ***Silicon Valley billionaire political manipulator buddies*** with a menage-et-trios bribe payola three-way scheme using money from public taxpayers and public shareholders. It is a ring of corruption that never stops spinning and the crooks are funded by public money. Eric Schmidt, Elon Musk, Larry Page, Harvey Weinstein, Jeffrey Epstein, Mark Zuckerberg, and the rest, engage in unspeakable acts using so much public money that they make Al Capone pale in comparison.

This Cartel of oligarchs and politicians have "***Kill Teams***" of hired tech mercenaries and media attackers that they pay to terminate members of the public, the media and smaller business that expose them or compete with them. Those attackers are identified, by name, in this book, and their tactics are exposed herein. In the DOJ case against Google (***Case # 1:20-cv-03010***), it is quite clear that Google is at the tip of an organized crime empire and a conspiracy to control news and commerce.

To ignore, or cover up, the facts that these companies are a Mafia-class organized crime Cartel is to subject the national population to a risk greater than that of the entire old Italian Mafia!

The links herein point to over 2 million pages of confirming evidence documents and over 60 hours of documentary and surveillance videos already provided to, or by, government investigators. This massive volume of evidence provides indisputable proof of the assertions herein by the people who were "*in the room*" and who witnessed these crimes!

The only reason the Google's of the world are afraid of the truth is because they cannot exist in the face of the facts! The tech Cartel's greed made them forget the old rule: "*Dirty deeds done in the dark will always come to light!*"

When you talk to a public official who is involved in these cover-ups, they will lie to you. In almost every instance, the officials we asked to solve these problems turned out to be simpering, pandering, extremist zealots who will say anything to deny, distract, defer and delay justice and interdiction in these matters. The most famous senators, mayors and White House personnel were all discovered to be putting cash in their bank accounts, getting jobs, getting sex and buying mansions from the profits of these crimes.

In fact, NSA surveillance; DOJ surveillance; government agency mail server records; corporate mail server records; Google email records; Yahoo email records; Facebook storage records; LinkedIn archive records; tattoos; personal email and texting files; social media postings; photographs of the parties of interest at protests, riots, marches and social parties; their voting records; their financial stock

ownership records; statements from their co-workers; financial records of their political contributions; their contacts lists on their smartphones and MS Outlook; adjacent building video surveillance cameras; stickers and signs on their cars and homes; AI processed analytical decision trees of the rulings they have made within government agencies; their job applications records from Craigslist, Monster, Taleo, etc; and a massive amount of other FBI-quality evidence; has proven that there does not appear to be anybody who worked on the victims case who was not an extremist, socialist, pro-anarchy activist. If any agency can prove otherwise, we are delighted to see the evidence. Federal IT officials have stated that almost every person who has touched, moved, ordered, requested or reviewed the Plaintiffs files is documented and it is easy to use NSA or Palantir-type software to rapidly confirm the assertion.

taxpayer funded government resources were used to harm and damage the Plaintiffs as reprisal for their assistance to law enforcement.

Thus, State and Federal agencies owe Plaintiffs funds to compensate for those harms and damages.

Forensic investigative history has now proven that those government officials at various agencies were either financed by, friends, with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; applicant's business adversaries, or the Senators and agency politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political digital search manipulation services to.

That was a violation of Plaintiffs State and Federal Constitutional rights, all of Plaintiffs civil rights and a violation of a vast number of laws.

There are thousands and thousands of news reports, Inspector General reports and staff reports about FBI officials engaging in political bias and/or retaliation using government resources. The articles, like:

<https://truepundit.com/welcome-to-the-worst-fbi-field-office-millions-of-dollars-wasted-as-agents-get-tailed-by-other-fbi-agents-trouble-makers-get-labeled-as-terrorists/>

and

<https://truepundit.com/welcome-to-the-worst-fbi-field-office-in-america-dea-calls-it-a-real-sht-show/>

AND

<https://www.usatoday.com/story/nation/2021/07/20/penny-nance-intel-community-targets-americans-what-i-learned-from-fbis-assessment-of-me-my-organization/>

AND

<https://theconservativetreehouse.com/blog/2021/07/20/inspector-general-outlines-more-gross-fbi-misconduct-with-senior-officials-and-media-collusion/>

... are terrifying.

Columnist [Kurt Schlichter](#) writes that with the latest revelation of massive FBI incompetence/corruption – turns out the G-men ended up not just screwing up the gymnastics pervert case but lying about it under oath – you have to wonder something. We keep getting told that the rank-and-file are A-OK, that it's just a few bad apples up on the top floor who are framing people, botching investigations, not stopping mass killers, urging intrafamilial narcing, and busting LEGO insurrectionists. Fine, great, dandy. So, where are all the good apples?

Who quit in protest?

Who resigned and went public?

Who said “No?”

If I was more technically savvy, this is where I would insert a GIF of a tumbleweed blowin' across the range.

Your oath or your pension is the choice, and the pension seems to prevail almost every single time. Not always – here a Space Force light colonel sacrifices his command to tell the truth, there a teacher gets fired for refusing to indoctrinate his students. But it's an anomaly, like we were told the bad apples are an anomaly. Abandon your delusion that the good apples shall soon rise up and put things right. Have you seen a tsunami of right-putting?

And not just at the FBI, an agency so utterly corrupted and broken that President DeSantis should fire the director, order all the agents to the streets to patrol for jaywalkers, then demolish the hideous HQ building and sow the ground beneath it with salt. No, the good apples shortage is everywhere in government, from the feds down to your local flatfoot. And its in our other institutions too.

Who was it who busted mommies for felony kids-not-wearing-face-thongs-while-alone-and-outside? It wasn't that malignant gnome Fauci. It wasn't some MSNBCNN Baghdad Bob. It was local cops who did the bidding of their masters when the bidding was obviously bullSchiff. But they did it. They did the bidding. And we can't unsee it.

How about in colleges? We hear about how bad it is and how scared everyone is, and we learn that a lot of professors really, really don't like this CRT fascism stuff. But with few exceptions, they do nothing. They censor themselves, conform, and hope that somehow this will all blow over.

How about the media? Where are the journalist good apples there who stand up for objectivity, truth, and not being the ventriloquist dummies of the DNC? Almost all we see are bad apples, or a *pomme de terre* in the case of Brian Stelter, who is a potato.

The same with our military, fresh off its ignominious defeat in Afghanistan. Name me the general or admiral who quit because of this CRT idiocy. Maybe some did quietly, just running out the clock and bailing, but have they stood up and called out the Kendi clique at the Pentagon? A bunch of vets in Congress just punched out [a devastating report](#) on how broken the Navy is – the answer is “very” – and the question that comes to mind is “Where were the leaders?” The deadly nonsense that the report

highlights did not just happen. It was the result of choices, including the choice not to shout “What the hell are you people thinking?”

Who made the choice to do that? No one. No, they made the choice to put their heads down and keep going, pretending [to remain calm because all is well](#).

All is not well, not remotely.

Many serve in silence, grumbling to the few friends that they can trust. They know this is all wrong, but they have a mortgage and besides, if all the good apples leave, who is left?

But does it matter that the institutions are all bad apples if the good apples never do a damn thing to stop them?

No. What they do is buck up the institutions that we should let collapse from the weight of their own mendacity and failure. The good apples are enablers. Bad apples need the good apples. And the good apples are good little apples, showing up for work, following orders, mentally excusing themselves from their participation in the abominations that our institutions have become.

I guess it’s easy for me to tell some FBI guy with a kid in college assigned to bust granny tourist “insurrectionists,” or a two-star who always wanted to be a division commander and will get that gig if he just stays quiet about how the Army is turning into a bitter punchline, to do the right thing. I don’t have to pay the piper; they do. But then, they took an oath. They promised to do the right thing. No one ever said the right thing is easy. No one ever *promised* that the right thing won’t carry consequences. They signed up for that. And they should do it.

Is it easy for me to say? Yeah. does that make it false? Nope.

Listen, everyone who is part of an institution – FBI agents, soldiers, journalists, professors, dog catchers, and whatever the hell they call the people in the Space Force. Stop enabling the rot. You must report the corruption and incompetence you see, loudly and publicly. You must stand up and say “No.” And maybe you even need to quit.

But you don’t get to be silently complicit and pretend you’re a good apple.

Reprisal, Vendetta, Payback, Retribution, Retaliation, Revenge

This is about the actual corruption, crimes and attacks a group of “Plaintiffs” experienced. This is the legacy that will follow the perpetrators throughout history. Long after the crooks documented here have passed away, the world will still know their crimes and corruption.

This material is not about political ideologies.

It is not about Democrats or Republicans.

It is about ***racketeering, insider trading and organized crime***.

The victims are all natural born United States citizen(s) residing in America who worked for, and with, the State and Federal government as contractor/employees in technology, intelligence and/or law enforcement roles and had relationships with White House, Mayoral, Senate and Congressional executives (and their financiers) who provided knowledge of the stated crimes. They are not associated with any political party and self-identify as “independent” voters.

The Plaintiffs suffered harms and damages from a felony organized crime operated by County, State and Federal public officials, per forensic investigators, FBI, Congressional reports and filed federal FOIA request responses pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), (5 U.S.C. § 552 et seq.) and the implementing regulations of the United States government, and applicable laws. The Plaintiffs are seeking to acquire compensation for their damages and expose and curtail this type of corruption for all of time.

Via standard legal and forensic accounting metrics the damages to some of the members of the public who were harmed by this corruption can be easily calculated. The Plaintiffs in this “Omerta” corruption case are seeking an award of \$1.5 billion dollars, per the list of harms in the sections below, from the Federal Treasury to compensate them for the proven harms and damages caused to the Plaintiffs. Further, they are seeking damages and back-pay funds from the general State and Federal Treasury funds.

For contrast, taxpayer-financed government ‘payola’ and crony conduit funds in excess of **\$18 billion (Tesla)** and **\$49 billion (Google)**, respectively, have been given to each of two of Plaintiffs competitors, who then made many times those amounts by profiteering off those funds via criminal and corrupt activities. Those two insider examples are only two of dozens of technology oligarch-operated examples in the ***Cartel*** that government officials profited from, but these two are prime examples of the criminal activities engaged in, and covered up, at the expense of Plaintiffs who were attacked for reporting the crimes.

Both of those entities are now under federal investigation and civil charges for racketeering and organized crime. It is shocking, to every voting citizen, that such criminal entities would receive such a massive volume of corrupt quid-pro-quo largess while law-abiding Plaintiffs technologies and products, which obsolete those competitors products on every metric, would be blockaded. Plaintiffs were attacked using state-sponsored resources, due to bribery and crimes by public officials, who own stock and benefits in those competitors.

Plaintiffs have also been blockaded from their rights to legal council and equitable legal representation because this case exposes and embarrasses famous public officials who seek a cover-up. Nonetheless,

denying Plaintiffs their civil and Constitutional rights is one of the biggest forms of human rights violations in the United States of America!

In one example, and for reference, we examine the United States Department of Energy government ‘loan’ program which was a “*stimulus fund*” authorized under section 136 of the [Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007](#).

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Energy_Independence_and_Security_Act_of_2007)

Congress provided the program with \$25 billion in loan authority, supported by a \$7.5 billion appropriation to fund the credit subsidy. All the money cam from you, the taxpayer.

The ATVM, LGP and battery funds were *designed by lobbyists* to benefit corrupt politicians and their billionaire financiers and to kill off their competitors.

Recent reports, such as:

<https://www.sfgate.com/business/article/Inside-eBay-s-Cockroach-Cult-The-Ghastly-Story-15599604.php>)

prove that tech Cartel members: Ebay, Amazon, Tesla, [Facebook](#)

(<http://popurls.com/go/pop/lbae924f6b574ffcc129f0f361e411de8>),

Google, Netflix, et al; maintain para-military attack and surveillance geek armies, *within* their organizations, to go after competitors, whistle-blowers and those that their owners dislike.

These “*Kill Teams*” have CIA-like tools and funding to “target and destroy” any member of the public. Department of Energy officials pointed the finger at targets and paid some of these strike-force operatives via quid-pro-quo.

Many of the leaders of these political assassination teams were hired from the previous White House administration. Obama PR Director Jay Carney, who now works for Amazon, was documenting suggesting a hit on employees who spoke out about warehouse safety. Congressional leader Devin Nunes was targeted by six of these companies. The Tesla ‘Intimidation Director ‘ was documented in court records running attack vectors against Tesla employee Martin Tripp because he reported safety cover-ups

([Watch This Pedestrian http://popurls.com/go/pop/194e3e600c2346835275b4ca0ceac31ad](http://popurls.com/go/pop/194e3e600c2346835275b4ca0ceac31ad))

at the Tesla factory. The documents provided prove that [tech billionaires](#)

(<http://popurls.com/go/pop/1e7bddc602d893b8ebdc36ea1357df4ad>)

hire, and partner with, White House staff to destroy the lives of American citizens who compete with, or report the crimes of those entities.

The U.S. Government worked closely with these *Kill Teams* in order to sabotage competitors of their insiders. [Explosive New FBI Texts](#)

(<https://www.allsides.com/news/2020-09-25-1011/trump-was-right-explosive-new-fbi-texts-detail-internal-furor-over-handling>)

prove that even the highest levels of law enforcement help put hit-jobs on those they disagree with!

Corrupt government officials used a process, known in intelligence community trade craft circles as a “*Vendetta Cycle*”, to ‘kill’ citizens. The process involves cutting off every outside source of income, running defamation media campaigns to forestall any future income, forcing the targets to be exclusively reliant on government funds *but* making the funds so minimal that the citizens could not

afford to pay lawyers to help fight the attacks. The ‘Vendetta Cycle’ process blocks the targets from their money, their legal rights and their freedom. The process is repeated endlessly, and the government funds reduced, over time, until the victim is terminated.

This reprisal revenge process can be operated by just a handful of Senators and White House staff but the results are always lethal. It is 100% illegal and a vast violation of the United States Constitution but it is used constantly by political sociopath Senators. The process is described in leaked CIA, Russian and British spy manuals and widely covered by Glenn Greenwald and ICIJ reporters.

Even though the law in California (Cal. Lab. Code § § 1050 to 1053) says that an entity can't prevent or attempt to prevent former workers from getting work through misrepresentation, knowingly permit or fail to take reasonable steps to prevent blacklisting, or make a statement about why an employee was discharged or left employment, implying something other than what is explicitly said, or providing information that was not requested: ***It is done every day in Silicon Valley.***

The "*Silicon Valley No Poaching Black-List*" class-action lawsuit was about this issue. Federal FAR Section 9.104-1 (d), and related laws, apply. Blacklisting is a key part of the IC Vendetta Cycle attacks. The victims also suffered damage to their rights under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA) (29 USC Sec. 621, et seq.); the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 USC Sec. 12181, et seq.); the Civil Rights Acts - (42 USC Sec. 2000, et seq.); the Davis-Bacon Act (40 USC Sec. 276a, et seq.); the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) (29 USC Sec. 1001, et seq.); the Equal Pay Act (29 USC Sec. 206[d]) and other violations.

There is a precedent that was set in the US Supreme Court case: *Gideon v. Wainwright*, (1963) that clarifies that you have a right to a lawyer even if you don't have money for one. The Sixth Amendment, as applied to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause gives one their Due Process rights. You need to kill someone, though, to most easily get your free lawyer, since the court-appointed lawyer is rarely ever appointed, on citizens behalf, in a case like this. Even if a defendant is represented by an attorney of his or her choosing, he or she may be entitled to relief on appeal if the attorney did not provide adequate representation. A defendant must demonstrate that the attorney's performance "fell below an objective standard of reasonableness" and that this was prejudicial to the case. See:

Strickland v. Washington (<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/466/668/case.html>) , 466 U.S. 668, 688-92 (1984).

A few “free lawyers”, that victims had tried, turned out to be working for the opposition side. The federal organization: <https://www.lsc.gov> is required to help but has refused because it's administrators were friends with, and appointed by, the public officials charged with corruption in this case.

Killing The Messenger

Plaintiffs, and their peers, who reported these crimes to authorities, were murdered, character assassinated, black-listed, de-funded, had their social security and state benefits cut-off or blockaded and more.

The FBI, special investigators and Congressional researchers say that there were only a handful of powerful people capable of operating attacks of this scale and sophistication against the Plaintiffs.

In Sweitzer, T. (1996, September). *Kill or be killed*. [Electronic version] Campaigns & Elections, 17(9), 46-47., the process used to “kill” others is described just as it was used against the Plaintiffs. In <https://theintercept.com/2014/02/24/jtrig-manipulation/> the formal process of hired character assassination, exactly as it was used against the Plaintiffs, is detailed. The well-documented process is further outlined in the articles:

[Character assassination - Wikiquote](#)

https://en.wikiquote.org/wiki/Character_assassination

Character assassination is at once easier and surer than physical assault; and it involves far less risk for the assassin. It leaves him free to commit the same deed over and over again, and may, indeed, win him the honors of a hero in the country of his Plaintiffss. Alan Barth, *The Rights of Free Men: An Essential Guide to Civil Liberties* (1984).

[New Snowden Doc Reveals How GCHQ/NSA Use The Internet To ...](#)

<https://www.techdirt.com/articles/20140224/17054826340/new-snowden-doc-reveals-how-gchqnsa-use-internet-to-manipulate-deceive-destroy-reputations.shtml>

A few weeks ago, Glenn **Greenwald**, while working with NBC News, revealed some details of a **GCHQ** presentation concerning how the surveillance organization had a "dirty tricks" group known as JTRIG ...

[Glenn Greenwald on the NSA, GCHQ, and Spying | naked ...](#)

<https://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2013/10/glenn-greenwald-on-the-nsa-gchq-and-spying.html>

Glenn **Greenwald** on the NSA, **GCHQ**, and Spying. Posted on October 4, 2013 by Yves Smith. Yves here. This BBC NewsInsight interview is a remarkable little piece. **Greenwald** confronts a clearly hostile set of questions from the BBC interviewer. ... These kinds of remarks are transparent and vapid and should be dismissed like any other textbook ...

[Greenwald: Leaked Docs Reveal Agency's \(GCHQ\) Digital ...](#)

<https://www.democraticunderground.net/10025241916>

Greenwald: Leaked Docs Reveal Agency's (GCHQ) Digital Propaganda Toolkit. Latest files provided by Edward Snowden show GCHQ's ability to 'manipulate' the Internet using 'hacker's buffet for wreaking online havoc' by Jon Queally, staff writer Common Dreams, July 15, 2014 ...

All of the attackers people are U.S. Government senior officials working in the White House and/or the U.S. Senate and/or their Silicon Valley oligarch political campaign financiers.

Every one of the attack technologies and resources were previously deployed against Presidential candidates in past elections. The providers, operators and compensation conduits of the attack services are known to law enforcement. The payment records have been uncovered, revealing that over \$30M of attack services billings and/or in-kind services exchanges were incurred by the attackers. By way of contrast: \$30M is the typical WEEKLY expenditure for opposition character assassination programs in a U.S. Presidential election using Gawker, Gizmodo, Facebook, Google and Fusion GPS media attack services.

Plaintiffs, and their peers, swear, warrant and certify that this is true. experts can prove in a Grand Jury, Civil Jury and Congressional Hearing that White House staff, U.S. Senators and their Silicon Valley financiers did order, operate and finance a massive "hit-job" on Plaintiffs as revenge/retribution/vendetta because Plaintiffs reported an organized crime activity involving well known public officials.

Every public official who was, by law, supposed to help Plaintiffs has, so far, been proven by investigators to have been in direct business competition with Plaintiffs.

The effort made by political executives to steer the annual expenditure of trillions of dollars of taxpayer funds and stock market profits to one group of friends or another is called "Cronyism" and it is operated by U.S. Senators and White House staff for illicit profiteering purposes.

The corrupt public officials involved here used real estate, stock market ownership, revolving door payola jobs and investment bank accounts to profiteer from each deal that the charged officials pushed.

FBI-level tracking of the covert accounts, trusts, shell corporations, family members and associates of every public official involved in this case proves that they made money by competing with PLAINTIFFS business ventures. The Panama Papers Leaks, Swiss Leaks, Snowden Leaks, and all of the other leaks prove this as fact.

The Assassins:

- How A Modern Character Assassination and Political "Kill Order" Was Executed By Politicians, and their Silicon Valley Oligarchs, Against The PLAINTIFFS:

This is how a vendetta, revenge and political payback operation was implemented against an American taxpayer when a Senator issued a "kill order" on a whistle-blower

Investigations have revealed that the White House and California Senators hired the character assassination and defamation attack services:

Cardinal & Pine; Pacronym, Acronym; The Americano; Investing in US; Shadow Inc; Courier Newsroom; IN-Q-Tel; Gawker Media; Jalopnik; Gizmodo Media; K2 Intelligence; WikiStrat; Podesta Group; Fusion GPS; Google; YouTube; Alphabet; Facebook; Twitter; Think Progress; Media Matters; Black Cube; Correct The Record; Orbis Business Intelligence, Undercover Global Ltd; Stratfor; Jigsaw; ShareBlue/Acronym; Versa LLC; American Ledger; Supermajority News; New Venture Fund; Sixteen Thirty Fund; Cambridge Analytica; Sid Blumenthal; States Newsroom; Hopewell Fund; Open Society.; David Brock; AmpliFire News; American Bridge; Plouffe Consulting; Pantsuit Nation; MotiveAI; American Bridge 21st Century Foundation; Priorities USA; PR Firm Sunshine Sachs; The American Independent Foundation; Covington and Burling; BuzzFeed; The American Independent; Perkins Coie; Secondary Infektion; Wilson Sonsini and thousands more to run hit-jobs, character assassinations, dirty tricks and economic reprisal attacks on any targets who reported the crimes. Each of those companies are now under federal and civil investigation. Most of these businesses offer the service of manipulating elections and news coverage in order to steer stock market profits into the pockets of billionaire clients at the expense of the taxpayer and Democracy. They hide their transactions via money-laundering. All of these services, when focused on individual citizens, are lethal.

These attackers deserve to be punished for the rest of their lives for taking away the lives of others in exchange for remuneration. Any company who is corrupt enough to hire any of these assassins should be forced out of business.

These attack services are responsible for 90% of the "Fake News" problem in the world because they are the authors of most fake news. Congress must act to make these kinds of companies illegal!

These digital assassination services offer hit-jobs, character assassinations and economic reprisal programs to famous billionaires and corrupt politicians who are seeking revenge, retribution and vendetta executions.

The Specific Attacks And Harms Undertaken Against Plaintiffs:

Recent leaks and hacks published by ICIJ, Glenn Greenwald, The Guardian, ProPublica and other sources have revealed that White House staff like John Podesta, Robert Gibbs, John Podesta and others; Department of Energy staff like Steven Chu, Lachlan Seward, Matt Rogers and others; Their financiers from Google like Eric Schmidt, Elon Musk, Larry Page and others; contracted intelligence agency spies to assassinate the lives and income of Plaintiffs.

All of these tactics (And More...) are text book procedures operated daily by CIA, FSB, Mossad, In-Q-Tel, NSA, Chinese Secret Police in the course of their intelligence work. These tactics are widely detailed in leaked CIA and FSB manuals and discussed in length by Glenn Greenwald, Edward Snowden, Julian Assange and others in their writings. California Senators, White House staff and Silicon Valley tech oligarchs are the only known groups to aggressively seek out and hire former employees of the CIA, FSB, Mossad, In-Q-Tel, NSA, and Chinese Secret Police.

The volume and high-end type of attacks, operated against Plaintiffs, prove that the assertions of crimes, at the scope and level asserted in this document, must have happened because there is no other possible reason for these people to have attacked Plaintiffs in these ways.

The attackers all knew each other, benefited from each others actions, shared the same motivations and profits, were all competitive to Plaintiffs, had each threatened Plaintiffs and had their staff whistle-blow on them that they engaged in these crimes! The suspects attacks prove the suspects actions and affiliations.

Federal law enforcement, the United States Congress and the highest level investigators in the U.S., and abroad, have documented (per the "FISA Memo", Congressional Reports and federal employee testimony) and proven the fact that the Obama Administration regularly engaged in the operation of retribution, vendetta and reprisal campaigns known as "hit-jobs" against domestic natural born U.S. citizen domestic taxpayers. The Federal Court, in at least one previous court case, has ruled that the corporation in which Plaintiffs were an investor, in this particular matter, were the Plaintiffs and target of a number of these attacks designed to inflict permanent medical, emotional, character assassination, brand negation, economic and career damage.

Additional Examples Of The Attackers Methods:

<https://bigleaguepolitics.com/they-literally-know-nothing-ben-rhodes-bragged-about-manipulating-clueless-reporters/>

<https://freedom.press/news/revealed-justice-depts-secret-rules-targeting-journalists-fisa-court-orders/>

<https://freedom.press/news/lawsuit-seeks-government-guidelines-surveillance-journalists-leak-investigations-surge/>

<http://www.attacked.biz>

<http://www.google-is-a-mobster.com>

<https://knightcolumbia.org/>

<https://bigleaguepolitics.com/brennan-and-clapper-accused-of-hacking-john-roberts-to-blackmail-him/>

<https://freedom.press/news/revealed-justice-depts-secret-rules-targeting-journalists-fisa-court-orders/>

<https://bigleaguepolitics.com/heres-why-obama-clearly-ordered-the-spying-on-trump/>

<https://dailycaller.com/2018/09/17/lindsey-graham-dems-kavanaugh/>

<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2018/09/okeefe-strikes-again-project-veritas-exposes-doj-official-using-govt-databases-to-stalk-business-owners-video/>

<https://www.politico.com/gallery/16-worst-political-dirty-tricks>

<http://artofverbalwar.com/2016/11/03/quick-dirty-guide-political-debate-tactics/>

<https://politicaldictionary.com/topics/dirty-tricks/>

<https://www.learntoinfluence.com/dirty-tricks-and-office-politics/>

<https://www.motherjones.com/politics/2012/11/election-dirty-tricks/>

<https://www.nytimes.com/2016/10/21/us/politics/video-dnc-trump-rallies.html>

<http://freakonomics.com/2007/11/06/the-complete-history-of-dirty-politics-a-qa-on-anything-for-a-vote/>

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ratfucking>

https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/the-npr-video-and-political-dirty-tricks/2011/03/17/ABbyMym_story.html

https://www.huffingtonpost.com/rich-rubino/dirty-political-tricks-from-american-politics_b_9324226.html

<http://www.electomatic.com/dirty-campaign-techniques/>

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/COINTELPRO>

<https://www.cnn.com/2008/POLITICS/05/29/obamas.first.campaign/>

<https://www.nytimes.com/2016/11/05/us/politics/dirty-tricks-vandalism-and-the-dark-side-of-politics.html>

<https://why.org/articles/political-dirty-tricks-are-a-staple-of-modern-politics/>

<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2018/mar/19/cambridge-analytica-execs-boast-dirty-tricks-honey-traps-elections>

<https://medium.com/@j363j/how-roger-stones-campaign-of-tammany-hall-political-corruption-lead-to-trump-russia-3099d87784e>

<https://www.bridgemi.com/detroit-journalism-cooperative/lawsuits-dirty-tricks-and-angry-ex-wife-detroits-ugliest-election>

<https://www.salon.com/2016/03/25/>

[hillary clintons dirty politics bernie sanders is experiencing the same nasty tricks that clintons campaign dealt obama in 2008/](#)

<http://savannahnow.com/opinion-opinion-columns/2016-10-25/cal-thomas-political-dirty-tricks-then-and-now>

<https://www.cbc.ca/news/politics/5-political-dirty-tricks-we-learned-from-the-robocalls-trial-1.2669924>

https://www.reddit.com/r/dredmorbis/comments/2d0r1d/the_reactionary_political_debate_playbook_karl/

<https://www.politico.com/story/2016/02/south-carolina-dirty-tricks-republicans-219116>

<https://www.zerohedge.com/news/2019-02-10/yale-newspaper-publishes-guide-destroying-white-boy-lives-using-stasi-tactics>

https://www.americanthinker.com/blog/2019/02/yale_editor_chillingly_urges_fellow_yalies_to_act_as_a_stasi_to_monitor_white_males.html

<https://russia-insider.com/en/jeff-bezos-nudie-pics-be-released-cyber-tycoon-complains-about-loss-privacy/ri26224>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VP5jqLAjbDw>

**** 'They Can't Beat Him On The Law So They Are Trying To Destroy His Life' -Sen. Graham Questions Dems' Motives On Brett Kavanaugh Sexual Assault Allegations (dailycaller.com)**

**** !!!!! Mueller Hears That Silicon Valley Has Been Manipulating The Entire BREXIT**

Campaign !!!!! Second former employee of controversial data firm to be questioned by special counsel's inquiry into Russia collusion. Per Carole Cadwalladr: Brittany Kaiser is said to be cooperating fully with the Mueller inquiry. A director of the controversial data company Cambridge Analytica, who appeared with Arron Banks at the launch of the Leave.EU campaign, has been subpoenaed by the US investigation into possible collusion between the Trump campaign and the Russian government. A spokesman for Brittany Kaiser, former business development director for Cambridge Analytica – which collapsed after the Observer revealed details of its misuse of Facebook data – confirmed that she had been subpoenaed by special counsel Robert Mueller, and was cooperating fully with his investigation. He added that she was assisting other US congressional and legal investigations into the company's activities and had voluntarily turned over documents and data.

Kaiser, who gave evidence to the UK parliament last April in which she claimed Cambridge Analytica had carried out in-depth work for Leave.EU, is the second individual connected to the firm subpoenaed by the special counsel. The Electoral Commission has said its investigation into Leave.EU found no evidence that the campaign “received donations or paid for services from Cambridge Analytica ... beyond initial scoping work”. Damian Collins, chairman of parliament's inquiry into fake news, said it was “no surprise” that Kaiser was under scrutiny by Mueller because “her work connected her to WikiLeaks, Cambridge Analytica and [its parent company] SCL, the Trump campaign, Leave.EU and Arron Banks”. He said it was now vital Britain had its own inquiry into foreign interference: “We should not be leaving this to the Americans.”

**** CBS NEWS 60 MINUTES Lara Logan "I'm Being 'Targeted' . Per Tamar Auber: former CBS**

News foreign correspondent Lara Logan spoke with Fox News Sean Hannity about her recent comments slamming the media as “mostly liberal.” Logan told Breitbart podcaster Mike Ritland the remarks made on his show — which drew widespread attention online — amounted to “professional suicide.” Defending her remarks on Hannity's show, Logan said that as the result of her speaking out about how the media is “mostly liberal” she has been targeted because she is an independent voice. “Any journalists who are not beating the same drum and giving the same talking points,” she insisted “pay the price” for not going along with the liberal crowd. She also called out her targeters by name.

“I know they're going to come after me,” she told Hannity. “Michael Calderone who is at the Huffington Post. I can give you the script now. I can tell you who the players are. Joe Hagan. Brian Stelter.” She added: “They smear you personally. They go after your integrity. They go after your reputation as a person and a professional. They will stop at nothing. I am not the only one. And I am just, I am done, right, I am tired of it. And they do not get to write my story anymore. They don't get to speak for me, I want to say loudly and clearly to anybody who is listening, I am not owned. Nobody owns me, right? I'm not owned by the left or the right.” Logan made headlines recently when, during a scorched earth podcast interview with Ritland, she said that there was a lot of “weight” in most news

organizations on “one side of the political spectrum.” “The media everywhere is mostly liberal. But in this country, 85 percent of journalists are registered Democrats. So that’s just a fact, right?” she told Ritland. She also trashed reporting based on single, anonymous government sources. “That’s not journalism, that’s horseshit,” Logan stressed. “Responsibility for fake news begins with us. We bear some responsibility for that, and we’re not taking ownership of that and addressing it. We just want to blame it all on somebody else.”

**** Internal documents from a private Israeli intelligence firm called Psy-Group** show that, at the time of many incidents, the company, and possibly other private investigators, were targeting U.S. citizens because they spoke up about crimes. Psy-Group’s intelligence and influence operations, which included a failed attempt in the summer of 2017 to sway a local election in central California, were detailed in a New Yorker investigation that I co-wrote earlier this month. Before it went out of business (ie: changed it's name) , last year, Psy-Group was part of a new wave of private-intelligence firms that recruited from the ranks of Israel’s secret services and described themselves as “private Mossads.” Psy-Group initially stood out among its rivals because it didn’t just gather intelligence; its operatives used false identities, or avatars, to covertly spread messages in an attempt to influence what people believed and how they behaved. In 2016, Psy-Group held discussions with the Trump campaign and others about conducting covert “influence” operations to benefit the candidate. Psy-Group’s founder and C.E.O., Royi Burstien, a veteran Israeli intelligence officer who established the firm in 2014, told me that his talks with the Trump campaign went nowhere. The company’s posturing, however, attracted the attention of Robert Mueller, the special counsel, who has been investigating interference in the 2016 Presidential race.'

**** FED BOMBHELL: Fusion GPS Bribed Dozens of MSM Journalists With Cash To Run Character Assassinations.** High-ranking FBI insiders are pulling back the curtain on Fusion GPS, the firm that commissioned and spread the bogus Trump dossier. It appears the embattled intelligence firm was quite busy paying off Big Media reporters, according to federal sources who have traced dozens of transactions between TD Bank and media members as well as media organizations, sources confirm. But stunningly, Big Media organizations have employed Fusion GPS to dig dirt on politicians and D.C.’s elite — namely Donald Trump. “Fusion GPS was on the payroll of the media and in turn had members of the media on its payroll,” one FBI insider said. FBI insiders confirm Fusion GPS employed law firms as well as shell companies to send and receive funds to and from media and reporters. But the embattled firm also used its accounts at TD Bank to directly commission reporters. Likewise, Fusion GPS received funds from media companies into its own accounts at TD Bank, FBI insiders said, “There are dozens of payments from the media flowing into their (Fusion GPS’) account,” one federal law enforcement official said. “One company wired funds to Fusion (GPS) more than a dozen times.” Why would media companies commission Fusion GPS? Likely to dig dirt on enemies or secure records that reporters could not legally obtain, one federal law enforcement insider said. One FBI insider said the payments to Fusion GPS coincide with Donald Trump’s run for the White House. The payments were

made between Sept. 2015 and Sept. 2017, records show. The unthinkable: The mainstream media paying Fusion GPS for dirt on Trump to the same firm the Democratic National Committee paid to fund the bogus Trump dossier. And at the same time Fusion GPS bribing journalists to place stories — likely negative about Trump, as well as spread the bogus Trump dossier around.

**** [Death By Metadata: Jeremy Scahill & Glenn Greenwald Reveal ...](#)**

https://www.democracynow.org/2014/2/10/death_by_metadata_jeremy_scahill_glenn

Jeremy Scahill and Glenn **Greenwald** have also revealed ... And the source then is in addition to the **documents** that Edward **Snowden** ... "The NSA's Secret Role in the U.S. **Assassination Program** ...

**** [How Covert Agents Infiltrate the Internet to Manipulate ...](#)**

<https://theintercept.com/2014/02/24/jtrig-manipulation/>

But, here, I want to focus and elaborate on the overarching point revealed by all of these **documents**: namely, that these agencies are attempting to control, infiltrate, manipulate, and warp online ...

**** [New Snowden Doc Reveals How GCHQ/NSA Use The Internet To ...](#)**

<https://www.techdirt.com/articles/20140224/17054826340/new-snowden-doc-reveals-how-gchqnsa-use-internet-to-manipulate-deceive-destroy-reputations.shtml>

A few weeks ago, Glenn **Greenwald**, while working with NBC News, revealed some details of a GCHQ presentation concerning how the surveillance organization had a "dirty tricks" group known as **JTRIG** ...

**** Snowden Drip: Government Funded Character Assassination Squads Rampant Online**

From [The Intercept](#):

One of the many pressing stories that remains to be told from the Snowden archive is how western intelligence agencies are attempting to manipulate and control online discourse with extreme tactics of deception and reputation-destruction. It's time to tell a chunk of that story, complete with the relevant documents.

Over the last several weeks, I worked with *NBC News* to publish a [series](#) (http://investigations.nbcnews.com/_news/2014/01/27/22469304-snowden-docs-reveal-british-spies-snooped-on-youtube-and-facebook?lite) of [articles](#) (<http://www.nbcnews.com/news/investigations/war-anonymous-british-spies-attacked-hackers-snowden-docs-show-n21361>) about [“dirty trick” tactics](#) (

<http://www.nbcnews.com/news/investigations/snowden-docs-british-spies-used-sex-dirty-tricks-n23091>) used by GCHQ's previously secret unit, JTRIG (Joint Threat Research Intelligence Group). These were based on [four classified GCHQ documents](#) (http://msnbcmedia.msn.com/i/msnbc/sections/news/snowden_anonymous_nbc_document.pdf) presented to the NSA and the other three partners in the English-speaking "[Five Eyes](#)" alliance (<http://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2013/06/is-the-five-eyes-alliance-conspiring-to-spy-on-you/277190/>) . Today, we at *the Intercept* are publishing [another new JTRIG document](#) (<https://firstlook.org/theintercept/document/2014/02/24/art-deception-training-new-generation-online-covert-operations/>) , in full, entitled "The Art of Deception: Training for Online Covert Operations."

By publishing these stories one by one, Plaintiffs NBC reporting highlighted some of the key, discrete revelations: the monitoring of YouTube and Blogger, the targeting of Anonymous with the very same DDoS attacks they accuse "hacktivists" of using, the use of "honey traps" (luring people into compromising situations using sex) and destructive viruses. But, here, I want to focus and elaborate on the overarching point revealed by all of these documents: namely, that these agencies are attempting to control, infiltrate, manipulate, and warp online discourse, and in doing so, are compromising the integrity of the internet itself.

Recap Of Attack Tactics Used

POLITICAL KILL ORDERS AND STATE-SPONSORED CHARACTER ASSASSINATIONS - How A Modern Character Assassination and Political "Kill Order" Is Executed By the Silicon Valley Oligarchs and their total control of propaganda media. Patrick George At Jalopnik attacks outsiders under contract with Elon Musk and the DNC. Silicon Valley campaign finance oligarchs hire him to run hatchet jobs on innocent outsiders and then Gawker-Gizmodo-Jalopnik uses their financial partnership with the DNC's Google to push the character assassination articles to the top of Google web products and searches.

Patrick George, Adrian Covert, John Hermann and Nick Cook are the sexually degenerate cabin boys that report to boy-loving sleaze-tabloid oligarch Nick Denton. They created the Fake News crisis in the media by flooding the internet with defamation posts and reprisal hatchet job articles designed to damage political enemies of the Socialists. They coordinate a large number of the character assassination efforts at Gawker, Gizmodo, Jalopnik, CNN, New York Times and other propaganda outlets. These Millennial boys are "Media Rapists" and should be treated as abusers. - How and why did a Donald Trump stripper-date named "Stormy" or an Elon Musk sex party or a Kavanaugh drinking incident or the Moonves and Weinstein indiscretions suddenly hit the news at about the same time in news history? -

In addition to actual murder, Politicians and Silicon Valley Oligarchs hire operatives to end people's lives in other creative ways. - It is all part of the modern trend in vendetta, revenge and political payback when a Senator or a tech oligarch issues a "kill order" on an opponent. - The client does not like to get their hands dirty so the actual social hit job is performed by companies such as: IN-Q-Tel - (DNC); Gawker Media - (DNC); Jalopnik - (DNC); Gizmodo Media - (DNC); K2 Intelligence - (DNC); WikiStrat - (DNC); Podesta Group - (DNC); Fusion GPS - (DNC/GOP); Google - (DNC); YouTube - (DNC); Alphabet - (DNC); Facebook - (DNC); Twitter - (DNC); Think Progress - (DNC);

Media Matters - (DNC); Black Cube - (DNC); Mossad - (DNC); Correct The Record - (DNC); Sand Line - (DNC/GOP); Blackwater - (DNC/GOP); Undercover Global Ltd (DNC/GOP) Stratfor - (DNC/GOP); ShareBlue - (DNC); Wikileaks (DNC/GOP); Cambridge Analytica - (DNC/GOP); Sid Blumenthal- (DNC); David Brock - (DNC); PR Firm Sunshine Sachs (DNC); Covington and Burling - (DNC), BuzzFeed - (DNC) Perkins Coie - (DNC); Wilson Sonsini - (DNC) and hundreds of others... These are the people and companies that except cash, revolving door jobs, political appointments, insider trading stock in Silicon Valley tech companies, prostitutes and real estate in exchange for destroying the lives of others. -

These attackers deserve to be punished for the rest of their lives for taking away the lives of others in exchange for cash. Any company who is corrupt enough to hire any of these assassins should be forced out of business. These attack services are responsible for 90% of the "Fake News" problem in the world because they are the authors of most fake news. Congress must act to make these kinds of companies illegal! - These digital assassination services offer hit-jobs, character assassinations and economic reprisal programs to famous billionaires and corrupt politicians who are seeking revenge, retribution and vendetta executions. -

In the case of reporters getting targeted for attacks, President Donald Trump has been accused by the liberal corporate media of whipping up a hateful frenzy against the press. But while CNN's Jim Acosta grandstands against Trump, real journalists are still reeling from the draconian extrajudicial measures that Barack Obama and his administration used to target them for exposing truth. - This secretive targeting occurred while Obama speechwriter and hate-filled ANTIFA supporter Ben Rhodes was running "Operation Echo Chamber," which reportedly continues, in which he fed information to willing corporate media scribes. "They literally know nothing," Rhodes said of the twentysomething journalists he easily manipulated. - The Freedom of the Press Foundation's Trevor Timm published documents showing how former attorney general Eric Holder changed the rules to more effectively intimidate and surveil members of the press. -

Timm writes: "Today, we are revealing—for the first time—the Justice Department's rules for targeting journalists with secret FISA court orders. The documents were obtained as part of a Freedom of Information Act lawsuit brought by Freedom of the Press Foundation and Knight First Amendment Institute at Columbia University." - Obama is also clearly linked to the plot to obtain fraudulent FISA warrants on President Trump's team, as evidenced by Peter Strzok and Lisa Page's texts confirming that Obama was overseeing their fly-by-night operation. - Larry Schweikart reported for Big League Politics: For months pundits and researchers have been pondering the mystery of the FISA approval that led to the illegal and historically titanic scandals to ever hit The U.S. Government . Some have argued that Assistant Attorney General Rod Rosenstein knew the FISA was bogus when he extended it. Others have wondered if Special Counsel Robert Mueller knew about the fraudulent basis of the FISA when he used it, in part, to indict Michael Flynn. Other still, that Mueller was fooled by the FBI. This is what President Trump calls "SPYGATE". -

It may well be that the surveillance that was conducted began with UK intelligence services and then was fed back to the White House of Barack Obama. Here's the kicker: President Barack Obama did not need a FISA warrant to authorize spying/electronic surveillance on Trump because Obama all along had legal authorization to by-pass the normal court vetting process. According to 50 U.S. Code 1802, the "Electronic Surveillance Authorization" () "Foreign intelligence in relation to a US person (Trump or his associates) is information that's necessary for the US to protect against attack, hostile acts,

sabotage, . . . as well as other clandestine activities by a foreign power . . . OR . . . information relevant to national defense/security of the US, or the conduct of foreign affairs of the U.S.” Such an authorization by Obama required certification by Attorney General Loretta Lynch that must be logged with the FISC court. (“The [AG]+ shall immediately transmit under seal to the court [FISC] a copy of his certification.”) -

In short, the DOJ has this. If we are correct, a copy of that certification is currently under seal at least with the DOJ and the FISC. - This is what they are hiding. - However, the Act requires the AG to keep the Select Committee on Intelligence and the Senate Committee on Intelligence informed of these authorizations and unmaskings therein. See 1803 (a) (1) (C) If indeed this is what happened, did Lynch report—or only selectively report—to the committees in a way that excluded non-friendlies? Can you see why Adam Schiff, Mark Warner, and their ilk are terrified? - These are the playbook tactics that Senators and tech oligarchs most often use to destroy the lives of their political and business enemies: - Government agency bosses sometimes solicit the target victims with false promises of future loans, contracts or grants from their agency and cause the target victims to expend millions of dollars and years of their time for projects which those government bosses had covertly promised to their friends. They use the target victims as a “smokescreen” to cover their illegal government slush-funds for the victims competitors and personal enemies.

By using this tactic, the attackers can drain the target victims funds and force them into an economic disaster in plain view of everyone without the government bosses fearing any reprisal for their scam.- Every match.com, okcupid.com, Plenty Of Fish, Seeking Arrangements and all other IAC-owned, or similar, dating sites (IAC is managed by Hillary Clinton's daughter) have had their profiles, texts, and inter-member communications, since those companies were started, hacked or purchased. The attack service providers use Palantir and In-Q-Tel financed data analysis software to analyze every activity in those dating services in order to find honey-trap, blackmail, sextortion and social conflict exploitation opportunities. If you had a bad date with someone, that someone will be hunted down and convinced to help harm, #metoo or "rape charge" the intended target.

All dates involve a search for sex, so the likelihood that a sexual disappointment experience will exist in each persons dating history is high. Searching every past dating email and text of a subject is quite easy with modern software and hacking techniques. A synthetically amplified, PR-agency optimized sex scandal can destroy any target. Your dating experiences from the 70's or 80's will come back to haunt you decades later. Most dates involve drinking alcohol and taking drugs. If you were unattractive or had bad sexual skills your bad date will be called "date rape", "drugging your date for sex" and related twisted narratives that are designed to shame you, the target. If you try to get a date in the future, your potential date will be contacted by a third party who will slander and libel you to make sure your potential first date gets canceled.

Your social life will, essentially, end. Every photo on every dating site is cross checked with every other photo on the internet in order to cull your Facebook, LinkedIn, Snapchat and other social media together to create a total psychological manipulation profile data file on you. A single photo on a dating site can be cross searched on every mugshot archive, photo album and corporate database in the worth within minutes using modern super-computers.

Your sex life will be on public record in a flash.- Social Security, SSI, SDI, Disability and other earned benefits are stone-walled. Applications of targets are “lost”. Files in the application process

“disappeared”. Lois Lerner hard drive “incidents” are operated in order to seek to hide information and run cover-ups. - Government officials and tech oligarchs contact members of the National Venture Capital association (NVCA) and created national “black-lists” to blockade target victims from ever receiving investor funding.

This was also confirmed in a widely published disclosure by Tesla Motors Daryl Siry and in published testimony. If Silicon Valley political campaign finance oligarchs black-list you (see the "AngelGate" Scandal and the "High Tech No Poaching Class Action Lawsuit" cases) you will never get investor funding again. - FOIA requests are hidden, frozen, stone-walled, delayed, lied about and only partially responded to in order to seek to hide information and run cover-ups.- State and federal employees will play an endless game of Catch-22 by arbitrarily determining that deadlines had passed that they, the government officials, had stonewalled and obfuscated applications for, in order to force these deadlines that they set, to appear to be missed.

Nobody has been able to find a living billionaire who is not a clinical sociopath. Each of the billionaires hold parties, conferences, and snooty get-togethers, annually, where they gather people together who, they believe, are willing to think and act sociopathically like them too. At these self-aggrandizing, frat-house, indulgence-fests they seek to plot the control of media and politics to manipulate the world to be like they see it. The events are known as Bilderberg, Renaissance Weekend, TED, Aspen, etc. The "speakers" speak propaganda and indoctrination subtleties. The "round tables" push a consistent propaganda theme. The prostitutes and rent boys are carefully disguised as "assistants". Those who question the party line are black-listed from future invites.

This can bankrupt a target victim.- Some Victims found themselves strangely poisoned, not unlike the Alexander Litvenko case. Heavy metals and toxic materials were found right after their work with The U.S. Government weapons and energy facilities. Many wonder if these “targets” were intentionally exposed to toxins in retribution for their testimony. The federal MSDS documents clearly show that a number of these people were exposed to deadly compounds and radiations, via GOVT, without being provided with proper HazMat suits which GOVT officials knew were required. - Victims employers are called, and faxed, and ordered to fire target victims from their places of employment, in the middle of the day, with no notice, as a retribution tactic. - On orders from Obama White House officials, DNC-financed Google, YouTube, Gawker Media and Gizmodo Media produce attack articles and defamation videos.

Google locks this attack media on the internet on the top line, of the front page of all Google searches for a decade in front of 7.5 billion people, around the world. This attack-type uses over \$40 million dollars in server farms, production costs and internet rigging. The forensic data acquired from tracking some of these attacks proves that Google rigs attacks against individuals on the internet and that all of Google’s “impressions” are manually controlled by Google’s executives who are also the main financiers and policy directors of the Obama Administration.

This data was provided to the European Union for it’s ongoing prosecution of Google’s political manipulation of public perceptions. - Victims HR and employment records, on recruiting and hiring databases, are embedded with negative keywords in order to prevent the victim targets from ever gaining future employment. - Gary D. Conley, Seth Rich, Rajeev Motwani and many other whistle-blowers in these matters, turned up dead under strange circumstances.

It is very possible that some of these attack services, operated by former CIA operatives, even offer discrete murder-for-sale services using high-tech assassination tools that make murders look like heart attacks and brain failures. - Disability and VA complaint hearings and benefits are frozen, delayed, denied or subjected to lost records and "missing hard drives" as in the Lois Lerner case.- Paypal (A DNC-biased operation) and other on-line payments for on-line sales are de-platformed, delayed, hidden, or re-directed in order to terminate income potential for target victims who competed with the attackers interests and holdings.-

DNS redirection, "website spoofing" sends target victims websites to dead ends where no sales orders or customer inquiries actually get back to the target. These internet revenue activity manipulations are conducted using Google and Amazon servers. All commercial storefronts and on-line sales attempts by target victims, will have had their sites hidden, or search engine de-linked by a massively resourced facility located in Virginia, Texas or Palo Alto, California in order to terminate revenue potentials for the target victims.-

Over 50,000 trolls, skills, botnets and synth-blog deployments are deployed to place defamatory statements and disinformation about victims in front of 7.5 billion people around the world on the internet in order to seek to damage their federal testimony credibility by a massively resourced facility. - Campaign finance dirty tricks contractors are hired by campaign financiers to attack the friends and family members of the target victim in order to create low morale for the target victims psyche and motivation.-

Are you getting weird headaches and hearing a "buzzing sound" in your head? The U.S. Government has now acknowledged that the Cuban, Chinese and other embassy "sonic attacks" are from a known microwave beam weapon. Any one of the technical departments of the attack services listed at the top of this article can build such a biological harassment weapon. It can be aimed at the target victims office, bedroom or vehicle and, within a week, have caused biological and emotional damage using a weapon that has no visible track of trajectory. It is designed to make the target victim think they are "going crazy" or "hearing sounds in their head".-

In one case covert political partner: Google, transferred large sums of cash to dirty tricks contractors and then manually locked the media portion of the attacks into the top lines of the top pages of all Google searches globally, for years, with hidden embedded codes in the links and web-pages which multiplied the attacks on Victims by many magnitudes.- Covert Cartel financier: Google, placed Google's lawyer: Michelle Lee, in charge of the U.S. Patent Office and she, in turn, stacked all of the U.S. Patent Office IPR and ALICE review boards and offices with Google-supporting employees in order to rig the U.S. Patent Office to protect Google from being prosecuted for the vast patent thefts that Google engages in. Google has hundreds of patent lawsuits for technology theft and a number of those lawsuits refer to Google's operations as "Racketeering", "Monopolistic Cartel" and "Government Coup-like" behaviors.

Thousands of articles and investigations detail the fact that Google, "essentially" ran the Obama White House and provided over 80% of the key White House staff. A conflict-of-interest unlike any in American history. Google's investors personally told Applicant they would "kill him". Google and the Obama Administration were "the same entity". Applicant testified in the review that got Michelle Lee terminated and uncovered a tactical political and social warfare group inside Google who were financed by Federal and State funds. - Honeytraps and moles were employed by the attackers. In this

tactic, people who covertly worked for the attackers were employed to approach the “target” in order to spy on and misdirect the subject. - Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media, Snopes, SPLC and other hired media assassins will be retained to produce "hatchet job" character assassination articles about you. Then those articles will be faxed, mailed and emailed to your employer and investors with a note saying: "You don't want to have anything to do with this person, do you..?" in order to get you fired from your job and get your loans or financing pulled.

The attackers will use their round one attack media, that they authored, to create a round two second wave attack designed to end your life via economic warfare.- Mortgage and rental applications will have had red flags added to them in databases to prevent the targets from getting homes or apartments.- Krebs On Security, Wired, Ars Technica, The Wall Street Journal and most major IT publications have reported that hundreds of spy "back-doors" have been found on every Intel, AMD, Apple, Xfinity, Cisco, Microsoft, Juniper Networks motherboard, chip-set and hardware component set. This means that any kid with the "key" code can open any computer, server, router, cloud-network or other network connected device and read every file, photo, video, your calendar and email on your devices at any time from any location on Earth.

The key codes have been released to every hacker community in the world for over ten years. There is now no government, corporate or personal data that can't be hacked, even data from decades ago. Every single one of your darkest secrets can be in the hands of your enemy within 60 minutes, or less. Important meetings you had planned with potential investors, employers, clients, dates, suppliers and others will suddenly get canceled at the last minute.

They will get canceled because your enemies are reading your calendar remotely and covertly sending slander information to those you had hoped to engage with in order to sabotage your life. Nothing you have ever typed on a computer or Smartphone is safe. it WILL be acquired and it WILL be used against you. - McCarthy-Era "Black-lists" are created and employed against target victims who competed with Obama Administration executives and their campaign financiers to prevent them from getting funding and future employment. - Obama Administration targets were very carefully placed in a position of not being able to get jobs, unemployment benefits, disability benefits or acquire any possible sources of income.

The retribution tactics were audacious, overt..and quite illegal. - There are thousands of additional Dirty Tricks tactics being used by these Attack Services yet Congress refuses to pass laws out-lawing such attack services. The cost of an attack on a person ranges from \$150,000.00 to over \$50,000,000.00. While a Silicon Valley billionaire can afford to launch counter-measures to these attacks, any regular taxpayer will be utterly destroyed, and incapable of fighting back, against even the smallest version of one of these "kill orders".

A number of modern office shootings are the results of these attacks against an individual who has lost everything because of the attack and has no options left. - Federal law enforcement, the United States Congress and the highest level investigators in the U.S., and abroad, have documented (per the “FISA Memo”, Congressional Reports and federal employee testimony) and proven the fact that the Obama Administration regularly engaged in the operation of retribution, vendetta and reprisal campaigns known as “hit-jobs” against domestic natural born U.S. citizen domestic taxpayers.

The Federal Court, in at least one previous court case, has ruled that Applicants, in this particular matter, were the victims and target of a number of these attacks designed to inflict permanent medical, emotional, character assassination, brand negation, economic and career damage. The FBI has been asked to interview John Cook, Nicholas Guido Denton, Adrian Covert, Patrick George, Ian Fette and John Hermann of Gawker/Gizmodo re: their financing, payola and hit-job attacks on third parties.

Proof Of These Assertions:

Attached Exhibits, Additional Exhibits available in discovery phase, Videos referenced as exhibits, FINCEN files, FBI files, DOJ files, FTC files, FEC files, OSC files, OGE files, Pacer.gov court records, Leaked emails of perpetrators, Phone surveillance records, Bank records, Stock market transaction records, iPhone cloudcasts of meetings, Zoom recordings, DOE recordings of meetings, DOE powerpoints, Goldman Sachs emails, Private email accounts of public officials, Checking account records of public officials, Social media account postings of public officials, Meet-Up records of attendance by perpetrators, Eventbrite records of political event attendance by officials, Tax records of officials, Internet posted photographs of events attended by public officials, Uber and Lyft records of transport of persons for public officials, and such other law enforcement and intelligence records.

The Attackers

THE ATTACKERS. REPORTED TO FBI, DOJ AND POLICE



THE VICTIM'S HAVE DEDICATED ALL AVAILABLE RESOURCES TO PLACING THEM UNDER SURVEILLANCE AND LAW ENFORCEMENT MONITORING FOR THE REST OF THEIR LIVES. EVERY ILLICIT SEX, DRUG, TAX, REAL ESTATE, POLITICAL FINANCE AND OTHER ACT IS DOCUMENTED AND REPORTED. THE TARGETS ALL SHARE FINANCIAL BENEFITS, COMMUNICATIONS, COMMAND/CONTROL, MOTIVATION, OPPORTUNITY, UNJUST REWARDS AND OTHER TRACKING METRICS.

The Deaths

This felony criminal investigation case, is documented on thousands of websites and in dozens of federal court case records in which Victim/Witnesses won the case or was vindicated in the case and in thousands of news websites. Victim/Witnessess researchers and investigators disagree with any decision by any agency which causes a delay in response which puts the Victim/Witnessess in jeopardy for their life and safety. Testifying, and/or reporting about this crime has resulted in the death, potentially by murder, of the following individuals who reported to the authorities about this crime matter. Because this case involves a huge number of deaths, spies, trillions of dollars of energy industry funds, hit-jobs and epic political dirty tricks contracts there is a warning in effect to every suspect on "the list". "If any other principle whistle-blower in this case is killed, within 24 hours of their death, every person on "the list" will experience the worst possible outcome!"

Any delay, obfuscation, cover-up, FOIA refusal or other obscuring tactic by each and every member of an agency employee or contracting entity will be prosecuted on a person-by-person basis. Each employee or official who causes, by their action, further harm to the Victim/Witnessess will be sued personally, have their assets garnished and will have a formal criminal referral authored and submitted to the FBI, DOJ, FTC, Congress and the news media. Victim/Witnessess have sued the highest level personnel in the government for corruption, launched FBI and Congressional investigations against them and had them removed from their jobs and placed under permanent surveillance. The court and news records prove this fact. Do not imagine that any reprisal action by a public agency staffer will go unnoticed or unpunished by federal law enforcement, public forensics and major independent news media investigations.

The fact remains that the following person's were whistle-blowers or innocent victims in this crime. They died via strange, suspicious and untimely circumstances. Some of them may have been murdered for helping with this case. This is not a complete list.

The following people associated with this case as witnesses are dead and many seem to have been murdered as reprisal for talking about this case:

Rajeev Motwani; Gary D. Conley; Seth Rich; Philip Haney; David Bird; Doug Bourn; Misti Epstein; Joshua Brown; Kenneth Bellando; Moritz Erhardt; Imran Aliev; Kate Matrosova; David Drye; Vincent Foster; Kathy Ferguson; Duane Garrett; Eric S. Fox; Judi Gibbs; Berta Caceres; Suzanne Coleman; L.J. Davis; John Hillyer; Stanley Huggins; Sandy Hume; Shawn Lucas; Gary Johnson; John Jones; John F. Kennedy, Jr.; Stephen Ivens; Mary 'Caity' Mahoney; Eric Butera; Danny Casolara; John Ashe; Tony Moser; Larry Nichols; Joseph Rago; Ron Brown; Bob Simon; Don Adams; Peter Smith; Victor Thorn; Lori Klausutis; Gareth Williams; Daphne Caruana Galizia; James D Johnston; Dave Goldberg; Loretta Fuddy; Paul Wilcher; Gary Webb; Beranton J. Whisenant Jr; Stanley Meyer; Jon Parnell Walker; Tyler Drumheller; Barnaby Jack; Dominic Di-

Natale; Barbara Wise; Ilya Zhitomirskiy; Jeff Joe Black; Robin Copeland; John Wheeler; Ashley Turton; Michael Hastings; Antonin Scalia; David Koschman; David Werner; Alex Okrent; Kam Kuwata; Larry Frankel; And hundreds more connected to this case who suddenly, and strangely, turned up dead in this case and, ironically, their deaths all benefit the suspects in this case...

Rajeev Motwani taught Google how to Google. Suddenly, in perfect health, he was found floating face-down, dead, in his Silicon Valley swimming pool. It helps certain people that he can no longer talk.

Gary D. Conley was the CleanTech competitor to, and whistle-blower on, the suspects. He was suddenly found with a bullet in his head behind Beale Air Force base. It helps certain people that he can no longer talk.

Google programmer **Forrest Hayes**, who worked on Google search engine rigging, was suddenly found dead with the story that “he was overdosed by a Google hooker on his sex yacht”.

Google associate and Tesla Investments founder **Ravi Kumar** was also killed by his hooker.

Deep Google investor VC liason and husband of Facebook executive **Cheryl Sandberg** was suddenly found dead with a hole in his head. The “official” story is that he was the first person in history to be killed by his treadmill.

David Bird was the Wall Street Journal energy reporter who was working on a story that involved Cleantech energy connections of some of the suspects. He was working on a story about who controlled the modern energy industry and cleantech. He went for a walk and was found a long time later, dead, floating in a pond. It helps certain people that he can no longer talk.

One **Mr. Breitbart** was a famous blogger, who railed on the web about the political manipulations of the suspects. Suddenly, he had a "heart attack" in his shower and died. It helps certain people that he can no longer talk on the blogs.

Mr. **Karl Slym** , with Tata Motors was involved in a car deal with some of the suspects for one of the biggest Indian auto-makers. Suddenly he was a stain on the sidewalk, accomplished by his fall from the top of a skyscraper hotel. It helps certain people that he can no longer talk.

Doug Bourn, The senior electrical engineer at Tesla (Google's covert partner), **Andrew Ingram** of Palo Alto, a top systems electrical engineer at Tesla; and **Brian M. Finn** the senior manager of interactive electronics, at Tesla, had deep knowledge of financial misdeeds and technical cover-ups at Tesla Motors. They were key parts of the Tesla operation. For some reason, they all got into a private airplane, in perfect health, and then the airplane plowed into the ground, killing all three at once. It helps certain people that they can no longer talk. They wrote, and helped describe, in Tesla's own

federal patent filings, the fact that Tesla's batteries would kill you, maim you and/or burn your house down. Tesla did not realize this when they paid the federal patent filing fees. When Tesla, later realized this, they were forced to give all of their patents away for free. These three senior engineers had deep inside knowledge of the Tesla Motors operations. Their aircraft suffered an "Engineering failure".

The above individuals had absolute knowledge of this case and some of them were known to have been whistle-blowers in this case.

All of these people (now over 50+ people) were in top health, had lots of money and were not likely to have died when they did. Then they died, suddenly and suspiciously, under bizarre circumstances. They were all connected to the suspects. Over 50 investment bankers connected to the suspects and their Goldman Sach's finance group are also suddenly dead under bizarre circumstances.

The tactics that The U.S. Government and Obama White House staff have practiced, so far, are to LIE, DENY, DEFLECT, DELETE and DIVERT. History has proven that those tactics didn't work for them. Now it is time for GOVT to pay the damages.

The Cartel

The Silicon Valley Mafia is The Sandhill Road Venture Capital frat boy company bosses in Palo Alto, their National Venture Capital Association (NVCA) partners and the tech companies (Google, Tesla, Facebook, Amazon, Twitter, LinkedIn, etc.) they control. They are sometimes referred to as The Deep State. They have purchased California, New York and Washington, DC politicians (mostly Senators) who they also control.

They hire rogue ex-intelligence agents to operate attacks via Fusion GPS, The Gawker/Gizmodo/Jalopnik/Univision Hatchet-Job Fake Tabloid Facade (ie: Obama had White House staff: Robert Gibbs and John Podesta hire them, in association with Obama financier Elon Musk, to attack WITNESS Vehicles, Bright Automotive and ZAP Vehicles as retribution in violation of anti-trust laws), Black Cube, ShareBlue, New America, In-Q-Tel, Podesta Group, Media Matters, etc. . They spend over \$30M on each massive media attack program against competitors, reporters and outsiders.

They collude on black-lists, valuation controls, election manipulation, search engine rigging, domestic spying for political manipulation, stock rigging, insider trading, executive prostitute clubs, trophy wife assignments, the bribery of politicians and worse. They are felons who pay politicians to halt investigations and interdiction efforts. They are widely covered in news media articles as: sex abusers, cult enthusiasts, elitists, rapists, woman beaters, sexual work extortion operators, extremists, arrogant clones of each other, tone deaf, echo-chamber reinforcing, misogynist, racist, manipulative, insecure, covertly gay, corrupt, thieves' and other anti-social revelations.

The divorce and sex abuse court filings against the #PaloAltoMafia men of Silicon Valley are some of the most disturbing and sexually twisted court records you will ever read and they demonstrate a clear and decades-long pattern of collusion and depravity. From Google's "Sex Slaves" to "Sex Penthouses" to "Deaths by Prostitute"; the list is endless.

They are not limited to California and also operate out of New York and Washington DC. They use their monopolistic control of the internet to massively and exclusively scale services that only they control and use to abuse public privacy, human rights, invention rights and information. They run their cartel like the old Italian Mafia once did.

Silicon Valley's Corrupt Palo Alto Mafia Network is based on "Scaled Monopolies" and covet Senate collusion ownerships of their companies.

The run a crime with hookers and a very big shark. Let us explain: There are millions of sharks in the ocean but only "Jaws" was big enough to earn himself (The Shark) so many feature films about trying to kill him. It was simply because he was so big and so hungry. Judging by the endless sequels, Jaws seems pretty hard to kill.

This is about the biggest sharks. They are from Silicon Valley.

Google, Facebook, Amazon, LinkedIn, Netflix, et al; exist because they operate under the criminal umbrella of the tech Cartel frat boys.

These guys are addicted to sex, and they are also huge assholes, so they can't keep any partners around unless they pay them to be trophy wives or "beard" wives. Buying sex from Italian escorts, young girls and New York Rent Boys is really, really expensive. This drives them to do anything to suck up huge amounts of cash.

These guys are also addicted to power, so they buy East and West Coast U.S. Senators, British Parliament members and partner with corrupt Russian oligarchs. Buying Senators is also really, really expensive. This also drives them to do anything to suck up huge amounts of cash.

These guys need, and spend, massive amounts of cash. Being a tech oligarch is really, really expensive. They can't have the IRS cutting into their hooker-budgets. They spend massive amounts on big law firms to hide money in real estate, trusts, fake charities and in a huge array of off-shore spider holes.

These guys can't afford to get caught so they hire In-Q-Tel, Gawker Media, Black Cube, Fusion-GPS, and a huge army of other attackers, to destroy anybody who questions their motives. Their Cartel exists because they own all of the main servers, banks, venture capital firms, tech law firms, K Street lobbyists and tech HR firms.

They control their entire eco-system and black-list anybody that offends them.

They own the internet and they delete anybody who steps in their circle.

Nobody can operate outside of it.

No start-up can compete with them without getting a hit-job put on it.

Since the year 2000, together, they have put over a million smaller companies out of business.

They exist because of "Scaling": the ability to use monopolized networks to reach everyone on Earth, have lower prices, and destroy all competition because they control all infrastructure. Scaling is all they talk about at their AngelGate "power lunches" in the back rooms of Restaurants on University Avenue in Palo Alto.

The FBI can't stop them because they owned James Comey, the head of the FBI.

The SEC, FEC and FTC can't stop them because they own the regulators at those agencies.

They Obama White House could not stop them because most of the Obama Administration was staffed by, and directed by, the staff of Google, Amazon and Facebook, et al.

Per the report at: <https://news.yahoo.com/coming-electric-car-disruption-nobody-134511726.html> you can see that the steel industry and other "Cartels" will go to great lengths to prevent electric cars, like Plaintiff, built, from coming to market, or from coming to market without Elon Musk's insiders.

The Silicon Valley tech Cartel makes the Mafia look like small potatoes.

They are a criminal organization!

The Bosses

If FINCEN, The FBI, ICIJ or our forensic team traces the ownerships, emails, stock brokers, family trusts, political contributions, operatives, lobbyists, bank accounts and communications and related forensic data between the perpetrators, it is obvious, to anyone with even a high-school education, that the perpetrators have conspired to engage in felony-grade crimes. AI-based, manual XKEYSCORE-class searches and ICIJ leaks have determined that the key management of this scheme included:

Felony Charges Against The Key Suspects Under RICO

Defendants and their Cartel operatives engaged in election law violating campaign finance, money laundering, illicit political quid pro quo and anti-trust violating operations, among other crimes.

Every public official who attacked Plaintiff: A.) held stock and assets in Plaintiff's competitors, B.) was compensated by Plaintiff's competitors, C.) was a member of organizations who paid news, internet and information services to manipulate their output for their own unjust gain, D.) knew that their malicious assertions were false and defamatory, and E.) and otherwise met the legal means test to qualify as violators of federal RICO laws, Human Rights laws, Civil Rights standards and related State and Federal Constitutional rights laws.

By rigging HUD, SSA, DOE, and other public benefits determinations, to harm the funding, payments, witness fees, informant fees, whistle-blower awards and stock market profits of adversaries, the Defendants engaged in felony crime. The purpose of this case is to cripple the whole crime organization via numerous charges, which shall be brought against multiple defendants, under multiple liability, which means that prosecutors will find the underlying elements of these acts and then have conspiracy on top of it, and then each and *every charge* against the perpetrators is *separate* and runs *consecutively*.

These RICO-related charges are easy to prove in court, as they focus on patterns of behavior as opposed to criminal acts. The government officials and their tech oligarch partners were audacious in publicly promoting their crimes and their above-the-law attitudes. RICO, here, also permits a private individual "*damaged in his business or property*" by a "*racketeer*" to file a civil suit. The government should, thus, join with Plaintiff in this case.

The *plaintiff* has *proven* the existence of an "*enterprise*".

The defendant(s) are *not* the enterprise; in other words, the *defendant(s)* and the *enterprise* are *not* one and the same.

The defendant(s) meet the construct of four specified relationships:

1. the defendant(s) and the enterprise: either the defendant(s) invested the proceeds of the pattern of racketeering activity into the enterprise;
2. the defendant(s) acquired or maintained an interest in, or control of, the enterprise through the pattern of racketeering activity;
3. the defendant(s) conducted or participated in the affairs of the enterprise “through” the pattern of racketeering activity ;
4. the defendant(s) conspired to do all of the above crimes.

The enterprise, herein, is the ‘prize,’ ‘instrument,’ ‘victim,’ or ‘perpetrator’ of the racketeers. A civil RICO action for this matter should be filed in state *AND* federal court.

We intend that both the *criminal* and *civil* components will allow the recovery of treble damages (damages in *triple* the amount of actual/compensatory damages) for Plaintiff.

Our evidence has focused on *patterns of behavior AND criminal acts*.

We seek multiple liability (charges brought against multiple defendants, which means if prosecutors now find the underlying elements of of these acts, you have conspiracy on top of it)

Each and *every charge* against defendants is *separate* and runs *consecutively*. *Admissible* hearsay evidence is offered in this case. Associative evidence is allowed (*guilt by association* meaning if a defendant profited off the crimes of an organization even if he was not directly involved with the crime himself he shall still be charged as a co-conspirator and go *all the way* up the chain of command).

This case structure will incentivize the operatives (soldati) at the corrupt law firms, CPA’s, lobbyists and media outlets to flip, or roll-over, on the bosses.

These racketeers must *forfeit all* ill-gotten gains and interest in any business gained through a pattern of “*racketeering activity*.” Over 1000 false-front shells, trusts, dark money and PAC assets are known to be held by the defendants.

These are the top corrupt parties (*the worst of the bunch*) that engaged in these crimes and/or acted as compensated operatives for the command and control of these attacks on citizens and abuses of government.

Eric Schmidt
Elon Musk
Mark Zuckerberg
Cheryl Sandberg
Larry Page
Sergy Brin
Vinod Khosla
Brian Goncher
Bruce Demming

John Doerr
Steve Spinner
Steve Westly
Steven Chu
Richard Blum
Paul Pelosi
David Axelrod
Jay Carney
Eric Holder
John Podesta

And those associates named below who supported their command and control of the actions herein charged;

Plaintiffs personally witnessed many of them do these crimes. An AI surveillance and monitoring dossier, on each of them, is updated weekly and every additional illicit deed they engage in is reported to authorities:

(- **A.J. Delaurio** – Defamation-for-sale blogger **)(- **Abound Solar** - Criminally corrupt crony campaign finance front operation. (Terminated)(- **Adam Dachis** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Adam Weinstein** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Adrian Covert** – Defamation-for-sale blogger **)(- **Adrien Chen** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Al Mottur and Manuel Ortiz, Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck** **)(- **Alan Henry** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Albert Burneko** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Alex Balk** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Alexander Pareene** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Alexandra Philippides** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Allison Spinner** – Wife of Steve Spinner and lawyer at WSGR and Solyndra who helped Feinstein rig the Solyndra cash ((Under investigation. All assets being tracked and terminated.**)(- **Allison Wentz** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Alphabet** - Privacy abuse, spy-on-the-public, Fake News election rigger, Clinton/DNC scheme financier, Possible Rogue spy agency operation (Under Federal and EU investigation) **)(- **Andrew Collins** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Andrew Magary** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Andrew McCormack**)(- **Andrew Orin** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Andy Barbour, Smith-Free Group** **)(- **Andy Bechtolsheim** – VC, Tech Manipulator- **)(- **Aneesh Chopra**)(- **Angelica Alzona** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Anna Merlan** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Ariana Cohen** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Arnold Schwarzenegger** – Governor (Accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, illicit deal organization with Russians And Silicon Valley VC's and more...)(- **Ashley Feinberg** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Austin Lau**)(- **Ava Gyurina** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Barack Obama** – Chicago politician and director of the tech mob bundlers **)(- **Barry Petchesky** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Bill Daley** – White House strong-arm (Forced to resign) (he is now under investigation) **)(- **Bill Gurley**)(- **Bill Lockyer** – Calif State finance head (Under investigation and sex scandal conflicts, charged with corruption by media. Assets and ownerships under investigation **)(- **Brendan I. Koerner** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Brendan O'Connor** –

Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Brent Rose** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Brian Goncher** – Deloitte VC intermediary in the stock market rigging (He is now under investigation)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more... **)(- **Brian Hickey** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Brobeck Law Firm** - Corrupt insiders for crony deals (Terminated)(- **Camila Cabrer** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Carl Gordon**)(- **Chad Hurley**)(- **Cheryl Sandberg** – Facebook boss, reports to Larry Summers **)(- **Choire Sicha** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Chris Jennings, Jennings Policy Strategies** **)(- **Chris Mohney** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Chuck Brain, Capitol Hill Strategies Inc.** **)(- **Civis Analytics** – Social manipulation group **)(- **Clover Hope** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Covington & Burling** - Corrupt legal manipulations group **)(- **Dan Tate Jr., Capitol Solutions**)(- **Daniel Cohen** – GOVT Legal counsel who assisted in the Steven Chu scam (Sent packing/fired/forced to resign**)(- **Daniel Morgan** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Dark Money Group relay inside Google/Alphabet****)(- **David Axelrod** – White House strategist who helped stage the quid-pro-quo (Sent packing/fired/forced to resign)(he is now under investigation) (accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more... **)(- **David Castagnetti** **)(- **David Danielson**)(- **David Drummond** – Lawyer/Lobbyist– Google, bribes expert for DC and EU regions. Cheated on wife with Google employees. (Under investigation. Quail Road, Woodside, CA home bugged) **)(- **David Matthews** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **David Mott**)(- **David Plouffe** – White House money packager. Arranged deals between VC campaign Donors; caught bribing Rahm Emanuel (Forced to Resign. Under investigation) **)(- **David Prend**)(- **David Sacks**)(- **David Sandalow** **)(- **Debbie Wasserman Schultz** **)(- **Denis McDonough** – White House adviser **)(- **Diana Moskovitz** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Dianne Feinstein** – California politician with the largest corruption charges, Chinese spies and a huge hit-job operatives group. Tens of millions of dollars of stock market payola have passed through her family stock accounts **)(- **Draper - Fisher** – VC firm (Campaign funder who received massive windfalls from Russian mining & Tesla start-up rigging) **)(- **Eleanor Shechet** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Elizabeth Spiers** – Defamation-for-sale blogger **)(- **Elizabeth Starkey** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Elon Musk** – CEO – Tesla (He is now under investigation & in multiple lawsuits for fraud)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more...All of his personal assets, investments and portfolio holdings are under investigation **)(- **Emanuel Rouvelas, K&L Gates** **)(- **Emily Gould** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Emily Herzig** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Emma Carmichael** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Eric Holder** – Attorney General- DOJ (Forced to resign) - Placed in office by Covington & Burling, (Charged with staff & VC Protections and blockade of FBI and Special Prosecutor deployments in order to run the cover-up) **)(- **Eric Paley**)(- **Eric Schmidt** – Owner- Google (He is now under investigation)(accused of political bribery, sex addiction abuse and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more... **)(- **Eric Strickland** – Head of Auto Safety agency under DOT (Sent packing/fired/forced to resign)(he is now under investigation. Charged with cover-up of Tesla and GM auto dangers he had known about **)(- **Erin Ryan** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Ethan Sommer** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Eyal Ebel** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Facebook** - Privacy abuse, spy-on-the-public, Fake News election rigger, Clinton/DNC scheme financier (Failing, rapidly decreasing users and increasing fake ad stats disclosures **)(- **Fisker** - Criminally corrupt crony campaign finance front operation. (Terminated)(- **Fred Graefe, Law Offices of Frederick H. Graefe** **)(- **Fusion GPS** –

Defamation and journalist bribery service ******)(- **Gabriel Burt**)(- **Gabrielle Bluestone** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Gabrielle Darbyshire** – Defamation-for-sale blogger and attack services director ******)(- **Gawker Media** – DNC/Clinton/Obama character assassination media tool (In Mid-Termination ******)(- **Georgina K. Faircloth** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Gerald Cassidy and Gregg Hartley, Cassidy & Associates** ******)(- **Gilman Louie** – VC, founder of IN-Q-Tel and corrupt NVCA finance black-lists ******)(- **Gizmodo Media** – DNC/Clinton/Obama character assassination media tool (Failing, rapidly decreasing users and increasing fake ad stats disclosures ******)(- **Goldman Sachs** – Financial packager (Suspected of staging most of the TARP/GOVT deals for personal gain & insider payouts ******) (- **Google, Inc.** – Data harvesting company(Ran media attacks, stock market pump and dump PR hype and character assassinations)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more...) (charged by EU, and most nations, with multiple abuses of the public. Has totally lost the trust of the public. Revenue loss increasing geometrically. ******)(- **Gregory Howard** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Greylock Capital** – Silicon Valley Insider trading operator and covert campaign financier (Under investigation ******)(- **Hamilton Nolan** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Hannah Keyser** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Harry Reid** – Senator- Solar factory guru, Congress lead (Accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more...Forced out of Congress in shame ******)(- **Heather Deitrich** – Defamation-for-sale blogger ******)(- **Heather Podesta** - Heather Podesta + Partners with her husband, Tony. ******)(- **Hillary Clinton** – Dynastic politician ******)(- **Hudson Hongo** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Hugo Schwyzer** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Hunter Slaton** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Ian Fette** – Defamation-for-sale blogger and Google, Gawker, Jalopnik, Match, Gizmodo media assassin ******)(- **In-Q-Tel, Inc.** – Rogue CIA off-shoot associated with Eric Schmidt, Google, Elon Musk and the Cartel leaders. Ran “hit-jobs” on Silicon Valley VC adversaries and reporters (Sued, under investigation, exposed in multiple documentaries, under investigation for Cocaine trafficking. Removal of charity status demanded ******)(- **Ira Ehrenpreis** – VC Campaign backer (He is now under investigation)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more...) (All of his personal assets, investments and portfolio holdings are under investigation ******)(- **Irin Carmon** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Ivanpah Solar** - Criminally corrupt crony Google campaign finance front operation. (In failure mode)(- **Jack Lew**)(- **Jack Quinn, Quinn Gillespie & Associates**) (- **Jacque Littlefield** – Army tank private attack squad owner, VC, Dead)(- **Jalopnik** – Online defamation facade political publication. Pretends to be about cars but is DNC hit job rag ******)(- **James Bronkema** – West Coast Money Man for David Rockefeller and Feinstein financier (Dead)(- **James Brown Jr** – Obamacare HHS Programming and Operations lead in California (Arrested for corruption) (- **James J. Cooke** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **James King** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Jared Cohen** – Google boss, Israeli spy interface and international political manipulator - ******)(- **Jawed Karim**)(- **Jay Carney** – White House press lead, now media hit man for Amazon (Forced to resign ******)(- **Jeff Berman and David Russell, Bryan Cave**. Berman, the former delegate counter for President Obama’s 2008 campaign ******)(- **Jeff Lieberman**)(- **Jeff Peck, Peck, Madigan, Jones & Stewart**. Once an aide to then-Sen. Joe Biden (D-Del.) on the Senate Judiciary Committee ******)(- **Jeffrey Zients** ******)(- **Jennifer Ouellette** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Jeremy Stoppelman**)(- **Jerry Brown** – California politician with stock market owner-ships in all of the perps companies ******)(- **Jesse Oxfeld** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Jessica Cohen** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Jesus**

Diaz – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Jillian Schulz** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Jim Blanchard and Ilia Rodriguez, DLA Piper**. Blanchard, a former Democratic governor of Michigan, and Rodriguez, an ex-lobbyist for the Center for American Progress **)(- **Jim Breyer** – VC and CIA intermediary who staged the NVCA as a tech funding black-list org to control Silicon Valley **)(- **Jim Goetz**)(- **Jimmy Ryan, Elmendorf | Ryan**)(- **Joanna Rothkopf** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Joe Lonsdale** – VC, famous for rape and abuse scandal and domestic spying via Palantir **)(- **Joe Rhodes** – White House shill and manipulator **)(- **Joel Johnson, The Glover Park Group**. The former aide to Sen. Tom Daschle (D-S.D.) **)(- **Johanna Shelton** - Google's lobbyist **)(- **John Cook** – Defamation-for-sale blogger and director of media assassins group **)(- **John Doerr** – Owner – Kleiner Perkins. “Godfather” – Silicon Valley Cartel (He is now under investigation)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more...)(All of his personal assets, investments and portfolio holdings are under investigation **)(- **John Goudie** - Florida mob boss, Christopher Columbus party scammer (arrested)(- **John Herrman** – Defamation-for-sale blogger **)(- **John Lindfors**)(- **John Podesta** – White House adviser and master manipulator to drive stock pump and dumps **)(- **John Raffaelli, Capitol Counsel.** **)(- **Jonathan Silver** – GOVT VC (Sent packing/fired/forced to resign)(he is now under investigation. Shamed in media for epic failures **)(- **Jordan Sargent** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Joseph Keenan Trotter** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Josh Ackil and Matt Tanielian, Franklin Square Group**. With clients including Apple, Google and Intel, the two Democrats are among the elite lobbyists for Silicon Valley in Washington. **)(- **Josh Kopelman** **)(- **Josh Stein** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Joshua Wright**)(- **JP Gan**)(- **Julia Allison** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Julianne E. Shepherd** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Julie Domenick, Multiple Strategies LLC**. Insider stock lobbyist **)(- **Justin Hyde** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Kamala Harris** – Crooked Senator directed by investment banker husband. Covered up death of Gary Conley and Hastings the reporter **)(- **Kate Dries** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Katharine Trendacosta** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Katherine Drummond** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Kathy Zoi** - Dept of Energy corruption suck up **)(- **Keith Rabois** - Corrupt VC **)(- **Kelly Stout** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Ken Alex** – Political scheme adviser to Jerry Brown **)(- **Ken Duberstein and Marti Thomas, The Duberstein Group**. Duberstein was floated as a candidate for Obama’s chief of staff before the president chose Bill Daley, while Thomas is a Democratic vet who worked in the Clinton Treasury Department. **)(- **Ken Howery** – Corrupt VC **)(- **Kenneth Kies, Federal Policy Group**)(- **Kerrie Uthoff** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Kevin Draper** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Kleiner Perkins** – Campaign funding VC who (Received massive windfalls from Russian mining & tech start-up rigging. Sued. Under investigation. All assets being tracked **)(- **Lacey Donohue** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Lachlan Seward** – Energy Department gatekeeper, dirty schemes operator for Steven Chu **)(- **Larry O’Brien, OB-C Group**. O’Brien is one of the most potent Democratic fixers in the country and has a personal connection to Fusion GPS and Google insider deals **)(- **Larry Page** – Google Boss, technology thief and covert political campaign financier **)(- **Larry Summers** – VC and Facebook covert boss to Sandberg **)(- **Licy Do Canto, The DoCanto Group**)(- **Lisa Kountoupes, Kountoupes Consulting**)(- **Lloyd Craig Blankfein** – VC and Sachs boss **)(- **Lucy Haller** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Luke Malone** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Luke Nosek**)(- **Madeleine Davies** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(

Marc Andreessen - Corrupt VC and attack specialist **)(- **Margaret Sullivan** – VC, USAID Boss, Tom Steyer’s partner and Hillary Clinton best friend, intended Scty of State if Tom Steyer wins Presidency)(- **Mario Aguilar** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Mario Rosatti** – VC and insider lawyer, covert political campaign finance specialist **)(- **Mark Isakowitz, Fierce, Isakowitz and Blalock ****)(- **Mark Kadesh**, Kadesh & Associates. Kadesh, once chief of staff to Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D-Calif.), has an A-list of California Silicon Valley ANTIFA-like clients **)(- **Mark Zuckerberg** – Sociopath Facebook Boss who has lied to Congress over and over **)(- **Martin LaGod** - Firelake VC and political manipulator **)(- **Marty Paone, Prime Policy Group ****)(- **Mary Meeker** – Corrupt politicizing VC **)(- **Matt Hardigree** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Matt Novak** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Matt Rogers** – VC and pass-through conduit **)(- **Max Levchin** - Corrupt VC **)(- **Mckinsey Consulting** – The firm you hire to rig white papers and insider hires in government positions for the Palo Alto Mafia **)(- **Megan Smith**)(- **Melissa Schulman, The Bockorny Group ****)(- **Michael Ballaban** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Michael Dobbs** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Michael Eisenberg**)(- **Michael Moritz** - Corrupt VC)(- **Michael Spinelli** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Mikey Dickerson**)(- **Mitch Feuer and Robert Griner, Rich Feuer Group. Goldman Sachs lobbyist ****)(- **Mohammed Nuru** - San Francisco Public Works Boss, Arrested For Corruption, Sleeps with SF Mayor)(- **Morrison and Foerster** - Lawyers to the corrupt Sandhill Road mob bosses**)(- **Nancy Pelosi** – California politician with one of the biggest stock market kick-back funds in America **)(- **Nathan Parker**)(- **Neal Ungerleider** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Neerag Agrawal**)(- **Nicholas Aster** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Nicholas Guido Denton** – Defamation-for-sale blogger and head of the Gawker, Gizmodo, Jalopnik sleaze tabloid empire and specialist in young boys **)(- **Nick Allard, Thomas Hale Boggs Jr., Micah Green, Jonathan Yarowsky and Edward Newberry, Patton Boggs ****)(- **Omar Kardoudi** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Owen Thomas** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Patrick George** – Defamation-for-sale blogger, Soros shill and Character Assassination operative **)(- **Patrick Laffoon** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Patrick Redford** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Perkins Coie** – Campaign cash conduit law firm **)(- **Peter Fenton**)(- **Peter Thiel** – Corrupt VC and Elon Musk boyfriend **)(- **Pierre Omidyar** – Defamation-for-sale bloggers financier and owner of Ebay **)(- **Rahm Emanuel** – White House boss and corruption scheme architect **)(- **Raj Gupta** – McKinsey Associate And VC, (Arrested)(- **Rakesh Saxena** – Canadian in-house arrest, arms dealer, western political packager (arrested)(- **Ray Lane** – Tax Evading VC **)(- **Reid Hoffman** – VC and sex.com partner with Gary Kremen and financier of BLM riots **)(- **Rich Gold, Kathryn Lehman and Gerry Sikorski, Holland & Knight ****)(- **Rich Juzwiak** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Richard Blakely** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Richard Blum** – VC and director/husband of Dianne Feinstein **)(- **Richard Rushfield** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Rick Kessler** - Dow Lohnes Government Strategies **)(- **Robert Finger** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Robert Gibbs** – White press office head attack dog **)(- **Robert Raben, The Raben Group** - Helped cover up Clinton crimes at DOJ **)(- **Robert Sorokanich** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Robert Van Heuvelen, VH Strategies ****)(- **Roelof Botha**)(- **Rory Waltzer** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Rosa Golijan** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Russel Simmons**)(- **Ryan Brown** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Ryan Goldberg** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Sam Faulkner Biddle** – Defamation-for-sale blogger, Runs a large

part of the Antifa blog programs **)(- **Sam Woolley** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Samar Kalaf** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Sander Lurie - SNR Denton** **)(- **Sandi Stuart - Clark & Weinstock**)(- **Sanjay Wagle** – VC)(- **Sarah Ramey** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Scott Shleiffer**)(- **Sergy Brin** – Google boss, sex addict and oligarch **)(- **Shannon Marie Donnelly** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Shep McAllister** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Solyndra Solar Company** – FBI raided corrupt Clean Tech company invested in by Feinstein family (terminated)(- **Sophie Kleeman** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **SpaceX** – Elon Musk company that Obama gave part of NASA to in exchange for campaign conduits, most of their work is satellites that spy on the public **)(- **Stephen Totilo** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Steve Chen**)(- **Steve Jurvetson** – VC embroiled in sex abuse charges and money laundering investigations **)(- **Steve McBee, McBee Strategic** **)(- **Steve Perry and Andy Wright, Dutko Grayling**)(- **Steve Rattner** – White House car czar, indicted for stock fraud **)(- **Steve Spinner** – Energy Department manipulation expert, Wife was Solyndra’s lawyer **)(- **Steve Westly** – VC **)(- **Steven Chu** – Secretary of Energy – The most corrupt in US history **)(- **Tamar Winberg** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Taryn Schweitzer** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Taylor McKnight** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Ted Schlein**)(- **Tesla Motors** – Car Company that is used to conduit money to political campaigns **)(- **The Groundwork** – Social manipulation group)(- **Thomas Jolly, Jolly/Rissler** **)(- **Thorin Klosowski** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Tim Draper** – VC and Tesla stock manipulator **)(- **Tim Marchman** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Timothy Burke** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Tobey Grumet Segal** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Todd Park** – IT manipulator inside White House **)(- **Tom Ley** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Tom O’Donnell, Gephardt Government Affairs Group** **)(- **Tom Perkins** – KPCB Palo Alto Mafia founder (dead)(- **Tom Scocca** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Tom Sheridan, The Sheridan Group.** **)(**Tom Steyer** – VC obsessed with political manipulation and Hillary financier **)(- **Tomorrow Ventures** – Social manipulation group **)(- **Tony Podesta, Podesta Group** **)(- **Veronica de Souza** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **Victor Fazio, Joel Jankowsky, Scott Parven and Bill Paxon, Akin, Gump** **)(- **Viktor Vekselberg** – Russian business entity who likes to meddle **)(- **Vinod Khosla** - VC and political manipulator **)(- **Wes Siler** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **William Haisley** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **William Turton** – Defamation-for-sale blogger)(- **The Wilson Sonsini Insider Partner Staff Club** **)(- **Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich and Rosatti** **)

These parties, above, are the crooks under deep surveillance by the public and targeted for 100% legal termination and exposure. Federal agencies and citizen sleuths are asked to fully prosecute them! San Francisco and Silicon Valley can't go bankrupt fast enough to make everyone happy about the downfall of these corrupt empires! these people are the dark core of the entity known as "*The Deep State*", aka, "*The Commission*", aka, "*The Silicon Valley Mafia*", aka "*The Paypal Mafia*", et al.

Most of the people listed above paid and/or took bribes!

Felony Charges Against Elon Musk

Elon Musk is protected by President's, their White House staff, the financier's of those political campaigns and their banker: Goldman Sachs.

Elon Musk and his Cartel operatives engaged in election law violating campaign finance, money laundering, illicit political quid pro quo and anti-trust violating operations, among other crimes.

No competitor on Earth can go up against a business that: 1.) Get's exclusive favored-nations status from The White House, 2.) Get's exclusive cash from the government that nobody else can get, 3.) Get's federal investigation waivers and NHTSA enforcement avoidance, 4.) Has their stock market assets owned by the very politicians that are supposed to regulate their industry, 5.) Get's exclusive tax payment waivers, 6.) Get's exclusive fee waivers, 7.) Has almost no enforcement against it of the laws it violates, 8.) Has the majority of it's business dealings run by Goldman Sachs, 9.) Get's a federally financed monopoly.

When you peek behind the Wizard of Oz curtain in the magical fantasy technology world of Elon Musk you find that the entire emerald utopia is built out of bribes, payola and crony tech Illuminati stock market manipulations. Elon Musk's 'wizardry' is 20% other people's stolen technologies and 80% bullshit! He has purchased his internet PR facade with billions of dollars of the taxpayer's cash and keeps his self aggrandizing front going with extensive contracted off-shore troll farms and stock market "flash boy" algorithm computer hype engines.

Any tech person could do every single thing that Elon Musk has done with ONE THIRD LESS cash than Elon Musk has plowed through. So where does the rest of the money go?

It goes to political candidates in quid pro quo money laundering Dark Money conduits!

Taxpayer money taken by Tesla Motors, which politicians own interest in and also get secret PAC money from:

\$3B-CA Factory Subsidy

\$1.3B-NV tax incentive

\$45M-Discounted GOVT Loan

\$90M-CA Alternative energy advanced trans. financing authority

\$517.2M-Sale of CA and other regulatory credits

\$284M-Federal income Tax credits for consumers of Model S Sedan

\$38M-CA rebate for CA buyers of Model S Sedan

\$126M-CA Self Generation incentive program

\$647,626-CA job training reimbursement

Total Subsidy=**\$5,355,847,626 BILLION AND COUNTING**

Taxpayer money taken by Elon Musk via SolarCity, which politicians own interest in and also get secret PAC money from:

\$750M-NY State cost to build solar panel factory

\$150M- to start NY Factory Operation

\$1.5B-Est. value of 30% subsidy for solar installation since 2006, including at least **\$497M**- in Treasury grants

\$5.6M-OR tax credits & rebates

\$260M- NY local property tax exemptions

Total Subsidy=**\$3,163,100,000 BILLION AND COUNTING**

Taxpayer money taken by Elon Musk via SpaceX, which politicians own interest in and also get secret PAC money from:

\$20M- TX state & local incentives & rebates for space launch facility near Brownsville

\$5.5B- Government Contracts

\$1.6B- NASA space taxi contract

Total Subsidy= **\$7,120,000,000 BILLION AND COUNTING**

Know that everything you have seen in the main stream news media about Elon Musk was bought and placed there by Elon Musk. Elon Musk is a figment of his own propaganda, Chinese click farms, his hired troll “fan boys” and his sociopathy.

[A Question for Tesla’s Board: What Was Elon Musk’s Mental State?](#)

By [James B. Stewart](#)

Mr. Musk’s [tweet last week](#) — expressing his intent to take Tesla private and declaring that he had “funding secured” for the multi billion-dollar transaction — was so impulsive, potentially inaccurate, poorly worded and thought out, and with such potentially dire consequences for himself, Tesla and its shareholders, that the board now must ask a sensitive but vital question: What was Mr. Musk’s state of mind when he wrote it?

“What does this say about the judgment of the person who set all this in motion?” said Charles M. Elson, director of the Weinberg Center for Corporate Governance at the University of Delaware. “That’s what the board has to find out.”

Read the full article [here](#)

[Elon Musk needs to recognize his limitations and bring in a strong No. 2 to help run Tesla: Analysts](#)

[Tesla](#) co-founder and CEO [Elon Musk](#) must recognize he can't do it all and agree to bring in a strong No. 2 to run day-to-day operations at the electric automaker, leading analysts told CNBC on Tuesday.

“We think he’s going through a founder’s dilemma. He’s clearly stretched too thin,” Consumer Edge Research analyst James Albertine said on [“Squawk Box.”](#) “I think this is Elon going through personal issues, having his own struggles with the bears, very publicly.”

Musk had been acting acting erratically for months even before his [take-private tweet two weeks ago](#), which raised concerns among investors and regulators in Washington.

In May, Musk rudely cut off analysts on Tesla’s first-quarter earnings call, [something he apologized for](#) on the second-quarter call earlier this month. He was also launching tweetstorm after tweetstorm all summer long as he was dealing with major production problems for the automaker’s new, less expensive sedan, the Model 3.

The board needs to bring in a chief operating officer or co-CEO to take some of the pressures off of Musk and allow him to concentrate on being a “brilliant leader as a visionary,” contended Albertine, who on Tuesday downgraded Tesla stock to equal weight and reduced his 12-month price target to \$311 per share from \$385.

Shares of Tesla broke a four-session, 14 percent losing streak on Monday, and the stock was logging a strong advance in early Tuesday trading.

Read more [here](#).

[After Elon Musk’s ‘Pedo’ Tweet, Tesla Shares Fall 4% as Some Investors Worry About His Erratic Behavior](#)

By KEVIN KELLEHER

Tesla’s stock lost 4% of its value Monday following a rough weekend for its CEO Elon Musk.

Musk, who has taken to Twitter in recent weeks to combat his critics, drew criticism this weekend after calling a diver involved in the rescue of a Thai soccer team a “pedo.” The diver, Vern Unsworth, had criticized Musk’s effort to create a small submarine to rescue the soccer team members.

Thai rescuers succeeded in bringing the soccer team to safety without enlisting Musk’s help. Unsworth dismissed it as a PR stunt, telling Musk he could “stick his submarine where it hurts.” Musk then lashed back in a now deleted tweet that seemed to hint, by virtue of the British diver’s residence in Thailand, that he was a pedophile.

Unsworth has said he is considering legal action against Musk, while Tesla investors wasted no time in expressing their concerns about the CEO’s erratic behavior. Tesla slid 2.8% during official market hours Monday, before declining more than 1% in after-hours trading.

Read the full post [here](#)

[The many failures of Elon Musk, captured in one giant infographic](https://web.archive.org/web/20200104074824/https://stopelonfromfailingagain.com/2017/12/12/the-many-failures-of-elon-musk-captured-in-one-giant-infographic/)

<https://web.archive.org/web/20200104074824/https://stopelonfromfailingagain.com/2017/12/12/the-many-failures-of-elon-musk-captured-in-one-giant-infographic/>

Among the more recent ‘failures’: parts of the Tesla Model 3 were being made by hand, contributing to a shortage of vehicles ready for delivery

Going through a rough patch at work? Well, at least you probably didn’t get ousted as CEO of your own company, watch two of your companies hover on the brink of bankruptcy or get fired while on your honeymoon.

All of those things have happened at various points to Elon Musk, founder of SpaceX, co-founder of Tesla TSLA, -0.33% , founder of Neuralink, co-founder of SolarCity and co-founder of X.com which eventually became PayPal , and who now has a net worth of about \$15 billion.

Musk’s impressive resume is littered with accomplishments, but it’s worth remembering it’s not all sunbeams and soaring stock prices.

Read the full post [here](#)

[Sorry, But Tesla’s Cool Electric Cars Can’t Compete As Long As Fracking Exists](https://web.archive.org/web/20200104074824/https://stopelonfromfailingagain.com/2017/09/20/sorry-but-teslas-cool-electric-cars-cant-compete-as-long-as-fracking-exists/)

<https://web.archive.org/web/20200104074824/https://stopelonfromfailingagain.com/2017/09/20/sorry-but-teslas-cool-electric-cars-cant-compete-as-long-as-fracking-exists/>

If you were among the brave few who bought a Tesla Roadster in 2008, you purchased your government-subsidized \$100,000-plus electric car when a barrel of oil cost as much as \$162 in today’s dollars. “Peak oil” was an article of faith — as crude supplies ran out, ever-higher oil prices would destroy demand for the internal combustion engine and other fossil-fuel monstrosities. But now, if you’re one of the 500,000 wannabe Tesla owners who, according to CEO Elon Musk, has put down a \$1,000 deposit on a \$35,000 Model 3, the company’s new mass-market electric sedan, you’re probably just as familiar with a fashionable new green conceit. Now the article of faith is “peak demand” for oil, the idea that electric cars will soon make oil obsolete.

You can read the full article [here](#).

<https://web.archive.org/web/20200104074824/http://www.investors.com/politics/commentary/sorry-but-teslas-cool-electric-cars-cant-compete-as-long-as-fracking-exists/>



[You must watch this Elon Musk video of rocket fails](https://web.archive.org/web/20200104074824/https://stopelonfromfailingagain.com/2017/09/19/you-must-watch-this-elon-musk-video-of-rocket-fails/)

<https://web.archive.org/web/20200104074824/https://stopelonfromfailingagain.com/2017/09/19/you-must-watch-this-elon-musk-video-of-rocket-fails/>

Any time Elon Musk makes a mistake, it does not come cheap.

That could not be more true when it comes to SpaceX, the aeronautics company he runs. On Thursday, Musk shared a video highlighting what happens when you fail to land an orbital rocket booster.

And it is spectacular.

“Long road to re-usability of Falcon 9 primary boost stage...When upper stage & fairing also reusable, costs will drop by a factor >100,” wrote Musk.

The video consists of a lowlight reel of SpaceX, featuring a lot of rockets blowing up with captions like “Rocket is fine? It’s just a scratch.”

Read the full article [here](#)

Tesla is making a risky move by issuing \$1.5 billion in debt

Matthew DeBord

- **Tesla said it would issue \$1.5 billion in unsecured notes.**
- **Issuing new debt deviates from previous equity-based capital raises.**
- **The carmaker has added substantial debt to its balance sheet since its SolarCity merger last year.**

[Continue reading “Tesla is making a risky move by issuing \\$1.5 billion in debt” →](#)

About 63,000 people have canceled preorders for the electric car over the course of the past year, as revealed earlier this week by [Tesla CEO Elon Musk](#) (<https://web.archive.org/web/20200104074824/https://www.inc.com/justin-bariso/elon-musk-takes-customer-complaint-on-twitter-from-idea-to-execution-in-6-days.html>) (on the company’s quarterly earnings call. (The number of orders dropped from about 518,000 to 455,000, Musk said.)

promise, as chronicled in a great story [in *The Wall Street Journal*](https://www.wsj.com/articles/elon-musk-techs-cash-poor-billionaire-11588967043?mod=e2twd) (<https://www.wsj.com/articles/elon-musk-techs-cash-poor-billionaire-11588967043?mod=e2twd>) about Musk’s personal finances that you should go read.

According to the *WSJ*, despite being worth an estimated \$39 billion on paper:

...he has to borrow, sometimes a lot, to pay for his lifestyle and business investments without liquidating shares that help him maintain control of the companies he runs. About half his Tesla stock is pledged as collateral for personal loans, an April 28 financial filing shows. Maintaining his equity stake—about 20%, or around \$29 billion at its current valuation—is important for him to keep control over the Silicon Valley auto maker.

Musk also doesn’t take a salary at Tesla, but he apparently became eligible for stock options worth more than \$1 billion this week. To get that money, he will need an eye-watering *\$592 million* to exercise the option, according to the *WSJ*. It’s not clear if Musk has the money on hand to exercise that option or if the money raised from the house sales will be used to help pay for the sum. “Mr. Musk said he wasn’t selling his possessions because he needs the money,” the *WSJ* reported.

The article also lists a few times Musk has said he’s cash poor. Here’s one example:

Before Tesla went public, Mr. Musk told a judge during a contentious divorce with his first wife that he had run out of cash and had taken on emergency loans from friends to support his family and pay living expenses.

Here’s another:

Last year, Mr. Musk’s ability to access cash came up again during a defamation lawsuit over comments he made about a man involved in the rescue of a Thai soccer team from a flooded cave in 2018. A lawyer in the case said in a filing that Mr. Musk had described himself as “financially illiquid.”

The whole article has a lot of history about Musk, interesting information about his finances backed up by regulatory filings, and numerous quotes from Musk himself, and I sincerely recommend taking 10 minutes [to read it in full](https://www.wsj.com/articles/elon-musk-techs-cash-poor-billionaire-11588967043?mod=e2twd). (<https://www.wsj.com/articles/elon-musk-techs-cash-poor-billionaire-11588967043?mod=e2twd>)

Musk has Goldman Sachs, Welles Fargo and Wilson Sonsini Mobster-Class executive bankers hide his money in a rabbit warren of HUNDREDS of trusts, shell corporations and fake charities from South Dakota, to Switzerland to the Cayman Islands to Russia.

A federal investigation to show the tentacular diagram of scams has been demanded!

Elon Musk’s Crimes He Is Never Prosecuted For:

These are the illicit things that sociopath narcissist Musk has engaged in with the taxpayer cash he mooched from government treasuries from a wide group of reports and articles on-line:

1. Private investigators have produced reports on the Elon Musk and Tesla Motors fraud, stock rigging, bribery and safety investigations that Musk's Silicon Valley Sandhill Road venture capitalists cover-up.
2. Musk hides his money in illicit and false-front real-estate scams to avoid taxes and to launder money.
3. "His corrupt cobalt mines promote genocide in the Congo as seen in NETFLIX Black Earth Rising".
4. "His corrupt cobalt mines promote mass rape in the Congo as seen in NETFLIX Black Earth Rising".
5. "His corrupt cobalt mines promote child slave labor in the Congo as seen in NETFLIX Black Earth Rising".
6. "He tries to bury his ill-gotten money from the taxpayers in gobs of real estate acquisitions and houses including his notorious purchase of the Vaughn DeGuigne Court mansion at 891 Crystal Springs Road, in Burlingame, California which is staged for his kinky sex parties and Illuminati-like cartel get-togethers..."
7. "His is not faithful to his girlfriends. Some of them are Ghislane Maxwell-type enablers, too, just to hang around his money and promote his sex schemes like Maxwell did for Epstein"
8. "The workers that build his batteries die or sicken from toxic poisoning and Musk tries to hide his dirty factories overseas"
9. "Tesla bribes U.S. Senators with cash and stock in order to get free taxpayer funds"
10. "He is addicted to drugs and booze"
11. "He has 'sociopath-class' mental issues and he is a narcissist..."
12. "Tesla has had more recalls for safety defects, per volume, than any other car maker. Musk refuses to allow the use of the word RECALL but the facts are the facts."
13. "It is so easy to hack any Tesla and crash it, break into it or give it bad braking orders that it is criminally negligent to allow Tesla's on the street. Even the Chinese have hacked Tesla's from the other side of the world! Tesla's have been hacked and remotely crashed, the drivers killed and Tesla covers this up..."
14. "His partner: Steve Jurvetson, has been charged with sex and corruption issues"
15. "He arranged government kick-backs with the White House"
16. His entire current existence is based on stock market scams created with taxpayer dollars and Goldman Sachs securities manipulations

17. "He is the world's biggest government mooch and has taken more taxpayer cash than anyone in U.S. History as a billionaire who does not need a hand-out"
18. "He is a member of the Palo Alto Tech Mafia operated by Stanford University gay frat house guys"
19. "Google (who is a major Tesla investor) hides all negative Musk/Tesla news in digital media globally and hypes TSLA stock in order to profiteer with Tesla stock. This is a violation of federal SEC laws"
20. "More drivers have been caught driving drunk, in Tesla's, than any other car Per Capita produced"
21. "Google boss Larry Page is Musk's 'bromance' boyfriend buddy and he uses Google to cover-up Musk's scandals"
22. "His so-called 'foundation' is just a payola and tax evasion scam for his family. It is a charity scam"
23. "His batteries are the most dangerous use of lithium ion storage ever conceived"
24. "His partner: Panasonic, has been charged with multiple corruption, dumping, price rigging and manipulation crimes around the globe"
25. "Almost all of the internet 'Tesla Fanboys' are Russian troll farms and hired bloggers that Musk pays vast amounts of money to in order to hype up a fake image for him. Musk has over 1000 click-farm and Russian troll bloggers under his employ via various false-front cover organization contractors.
26. "The drug and murder-for-hire website: *Silk Road*, was built at drug-enthusiast Musk's company SpaceX by Musk's programmer and Musk hires many people from a group called: In-Q-Tel, who were caught with tons of cocaine on their airplanes in a DEA raid"
27. "His SpaceX is nothing more than a domestic spy satellite company"
28. In-Q-Tel supplies a number of Musk's staff, yet In-Q-Tel has been accused of numerous criminal ventures and abuses of the public.
29. You never hear about these crimes because Musk's buddies in Silicon Valley control 90% of the global media these days and they censor any bad news about Musk in order to protect their Cartel.
30. "Musk's brain chip company tortures monkey's and other small animals in bad science experiments"
31. "His father screwed his daughter and got her pregnant. His father seems to be a pedophile and incest participant"

32. "Dianne Feinstein and her family covertly own many Musk interests and arranged for him to get his funds from the taxpayers. She helped sabotage his competitors in her district"
33. "You can't put out the fires when his batteries explode say fireman because Lithium fires are military class thermo-dynamics"
34. "The fumes from his lithium ion thermal battery vapors give you cancer, lung and brain damage"
35. Psychologists say that Musk is a self promoting, narcissistic, multi-billion dollar, self-aggrandizing PR hype addict.
36. Many of us know these facts from personal interaction with Musk, his companies and his politicians.
37. Everything in these reports can be proven in a jury trial, Congressional hearings or live TV debates but Musk would rather die than face uncontrolled public scrutiny.
38. Musk will do anything to keep this information from getting out, including hiring attack services like his Fusion GPS, Black Cube, Gawker and Gizmodo Kill services.
39. While Musk's dirty deeds sound like a bad Hollywood movie script. It all really happened and there is now massive hard copy evidence to prove it.
40. Elon Musk exists because he bribed DNC politicians including Obama, Clinton and Senators Feinstein, Reid, Boxer, Harris, Spier and Pelosi to give him free taxpayer cash and government resources from The U.S. Government and the California political tax pool.
41. When you follow-the-money and the insider trading, stock ownership and crony payola kick-backs. The payola between Musk, his scummy cronies and the politicians is proven.
42. The Musk empire pays bribes in billions of dollars of Google (Where Musk's boy buddy Larry Page works), Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures stock and stock warrants which is never reported to the FEC
43. The Musk empire pays bribes in billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures search engine rigging and shadow-banning which is never reported to the FEC
44. The Musk empire pays bribes in free rent
45. The Musk empire pays bribes in Male and female prostitutes
46. The Musk empire pays bribes in cars
47. The Musk empire pays bribes in dinners at fancy restaurants
48. The Musk empire pays bribes in socialite party financing
49. The Musk empire pays bribes in Sports Event Tickets

- 50.The Musk empire pays bribes in Political campaign printing and mailing services "Donations"
- 51.The Musk empire pays bribes in Secret PAC Financing. Musk's empire is a massive political financing conduit for the DNC politicians via a tentacular array of covert shell corporations, trusts, 501C's and stock bribes.
- 52.The Musk empire pays bribes in Jobs in Corporations in Silicon Valley For The Family Members of Those Who Take Bribes And Those Manage Bribes
- 53.The Musk empire pays bribes in "Consulting" contracts from McKinsey Consulting as fronted pay-off gigs
- 54.The Musk empire pays bribes in Overpriced "Speaking Engagements" which are really just pay-offs conduited for donors
- 55.The Musk empire pays bribes in Private jet rides and use of Government fuel depots (ie: Google handed out NASA jet fuel to staff)
- 56.The Musk empire pays bribes in commercial Real Estate
- 57.The Musk empire pays bribes in Fake mortgages
- 58.The Musk empire pays bribes in The use of Cayman, Boca Des Tores, Swiss and related money-laundering accounts and The use of HSBC, Wells Fargo, Goldman Sachs and Deustche Bank money laundering accounts and covert stock accounts
- 59.The Musk empire pays bribes in Free spam and bulk mailing services owned by Silicon Valley corporations
- 60.The Musk empire pays bribes in the use of high tech law firms such as Perkins Coie, Wilson Sonsini, MoFo, Covington & Burling, etc. to conduit bribes to officials
- 61.The U.S. Energy Dept (GOVT) has been covering-up organized political crime activities in which government funds are being used as a slush-fund to pay off political campaign financiers and to pay for CIA/GPS Fusion-Class attacks on Silicon Valley business competitors via Musk political conduits.
- 62.Political campaign financiers and government agency staff share stock market holdings with each other under family trusts, shell corporations and layered Goldman Sachs accounts.
- 63.The basic Musk scam-deal goes like this: *"Obama funds Tesla, Musk conduits campaign funds to Obama, top Obama staff profit off of insider Musk stocks..."*
- 64.Elon Musk is a criminal, a mobster, an asshole, a balding fake-hair wearing, plastic surgery-addicted, bi-sexual douchebag, woman-abusing, sex addicted, tax evader. Plaintiffs can put this in writing because all of those identifications regarding Musk can be proven in court and are documented in existing lawsuits and news stories.

65. Musk exploits poor people and child slaves in the Congo and Afghanistan to mine his lithium and Cobalt. Look up this phrase on the top search engines: “child labor electric car batteries”.
66. Musk spends billions per year to hire Russian trolls, fake blogger fan-boys and buy fake news self-glory look-at-me articles about himself.
67. Musk thinks he is the 'Jesus' of Silicon Valley and he will do anything to make the public think so.
68. Musk is insecure because his father was abusive and his “trophy wife” Mother is overbearing so he developed sociopath-like mental issues.
69. Musk has been professionally diagnosed as a 'psychotic narcissist.
70. He public stated on an investor call that he uses drugs and alcohol to get through the night. Plaintiffs have the tapes.
71. Musk relies on Google and the DNC Main Stream News (MSN) to hide bad news about him.
72. Fake News manipulator Google is run by Larry Page. Larry is Musk's investor and bromance ‘Butt buddy’. They share an apartment.
73. Musk uses massive numbers of shell companies and trust funds to self-deal, evade the law and hide his bribes and stock market insider trading.
74. His brother ran Solar City and is now under federal investigation for securities fraud.
75. A huge number of Tesla drivers have been killed; pedestrians and oncoming drivers have also been killed, and Musk covers it up.
76. Extremist politicians and their controlled news outlets refuse to allow any articles about Musk's crimes to be printed because they benefit from Musk's crimes.
77. Investor oligarch's Tim Draper and Steve Jurvetson are so fanatical about not being embarrassed from a Tesla bankruptcy that they will pump the TSLA stock and threaten anybody who might disclose the Musk misdeeds.
78. Peter Thiel, a Musk ‘boyfriend’ also protects Musk. Musk, and his cronies, use Palantir, Google and related software to scan the entire internet every few minutes for any occurrence of the words: “Musk”, “Tesla” or “Tesla Fire”. They send trolls and fake bloggers (Many of them Russian) to put pro-Musk comments on the comments section of any blogs or articles discussing those topics and try to flood out the truth about Musk.
79. In EVERY blog that you read that mentions 'Musk', at least 1/3 of the comments have been placed their by Musk's paid shills.
80. There are no “Tesla Fan Boys”. All of the fanatic Tesla comments on the internet are Musk's, Thiel's, Jurvetson's and Draper's fake fanboy trolls. Musk, himself, stays up late at night pretending to be a ‘Tesla Fan Boy’ on blogs.

- 81.The 'Silicon Valley Mafia; cartel of frat boy sociopath venture capitalists like Steve Jurvetson, Tim Draper, Eric Schmidt, et al; threaten those who do not support the cult of Tesla or their political candidates.
- 82.Musk holds the record for getting sued for fraud by his investors, wives, former partners, employees, suppliers and co-founders.
- 83.Elon Musk has gone out of his way to hire hundreds of ex-CIA and In-Q-Tel staff and assign them to "dirty tricks teams" to attack his competitors and elected officials who Musk hates.
- 84.Musk never founded his companies. He took Tesla away from the founder: Marty, in a hostile take-over!
- 85.Musk's "Starlink" satellites are domestic spy and political manipulation tools - never get your internet from one. SpaceX is entirely a spy satellite operation.
- 86.The same kind of EMF radiation proven to cause cancer from cell phones exists in massive amounts in a Tesla.
- 87.Musk can't fix a car or build a rocket and has almost no mechanical skills he can't build or work on any of the things he made himself famous for.
- 88.If you pull a report of every VIN# of every Tesla ever built and cross reference that with insurance, repair and lawsuit records you will find that the "per volume" fire, crash, death and defect rate is THE WORST of any car maker in history!
- 89.Musk is a lying con artist and partners with Goldman Sachs to rig the stock market. Sachs has a dedicated team of 18+ men who rig stocks and valuation bumps for Musk.
- 90.Over 1000 witnesses can prove every one of those claims in any live televised Congressional hearing!
- 91.Senators Dianne Feinstein, Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Kamala Harris and their associates own the stock in Tesla Motors and/or it's suppliers and mining companies. That is why they criminally help cover-up investigations of Tesla!
- 92.All of this was reported, in writing, to James Comey, Patricia Rich and David Johnson at the FBI by those who supplied this information but Musk has yet to be arrested because crooked California politicians, who own his stock, protect him from arrest.
- 93.Why aren't all of those parties in prison if it is so easy to prove the crime? Think back to recent history: the heads of the Department of Energy, the FBI, The DOJ and the U.S. Attorney General were kicked out of their jobs for corruption. THIS was the corruption they were doing. They all knew about this crime but they were covering it up.
- 94.Musk took over Tesla Motors in a hostile take-over in order to exploit lithium, cobalt and other mining corruption deals for his business partners.

95. When you take a look at the 'lithium' in Musk's horrifically miss-engineered lithium ion batteries you will uncover horrible crimes engaged in to acquire it.
96. His batteries cause wars in the Congo, Afghanistan and Bolivia from the corrupt mining deals involved with mining lithium and cobalt.
97. Lithium ion batteries are insider trading-owned by ex-CIA boss Woolsey and GOVT Boss Chu.
98. Lithium ion batteries excrete chemicals that mutate fetuses when they burn and destroy your brain, lungs and nervous system when they burn
99. Musk's batteries kill the factory workers who make them
100. Musk's batteries cause Panasonic to be one of the most corrupt companies in the world
101. Musk's batteries poison the Earth when disposed of
102. Musk's batteries can't be extinguished by firemen
103. Musk's batteries poison firemen when they burn
104. Musk's batteries are based on criminally corrupt mining schemes like URANIUM ONE
105. Musk's batteries have over 61 toxic chemicals in them
106. Musk's batteries come from an industry that spends billions on internet shills and trolls used to nay say all other forms of energy
107. Musk's batteries and are insider-trading owned by corrupt U.S. Senators who are running a SAFETY COVER-UP about their dangers.
108. Apple products with lithium ion batteries have been exploding and setting people on fire.
109. Over time the chemical dendrites, or deposits, inside each Musk battery grow worse and increase the chances of explosion as they age
110. Musk's LITHIUM ION BATTERIES BECOME MORE AND MORE LIKELY TO EXPLODE AS TIME GOES ON AND AS THEY AGE. This is not a theory. This is a scientific fact. That is why you hear about more and more lithium batteries catching fire and blowing up.
111. Additionally, scientists also speculate that the increasing presence of low energy nuclear background energy and wifi energy in the environment is making lithium ion batteries explode more often lately. This theory is upheld by the increasing number of FAA reports about commercial airline cabins suddenly "filling up with toxic smoke" as some lithium ion battery explodes in someones overhead luggage. As commercial jets go higher they lose the protection of the atmosphere and are subjected to more gamma (and other) radiation from overhead. This makes the already unstable lithium ion batteries on board blow up.
112. "Bad Guys" have figured out how to make them explode remotely in devices by making the device electronics cause the batteries to overload.

113. The dangers of lithium ion batteries are hidden by CNN and Main Stream News (MSN) because pretty much only the DNC people profit from them and the DNC folks control CNN and the MSN.
114. The Obama Administration promised Silicon Valley oligarchs the market monopoly on lithium ion batteries and the sabotage of fuel cells in exchange for campaign financing and search engine rigging
115. United States Senators that are supposed to protect us from these deadly products own the stock market assets of them so they protect them and stop the FDA, OSHA, DOT & NHTSA from outlawing them.
116. Tom Steyer is a notorious DNC financier. His partner, Margaret Sullivan ran, the federal USAID agency, USAID sent all of the DNC campaign financiers in Silicon Valley a federal 'report' from USAID that said there was "A TRILLION DOLLARS OF LITHIUM IN AFGHANISTAN" and promised to give those lithium mines, EXCLUSIVELY, to the Silicon Valley venture capitalists if they funded and web search manipulated the election for Obama to take over the White House. Plaintiffs have the documents proving this. In other words, a re-up of the Afghan War was caused by Elon Musk and it killed American soldiers so that Musk could buy more mansions and trophy wives.
117. Alkaline, NiCAD and hundreds of other battery chemistry DO NOT have all of these problems but Lithium Ion batteries get a monopoly because of politician insider trading ownership.
118. Tesla Motors has caused far more deaths and injuries than the world generally knows about.
119. A recent fire on U.S. Highway 101 near Mountain View, CA, burned the driver alive and killed him.
120. In Florida two kids died in a Tesla, burned alive, screaming in agony.
121. A man died in agony in a Tesla crash in Malibu that set Malibu Canyon on fire.
122. A young woman, at the start of life, and her boyfriend were burned alive in their crashed Tesla.
123. There are many more deaths and crashes than you have seen in the Main Stream News (MSN) The deaths and the cover-ups are endless.
124. Senators Dianne Feinstein, Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Kamala Harris and their associates own the stock in Tesla Motors and/or its suppliers and mining companies and they cover-up and halt investigations and laws designed to save the public. They, and their crony's, spend over \$1B a year to shill and troll hype about lithium ion batteries and cover-up the dangers.
125. Lithium ion EVs are more prone to battery fires. experts say that their lithium-ion batteries can fuel hotter fires that release toxic fumes and are more difficult to put out.
126. Lithium ion fires keep reigniting which explains why it takes so long and requires copious amounts of water or foam (it is an electric fire, after all) to smother the flames.

127. Tesla employee Bernard Tse and his team warned Elon Musk about these dangers in 2008 and they got fired and/or warned to "say nothing" by Musk.
128. Three top Tesla engineers died in a plane crash next to Tesla offices in San Carlos after two of them agreed to become whistle-blowers.
129. The DNC bosses, Congress people and federal executives own the stock in lithium, Solar and EV markets and use kickbacks from those markets (Especially via convoluted campaign finance laundering via Elon Musk) to finance the DNC.
130. The DNC bosses and Musk use character assassination as their main political tool against any member of the public who speaks out against their felony stock market scams and PizzaGate-like scandals. The Harvey Weinstein reports by Ronan Farrow show that they have teams of hired goons that they pay to destroy people's lives. They use Black Cube, Mossad, In-Q-Tel, Stratfor, Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media, Media Matters, David Brock, Sid Blumenthal, NY Times, Google servers, Facebook servers, Podesta Group, Perkins Coie, Covington & Burling and a host of "media assassins".
131. Musk's "cabin boy": Jared Birchell, runs around covering up Musk crimes all day
132. Gawker and Gizmodo Media set-up the attack stories and, in paid partnership with Google, Google kicks their attack links around the globe, in front of 8 Billion people, forever. Google locks the attack articles of its enemies on the front top search results of Google search results forever, on purpose! Google and Musk are partners-in-crime.
133. Larry Page steals technology for Google and Musk meets with Larry Page to advise him on which technologies to steal and how to bypass FEC laws.
134. Musk has exceeded FEC campaign finance limits by billions of dollars via "in-kind" services.
135. Reports at <https://www.propublica.org> prove some of these assertions
136. Reports at <https://www.transparency.org> prove some of these assertions
137. Reports at <https://www.icij.org> prove some of these assertions
138. Reports at <http://londonworldwide.com> prove some of these assertions
139. Reports at <https://stopelonfromfailingagain.com> (PER THE WAYBACK MACHINE, Internet Archives) prove some of these assertions
140. Reports at <https://www.zerohedge.com/news/2019-02-24/tesla-slams-tree-florida-bursting-flames-and-killing-driver> prove some of these assertions
141. Reports at [Elon Musk is a total fraud - nypost.com](http://Elon%20Musk%20is%20a%20total%20fraud%20-%20nypost.com) (<https://nypost.com/2018/07/21/elon-musk-is-a-total-fraud/>) prove some of these assertions

142. Reports at [Elon Musk is a total fraud: Truth about Tesla billionaire exposed](https://www.news.com.au/technology/innovation/inventions/elon-musk-is-a-total-fraud/news-story/f849a58894956424f03e7606a222b9eb) (<https://www.news.com.au/technology/innovation/inventions/elon-musk-is-a-total-fraud/news-story/f849a58894956424f03e7606a222b9eb>) prove some of these assertions
143. Reports at [Elon Musk Passes the Hat Again on Capitol Hill... And in China](https://townhall.com/columnists/lawrencemeyers/2019/09/10/elon-musk-passes-the-hat-again-on-capitol-hill-and-in-china-n2552839) (<https://townhall.com/columnists/lawrencemeyers/2019/09/10/elon-musk-passes-the-hat-again-on-capitol-hill-and-in-china-n2552839>) prove some of these assertions
144. Reports at [About Elon Musk - A WASHINGTON DC ORGANIZED CRIME](https://xyzcase.weebly.com/about-elon-musk.html) (<https://xyzcase.weebly.com/about-elon-musk.html>) prove some of these assertions
145. Reports at [Mark Spiegel: Elon Musk is 'a pathological liar'](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=msxq2OkCXnE) (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=msxq2OkCXnE>) prove some of these assertions
146. Reports at <https://nationalnewsnetwork.net/> prove some of these assertions
147. Reports at <http://www.videonet111.com> prove some of these assertions
148. MUSK'S SPACEX COMPANY Will have all of it's satellites destroyed in moments as soon as China gets pissed off: <https://www.japantimes.co.jp/news/2019/02/12/asia-pacific/chinas-space-debris-cleanup-may-cover-story-arms-u-s-satellites-pentagon/>
149. WE HAVE ASKED THE FBI, DOJ, OSC, SEC, FTC, GAO, U.S. CONGRESS, AND OTHERS, TO INVESTIGATE AND PROSECUTE MUSK AND HIS CRONY OPERATION!
150. ELON MUSK'S PAID-FOR MEDIA SHILLS COVER UP HIS CORRUPTION AND PUSH PUFF-STORIES ABOUT MUSK. NEVER TRUST THEM TO BE ANYTHING BUT BIASED PROPAGANDA OUTLETS. MANY OF THEM OWN TESLA STOCK: Electrek, Google, Facebook, CNN, Huffington Post, Dianne Feinstein's PR office, Nancy Pelosi, Steven Chu, MSNBC, PayPal, KPIX-TV, San Jose Mercury News, Any Hearst owned entity, The SF Chronicle, Motley Fool, Green Car Congress, The executive staff of the DNC, The NY Times, etc.
151. Plaintiffs saw Elon Musk commit crimes and we saw the Obama White House cover-up those crimes. Plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs associates, worked for Bright Automotive, Zap Electric, Aptera, Eco Motors, The United States Department of Energy and the federal Office of Management and Budget and Tesla Motors itself. Americans have an expectations that their tax dollars will be used in a fair and legal manner and not to pay off crony campaign financiers like Elon Musk.
152. Americans have an expectations that fair market competition will decide which companies get to live or die and that no campaign financiers gets to order the White House to produce the death or success decision about any American business. Elon Musk's operation exists entirely because of criminal corruption and all of his companies must be shut down by federal law enforcement.
153. For nearly a decade, the U.S. Department of Energy has refused to comply with Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests for copies of Tesla Motors entire D.O.E. funding application

documents. Former D.O.E. employees have shredded copies of those documents in order to keep them from being exposed to the public and the media. Why would they do that? Because those documents reveal felony criminal fraud by Tesla Motors, federal violations of the Section 136 law requirements and manipulations of the “hard-wiring” of the entire D.O.E. program. Those documents, which we have seen (and some Senate staff have private copies of) prove that, in a side-by-side comparison with all other applicants, the Tesla application was manipulation, rigged, false-reviewed and crony-advanced in order to pay-off certain campaign financiers and damage their competitors.

154. Demand that the U.S. Department of Energy stop breaking the law and comply with the FOIA requests to stop hiding the incriminating evidence in the Tesla files. Demand that the public be shown the original paper and not the later, “doctored” versions.
155. Plaintiffs saw Elon Musk operate an entirely illegal and unethical program based on State and Federal corruption. Plaintiffs told this, in writing and in person to the U.S. Attorney General: Eric Holder, who then quit his job after we reported these facts to him.
156. Plaintiffs reported that Elon Musk lied about vast number of dangers of the lithium ion chemistry he was using. Nothing was done.
157. Plaintiffs reported that Plaintiffs associates at The U.S. Government were having their safety reports on lithium ion danger suppressed. Nothing was done.
158. Plaintiffs reported that Elon Musk’s credit rating and financial records were fraudulent and amounted to “cooking the books”. Nothing was done.
159. Plaintiffs reported bribes paid by Musk’s lobbyists and associated to government officials in order to grease the skids for his crony payola. Nothing was done.
160. Plaintiffs reported that Goldman Sachs and Tesla Motors were operating a stock fraud pump-and-dump scam to manipulate Elon Musk’s stock holdings. Nothing was done.
161. Plaintiffs reported over a hundred illegal and corrupt actions by Elon Musk and his mob of Silicon Valley gangsters. Nothing was done.
162. If you have an ounce of morality, then you will not want to help Musk & Tesla profit from the crony political corruption that created them. Musk exists because he bribes politicians & acts as an illegal campaign financing conduit.
163. DOT/NHTSA has covered up years of reports about an acceleration surge issue that can suddenly crash your Tesla into walls and drive it off cliffs. It is either a known hacking attack or the effect of WiFi on Tesla electronics. Either can kill you.
164. Musk & Tesla are pure evil & exist because of hyper-corruption. You don’t want to contribute to their evil or be part of it in any way. You are funding evil & supporting criminal corruption by buying a Tesla or any Elon Musk owned product like Solar City, Tesla, Space X, Hyperloop, etc.!

165. Elon Musk spent more money, than any other car company in history, to do the exact same things that any other car has done, or could do, for 20 times less money. Musk's Tesla was \$100,000.00 over budget, per car, at the time that Musk was handed his crony Dept. of Energy froms by Steven Chu. Musk has no clue how to operate a car company.
166. In one lawsuit it is noted that: "...Plaintiffs and Tesla both applied for funds at the same time, in the same funding cycle in the same program. Tesla had the historically epic number of horrific issues listed below, which were known to GOVT at the time of application, and Plaintiffs had NONE of these issues. How can any court, or rational person, believe that Plaintiffs were not intentionally bypassed, targeted and damaged for political reasons while Tesla was simultaneously approved for political reasons, when the comparative metrics between the two applicants prove the largest merit disparity in the entire recorded history of the U.S. Department of Energy....the singular, and only, review criteria used by Department of Energy officials was: WHICH ONE BRIBED THE CAMPAIGN FINANCE GROUP FOR BARACK OBAMA?!"; Thus proving that Tesla exists because of organized-crime level political corruption.
167. Elon Musk's self-driving "autopilot" feature, which keeps crashing and failing, is his attempt to scam taxpayer cash from Dept. of Transportation and Dept. of Energy public funds. He is only trying to do it to get more free federal cash.
168. The inventor of lithium ion batteries has confessed that lithium ion batteries blow up eventually. He says that deadly dendrites plague lithium-ion battery technology. The dendrites accumulate as part of the standard charging and recharging cycle and eventually cause a short circuit that often results in a smoldering or burning battery. These dendrites are destined to eventually blow up most Tesla cars and many electronic devices using lithium ion!
169. The CIA's software designed to take over any Tesla on Earth and kill the driver, passengers and bystanders has been released in the wild and every hacker on Earth can now easily get a copy of it and kill you in your Tesla!
170. Ex-employees have leaked faked financial records, evidence of massive click-farm fake social media manipulation and evidence of unreported deaths and accidents. They say that most Tesla's have one kind of defect or another.
171. If you read about the dirty deeds and cocaine dealings with the In-Q-Tel airplanes called "Cocaine 1" & "Cocaine 2", & the corruption behind the company called In-Q-Tel & Musk's software programmer who ran "The Silk Road" drug & murder service then you must be concerned that many In-Q-Tel people work for Musk. Why does Musk need dirty druggies & spies on his payroll unless he is running covert drug and business spying activities?
172. Ex-employees, Gawker writers and gay lawyers from Covington & Burling have leaked stories that Elon Musk, Reid Hoffman, Larry Page are "butt buddies".

173. Musk is anti-American and Anti-Worker Rights and has been caught flying in H1-B cheap offshore labor and exploiting immigrants for his deadly profits. Musk hates unions and worker rights efforts.
174. Elon Musk gets the Cobalt chemical to make his lithium ion batteries from slave trade and blood-money corruption in the Congo!
175. The lithium ion batteries that Musk uses also blow up when they naturally encounter Low Energy Nuclear (LENR) effects in the ambient environment. Millions of chemicals don't blow up from LENR'd but lithium ion does!
176. If you are a Democrat then know that Elon Musk cost you the Hillary Clinton campaign because of his payola schemes. If you are a Republican, know that Elon Musk is the epitome of the worst form of DNC crony corruption you ever saw!
177. Musk bribed California politicians to give him hundreds of millions of dollars of taxpayer dollars & resources he never earned or worked for. He only got those crony payola perks handed to him because he operated as an illicit front for corrupt campaign financing for Dianne Feinstein, Jerry Brown, Harry Reid, Barack Obama and Hillary Clinton.
178. Multiple parties have filed "Demands For The Arrest of Elon Musk" with the FBI, DOJ, AG, FTC, SEC and other law enforcement agencies. It is not likely that Musk, or his companies will survive a full investigation.
179. Tesla and Solyndra sit on the same land in Fremont, CA. Solyndra was raided by the FBI for corruption. Tesla SHOULD be raided by the FBI for corruption. Both companies had kick-back crony payola schemes with Senator Dianne Feinstein. She owned the land, lease, HR, construction company and supplier interests and stock for both companies in one of the most massive conflict-of-interest crony financing schemes in U.S. history. Elon Musk and the Feinsteins are corruption partners.
180. People who see you in a Tesla think of you as a "Tone Deaf Douchebag", "Tesla Tool!", "Arrogant Prick", "Ostentatious Obama Oaf", "Sheep", "Mindless Yuppie Scum", "Misogynistic Silicon Valley Clone", "Self-promoting Elitist Douche", "Fake News Reading Main Stream Boob", "Naive Idiot" or other bad things.
181. Elon Musk is one of the main financiers behind Barack Obama & Hillary Clinton, both of whom have been charged with corruption. Musk endlessly tweets lies & "...No I didn't do those bad things" BS but nobody else supports him.
182. Tesla financial records are "cooked" in a fraudulent manner to make the stock market valuation of Tesla a falsely manipulated factor. Musk uses "pre-orders", by his own investors, to fake sales and wrote emails to customers asking them to put small deposits down so he could book them as fully paid sales in one of a large number of stock and loan valuation frauds. Musk and his investors practice stock market skims, pump-and-dumps and Flash Boy manipulations.

183. Lithium ion batteries are blowing up, starting fires &, generally, destroying people's homes, cars, electronics & physical health. Boeing was ordered to stop flying the 787 Dreamliner because its Lithium ion batteries are catching fire spontaneously. A group of silicon valley venture capitalists forced/leveraged the government to buy & pay for these specific batteries, that they have stock in, in order to benefit their profit margins. Other batteries don't have these problems. They knew about the dangers from day one, but put greed ahead of safety. There are thousands & thousands of reports of spontaneous lithium ion fires but the VC's who back lithium ion pay to keep this information hushed up. Millions of these batteries have been recalled for fire risk. The VC's tried to push as many as they could before they got caught. Now they are caught. These VC's & the Senators they bribed own stock in lithium mining companies too.

184. Tesla Motors has filed a patent which states the following , THESE ARE TESLA MOTORS WORDS warning about a crisis, the level of which they never disclosed to the consumer: *“Thermal runaway is of major concern since a single incident can lead to significant property damage &, in some circumstances, bodily harm or loss of life. When a battery undergoes thermal runaway, it typically emits a large quantity of smoke, jets of flaming liquid electrolyte, & sufficient heat to lead to the combustion & destruction of materials in close proximity to the cell. If the cell undergoing thermal runaway is surrounded by one or more additional cells as is typical in a battery pack, then a single thermal runaway event can quickly lead to the thermal runaway of multiple cells which, in turn, can lead to much more extensive collateral damage. Regardless of whether a single cell or multiple cells are undergoing this phenomenon, if the initial fire is not extinguished immediately, subsequent fires may be caused that dramatically expand the degree of property damage. For example, the thermal runaway of a battery within an unattended laptop will likely result in not only the destruction of the laptop, but also at least partial destruction of its surroundings, e.g., home, office, car, laboratory, etc. If the laptop is on-board an aircraft, for example within the cargo hold or a luggage compartment, the ensuing smoke & fire may lead to an emergency landing or, under more dire conditions, a crash landing. Similarly, the thermal runaway of one or more batteries within the battery pack of a hybrid or electric vehicle may destroy not only the car, but may lead to a car wreck if the car is being driven or the destruction of its surroundings if the car is parked”*. See <http://whoisonmusk.com> for more. ..

185. Tesla's own staff, & every fire department, have now admitted that once a lithium ion fire gets started in a Tesla, that it is impossible to extinguish burning lithium ion material. This is Tesla's own words in THEIR patent filing, (You can look it up online) saying that the risk is monumental. Tesla has 6800 lithium ion batteries, any one of which can “go thermal”, start a chain reaction and blow up all of the rest of the 6800+ deadly batteries! Tesla drivers have been burned alive in thermal globs of flaming lithium ion, plastics & metal. Bystanders have heard their horrific screams of unutterable pain & terror as they were burned alive! Tesla fires can't be extinguished & the bodies are burned into “unrecognizable lumps of charred flesh”, according to fireman.

186. Lithium Ion batteries “go thermal” in peoples pockets, in your notebook, especially in a Tesla & Fisker car. There are tens of thousands of articles documenting this & there is a cover-up by the VC’s that fund these things to keep this fact out-of-sight. Making Lithium Ion batteries poisons the workers who make them. It is a dangerous product that is covered-up by the Obama Administration. Panasonic knows that these batteries are deadly.
187. Tesla only exists to exploit Elon Musk’s bribes. The lithium ion batteries blow up when they get: wet, hot, bumped, over-charged, struck by energy fields, exposed to air or squashed. Lithium ion batteries poison the Earth & that they poison & kill the workers that make them. Lithium ion batteries come from war profiteering in Afghan & Bolivian corruption
188. Panasonic is Elon Musk’s partner. Panasonic is one of the most corrupt companies in the world. Panasonic has been charged, on multiple continents with: Product dumping, bribery, collusion, price fixing, anti-trust law violations, racketeering, worker abuse, toxic poisoning of workers, & other crimes. It is no wonder that Elon Musk & Panasonic are partners. Tata Motors executive Karl Slym was killed for exposing this fact.
189. Your tax dollars were stolen in order to make Tesla Motors, as part of a political financing kick-back scam. In other words, part of your paycheck was taken away from you in order to buy hookers, rent-boys & private jets for Musk & company.
190. Tesla’s are forged in criminal corruption, so anybody who drives a Tesla must be either ignorant, a weasel or one of the corrupt. The whole world now knows all of the facts in this list so you can never plead ignorance to these crimes.
191. Tesla’s have a huge amount of highly documented defects. The defects are so extensive that Tesla made buyers sign confidentiality agreements to try to hide how messed up their cars are.
192. Tesla’s have killed more people than the main-stream news has reported. The full Tesla death-list is covered up.
193. Musk lied about why he wanted to make electric cars, when, in fact, he actually poisons the environment because Tesla investors wanted to exploit toxic minerals & materials which can't be recycled in a clean manner
194. No other electric car has been so mundane, & yet had so many problems with it, since the electric car was first sold in the 1800's. There is nothing “novel” or “amazing” about the Tesla aside from dime store parlor tricks for PR hype.
195. More drunks have crashed Tesla's, than any other per capita car in the world, per volume of cars made
196. Elon Musk's co-founders, investors, partners, wives, investors, suppliers & employees have sued him for being a fraud & essentially, called him an "asshole" in court records.

197. Elon Musk lied on this Department of Energy funding application and the Obama Administration refuses to allow any federal employees or witnesses to testify to these facts in public due to the devastating potential results of these facts.
198. More owners of Tesla's have been found to cheat on their taxes, & be involved in abuse-based divorces, than almost any other car brand owner. Tesla owners are bad people who rationalize their poor life choices. Owning a Tesla is a red-flag for a tax audit!
199. Elon Musk will lie, cheat & steal in order to self-aggrandize & glorify his egotistical mania. Musk has been documented engaging in over 100 lies which were later proven to be false. He has spent tens of millions of dollars to buy fake news about himself on Twitter, Facebook & Google because he is such a mentally disturbed ego-maniac.
200. None of Elon Musk's companies would exist if not for taxpayer funded handouts given to him by corrupt politicians in exchange for illegal campaign finance deals with him & his investors.
201. Google, & Tesla, who are financial & political partners, have both been caught spying on consumers & manipulating Internet data in order to cover-up their complicity in huge political corruption & kick-back deals
202. Musk took U.S. taxpayer dollars from the government & then hired cheap off-shore labor & fired U.S. Union workers & domestic workers. He lied to & screwed the NUMMI workers that were working at the Fremont plant.
203. Musk has put over 18 surveillance devices in the Tesla. Anybody can hack those devices & monitor you. WORSE YET, foreign agents have hacked the Tesla & taken over the controls & driven Tesla's into bystanders & over cliffs.
204. When Erick Strickland was head of the NHTSA he was confronted about DOT safety cover-ups of the Tesla to protect Obama. He quit 48 hours later. The DOT safety cover-ups to protect the Obama campaign finance payola scheme continue to this day. Obama's Gibbs, Emanuel, Plouffe, Axelrod and Carney quit within a week of being threatened with exposure.
205. Elon Musk paid some of the largest bribes in the history of China, facilitated by Dianne Feinstein and her Chinese spy connections, in order to get his China factory opened.
206. Tesla's have had a large number of recalls but Elon Musk refuses to call them "recalls". Tesla's have had multiple recalls for SEVERE safety dangers. DOT has been told this, in writing, for years, but wont take action in order to protect Obama.
207. Elon Musk is a bullshit artist who has no original ideas & wears black-turtle neck shirts (like Elizabeth Homes) to try to create a "cult" around himself & convince the world that he is a "Jesus-like" figure when, in fact, he is a clinical sociopath.
208. Tesla is a severe public safety hazard that has been systematically covered up by corrupt politicians.

209. Large numbers of Ex-CIA staff and In-Q-Tel spy staff work for Musk. Why does he need spies to build cars?
210. Tesla Motors batteries were promoted by those who wished to exploit the Afghanistan War for personal profit by controlling the Afghan lithium mining fields. Kleiner Perkins and Draper Fisher hyped the "...trillions of \$ of lithium in Afghanistan."
211. Tesla Motors batteries blow up on their own because their chemistry causes them to be naturally defective.
212. Tesla Motors batteries blow up when they get wet because their chemistry is activated by water to make them explode.
213. Tesla Motors batteries fires cannot be put out by any common fire-fighting resources.
214. Tesla Motors batteries set themselves on fire.
215. Per Federal MSDS disclosure documents, Tesla Motors batteries emit cancer-causing vapors when they burn.
216. Tesla Motors Vehicles toxicity poison bystanders, nearby vehicular passengers, airline passengers in planes carrying said batteries in their holds, & environments where such incidents occur.
217. Tesla Motors batteries blow up when bumped by the same level of car incident that would, otherwise, only dent a normal car bumper.
218. In an accident, when a Tesla rolls over, molten metal & plastic can drip on & burn the occupants alive.
219. Tesla has multiple sexual harassment and unsafe work-place lawsuits against the company.
220. Per MSDS documents, Tesla Motors batteries emit brain damaging chemicals when they burn
221. Tesla is a stock pumping scam to profiteering on stock market peak manipulation at the expense of taxpayers.
222. Per MSDS documents, Tesla Motors batteries emit chemicals, burning, or not, that can damage an unborn fetus within the mother
223. Per MSDS documents, Tesla Motors batteries emit chemicals that can cause lung damage.
224. Per MSDS documents, Tesla Motors batteries emit chemicals that can cause liver damage.
225. Per published lawsuits & news reports, the factories that make Tesla Motors batteries have been charged with the deaths, & potentially fatal illness, of over 1000 workers & the poisoning of nearby towns.

226. Tesla Motors batteries become even more dangerous over time, particularly when tasked by electric transportation systems like Hover-boards & Tesla's. The chemistry in a lithium ion battery changes to become more unstable over time.
227. Tesla Motors batteries were never designed to be used in automobiles. Tesla used non-automotive batteries in one of the most dangerous configurations possible.
228. Tesla Motors occupants experience higher EMF radiation exposure than gasoline vehicle occupants.
229. Elon Musk's Space X vehicles & Tesla Motors vehicles have both had a higher-than-average number of explosions. This has caused outside experts to doubt Musk's ability to place safety considerations over his need for hyped-up PR.
230. Leaked Sandia National Labs & FAA research videos dramatically demonstrate the unstoppable, horrific, "re-percussive accelerating domino-effect" explosive fire effect of the Tesla Motors batteries.
231. Tesla's own "Superchargers" & home 3-prong chargers have set Tesla's, homes & businesses on fire
232. Consumer rights groups contacted Erick Strickland, the head of the NHTSA, & charged him with a cover-up. He quit days later. The NHTSA then issued a safety investigation request to Tesla Motors, which would have more publicly exposed these dangers, but the safety investigation was never under-taken due to White House requests & lobbyist bribes, from Tesla, which got the investigation shut down.
233. NEPA regulations for the Tesla NUMMI factory in California & the Nevada Tesla "Gigafactory" have been violated relative to environmental safety standards.
234. Tesla Motors vehicles are not "Factory Built" "like Ford" builds cars, as Tesla professes. They are hand built in small volumes & subjected to numerous defects. Blogs have documented hundreds of defects, as listed by Tesla owners. Tesla has lost at least one LEMON CAR LAWSUIT for defective manufacturing.
235. Tesla's "showrooms" are often "pop-up" retail storefronts that are in tight-proximity retail centers, putting it's neighbors at risk of total loss from fire damage.
236. Tesla Motors vehicles have been hacked & taken over. Their doors, steering, listening devices & navigation have been taken over by outside parties. Multiple Tesla have suddenly swerved off the road, over cliffs & into other vehicles, killing bystanders & Tesla drivers.
237. Three Tesla top engineers & two competing senior executives, all of whom had whistle-blown on Tesla, who were in perfect health one day, suddenly died mysteriously the next day.

238. Multiple employees, founders, investors, marital partners, suppliers & others have sued Tesla Motors, &/or it's senior executives for fraud. Musk had nothing to do with creating Tesla. He ran a hostile take-over of Tesla from the founders.
239. In addition to suing him, many of his former staff & partners have described Musk as an “Arrogant Prick”.
240. Main-Stream Media (MSM) have agreed not to provide news coverage of the deadly defects of the Tesla because the MSM are owned by the same politicians who own Tesla Motors. It is now legal to sue The New York Times for hiding these deadly defects, though, particularly if your family member was injured or killed because they covered-up the danger for political reasons.
241. If you think the above bullet-points are bad there are over a 1000 more. Find the book “Is Elon Musk A Fraud” online or visit <https://stopelonfromfailingagain.com/> (PER THE WAYBACK MACHINE Internet Archive) or thousands of other sites that expose the truth about Musk & Tesla!
242. BANKRUPT MUSK – NO CASH FOR CRONY CORRUPTION. Print this out & freely repost it on blogs & social media. Post this on bulletin boards. Put this on the windshield of every Tesla you find. Print this out & hand these out in front of every Tesla dealership: Nobody can stop you from handing these out, it is your U.S. Constitutional First Amendment Right! Pass the word! Plaintiffs are prepared to back up every single fact on here at any public meeting with the FBI, Congress, FTC, GAO, SEC or before a Federal Special Prosecutor.
243. The crash of a Tesla Model X through the home of South Korean singer and actor Ji Chang Son. The crash ended with the nose of the vehicle in Ji Chang Son's living room after the Tesla malfunctioned. Hundreds of such Tesla crashes have occurred, resulting in the deaths and injuries of Tesla owners, passengers, bystanders, oncoming drivers and others.
244. Following accusations by a Korean celebrity that a Tesla car spontaneously drove through a wall, the carmaker has replied that the crash was “entirely due to the man’s horrible driving” in an ongoing effort, by Tesla, to steer the blame away from Tesla faulty engineering Actor and singer Son Ji-chang (identified as Ji Chang Son in court documents) claimed he was parking his Tesla Model X SUV when the vehicle suddenly [lurched forward into his living room](https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=1169875899799830&set=pcb.1169910243129729&type=3&theater) (<https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=1169875899799830&set=pcb.1169910243129729&type=3&theater>).
245. In a lawsuit filed last week in California, Son claimed that the crash was due to “sudden unintended acceleration” and sought class-action status with other Model X owners. In a nod to the car’s ability to sense and avoid crashes, the lawsuit also hinted that the Model X should not be allowed take actions that the car “knows will result in the collision with a fixed object.”
246. In June, another California-based Model X owner, Puzant Ozbag, similarly claimed that his Model X spontaneously accelerated through a parking lot and into a wall. A special prosecutor

is needed to investigate Tesla due to the huge number of cover-ups, by Tesla and the Obama Administration, to protect campaign financier Elon Musk. Jeff Sessions needs to hire a [lawyer](#) from outside the [government](#) appointed by Sessions as [attorney general](#) or, in the United States, by [Congress](#) to investigate a government official for misconduct while in office. A reasoning for such an appointment is that the governmental branch or agency may have political connections to those it might be asked to investigate. Inherently, this creates a [conflict of interest](#) and a solution is to have someone from outside the department lead the investigation. The term "special prosecutor" may have a variety of meanings from one country to the next, from one government branch to the next within the same country, and within different agencies within each government branch. Critics of the use of special prosecutors argue that these investigators act as a "fourth branch" to the government because they are not subject to limitations in spending, nor do they have deadlines to meet.

247. Attorneys carrying out special prosecutor functions in either federal or state courts of the United States are typically appointed [ad hoc](#) with representation limited to one case or a delineated series of cases that implicate compelling governmental interests, such as: Fraud (SEC, Complex, Cybercrime, Mortgages), Public Corruption, Money Laundering & Asset Forfeiture, Civil Rights, Racketeering Across State lines, Environmental Protection, National Security, Tax & Bankruptcy, Organized Crime, or International cases where the US is a party). [\[1\]](#) Special prosecutors in courts of the [United States](#) may either be appointed formally by one of the three branches of government in a criminal proceeding, or when dictated by federal law or regulation, or informally in civil proceedings, and also by one of the three branches of government, or by a non-governmental entity to prosecute alleged unlawful conduct by government agents. When appointed by the [judicial branch](#) to investigate and, if justified, seek indictments in a particular judicial branch case, the attorney is called special prosecutor.[\[2\]](#) When appointed/hired particularly by a governmental branch or agency to investigate alleged misconduct within that branch or agency, the attorney is called [independent counsel](#).[\[3\]](#) When appointed/hired by the state or political subdivision to assist in a particular [judicial branch](#) case when the public interest so requires, the attorney is called [special counsel](#).[\[3\]](#) When appointed/hired by an organization, corporation, person or other non-governmental entity to investigate and, if justified, seek indictments against one or more government officials for acts committed under color of law, the attorney may be called [special counsel](#) or special prosecut. The term is sometimes used as a synonym for [independent counsel](#), but under the former law authorizing the independent counsel, the appointment was made by a special panel of the [United States Court of Appeals](#) for the [District of Columbia Circuit](#). The [Ethics in Government Act](#) expired in 1999, and was effectively replaced by [Department of Justice](#) regulation 28 CFR Part 600, under which Special Counsel [Patrick Fitzgerald](#) was appointed to look into the [Plame affair](#). The Tesla Motors and Elon Musk Case requires a Special Prosecutor.

248. [ELON MUSK AND TESLA FACE CRIMINAL FRAUD CHARGES BY FEDS! MUSK FINALLY exposed! \(<https://www.marketwatch.com/story/sec-sends-subpoena-to-tesla-in-probe-over-musk-tweets-2018-08-15-171034414> \)](#)

249. [SEC subpoenas TESLA over Musk tweets...](https://www.marketwatch.com/story/sec-sends-subpoena-to-tesla-in-probe-over-musk-tweets-2018-08-15-171034414) (<https://www.marketwatch.com/story/sec-sends-subpoena-to-tesla-in-probe-over-musk-tweets-2018-08-15-171034414>)

250. [Whistleblower posts 'flawed cars' details...](https://www.cnbc.com/2018/08/15/tesla-whistleblower-tweets-details-about-flawed-cars-scrapped-parts.html) (<https://www.cnbc.com/2018/08/15/tesla-whistleblower-tweets-details-about-flawed-cars-scrapped-parts.html>)

251. Elon Musk's Incredible Smoke And Mirrors Dance. Elon Musk's untraceable money laundering and political bribery scam has now been exposed. It is called an "Invisible Bridge". It is the way that covert funds move through a secret conduit of close associates and family members. Elon Musk is at the head of the conduit and his mother, brother and associates Tim Draper, Steve Jurvetson, and George Soros round out the other tentacles. With operational links through Wells Fargo Bank, Silicon Valley Bank and Goldman Sachs, the scheme is perfected corruption. The "bridge" uses a combination of fake tax evasion charities and business assets, passes through Senator's pockets and is never visible to the FBI, the FEC and the SEC unless they have very good agents assigned to the matter.

252. NTSB, DOJ, SEC and FEC have been blocked from action by DNC lobbyists.

253. The overt and arrogant Musk misdeeds have now become "obvious and RICO-violating..."

254. He is protected by Senators Reid, Harris, Pelosi and Feinstein. They are beneficiaries of the scam. Musk's self promoting, narcissistic, multi-billion dollar, self-aggrandizing PR hype. Elon Musk exists because he bribed DNC politicians including Obama, Clinton and Senators Feinstein, Reid, Boxer, Harris, Spier and Pelosi to give him free taxpayer cash and government resources from The U.S. Government and the California political tax pool. This is proven when you follow-the-money and the insider trading, stock ownership and crony payola kick-backs.

255. He is protected by the Clinton and Obama organizations along with most of the DNC. He finances these politicians via this scheme.

256. The U.S. Dept of Energy (GOVT) has been covering-up organized crime activities at GOVT in which GOVT funds are being used as a slush-fund to pay off DNC campaign financiers and to pay for Fusion-GPS attacks on Silicon Valley business competitors.

257. DNC campaign financiers and GOVT staff share stock market holdings with each other under family trusts, shell corporations and layered Goldman Sachs accounts. The deal was: Obama funds Tesla, Musk conduits campaign funds to Obama, top Obama staff profit off of insider Musk stocks.

258. Elon Musk is a criminal, a mobster, an asshole, a balding fake-hair wearing, plastic surgery-addicted, bi-sexual douchebag, woman-abusing, sex addicted, tax evader.

259. Musk exploits poor people and child slaves in the Congo and Afghanistan to mine his lithium and Cobalt. Look up this phrase on the top search engines: "child labor electric car batteries"

260. Musk spends billions per year to hire Russian trolls, fake blogger fan-boys and buy fake news self-glory look-at-me articles about himself. Musk thinks he is the 'Jesus' of Silicon Valley and

he will do anything to make the public think so. Musk is insecure because his father was abusive and his “trophy wife” Mother is overbearing so he developed sociopath-like mental issues.

261. Musk has been professionally diagnosed as a 'psychotic narcissist.

262. Musk uses shell companies and trust funds to self-deal, evade the law and hide his bribes and stock market insider trading. His brother ran Solar City and is now under federal investigation for securities fraud.

263. A huge number of Tesla drivers, per capita, have been killed; pedestrians and oncoming drivers have also been killed, and Musk covers it up.

264. The DNC and the MSM refuse to allow any articles about Musk's crimes to be printed because they benefit from Musk's crimes.

265. VC's Tim Draper and Steve Jurvetson are so fanatical about not being embarrassed from a Tesla bankruptcy that they will pump the TSLA stock and threaten anybody who might disclose the Musk misdeeds.

266. Peter Thiel, a Musk ‘boyfriend’ also protects Musk. Musk, and his cronies, use Palantir, Google and related software to scan the entire internet every few minutes for any occurrence of the words: “Musk”, “Tesla” or “Tesla Fire”. They send trolls and fake bloggers (Many of them Russian) to put pro-Musk comments on the comments section of any blogs or articles discussing those topics and try to flood out the truth about Musk. In EVERY blog that you read that mentions 'Musk', at least 1/3 of the comments have been placed there by Musk's paid shills.

267. There are no “Tesla Fan Boys”. All of the fanatic Tesla comments on the internet are Musk's, Thiel's, Jurvetson's and Draper's fake fanboy trolls. Musk, himself, stays up late at night pretending to be a ‘Tesla Fan Boy’ on blogs.

268. Main Stream DNC-biased News organizations who refuse to cover the story reveal themselves as shills for Musk

269. The Silicon Valley Mafia promotes Musk as a "Tech God" leader but, in reality Musk is the same kind of "Leader" as Charles Manson, Jim Jones, David Koresh, Swami Rajneesh and Al Capone.

270. His own people have sued him for fraud and lies once they realized that Musk-ism and Scientology had so much in common.

271. The 'Silicon Valley Mafia; cartel of frat boy sociopath venture capitalists like Steve Jurvetson, Tim Draper, Eric Schmidt, et al; threaten those who do not support the cult of Tesla or their political candidates.

272. Musk holds the Silicon Valley record for getting sued for fraud by his investors, wives, former partners, employees, suppliers and co-founders.

273. Elon Musk has gone out of his way to hire hundreds of ex-CIA and In-Q-Tel staff and assign them to "dirty tricks teams" to attack his competitors and elected officials who Musk hates.
274. Musk and his culture are being sued for abuse to women and blacks and the Unions hate him for lying to them.
275. <https://stopelonfromfailingagain.com> reveals even more Musk lies.
276. Musk never founded his companies. He took Tesla away from the founder: Marty, in a hostile take-over!
277. Musk's "Starlink" satellites are domestic spy and political manipulation tools - never get your internet from anything SpaceX has launched. SpaceX is entirely a domestic spy operation.
278. Musk's "Mars" scheme is just a PR distraction to keep the news from looking too close at SPACEX domestic spying satellites.
279. The same kind of EMF radiation proven to cause cancer from cell phones exists in massive amounts in a Tesla.
280. Musk can't fix a car or build a rocket and has almost no mechanical skills.
281. If you pull a report of every VIN# of every Tesla ever built and cross reference that with insurance, repair and lawsuit records you will find that the "per volume" fire, crash, death and defect rate is THE WORST of any car maker in history! Musk's lobbyists have bribed DOT and NHTSA to stall safety inspections.
282. NO COMPLETE UNCOMPROMISING SAFETY REPORT ON TESLA CARS HAS EVER BEEN PUBLISHED but we have a copy of a hushed up report that would put Tesla out of business.
283. Musk's 'Autopilot' system is a scam to get government cash BUT IT NEVER WORKS. The Tesla 'Autopilot' has crashed into police cars, pedestrians, swamps and driven owners over cliffs.
284. Musk is a lying con artist and partners with Goldman Sachs to rig the stock market. Sachs has a dedicated team of 18 men who rig stocks and valuation bumps for Musk.
285. The "Silk Road" Cocaine and Murder-For-Hire website was created at Musk's SpaceX
286. Musk's In-Q-Tel staff ran two transport planes filled with drugs; listed as "Cocaine 1" on FAA records.
287. Senators Dianne Feinstein, Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Kamala Harris and their associates own the stock in Tesla Motors and/or its suppliers and mining companies. That is why they criminally help cover-up investigations of Tesla!
288. All of this was reported, in writing, to James Comey, Patricia Rich and David Johnson at the FBI.

289. Tesla and Solyndra sit on the same land and share staff, contracts and lobbying. California politicians own parts of both companies.
290. Musk took over Tesla Motors in a hostile take-over in order to exploit lithium, cobalt and other mining corruption deals for his business partners.
291. The 'lithium' in Musk's horrifically miss-engineered lithium ion batteries cause wars in the Congo over mining corruption.
292. Afghanistan and Bolivian mobsters benefit from the corrupt mining deals involved with mining lithium and cobalt for Elon Musk's batteries.
293. Elon Musk opened a factory in China to try to avoid American worker safety laws because all of the labor cheats and safety violations he had engaged in, in America, were catching up to him. He neglected to provide adequate worker safety to quarantine for the coronavirus, though.
294. Elon Musk's Lithium ion batteries are insider trading-owned by ex-CIA boss Woolsey and GOVT Boss Chu and they engaged in extreme conflict-of-interest to help Musk.
295. Elon Musk's Lithium ion batteries excrete chemicals that mutate fetuses when they burn.
296. Elon Musk's Lithium ion batteries destroy your brain, lungs and nervous system when they burn.
297. Elon Musk's Lithium ion batteries kill the factory workers who make them.
298. Elon Musk's Lithium ion batteries cause Panasonic to be one of the most corrupt companies in the world.
299. Elon Musk's Lithium ion batteries poison the Earth when disposed of.
300. Elon Musk's Lithium ion batteries can't be extinguished by firemen because water makes them explode even more and then explode again hours later.
301. Elon Musk's Lithium ion batteries have chemical dendrites and deposition massing issues (revealed by X-Ray analysis) which makes them more and more likely to explode as they age.
302. Elon Musk's Lithium ion batteries poison firemen when they burn.
303. Elon Musk's Lithium ion batteries are based on criminally corrupt mining schemes like URANIUM ONE.
304. Elon Musk's Lithium ion batteries have over 61 toxic chemicals in them.
305. Elon Musk's Lithium ion batteries come from an industry that spends billions on internet skills and trolls that they hire to nay say all other forms of energy
306. Elon Musk's Lithium ion batteries are insider-trading owned by corrupt U.S. Senators who are running a SAFETY COVER-UP about their dangers.
307. Apple products with lithium ion batteries have been exploding and setting people on fire.

- 308.Over time the chemical dendrites, or deposits, inside each battery grow worse and increase the chances of explosion as they age - LITHIUM ION BATTERIES BECOME MORE AND MORE LIKELY TO EXPLODE AS TIME GOES ON AND AS THEY AGE. This is not a theory. This is a scientific fact. That is why you hear about more and more lithium batteries catching fire and blowing up. Additionally, scientists also speculate that the increasing presence of low energy nuclear background energy and wifi energy in the environment is making lithium ion batteries explode more often lately. This is upheld by the increasing number of FAA reports about commercial airline cabins suddenly “filling up with toxic smoke” as some lithium ion battery explodes in someones overhead luggage. As commercial jets go higher they lose the protection of the atmosphere and are subjected to more gamma (and other) radiation from overhead. This makes the already unstable lithium ion batteries on board blow up.
- 309.Tesla owner's had had more DUI's, abuse filings in divorce proceedings and crashes than any other car maker PER VOLUME. This makes Tesla the #1 car for douche bags and scummy people.
- 310.Tesla's own federal patent filing records confirm that Tesla batteries are as dangerous as this document reports.
- 311.Political activist George Soros owns part of Tesla Motors so that Soros can help conduit DNC cash.
- 312.The Obama Administration promised Silicon Valley oligarchs the market monopoly on lithium ion batteries and the sabotage of fuel cells in exchange for campaign financing and search engine rigging.
- 313.United States Senators that are supposed to protect us from these deadly products own the stock market assets of them so they protect them and stop the FDA, OSHA, DOT & NHTSA from outlawing them.
- 314.There have been thousands of defect reports filed on Tesla cars.
- 315.Tom Steyer is a notorious DNC financier. His partner, Margaret Sullivan ran, the federal USAID agency, USAID sent all of the DNC campaign financiers in Silicon Valley a federal ‘report’ from USAID that said there was “A TRILLION DOLLARS OF LITHIUM IN AFGHANISTAN” and promised to give those lithium mines, EXCLUSIVELY, to the Silicon Valley venture capitalists if they funded and web search manipulated the election for Obama to take over the White House. Plaintiffs have the documents proving this. In other words, a re-up of the Afghan War was caused by Elon Musk and it killed American soldiers so that Musk could buy more mansions and trophy wives.
- 316.If a Tesla battery gets wet it will explode and cause all of the other batteries to explode in a "cascade of explosions".
- 317.Water makes Tesla batteries explode.

318. In an accident, when a Tesla rolls over, molten metal & plastic can drip on & burn the occupants alive and seal them in molten metal.
319. Alkaline, NiCAD and hundreds of other battery chemistry DO NOT have all of these problems but Lithium Ion batteries get a monopoly because of politician insider trading owner-ships.
320. Tesla Motors has caused far more deaths and injuries than the world generally knows about.
321. A recent fire on U.S. Highway 101 near Mountain View, CA, burned the driver alive and killed him.
322. In Florida two kids died in a Tesla, burned alive, screaming in agony.
323. A man died in agony in a Tesla crash in Malibu that set Malibu Canyon on fire.
324. A young woman, at the start of life, and her boyfriend were burned alive in their crashed Tesla.
325. There are many more deaths and crashes than you have seen in the Main Stream News (MSN) The deaths and the cover-ups are endless.
326. Senators Dianne Feinstein, Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Kamala Harris and their associates own the stock in Tesla Motors and/or it's suppliers and mining companies and they cover-up and halt investigations and laws designed to save the public.
327. Elon Musk's Lithium ion battery partners spend over \$1B a year to shill and troll hype about lithium ion batteries and cover-up the dangers.
328. Lithium ion EVs are more prone to battery fires.
329. experts say that their lithium-ion batteries can fuel hotter fires that release toxic fumes and are more difficult to put out. Lithium ion fires keep reigniting which explains why it takes so long and requires copious amounts of water or foam (it is an electric fire, after all) to smother the flames.
330. Tesla employee Bernard Tse and his team warned Elon Musk about these dangers in 2008 and they got fired and/or warned to "say nothing" by Musk.
331. Three top Tesla engineers died in a plane crash next to Tesla offices in San Carlos after two of them agreed to become whistle-blowers. Another whistle-blower has suggested they were killed in a "Boston Brakes" hit-job.
332. The DNC bosses, Congress people and federal executives own the stock in lithium, Solar and EV markets and use kickbacks from those markets (Especially via convoluted campaign finance laundering via Elon Musk) to finance the DNC.
333. The DNC bosses and Musk use character assassination as their main political tool against any member of the public who speaks out against their felony stock market scams and PizzaGate-like scandals. The Harvey Weinstein reports by Ronan Farrow show that they have teams of hired goons that they pay to destroy people's lives.

334. Musk uses Black Cube, Mossad, In-Q-Tel, Stratfor, Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media, Media Matters, David Brock, Sid Blumenthal, NY Times, Google servers, Facebook servers, Podesta Group, Perkins Coie, Covington & Burling and a host of "media assassins".
335. Musk buddies: Gawker and Gizmodo Media set-up the attack stories and, in paid partnership with Google, Google kicks their attack links around the globe, in front of 8 Billion people, forever. Google locks the attack articles of its enemies on the front top search results of Google search results forever, on purpose! Google and Musk are partners-in-crime.
336. Larry Page steals technology for Google and Musk meets with Larry Page to advise him on which technologies to steal and how to bypass FEC laws.
337. Musk has exceeded FEC campaign finance limits by billions of dollars via "in-kind" services.
338. Had the full scope of these facts been acted on during the Obama Administration, Barack Obama would have become the first modern sitting President to have been arrested in the White House. Barack Obama was fully aware of these schemes, crony payola deals and corruption crimes and discussed the implementation of these crimes, daily, with Rahm Emanuel, David Plouffe, Steven Rattner, Robert Gibbs, John Podesta, David Axelrod, Eric Holder and Jay Carney in the Oval Office.
339. THIS corruption involves TRILLIONS of dollars of corrupt mining deals, automotive and energy monopolies!
340. THIS is why the federal budget analysis reports are showing TRILLIONS of dollars of 'untraceable' losses from the United States Treasury from 2006 up to today!
341. THIS is why a large number of reporters, whistle-blowers and prosecutors suddenly, and mysteriously turned up dead!
342. The company that Elon Musk built to usher in the electric-car future might not have enough cash to make it through the calendar year without stock markets scams being used to keep it alive.
343. Tesla again fell far short of its own production targets for the mass-market Model 3 sedan
344. Another person died in a crash involving its assisted-driving feature.
345. Musk entered into a public dispute with federal safety regulators.
346. Tesla's once high-flying stock, buffeted by a downgrade from credit analysts, has dropped 24 percent from its peak in September but Silicon Valley Vc's will pump it up to save face.
347. No one has raised or spent money the way Elon Musk has; Nor has any other chief executive officer of a public company made a bankruptcy joke on Twitter at a time when so much seemed to be unraveling.

348. Tesla is going through money so fast that, without additional financing, there is now a genuine risk that the 15-year-old company could run out of cash in 2018. The company burns through more than \$6,500 every minute, according to data compiled by Bloomberg. Free cash flow—the amount of cash a company generates after accounting for capital expenditures—has been negative for five consecutive quarters. That will be a key figure to watch when Tesla reports earnings May 2. Read the full story here: <https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2018-tesla-burns-cash/>
349. In years to come, we will all look back and wonder how so many people were taken in by this shyster, who makes Enron look honest.
350. One of Tesla's greatest strengths is its ability to monetize the patience and goodwill of its customers and loyal fans. The company is sitting on a staggering \$854 million in customer deposits as of the end of 2017.
351. Since Tesla sells its products direct to consumers, without relying on a dealer network, customer deposits are cash payments that essentially serve as interest-free loans—and these loans can stretch on for years. If Tesla were to go bankrupt, those deposit holders would likely be wiped out.
352. Tesla is holding customer deposits for two vehicles that aren't even in production yet: an electric Tesla Semi (\$20,000 deposit) and a next-generation Roadster (either \$50,000 down or the \$250,000 retail price paid up front to reserve a limited edition). Even customers interested in installing an array of solar roof panels or the company's Powerwall home battery must hand over \$1,000 to place an order.
353. Tesla doesn't break out deposit numbers by car, but the vast majority comes from \$1,000 reservations for the Model 3. When Musk first introduced the lower-priced sedan in March 2016, fans stood in long lines at Tesla stores. Two years later, the slower-than-expected pace of production means that most of the more than 400,000 reservation holders are still waiting. And new people appear to be joining the queue: As of April, the company reported "net Model 3 reservations remained stable."
354. There's an additional source of free money from loyal believers: An unknown number of customers have paid up for vehicle features—\$3,000 for "Full Self Driving" capability, for example—that Tesla thus far hasn't figured out or released to anyone.
355. Elon Musk cooked the books by emailing interested sales prospects and asked them to put a deposit down before each quarter ended so he could book their tiny deposits as fully transacted \$60K+ "sales" before each quarter closed.
356. Elon Musk and SpaceX are being sued by multiple employees for "lying about safety standards, safety records" and deadly safety defects.
357. The Elon Musk Tesla Money Laundering Board Of Directors is as full of fraud and corruption as Musk. Birds of a feather stick together and the Jurvetson, Draper, Musk, et al; clan of

corruption runs deep. The Board of Directors can't operate their scam without the whole pack of thieves and liars in place. An outsider will break theirs swamp of tax evasion, Dark Money political bribes, off-shore cash, self-dealing, book-cooking, real estate fraud, expense padding and other nefarious deeds.

358.Dianne Feinstein's family member: Herb Newman of Sausalito, California's HR firm: Newman Search (415 332-8425) has a company as of 1972 with the sole purpose of setting up investment bank deals with the People's Republic of China. Feinstein arranged for Newman to provide the staffing for Tesla and Solyndra. Dianne Feinstein has been under investigation for spy activities with China and her senior aide was arrested as a Chinese top spy. In 1973 Mr. Newman arrived in Canton at the invitation of the Chinese Council for the Promotion of International Trade. (CCPIT). He and his company MVTC were one of fifty businesses to be invited to the Canton Trade Fair held in Kwangchow China. In 1978 Mr. Newman founded China Investments and in partnership with California Trade Delegations both companies as members of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce began taking US Corporations to China. Mr. Newman along with one of his associates at the time Mr. Darryl Schoon helped organize Senator Dianne Feinstein's first trip to China in conjunction with the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce. Herb Newman, Mart Bailey and Feinstein's Husband; Dick Blum are on intelligence agency watch-lists, and under electronic surveillance, for potentially corrupt deals with China, Tesla and Solyndra.

359.Dianne Feinstein's husband owns CBRE which owns the real estate contracts for both Tesla and Solyndra.

360.Dianne Feinstein's family owns interests in the construction companies hired by both Tesla and Solyndra.

361.Dianne Feinstein had her staff warn other California businesses away from using the NUMMI car factory in order to protect the real estate deal for both Tesla and CBRE, which is owned by her husband.

362.Tesla began real estate deals in multiple states and then canceled them at the last minute, which got them sued for fraud and charged with "lying" to different communities. They started, and then pulled out of these different building (San Jose, Southern California, New Mexico, Etc.) deals, because CBRE and Feinstein were trying to leverage real estate profit exploitation using taxpayer funding.

363.Panasonic and Tesla have known for decades that the Panasonic 18650 batteries used in the Tesla suffer from multiple chemistry degradation defects which will almost always make them eventually explode or "go thermal". The defects include: 1.) LENR activation, 2.) Dendrite lengthening, 3.) Particle congealing, 4.) Chemistry evolution and other defects. The Tesla projects is, essentially, a failed product product dumping effort of a failed and dangerous battery product.

364.Elon Musk has demanded that his employees sign "loyalty pledges", "vows" and engage in Omerta's in order to keep the corruption details of Tesla from being exposed to the public.

365. Tesla insider Antonio Gracias is the mob boss insider at Tesla who arranges media hit-jobs on those who displease Musk.
366. The NHTSB has issued requests to Tesla for safety tests and data that Tesla never complied with. Instead, Tesla paid bribes, which were referred to as "fees" to avoid having to complete those tests. An independent group of outside investigators issued a damning safety report to the NHTSB demanding that Tesla be compelled to produce the safety tests in 2010 but Obama appointed NHTSB executives buried the report and protected Tesla in order to keep the connection between Obama's funding and Tesla protected. NHTSB boss: Strickland, an Obama insider, was confronted with this in Washington, DC and resigned from his job 48 hours later. NHTSB has still not acted on the severe Tesla safety defects that have been reported since 2009.
367. An SEC investigation of Musk uncovered horrific evidence of Musk corruption but the SEC could not report or act on it because Obama congressional bosses and lobbyists got the SEC investigation "limited" to only examine a single Twitter "Tweet" from Musk.
368. Elon Musk is protected by top DOJ, SEC, CFTC, FEC and other Obama left-over staff as well as 45 U.S. Senators and top Federal Reserve members and Goldman Sachs, who live in terror that exposure of the entire Elon Musk financial food-chain will topple the entire DNC Dark Money payola scam. This is the reason that Tesla can get away with so much obvious and overt corruption and still continue operations. Tesla Motors book-cooking, financial frauds and political payola conduits, if fully revealed, would change the course of political influence in America.
369. Elon Musk has been sued by a man that Musk called a "Pedo", yet Musk's own father has been accused of child sex abuse, racism and, indeed, got his own daughter pregnant!
370. Elon Musk's mother has been accused of being a "self-indulgent trophy wife" who Musk was trained by to be an arrogant elitist. Her hatred of black people was imbued on Musk who has been sued by black people at his company for "running a racist culture".
371. Elon Musk divorced the same woman twice because she knew his dirty little secret and threatened to out him if he did not keep the deal going. She was hired to "act" as his wife.
372. Musical artist Iggy Azalea was at a Musk Party, with other friends, who captured Elon Musk on video on drugs and in weird sex acts. Musk had Iggy's camera stolen at the party to hide the evidence but he did not get the other cameras and did not realize that some of those cameras placed their images and videos directly on the Cloud, where hackers acquired them.
373. With cover-up help from Eric Holder, Steven Chu, Obama, Valarie Jarret, DNC FBI agents, Perkins Coie, Covington and Burling, Wilson Sonsini, etc; sociopath Musk actually believes he is "untouchable" and that he can get away with anything. His downfall will be the same downfall of every narcissist sociopath oligarch throughout all of recorded history.
374. Elon Musk is a drug addict. A simple urine and blood test proves it. Musk's downfall and the downfall of John DeLorean are seeming to align.

375. Dianne Feinstein and Nancy Pelosi are violating the law protecting Tesla by withholding investigations and prosecutions? Why are they allowing American citizens to continue to die from the 1.) "sudden acceleration electronics defect"; 2.) "The failed Auto-pilot electronics defect"; 3.) "The deteriorating and deadly lithium ion batteries" and 4.) numerous other defects widely documented in the news media and filed lawsuits?
376. Elon Musk exists because he bribed DNC politicians including Obama, Clinton and Senators Feinstein, Reid, Boxer, Harris, Spier and Pelosi to give him free taxpayer cash and government resources. This is proven when you follow-the-money and the insider trading, stock ownership and crony payola kick-backs.
377. The Energy Dept (GOVT) has been covering-up organized crime activities at GOVT in which GOVT funds are being used as a slush-fund to pay off DNC campaign financiers and to pay for CIA/GPS Fusion-Class attacks on Silicon Valley business competitors.
378. DNC campaign financiers and GOVT staff share stock market holdings with each other under family trusts, shell corporations and layered Goldman Sachs accounts. The deal was: Obama funds Tesla, Musk conduits campaign funds to Obama, top Obama staff profit off of insider Musk stocks.
379. Musk exploits poor people and child slaves in the Congo and Afghanistan to mine his lithium and Cobalt. Look up this phrase on the top search engines: "child labor electric car batteries".
380. The Silicon Valley Paypal Mafia promotes Musk as a "Tech God" leader but, in reality Musk is the same kind of "Leader" as Charles Manson, Jim Jones, David Koresh, Swami Rajneesh and Al Capone. His own people have sued him for fraud and lies once they realized that Musk-ism and Scientology had so much in common.
381. Musk holds the record for getting sued for fraud by his investors, wives, former partners, employees, suppliers and co-founders. Elon Musk has gone out of his way to hire hundreds of ex-CIA and In-Q-Tel staff and assign them to "dirty tricks teams" to attack his competitors and elected officials who Musk hates.
382. Musk and his culture are being sued for abuse to women and blacks and the Unions hate him for lying to them. <https://stopelonfromfailingagain.com> (PER THE WAYBACK MACHINE Internet Archive) reveals even more Musk lies.
383. THIS corruption is what all of the big political scandals are about today!
384. THIS corruption involves TRILLIONS of dollars of corrupt mining deals, automotive and energy monopolies!
385. THIS is why the federal budget analysis reports are showing TRILLIONS of dollars of 'untraceable' losses from the United States Treasury from 2006 up to today!
386. THIS is why a large number of reporters, whistle-blowers and prosecutors suddenly, and mysteriously turned up dead!

387. THIS can all be proven in jury trial and in live televised Congressional hearings!
388. This is all being covered up because top State and Federal officials are in on it, own the stock in it and are so deeply involved in it that they could go to Federal prison when this all comes out.
389. The anxieties that lurk beneath the tremendous ambition of Tesla Inc. moved into the forefront in recent weeks. The company again **fell far short** (<https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2018-04-03/tesla-model-3-output-misses-forecast-as-musk-promises-progress>) of its own production targets for the mass-market Model 3 sedan, another person **died in a crash** (<https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2018-03-31/tesla-says-driver-s-hands-weren-t-on-wheel-at-time-of-accident>) involving its assisted-driving feature and Musk entered into **a public dispute** (<https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2018-04-12/tesla-withdraws-from-ntsb-crash-probe-over-autopilot-data-flap>) with federal safety regulators. Tesla's once high-flying stock, buffeted by a downgrade from credit analysts, has dropped 24 percent from its peak in September.
390. There's a good reason to worry: No one has raised or spent money the way Elon Musk has. Nor has any other chief executive officer of a public company made a **bankruptcy joke** (<https://twitter.com/elonmusk/status/980566101124722688>) on Twitter at a time when so much seemed to be unraveling.
391. Tesla is going through money so fast that, without additional financing, there is now a genuine risk that the 15-year-old company could run out of cash in 2018. The company burns through more than \$6,500 every minute, according to **data compiled by Bloomberg** (<https://notalotofpeopleknowthat.wordpress.com/2018/05/01/tesla-burning-cash/#methods>). Free cash flow—the amount of cash a company generates after accounting for capital expenditures—has been negative for five consecutive quarters. That will be a key figure to watch when Tesla reports earnings May 2. Read the full story here: <https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2018-tesla-burns-cash/>
392. In years to come, we will all look back and wonder how so many people were taken in by this shyster, who makes Enron look honest. A
393. A lot of Musk's money has been extracted from suckers, who think he is God's gift, as Bloomberg reports: One of Tesla's greatest strengths is its ability to monetize the patience and goodwill of its customers and loyal fans. The company is sitting on a staggering \$854 million in customer deposits as of the end of 2017.
394. Since Tesla sells its products direct to consumers, without relying on a dealer network, customer deposits are cash payments that essentially serve as interest-free loans—and these loans can stretch on for years. If Tesla were to go bankrupt, those deposit holders would likely be wiped out.

395. Tesla is holding customer deposits for two vehicles that aren't even in production yet: an electric Tesla Semi (\$20,000 deposit) and a next-generation Roadster (either \$50,000 down or the \$250,000 retail price paid up front to reserve a limited edition). Even customers interested in installing an array of solar roof panels or the company's Powerwall home battery must hand over \$1,000 to place an order.
396. Tesla doesn't break out deposit numbers by car, but the vast majority comes from \$1,000 reservations for the Model 3. When Musk first introduced the lower-priced sedan in March 2016, fans stood in long lines at Tesla stores. Two years later, the [slower-than-expected pace of production](https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2018-tesla-tracker/) (<https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2018-tesla-tracker/>) means that most of the more than 400,000 reservation holders are still waiting. And new people appear to be joining the queue: As of April, the company reported “net Model 3 reservations remained stable.”
397. There's an additional source of free money from loyal believers: An unknown number of customers have paid up for vehicle features—\$3,000 for “Full Self Driving” capability, for example—that Tesla thus far hasn't figured out or released to anyone.
398. The consumer psychology that sees hundreds of thousands of people essentially extending an interest-free loan to a public company is unusual, to say the least. I think the phrase “more money than sense” rather sums it up
399. Apple and Google also named in US lawsuit over Congolese child cobalt mining deaths for Elon Musk's Tesla Cars. Dell, Microsoft and Tesla also among tech firms named in case brought by families of children killed or injured while mining in DRC. [I saw the unbearable grief inflicted on families by cobalt mining.](https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/commentisfree/2019/dec/16/i-saw-the-unbearable-grief-inflicted-on-families-by-cobalt-mining-i-pray-for-change) (<https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/commentisfree/2019/dec/16/i-saw-the-unbearable-grief-inflicted-on-families-by-cobalt-mining-i-pray-for-change>) I pray for change [Elon Musk's cobalt extraction in DRC has been linked to child labour.](#)
400. A landmark legal case has been launched against the world's largest tech companies by Congolese families who say their children were killed or maimed while mining for cobalt used to power smartphones, laptops and electric cars, the Guardian can reveal.
401. Apple, Google, Dell, Microsoft and Tesla have been named as defendants [in a lawsuit filed](http://iradvocates.org/sites/iradvocates.org/files/stamped%20-Complaint.pdf) in (<http://iradvocates.org/sites/iradvocates.org/files/stamped%20-Complaint.pdf>) Washington DC by human rights firm [International Rights Advocates](http://www.iradvocates.org/) (<http://www.iradvocates.org/>) on behalf of 14 parents and children from the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). The lawsuit accuses the companies of aiding and abetting in the death and serious injury of children who they claim were working in cobalt mines in their supply chain.
402. The lawsuit argues that Apple, Google, Dell, Microsoft and Tesla all aided and abetted the mining companies that profited from the labour of children who were forced to work in dangerous conditions – conditions that ultimately [led to death](https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/commentisfree/2019/dec/16/i-saw-the-unbearable-grief-inflicted-on-families-by-cobalt-mining-i-pray-for-change) (<https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/commentisfree/2019/dec/16/i-saw-the-unbearable-grief-inflicted-on-families-by-cobalt-mining-i-pray-for-change>) and serious injury.

The families argue in the claim that their children were working illegally at mines owned by UK mining company Glencore. The court papers allege that cobalt from the Glencore-owned mines is sold to Umicore, a Brussels-based metal and mining trader, which then sells battery-grade cobalt to Apple, [Google](https://www.theguardian.com/technology/google) (<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/google>), Tesla, Microsoft and Dell.

403. Other plaintiffs in the court documents say they worked at mines owned by Zhejiang Huayou Cobalt, a major Chinese cobalt firm, which the lawsuit claims supplies [Apple](https://www.theguardian.com/technology/apple) (<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/apple>), Dell, and Microsoft and is likely to supply the other defendants.
404. Another child, referred to as John GOVT 1, says that he started working in the mines when he was nine. The lawsuit claims that earlier this year, he was working as a human mule for Kamoto Copper Company, carrying bags of cobalt rocks for \$0.75 a day, when he fell into a tunnel. After he was dragged out of the tunnel by fellow workers, he says he was left alone on the ground at the mining site until his parents heard about the accident and arrived to help him. He is now paralysed from the chest down and will never walk again.
405. Other families included in the claim say that their children were killed in tunnel collapses or suffered serious injuries such as smashed limbs and broken spines while crawling through tunnels or carrying heavy loads. The families say that none were paid any compensation for the deaths and injuries.
406. One of the central allegations in the lawsuit is that Apple, Google, Dell, Microsoft and [Tesla](https://www.theguardian.com/technology/tesla) (<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/tesla>) were aware and had “specific knowledge” that the cobalt they use in their products is linked to child labour performed in hazardous conditions, and were complicit in the forced labour of the children
407. It is charged that the Musk empire is an organized crime program that exists between Silicon Valley tech oligarchs, investment banks, U.S. Senators, government agency staff and White House staff who engage in these crimes.
408. That public officials knowingly participate in these crimes by failing to report their associates who engage in these illicit actions and by hiring suppliers who operate these illicit activities.
409. That the Musk empire suspects manipulate government funds for their personal profiteering at the expense of domestic citizen taxpayers like us.
410. That the Musk empire suspects operate a vast stock market manipulation program, as a core function of their operations, and those illicit deeds function at the expense of the public to render unjust gain to public officials.
411. That the Musk empire suspects contract a known group of lobbyists, corrupt law firms, unethical CPA's, corrupt investment banks and specialized corruption services providers to attack, defame, physically harm, character assassinate, black-list and/or kill those they dislike and they harmed many persons with those acts.

412. That the Musk empire suspects operate an Epstein-like sex-trafficking network of prostitutes and sexual extortion activities and locations for the engagement of said activities and for the bribery of cohorts via sex workers and that Musk was a personal associate of Jeffrey Epstein.
413. That the Musk empire suspects engage in electronic attacks and manipulations including hacking, election manipulation, media censorship and internet search results manipulation in order to mask their schemes.
414. That the Musk empire suspects engage in Lois Lerner-like, SPYGATE-like, VA whistleblower-like reprisal and retribution attacks using government agencies like SSA, DOJ, FBI, LSC, HUD, HHS, GOVT, Etc
415. It is demanded that Elon Musk be arrested on RICO Racketeering, Anti-Trust, Tax Evasion, Bribery, and related charges!
416. Elon Musk had sex with a young non-age-appropriate rock and roll girl and got her pregnant.
417. Elon Musk dated anal sex advocate Gwyneth Paltrow who later told her friends that he was full of shit.
418. Elon Musk divorced one actress, then had to remarry her because she had the goods on him.
419. Video exists of Elon Musk at various sex parties.
420. Elon Musk dated Johnny Depp's girlfriend Amber Heard who thought that Musk was even more controlling than Johnny Depp.
421. The NUMMI car factory that Musk took over was first called, by Musk, in the media "non-functional". Even the two major car makers that were using it had abandoned it. Feinstein make Musk take it because here husband's company: CBRE Realty, managed the property around it.
422. Musk never made good on his promises to NUMMI workers or the Unions representing the NUMMI factory.
423. After getting an insane amount of exclusive free cash from the taxpayers, Musk flew workers in from overseas.
424. Multiple women have charged Musk for sexual abuse and factory misogyny.
425. Musk couldn't make it in college and bailed out.
426. Far more SpaceX rockets have exploded and fully failed than the public is aware of.
427. Musk said he would already have sent his buddies around the moon but many potential passengers have bailed out after they saw the due diligence on SpaceX lack of experience.
428. Musk, Draper, Page, Westly, Schmidt, Brin and other famous tech asshole billionaires have formally agreed to run a "cartel" to control news, information and industries.

429. Inside the Tesla that Elon Musk had SpaceX put in orbit is a "confession folder" that would destroy Musk if anyone went up and got it.
430. Elon Musk believes he could kill someone and not get in trouble because he has so many California Senator's, Tier one law firms and former White House staff protecting him.
431. Elon Musk is the Citizen Kane of the modern world. He thinks he is the Al Capone of Technology. He uses things that appear to be all "crunchy granola" on the surface to steal taxpayer subsidies with the help of corrupt politicians.
432. Aside from Musk's own personal dirty operations and orders, the largest facilitator of his crimes is the large group of men inside Goldman Sachs and JP Morgan dedicated to supporting his crimes and corruptions.
433. Through covert conduits, Elon Musk pays blogger James Ayre to shill his schemes online.
434. Musk's entire media plan is self-promotional aggrandizing and fake virtue-signaling to keep the news off his case.
435. The SEC made him quit but he just changed one letterhead graphic and nothing else. Musk still runs Tesla and the rest of the empire just like he always did.
436. Musk covertly funds abortion projects because he gets so many girls pregnant and refuses to use a condom.
437. Deutsche Bank is the other biggest player in the Musk Empire money laundering, political payola and corruption crimes.
438. Musk stole most of his designs from others, as proven by the U.S. Patent office and previous publications.
439. When Elon Musk's Hyper-loop crashes, it will turn all of the passengers into a bloody applesauce like nightmare.
440. Musk's 5G SpaceX Starlink Satellites could destroy the environment, will add to the cancer-causing radiation of the Earth and are to be used to spy on consumer's uses of the internet.
441. In lawsuits, Musk always pleads that he "has no money".
442. X-Ray analysis by over 40 major laboratories prove that Elon Musk's lithium ion batteries have severe and constantly degrading defects inherent in their construction. Musk has known about these dangers for decades but chose to work with Panasonic to cover it up.
443. Elon Musk lost a LEMON CAR lawsuit proving that his cars are poorly designed and manufactured.
444. Elon Musk's union negotiating tactics are: "delay, deny, defer and claim to be conducting a fake study..."

445. Elon Musk's take-over of Tesla from Martin Eberhardt, the actual founder, was based on an Obama Administration scheme to exploit Lithium mines in Afghanistan and Cobalt mines in the Congo, which Obama financiers had bought the rights to. Frank Guistra and Goldman Sachs helped come up with this scheme.
446. A famous article about SpaceX quotes a top Musk staff staffer saying Musk is a "liar".
447. A top SpaceX engineer has sued SpaceX for lying about rocket safety and says that Musk does not care about science, he cares about publicity.
448. Most of the "famous" people Musk hired, quit after seeing what a sham his operations are.
449. Jared Birchell, of Burlingame, is terrified that Musk will have him killed because he knows "where the bodies are buried", but he has to act demure and "stay-off-the-press-radar" for now to keep Musk from paying too much attention to him.
450. Every property that Musk owned has been bugged by government, news or competitor specialists.
451. Musk takes a number of drugs for mental issues yet he runs companies with products that can easily kill their users. Many think that he should be under closer federal supervision.
452. The U.S. Department of Energy PR Department has been ordered by Obama officials (who, shockingly, are still there even during the Trump Administration) to always hype up Musk because, WHEN Musk fully fails, it will prove that The U.S. Government funded him because **Obama ordered them** to and not *based on any* merits. Most every Applicant for GOVT funds beat Musk on every merit EXCEPT CRONYISM!
453. Trump-hating Elon Musk wanted to nay say Trump by subjecting Tesla workers to COVID death by refusing to close plant
454. Musk uses his own workers to play deadly politics with their lives in COVID closure he refused
455. Musk totally lied about his capacity, ability and knowledge to make COVID ventilators in order to scam political funding
456. Musk sent snoring devices instead of ventilators and lied about those products in order to Virtue Signal for his own hype
457. Musk has had babies with many women in an Epstein-like master plan to seed the world with his DNA so that mini-Musk's will live on forever. Each ex-baby momma has been paid massive sums to keep quiet about his misdeeds.
458. Musk uses his many mansions to hide money and operate tax manipulation efforts.
459. The "Mainstream Media" is controlled by Democrat bosses who refuse to allow any negative news to be printed about Musk because Musk conduits funds to the DNC.

460. One of Musk's 'baby ovens' known as 'Grimes' has been revealed to have been used as a "sex toy for Musk" by Grimes friends.
461. The U.S. Department of Energy has a federal policy of promoting Musk's hype because they are liable for criminal prosecution because Secretary of Energy Steven Chu and his staff helped organize Musk's embezzlement of taxpayer funded monies and insider-traded on that profiteering.
462. Failing Elon Musk tries to make Alameda County pay for his failed NUMMI factory by using COVID as an excuse!
463. The cooling fluid of Tesla cars leaks into the battery chamber and causes the batteries to explode and Musk ordered that defect covered up.
464. The touch-screen on the car has a huge number of programming defects in it that can crash the car
465. Musk has had unprotected sex with a number of women and is on a mission to get a number of women pregnant in order to "spread his DNA around".

The Malignant Narcissism And Cartel Climate Of Elon Musk And His Billionaire Frat Boy Club

At almost every juncture, Elon Musk has made egotistical decisions that lead to more failures. His behavior is that of a person who has no care or concern for the health, safety and welfare of the American people. Nothing could epitomize that more perfectly than his grotesque suggestions that we should shoot nuclear bombs off on Mars or that nobody will care that his Starlink Satellites are designed to spy on the public. This would seem comical, and entirely unbelievable, if it had not actually happened.

In 2006 the many scientists told Elon Musk and his advisers of the high likelihood that a pandemic of lithium ion battery explosions would strike the nation and advised the incoming administration to take appropriate steps to reduce its impact. Obama officials hid the dangers because they owned stock in lithium and cobalt mines for those batteries, particularly in Afghanistan.

In November of 2007, the experts warned Obama that the country was likely to be afflicted with a devastating pandemic of lithium ion originating from Asian and Russian oligarchs.

In January 2009, the Obama administration was told by its own experts, Bernard Tse and his team who knew Tesla bosses, Sandia and others that the lithium ion batteries in Tesla cars had degrading chemistry which become a global pandemic of auto danger. Again, Obama chose inaction.

Obama and Musk have deprived Democratic-led regions of the country from receiving needed safety reviews of Tesla cars.

Over the year's since 2006, Americans working with the GOVT, NHTSA, SEC and other groups accelerated warnings to Obama officials. These engineers and other science professionals were intentionally ignored in order to protect politicians stock market profits.

In these, [and any other examples](http://www.testimony111.com/), (<http://www.testimony111.com/>) Musk and his inner circle of White House and Senate insiders ignored or purged experts and other truth-tellers, and lied about, misrepresented, deflected or denied the dire threat to the American people posed by the lithium ion battery scam.

Considered in total, Musk and his regime have shown themselves to be incompetent, callous, malevolent and deeply cruel in their response to the Tesla safety issue crisis (as well as to a plethora of other issues).

But to merely document the Musk regime's deadly failures in response to the dangers of his companies is to ignore the most important question: What are Musk's and his advisers' underlying motivations?

This forensic question must be answered if we are ever to have a full accounting of the Musk Corruption, and see justice done for the voters, the dead tesla victims and those who will die in the future as well as the damage done to the broader American community.

The coordinated 'main-stream' media's preferred storyline that suggests Musk is simply incompetent doesn't add up because Muskhhas made the wrong decision every single time in terms of how crises like this are supposed to be dealt with. (i.e. Be consistent, transparent, factual, and credible.) It's

increasingly not believable for the left-wing press to suggest that Musk has been distracted or inept during this crisis, in part because of the level of his uselessness has become so staggering.

Maybe Musk is vengeful. Maybe he wants to wreck the economy to create investment opportunities? He's under the thumb of a foreign entity? He wants to cause panic and cancel the November elections? He's a fatalist? Who knows. And honestly, the specific "why" isn't what matters now. What matters is asking the difficult questions and pondering what the Musk oligarchy is truly about, no matter what lurks in the shadows...

Now the press needs to shift some of its focus and ask the truly alarming questions about Musk and his motives. Because we still don't know why he essentially ordered his companies to embark on such sinister ventures involving slicing up brains; over-priced deadly cars for rich douche-bags; digging holes for billionaire hide-outs, launching domestic spy satellites and manipulating elections along with his boyfriend: Larry Page, etc...

Psychologist and psychotherapists have an answer: Elon Musk is a "**malignant narcissist**". Musk's mental pathologies inexorably compel him to hurt and defraud large numbers of people — including his own supporters.

experts have looked at Musk's borderline personality disorders. They explain that sadism and violence are central to Musk's malignant narcissism and his decision-making about his self-promotion. They warn that Musk is abuser locked into a deeply dysfunctional relationship with the American people and that, like other sadists, Musk enjoys causing harm and suffering to any that do not recognize his "tech Jesus" self-proclaimed superiority.

Ultimately, all psychologists generally concludes that Musk is engaging in "**democidal behavior**" (<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203793756/chapters/10.4324/9780203793756-2>) in partnership with Obama and Pelosi and cautions that the many dead and injured (so far) from the Tesla fires and crashes are not simply collateral damage from the Musk madness, but rather the logical outcome of Musk's apparent mental pathologies and the poor decisions that flow from them.

Musk is both denying responsibility by saying things such as, "I take no responsibility. We've done everything right." But at the same time, Musk is also sabotaging the efforts to stop the corruption in his empire. This is a very important aspect of Musk's behavior. Musk is not just deflecting blame onto others, he is actively interfering with the politicians' ability to do their job by controlled Senators with bribes. Musk is not just incompetent. He is actively engaging in sabotage against competitors and reporters who speak the truth about him.

You might wonder: How does someone with his type of mind reconcile claims like "I have total power" with "I take no responsibility"? He has said both things within a few days of each other. Well; That is a function of how the psychology of a malignant narcissist is structured. When Musk says things such as, "I have total power," that's the grandiosity. "I'm in total control" is a function of Musk's paranoia, where everything bad is projected outward. Therefore, anything negative or bad is someone else's fault. Bad things are 'other people' in Musk's mind. The grandiosity and "greatness" are all him. Musk's mind runs on a formula which bends and twists facts, ideas and memories to suit his malignant

narcissism. This is why Musk contradicts himself so easily. He lies and makes things up. His fantasies all serve his malignant narcissism and the world he has created in his own mind about his greatness.

Another component of Musk's malignant narcissism is sadism. That part of Musk's mind is more hidden. People such as Musk are malignant-narcissist sadists because they, at some deep level, are driven to cause harm to other people. Musk's life is proof of this. His pedo father and trophy wife narcissist mother demonstrate his roots. He enjoys ripping people off and humiliating people. He does this manically and gleefully. He has lied thousands of times. He threatens people online and elsewhere. Most psychologists believe that Elon Musk is also a sexual sadist, who on some basic level enjoys and is aroused by watching people be afraid of him. In his mind, Musk is creating chaos and instability so that he can feel powerful.

Professor of psychiatry and psychoanalyst Otto Kernberg called that phenomenon "omnipotent destructiveness." The bullying, the violence, the destruction, frightening people, humiliating people, getting revenge and the like — such behavior is what Elon Musk has done his whole life. It is who Elon Musk really is. Unfortunately, too many people are still in denial of that fact.

Musk has to create and control a field of negative corrupting energy around himself. For example, he pressures the scientific experts to bend the truth to his dreamworld during his press conferences. The scientists are basically Musk's hostages. The American people are hostages as well to Elon Musk's lies. Plaintiffs are being abused by him. Plaintiffs know that Musk is lying. Plaintiffs know that he's doing nothing to help us. Plaintiffs feel helpless to do anything to stop him. It is causing collective mental despair. It is not that all Americans are suckers or dupes, it is that Musk is a master at such cruel and manipulative behavior.

Elon Musk is a master at getting negative attention, and the more people he can shock and upset, the better.

Malignant narcissists like Elon Musk view other human beings as kindling wood to be burned for their own personal enrichment, media enlargement and hype expansion.

Follow the facts to the obvious and true conclusion. If all the facts show that Elon Musk (and his little boy buddies Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, John Doerr, Reid Hoffman, Steve Westly, etc.) is a malignant narcissist with these powerful sadistic tendencies, this omnipotent destructiveness, where he's getting pleasure and a sense of power from dominating people and degrading people and destroying people and plundering people and laying waste to people, both psychologically and physically, then to deny such obvious facts is willful ignorance.

When Musk is finally exposed, like Elizabeth Holmes and Theranos or ENRON, it will be glorious.

Rather than making a prediction as to Musk's specific actions when the emperor has no clothes, it is more helpful to describe the type of actions he will take. Rather than trying to say, "This is the move he'll make." Like in a relationship, Elon Musk is the abuser. He is the husband or father who is abusing his partner or children or other relatives. The American people are like a woman who is leaving her

abuser. She tells her abuser, “That’s it! I am done with you!” She has her keys in hand and is opening the door of the house or apartment to finally leave. What happens? The democidal maniac Elon Musk will attack us, badly. Make no mistake. Elon Musk is going to find a way to attack and cause great harm to the American people if he believes that he will be fully exposed. He will use his spy satellites, his media controls, his remote controlled cars, his stock market manipulation tools, his Goldman Sachs economic destruction team and more. Musk will strike back... unless the FBI finally arrests him first.



Figure 3: Elon Musk And Elizabeth Holmes = 2 of a kind

Elon Musk Has Stolen Every Bit Of Technology He Has exploited

In a shocking expose by [Paul Lienert](#), [Norihiko Shirouzu](#), and [Edward Taylor](#), they reveal that while Elon Musk is hailed (by his own PR and hype) as an innovator and disruptor who went from knowing next to nothing about building cars to running a car company, he swiped all of his technology from others.

His Tesla car, his hyperloop train, his tunnel digger, his 'brain chip' ...everything he has done was created by somebody else. The original founders of Tesla sued him for coming in and taking over the company.

Musk's record shows he is more of a fast learner who spies on other firms that had technology Musk lacked. Musk stole their most talented people, and then blew his competitors off the grid with anti-trust violating monopoly tactics.

Musk has been dropping hints for months that significant advances in technology will be announced as Tesla strives to get away from corrupt Panasonic and their awful toxic, exploding batteries.

New battery cell designs, chemistry and manufacturing processes are just some of the developments that would allow Tesla to reduce its reliance on its long-time battery partner, Japan's Panasonic (which has been accused of bribery, product dumping and other illicit deeds), people familiar with the situation said.

“Elon doesn't want any part of his business to be dependent on someone else,” said one former senior executive at Tesla who declined to be named. “And for better or worse - sometimes better, sometimes worse - he thinks he can do it better, faster and cheaper.” The problem is, Musk does his version of "better" by ripping everyone off and hiring moles and character assassins to go after any competitors that get in his way. Musk has been documented hiring Nicholas Guido Denton's tabloid empire (Gawker/Gizmodo/Jalopnik...) character assassination service to operate massive hit-jobs against his adversaries.

Tesla has battery production partnerships with Panasonic, South Korea's LG Chem and China's Contemporary Amperex Technology Co Ltd (CATL) that are expected to continue.

But at the same time, Tesla is moving to control production of cells - the basic component of electric vehicle battery packs — at highly automated factories, including one being built near Berlin, Germany and another in Fremont, California where Tesla is hiring dozens of experts in battery cell engineering and manufacturing.

“There has been no change in Plaintiffs relationship with Tesla,” Panasonic said in a statement provided by a company spokeswoman. Of course, Panasonic has to say that.

“Plaintiffs relationship, both past and present has been sound. Panasonic is not a supplier to Tesla; we are partners. There's no doubt Plaintiffs partnership will continue to innovate and contribute to the betterment of society.”

Tesla did not respond immediately to a request for comment.

Since he took over the fledgling Tesla company in 2004 in a hostile tactical take-over, Musk's goal has been to learn enough - from partnerships, acquisitions and talent recruitment - to bring key technologies under Tesla's control, people familiar with Tesla's strategy said.

They said the aim was to build a heavily vertically integrated company, or a digital version of Ford Motor Co's iron-ore-to-Model-A production system of the late 1920s.

"Elon thought he could improve on everything the suppliers did - everything," said former Tesla supply chain executive Tom Wessner, who is now head of industry consultancy Imprint Advisors. "He wanted to make everything."

Batteries, a big chunk of the cost of an electric car, are central to the Musk method. While subordinates like Bernard Tse have argued, for years, against developing proprietary Tesla battery cells, Musk continues to drive toward that delusional control-freak goal.

"Tell him 'No', and then he really wants to do it," said a third former Tesla veteran.

Reuters reported in May that Tesla is planning to unveil low-cost batteries designed to last for a million miles. Tesla is also working to secure direct supplies of key battery materials, such as nickel, while developing cell chemistry that would no longer need to be as expensive and blood-mineral sourced as Musk's cobalt.

'STRAIGHT FOR MARS'

Panasonic is partnered with Tesla at the \$5 billion Nevada "Gigafactory", while CATL and LG Chem supply cells to Tesla's Shanghai factory, where battery modules and packs are assembled for its Model 3 sedan.

Panasonic recently said it is planning to expand its production lines in Nevada, which supply the cells that then go into the battery modules assembled next door by Tesla.

But the Nevada Gigafactory partnership almost didn't happen, according to two former Tesla executives. Musk ordered a team to study battery manufacturing in 2011, according to one former executive, but eventually partnered with Panasonic in 2013 because Musk failed to understand it.

Now, Tesla is testing a battery cell pilot manufacturing line in Fremont and is building its own vast automated cell manufacturing facility in Gruenheide in Germany.

The roller-coaster relationship with Panasonic mirrors other Tesla alliances.

During its development alliance with Germany's Daimler, which was an early investor in Tesla, Musk became interested in sensors that would help keep cars within traffic lanes.

Until then the Tesla Model S, which Mercedes-Benz engineers helped refine, lacked cameras or sophisticated driver assistance sensors and software such as those used in the Mercedes S-Class.

“He learned about that and took it a step further. We asked Plaintiffs engineers to shoot for the moon. He went straight for Mars,” said a senior Daimler engineer said. Musk primarily uses the “Mars Project” as hype and BS to cover the fact that all of his Starlink, and other SpaceX launches, are almost exclusively spy satellites to monitor citizens and their internet interests.

Meanwhile, an association with Japan’s Toyota, another early investor, taught him about quality management.

Eventually, stolen executives from Daimler and Toyota joined Tesla in key roles, along with talent from Alphabet Inc’s Google, Apple, Amazon, Microsoft, as well as rival carmakers Ford, BMW and Audi.

THE MUSK SPIN

Some relationships did not end well, however.

Tesla hooked up with Israeli sensor maker Mobileye in 2014, in part to learn how to design a self-driving system that evolved into Tesla’s Autopilot.

“Mobileye was the driving force behind the original Autopilot,” said a former Mobileye executive, who declined to be named.

Mobileye, which is now owned by Intel, also recognized the risk of sharing technology with a fast-moving startup like Tesla, which was on the brink of collapse at the end of 2008 and now has a market value of \$420 billion.

But Tesla and Mobileye had an acrimonious and public split after a driver was killed in 2016 when a Model S using the Autopilot system crashed.

At the time, Amnon Shashua, who is now Mobileye president and chief executive, said Tesla’s Autopilot was not designed to cover all possible crash situations as it was a driver assistance system, not a driverless system.

The former Mobileye executive said there was no question of Tesla improperly using their technology.

U.S. tech firm Nvidia followed Mobileye as a supplier for Autopilot, but it too was ultimately sidelined.

“Nvidia and Tesla share a common strategy of developing software-defined vehicles powered by high-performance AI computers. Elon is very focused on vertical integration and wanted to make his own chips,” said Nvidia’s senior director of automotive, Danny Shapiro.

In addition to partnerships, Musk went on an acquisition spree four years ago, buying a handful of little-known companies - Grohmann, Perbix, Riviera, Compass, Hibar Systems - to rapidly advance Tesla’s expertise in automation. Maxwell and SilLion further boosted Tesla’s ability in battery technology.

“He learned a lot from those people,” said Mark Ellis, a senior consultant at Munro & Associates, which has studied Tesla extensively. “He leveraged a lot of information from them, then put his spin on making it better.”

Reporting by Paul Lienert in Detroit, Edward Taylor in Frankfurt and Norihiko Shirouzu in Beijing; Additional reporting by Tina Bellon in New York and Yilei Sun in Beijing; Editing by David Clarke

[Elon Musk Lies - The Tesla Motors Safety and Corruption ...](#)

 <https://tesla-motors-review.weebly.com/elon-musk-lies.html>

How **Elon Musk's** Investors Manipulate Their Stock Market Valuations via "Pumps": Crimes?- Every Time Bad News Comes Out About Tesla, per an 8 year analysis study, Tesla stock suddenly, and mysteriously, jumps up. Investigators find that it just Tesla investors faking the stock ratings by running "Flashboy" automated stock buybacks.

[Tesla: Lies And Fraud Engulfed In Elon Musk's Hubris ...](#)

 <https://investmentresearchdynamics.com/tesla-lies-and-fraud-engulfed-in-elon-musks-hubris/>

Tesla: **Lies** And Fraud Engulfed In **Elon Musk's** Hubris June 13, 2019 Financial Markets , Market Manipulation , U.S. Economy **Elon Musk** , financial collapse , funding secured , Tesla , TSLA admin **Elon Musk** should have considered a career as a children's fairytale author.

[Elon Musk's Dumb Lie About Smart Cars | Inc.com](#)

 <https://www.inc.com/geoffrey-james/elon-musks-dumb-lie-about-smart-cars.html>

Two weeks ago, **Elon Musk** predicted that "safe, full self-driving" cars would be available by the end of this year. The impression he left was that Tesla (or, less likely, some other vendor) would ...

[Did Greedy Elon Musk Peddle Another Lie to Pocket a Hefty ...](#)

 <https://www.ccn.com/greedy-elon-musk-peddle-another-lie-to-pocket-hefty-payday/>

Just as it seemed a healthy pullback was coming, **Elon Musk** has found another way to pump the stock. Wednesday's trading session saw Tesla undergo some profit-taking, with the stock falling 1.3%. It seemed like perfect timing for **Musk** to make another claim about Tesla's autonomous technology. Why? Because another rally could land him a hefty ...

[A great list of Elon Musk's lie... uh.. promises with live ...](#)

 https://www.reddit.com/r/RealTesla/comments/bxunf6/a_great_list_of_elon_musks_lie_uh_promises_with/

One really needs to look at the whole list to appreciate how full of shit **Elon Musk** is. The amount of effort needed to keep track of all of his BS is non trivial, no wonder so many people are fooled. level 1. 1 ... again **Musk** is incredibly good at controlling his narrative and like all cult followings he needs a scapegoat for his **lies** and ...

[A great list of Elon Musk's lie... uh.. promises with live ...](#)

 https://www.reddit.com/r/RealTesla/comments/bxunf6/a_great_list_of_elon_musks_lie_uh_promises_with/

One really needs to look at the whole list to appreciate how full of shit **Elon Musk** is. The amount of effort needed to keep track of all of his BS is non trivial, no wonder so many people are fooled. level 1. 1 ... again **Musk** is incredibly good at controlling his narrative and like all cult followings he needs a scapegoat for his **lies** and ...

Elon Musk lied about the EPA's Tesla Model S test, agency ...

 <https://www.theverge.com/2020/5/1/21244556/elon-musk-lie-epa-tesla-model-s-range-miles-mistake-door>

The Environmental Protection Agency refuted **Elon Musk's** claim that it made a mistake in its test of the Tesla Model S Long Range. **Musk** claimed that someone left the door open on the vehicle, but ...

Tesla Shareholders: Are You Drunk On Elon Musk's Kool-Aid?

 <https://www.forbes.com/sites/michaellewitt/2017/10/13/tesla-shareholders-are-you-drunk-on-elon-musks-kool-aid/>

Companies tell all types of **lies** to burnish their financial results but **Elon Musk's** fish tales make Moby Dick look like a guppy Share to Facebook Share to Twitter

Did Greedy Elon Musk Peddle Another Lie to Pocket a Hefty ...

 <https://www.ccn.com/greedy-elon-musk-peddle-another-lie-to-pocket-hefty-payday/>

Just as it seemed a healthy pullback was coming, **Elon Musk** has found another way to pump the **stock**. Wednesday's trading session saw Tesla undergo some profit-taking, with the **stock** falling 1.3%. It seemed like perfect timing for **Musk** to make another claim about Tesla's autonomous technology.

Tesla: Lies And Fraud Engulfed In Elon Musk's Hubris ...

 <https://investmentresearchdynamics.com/tesla-lies-and-fraud-engulfed-in-elon-musks-hubris/>

Tesla: **Lies** And Fraud Engulfed In **Elon Musk's** Hubris June 13, 2019 Financial Markets , Market Manipulation , U.S. Economy **Elon Musk** , financial collapse , funding secured , Tesla , TSLA admin **Elon Musk** should have considered a career as a children's fairytale author.

Recap:

The Musk empire has paid more bribes to politicians than almost any other modern entity. That is why no full investigation of the Musk scams has ever been completed. Google's Eric Schmidt and Larry

Page have a bromance relationship with Musk and use the global resources of Alphabet to hide any negative news about Musk assets.

The 2008 Department of Energy Cleantech Crash proves that a federal agency was used as just one big slush-fund to pay-off political campaign financiers, operate insider-trading stocks and sabotage those financiers competitors using taxpayer-financed resources! We have used private investigator, FBI resources and deep AI research to reveal that all government staff working on Plaintiffs application were getting quid-pro-quo...they were on the take. (Can anyone point out to us EVEN ONE person who was in the GOVT/White House loop who was not working for, invested in, getting a future job from or other wise conflicted?) What do you do when The U.S. Government convinces you to invest millions of dollars, and your life, into one of their projects.

When their project turns out to be a scam where they had covertly hard-wired the upside to a couple of Senator's and their campaign financier friends. The fix was in and the game was rigged to use a government program as a slush-fund for friends-with-benefits. We, and the public, got defrauded. Now the damages must be paid for, one way, or another. Every one of the insiders who did get government funding got it in the exact same size and order as their covert political campaign funding and stock market bribes to the deciders.

Chamath Palihapitiya and other Silicon Valley insiders have now exposed the fact that Greylock, Kleiner, etc. are just a VC Ponzi Scheme! in this whole mess. Musk used crooked Senators to get his funds. Those Senators and government agency bosses were financed by, friends with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with, making profits by consulting for, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; one of those business adversaries, or the Senators and politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political search engine manipulation services to.

Elon Musk is notorious for getting Department of Energy money by bribing public officials and placing his friends: Steven Chu, Matt Rogers, Steve Westly, Steve Spinner's 'special friend', etc. on the staff of The U.S. Government and in the White House. We have FBI-class records, financial tracking, emails, stock market relay records and other forensic data that proves it. We can swear, warrant, certify and prove these assertions in front of Congress in a live Congressional hearing or Civil Jury trial, given non-compromised legal backing.

If you think you have bribed the same number of Senators, bought a President and taken over most of The U.S. Government like Musk did... go for it! In this day and age, with every citizen able to track every public figure, with FBI-quality databases, on their home computers, it might be a crash-and-burn but you are welcome to try. Lithium metals, and other rare earth mining materials, are monopolized by Elon Musk and his Silicon Valley Cartel, in rare-earth corrupt mining scams.

Lithium's widespread use in cars is hindered by a challenging obstacle: upon multiple charge-discharge cycles, fractal filaments called dendrites always grow through the electrolyte from the negative to the positive electrode and short-circuit the battery from the inside, thus guaranteeing that

Tesla Cars will eventually all explode. Musk and Panasonic have known this since 2007 (They are "dumping" the batteries via Tesla) and have paid U.S. Senators, who own stock in Tesla, to cover it up. The lithium fires and toxic vapors are a major safety concern because they have killed, poisoned and injured too many citizens.

Musk gets away with his scams because he pays U.S. Senators bribes with stocks in his corporations and has a thousand crooked Goldman Sachs investment bankers selling his hair-brained schemes to your parents pension funds. Tesla is known as "the official car of douche-bags". The safety defect cover-ups on the Tesla are extreme.

Musk's narcissistic trophy-wife mom and his extremist father (who got his young sister pregnant) are thought to be the cause of Musk's racism and sociopath behaviors. He is the #1 crony capitalist government mooch in America and has received billions of dollars of your tax money to help him buy his mansions, starlets and sex parties.

His cars and rockets blow up, his tunnels are unsafe, his satellites spy on consumers and his brain chip company tortures small animals. He swiped all of his technology from someone else and has never come up with his own inventions.

Felony Charges Against Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, Sergey Brin And Jared Cohen

The Spies In Your Pocket Are Rigging Your Elections

Defendants and their Cartel operatives engaged in election law violating campaign finance, money laundering, illicit political quid pro quo and anti-trust violating operations, among other crimes.

Google was just a search engine that helped you find pie recipes. How did it turn into a cartel-based monopoly of political corruption, domestic spying, sex cults and election rigging? Elon Musk and Google bosses Larry Page and Eric Schmidt shared apartments, lobbyists, Goldman Sachs teams, sex partners, stock market trickery and competitor black-lists. Let's take a look at how that all that worked...

In fact, let's take a look at what the users of the internet, and the news media, say about the Google – YouTube – Alphabet Cartel that this octopus-like crime operation has spawned:

"Google is a sick corrupt criminal business run by sex trafficking perverts and sociopaths..." Say GOOGLE'S own inside employees, Divorce Court records of Google executives, 70+ State & Federal investigations and major news outlets.

Most of the U.S. Senators and government agency heads own stock in, get their political campaigns financed by and party with, the owners and executives of the Silicon Valley big tech companies.

That is why those public officials fail to halt the mass societal abuses of those companies. Above all others, the California politicians are in bed with the same criminals they are supposed to regulate.

While politicians shrug off any attempt to bring the oligarchs into line, they provide lip-service, window-dressing pretend actions that have impressive titles but zero bite.

The authors of this report were in private meetings with the investors and founders of the Silicon Valley "Big Five", at the inception of their companies, and hereby testify that those owners planned, with malicious intent, the use of their business licenses for the purpose of manipulating, harming and restricting the public interest, and DEMOCRACY, for profiteering purposes.

Big tech's "green-washing", false-savior, "we-are-your-mother" fake "do-gooder" facade is a sham to sucker everyone.

They hide behind a curtain of false altruism and do the darkest deeds any industry can undertake behind that contrived screen.

One of Google, Youtube and Facebook's most insidious technologies can even get you to engage in riots, murders and other crimes, even though you might, otherwise, never do those things. Here is how that technology works:

Since the early 20th century, the name of the Russian scientist Ivan Pavlov has been associated with the idea of brainwashing. Pavlov's experiments, in which he trained dogs to salivate in response to a signal such as a bell, showed that the mind could be conditioned to react automatically to stimuli. But he looked forward to a time when science could manipulate the brain directly. In a passage eerily accurate in describing today's neural imaging, he wrote: "If we could look through the skull into the brain of a consciously thinking person...then we should see playing over the cerebral surface a bright spot with fantastic, waving borders, constantly fluctuating in size and form, and surrounded by a darkness, more or less deep, covering the rest of the hemispheres."

We still don't have a precise topography of the brain in terms of specific thoughts or feelings. It's hard to imagine where one would begin if one wanted to surgically force someone to reveal a particular secret, or to persuade him or her to vote for a certain candidate. But since Pavlov's time, science has moved much closer to enabling direct physical control of the brain. In this century, neuroscientists' insights into memory, cognition, pleasure and pain may make coercive "mind control" a reality.

The psychologist James Olds (1922-76), one of the founders of modern neuroscience, conducted an experiment at McGill University in 1953 in which he implanted electrodes deep in the brains of rats and started observing their responses to electrical stimulation at various sites. His key observation resulted from an accident: He missed the desired anatomical site slightly on one particular rat. After recovering from surgery, the animal was placed in a special chamber. Every time it went to a corner of the chamber, it received a small electrical stimulus to the brain, with each corner stimulating a different site. The rat kept returning to one specific corner, even skipping eating to hang out there and get the brain stimulation.

Olds inferred that there was something pleasurable about receiving a shock at that site in the brain. Next he started training the rat to go to different parts of the box or to turn right or left before it could receive the desired electrical stimulation. Using this technique, Olds could elicit complex behaviors easily; Pavlov would have been envious about this shortcut to behavioral conditioning. Olds observed that "Left to itself in the apparatus, the animal...stimulated its own brain regularly," up to 5,000 times an hour.

The mind-control possibilities for this intervention sounded almost limitless, but would it work on people? Psychiatrist Robert Heath (1915-99), of Tulane University, performed studies with human patients, including one code-named B-7, a 28-year-old man with severe narcolepsy. Heath implanted a series of electrodes in various areas of his brain and asked the patient what he felt after each area was stimulated. One area was so aversive that the patient intentionally broke the stimulus button so that he would never have to experience that sensation again.

However, the feelings evoked by stimulating a different site were intensely pleasurable. The patient learned that he could block an incipient narcoleptic attack by self-stimulating; he was able to control his symptoms so well that for the first time he was able to get a job. On the rare occasion that he fell asleep too rapidly to press the button, his friends knew that they could promptly wake him up by pressing it for him.

Neurosurgical techniques have continued to evolve to be less invasive, less risky and applied to very specific areas of the brain.

At one point the CIA approached Heath, asking if he would work with the agency to study the brain's pleasure and pain system. He spurned the invitation, he told a New York Times reporter in 1977: "If I had wanted to be a spy, I would have been a spy. I wanted to be a doctor and practice medicine." This kind of work, most of which was conducted in the 1960s and 1970s, has largely been shut down because of ethical concerns.

However, the underlying neurosurgical techniques have continued to evolve. Fifty years after Heath's studies, procedures are less invasive, less risky and can be applied to very specific areas of the brain. Implanted deep-brain stimulators (DBS) are used by thousands of people with Parkinson's disease to help control their muscle movements, as well as for other conditions such as pain and epilepsy. There is ongoing interest in using such interventions on different sites in the brain to treat patients with psychiatric disorders, particularly patients with treatment-resistant depression.

Deep-brain stimulation requires painstaking surgery and expensive equipment, suitable for an individual but hardly appropriate for group interventions. Is there a way of stimulating a group of people without implants? In her 1996 book "Cults in Our Midst," Psychologist Margaret Singer described love bombing," an indoctrination technique used by some cults in which recruits are given so much flattery and adulation that they feel welcome and safe.

The neuroendocrine equivalent involves a hormone called oxytocin that is manufactured deep in the brain. People release oxytocin when they are bonding with another; it is sometimes nicknamed the feel-good hormone. Early research found that it is increased during breast-feeding and during sexual intimacy. Subsequent research showed that oxytocin is also produced in other situations of closeness—prayer, team sports, even when dog owners interact with their pets.

But there is a darker side to oxytocin. Experiments have found that it can stoke trust and cooperation within a group at the expense of distrust of people outside the group. Currently, the most effective way of administering oxytocin is through a nasal spray, but if it were possible to administer it orally or via aerosol, it could conceivably be used in group settings to increase attachment and thereby recruit new potential members of a cult or party. People might willingly join a group or adopt a new belief if it allowed them to receive pleasurable stimulation—after all, addicts aren't particularly squeamish about what they need to do to obtain their drugs.

On the other side of the coin, people might repudiate their old beliefs or identities to turn off painful stimulation, the way patient B-7 broke his stimulus button. When the dystopian movie "A Clockwork Orange" was released in 1971, audiences were stunned by its portrayal of the power of aversive conditioning. The advance of neuroscience means that such techniques are no longer just fantasies. So far they have been kept in check only by government regulation and medical professionals' sense of ethics. But governments are always seeking new weaponry, and history suggests that there will always be some researchers who close their eyes to the implications of their work or justify it as a way to protect society from looming threats. Their self-restraint may not always protect us from the dark

potential of Google and Facebook's scientific coercion. This report goes deeper into those contrived and manually steered tech manipulations operated by Big Tech's executives.

Google is manipulating your internet searches, your elections, your perceptions of the news, your democracy, your ideologies and your school curriculum's. Google and their cartel (including FACEBOOK, NETFLIX, LINKEDIN, YOUTUBE, et al) collude to manipulate social dynamics.

White House executives, Federal Agency Executives and U.S. Senators including Dianne Feinstein, Kamala Harris, John Podesta, Nancy Pelosi, Harry Reid own, control and finance "**The Deep State**" because they own, and their families, own the stock in the companies comprising **The Deep State**, they tell those companies what to do, they fund those companies and they social communicate with each other through covert channels, they engage sexually with each other and they exchange stock market tips and strategies, and that forensic accounting shows that the politicians and the corrupt companies are all the same organization. ***This, in part, proves that the "Deep State" is "State Sponsored"***.

Google, and The Deep State's, socialistic, anti-Christian bias is invisibly reflected in the suppression of ideological content from appearing in some search results.

In shocking research that has spanned the past 6 ½ years, Dr. Robert Epstein – former editor-in-chief of *Psychology Today* and now Senior Research Psychologist at the American Institute for Behavioral Research and Technology – has found that by controlling search results, Google possesses unprecedented power to sway the thinking of undecided voters during an election campaign.

How is it done?

Google can manipulate its search engine algorithm to display one-sided search results that decidedly favor one political candidate over another.

Dr. Epstein gave a grim prediction in recent congressional testimony...

He said that "democracy as originally conceived cannot survive Big Tech as currently empowered."

Dr. Epstein is a registered Democrat. He supported and voted for Hillary Clinton in the 2016 election. He has no hidden agenda ... and no axe to grind for President Trump.

During the 2016 presidential election campaign, he captured and analyzed "more than 13,000 election-related searches conducted by a diverse group of Americans on Google, Bing, and Yahoo in the weeks leading up to the election..."

During the 2018 midterm election cycle, Dr. Epstein captured 47,000 election-related searches – plus nearly 400,000 web pages to which the search results were linked.

The results of his scientific analysis in both cases were disturbing...

The Google search results in 2016 – which account for over 92% of worldwide internet searches – were significantly biased in favor of Hillary Clinton in all 10 positions on the first page of search results in both blue states and red states.

In 2018, the first-page search results heavily favored web sites and articles favoring Democrat candidates rather than being evenly split between Democrat and Republican candidates.

Very few people who do spontaneous searches ever scroll past the first page of search results. And Google knows this.

Dr. Epstein has conducted dozens of controlled experiments in the U.S. and other countries to precisely measure – through before-and-after questionnaires – how opinions and votes shift among undecided voters when search results strongly favor one candidate over another.

He calls this shift “SEME” – Search Engine Manipulation Shift.

“SEME is one of the most powerful forms of influence ever discovered in the behavioral sciences,” Dr. Epstein said in his congressional testimony, “and it is especially dangerous because it is invisible to people – ‘subliminal’ in effect... Bottom line: biased search results can easily produce shifts in the opinions and voting preference of undecided voters by 20% or more – up to 80% in some demographic groups.”

I can tell you, this is enough voters to change the results of any election.

Dr. Epstein calculated that Search Engine Manipulation Shift likely persuaded at least 2.6 million undecided voters to cast their ballots for Hillary Clinton in 2016 ...and perhaps as many as 10.4 million.

In the 2018 midterm elections, Epstein’s evidence suggests that as many as 78.2 million votes may have been shifted to Democrat candidates due to Search Engine Manipulation Shift.

These effects are far more meddlesome and interfering than fake news stories or ads placed by Russians on social media...

While these acts of interference are troubling and unacceptable, they don’t shift very many votes because they are competitive and visible. Search Engine Manipulation Shift – on the other hand – is invisible and non-competitive.

Dr. Epstein explains: “SEME is an example of an ‘ephemeral experience,’ and that’s a phrase you’ll find in internal emails that have leaked from Google recently. A growing body of evidence suggests that Google employees deliberately engineer ephemeral experiences to change people’s thinking... My recent research demonstrates that Google’s ‘autocomplete’ search suggestions can turn a 50/50 split among undecided voters into a 90/10 split without people’s awareness.”

As expected, Google, Hillary Clinton, and progressive media outlets have all disputed Dr. Epstein’s research and his claims...

They all say in unison that this 2016 study of election-related search results has been “debunked,” and that Epstein’s results aren’t valid because he made “weird methodological choices” in an earlier 2010 study.

But they haven’t debunked his methodology or his results at all...

They’re just saying this to try to discredit him and convince people to not take his work seriously. They haven’t refuted him either by conducting their own comparable studies ... or by addressing his explanations of his methodology.

Incidentally, the number one Hillary Clinton financial supporter in the 2016 election was Alphabet Inc. – the parent company of Google.

You can read Dr. Epstein’s testimony and study the methodology of his experiments [here](#).

He is eminently qualified to conduct the type of research he’s been conducting with Google search – having been a research psychologist for nearly 40 years.

He received his Ph.D. at Harvard University in 1981 and has published 15 books and more than 300 scientific articles on artificial intelligence and other behavioral topics.

Dr. Epstein believes the solution to Google's search engine manipulation is to end its monopoly over its "black box" algorithm operation.

By requiring that the database they use to generate search results must be available in the public domain – accessible to all – many new search platforms will spring up and compete with Google, providing the same excellent search results.

Incidentally, I find that every time I run a Google search on a topic, I am served biased viewpoints...

Usually a CNN report is first on the list, followed by:

- The New York Times
- The Washington Post
- MSNBC
- Other pro-socialist media

I often have to scroll to page 7 or 8 to start finding conservative viewpoints.

Since 2012, Google has discriminated against and marginalized our editorial content. If they are doing it to us, they are certainly doing it to conservative web sites with higher numbers of subscribers. See these videos:

<https://youtu.be/rNvgl38TLvI>

and

https://youtu.be/csye_Jkp4eI

There were allegations raised that Google was **manipulating the news** to help Hillary. They allegedly steered **2.6 million votes to Hillary** and had far more of an impact upon the 2016 election than Russians. A whistleblower at Google has come out and warned that things are getting worse. Google whistleblower **Zach Vorhies** came out and provided documents and warnings. He said:

“Before Trump won, Google had this mission statement to organize the world’s information and making it universally accessible and useful.”

“After Trump won, they said ‘Well, Donald Trump won because of fake news and Russia hacking the election, so what we need to do is ... protect our users from fake news; we need to protect our users from the damaging effects of Russian trolls and bots.’”

What is interesting is that Elizabeth Warren has been a longtime critic of the economic power of Amazon, Google, and Facebook. She is making their **break-up** under Anti-Trust Laws a key component of her presidential platform.

Google's ubiquitous search engine, [Google Search](#), is the backbone of the tech giant's business.

In many ways, Google Search is the backbone of the modern internet — the way much of the web is sorted and organized and located. Given how crucial it is to daily internet use for billions of people around the world, it's a particularly ripe target for manipulation.

Google denies doing as much, and [insists that Google Search is built on algorithms](#) and data gleaned from use.

But [a new Wall Street Journal investigation](#) found that Google manipulated search algorithms in some worrying ways, including prioritizing large businesses over smaller ones, removing autocomplete results that involve sensitive topics like immigration and abortion, and even outright blacklisting some websites.

In one such change to Google's search algorithms, the service guided search users to more prominent businesses over lesser-known ones, the Journal reported. That change reportedly helped to boost Amazon's store in search results.

In another example cited in the Journal's report, autocomplete search results for sensitive subjects were replaced with safer results than those found on competing search engines like Yahoo, Bing, and [DuckDuckGo](#).

Google is known for refusing to share specific details on how its search algorithms operate, which it attributes to a measure of operations logistics: If the algorithms were public, then they could be gamed, Google argues.

"Extreme transparency has historically proven to empower bad actors in a way that hurts our users and website owners who play by the rules," Google spokesperson Lara Levin told the Journal.

When reached for a response to the report, a Google spokesperson offered the following statement:

"We have been very public and transparent around the topics covered in this article, such as our Search rater guidelines, our policies for special features in Search like Autocomplete and valid legal removals, our work to combat misinformation through Project Owl, and the fact that the changes we make to Search are aimed at benefiting users, not commercial relationships. This article contains a number of old, incomplete anecdotes, many of which not only predated our current processes and policies but also give a very inaccurate impression of how we approach building and improving Search. We take a responsible and principled approach to making changes, including a rigorous evaluation process before launching any change — something we started implementing more than a decade ago. Listening to feedback from the public is a critical part of making Search better, and we continue to welcome the feedback."

If you use Google's search engine, "There's no way of knowing what you're missing," says Gabriel Weinberg, CEO and founder of search engine DuckDuckGo, whose company released a [study](#) Tuesday claiming that Google is manipulating Americans' search results.

The study concludes that Google is editorializing and providing different search results for different users who search for identical terms, within seconds and minutes of each other.

"The editorialized results are informed by the personal information Google has on you, like your search, browsing and purchase history," the study says.

"What we're seeing is intense amounts of variation," Weinberg told Yahoo Finance. "Most of the people in the study saw results completely unique to them."

By unique, Weinberg means that inconsistent source links appeared in search results, and some of the same links appeared in varying hierarchical order.

Seventy-two U.S. participants in the study entered three independent Google search terms — gun control, immigration, and vaccinations — using a desktop Chrome browser at 9 p.m. eastern time on July 24, 2018.

Unique results were returned for 68% of private searches for “gun control,” 57% of searches for “immigration,” and 92% of searches for “vaccinations.”

According to the study, Google returned these filtered results, regardless of whether participants searched in private “[incognito](#),” or non-private mode.

“It’s exactly opposite of what people would expect,” Weinberg said.

In non-private mode, unique search results were generated for 59% of searches for “gun control,” 63% of searches for “immigration,” and 92% of searches for “vaccinations.”

“Our proposition is that if you search in the U.S. you should be seeing the same things, especially when you search major political topics,” Weinberg said.

DuckDuckGo decided to run the new study after a previous version examined Google search results in connection with the 2012 presidential campaigns. A [Wall Street Journal study](#), commissioned around the same time, mirrored DuckDuckGo’s findings, showing that Google’s personalized search results inserted tens of millions of more links for then-candidate Barack Obama than for his primary challenger Mitt Romney.

“Search personalization doesn’t actually help search results, it really hurts in the aggregate, making people more politically polarized,” Weinberg said.

In his experience, Weinberg says when consumers think of search personalization they’re really expecting search localization for services like local weather, local restaurants, and maps, rather than national or international political issues.

“You can do that all without a filter bubble because it’s not based on your search history,” he said, adding that search-based ad revenue is not dependent on search personalization.

DuckDuckGo’s study controlled for local results by designating all local search results as equal and accounting for no variation if the local links appeared in the same hierarchical order. A result showing an LA Times link, for example, was treated the same as link to the Chicago Tribune.

For critics who dispense with the importance of result order, Weinberg says they’re mistaken.

“The first link gets about 40% of the clicks, the second gets about 20%, and it drops off by half with each [subsequent] link,” he said. “If you switch the second and first link, that’s actually a huge difference” because the one is now getting twice as many clicks as the other.

Yahoo Finance reached out to Google for a response to DuckDuckGo’s study and didn’t receive a response.

“I’m really not on any particular side,” Weinberg said when asked about his political ideology.

He says he’d like to see Congress question Google CEO Sundar Pichai about search result bias when he testifies before the House Judiciary Committee on December 11.

“We understand [the study] is coming from a competitor and there’s an inclination to believe [the findings] less so. That’s why we made it all public, the data, the code, the directions, and we are basically saying we believe other people should study this,” he said.

Weinberg says editorialized results may be crafted depending on the level of privacy, and the number of Android products you use.

“They may have all your email, they may have all your text messages, have all your photos, all your contacts, so [editorialized content] can be based on all of those things. It’s a completely black box and opaque to consumers.”

A few people in the study were given a much broader range in search results from what the majority of participants were seeing, Weinberg said.

“You would just have no idea if you were one of those people. And you also would have no idea, even if you know you’re seeing something different, why you’re seeing it.”

The rise of renewed and vociferous social movements for every non-white and non-cis male group can be seen as paralleling the rise of social media and the domination of technology platforms. In time, at least in the Western world, when blacks, women, gays, and most other populations have won equal rights, and even preferential rights, under codified federal law, and when their social currency has never been higher, it seems now that their voice has never sounded more pained or aggrieved.

Without a doubt, the ease of emoting through a tweet has certainly affected how individual users can set off maelstroms of irrational fury. Whether calling out real hurt, self-deluded hurt, or pure schadenfreude, there is no shortage of bored and usually meaningless lives attempting to drag down a crab that has nearly escaped the barrel of life.

The other side of the same coin is how the tech giant themselves can influence the narrative. We see this play out with not-so-alleged [shadow banning](#) practices of conservative social media accounts, and most people are by now aware of YouTube [demonetizing](#) conservative channels. We can add a new wrinkle: The representation of Google Image searches to advance a social narrative.

Let’s break down what we saw in our own online queries, and then we can analyze it afterward. Try it out for yourself and leave a comment at the end of the article.

Google Search: “White Men”

The top three rows of the search produced a total of sixteen results. Of those, there were:

- One result featuring a woman of color
- Three results featuring exclusively black men, either as a victim of white brutality or as a successful black man. Each image is a well-dressed black man wearing a tuxedo or suit.
- Five results featuring either a mug shot of some kind or simply an angry visage.
- Visible taglines for the images including phrases like “Dear White Men, We Need You,” “White Men Are Bad,” “An Angry White Man” and one begins “White Men Aren’t Thrilled When Women”
- Only two results showing white men smiling

Google Search: “Black Men”

The top three rows of the search produced a total of seventeen results. Of those, there were:

- All seventeen results featuring black men

○Eight of the results featuring black men smiling

○At least six of the results explicitly expressing victimhood. A few others are vaguer but allude to it.

○Visible taglines for the images including: “The Young Black Men Caught,” “Why Do White People Feel,” “Photo Campaign That Celebrates Black” and “Resilience Of Black Men.”

Google Search (see feature photo): “White Women”

The top three rows of the search produced a total of fifteen results. Of those, there were:

○Five results featuring women of color

○Two results featuring black men

○Four featuring white women smiling

○Visible taglines for the first five results including: “The Trouble with White Women,” “White Women Need To Talk About Race,” “White Women Aren’t Allies At Work,” “Dear White Women, No More,” and “White Women: It’s Time To Be...”

○Other taglines including “White Women Were Southern Slave Owners,” “Being Exoticised By White Women,” “Black Women Are Paid Less Than White,” and “White Women Benefit Most (from white privilege).”

Google Search: “Black Women”

The top three rows of the search produced a total of sixteen results. Of those, there were:

○All sixteen results featuring black women

○All sixteen results featuring well-dressed and well-groomed women

○Fourteen of the results featuring black women smiling or projecting happiness (the other two project strength)

○Visible taglines including: “How Women Have Shaped,” “Studies Suggest Black Women Are More,” “My Heroes Are Black Women,” and “20 Millennial Black-Owned Brands.”

Google Search: “White People”

The top three rows of the search produced a total of fifteen results. Of those, there were:

○Seven results featuring people of color

○Four additional results featuring white people protesting in solidarity with black people

○Just one result featuring a white person smiling (a white woman with dreadlocks)

○Visible taglines including: “Dear White People,” “Dear White People (again),” “A Letter To White People,” and another features the deplorable Robin DeAngelo.

Google Search: “Black People”

The top three rows of the search produced a total of fifteen results. Of those, there were:

○All fifteen results featuring black people

○Eleven results featuring blacks smiling

○Visible taglines including: “What’s Life Really Like For Black,” “Being Black In America,” “Racism Grows in Places,” and “Facebook Has Problem With Black People.”

An Analysis of Search Results

An aggregate of the three “white” searches is unflattering for white people. Of the forty-six total results, a full eighteen (39%) of them depict non-whites, sometimes in roles of victimhood but usually in portrayals of independence and success. In and of itself, this is not bad, but it is misrepresentative of the intended search, and as we see, it is not replicated in reverse for other searches. For the whites themselves, just seven (15%) were represented in a positive light as indicated by smiling. Put another way, more than twice the amount of blacks were shown than whites in a positive way – in a search designed to produce white results, to begin with.

The taglines are especially egregious. Just two taglines suggest something positive (“Employment Helps White Men’s Health,” and another is assumed to be positive because it shows white women rallying against the death of a black woman at the hands of police). Two others are neutral in that they advertise white shirts. That leaves a full twenty-four taglines (52%) under an image of white people that states something negative or dangerous about them.

An aggregate of the three “black” searches has a different outcome. Of the forty-eight total results, fully 100% of those results came back with images of black people. In the same vein, none of the taglines suggest that blacks are dangerous or that anything blacks do is harmful to others (as is the case especially with white women, more on that anon).

Amazingly, twenty-nine taglines portray blacks as victims. Despite the overall happiness of blacks as seen through imagery, the titling of the pictures nevertheless contends that blacks must be seen as victims. The taglines refer to the problems being black in America, and several refer to their killings (always at the hands of whites or police, not other blacks, even though black homicide victims are killed by other blacks 90% of the time according to the FBI).

As it relates specifically to the query of white women, something striking is happening. There is clearly a concerted effort to portray white women as comfortably and cunningly subversive (Where is the feminist outrage, by the way?). Most headlines call out white women for a combination of their insincere efforts at allying with blacks, benefiting from white privilege, and to really hammer home a negative stereotype, fulfilling the role of a “Karen.” In the intersectional rat race, the irony is that a significant majority of all women marchers in January 2017 were [white and championing leftist causes](#). Two immalleable rules in life: You can’t please everyone, and the left always consumes its own.

It is obvious that the Marxists at Google have an agenda to pursue, namely that the narrative must be alive and well. White men and women are evil? Check. Are blacks simultaneously victims of white oppression and strong individuals? Check. The more subtle displays arise when looking at the positive and negative dispositions. In Nazi propaganda, Goebbels only had to compare [Jews to rats](#) for so long before most Germans went along with the lie. How long before a similar lie is believed here? More concerning, what happens after that?

The Wall Street Journal has undertaken a thorough [investigation](#) into how Google, despite its denials and disavowals, manipulates search results to influence what you learn and find online.

For years Google executives have insisted that they do not use human intelligence to arrange the results of online searches. Yet, the *Journal* found that, “Google’s algorithms are subject to regular tinkering from executives and engineers who are trying to deliver relevant search results, while also pleasing a wide variety of powerful interests and driving its parent company’s more than \$30 billion in annual profit.”

The *Journal* also found that, “Despite publicly denying doing so, Google keeps blacklists to remove certain sites or prevent others from surfacing in certain types of results.”

The tech giant also influences search results through its auto-complete feature prior to searching the internet. “In auto-complete, the feature that predicts search terms as the user types a query, Google’s engineers have created algorithms and blacklists to weed out more incendiary suggestions for controversial subjects, such as abortion or immigration, in effect filtering out inflammatory results on high-profile topics.”

In response to the investigation, a Google spokeswoman, Ms. Levin, told the *Journal*, “We do today what we have done all along, provide relevant results from the most reliable sources available.”

Google, which has more than 90% of the market share for online searches, is an extremely powerful company capable of controlling what people know and what they can learn online.

The *Journal* tested the word “abortion” in Google’s search engine and compared the results to Bing and DuckDuckGo’s results. According to the test, Planned Parenthood was featured in 39% of the results on the first page of Google’s results compared to 14% for Bing and 16% for DuckDuckGo.

Ms. Levin responded to the test by claiming that Google does not promote Planned Parenthood through its algorithm, but the available evidence suggests otherwise.

Google has also [been exposed](#) by a former staffer who spoke with the undercover investigative organization Project Veritas. The staffer, Zachary Vorhies, claimed that Google has a “news blacklist” document which censors conservative websites such as LifeNews.com, Newsbusters and the Media Research Center.

According to one researcher, Google even has the power to influence the results of an election. Indeed, they have already done so.

Dr. Robert Epstein, Ph.D., a senior research psychologist at the American Institute for Behavioral Research and Technology, is one of the lonely voices that has been speaking out about Google’s manipulation of search results. Dr. Epstein’s research has focused particularly on the impact of Google’s search result manipulation on elections.

In a [testimony](#) before the Senate Judiciary Committee in June of 2019, Dr. Epstein claimed that, “In 2016, biased search results generated by Google’s search algorithm likely impacted undecided voters in a way that gave at least 2.6 million votes to Hillary Clinton (whom I supported).”

Dr. Epstein also claimed that, “On Election Day in 2018, the “Go Vote” reminder Google displayed on its home page gave one political party between 800,000 and 4.6 million more votes than it gave the other party. In other words, Google’s “Go Vote” prompt was *not* a public service; it was a *vote manipulation*.”

The evidence Dr. Epstein provides is disconcerting, though not surprising.

In a leaked video following the election of President Donald Trump in 2016, Google executives held a staff meeting in which they conveyed significant remorse about the election results.

In the [video](#), Google co-founder Sergey Brin states, “I know this is probably not the most joyous TGIF (weekly meeting) we have had. Let’s face it, most people here are pretty upset and pretty sad because of the election.”

Brin goes on to say, “Myself, as an immigrant and refugee, I certainly find this election deeply offensive and I know many of you do too. And I think it’s a very stressful time, and it conflicts with many of our values.”

In the same meeting, Google CFO Ruth Porat broke down in tears recounting Hillary Clinton’s loss that Tuesday evening. After texting a friend who was at what would have been Clinton’s election night victory party, Porat said her friend responded, “People are leaving. Staff is crying. We’re going to lose.” With her voice trembling, Porat says, “Uh... that was first moment I really *felt* like we were going to lose, and it was this massive kick in the gut that we were going to lose.”

Numerous other examples of liberal bias among Google’s top executive team was demonstrated in the video, and what’s remarkable is that there is not a single moment of conservative opinion expressed. The support for Clinton and the sense of dread seemed to be unanimous.

It couldn’t be clearer that Google is an enormously influential company run by an entirely liberal executive team. And the *Journal’s* excellent investigative work further exposes their manipulative practices.

I think it’s past time we consider whether using Google as our primary search engine, which most Americans do, is wise.

Google, Inc., isn’t just the world’s biggest purveyor of information; it is also the world’s biggest censor.

The company maintains at least nine different blacklists that impact our lives, generally without input or authority from any outside advisory group, industry association or government agency. Google is not the only company suppressing content on the internet. Reddit has frequently been [accused](#) of banning postings on specific topics, and a [recent report](#) suggests that Facebook has been deleting conservative news stories from its newsfeed, a practice that might have a significant effect on public opinion – even on voting. Google, though, is currently the biggest bully on the block.

When Google’s employees or algorithms decide to block our access to information about a news item, political candidate or business, opinions and votes can shift, reputations can be ruined and businesses can crash and burn. Because online censorship is entirely unregulated at the moment, victims have little or no recourse when they have been harmed. Eventually, authorities will almost certainly have to step in, just as they did when [credit bureaus](#) were regulated in 1970. The alternative would be to allow a large corporation to wield an especially destructive kind of power that should be exercised with great restraint and should belong only to the public: the power to shame or exclude.

If Google were just another mom-and-pop shop with a sign saying "we reserve the right to refuse service to anyone," that would be one thing. But as the golden gateway to all knowledge, Google has rapidly become an essential in people's lives – nearly as essential as air or water. We don't let public utilities make arbitrary and secretive decisions about denying people services; we shouldn't let Google do so either.

Google venture capitalists and executives are exposed by looking up their names on www.pacer.gov. They have all been charged with sex trafficking, bribery, money laundering and revenge programs against their wives and business peers, ie:

<https://nypost.com/2021/08/20/google-founder-created-revenge-site-against-estranged-wife/>

“Google spies on competitors and steals their technology...”

“Google - Alphabet - YouTube stock is owned by almost all of the California politicians and their families and that is why Google - Alphabet - YouTube is never regulated and always protected by them for their political and profiteering manipulations...”

“Google runs tens of millions of dollars of defamation attacks against competitors...”

“Google hides all media and news coverage for competitors of Larry Page's boyfriend: Elon Musk...”

“Google lies to the public about what they really do with the public's data...”

“Google promotes illegal immigration in order to get cheap labor and control votes...”

“Google runs VC funding back-lists against start-ups that are competitive and has been sued by the feds over it...”

“Google bribes thousands of politicians in the USA, Europe and Asia...”

“Google is a criminal RICO-violating monopoly..”

“Google rigs the stock market with Flash-boy, Pump/Dump and Microblast SEC violating computer tricks..”

“Google pays bribes to politicians in Google and YouTube stock..”

“Google manipulates who gets to see what web-sites, globally, for competitor black-lists..”

“Google has a "no poaching" Silicon Valley jobs blacklist...”

“Google bosses sexually abuse women and young boys...”

“Google bosses run sex trafficking operations in the Epstein and NXVIUM sex cults...”

“Google bosses control the National Venture Capital Association financing cartel and order the black-listing of competitive start-ups...”

“Google has placed the majority of the corporate staff in the Obama White House...”

“Google controls national elections for anti-competitive purposes...”

*“The company **"Polyhop"**, in the HOUSE OF CARDS tv show, does all the crimes that Google actually does in reality...”*

“Google's law firms, like Wilson Sonsini, are corrupt conduits for payola and political conduit-relays...”

“Google bribes some politicians with revolving door jobs...”

“Google is primarily responsible for destroying the Bay Area Housing opportunities...”

“Google runs DDoS attacks on competitors by massively crawling their sites...”

“Google boss Andy Rubin runs a sex slave farm according to his own family...”

“Google boss Eric Schmidt was a philandering sex-penthouse owner according to vast news articles...”

“Google executives hire so many hookers that at least one of them, Mr. Forrest Hayes, was killed by his hooker...”

“Google executives sexually abuse so many women that the women staff of Google walked out one day...”

“In the 2009 White House, you could not swing a cat without hitting a Google insider...”

“Google has paid covert bribes, PAC funds, real estate and search rigging payola to every California Senator...”

“Google has paid bribes, through its lobby fronts, to halt FBI, SEC, FEC and FTC investigations of Google crimes...”

“Google was funded by the CIA, via In-Q-Tel, a so called "501 c3 charity" which was caught with tons of cocaine...”

“Google gets millions of dollars of taxpayer cash for spying on Americans inside the USA...”

“Google's map service was a spy system paid for by taxpayers money that Google now profits off of...”

“Nancy Pelosi and Dianne Feinstein have promised to "protect" Google because their families profit off Google stocks...”

“Payment receipts prove that Google and Gawker/Gizmodo exchanged cash and staff for Character Assassination attacks...”

“Google VC's and bosses have spent \$30M+ rigging the U.S. Patent Office to protect Google and harm Google competitors...”

See the documentary video at:

http://focus-book.com/public/The_Battle_to_Save_Inventing.m4v

http://newsplus007.com/public/The_Battle_to_Save_Inventing.m4v

...and hundreds of other streaming sites.

“Google bribed it's lawyer into position as head of the U.S. Patent office in order to have her protect Google...”

“To rig insider stock trades, Google hides negative Tesla stories and pumps positive Tesla stories on "push days" coordinated to manipulate the stock market...”

“Google and Elon Musk Co-own, co-invest and co-market stocks covertly while running anti-trust schemes...”

“Google rarely likes, or hires, black employees per federal and news media investigations...”

“Google hired most of the Washington, DC K Street lobby firms and told them to "do what ever they could"...”

*“The film: "**Miss Sloane**" (<https://www.imdb.com/title/tt4540710/>) depicts only 2% of the illicit lobbying tactics Google employs daily...”*

“Demands for an FTC and FBI raid of Google, for criminal activity, securities law and election felonies have been filed...”

“Google's David Drummond had his Woodside, CA Quail Road house bugged revealing sexual dirty deeds with Google employees, political manipulations and financial misdeeds...”

“Google, and it's Cartel (Alphabet, Youtube, and hundreds of other shell-company facades) are a

criminal organization engaged in felony-class crimes. Google's bosses bribe politicians, regulators and law enforcement officials to hold off prosecution. At Google: Kent Walker, Andy Rubin, Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, Sergey Brin, Jared Cohen, Yasmin Green, David Drummond and Ian Fette are so enmeshed in sex scandals, election manipulation, and White House bribes that it is hard to comprehend how they can get any legitimate work done..."

"Between all of the sex cult activity; hookers; rent boys; political bribes to Pelosi, Harris, Newson, and Feinstein; DDoS attacks they run; CIA and NSA stealth deals; privacy harvesting; Scientology-like employee indoctrination; cheap Asian labor; covert Axiom scams and other illicit things they get up to; one just has to wonder. Some of the largest political bribes in American or European history were paid via billions of dollars of pre-IPO cleantech stock, insider trading, real estate, Google search engine rigging and shadow-banning, sex workers, revolving door jobs, nepotism, state-supported black-listing of competitors and under-the-table cash. Why are these Silicon Valley Oligarchs and their K-Street law firms and lobbyists immune from the law?..."

"U.S. Senators, Agency Heads and Congress are bribed by Google intermediaries with: Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures stock and stock warrants which is never reported to the FEC; Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures search engine rigging and shadow-banning which is never reported to the FEC; Free rent; Male and female prostitutes; Cars; Dinners; Party Financing; Sports Event Tickets; Political campaign printing and mailing services "Donations"; Secret PAC Financing; Jobs in Corporations in Silicon Valley For The Family Members of Those Who Take Bribes And Those Who Take Bribes; "Consulting" contracts from McKinsey as fronted pay-off gigs; Overpriced "Speaking Engagements" which are really just pay-offs conduited for donors; Private jet rides and use of Government fuel depots (ie: Google handed out NASA jet fuel to staff); Real Estate; Fake mortgages; The use of Cayman, Boca Des Tores, Swiss and related money-laundering accounts; The use of HSBC, Wells Fargo, Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank money laundering accounts and covert stock accounts; Free spam and bulk mailing services owned by Silicon Valley corporations; Use of high tech law firms such as Perkins Coie, Wilson Sonsini, MoFo, Covington & Burling, etc. to conduit bribes to officials; and other means now documented by us, The FBI, the FTC, The SEC, The FEC and journalists..."

"Google and Youtube are based on technology and business models that Google and YouTube stole from small inventors who had launched other companies that were up and operating before YouTube or Google even existed as business operations. Google holds the record for the largest number of corporate sex scandals, abuses and sex trafficking charges..."

"There are only two kinds of people that work at Google: 1.) Cult indoctrinated naive kids with odd sexual quirks and 2.) divisive managers and executives who seek to exploit those eco-chambered employees for nefarious political and stock market manipulation purposes under the Scientology-like guise of "doing good things", when, in fact, they are engaged in horrific crimes against society. Google has hired almost every technology law firm in order to "conflict them out" from ever working to sue Google. If Google rapes you, robs your patents or does anything awful, you won't be able to find a

lawyer to help you. Most Google executives in control of Google have been indoctrinated by family dynasties to believe that any crime is justified by a bigger cause. Most of those executives are men. The few women in control of departments are figure-heads...”

“Google bosses attend the same parties and business meetings in which they collude, co-lobby, rig markets and make anti-trust violating plans together. Google is a private government with more money and power than most smaller nations. Google has more lobbyists bribing more politicians than any other company in America...”

“Jared Cohen and fashion show-horse Yasmin Green at Google had the job of over-throwing countries in the Middle East. They openly bragged about it. (<https://truthstreammedia.com/2013/06/02/googles-regime-change-agent-jared-cohen/>)... People that work at Google get paid \$260,000.00+ per year to lie, spy, manipulate politics, bribe politicians and engage in other crimes. For that kind of money, a person will do ANYTHING and rationalize it as "part of the higher cause"...”

"Google is the largest financier of the Obama political campaign and exceeded FEC campaign spending limits by tens of billions of dollars. Plaintiffs can prove this in a jury trial, a Grand Jury hearing and a live Congressional hearing. Google is the largest staffing source of the Obama Administration. Plaintiffs can prove this in a jury trial, a Grand Jury hearing and a live Congressional hearing. The largest number of laws and policy decisions, benefiting a single company and its investors, went to: Google. Plaintiffs can prove this in a jury trial, a Grand Jury hearing and a live Congressional hearing...”

“Google, and its investor's are the single largest beneficiary of the Obama Administration. Plaintiffs can prove this in a jury trial, a Grand Jury hearing and a live Congressional hearing...”

“The Obama Administration only won the White House because Google and Facebook engaged in the largest digital media and search engine manipulation in human history. Plaintiffs can prove this in a jury trial, a Grand Jury hearing and a live Congressional hearing...”

“Google, and its investors, during the Obama Administration, had most of their competitors denied funding, grants, contracts and tax waivers while Google's investors GOT funding. Plaintiffs can prove this in a jury trial, a Grand Jury hearing and a live Congressional hearing and prove that Google coordinated anti-trust violations with senior Obama Administration White House staff....”

“...Damore reveals that Google operates its staffing like a Scientology cult. They control their employees lives, information, transportation, free time, entertainment and social life. A Google life is a glass-bubble of echo-chamber extremist, hyper-sex-kink, reinforcement...”

[Google Deletes Videos Accusing It of Election Manipulation from YouTube... Which It Owns \(thefreethoughtproject.com\)](#)

by [fluxusp](#)

- [comments](#)

-

[With All These Big Tech Revelations, This Proves The 2018 Midterms Were Stolen. Devastating Project Veritas report sheds light on Google's collusion with Democrats. \(archive.fo\)](#)

by [GizaDog](#)

- [comments](#)

[GOOGLE EXECES PANIC! Go Into Hiding - Delete Social Media Accounts After James O'Keefe's Latest Exposé \(thegatewaypundit.com\)](#)

by [libman](#)

- [comments](#)

-

[White House Slams Google As Veritas Censorship Controversy Escalates \(bitchute.com\)](#)

by [strange_69](#)

- [comment](#)

[Google's NSA Again exposed For Unauthorized Collection Of Americans' Phone Records \(zerohedge.com\)](#)

by [Dirty Money](#)

- [comments](#)

[What exactly is google's business model besides selling ads no one clicks on and selling people's data to the NSA? \(AskVoat\)](#)

by [GIF-ILL-SONG](#)

- [comments](#)

[So the "russian hackers" meddling in the election was Google all along. Why isn't this the biggest story in America right now? None of the "trusted" news sources have commented on this at all. \(politics\)](#)

by [shadow332](#)

- [comments](#)

-

[Google stealthily infuses political agenda into products to prevent Trump reelection, insiders, documents say. \(theepochtimes.com\)](#)

by [Ex-Reddit](#)

- [comments](#)

-

[Google Chrome is Tracking Your Every Move and Storing It, This is How to Stop It \(thefreethoughtproject.com\)](#)

by [fluxusp](#)

- [comments](#)

-

[Google Chrome Has Become Surveillance Software, It's Time to Switch | \(archive.fo\)](#)

by [MyOnlyAccount](#)

- [comments](#)

[Project Veritas](#) (<https://www.projectveritas.com/>) has been lifting back the veil covering big tech companies and their nefarious activities following the 2016 election. They tried to play left-leaning-but-mostly-fair before the 2016 election, believing in their hearts that Hillary Clinton would be President without their concerted meddling. That didn't work out for them, so they are trying to prevent "another Trump situation" in 2020 by unabashedly [purging, silencing, and censoring](#) (<https://noqreport.com/2019/05/08/purge-censor-silence-tech-giants-go-full-orwell-prepare-2020-elections/>) on platforms like Facebook, Google, Twitter, Pinterest, Instagram, and YouTube.

(Article by Michio Hasai republished from [NOQReport.com](#))

The answers delivered today before Congress in response to questions by [Representative Dan Crenshaw](#) weren't the standard denials. They were politically manipulative answers designed to make it known they're doing what we've said they were doing all along, but they feel justified in doing it because "hate speech" must be stopped at all costs. Of course, what constitutes hate speech to the social justice warriors in big tech invariably circles around conservative thought. There is no form of hate speech short of physical threats that can be attributed to progressives, at least not in the minds of the people who control big tech. If conservatives are aggressive, they're delivering hate speech. If progressives are aggressive, they're just being truthful. That's what big tech thinks.

This is the worst-case scenario for conservatives. Before, we could call them liars and cheats. Now, we have to fight them on an ideological level, and while we have the truth on Plaintiffs side, they have the technology. They have the eyeballs. They control what people see and don't see. And as such, they can no longer be trusted to deliver anything even remotely close to fair and balanced. They're unhinged

from reality, but instead of coming back to reality once exposed, they're building a new reality around their ideologies.

Russia may arrest Google employees for running Google as a manipulative service containing hidden political insertions affecting the human subconscious

- Russian government will now arrest those who try to 'control minds' via mass web manipulation
- Google was created to push liberal leftist political messages on the subconscious
- **Research exposes Google as insidious mind-control political shill**
- No matter your political persuasion, it is unfair and manipulative for Google to control minds ANY political purposes

By [Sophie Tanno For Mailonline](#)

A Russian journalist has been accused of 'controlling minds' and 'affecting the human subconscious' after referencing George Orwell's 1984 in an article.

Mikhail Romanov, a reporter for the Yakutsk Vecherniy weekly, was writing a story on the alleged torture of an academic.

Police in [Russia](#)'s republic of Sakha charged Romanov after they suspected him of trying to tap into the readers' sub-conscience, Russian newspaper [Kommersant](#) reported.

Mikhail Romanov, a reporter for the Yakutsk Vecherniy weekly, was writing a story on the alleged torture of an academic (stock)

A Russian journalist has been accused of 'controlling minds' and 'affecting the human subconscious' after referencing George Orwell's 1984 (pictured) in an article

Romanov's editor told the publication: 'This is a story about how anyone can be squashed by the government machine.

'It's also about how Big Brother is watching, reading all comments on online forums.'

This is understood to be the first time a journalist will be tried under Russia's new legislation punishing those who are perceived to have published information 'containing hidden insertions affecting the human subconscious. '

[**2020 Election; Subliminal Google Messages to Alter Outcome ...**](#)

 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LBmByyFkRlo>

Google, Facebook, Amazon, Microsoft, and Apple: these companies, the big 5, know almost everything about your life. They know what websites you go to, what y...

MSNBC segment on Hidden and Subliminal Messages Found In ...

2020 Election; Subliminal Google Messages to Alter Outcome?

 <https://www.zachdrewshow.com/episodes/2020-election-subliminal-google-messages-to-alter-outcome/>

Google manipulates your searches for you to be subconsciously swayed — let that sink in. We are dealing with that today. 2020 Election: Who Decides? **Google** meddling with the 2020 election? We will cover it, but also go back in history and explain that this is NOT a new development. Manipulation, deception: It starts often as **subliminal**.

Subliminal Messaging | Owlcation

 <https://owlcation.com/social-sciences/Subliminal-Messaging>


Subliminal messages are perceived by the unconscious brain. There is not as much **subliminal** messaging happening in the US now as previously reported, but there could be subtle **messages** that are received unconsciously. Messaging has probably been used by or **political** operatives, yet it may not work.

7 Sneaky Subliminal Messages Hidden in Ads | Mental Floss

 mentalfloss.com/article/67223/7-sneaky-subliminal-messages-hidden-ads

The FCC fielded the incident, and subsequently condemned such tactics as being "contrary to the public interest"; it's believed to be the first example of **subliminal** advertising on television.

17 Subliminal Messages You'd Never Notice in Everyday Life ...

 https://www.cracked.com/photoplasty_386_17-subliminal-messages-youd-never-notice-in-everyday-life/

17 **Subliminal Messages** You'd Never Notice in Everyday Life ... Twitter. **Google** Plus. Stumble Upon. ... We asked you to show us your inner-Banksy by adding **subliminal** ...

11 Shocking Messages Hidden In Your Childhood Cartoons

 <https://www.therichest.com/expensive-lifestyle/entertainment/11-shocking-messages-hidden-in-your-childhood-cartoons/>

11 Shocking **Messages** Hidden In Your Childhood Cartoons. The creative animators and screenwriters often insert **subliminal messages** into their work, and the examples can sometimes be more than unusual. Sexism, **political messages**, conspiracy theories and hidden inappropriate jokes are found in numerous cartoons that we all grew up with.

[What Are Subliminal Messages And Do They Work?](https://allthatsinteresting.com/what-are-subliminal-messages)

 <https://allthatsinteresting.com/what-are-subliminal-messages>

Subliminal messages, on the other hand, are likewise real and similar to supraliminal **messages** except that the signal or stimulus is below Plaintiffs threshold of conscious awareness. In other words, you cannot consciously perceive a **subliminal message**, even if you search for it.

Google likely ‘thoroughly infiltrated’ by Chinese govt., expert warns

([LifeSiteNews](#)) – Tech billionaire Peter Thiel recently called on the federal government to investigate Google for potential infiltration by the Chinese government, and now security experts are saying his concerns are well-founded.

Thiel, one of President Donald Trump’s most high-profile [gay supporters](#) and an [avowed critic](#) of Silicon Valley, made the remarks at last weekend’s National Conservatism Conference, [Axios reports](#). He called on the FBI and CIA to ask Google, “how many foreign intelligence agencies have infiltrated your Manhattan Project for AI”; “does Google’s senior management consider itself to have been thoroughly infiltrated by Chinese intelligence”; and whether this alleged infiltration is why the company works with China’s military but not America’s.

“I’m not sure quite how to put this, I would like them to be asked [these questions] in a not excessively gentle manner,” Thiel added.

Alex Stamos, a researcher with the Stanford Internet Observatory and [former chief security officer at Facebook](#), (<https://www.nytimes.com/2018/03/19/technology/facebook-alex-stamos.html>) said Tuesday it was “completely reasonable” to assume that both the Chinese and Russian governments have, in some form or another, already infiltrated not only Google but every top tech company:

Note that “subverted” is very different than planting professional spies in “The Americans” style. Each of the big companies employs thousands of employees with family members under the control of these countries, and a gov request might be simple and seem borderline reasonable.

Stamos predicted that the “next couple of years” would see the revelation of a “major combined HUMINT/InfoSec [human intelligence/information security] attack against a major tech company.”

He’s not the only one who advises that Thiel’s warnings be taken seriously. Richard Clarke, a former counterterrorism and cybersecurity advisor to both Democrat and Republican presidents, [told CNBC](#) (<https://www.cnbc.com/2019/07/17/ex-white-house-advisor-richard-clarke-thiel-right-on-google-and-china.html>) there was cause for concern.

“Here’s what I think is true: Google refused to work for the Pentagon on artificial intelligence,” Clarke said. “If you turn around and you work on artificial intelligence in China, and you don’t really know what they’re going to do with that, I think there’s an issue.”

The internet giant has denied working with the Chinese military, but opened an artificial intelligence center in Shanghai in 2017 despite the Communist regime’s strict speech and internet controls. On

Tuesday, Google executive Karan Bhatia [testified](#) (<https://www.technologyreview.com/f/613975/google-has-terminated-dragonfly-project-to-build-a-search-engine-for-china/>) to the Senate Judiciary Committee that the company has terminated a [controversial](#) (<https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/google-researcher-resigns-in-protest-of-companys-plan-to-aid-censorship>) censored search engine it had been working on for China.

Clarke added that there was no meaningful distinction between Google working with Chinese companies and the Chinese government, given the level of state control in the country.

The specter of foreign influence on the tech industry further intensifies its ongoing controversies regarding [political bias and censorship](#) (<https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/google-denies-blacklisting-under-oath-despite-leaked-docs-showing-otherwise>) and [violations of user privacy](#) (<https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/facebooks-5-billion-fine-for-privacy-scandals-too-low-democrats-complain>) . Responding to Thiel's original comments, President Trump [said](#) (<https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1151095675213553664>) that his administration will “take a look” at the matter.

[\[-\] gazillions](#)

“Google being biased is glaringly obvious even to a retard. Google forcing their employees to support them politically is a human rights violation. The old railroad barons a hundred years ago were bad people, but no where near as abusive as google is to the employees. The old railroad tycoons had their supporters too who thought strikers and protesters against their tyranny were awful people. Silicon Valley just indoctrinated their followers and employees by brainwashing them first like any cult does. "Do no evil" was always a smarmy block of shit in pixels, no different than the purple dinosaur singing "I love you, you love me". The purple dinosaur never loved you one little bit. It was a lie, and parents had no business being such gullible suckers and allowing their children to be lied to by an actor in a fuzzy suit...”

- <https://voat.co/v/news/3348671/19866570>

[\[-\] geraldo-0](#)

forcing their employees to support them politically is a human rights violation.

> *Civil and political rights are a class of rights that **protect individuals' freedom** from infringement by governments, social organizations, and private individuals. They ensure one's **entitlement to** participate in the **civil and political** life of the society and state **without discrimination or repression.***

*From the first time they censored, shadow-banned people who opposed their way of viewing world, far-left, SJW, leftards has put cornerstone to **civil rights movement 2.0**, including people who are at the rock bottom and uppermost stairs of oppression. Clearly, this is infringement of rights by gov and private entities, which has been ignored from by the time it was apparent that such violation is well spread and not a unique case.*

Those at silicon valley had no idea that their attempt to have conservative and alike voice removed/deplatformed is **someway resemble how "white" and "colored" segregation works**; which is no brainer considering that they did not pay any attention to "obscure" details and only remember famous persons who was oppressed at that time.

So, don't spout only "Hate speech is Freee speech". Tell them that when some peers mock center-right personalities by reenacting what happened prior to Civil Rights Act of 1968, throwing liquids to nigger who ignored the sign, isn't a good joke since **political affiliation is covered in protected class** in District of Columbia, IA, WV state, which put them as the white fellas and anyone who didn't entirely agree with them as the blacks in this reenactment.

If anyone reading this is somehow connected to parliament from local council to state, **consider amending the laws to add political affiliation as one of class covered by "(unfounded)hate crimes"**. We won't need to see bike lock attacker and his copycat got sweetheart deals. Share this to Trump, since who doesn't love for shit and giggles watching leftards kvetching having cognitive dissonance episode explaining why adding another class would hurt other classes that already existed in last statue”

In creating Google, Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, Sergy Brin and Rajeev Motwani worked out a way for get government cash to fund a political manipulation company which only manipulated politics that put profits in the pockets of Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, Sergy Brin and Rajeev Motwani.

The founders knew they could spy on every voter and control those voters without their knowledge. In an epic web security study, it was revealed that Google exploited the following:

“...You probably can't imagine the second-by-second dangers and harms that Google's empire uses modern electronics, like your phone and tablet, are causing to your life, your income, your privacy, your beliefs, your human rights, your bank account records, your political data, your job, your brand name, your medical data, your dating life, your reputation and other crucial parts of your life.

Any use of a dating site, Google or Facebook product, social media site, movie site, or anything that you log in to, puts you at substantial risk. Remember: "**if it has a plug, it has a bug**". Every electronic device can be easily made to spy on you in ways you cannot possibly imagine.

The Take-Aways:

- Stalkers can find you by zooming in on your pupil reflection images in your online photos (<https://www.kurzweilai.net/reflected-hidden-faces-in-photographs-revealed-in-pupil>)
- If you send email overseas or make phone calls overseas all of your communications, and those with anybody else, are NSA monitored (<https://www.privacytools.io/>)
- Bad guys take a single online photo of you and put it in software that instantly builds a dossier on you by finding where every other photo of you is that has ever been posted online (<https://www.aclu.org/blog/privacy-technology/surveillance-technologies/apples-use-face-recognition-new-iphone>)

- Face-tracking software for stalking you on Match.com and OK Cupid is more effective than even FBI software for hunting bank robbers (<https://www.cnet.com/news/clearview-app-lets-strangers-find-your-name-info-with-snap-of-a-photo-report-says/>)
- Any glass, metal or ceramic object near you can be reflecting your voice or image to digital beam scanners that can relay your voice or image anywhere in the world
- All your data from any hotel you stay at will eventually be hacked and leaked ([Info of 10 MILLION MGM guests including Justin Bieber and TWITTER CEO leaked online!](#))
- Your voting data will be used to spy on you and harm you ([Every voter in Israel just had their data leaked in 'grave' security breach...](#))
- Lip-reading software can determine what you are saying from over a mile away (<https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2020/01/20/russian-police-use-spy-camera-film-opposition-activist-bedroom/>)
- Every Apple iPhone and other smart-phone has over 1000 ways to bug you, listen to you, track you and record your daily activities even when you think you have turned off the device. Never leave your battery in your phone. ([LEAKED DOCS: Secretive Market For Your Web History...](#))(Every Search. Every Click. On Every Site...)
- Elon Musk's SpaceX StarLink satellites are spy satellites that send your data to Google and other tech companies (<https://www.chieftain.com/news/20200118/first-drones-now-unexplained-lights-reported-in-horsetooth>)
- Google and Facebook have all of your medical records and they are part of a political operation (<https://www.wsj.com/articles/hospitals-give-tech-giants-access-to-detailed-medical-records-11579516200>)
- Every dating site, comments section and social media site sends your private data, covertly, to government, political campaigns and corporate analysis groups and can also be hacked by anyone.
- Any hacker can hack ANY network with even a single Intel, Cisco, Juniper Networks or AMD motherboard on it and nobody can stop them unless they destroy the motherboard because the backdoors are built into the hardware. Many of the companies you think are providing security are secretly owned by the Chinese government spy agencies or the CIA (<https://boingboing.net/2020/02/11/cia-secretly-owned-worlds-to.html>)
- Warehouses in Nigeria, Russia, Ukraine, Sao Paolo, China and hundreds of other regions, house tens of thousands of hackers who work around the clock to try to hack you and manipulate your data.
- Every red light camera, Walmart/Target/Big Box camera and every restaurant camera goes off to networks that send your activities to credit companies, collection companies, political parties and government agencies (['Homeland Security' using location data from apps to track millions of people...](#))
- Match.com, OKCupid and Plenty of Fish are also DNC voter analysis services that read your texts and keep your profiles forever
- If you don't put fake ages, addresses, phone numbers and disposable email addresses on ANY form you fill out electronically, it will haunt you forever (<https://www.the-sun.com/news/284784/pornstar-data-breach-massive-leak-bank-details/>)
- Every train, plane and cruise line records you constantly and checks the covert pictures they take of you against global databases. Corporations grab your collateral private data that those Princess

Cruises and United Airlines companies take and use them to build files on you (<https://www.silive.com/news/2020/01/report-new-app-can-id-strangers-with-a-single-photo.html>)

- The people who say "nobody would be interested in me" are the most at risk because their naiveté puts them at the top-of-the-list for targeting and harvesting (<https://www.cnet.com/news/clearview-app-lets-strangers-find-your-name-info-with-snap-of-a-photo-report-says/>)

- Silicon Valley tech companies don't care about your rights, they care about enough cash for their executives to buy hookers and private islands with. Your worst enemy is the social media CEO. They have a hundred thousand programmers trying to figure out more and more extreme ways to use your data every day and nobody to stop them

- The government can see everywhere you went to in the last year (<https://www.protocol.com/government-buying-location-data>)

There have been over 15,000 different types of hacks used against over 3 billion "average" consumers. EVERY one of them thought they were safes and that nobody would hack them because "nobody cared about them". History has proven every single one of them to have been totally wrong!

If you are smart, and you read the news, you will know that you should ditch all of your electronic devices and "data-poison" any information about you that touches a network by only putting fake info in all conceivable forms and entries on the internet. You, though, may be smart but lazy, like many, and not willing to step outside of the bubble of complacency that corporate advertising has surrounded you with.

Did you know that almost every dating and erotic site sends your most private life experiences and chat messages to Google's and Facebook's investors? <https://www.businessinsider.com/facebook-google-quietly-tracking-porn-you-watch-2019-7>

Do you really want all of those Silicon Valley oligarchs that have been charged with sexual abuse and sex trafficking to know that much about you?

Never, Ever, put your real information on Youtube, Netflix, LinkedIn, Google, Twitter, Comcast, Amazon and any similar online service because it absolutely, positively will come back and harm you!

Always remember: Anybody that does not like you can open, read and take any photo, data, email or text on EVERY phone, computer, network or electronic device you have ever used no matter how "safe" you think your personal or work system is! They can do this in less than a minute. Also: Hundreds of thousands of hackers scan every device, around the clock, even if they never heard of you, and will like your stuff just for the fun of causing trouble. Never use an electronic device unless you encrypt, hide and code your material! One of the most important safety measures you can take is to review the security info at: <https://www.privacytools.io/>

Those people who think: "I have nothing to worry about..I am not important" ARE the people who get hacked the most. Don't let naivete be your downfall. (<https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2019/07/when-will-we-get-full-truth-about-how-and-why-government-using-facial-recognition>)

All of your info on Target, Safeway, Walgreens has been hacked and read by many outsiders. NASA, The CIA, The NSA, The White House and all of the federal background check files have been hacked. The U.S. Government has been hacked hundreds of times. All of the dating sites have been hacked and their staff read all of your messages. Quest labs blood test data and sexual information reports have been hacked and published to the world. There is no database that can't be easily hacked. Every computer system with Intel, AMD, Juniper Networks, Cisco and other hardware in it can be hacked in seconds with the hardware back-doors soldered onto their electronic boards. All of the credit reporting bureaus have been hacked. Wells Fargo bank is constantly hacked. YOU ARE NOT SAFE if you put information on a network. NO NETWORK is safe! No Silicon Valley company can, or will, protect your data; mostly because they make money FROM your data!

Every single modern cell phone and digital device can be EASILY taken over by any hacker and made to spy on you, your family, your business and your friends in thousands of different ways. Taking over the microphone is only a small part of the ways a phone can be made to spy on you. Your phone can record your location, you voice vibrations, your mood, your thoughts, your sexual activity, your finances, your photos, your contacts (who it then goes off and infects) and a huge number of other things that you don't want recorded.

[Privacy watchdog under pressure to recommend facial recognition ban...](#)

[Alarming Rise of Smart Camera Networks...](#)

[AMAZON's Ring Doorbell Secretly Shares Private User Data With FACEBOOK...](#)

The worst abusers of your privacy, personal information, politics and psychological information intentions are: Google, Facebook, Linkedin, Amazon, Netflix, Comcast, AT&T, Xfinity, Match.com & the other IAC dating sites, Instagram, Uber, Wells Fargo, Twitter, Paypal, Hulu, Walmart, Target, YouTube, PG&E, The DNC, Media Matters, Axiom, and their subsidiaries. Never, ever, put accurate information about yourself on their online form. Never, ever, sign in to their sites using your real name, phone, address or anything that could be tracked back to you.

If you don't believe that every government hacks citizens in order to destroy the reputation of anyone who makes a public statement against the current party in power then read the public document at:

<https://www.cia.gov/library/readingroom/docs/CIA-RDP89-01258R000100010002-4.pdf>

That document shows you, according to the U.S. Congress, how far things can go.

A program called ACXIX hunts down all of your records from your corner pharmacy, your taxi rides, your concert tickets, your grocery purchases, what time you use energy at your home, your doctor records...and all kinds of little bits of info about you and puts that a file about you. That file about you keeps growing for the rest of your life. That file sucks in other files from other data harvesting sites like Facebook and Google: FOREVER. The information in that file is used to try to control your politics and ideology.

In recent science studies cell phones were proven to exceed radiation safety limits by as high as 11 times the 2-decade old allowable U.S. radiation limits when phones touch the body. This is one of thousands of great reasons to always remove the battery from your cell phone when you are not talking on it. A phone without a battery in it can't spy on you and send your data to your enemies.

If you are reading this notice, the following risks apply to you, Thanks to the Silicon Valley oligarchs:

1. EVERY network is known to contain Intel, Cisco, Juniper Networks, AMD, QualComm and other hardware which has been proven to contain back-door hard-coded access to outside parties. This is a proven, inarguable fact based on court records, FISA data, IT evidence, national news broadcasts, Congressional presented evidence and inventory records, ie: Krebs On Security, FireEye, ICIJ, Wikileaks Vault 9, EU, Global IT services, FBI.

2. Chinese, Russian FSB, Iranian and other state-sponsored hacking services as well as 14 year old domestic boys are able to easily enter your networks, emails and digital files because of this. They can enter your network at any time, with less than 4 mouse clicks, using software available to anyone. This is a proven, inarguable fact based on court records, FISA data, IT evidence and inventory records, ie: Krebs On Security, FireEye, ICIJ, Wikileaks Vault 9, EU, Global IT services, FBI.

3. Your financial office is aware of these facts and has chosen not to replace all of the at-risk equipment, nor sue the manufacturers who sold your organization this at risk equipment. They believe that the hassle and cost of replacement and litigation is more effort than the finance department is willing to undertake. This is a proven, inarguable fact based on court records, FISA data, IT evidence, national news broadcasts, Congressional presented evidence and inventory records, ie: Krebs On Security, FireEye, ICIJ, Wikileaks Vault 9, EU, Global IT services, FBI.

4. In addition to the existing tools that were on the internet, in recent years, foreign hackers have released all of the key hacking software that the CIA, DIA and NSA built to hack into any device. These software tools have already been used hundreds of times. Now the entire world has access to these tools which are freely and openly posted across the web. This is a proven, inarguable fact based on court records, FISA data, IT evidence, national news broadcasts, Congressional presented evidence and inventory records, ie: Krebs On Security, FireEye, ICIJ, Wikileaks Vault 9, EU, Global IT services, FBI.

5. The computers, servers, routers, cell phones, IP cameras, IP microphones, Smart Meters, Tesla's, "Smart Devices:", etc. and other devices openly broadcast their IP data and availability on the internet. In other words, many of your device broadcast a "HERE I AM" signal that can be pinged, scanned, spidered, swept or, otherwise, seen, like a signal-in-the-dark from anywhere on Earth and from satellites overhead. Your devices announce that they are available to be hacked, to hackers. This is a proven, inarguable fact based on court records, FISA data, IT evidence, national news broadcasts,

Congressional presented evidence and inventory records, ie: Krebs On Security, FireEye, ICIJ, Wikileaks Vault 9, EU, Global IT services, FBI.

6. It is bad policy for your organization, or any organization, to think they are immune or have IT departments that can stop these hacks. NASA, The CIA, The White House, EQUIFAX, The Department of Energy, Target, Walmart, American express, etc. have been hacked hundreds of times. This is a proven, inarguable fact based on court records, FISA data, IT evidence, national news broadcasts, Congressional presented evidence and inventory records, ie: Krebs On Security, FireEye, ICIJ, Wikileaks Vault 9, EU, Global IT services, FBI.

7. The thinking: “Well, nobody would want to hack us”, or “We are not important enough to get hacked” is the most erroneous and negligent thinking one could have in the world today. Chinese, Russian and Iranian spy agencies have a global “Facebook for blackmail” and have been sucking up the data of every entity on Earth for over a decade. If the network was open, they have the data and are always looking for more. The same applies to Google and Facebook who have based their entire business around domestic spying and data re-sale. This is a proven, inarguable fact based on court records, FISA data, IT evidence, national news broadcasts, Congressional presented evidence and inventory records, ie: Krebs On Security, FireEye, ICIJ, Wikileaks Vault 9, EU, Global IT services, FBI.

8. You are a “Stepping Stone” doorway to other networks and data for targeted individuals and other entities. Your networks provide routes into other people’s networks. The largest political industry today is called “Doxing” and “Character Assassination”. Billions of dollars are expended by companies such as IN-Q-Tel - (DNC); Gawker Media - (DNC); Jalopnik - (DNC); Gizmodo Media - (DNC); K2 Intelligence - (DNC); WikiStrat - (DNC); Podesta Group - (DNC); Fusion GPS - (DNC/GOP); Google - (DNC); YouTube - (DNC); Alphabet - (DNC); Facebook - (DNC); Twitter - (DNC); Think Progress - (DNC); Media Matters - (DNC); Black Cube - (DNC); Mossad - (DNC); Correct The Record - (DNC); Sand Line - (DNC/GOP); Blackwater - (DNC/GOP); Stratfor - (DNC/GOP); ShareBlue - (DNC); Wikileaks (DNC/GOP); Cambridge Analytica - (DNC/GOP); Sid Blumenthal- (DNC); David Brock - (DNC); PR Firm Sunshine Sachs (DNC); Covington and Burling - (DNC), BuzzFeed - (DNC) Perkins Coie - (DNC); Wilson Sonsini - (DNC) and hundreds of others to harm others that they perceive as political, personal or competitive threats. Do not under-estimate your unintended role in helping to harm others. This is a proven, inarguable fact based on court records, FISA data, IT evidence, national news broadcasts, Congressional presented evidence and inventory records, ie: Krebs On Security, FireEye, ICIJ, Wikileaks Vault 9, EU, Global IT services, FBI.

9. NEVER believe that you are too small to be noticed by hackers. Parties who believe that are the hackers favorite targets. This is a proven, inarguable fact based on court records, FISA data, IT evidence, national news broadcasts, Congressional presented evidence and inventory records, ie: Krebs On Security, FireEye, ICIJ, Wikileaks Vault 9, EU, Global IT services, FBI.

10. NEVER believe that because the word “DELL” or “IBM” or “CISCO” is imprinted on the plastic cover of some equipment that you are safe. Big brands are targeted by every spy agency on Earth and are the MOST compromised types of equipment. This is a proven, inarguable fact based on court records, FISA data, IT evidence, national news broadcasts, Congressional presented evidence and inventory records, ie: Krebs On Security, FireEye, ICIJ, Wikileaks Vault 9, EU, Global IT services, FBI.

11. YOU may not personally care about getting exposed but the person, or agency, you allow to get exposed will be affected for the rest of their lives and they will care very much and could sue you for destroying them via negligence. Be considerate of others in your “internet behavior”. Do not put anything that could hurt another on any network, ever. This is a proven, inarguable fact based on court records, FISA data, IT evidence, national news broadcasts, Congressional presented evidence and inventory records, ie: Krebs On Security, FireEye, ICIJ, Wikileaks Vault 9, EU, Global IT services, FBI.

12. Never post your real photograph online, or on a dating site social media or on any network. There are thousands of groups who scan every photo on the web and cross check those photos in their massive databases to reveal your personal information via every other location your photo is posted. These "image harvesters" can find out where you, who your friends and enemies are and where your kids are in minutes using comparative image data that they have automated and operating around the clock. This is a proven, inarguable fact based on court records, FISA data, IT evidence, national news broadcasts, Congressional presented evidence and inventory records, ie: Krebs On Security, FireEye, ICIJ, Wikileaks Vault 9, EU, Global IT services, FBI.

13. If you think using web security measures like this makes you "paranoid", then think again. Cautious and intelligent people use these security measures because these dangers are proven in the news headlines daily. Uninformed, naive and low IQ people are the types of people who do not use good web hygiene and who suffer because they are not cautious and are not willing to consider the consequences of their failure to read the news and stay informed.

‘Gotham’ software written by Palantir shows how government agencies, or anybody, can use very little information to obtain quick access to anyone’s personal minutiae....”

Google is a criminally corrupt organization:

From INSIDE Google, Plaintiffs team saw Google manipulate the entire internet to hype up Larry Page's "boyfriend": Elon Musk and Tesla, which Google execs owned a portion of, while sabotaging Tesla's competitors. Google illicitly and illegally timed these manipulations with stock market pump-and-dump efforts to exploit insider trading. That is a felony violation of RICO, Antitrust and other laws. Every single thing that Google does is contrived to harm a competitor, a politician, an employee whistle-blower or some other business adversary. There are no "bugs", "operator errors", "server

anomalies" or other media "accidents" at Google. Everything Google does is contrived, at a psychological warfare kind of level, to change a social perception.

Google must show its software to FBI, SEC, FTC and Plaintiffs search engine optimization experts to prove that they did not engage in these crimes. The fact is: Plaintiffs can prove they did the crimes and FBI experts can help us prove it!

In a similar case unfolding in Britain over whether Google wrongly demoted price comparison rival Foundem from its search results in favour of paid-for adverts, Google must now decide which it values more: the algorithms that rank its search results, or its stance that manually fiddling with those results to promote its own paid-for products over rivals' sites doesn't break competition laws.

High Court judge Mr Justice Roth [posed the stark question](https://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Ch/2020/657.html) (<https://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Ch/2020/657.html>) to Google's lawyers in mid-March, just as the global coronavirus situation began triggering governmental responses in the West.

Foundem had asked for legal permission to bring in independent expert Philipp Klöckner to read confidential documents disclosed by Google in court.

Those documents were court exhibits filed by Google engineers Cody Kwok and Michael Pohl. They sought, as the judge put it, "to explain the operation and aims of Google's ranking algorithms, and how they have been applied to shopping comparison sites generally and Foundem in particular".

Foundem has been pursuing Google since 2006, when [a flip of the switch at Mountain View](https://www.theregister.co.uk/2009/11/19/google_hand_of_god/) (https://www.theregister.co.uk/2009/11/19/google_hand_of_god/) caused the price-comparison site to vanish down Google's search rankings. Foundem argues that Google's demotion of it was a deliberate act to penalise a commercial rival and an abuse of Google's dominant market position.

Google denies this and is defending a High Court claim from Foundem as well as an EU competition investigation triggered by the website. The High Court case is stuck at a very early stage, despite having been filed in 2012, [thanks to the EU investigation](https://www.theregister.co.uk/2015/04/02/lawsuit_against_google_delayed_by_eu_commiss/). (https://www.theregister.co.uk/2015/04/02/lawsuit_against_google_delayed_by_eu_commiss/)

Foundem's lawyers, the company argued in the High Court, wouldn't be able to understand the technical algorithm evidence without having an SEO expert on hand. While not disputing this, Google strongly objected to Klöckner because he is a working SEO consultant: the Chocolate Factory is terrified of the SEO industry getting a proper glimpse under the bonnet and seeing how the search engine really operates. It told Mr Justice Roth:

The integrity of Google's ranking processes relies upon all webmasters or website owners having the same degree of access to information about Google's ranking... This will no longer be the case if information of this kind is made available to some individuals offering commercial services to assist companies to improve their Search ranking.


It also claimed Klöckner was potentially biased against it because he had done work for Trivago and Visual Meta, two firms that previously complained to the EU Commission about Google's anti-competitive practices.

Foundem suggested Google could simply withdraw the evidence so nobody would need to read it, while Google dug in and insisted the evidence was vital to help prove its case that nothing bad was done here. Thus Mr Justice Roth gave the adtech monolith a choice. Either it could withdraw the evidence as Foundem suggested, or it could agree to let Klöckner read the algorithm papers. The SEO expert would be given legal permission to enter two so-called confidentiality rings where he could read unredacted copies of the documents and talk to lawyers about them.

"If Google maintains its present course, then for the reasons I have explained I will grant the application that Mr Klöckner be admitted to those two rings until further order," said the judge! Plaintiffs are strongly advocating for full Court review of Google's manipulations in every possible Court.

Google is a criminal operation. It's executives have been publicly exposed as participants in horrific sex scandals, money laundering, political bribery and racism. It is time for the bought and paid shill politicians to stop protecting them!

One of the "Godfather's" of the Silicon Valley Cartel is Eric Schmidt. He is typical of the Cartel bosses that know each other, date each other, party together, use the same lawyers, get involved in the same sex scandals and lawsuits and pay stock bribes to the same politicians.



In July 2016, Raymond Thomas, a four-star general and head of the U.S. Special Operations Command, hosted a guest: Eric Schmidt, the chairman of Google.

General Thomas, who served within the 1991 gulf war and deployed many times to Afghanistan, spent the higher half of a day showing Mr. Schmidt around Special Operations Command's headquarters in Tampa, Fla. They scrutinized prototypes for a robotic exoskeleton suit and joined operational briefings, which Mr. Schmidt needed to study extra about as a result of he had recently begun advising the military on technology.

After the go-to, as they rode in a Chevy Suburban towards an airport, the conversation turned to a form of artificial intelligence.

"You absolutely suck at machine learning," Mr. Schmidt informed General Thomas, the officer recalled. "If I got under your tent for a day, I could solve most of your problems." General Thomas said he was so offended that he needed to throw Mr. Schmidt out of the car, however refrained.

Four years later, Mr. Schmidt, 65, has channeled his blunt assessment of the military's tech failings into a private campaign to revamp America's defense forces with extra engineers, extra software program and extra A.I. In the method, the tech billionaire, who [left Google last year](#). (<https://www.nytimes.com/2019/04/30/business/alphabet-board-eric-schmidt-diane-greene.html>) has reinvented himself because of the prime liaison between Silicon Valley and the national security community.

Mr. Schmidt now sits on two government advisory boards aimed toward bounce beginning technological innovation in the Defense Department. His confidants embrace former Secretary of State Henry Kissinger and ex-Deputy Defense Secretary Robert Work. And by means of his personal enterprise capital agency and a \$13 billion fortune, Mr. Schmidt has invested millions of {dollars} into more than half a dozen defense start-ups.

In an interview, Mr. Schmidt — by turns thoughtful, pedagogical and hubristic — stated he had embarked on an effort to modernize the U.S. military because it was "stuck in software in the 1980s."

He portrayed himself as a successful technologist who didn't consider in retirement and [who owed a debt to the country](#) (<https://www.nytimes.com/2020/02/27/opinion/eric-schmidt-ai-china.html>) for his wealth — and who now had time and perception to resolve one of America's hardest issues. The purpose, he stated, "should be to have as many software companies to supply software of many, many different kinds: military, H.R. systems, email systems, things which involve military intelligence, weapons systems and what have you."

Mr. Schmidt is urgent ahead with a Silicon Valley worldview the place advances in software program and A.I. are the keys to determining nearly any situation. While that philosophy has led to [social networks that spread disinformation](#) (<https://www.nytimes.com/2018/11/14/technology/facebook->

data-russia-election-racism.html) and different unintended penalties, Mr. Schmidt stated he was convinced that making use of new and comparatively untested technology to complex conditions — together with lethal ones — would make service members extra environment friendly and bolster the United States in its competition with China.

His techno-solutionism is difficult by his ties to Google. Though Mr. Schmidt left the corporate's board final June and has no official working function, he holds \$5.3 billion in shares of Google's parent, Alphabet. He also stays on the payroll as an adviser, incomes a \$1 annual wage, with two assistants stationed at Google's Silicon Valley headquarters.

That has led to allegations that Mr. Schmidt is placing Google's financial pursuits forward of different concerns in his protection work. Late final yr, a federal court ordered a congressional advisory committee he leads to flip over data that would make clear whether or not Mr. Schmidt had advocated his business interests whereas heading the group.

Mr. Schmidt stated he had adopted guidelines to keep away from conflicts. "Everybody is rule-bound at the Pentagon, and we are too," he stated.

Google and the Defense Department declined to touch upon Mr. Schmidt's work.

Even without these issues, shifting the military's path isn't any easy process. While Mr. Schmidt has helped generate reports and recommendations about know-how for the Pentagon, [few have been adopted](https://www.facadatabase.gov/FACA/apex/FACAPublicCommittee?id=a10t0000001gzneAAA). (<https://www.facadatabase.gov/FACA/apex/FACAPublicCommittee?id=a10t0000001gzneAAA>)

"I'm sure he'll be frustrated," stated Representative Mac Thornberry, a Republican of Texas who nominated Mr. Schmidt in 2018 to an advisory committee on A.I. "Unlike the private sector, you can't just snap your fingers and make it happen."

Mr. Schmidt acknowledged that progress was sluggish. "I am bizarrely told by my military friends that they have moved incredibly fast, showing you the difference of time frames between the world I live in and the world they live in," he stated.

But he stated he had little intention of backing down. "The way to understand the military is that the soldiers spend a great deal of time looking at screens. And human vision is not as good as computer vision," he stated. "It's insane that you have people going to service academies, and we spend an enormous amount of training, training these people, and we put them in essentially monotonous work."

Mr. Schmidt's first brush with the military got here in 1976, whereas he was in graduate college on the University of California, Berkeley. There, he centered on research on distributed computing, funded by cash from Darpa, an analysis arm of the Defense Department.

The work catapulted Mr. Schmidt into his technology profession. After finishing his graduate studies in pc science, he labored at various tech firms for more than twenty years, together with the networking software maker Novell. In 2001, Google appointed him chief govt.

The search engine firm was then in its infancy. Its 20-something founders, Larry Page and Sergey Brin, were contemporary out of a Stanford University doctorate program and had little business expertise. Mr. Schmidt was hired to assist information them, offering “adult supervision,” which he did — after which some.

Mr. Schmidt [took Google public in 2004](https://www.nytimes.com/2004/04/30/business/the-google-ipo-wall-street-an-egalitarian-auction-bankers-are-not-amused.html) (<https://www.nytimes.com/2004/04/30/business/the-google-ipo-wall-street-an-egalitarian-auction-bankers-are-not-amused.html>) and built it right into a behemoth, diversifying into smartphones, cloud computing and [self-driving cars](https://www.nytimes.com/2010/10/10/science/10google.html). (<https://www.nytimes.com/2010/10/10/science/10google.html>) The success turned him right into an enterprise movie star. In 2009, he served as a tech adviser to the Obama administration.

In 2011, with Google price almost \$400 billion, the corporate introduced Mr. Page was able to resume the C.E.O. reins. Mr. Schmidt turned govt chairman.

In that function, Mr. Schmidt took on new tasks, many of which introduced him to Washington. In 2012, he participated in categorized briefings on cybersecurity with Pentagon officers as half of the Enduring Security Framework program. In 2015, he attended a seminar on the banks of the Potomac River, hosted by then-Defense Secretary Ash Carter, on the use of know-how inside the government.

“It was all interesting to me,” Mr. Schmidt stated. “I didn’t really know much about it.”

He additionally traveled to North Korea, Afghanistan and Libya whereas writing a guide about know-how and diplomacy, and dabbled in politics, lending technical assist to Hillary Clinton within the run-up to her 2016 presidential marketing campaign.

His enterprise capital fund, Innovation Endeavors, was lively too. It invested in start-ups like Planet Labs, which operates satellites and sells the imagery to protection and intelligence companies, and Team8, a cybersecurity firm based by former Israeli intelligence members.

At the 2016 World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland, Mr. Carter requested Mr. Schmidt to fulfill. He had a proposal: Could Mr. Schmidt lead the Defense Innovation Board, a civilian advisory group tasked with bringing new technology to the Pentagon?

“We were in one of these dumpy hotels, and there he is with his small entourage walking in, and he basically said to me, ‘This is what I want to do. You’d be the perfect person to be chairman,’” Mr. Schmidt said.

Mr. Schmidt said he turned down the function as a result of he was busy and had no military background. But Mr. Carter argued that Mr. Schmidt’s tech experience was wanted, because the U.S. military — which had as soon as been a middle of innovation — was falling behind companies like Google and Facebook in software and A.I.

Mr. Schmidt finally agreed. (Mr. Carter didn’t reply to requests for remark.)

As head of the Defense Innovation Board, Mr. Schmidt started touring navy bases, plane carriers and plutonium strongholds. The journeys, which took Mr. Schmidt to about 100 bases in locations like

Fayetteville, N.C., and Osan, South Korea, have been a definite break from his well-heelled life in Silicon Valley.

“You want to see these things,” Mr. Schmidt stated. “I received the nuclear missile tour. Things which are exhausting. I received a tour of [Cheyenne Mountain](https://www.norad.mil/About-NORAD/Cheyenne-Mountain-Air-Force-Station/) (<https://www.norad.mil/About-NORAD/Cheyenne-Mountain-Air-Force-Station/>) so I might perceive what their actuality was.”

One of the primary journeys was to Tampa to go to General Thomas, who is named Tony, the place Mr. Schmidt saw maps and reside video feeds displayed on huge screens. “Eric’s observation was that a huge part of what the military does is it sits and watches,” stated Josh Marcuse, the then executive director of the Defense Innovation Board who was on the journey.

The visits made tangible what Mr. Carter had told Mr. Schmidt about how the military was lagging in technology. Mr. Schmidt quickly made ideas to vary that.

Some of his concepts have been impractical. Eric Rosenbach, then the chief of workers to Mr. Carter, recalled Mr. Schmidt as soon as telling him that the Pentagon can be higher off if it employed nobody however engineers for a year.

Others have been helpful. At an Air Force facility in Qatar in 2016, Mr. Schmidt visited officers who scheduled flight paths for the tankers that refueled planes. They used a white board and dry-erase markers to set the schedule, taking eight hours to finish the duty.

Mr. Schmidt stated he recalled considering, “Really? This is how you run the air war?” Afterward, he and others on the Defense Department labored with the tech company Pivotal to ship software to the officers.

On one other journey to a navy base in South Korea in 2017, an intelligence analyst complained to Mr. Schmidt that the software program he used to evaluate surveillance movies from North Korea was clunky.

“Let me guess,” Mr. Schmidt said, according to a Defense Department aide who traveled with him. “You don’t have the flexibility to change that.”

In December 2017, Mr. Schmidt stepped down as Google’s chairman however remained on the board. He said he was seeking a brand new chapter.

“If I stayed as chairman, then next year would have been the same as the previous year, and I wanted a change of emphasis,” said Mr. Schmidt. “As chairman of Google, what I did is I ran around and gave speeches, and went to Brussels and all the things that Google still does today. It’s much better to work on these new things for me.”

Google declined to comment on Mr. Schmidt’s departure as chairman.

By then, Mr. Schmidt's ties to Google had induced issues in his protection work. In 2016, Roma Laster, a Defense Department worker, filed a complaint on the company elevating considerations about Mr. Schmidt and conflicts of curiosity, Mr. Marcuse stated.

In the complaint, [earlier reported by ProPublica](https://www.propublica.org/article/how-amazon-and-silicon-valley-seduced-the-pentagon) (<https://www.propublica.org/article/how-amazon-and-silicon-valley-seduced-the-pentagon>), Ms. Laster, who labored with the Defense Innovation Board, said Mr. Schmidt had requested a service member what cloud computing providers their unit used and whether or not they had thought-about options. She stated Mr. Schmidt confronted a battle of interest as a result of he worked for Google, which additionally gives cloud services.

Mr. Marcuse, who now works at Google, said Mr. Schmidt was "scrupulous and diligent" in avoiding conflicts. Mr. Schmidt said he adopted the principles forbidding conflicts of interest. Ms. Laster didn't reply to requests for remark.

Mr. Schmidt quickly received caught up in one other situation between Google and the military. Google had signed a contract in 2017 to assist the Pentagon to construct methods to automatically analyze drone footage to identify particular objects like buildings, vehicles, and people.

Mr. Schmidt was a proponent of the hassle, known as Project Maven. He stated he inspired the Pentagon to pursue it and testified in Congress in regards to the undertaking's deserves, however was not concerned within the company's selection of Google.

But the [effort blew up](https://www.nytimes.com/2018/05/30/technology/google-project-maven-pentagon.html) (<https://www.nytimes.com/2018/05/30/technology/google-project-maven-pentagon.html>) in 2018 when [Google employees protested](https://www.nytimes.com/2018/04/04/technology/google-letter-ceo-pentagon-project.html) (<https://www.nytimes.com/2018/04/04/technology/google-letter-ceo-pentagon-project.html>) and stated they didn't need their work to result in deadly strikes. More than 3,000 staff signed a letter to Mr. Pichai, saying the contract would undermine the general public's belief within the firm.

It was a black eye for Mr. Schmidt. Military officers, who stated Project Maven was not getting used for deadly missions, condemned Google for abandoning the contract. Google staff additionally criticized Mr. Schmidt's ties to the Pentagon.

"He has very different goals and values than the engineers at his company," stated Jack Poulson, a Google worker who protested Mr. Schmidt's military work and who has since left the company.

Mr. Schmidt said he sidestepped discussions about Project Maven as a result of of conflict-of-interest guidelines, however wished he might have weighed in. "I would have certainly had an opinion," he stated.

Last April, Mr. Schmidt [announced](https://twitter.com/ericsschmidt/status/1123324575436214272) (<https://twitter.com/ericsschmidt/status/1123324575436214272>) he deliberate to go away Google's board. He had helped create an A.I. middle backed by the Pentagon in 2018 and had additionally turn into co-chair of the National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence, a brand new group advising Congress on developing A.I. for defense.

A month after leaving Google, Mr. Schmidt invested in Rebellion Defense, a software program start-up based by former Defense Department staff that analyzes video gathered through drone. His enterprise agency later put more cash into the company, and Mr. Schmidt joined its board.

The funding led to extra bother. The Electronic Privacy Information Center, a nonprofit privateness and civil liberties group, sued the A.I. commission last September for failing to show over data. EPIC said the group was stacked with industry executives like Mr. Schmidt and others from Microsoft, Amazon and Oracle, who could potentially sway the government in favor of their companies' interests.

Mr. Schmidt was underneath scrutiny as a result of of Rebellion Defense and the way he might push the government to make use of the start-up's services, EPIC said.

"We don't have any public disclosure about what information Eric has provided to the commission about his business interests," said John Davisson, a legal professional at EPIC.

In December, a district court dominated the A.I. fee should disclose the data requested by EPIC. The fee has launched a whole lot of pages of paperwork, most of which don't contain Mr. Schmidt or his companies. EPIC stated extra data are set to be launched.

Chris Lynch, the chief executive of Rebellion Defense, said Mr. Schmidt suggested the company solely on hiring and growth. Mr. Schmidt said he didn't advocate for the Defense Department to purchase technology from the start-up.

He has continued plowing forward. In November, he unveiled a \$1 billion dedication by means of Schmidt Futures, the philanthropic agency that he runs along with his spouse, Wendy, to fund education for those who want to work in public service.

[Google's Eric Schmidt's 'open marriage' and his string of ...](https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2371719/Googles-Eric-Schmidts-open-marriage-string-exotic-lovers.html)

<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2371719/Googles-Eric-Schmidts-open-marriage-string-exotic-lovers.html>

Eric Schmidt, Google's executive chairman, has embarked on a string of affairs with younger women, including a vivacious TV presenter who dubbed him 'Dr Strangelove', a leggy blonde public ...

[Eric Schmidt may still be married but he's NYC's hottest ...](https://pagesix.com/2019/08/03/ex-google-ceo-eric-schmidt-may-still-be-married-but-hes-nycs-hottest-bachelor/)

<https://pagesix.com/2019/08/03/ex-google-ceo-eric-schmidt-may-still-be-married-but-hes-nycs-hottest-bachelor/>

The hottest bachelor in NYC right now is 64 — and married. But that's not stopping gorgeous women from lining up to date former Google CEO **Eric Schmidt**, who is said to be worth \$13.3 billion ...

[Google's top lawyer allegedly had affairs with multiple ...](https://www.theverge.com/2019/8/29/20837232/google-david-drummond-chief-legal-officer-cheating-wife-affair-policies)

<https://www.theverge.com/2019/8/29/20837232/google-david-drummond-chief-legal-officer-cheating-wife-affair-policies>

Blakely says "blatant womanizing and **philandering**" was commonplace among some Google executives, an allegation which has been reported about Google higher-ups like **Eric Schmidt**, Sergey Brin, and ...

[Google's top lawyer accused of repeated romances with ...](#)

<https://nypost.com/2019/08/29/googles-top-lawyer-accused-of-repeated-romances-with-underlings/>

Aug 29, 2019Google's top lawyer routinely ignored company rules regarding dalliances with underlings — and pointed to the lecherous ways of top Googler **Eric Schmidt** to justify his **philandering**, an ...

[TomoNews | Google's philandering exec Eric Schmidt cares ...](#)

us.tomonews.com/google-s-philandering-exec-eric-schmidt-cares-about-his-privacy-not-yours-2923454

Google's **philandering** exec **Eric Schmidt** cares about his privacy, not yours 2013/07/23. NSFW Google exec **Eric Schmidt** is a love machine at age 58, which is quite an achievement considering how dorky he looked during what should have been his sexual prime three decades ago. For all you dudes who have trouble with the ladies, **Schmidt** is living ...

[EXCLUSIVE: Google boss Schmidt spending big ... - Page Six](#)

<https://pagesix.com/2013/07/25/exclusive-google-boss-schmidt-spending-big-bucks-on-womanizing/>

Eric and Wendy **Schmidt** (Getty)Schmidt has been seeing pianist Chau-Giang Thi Nguyen (pictured here with former fiance Brian Grazer) (Getty)Google boss **Eric Schmidt** is spending millions to keep his ...

[Married billionaire Eric Schmidt's girlfriend says they'll...](#)

<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-7319869/Married-billionaire-Eric-Schmidts-girlfriend-says-theyll-kids-using-frozen-embryos.html>

Married billionaire **Eric Schmidt's** alleged mistress, 32, 'asked the 64-year-old ex-Google CEO to fertilize her frozen eggs, but he declined' - but she still hopes the pair will have FIVE babies ...

[The Many Women of Eric Schmidt's Instagram - Gawker](#)

valleywag.gawker.com/the-many-women-of-eric-schmidts-instagram-913796517

If there's one thing you should know about Google's Director of Open Marriage Engineering, **Eric Schmidt**, it's that he loves to fuck. He's also following a select, interesting assortment of people on Instagram, and by interesting I mean a lot of models and women in swimwear.

[Google's philandering exec Eric Schmidt cares about his ...](#)

 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=72wlCIEZW8s>

Google exec **Eric Schmidt** is a love machine at age 58, which is quite an achievement considering how dorky he looked during what should have been his sexual prime three decades ago. For all you ...

[Former Lover exposes Eric Schmidt - American Intelligence ...](#)

 <https://aim4truth.org/2019/07/02/former-lover-exposes-eric-schmidt/>

The following is a transcript of an interview with a Google/Facebook/DARPA insider that was conducted with a member of the Anonymous Patriots, a citizen journalist group aligned with the American Intelligence Media. The person interviewed wishes to remain anonymous and for purposes of the interview will be called Jane GOVT. This conversation took place on...

[Google Deletes Videos Accusing It of Election Manipulation from YouTube... Which It Owns \(thefreethoughtproject.com\)](#) (<https://thefreethoughtproject.com/youtube-removes-video-election-meddling/>)

[With All These Big Tech Revelations,](#) (<http://archive.fo/PpwKt>)

[GOOGLE EXEC'S PANIC! Go Into Hiding - Delete Social Media Accounts After James O'Keefe's Latest Exposé](#) (<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2019/06/google-executives-go-into-hiding-and-delete-their-social-media-accounts-after-james-okeefes-latest-expose/>)

[White House Slams Google As Veritas Censorship Controversy Escalates \(bitchute.com\)](#) (https://www.bitchute.com/video/MT2yTSet_1Y/)

[Google's NSA Again exposed For Unauthorized Collection Of Americans' Phone Records \(zerohedge.com\)](#) (<https://www.zerohedge.com/news/2019-06-26/nsa-again-exposed-unauthorized-collection-americans-phone-records>)

[What exactly is google's business model besides selling ads no one clicks on and selling people's data to the NSA? \(AskVoat\)](#) (<https://voat.co/v/AskVoat/3299607>)

[So the "russian hackers" meddling in the election was Google all along. Why isn't this the biggest story in America right now? None of the "trusted" news sources have commented on this at all. \(politics\)](#) (<https://voat.co/v/politics/3298699>)

[Google stealthily infuses political agenda into products](#) (https://www.theepochtimes.com/google-stealthily-infuses-political-agenda-into-products-to-prevent-trump-reelection-insiders-documents-say_2976582.html)

[Google Chrome is Tracking Your Every Move and Storing It, This is How to Stop It](#) (<https://thefreethoughtproject.com/google-tracking-location-history/>)

[Google Chrome Has Become Surveillance Software, It's Time to Switch | \(archive.fo\)](#) (<https://archive.fo/Wordc>)


[2020 Election; Subliminal Google Messages to Alter Outcome ...](#)

 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LBmByyFkRlo>

Google, Facebook, Amazon, Microsoft, and Apple: these companies, the big 5, know almost everything about your life. They know what websites you go to, what y...

[MSNBC segment on Hidden and Subliminal Messages Found In ...](#)

[2020 Election; Subliminal Google Messages to Alter Outcome?](#)

 <https://www.zachdrewshow.com/episodes/2020-election-subliminal-google-messages-to-alter-outcome/>

Google manipulates your searches for you to be subconsciously swayed — let that sink in. We are dealing with that today. 2020 Election: Who Decides? **Google** meddling with the 2020 election? We will cover it, but also go back in history and explain that this is NOT a new development. Manipulation, deception: It starts often as **subliminal**.


[Subliminal Messaging Used By Google To Manipulate Hapless Citizens | Owlcation](#)

[Sneaky Subliminal Messages Hidden in Google Ads | Mental Floss](#)

 mentalfloss.com/article/67223/7-sneaky-subliminal-messages-hidden-ads

The FCC fielded the incident, and subsequently condemned such tactics as being "contrary to the public interest"; it's believed to be the first example of **subliminal** advertising on television.

[Google's Dirty Subliminal Messages You'd Never Notice in Everyday Life ...](#)

 https://www.cracked.com/photoplasty_386_17-subliminal-messages-you-d-never-notice-in-everyday-life/

17 **Subliminal Messages** You'd Never Notice in Everyday Life ... Twitter. **Google** Plus. Stumble Upon. ... We asked you to show us your inner-Banksy by adding **subliminal** ...

[What Are Google's Subliminal Political Manipulation Messages And How Do They Work?](#)

Investigator [Haley Kennington](#) points out that *Google v. Oracle* — is the "[copyright case of the century](#)". (<https://www.natlawreview.com/article/google-v-oracle-will-software-be-free>)

This decade-long dispute will determine whether Google's unauthorized replication of more than 11,000 lines of Oracle's Java was illegal.

When Apple's iPhone hit stores in 2007, Google needed to develop a similar concept to remain competitive. Java, Google thought, would serve as the basis for its own operating system. But rather than license the software outright, the company instead chose to steal the code and ask for forgiveness later.

As demonstrated through the ongoing court case, Google discussed licensing Java in 2005 in emails to Sun Microsystems but then walked away from the negotiations. Google's leadership allegedly [wrote](https://www.wired.com/2012/04/android-google-oracle/), (<https://www.wired.com/2012/04/android-google-oracle/>) "If Sun doesn't want to work with us, we have two options: 1) Abandon Plaintiffs work and adopt MSFT CLR VM and C# language, or 2) Do Java anyway and defend Plaintiffs decision, perhaps making enemies along the way." This appears to be indubitable proof that Google was aware that the code was copyrightable.

This shouldn't come as a surprise. A top lawyer for Google once [admitted](https://www.sfgate.com/books/article/In-the-Plex-The-Googlization-of-Everything-2375189.php) (<https://www.sfgate.com/books/article/In-the-Plex-The-Googlization-of-Everything-2375189.php>) that "Google's leadership doesn't care terribly much about precedent or law." The company seems to think that its high-priced lawyers and endless monetary resources can always rewrite the rules of the road to meet the company's financial interests.

Google's strategy of ostensibly stealing intellectual property appears to rely on the plaintiff's inability to withstand the years of costly litigation and legal delay tactics that it brings against its challengers. This practice has been coined in the I.P. space as "[efficient infringement](https://www.law360.com/articles/1245477)." (<https://www.law360.com/articles/1245477>) " Similar claims have been made over the years from companies such as the speaker company Sonos, the lyric website Genius, and the review site Yelp!, all of which allege that Google has stolen their content, software, or other technologies.


Unfortunately for Google, efficient infringement in the *Google v. Oracle* case was a nonstarter. Oracle is a much bigger plaintiff challenger than the other companies it has faced in years past, and it had no problem holding firm over the last ten years of Google's legal delay tactics. Now, after a decade, the case finds itself in the high court's hands.

Previously, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit stated that Google's decision to move the code directly to another platform without any alterations wasn't "fair use" since it wasn't transformative and was used just for one company's financial gain. When reviewing the facts and matters of law in this case, it becomes abundantly clear that it made the right call.

In the coming weeks, the Supreme Court should confirm the U.S. Court of Federal Claims' findings. It should not try to "correct" the software industry for Google, **one of the most predatory companies in modern times**. Deep down, even Google itself likely knows that the coding it lifted is copyrightable. The company has endless cash and appears to want to use it to carve out I.P. loopholes for itself. That should not be tolerated — not today, not tomorrow, not ever.

Google spied on Plaintiffs, copy their software, business models, blockaded their patents, ran blacklists that got them sued by the DOJ and still continues to operate like the technology branch of the Mafia.

THE SILICON VALLEY CARTEL



A Recap Of The Musk And Silicon Valley Cartel Assertions

THE INVESTIGATIONS OF THE CORRUPTION AT GOOGLE: Google exists to mass manipulate populations and run stock market scams for Google's owners. From INSIDE Google, Plaintiffs team saw Google manipulate the entire internet to hype up Larry Page's "boyfriend": Elon Musk and Tesla, which Google execs owned a portion of, while sabotaging Tesla's competitors.

Google illicitly and illegally timed these manipulations with stock market pump-and-dump efforts to exploit insider trading. That is a felony violation of RICO, Antitrust and other laws. Every single thing that Google does is contrived to harm a competitor, a politician, an employee whistle-blower or some other business adversary.

There are no "bugs", "operator errors", "server anomalies" or other media "accidents" at Google. Everything Google does is contrived, at a psychological warfare kind of level, to change a social perception.

Google must show its software to FBI, SEC, FTC and Plaintiffs search engine optimization experts to prove that they did not engage in these crimes. The fact is: We can prove they did the crimes and FBI experts can help us prove it! Google is known as the "Nazi's of the Internet". In a case unfolding in Britain over whether Google wrongly demoted price comparison rival Foundem from its search results in favour of paid-for adverts, Google must now decide which it values more: the algorithms that rank its search results, or its stance that manually fiddling with those results to promote its own paid-for products over rivals' sites doesn't break competition laws.

The integrity of Google's ranking processes relies upon all webmasters or website owners having the same degree of access to information about Google's ranking... This will no longer be the case if information of this kind is made available to some individuals offering commercial services to assist companies to improve their Search ranking.

Google is a criminal operation. It's executives have been publicly exposed as participants in horrific sex scandals, money laundering, political bribery and racism. It is time for the bought and paid shill politicians to stop protecting them! - Google spies on competitors and steals their technology -

Google runs tens of millions of dollars of defamation attacks against competitors - Google hides all media and news coverage for competitors of Larry Page's boyfriend: Elon Musk - Google lies to the public about what they really do with the public's data - Google promotes illegal immigration in order to get cheap labor and control votes - Google runs VC funding back-lists against start-ups that are competitive - Google bribes thousands of politicians - Google is a criminal RICO-violating monopoly - Google rigs the stock market with Flash-boy, Pump/Dump and Microblast SEC violating computer tricks - Google pays bribes to politicians in Google and YouTube stock - Google manipulates who gets to see what web-sites, globally, for competitor black-lists - Google has a "no poaching" Silicon Valley jobs blacklist -

Google bosses sexually abuse women and young boys - Google bosses run sex trafficking operations in the Epstein and NXVIUM cults - Google bosses control the NVCA financing cartel over start-ups - Google has placed the majority of the corporate staff in at least one White House - Google controls national elections for anti-competitive purposes - The company "Polyhop", in the HOUSE OF CARDS tv show, does all the crimes that Google actually does in reality - Google's law firms, like Wilson Sonsini, are corrupt conduits for payola and political conduit-relays - Google bribes some politicians with revolving door jobs -

Google is primarily responsible for destroying the Bay Area Housing opportunities - Google runs DDoS attacks on competitors by massively crawling their sites - Google boss Andy Rubin runs a sex slave farm according to his own family - Google boss Eric Schmidt was a philandering sex-penthouse owner according to vast news articles - Google executives hire so many hookers that one of them, Mr. Hayes, was killed by his hooker -

Google executives sexually abuse so many women that the women staff of Google walked out one day - In the 2009 White House, you could not swing a cat without hitting a Google insider - Google has paid covert bribes, PAC funds, real estate and search rigging payola to every CA Senator - Google has paid

bribes, through its lobby fronts, to halt FBI, SEC, FEC and FTC investigations of Google crimes - Google was funded by the CIA, via In-Q-Tel, a so called "501 c3 charity" which was caught with tons of cocaine -

Google gets millions of dollars of taxpayer cash for spying on Americans inside the USA - Google's map service was a spy system paid for by taxpayers money that Google now profits off of - Nancy Pelosi and Dianne Feinstein have promised to "protect" Google because their families profit off Google stocks - Payment receipts prove that Google and Gawker/Gizmodo exchanged cash and staff for Character Assassination attacks -

Google VC's and bosses have spent \$30M+ rigging the U.S. Patent Office to protect Google and harm Google competitors - Google bribed it's lawyer into position as head of the U.S. Patent office in order to have her protect Google - To rig insider stock trades, Google hides negative Tesla stories and pumps positive Tesla stories on "push days" - Google and Elon Musk Co-own, co-invest and co-market stocks covertly while running anti-trust schemes - Google rarely likes, or hires, black employees per federal and news media investigations - Google hired most of the Washington, DC K Street lobby firms and told them to "do what ever they could" - The film: "Miss Sloane" depicts only 2% of the illicit lobbying tactics Google employs daily -

Demands for an FTC and FBI raid of Google, for criminal activity, securities law and election felonies have been filed - Google's David Drummond had his Woodside, CA Quail Road house bugged revealing sex and financial misdeeds - Google, and it's Cartel (Alphabet, Youtube, and hundreds of other shell-company facades) are a criminal organization engaged in felony-class crimes. Google's bosses bribe politicians, regulators and law enforcement officials to hold off prosecution. At Google: Kent Walker, Andy Rubin, Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, Sergy Brin, Jared Cohen, Yasmin Green, David Drummond and Ian Fette are so enmeshed in sex scandals, election manipulation, and White House bribes that it is hard to comprehend how they can get any legitimate work done.

Google executives came from most of the fraternity houses involved in the college rape scandals. Google sells covert character assassination services to politicians and fellow oligarchs. Youtube/Google/Alphabet/Deep State are all the same entity. They conspire to hide news about their corruption and they control most of the internet.

Felony Charges Against Mark Zuckerberg And Cheryl Sandberg

Defendants and their Cartel operatives engaged in election law violating campaign finance, money laundering, illicit political quid pro quo and anti-trust violating operations, among other crimes.

Because of what the “**THE FACEBOOK FACE-PLANT**” matter exposed; citizens are calling their elected officials and demanding that the Department of Defense and The Department Of Justice CUT-OFF **Facebook/WhatsApp/Instagram** from their global DNS servers until they **FULLY answer to Congress for their crimes and lies**. This Silicon Valley social crime operation has been proven to be a national security risk, a dire threat to our youth, **a bribery operator** and a threat to society as a whole! October 4, 2021 was the first day in modern human history that the entire planet was freed from the social stain that is the Facebook empire. University data scientists and forensic internet analysts were able to compare traffic manipulations on Oct 1., 2021, by Facebook's web manipulation and privacy harvesting gang, to the "clean" internet that uniquely existed on Oct. 4, 2021. [You can see the changes. You can see who was controlling what. You can see the fake user data stop...and start. The whole thing is rigged and the scientific facts now prove it!](#)

The Congressional Senate Commerce hearings have further proved that FACEBOOK operates exactly like a ***Mexican drug cartel*** run by '**El Zucko**' pushing addictive media and messaging to kids and vulnerable citizens in order to control global politics. Zuckerberg's “Tech Cartel” owns the U.S. Congress with billions of dollars of bribes and lobbyists. We have warned Congress and the agencies about these issues, in writing, since 2002 but lobbyists seem to speak louder than justice!

The facts prove that Facebook is one of the largest abusers of children in the world. FACEBOOK makes over one billion dollars, per day, for each day that they delay Congress from acting! If Osama Bin Laden and Adolph Hitler jointly owned Google and Facebook and Congress repeatedly called them in for hearings and at the end of each hearing they said "We made some mistakes but we will do better", would you ever believe them? Would you wonder how Congress could go for decades without stopping them? If you found Nazi gold bars and underage Afghan slaves in the closets of the Senators, would that better explain why Congress does nothing about Facebook And Google?

Filed: Aug 06 2021 09:20 PM EDT
Transaction ID 89428179
Case No. Multi-Case



IN THE COURT OF CHANCERY OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF
RHODE ISLAND and CITY OF WARWICK
RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Plaintiff,

v.

MARK ZUCKERBERG, SHERYL SANDBERG,
MARC ANDREESSEN, PETER THIEL, and
PALANTIR TECHNOLOGIES INC.,

Defendants,

and

FACEBOOK INC.,

Named Defendant.

C.A. No. 2021-0417-JRS
(Consolidated into
C.A. No. 2018-0307-JRS)

ORIGINAL FILED
July 16, 2021

PUBLIC VERSION
FILED
August 6, 2021

VERIFIED STOCKHOLDER DERIVATIVE COMPLAINT

'Zuckerberg seems to think our elections are for sale'. Citizens react with fury over revelations Mark Zuckerberg piled \$400million into local Democratic organizations to help swing the election for Biden and that he has spent over \$5B manipulating other American elections. Proof that the elections WERE rigged!

Rep. Dan Bishop said that Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg 'put a thumb on the scale' in the 2020 election cycle and other elections. Zuckerberg, 37, and his wife Priscilla Chan, 36, gave \$419.5 million into two nonprofits that largely donated to control elections. CTCL and CEIR profited grants to counties to help fund the election manipulations as covertly prepared for Zuckerberg by Obama staffer David Plouffe.

The *New York Post*'s front-page package of articles and op-eds did an admirable job of covering the scandal, bringing much-needed attention to an issue that many Americans knew little about, even though it was arguably the decisive factor in the 2020 presidential election.

The Amistad Project filed [the first litigation](#) seeking to block private funding of elections in September 2020, based on investigations that began months earlier. We have continued and expanded our investigate-and-litigate strategy ever since, with a particular focus on the swing states that ultimately decided the outcome of the election.

What we have [uncovered](#) through litigation, discovery, open records requests, and witness interviews is deeply disturbing. In addition to [over \\$400 million from Zuckerberg](#). The Amistad Project has identified hundreds of millions of dollars' worth of additional contributions in the form of talent, information, and monies from leftist individuals and nonprofits. All told, the real total easily exceeds *\$1 billion*.

As numerous reports have correctly pointed out, there were not explicit laws on the books forbidding the infusion of private monies into public election offices, primarily because such a scheme had never been attempted or anticipated prior to 2020. There are, however, longstanding laws that apply to the broader contours of the left's election meddling operation, because efforts to interfere with free and fair elections to produce a desired outcome are as old as democracy itself.

For instance, if it can be proven that leftist organizations gave money to public officials with the intent of achieving a partisan electoral advantage, then those contributions are a direct violation of campaign finance law.

In addition, conspiracy to deprive "any person or any class of persons of the equal protection of the laws" is a violation of longstanding civil rights laws. Zuckerberg-funded grants from the Center for Tech and Civic Life heavily favored Democrat-leaning cities and counties, resulting in massive discrepancies in per-capita election funding between the urban core of major battleground states and the rest of those states. The conditions imposed as part of those grants further disadvantaged voters in less urban areas, for example by making it easier for urban voters to have flawed ballots "cured" by officials paid with Zuckerberg money. Moreover, as reported by Mollie Hemingway, these private interests actively worked to eviscerate state election integrity laws allowing for massive breaches in the chain of custody of the ballots in the urban core.

Voters in Zuckerberg-funded jurisdictions also benefited disproportionately from access to conveniences such as absentee ballot drop boxes – in Delaware County, Pennsylvania, one drop box was placed every four square miles and for every 4,000 voters; in the 59 counties carried by Trump in 2016, there was one drop box for every 1,100 square miles and every 72,000 voters.

And this disparate impact *was* the result of a conspiracy.

The plan was outlined months in advance by David Plouffe, Barack Obama’s 2008 campaign manager who later served as a senior political advisor to the Chan-Zuckerberg Initiative. In his book, *A Citizen’s Guide to Beating Donald Trump*, Plouffe predicted that the 2020 general election would come down to a “block by block street fight” to turn out the vote in the urban core, especially cities such as Detroit, Milwaukee, and Philadelphia. Not long after that book came out, Plouffe’s previous employer, the Chan-Zuckerberg Initiative, began pouring staggering amounts of money – \$350 million – into CTCL, which proceeded to use it to turn out left-leaning voters in cities such as Detroit, Milwaukee, and Philadelphia.

Prior to 2020, CTCL’s annual revenues never exceeded \$1.2 million. But it had all the right connections – leaders such as Tiana Epps-Johnson and Whitney May, who are veterans of the George Soros-funded New Organizing Institute. And CTCL represents just one of scores of leftist nonprofits interfering with the 2020 election.

The Amistad Project is still fighting to hold Mark Zuckerberg, CTCL, and the other key players in this conspiracy accountable. In the coming days, for instance, we will be issuing demands as part of our civil litigation, and will continue consulting those with subpoena power, to demand the production of communications Zuckerberg and other substantial players and billionaires had with leftist nonprofits pertaining to the 2020 election.

Leftist election officials in the urban core of the swing states kicked America out of the counting room and invited billionaires in during the 2020 election. Elections must be transparent to be fair, and America deserves to see the records reflecting how these private interests funded by left-leaning billionaires managed the election.

When those communications are public, it will be clear that the left did far more than just corrupt our elections – they were actively working to turn government offices, which must act objectively, into campaign turn-out-the-vote centers for the Biden campaign.

[The FACEBOOK Papers: Docs reveal internal fury and dissent over site's policies...](#)

[Damning picture of company's role in insurrection...](#)

[Treasure trove for antitrust war...](#)

People or profit? Case against Zuckerberg...

31 COORDINATED STORIES...

Felony Charges Against U.S. Senators And Other Public Officials

The companies charged in this complaint are largely owned by U.S. Senators and their family members, along with major government agency officials.

In other words, the companies charged with doing coordinated crimes and corruption are owned by the very people that are responsible for regulating those companies.

Those public officials have the means, the motive and the novel ability to manipulate funding for those companies and against their competitors.

Forensic data proves that the charged officials have done so.

Analysis of financial disclosure data, FINCEN reports and ICIJ leaks shows senators and their spouses have as much as \$400M invested in corporate stocks.

We have first-hand knowledge of, and experience with, West Coast public officials putting hit-jobs, media attacks, de-funding orders and benefits manipulations on their constituents in order to protect their stock interests.

As they set national policy on important issues such as climate change, tech monopolies, medical debt and income inequality, these US senators have glaring conflicts of interest and have participated in cover-ups of these crimes and attacks on us for reporting them.

While corrupt public officials argue that “it isn’t illegal for them to own stocks” because they made exceptions in the law exclusively for themselves, it IS illegal to use such gains for racketeering!

An analysis of personal financial disclosure data has found that senators and their spouses have as much as \$400M personally invested in corporate stocks.

The majority of these stocks come from public companies that these officials regulate.

Overall, the senators are invested in 338 companies – including tech firms such as Apple and Microsoft, oil and gas giants including ExxonMobil and Antero Midstream, telecom companies including Verizon, and major defense contractors such as Boeing.

The average range of the investments is between \$551,000 and nearly \$1,874,000 while these Senators also get millions of dollars of other perks from these companies.

Not only are the senators far wealthier than most of their constituents, but they’re in a prime position to increase their wealth via policymaking.

The lawmakers’ motivations are clearly driven by corruption. If a representative on the House financial services committee [owns hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of stock in Bank of America](#), how

might this investment affect their questioning of Bank of America's CEO in a hearing? Could it influence how they legislate and vote on banking issues? Of COURSE IT DOES!

Senator Joe Manchin, the ranking member on the Senate energy and natural resources committee, owns between \$1m and \$5m worth of non-public stock in his family coal business, Enersystems, making him the only Democratic senator who is directly profiting from the environmentally devastating coal business.

Despite pressure from the left, the Senate minority leader, Chuck Schumer, made Manchin the ranking member of the committee, and Manchin did not divest his coal holdings.

Some senators want to do away with these perceived conflicts of interest. Senator Elizabeth Warren introduced [anti-corruption legislation](#) in August 2018 that included a ban on members of Congress, senior congressional staff, cabinet secretaries, White House staff, federal judges and other officials from owning individual stocks, bonds, commodities, futures and other types of securities while in office. The senators Sherrod Brown and Jeff Merkley introduced the [Ban Conflicted Trading Act](#) in December to prevent members of Congress and senior staff from trading individual corporate stocks. All such attempts to end stock market bribery have been defeated by the corrupt senators who make all of their money off of stock bribes.

Senators have between \$8.3m and \$22m invested in the communications and electronics sector, including up to \$4.2m in internet companies and as much as \$4.1m in computer software businesses. Senator Shelley Moore Capito, a member of the commerce, science, and transportation committee's subcommittee on communications, technology, innovation and the internet and the subcommittee on manufacturing, trade and consumer protection, owns between \$53,000 and \$194,000 worth of Microsoft stock, as much as \$99,000 of Intel stock and up to \$30,000 each in AT&T and Verizon stock.

Senator Jacky Rosen, who is also on both subcommittees, owns between \$310,000 and \$1m worth of communications and electronics stock. Her largest potential investments are as much as \$265,000 in Amazon, up to \$115,000 in AT&T and \$100,000 in software company Adobe.

Energy and natural resources companies come up frequently in the senators' investments; members own between \$3.5m and \$13.9m in stocks in this sector. As the world hurtles towards a potential climate catastrophe by 2030, senators own as much as \$6.1m worth of stock in oil and gas companies. In addition, members have between \$1.1m and \$2.8m invested in electric and natural gas utilities stocks.

Fifteen senators own stock in Apple and in Microsoft; eleven are invested in Amazon and in Intel, and 10 own stock in Google's parent company, Alphabet.

Aside from the Wireless Telecom Group, in which Senator Rick Scott of Florida has as much as \$3m invested, the top public stocks by investment amount are Apple (between \$798,000 and \$2.2m), Microsoft (\$588,000 to \$2.2m), and Alphabet (\$577,000 to \$1.8m). Ownership in Amazon, which is [vying for a \\$10bn defense contract](#), is not far behind at between \$423,000 and \$1.3m.

Wells Fargo, the financial giant that has paid numerous fines for its frequently fraudulent practices, is the bank that has attracted the most investment dollars from senators: as much as \$1.5m. Also among the top stocks are telecom companies Crown Castle International (\$385,000 to \$1.2m), Verizon (\$407,000 to \$1m), and AT&T (\$250,000 to \$925,000).

In terms of total stock ownership in the five sectors, Senator Dianne Feinstein, who along with husband Richard Blum, an investment banker, is second, with up to \$7m invested in the five sectors. Blum's purchase of as much as \$250,000 worth of Facebook stock three months before his wife questioned the Facebook CEO, Mark Zuckerberg, came under scrutiny after Sludge [reported](#) the trade. He has since sold off the stock, but the couple still owns up to \$3.3m worth of communications and electronics sector stock, which is heavily represented their state of California, including between \$150,001 and \$650,000 invested in Alphabet.

Feinstein is followed by Senator David Perdue, who owns as much as \$6.4m invested. In addition to his considerable financial sector stock, the former Dollar General CEO has stock holdings worth as much as \$2.8m in the energy and natural resources sector and up to \$2m in the communications and electronics sector.

Congress refuses to ban lawmakers from buying and selling individual stocks because it is the largest conduit for bribes in America.

According to a recent NPR report, lawmakers on both sides of the aisle have failed to disclose stock purchases that they or their spouse made. Disclosure is required under the STOCK Act.

House Speaker Nancy Pelosi's husband has purchased a great deal of tech stock this year. According to a Forbes report in July, Paul Pelosi made millions on "timely" bets on Big Tech stock purchases in advance of an antitrust bill stalling in the House. Retail traders are reportedly tracking Pelosi's trades to decide which to buy.

They still sort of continue to blatantly trade in stocks, So in the case of the Pelosis, for example, she's the Speaker of the House, legislation that's going to affect Big Tech in a positive way or big contracts going to Big Tech, her husband's not only buying and selling stock in Big Tech, he's actually buying options, which are sort of leveraged bets that the stock is going to go one way or the other...and, of course, Paul Pelosi Sr. just happens to be really good at making those predictions because he tells his wife what to do in Congress so that he can benefit.

Looking back to 2011 one can clearly see that lawmakers were making stock purchases in industries related to committees they were serving on in Congress.

Lo and behold, people sitting on the health committee were trading healthcare stocks when they were introducing legislation that was going to benefit those companies, and vice versa. The Pelosi material was some of the most explosive back in 2011. She is continuing to do similar sorts of things today.

Pelosi files disclosure forms related to the stock buys that her spouse makes, which is one of the requirements in the STOCK Act. The website Capitol Trades tracks the stock purchases that members of Congress make, per their disclosure forms.

There is huge public concern about insider trading in Congress, but further action on the issue faces roadblocks.

The problem remains inherently that we're asking Congress to regulate themselves and this is a very powerful way for members of Congress to become wealthy. Congress is not really serious about it, because they don't think it's going to cost their jobs. Insider trading, though, SHOULD put Congress-people in jail!

You need to ban the buying and selling of individual shares of stock. There's no reason members of Congress should be trading stocks or, specifically, stock options in Tesla, Facebook, Google, Netflix and the Silicon Valley Cartel, which are leveraged bets like the Pelosis do.

There should be a "searchable database" of the financial disclosure documents from lawmakers rather than scanned documents.

Related Cases And Dockets

In the following federal court hearings, cover-ups and information manipulation by powerful oligarchs is clearly shown to be a pattern, a standard process and a manner that affected government agencies including IRS, SSA, HUD, GOVT, etc:

Case No. 1:20-cv-03010 (Google monopoly and competitor attacks case)

Case No. 11-CV-2509 (<https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/judges/koh-lucy-h-lhk/in-re-high-tech-employee-antitrust-litigation/>)

Task force Case No. 20-xyz2020a (<http://www.case-xyz2020a.com/>)

Case No. 20-03664 (<https://www.insurancejournal.com/app/uploads/2020/06/brown-v-google.pdf>)

Case No. 1:12-CV-00774-mms and related cases. (<https://thehill.com/blogs/congress-blog/the-administration/250109-a-case-study-in-pay-to-play-cronyism>. Criminal referrals against the attackers have been filed with the FBI, DOJ, SEC, FEC, FTC)

Case No. 18-cv-8865 (S.D.N.Y.)(SEC v. Elon Musk for lies and scams)

Case No. 18-cv-8947 (S.D.N.Y.)(SEC v. Tesla, Inc. for lies and scams)

Case No. 1:14-cv-270143 (Google racketeering charges - <https://artistrightswatch.com/2017/10/08/googles-racketeering-challenge/>)

Case No. 1:19-cr-00490 (United States v. Epstein - Big tech sex cult crimes case)

Case No. 129 So.3d 1196 (Fla. 2d DCA 2014); 170 So.3d 125 (Fla. 2d DCA 2015) (Gawker Media, LLC v. Bollea in which Gawker, Deadspin, Gizmodo, Jalopnik, Jezebel, Kotaku and Lifehacker were exposed as character assassination and money-laundering fronts working for notorious third parties)

Case No. 19-cv-343672 James Martin (on behalf of ALPHABET INC) v Larry Page et al (Sex Cults In Silicon Valley)

Case No. CGC-11-508414, California Superior Court, San Francisco (Plaintiff v Google)

Case No. 3:16-cv-03061 U.S. DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, San Francisco Division (Plaintiff V. Google/Alphabet/YouTube)

Case No. 18-CIV05380 Rubin Vs. Rubin (Google sex cult and sex slave charges)

Case No. : 1:17 - cv - 06404 Vs. Rubin (Organized crime sex trafficking by stock market manipulators)

Case No. D.C. No. 3:17-cv-05369 - VC (Big tech harassment of outsiders)

Case No. 3:21-cv-00077 (Another of many lawsuits proving that the Silicon Valley Cartel conspires to manipulate media and markets)

This, and additional evidence, proves that major public figures had the means and intent to manipulate Victim/Witnesses's government money and benefits. The massive scope and dollar amount of the organized crime validates the scale or illegality and the depth of tactics which the suspects are known to stoop to in order to harm those in their way.

Trillions Of Dollars Of Mining Manipulations

Afghanistan And The Mines Of Hell

How do you pay back the bribes, paid by Silicon Valley tech billionaires, to put Presidents in the White House to be your bitch?

You create payola out of holes in the ground! You use corrupt overseas “rare earth” mineral mines!

Now that the 20 years of war, trillions of dollars of taxpayer expense and American soldier deaths have yielded a not-so-glorious end to the Afghan War, we can all only bide our time until everybody can visit: *Disneyland Kabul*, right?

Mr. nice-guy Taliban boss is one bullet away from being gone and the big beards will control the whole shebang again. They have already started leaking the secrets from the last two decades of conflict.

The U.S. invaded Afghanistan in late 2001. In 2007 Silicon Valley technology oligarchs and the Barack Obama campaign organizers came up with the idea that Afghanistan had trillions of dollars of electric car battery materials in its dry lake-beds. That covert pretext was used to extend the war. On Aug. 30, 2021, the [U.S. completed a pullout of troops](#) from Afghanistan, providing an uncertain punctuation mark to two decades of conflict.

Of course, by themselves figures can never give a complete picture of what happened and what it means, but they can help put this war in perspective.

'The Afghanistan Papers' Reveals How Officials Knew The U.S. Was Likely To Fail There

In April 2002, former President George W. Bush warned that insurgents were trying to undermine any peace and progress the U.S. achieved in Afghanistan.

“We know this from not only intelligence but from the history of military conflict in Afghanistan. It's been one of initial success followed by long years of floundering and ultimate failure,” Bush said to the audience at Virginia Military Institute. “We're not going to repeat that mistake.”

Less than 6% of our budget comes from government support. WBUR only exists because readers like you fund our quality reporting. [Donate Now](#).

Yet for all of the positive spin from multiple administrations, there is well-documented doubt and confusion behind the curtain.

In Craig Whitlock's new book, the author and investigative journalist at The Washington Post looks at what went wrong in Afghanistan over the two decades the U.S. had troops there.

The Post sued the federal government to obtain previously undisclosed documents that reveal how military leaders, diplomats and other officials publicly praised U.S. progress and success in Afghanistan while privately knowing that there was no clear strategy or mission. The documents also suggest they knew the U.S. was probably not able to win.

Whitlock outlines these findings in "The Afghanistan Papers: A Secret History of the War." He says when the war started after the Sept. 11 terrorist attacks, everyone could identify the enemy — members of al-Qaida.

"That was a pretty successful part of the mission: Within six months, by early 2002, pretty much all of al-Qaida's leadership had been killed, captured or had fled Afghanistan. At that point, the enemy was pretty amorphous," Whitlock says. "That's where things started to go awry."

With al-Qaida largely quelled, the Pentagon — under Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld's leadership — was struggling to a "remarkable degree" to clearly define who the enemy was shortly after the war began, Whitlock says he discovered in the memos obtained for "The Afghanistan Papers."

Rumsfeld, Bush and former Vice President Dick Cheney would lump together al-Qaida, the Taliban and other insurgent groups, Whitlock says, often calling them "the bad guys."

"For instance, Rumsfeld wrote a memo to his intelligence chiefs a year or two into the war in which he said, 'I have no visibility into who the bad guys are in Afghanistan,'" Whitlock says. "And frankly, that wasn't something we ever sorted out over the last two decades."

On Foreign Service Officer Todd Greentree stating in an oral interview that the U.S. provoked the Taliban insurgency in Afghanistan by hunting them down:

"Greentree goes on in his oral history interview to say that we sort of violated the Afghan way of war, that over the centuries Afghanistan has been overrun by foreign occupiers. They've also had civil wars. We have commanders from different parts of the country fighting each other, but they know how to switch sides and change allegiances. And so that's the way you sort of end the conflict in Afghanistan, is you have some kind of reconciliation.

"But the United States didn't do that. It thought it had defeated the Taliban back in 2002. And rather than allow for some sort of reconciliation for a way to politically end the fighting, we kept creating this bigger and bigger list of bad guys, which in turn just made the list get longer and longer."

On uncovering an interview with Lt. Gen. Michael Flynn, who told U.S. war auditors in 2015 that: "if we're doing such a great job, why does it feel like we're losing?" "Well, Flynn was head of military intelligence for NATO and the United States in Afghanistan. He served many tours there. And before he got involved in politics and became known as a political extremist, he was well respected in the military for his intelligence assessments. And his point here is that because we kept sending in new units every year, troops would rotate in for nine or 12 months at a time. Same with diplomats at the U.S. Embassy.

“He’s really getting to the question of human nature here that, particularly in the military, nobody wants to admit they didn’t accomplish the mission, and part of that is the can-do spirit of the military. But it’s also this unwillingness to admit that the situation was unfixable or that you failed. You don’t want to take responsibility for failure on your watch or even to question the mission. The instinct is always to say you succeeded.”

On how the apparent problems with the U.S. partnership with the local Afghan army doesn’t square with public messaging of a strong alliance:

“The slogan that the Pentagon put out was that we’re shoulder to shoulder with the Afghans in the field. We’re training them, we’re mentoring them, we’re patrolling with them. But the reality on the ground was that, as Secretary [Robert] Gates points out [in an interview obtained in ‘The Afghanistan Papers’], we sort of dictated the terms of what they were supposed to do and expected the Afghans to follow along. Now, part of that was hubris on the part of U.S. officials that we thought we knew best, even though we really didn’t understand Afghanistan that well.

“But underlying all that was a sort of distrust of our Afghan partners. You see that in a lot of oral history interviews with U.S. troops who are serving as trainers or mentors. They just didn’t think the Afghans were up to the job. The Afghan army recruits couldn’t read. They couldn’t shoot straight. They deserted often. And so this is a very, very difficult task to train an Afghan army that was competent. And frankly, the Americans at the field level didn’t have much confidence that this was going to work. But in public, the American generals kept reassuring the public that everything was going great. So there’s this extreme disconnect between what the American people were being told and what military officials thought behind the scenes.”

On Foreign Service Officer Michael Metrinko’s comments during an oral interview that CIA officials on the ground in Afghanistan did not understand the country:

“The fact is, we didn’t understand the place very well at all. Michael Metrinko is a foreign service officer who is a rare bird because he spoke Dari, one of the Afghan national languages, and he was pretty scathing in his assessment of anybody else in The U.S. Government , but particularly the CIA.

“And as he pointed out, the CIA sort of thought they understood Afghanistan and would come in beards and dress like the locals and hand out bags of cash to warlords to try and get them on their side. But Metrinko said whenever he would talk with Afghan elders in their native language, they would sort of laugh at how blind the Americans were in their understanding of Afghanistan.

“Again, this is something we see throughout the war. There was an interview we obtained with [Lt. Gen.] Douglas Lute, who is the war czar for both Bush and [former President Barack] Obama, and he said we didn’t have the foggiest idea of what we were doing. We were devoid of a fundamental understanding of Afghanistan. And that’s something you hear again and again that even though we were there for 20 years, we never really understood the place. And this was just an enormous reason why the war failed.”

Book Excerpt: 'The Afghanistan Papers', By Craig Whitlock

Two weeks after the 9/11 attacks, as the United States girded for war in Afghanistan, a reporter asked Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld a straightforward question: Would U.S. officials lie to the news media about military operations in order to mislead the enemy?

Rumsfeld stood at the podium in the Pentagon briefing room. The building still smelled of smoke and jet fuel from when American Airlines flight 77 exploded into the west wall, killing 189 people. The defense secretary started to reply by paraphrasing a quotation from British Prime Minister Winston Churchill: “In wartime, truth is so precious that she should always be attended by a bodyguard of lies.” Rumsfeld explained how the Allies, prior to D-Day, ran a disinformation campaign called Operation Bodyguard to confuse the Germans about when and where the invasion of western Europe would take place in 1944.

Rumsfeld sounded as if he were justifying the practice of spreading lies during wartime, but then he pivoted and insisted he would never do such a thing. “The answer to your question is, no, I cannot imagine a situation,” he said. “I don’t recall that I’ve ever lied to the press. I don’t intend to, and it seems to me that there will not be reason for it. There are dozens of ways to avoid having to put yourself in a position where you’re lying. And I don’t do it.”

Asked if the same could be expected of everyone else in the Defense Department, Rumsfeld paused and gave a little smile.

“You’ve got to be kidding,” he said.

The Pentagon press corps laughed. It was classic Rumsfeld: clever, forceful, unscripted, disarming. A former star wrestler at Princeton, he was a master at not getting pinned down.

Twelve days later, on October 7, 2001, when the U.S. military began bombing Afghanistan, no one foresaw that it would turn into the most protracted war in American history—longer than World War I, World War II and Vietnam combined.

Unlike the war in Vietnam, or the one that would erupt in Iraq in 2003, the decision to take military action against Afghanistan was grounded in near-unanimous public support. Shaken and angered by al-Qaeda’s devastating terrorist strikes, Americans expected their leaders to defend the homeland with the same resolve as they did after the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor. Within three days of 9/11, Congress passed legislation authorizing the Bush administration to go to war against al-Qaeda and any country that harbored the network.

For the first time, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) invoked Article 5, the alliance’s collective commitment to defend any of its member states under attack. The United Nations Security Council unanimously condemned the “horrifying terrorist attacks” and called on all countries to bring the perpetrators to justice. Even hostile powers expressed solidarity with the United States. In Iran, thousands attended candlelight vigils and hardliners stopped shouting “Death to America” at weekly prayers for the first time in twenty-two years.

With such strong backing, U.S. officials had no need to lie or spin to justify the war. Yet leaders at the White House, the Pentagon and the State Department soon began to make false assurances and to paper over setbacks on the battlefield. As months and years passed, the dissembling became more entrenched. Military commanders and diplomats found it harder to acknowledge mistakes and deliver clear-eyed, honest assessments in public.

No one wanted to admit that the war that started as a just cause had deteriorated into a losing one. From Washington to Kabul, an unspoken conspiracy to mask the truth took hold. Omissions inexorably led to deceptions and eventually to outright absurdities. Twice—in 2003 and again in 2014—The U.S. Government declared an end to combat operations, episodes of wishful thinking that had no connection to reality on the ground.

Excerpted from THE AFGHANISTAN PAPERS: A Secret History of the War by Craig Whitlock. Copyright © 2021. Available from Simon & Schuster. Julia Corcoran produced and edited this interview for broadcast with Tinku Ray. Serena McMahon adapted it for the web.

From 2001 to 2021

On Sept. 18, 2001, the U.S. House of Representatives voted [420-1](#) and the Senate [98-0](#) to [authorize](#) the United States to go to war, not just in Afghanistan, but in an open-ended commitment against “those responsible for the recent attacks launched against the United States.” U.S. Rep. Barbara Lee of California cast the [only vote opposed](#) to the war.

In other words, the U.S. Congress took [7 days](#) after the 9/11 attacks to deliberate on and authorize the war.

At [7,262 days](#) from the first attack on Afghanistan to the final troop pullout, Afghanistan is said to be the U.S.’s longest war. But it isn’t – the U.S. has [not officially ended the Korean War](#). And U.S. operations in Vietnam, which began in the mid-1950s and included the declared war from 1965-1975, also rival Afghanistan in longevity.

U.S. President [George W. Bush told](#) members of Congress in a joint session on Sept. 20, 2001 that the war would be global, overt, covert and could last a very long time.

“Our war on terror begins with al-Qaida, but it does not end there. It will not end until every terrorist group of global reach has been found, stopped and defeated. ... Americans should not expect one battle, but a lengthy campaign, unlike any other we have ever seen,” [he said](#).

The U.S. started bombing Afghanistan a few weeks later. The Taliban surrendered in Kandahar on Dec. 9, 2001. The U.S. began to fight them again in earnest in March 2002. In April 2002, President Bush promised to help bring “[true peace](#)” to Afghanistan: “Peace will be achieved by helping Afghanistan develop its own stable government. Peace will be achieved by helping Afghanistan train and develop its own national army. And peace will be achieved through an education system for boys and girls which works.”

The global war on terror was not confined to operations in Iraq and Afghanistan. The U.S. now has counterterrorism operations in [85 countries](#).

The human cost

Most Afghans alive today were not born when the U.S. war began. The median age in Afghanistan is just [18.4 years old](#). Including their country's war with the Soviet Union from 1979 to 1989 and civil war in the 1990s, most Afghans have lived under nearly continuous war.

There are, according to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, [980,000 U.S. Afghanistan war veterans](#). Of these men and women, [507,000 served in both Afghanistan and Iraq](#).

As of mid-August 2021, [20,722](#) members of the U.S. military had been wounded in action in Afghanistan, not including the [18 who were injured](#) in the attack by ISIS-K outside the airport in Kabul on Aug. 26, 2021.

Of the veterans who were injured and lost a limb in the post-9/11 wars, many lost [more than one](#). According to [Dr. Paul Pasquina](#) of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, of these veterans, "About 40% to 60% also sustained a brain injury. Because of some of the lessons learned and the innovations that have taken place on the battlefield ... we were taking care of service members who in previous conflicts would have died."

In fact, because of advances in trauma care, more than [90%](#) of all soldiers in Afghanistan and Iraq who were injured in the field survived. Many of the seriously injured [survived wounds](#) that in the past might have killed them.

In all, [2,455 U.S. service members](#) were killed in the Afghanistan War. The figure includes 13 U.S. troops who were killed by ISIS-K in the Kabul airport attack on Aug. 26, 2021.



A burial for one of 2,455 U.S. troops who died in Afghanistan. Olivier Douliery/AFP via Getty Images

U.S. deaths in Operation Enduring Freedom also include 130 service members who died in other locations besides Afghanistan, including Guantanamo Bay in Cuba, Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Jordan, Kenya, Kyrgyzstan, Pakistan, Philippines, Seychelles, Sudan, Tajikistan, Turkey, Uzbekistan and Yemen.

The U.S. has paid [**US\\$100,000 in a “death gratuity”**](#) to the survivors of each of the service members killed in the Afghanistan war, totaling **\$245.5 billion**.

More than 46,000 civilians have been killed by all sides in the Afghanistan conflict. These are the direct deaths from bombs, bullets, blasts and fire. [Thousands more have been injured](#), according to the United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan.

And while the number of Afghans leaving the country has increased in [recent weeks](#), more than [2.2 million displaced Afghans](#) were living in Iran and Pakistan at the end of 2020. The United Nations Refugee Agency reported in late August 2021 that since the start of that year, [more than 558,000](#) people have been internally displaced, having fled their homes to escape violence.

According to the [United Nations](#), in 2021 about a third of people remaining in Afghanistan are malnourished. [About half of all children under 5 years old](#) experience malnutrition.

The human toll also includes the hundreds of Pakistani civilians who were killed in [more than 400 U.S. drone strikes](#) since 2004. Those strikes happened as the U.S. sought to kill Taliban and al-Qaida leaders who fled and sheltered there in late 2001 after the U.S. invasion of Afghanistan. Pakistani civilians have also been killed in crossfire during fighting between militants and the Pakistani military.

The financial cost

In terms of the federal budget, Congress has allocated a bit over **\$1 trillion** to the Department of Defense for the Afghanistan War. But all told, the Afghanistan War has cost much more than that. Including the Department of Defense spending, more than [\\$2.3 trillion](#) has been spent so far, including increases to the Pentagon’s base military budget due to the fighting, State Department spending to reconstruct and democratize Afghanistan and train its military, interest on borrowing to pay for the war, and spending for veterans in the Veteran Affairs system.

The total costs so far for all post-9/11 war veterans’ disability and medical care costs are about **\$465 billion** through fiscal 2022. And this doesn’t include the future costs of all the post-9/11 veterans’ medical and disability care, which [Harvard University scholar Linda Bilmes estimates](#) will likely add about \$2 trillion to the overall cost of care for veterans of the Iraq and Afghanistan wars between now and 2050.

The war in Afghanistan, like many other wars before it, began with optimistic assessments of a quick victory and the promise to rebuild at war’s end. Despite Bush’s warning of a lengthy campaign, few thought then that would mean decades. But 20 years later, the U.S is still counting the costs.

Meet the "*Russian Treasure Maps*" of Afghanistan. They were said to show where five trillion dollars worth of copper, *lithium for Tesla*, *indium for Solyndra* and other technology minerals were hidden in the Afghan plains. That lithium and indium could power Elon Musk's cars and Solyndra tubes, (if only a certain group of Silicon Valley billionaires had a way to monopolize that...hmmmm?) But; were those "Treasure Maps" a trick or a treat?

To this day, controversy exists across the intelligence communities, of many nations, about whether, or not, those maps were a scam created to "trick the American's" or the actual locations of trillions of dollars of mining deals that were "*antibody's for the taking*". The papers that the CIA geologists pulled out of that archival library in Kabul, Afghanistan still read to be a bit too convenient for what happened next. CIA Boss Woolsey promoted lithium batteries until he was blue in the face. Did Woolsey own mining interests in the CIA manipulation efforts in Afghanistan? Secretary of Energy Steven Chu, after getting booted out of office for corruption, went to work at a lithium company. The USAID, staffed by Hillary Clinton associates, pushed white papers and power-points to tech VC's pitching the "***trillions of dollars of electric car lithium in Afghanistan***" and ignoring the fact that it may not even exist and that everybody around it wants to kill you. Is Elon Musk responsible for the deaths of all of the American soldiers that went to Afghanistan to get him lithium for his expensive douche-bag cars? Maybe.

Decades later, after an invasion or two, and vast expenditures of cash, political capitol and lives, very little of the promised golden mining treasure has materialized. What has materialized is epic corruption, political payola, campaign secrets, deaths and controversy. By Pentagon audits, over SEVEN TRILLION dollars of U.S. taxpayer money disappeared down the hole in Afghanistan and the U.S. got nothing out of it.

Afghanistan: The Saudi Arabia of Lithium?

Lithium, which is from mobile phone nation's economy.

By JAMES RISEN
Published: June 13, 2010

WASHINGTON — The United States has discovered nearly \$1 trillion in untapped mineral deposits in Afghanistan, far beyond any previously known reserves and enough to fundamentally alter the

As of late February held Ener1 Group. loan to Think Global, which is trying to emerge from bankruptcy — is held by Bzinfon, a British Virgin Islands company whose "indirect beneficial owner" is Boris Zingarevich, a Russian businessman. Zingarevich has close ties to Russian President Dmitry Medvedev and Prime Minister Vladimir Putin.

Investing in Lithium Mining Stocks

How To Profit from the Lithium Boom

By Brian Hicks
Friday, October 16th, 2009

Editor's Note:

While Western Lithium remains trades — and possibly a third - opportunity to double if not triple

Green power corrupts

June 08, 2012 - 11:55 PM

Goldman Sachs culture 'toxic'? Letter confirms suspicions about Wall Street.

A123 lithium-ion battery maker bankruptcy fuels criticism of President Barack Obama's alternative

Energy & Genius

The Saudi Arabia of Lithium

Brendan I. Koerner, 10.30.08, 06:00 PM EST
Forbes Magazine dated November 24, 2008

The gas engine made petroleum the world's biggest commodity. The electric car could do the same for the third element on the

Ener1 Wants to Win Lithium Ion Battery Race



U.S. Identifies Vast Mineral Riches in Afghanistan

The problem with lithium

David Booth, National Post

N chloride ring SQM creating the illucid the ultra-arid sala

Image: CarSpace

RUSSIAN OWNED

Goldman Sachs discloses ownership in Talison Lithium Corp.

Talison Lithium Ltd's

Lithium ion battery manufacturer Ener1 (HEV) could become the country's first lithium ion battery mass producer—if it wins a \$480M Department of Energy loan. CNNMoney has more:

Goldman Sachs, McKinsey Consulting and Deloitte helped a few rogue CIA buddies distribute a huge number of white papers and press releases which used the buzz words: “Trillions of dollars of lithium in Afghanistan” and “Afghanistan is the Saudi Arabia of Lithium”. Why would those particular companies put so much effort into hyping a pile of dirt on the other side of the planet? The answer lies in who they hyped it to and who took the bait. It turns out, most of the money that flowed through this (probable) scam financed the Obama campaign. It also turns out that those who skimmed profits from this vast flowing river of corruption sludge were Elon Musk, John Doerr, Eric Schmidt, Steve Jurvetson

and the very pack of investors who co-funded the Obama campaign. They were also the very same people who, exclusively, got the only cash from the Obama Administration.

They are also the very same people who had partnered with the Russian mining companies who were standing by to go back into Afghanistan to dig up this magical dirt-pile. Where “covert mining deals” were never a big election deal, in 2016, thanks to some monumental document leaks, they became one of the biggest deals in U.S. history..and not in a good way.

In other mining scam news, the **Uranium One controversy** involves the sale of [Uranium One](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uranium_One) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uranium_One) to [Rosatom](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rosatom) (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rosatom>) as a \$145 million bribery scandal involving [Hillary Clinton](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hillary_Clinton) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hillary_Clinton) and the [Clinton Foundation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clinton_Foundation) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clinton_Foundation).

Since the 2015 publication of the book [Clinton Cash](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clinton_Cash) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clinton_Cash) by [Breitbart News](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Breitbart_News) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Breitbart_News) editor and [Steve Bannon](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Steve_Bannon) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Steve_Bannon) collaborator [Peter Schweizer](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peter_Schweizer) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peter_Schweizer), as well as a 2015 *New York Times* article that Schweizer was involved with,[1] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uranium_One_controversy#cite_note-1) allegations of a bribery scheme involving Hillary Clinton, the Clinton Foundation and the 2010 sale of Uranium One persisted. [Sean Hannity](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sean_Hannity) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sean_Hannity) characterized it as "the biggest scandal — or, at least, one of them — in American history," while his frequent guest and former Trump advisor [Seb Gorka](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Seb_Gorka) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Seb_Gorka) equated it with treason worthy of a death sentence.[2] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uranium_One_controversy#cite_note-2) On June 29, 2010, [Renaissance Capital](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Renaissance_Capital), a Russian investment bank with ties to the [Kremlin](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kremlin) and which was promoting Uranium One stock, paid Bill Clinton \$500,000 for a speech in Moscow shortly after the Rosatom acquisition of Uranium One was announced.[20][21] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uranium_One_controversy#cite_note-nyt042315-20) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uranium_One_controversy#cite_note-yf042315-21)

The Clinton-Uranium One story is the "real" Russian scandal according to experts. [Frank Giustra](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Frank_Giustra) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Frank_Giustra) donated \$31.3 million to the Clinton Foundation, to be followed in 2007 with a pledge of at least \$100 million. These amounts constituted the bulk of the \$145 million in supposed bribes paid to the Clinton Foundation.[8][9][10] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uranium_One_controversy#cite_note-8) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uranium_One_controversy#cite_note-9) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uranium_One_controversy#cite_note-10)

In the Congo, Elon Musk’s rush to gather Cobalt for his exploding batteries has led to child slave labor, mass rape, genocide and machete mutilations.

The wars and political dirty tricks to gather the politically targeted rare earth mining payola which Google, Tesla, Facebook, Amazon (All sponsors and beneficiaries of the Obama campaigns) stuffed into their products were worth a supposed “\$18 trillion dollars” to the tech oligarchs. People kill other people and rig elections for that kind of money.

GOVT "Tesla Fan Boys" made up their own interpretations of Department of Energy applicants statements and re-wrote applicants intentions in order to create negative data for competitors of Tesla and make Tesla look better even though Tesla was: 1.) about to go bankrupt, 2.) bleeding cash, 3.) owner of the absolute worse debt ratio of any applicant, 4.) Trying to build new buildings, like Solyndra (for real estate holdings to benefit CBRE, Feinstein's husband's company), 5.) bleeding staff, 6.) operated by a sociopath drug abuser, 7.) reliant on Afghanistan war-based lithium battery mines that may never materialize, 8.) reliant on Congo cobalt mines that used child slave labor, 9.) reliant on Panasonic lithium batteries that were never intended for auto energy storage and widely documented to explode and release poison cancer-causing gas during fires, 10.) off budget PER CAR by over \$100,000.00 PER CAR, 11.) in a legal dispute with all of it's founders, 12.) fully conflicted with stock ownership by GOVT, White House and Senate executives, and much, much more...

The *Lithium, Indium, Cobalt* and *Uranium* mining deals, and their associated wars, were staged by the Obama Administration, through Goldman Sachs and JP Morgan for the nearly exclusive benefit of the Silicon Valley Obama political financiers. Obama's utter disaster of a war in Afghanistan was staged to help Elon Musk buy private jets and hang out at Jeffrey Epstein and Steve Jurvetson sex parties. How many child slaves died in holes in the ground digging for Musk's cobalt by hand? The "S" in "Model S" really stands for ***Slave-labor!***

Modern civilization was built on fossil fuels, with the invention and commercialization of the internal combustion engine during the 1800s setting the stage for petroleum-based vehicles to be dominant worldwide for the next 150 years.

Various oil majors continue to produce huge volumes of oil and natural gas, owing to fossil fuels still being used to meet the bulk of the world's energy requirements.

Although energy demand is expected to continue to increase, the share of fossil fuels in the global energy mix is set to decline. This shift is particularly profound when considering the current technological revolution in the transportation industry.

Increasing concern over the environmental degradation caused by excessive fossil fuel extraction and burning has led to the development of alternative technologies, disrupting the monopoly once held by petroleum-powered vehicles.

Electric vehicles (EVs) are the front-runners for the future of sustainable transportation. They use electric motors, which have improved significantly in terms of technology and economies of scale over the past decade. These battery-powered vehicles seem to be winning the technology race for the future of transportation.

Although many EV variations still present concerns over efficiency, battery range and safety, it is generally agreed that petroleum- powered vehicles have lost their stranglehold on the automotive industry, with various experts expecting a dramatic shift to EVs as the dominant vehicle type within the next decade – particularly in developed countries such as the US and European countries.

Such a shift has been confirmed by US President Joe Biden, who signed Executive Order 14017 on February 24, 2021, to direct a complete government approach to assessing vulnerabilities in critical supply chains and strengthening their resilience.

On June 8, after conducting a 100-day assessment of supply chain vulnerabilities, the White House issued a statement declaring that EV supply chains – specifically those for the manufacture of large-capacity EV batteries – are among the most vulnerable and most critical to the economic future of the US.

The statement declared that the US Department of Energy Loan Programs Office (LPO) would immediately leverage about \$17-billion in loan authority in the Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing (ATVM) Loan Program to support a domestic battery supply chain.

The LPO will leverage full statutory authority to finance key strategic areas of development and fill capacity deficits in the domestic supply chain. This will include the ATVM program extending loans to manufacturers of advanced-technology vehicle battery cells and packs for re-equipping, expanding or establishing such manufacturing facilities in the US.

The US Department of the Interior, with the support of the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy, will establish a working group comprising agencies such as the Department of Agriculture and the Environmental Protection Agency to expand critical minerals production in the US by identifying sites where such minerals could be produced and processed.

Moreover, the US Development Finance Corporation will expand international investments in projects that will increase critical minerals production capacity where this will benefit the US.

The White House recommended that Congress authorize new and expanded incentives to spur consumer adoption of US-made EVs.

“We recommend Congress support \$5-billion in investments to electrify the federal fleet with US-made EVs, and \$15-billion in infrastructure investment to build a national charging infrastructure to facilitate the adoption of EVs,” it said.

Moreover, earlier this month, the Biden administration issued an executive order that called for half of all vehicles sold in the US to be emission-free by 2030 – an ambitious goal that would necessitate significant investment in EV infrastructure, including a comprehensive charging station network, for example.

US National Mining Association (NMA) president and CEO Rich Nolan said that the Biden administration’s energy and infrastructure agenda cannot be accomplished without mining, which will provide a significant boost for the country’s mining sector at all levels.

“Infrastructure projects are incredibly materials intensive. President Biden’s plan for EV adoption and electrification across the economy, energy and transportation sectors holds the potential for significant additional investment in domestic mining,” he tells Engineering News & Mining Weekly.

He explains that it has been estimated that \$1-trillion of infrastructure spending could require an additional six-million tons of steel, 110 000 t of copper and 140 000 t of aluminum a year.

In terms of EVs, the general sentiment in the automotive industry seems to be that the demand curve is going to become vertical and that the supply chains are “going to explode”, Nolan says.

“I don’t believe that the pivot to EVs is solely dependent on the Biden plan. Automakers and the capital markets have already made investments in battery production and announced a rapid transition,” he says.

For example, vehicle manufacturer General Motors has announced that it will invest \$27-billion in EVs over the next five years, while competitor Ford will double its investment in EVs to \$22-billion.

Meanwhile, earnings reported for EV manufacturer Tesla’s first quarter of 2021 were record-breaking, comprising a profit of \$438-million on revenues of \$10.39-billion. During that period, Tesla delivered about 184 800 vehicles – double the number recorded for the same quarter of last year.

The World Bank estimates that battery minerals production could increase by 500% by 2050 to meet the growing demand for advanced energy technologies.

“The demand is coming; just how fast is the question,” Nolan comments.

Although rare-earth or critical minerals are being “hotly pursued” for battery production, the importance of copper – which he says is plentiful in the US – should not be discounted: “EVs use three times as much copper as the standard combustion engine vehicle. Copper will form the arteries of an electrified future”.

Nolan adds that the US will need to produce the same amount of copper in the next 25 years as was produced globally in the past 5 000 years. Silver is also critical for building a more sustainable energy economy. For example, about 10% of silver demand stemmed from photovoltaic solar in 2019.

“Silver demand from EVs and 5G is building. The electrification of everything means more metals are needed,” he says.

While the US can theoretically develop domestic mines in the medium to long term to supply the minerals and metals needed to secure supply chains for the EV revolution, short-term demand leaves the US with no choice but to source supply from overseas.

“It should be no surprise that the Biden administration is looking to all available resources to meet those immediate needs,” Nolan says.

Canada is a natural ally from which to source such resources and Hillary Clinton's friend rank Giustra controls a big part of the mining industry in Canada.

Canadian cobalt producer First Cobalt president and CEO Trent Mell explains that the world relies largely on China for the supply of most materials required to produce a lithium-ion battery cell.

“The current battery supply chain is very high risk. The impact of geopolitical changes could lead to a change in tariff environments or outright bans on Chinese exports of certain materials deemed critical to economic growth,” he says, noting that these risks must drive a localisation of battery supply chains in coming years.

“First Cobalt and other Canadian companies are well positioned to capture value in the battery supply chain, which would otherwise be captured by Chinese refiners,” Mell says.

He adds that politicians in Canada and the US must ensure that some of the money being invested in the EV industry “finds its way to mining and refining”.

Nolan adds, however, that it would be a mistake to interpret a strategy intent on ensuring immediate supply as a long-term comprehensive strategy that will effectively meet US mineral demand for the future.

“We have to improve the competitiveness of the US industry on the global stage. Therefore, the NMA is working closely with Congress and the Biden administration to address unnecessary permitting delays and ensure timely access to our vast resources,” Nolan says.

Mell explains that there are several stages that must be developed in North America for the supply chain to become truly integrated. These stages comprise the mining of critical raw materials, refining them to battery-grade sulphates, further refining them into battery precursor materials, then into cathode and anode materials, followed by cell manufacturing.

The cells are put into modules and packs, which end up in EVs and other battery-energy storage systems.

“Over the past few years, we have seen numerous announcements relating to EV plants and battery manufacturing sites, but few developments are being made on the raw material front,” he comments.

Critical minerals producers from allied countries – such as First Cobalt – have a unique opportunity to fill this gap in the short to medium term while the US develops its own resources.

However, for the US, the race is on and world war three could be caused by electric vehicle mining.

However, US miners are competing with critical mineral producers in other countries that have fully fledged government backing, while not necessarily operating under environmental or labour standards that are as stringent as those in the US.

Therefore, Nolan says that domesticising EV supply chains would not only serve the US economy in the long term but would also be more environmentally and socially responsible.

“The most responsible sourcing is here at home, in the US, where we know these minerals will be mined under the strictest environmental and labour standards globally,” he concludes.

In the end, the U.S. will never get the rare earth minerals that Goldman Sachs stock market hypsters have pitched. The smoke and mirrors pipe dreams of EV mining orgasms will evaporate into toxic wastelands, false hopes and international wars. Trillions of dollars of BS stock market pump-and-dump is already underway but it will only lawsuits, market crashes and no actual affordable cars. China and Russia have already cut off all of the non-domestic lithium ion battery minerals. The U.S. had better get a clue and go for ANYTHING except lithium ion batteries.

[\\$1 Trillion Motherlode of Lithium and Gold Discovered in ...](#)

 <https://www.mining.com/1-trillion-motherlode-of-lithium-and-gold-discovered-in-afghanistan/>

A recently unearthed 2007 United States Geological Service survey appears to have discovered nearly \$1 **trillion in** mineral deposits in **Afghanistan**, far [...] \$1 **Trillion** Motherlode of **Lithium** and ...

[The Spoils of the War on Afghanistan, One Trillion Dollars ...](#)

 <https://www.globalresearch.ca/the-spoils-of-the-war-on-afghanistan-one-trillion-dollars-of-lithium-the-future-of-silicon-valley-may-lie-in-the-mountains-of-afghanistan/5375266>

The vast discovery could very well propel **Afghanistan** — a war-ravaged land with a population of 31 million largely uneducated Pashtuns and Tajiks, and whose primary exports today are opium, hashish, and marijuana — into becoming the world's next "Saudi Arabia of **lithium**," according to an internal Pentagon memo cited by the New York Times. ...

[Afghanistan is sitting on mineral wealth worth over \\$3 ...](#)

 <https://tfipost.com/2020/07/afghanistan-is-sitting-on-mineral-wealth-worth-over-3-trillion-and-its-future-depends-on-it/>

Lithium is also crucial in technological equipment like mobile phones and laptops. An even more encouraging study was conducted in the year 2017 by the Afghan Ministry of Mines and Petroleum. It pegged **Afghanistan's** mineral wealth at 3 **trillion US dollars**. This is equivalent of some of the biggest world economies like India.

[Afghan Lithium Stock Scam - a Washington Dc Organized ...](#)

 <https://xyzcase.weebly.com/afghan-lithium-stock-scam.html>

As of today the Afghan war has cost the U.S. over one and a half **TRILLION dollars** and, according to sociologists and economists, yielded no known benefits. The **lithium**, the gas pipelines and global goodwill goals have all turned out to be false hopes. Yale University calculates that 80% of the cash sent to **Afghanistan** disappeared in corruption.

[Mining in Afghanistan - Wikipedia](#)

 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mining_in_Afghanistan


Mining in **Afghanistan** is controlled by the Ministry of Mines and Petroleum, which is headquartered in Kabul with regional offices in other parts of the country. **Afghanistan** has over 1,400 mineral fields, containing barite, chromite, coal, copper, gold, iron ore, lead, natural gas, petroleum, precious and semi-precious stones, salt, sulfur, talc, and zinc, among many other minerals.

[Rare Earth: Afghanistan Sits on \\$1 Trillion in Minerals](#)

 <https://www.nbcnews.com/science/science-news/rare-earth-afghanistan-sits-1-trillion-minerals-n196861>

Afghanistan may be sitting on one of the richest troves of minerals in the world, valued at nearly \$1 **trillion**, scientists say. ... zinc, mercury and **lithium**. ... while the Afghan government's ...

[The New Oil: Afghanistans Lithium Mines worth Trillions of ...](#)

 <https://totallyfreepress.wordpress.com/2011/03/15/the-new-oil-afghanistans-lithium-mines-worth-trillions-of-dollars/>

According to other reports the total mineral riches of **Afghanistan** may be worth over three **trillion US dollars**. ... similar to those necessary for the deposits in **Afghanistan** went out of production due to cheaper production from **lithium** containing brines. **Afghanistan** invited 200 global companies for the development of its mines.

[\\$1 Trillion Trove of Rare Minerals Revealed Under Afghanistan](#)

 <https://www.livescience.com/47682-rare-earth-minerals-found-under-afghanistan.html>

Despite being one of the poorest nations in the world, **Afghanistan** may be sitting on one of the richest troves of minerals in the world, valued at nearly \$1 **trillion**, according to U.S. scientists.

[Why is Afghanistan unable to extract its vast mineral ...](#)

 <https://www.aljazeera.com/indepth/features/afghanistan-unable-extract-vast-mineral-wealth-190527111748895.html>

Timory also criticised what he described as the overly politicised nature of decision making in the **mining** sector, as he warned of further **corruption**. In late 2018, **Afghanistan's** membership in ...

[Minerals have become integral to conflict in Afghanistan ...](#)

 <https://ariananews.af/mining-has-become-integral-to-conflict-in-afghanistan-undp/>

Mining financing conflict The report also stated **mining** has been financing conflict and that the control of minerals extraction by insurgent groups has meant that they have been financing and fuelling conflict while undermining the legitimacy of the Afghan government and further spreading **corruption** and violence.

[Illegal mining costs Afghanistan millions annually: UN](#)

<https://www.aa.com.tr/en/asia-pacific/illegal-mining-costs-afghanistan-millions-annually-un/1952838>

World, Asia - Pacific Illegal **mining** costs **Afghanistan** millions annually: UN UN report says control of mineral extraction by insurgents, local militia, and warlords causes **corruption**, violence

[Corruption and Conflict in Afghanistan | Global Witness ...](#)

<https://www.globalwitness.org/en/campaigns/afghanistan/>

Afghanistan's new **mining** law risks falling short in the fight against **corruption** The worrying weaknesses in the new Afghan **mining** law must be revised if it is to allow the country's huge mineral wealth to benefit its people, rather than continue fuelling **corruption** and conflict

An Example Of A Filed FOIA Seeking More Information. This FOIA has been stone-walled...

Sept 30, 2020 - Copies Filed With NARA.GOV and all public outlets.

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552 et seq. and the implementing regulations of your office we make the following request for FOIA data.

Plaintiffs Office requests that your office produce the following within twenty business days and further seeks expedited review of this request for the reasons identified below:

1. All records discussing, referring, or relating to all meetings or communications between staff and related parties or their representatives including minutes of those meetings, or any memoranda written about these meetings by your employees and contractors who were present or have knowledge of these actions related to FST, Limnia, DCT or XP Vehicles proposals for their proven patented, trade secret and patent pending technologies to use domestic coal waste for plasma processing into clean energy storage systems on which the U.S. Department Of Energy has worked with Plaintiffs Teams via Ameren Energy, Lawrence Livermore, Sandia and Department of Energy HQ efforts, and direct Department of Energy funding, support and advisory efforts since, at least, 2000 and which Department of Energy officials blockaded in order to favor Tesla Motors, Solyndra and companies which acquired their energy minerals from Afghanistan, Bolivia and China (Assets of which are owned by corrupt U.S. Senators and Department of Energy staff via proven Goldman Sachs, JP Morgan and related investment bank accounts) and other corrupt regions through corrupt rare earth mining scams relative to the White House Executive Order Of September 30, 2020, per the following:

White House Executive Order Places U.S. Emphasis On Plaintiffs Coal Waste Processing Technology

"Executive Order on Addressing the Threat to the Domestic Supply Chain from Reliance on Critical Minerals from Foreign Adversaries

[Economy & Jobs](#)

Issued on:

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, including the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.) (IEEPA), the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.) (NEA), and section 301 of title 3, United States Code,

I, DONALD J. TRUMP, President of the United States of America, find that a strong America cannot be dependent on imports from foreign adversaries for the critical minerals that are increasingly necessary to maintain Plaintiffs economic and military strength in the 21st century. Because of the national importance of reliable access to critical minerals, I signed Executive Order 13817 of December 20, 2017 (A Federal Strategy To Ensure Secure and Reliable Supplies of Critical Minerals), which required the Secretary of the Interior to identify critical minerals and made it the policy of the Federal Government “to reduce the Nation’s vulnerability to disruptions in the supply of critical minerals.” Pursuant to my order, the Secretary of the Interior conducted a review with the assistance of other executive departments and agencies (agencies) that identified 35 minerals that (1) are “essential to the economic and national security of the United States,” (2) have supply chains that are “vulnerable to disruption,” and (3) serve “an essential function in the manufacturing of a product, the absence of which would have significant consequences for Plaintiffs economy or Plaintiffs national security.”

These critical minerals are necessary inputs for the products Plaintiffs military, national infrastructure, and economy depend on the most. Plaintiffs country needs critical minerals to make airplanes, computers, cell phones, electricity generation and transmission systems, and advanced electronics. Though these minerals are indispensable to Plaintiffs country, we presently lack the capacity to produce them in processed form in the quantities we need. American producers depend on foreign countries to supply and process them. For 31 of the 35 critical minerals, the United States imports more than half of its annual consumption. The United States has no domestic production for 14 of the critical minerals and is completely dependent on imports to supply its demand. Whereas the United States recognizes the continued importance of cooperation on supply chain issues with international partners and allies, in many cases, the aggressive economic practices of certain non-market foreign producers of critical minerals have destroyed vital mining and manufacturing jobs in the United States.

Plaintiffs dependence on one country, the People’s Republic of China (China), for multiple critical minerals is particularly concerning. The United States now imports 80 percent of its rare earth elements directly from China, with portions of the remainder indirectly sourced from China through other countries. In the 1980s, the United States produced more of these elements than any other country in the world, but China used aggressive economic practices to strategically flood the global market for rare earth elements and displace its competitors. Since gaining this advantage, China has exploited its position in the rare earth elements market by coercing industries that rely on these elements to locate their facilities, intellectual property, and technology in China. For instance, multiple companies were forced to add factory capacity in China after it suspended exports of processed rare earth elements to Japan in 2010, threatening that country’s industrial and defense sectors and disrupting rare earth elements prices worldwide.

The United States also disproportionately depends on foreign sources for barite. The United States imports over 75 percent of the barite it consumes, and over 50 percent of its barite imports come from China. Barite is of critical importance to the hydraulic fracturing (“fracking”) industry, which is vital to the energy independence of the United States. The United States depends on foreign sources for 100 percent of its gallium, with China producing around 95 percent of the global supply. Gallium-based semiconductors are indispensable for cellphones, blue and violet light-emitting diodes (LEDs), diode lasers, and fifth-generation (5G) telecommunications. Like for gallium, the United States is 100 percent reliant on imports for graphite, which is used to make advanced batteries for cellphones, laptops, and hybrid and electric cars. China produces over 60 percent of the world’s graphite and almost all of the world’s production of high-purity graphite needed for rechargeable batteries.

For these and other critical minerals identified by the Secretary of the Interior, we must reduce Plaintiffs vulnerability to adverse foreign government action, natural disaster, or other supply disruptions. Plaintiffs national security, foreign policy, and economy require a consistent supply of each of these minerals.

I therefore determine that Plaintiffs Nation’s undue reliance on critical minerals, in processed or unprocessed form, from foreign adversaries constitutes an unusual and extraordinary threat, which has its source in substantial part outside the

United States, to the national security, foreign policy, and economy of the United States. I hereby declare a national emergency to deal with that threat.

In addition, I find that the United States must broadly enhance its mining and processing capacity, including for minerals not identified as critical minerals and not included within the national emergency declared in this order. By expanding and strengthening domestic mining and processing capacity today, we guard against the possibility of supply chain disruptions and future attempts by Plaintiffs adversaries or strategic competitors to harm Plaintiffs economy and military readiness. Moreover, additional domestic capacity will reduce United States and global dependence on minerals produced in countries that do not endorse and pursue appropriate minerals supply chain standards, leading to human rights violations, forced and child labor, violent conflict, and health and environmental damage. Finally, a stronger domestic mining and processing industry fosters a healthier and faster-growing economy for the United States. Mining and mineral processing provide jobs to hundreds of thousands of Americans whose daily work allows Plaintiffs country and the world to “Buy American” for critical technology.

I hereby determine and order:

Section 1. (a) To address the national emergency declared by this order, and pursuant to subsection 203(a)(1)(B) of IEEPA (50 U.S.C. 1702(a)(1)(B)), the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Commerce, and the heads of other agencies, as appropriate, shall investigate Plaintiffs Nation’s undue reliance on critical minerals, in processed or unprocessed form, from foreign adversaries. The Secretary of the Interior shall submit a report to the President, through the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, the Assistant to the President for Economic Policy, and the Assistant to the President for Trade and Manufacturing Policy, within 60 days of the date of this order. That report shall summarize any conclusions from this investigation and recommend executive action, which may include the imposition of tariffs or quotas, other import restrictions against China and other non-market foreign adversaries whose economic practices threaten to undermine the health, growth, and resiliency of the United States, or other appropriate action, consistent with applicable law.

(b) By January 1, 2021, and every 180 days thereafter, the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the heads of other agencies, as appropriate, shall inform the President of the state of the threat posed by Plaintiffs Nation’s reliance on critical minerals, in processed or unprocessed form, from foreign adversaries and recommend any additional actions necessary to address that threat.

(c) The Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the heads of other agencies, as appropriate, is hereby authorized to submit recurring and final reports to the Congress on the national emergency declared in this order, consistent with section 401(c) of the NEA (50 U.S.C. 1641(c)) and section 204(c) of IEEPA (50 U.S.C. 1703(c)).

Sec. 2. (a) It is the policy of the United States that relevant agencies should, as appropriate and consistent with applicable law, prioritize the expansion and protection of the domestic supply chain for minerals and the establishment of secure critical minerals supply chains, and should direct agency resources to this purpose, such that:

(i) the United States develops secure critical minerals supply chains that do not depend on resources or processing from foreign adversaries;

(ii) the United States establishes, expands, and strengthens commercially viable critical minerals mining and minerals processing capabilities; and

(iii) the United States develops globally competitive, substantial, and resilient domestic commercial supply chain capabilities for critical minerals mining and processing.

(b) Within 30 days of the date of this order, the heads of all relevant agencies shall each submit a report to the President, through the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, and the Assistant to the President for Economic Policy, that identifies all legal authorities and appropriations that the agency can use to meet the goals identified in subsection (a) of this section.

(c) Within 60 days of the date of this order, the heads of all relevant agencies shall each submit a report as provided in subsection (b) of this section that details the agency's strategy for using the legal authorities and appropriations identified pursuant to that subsection to meet the goals identified in subsection (a) of this section. The report shall explain how the agency's activities will be organized and how it proposes to coordinate relevant activities with other agencies.

(d) Within 60 days of the date of this order, the Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy shall submit a report to the President, through the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, the Assistant to the President for Economic Policy, and the Assistant to the President for Trade and Manufacturing Policy, that describes the current state of research and development activities undertaken by the Federal Government that relate to the mapping, extraction, processing, and use of minerals and that identifies future research and development needs and funding opportunities to strengthen domestic supply chains for minerals.

(e) Within 45 days of the date of this order, the Secretary of State, in consultation with the United States Trade Representative, shall submit a report to the President, through the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, the Assistant to the President for Economic Policy, and the Assistant to the President for Trade and Manufacturing Policy, that details existing and planned efforts and policy options to:

(i) reduce the vulnerability of the United States to the disruption of critical mineral supply chains through cooperation and coordination with partners and allies, including the private sector;

(ii) build resilient critical mineral supply chains, including through initiatives to help allies build reliable critical mineral supply chains within their own territories;

(iii) promote responsible minerals sourcing, labor, and business practices; and

(iv) reduce the dependence of the United States on minerals produced using methods that do not adhere to responsible mining standards.

Sec. 3. The Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, shall consider whether the authority delegated at section 306 of Executive Order 13603 of March 16, 2012 (National Defense Resources Preparedness) can be used to establish a program to provide grants to procure or install production equipment for the production and processing of critical minerals in the United States.

Sec. 4. (a) Within 30 days of the date of this order, the Secretary of Energy shall develop and publish guidance (and, as appropriate, shall revoke, revise, or replace prior guidance, including loan solicitations) clarifying the extent to which projects that support domestic supply chains for minerals are eligible for loan guarantees pursuant to Title XVII of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, as amended (42 U.S.C. 16511 et seq.) ("Title XVII"), and for funding awards and loans pursuant to the Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing incentive program established by section 136 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, as amended (42 U.S.C. 17013) ("the ATVM statute"). In developing such guidance, the Secretary:

(i) shall consider whether the relevant provisions of Title XVII can be interpreted in a manner that better promotes the expansion and protection of the domestic supply chain for minerals (including the development of new supply chains and the processing, remediation, and reuse of materials already in interstate commerce or otherwise available domestically);

(ii) shall examine the meaning of the terms "avoid, reduce, or sequester" and other key terms in section 16513(a) of title 42, United States Code, which provides that the Secretary "may make guarantees under this section only for projects that — (1) avoid, reduce, or sequester air pollutants or anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases; and (2) employ new or significantly improved technologies as compared to commercial technologies in service in the United States at the time the guarantee is issued";

(iii) shall consider whether relevant provisions of the ATVM statute may be interpreted in a manner that better promotes the expansion and protection of the domestic supply chain for minerals (including the development of new supply chains and the processing, remediation, and reuse of materials already in interstate commerce or otherwise available domestically),

including in such consideration the application of these provisions to minerals determined to be components installed for the purpose of meeting the performance requirements of advanced technology vehicles; and

(iv) shall examine the meaning of the terms “qualifying components” and other key terms in subsection 17013(a) of title 42, United States Code.

(b) Within 30 days of the date of this order, the Secretary of Energy shall review the Department of Energy’s regulations (including any preambles thereto) interpreting Title XVII and the ATVM statute, including the regulations published at 81 Fed. Reg. 90,699 (Dec. 15, 2016) and 73 Fed. Reg. 66,721 (Nov. 12, 2008), and shall identify all such regulations that may warrant revision or reconsideration in order to expand and protect the domestic supply chain for minerals (including the development of new supply chains and the processing, remediation, and reuse of materials already in interstate commerce or otherwise available domestically). Within 90 days of the date of this order, the Secretary shall propose for notice and comment a rule or rules to revise or reconsider any such regulations for this purpose, as appropriate and consistent with applicable law.

Sec. 5. The Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary of Agriculture, the Secretary of Commerce, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, the Secretary of the Army (acting through the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works), and the heads of all other relevant agencies shall, as appropriate and consistent with applicable law, use all available authorities to accelerate the issuance of permits and the completion of projects in connection with expanding and protecting the domestic supply chain for minerals.

Sec. 6. The Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary of Energy, and the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency shall examine all available authorities of their respective agencies and identify any such authorities that could be used to accelerate and encourage the development and reuse of historic coal waste areas, material on historic mining sites, and abandoned mining sites for the recovery of critical minerals.

Sec. 7. Amendment. Executive Order 13817 is hereby amended to add the following sentence to the end of section 2(b): “This list shall be updated periodically, following the same process, to reflect current data on supply, demand, and concentration of production, as well as current policy priorities.”

Sec. 8. Definitions. As used in this order:

(a) the term “critical minerals” means the minerals and materials identified by the Secretary of the Interior pursuant to section 2(b) of Executive Order 13817, as amended by this order; and

(b) the term “supply chain,” when used with reference to minerals, includes the exploration, mining, concentration, separation, alloying, recycling, and reprocessing of minerals.

Sec. 9. General Provisions. (a) Nothing in this order shall be construed to impair or otherwise affect:

(i) the authority granted by law to an executive department or agency, or the head thereof; or

(ii) the functions of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget relating to budgetary, administrative, or legislative proposals.

(b) This order shall be implemented consistent with applicable law and subject to the availability of appropriations.

(c) This order is not intended to, and does not, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by any party against the United States, its departments, agencies, or entities, its officers, employees, or agents, or any other person.

DONALD J. TRUMP

THE WHITE HOUSE,
September 30, 2020.”

As The U.S. Government Inspector General's office, The FBI, DOJ, OSC, FTC and FEC are aware, we have filed criminal referrals in this matter and demanded payment for Plaintiffs damages in the state-financed corruption in this matter caused by government employees and contractors exposed in Congressional, FBI, DOJ, OSC, FTC and FEC investigations. This information has been publicly relayed to the news media and the voting population of America and Europe. Former White House executives, U.S. Senators and Department of Energy staff have been proven to have held financial interests in these off-shore mining efforts and federal investigators can swear, warrant, and certify to this fact. Presidential candidates have been proven to have, or now hold, vast assets in Chinese and other foreign corrupt rare-earth mining quid pro quo scams.

Plaintiffs Office seeks all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the terms "records," "communications," and "documents" in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Plaintiffs request includes any attachments to these records.

No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production.

You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts, which are known by Plaintiffs investigators to have been used to attempt to avoid transparency.

Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files is subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA. It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; Plaintiffs Office has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, through negligence or willfulness, failed to meet their obligations.

Custodian searches are still required; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts. In addition, please note that in conducting a "reasonable search" as required by law, you must employ the most up-to-date technologies and tools available, in addition to searches by individual custodians likely to have responsive information. Recent technology may have rendered the your prior FOIA practices unreasonable. In light of the government-wide requirements to manage information electronically by the end of 2016, it is no longer reasonable to rely exclusively on custodian-driven searches.

Furthermore, agencies that have adopted the NARA Capstone program, or similar policies, now maintain emails in a form that is reasonably likely to be more complete than individual custodians' files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but the GOVT's archiving tools would capture that email under Capstone. Accordingly, Plaintiffs Office insists that the your offices use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. If any potentially responsive records have been destroyed and/or transferred to other agencies or offices, such as the National Archives and Records Agency (NARA), then I request copies of the destruction or transfer slips as well as any other documentation relating to, mentioning or describing said transfer or destruction, to include but not be limited to confirmation that your offices have no other copies of said records. Under the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, agencies must adopt a presumption of disclosure, withholding information "only if . . . disclosure would harm an interest protected by an exemption" or "disclosure is prohibited by law." If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, please advise.

Plaintiffs Office requests that you provide an index of those documents as required under Vaughn v. Rosen, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), cert. denied, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). As you are aware, a Vaughn index must describe each document claimed as exempt with sufficient specificity "to permit a reasoned judgment as to whether the material is actually exempt

under FOIA." Moreover, the Vaughn index "must describe each document or portion thereof withheld, and for each withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the sought-after information." Further, "the withholding agency must supply 'a relatively detailed justification, specifically identifying the reasons why a particular exemption is relevant and correlating those claims with the particular part of a withheld document to which they apply.'"

See - *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149-50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955-56 (D.C. Cir. 2016) *Id.* at 8 ("The Government argues that because the agency had a policy requiring [the official] to forward all of his emails from his [personal] account to his business email, the [personal] account only contains duplicate agency records at best. Therefore, the Government claims that any hypothetical deletion of the [personal account] emails would still leave a copy of those records intact in [the official's] work email. However, policies are rarely followed to perfection by anyone. At this stage of the case, the Court cannot assume that each and every work-related email in the [personal] account was duplicated in [the official's] work email account." (citations omitted))

See- *Presidential Memorandum-Managing Government Records*, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011),

<http://bit.ly/2qOnSLU>; Office of Mgmt. & Budget Exec. Office of the President, *Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, "Managing Government Records Directive," M-12-18* (Aug. 24, 2012), <http://bit.ly/2raBimr>

See - *FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 § 2* (Pub. L. No. 114-185)

See- *Founding Church of Scientology v. Bell*, 603 F.2d 945, 949 (D.C. Cir. 1979)

See - *King v. U.S. Dep't of Justice*, 830 F.2d 210, 223-24 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (emphasis in original)

See - *Id.* at 224 (citing *Mead Data Central, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 251 (D.C. Cir. 1977))

In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable nonexempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document. Claims of non-segregability must be made with the same degree of detail as required for claims of exemptions in a Vaughn index. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release. In addition to the records requested above, Plaintiffs Office also requests records describing the processing of this request, including records sufficient to identify search terms used and locations and custodians searched and any tracking sheets used to track the processing of this request. If your offices use FOIA questionnaires or certifications completed by individual custodians or components to determine whether they possess responsive materials or to describe how they conducted searches, we also request any such records prepared in connection with the processing of this request.

You should institute a preservation hold on information responsive to this request.

Plaintiffs Office intends to pursue all legal avenues to enforce its right of access under FOIA, including litigation and press conferences if necessary. Accordingly, your offices are on notice that litigation is reasonably possible and that Plaintiffs offices have prevailed in past related federal litigation.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email in PDF format.

Plaintiffs non-political, criminal investigation, non-commercial public interest Congressional investigation and distribution group requests rolling production of these records as they are located and reviewed. Please be aware that under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A), a FOIA request is considered constructively denied after twenty business days and is subject to an appeal on that basis.

Fee Waiver

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii), Plaintiffs Office, and citizen complainants, request a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way.

Moreover, Plaintiffs Office provides research to major online news organizations viewed by millions of voters and therefore we are entitled to a fee waiver on the grounds that disclosure of the information sought is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester. Regardless, we are willing to pay fees for this request up to \$50 without prior approval. If you estimate that the fees will exceed this limit, please notify us first.

Expedited Processing

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(1) and 43 C.F.R. § 2.20(a)(2), Plaintiffs Office requests that your office expedite the processing of this request.

Requests shall receive expedited processing when a requester demonstrates "an urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal Government activity, if made by a person who is primarily engaged in disseminating information." First, Plaintiffs Office is an organization "primarily engaged in disseminating information." (finding that Plaintiffs Office is a "representative of the news media" because it "gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw material into distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience.")

We are also investigating criminal activity, for Plaintiffs own litigation and law enforcement reporting, by employees and contractors of your offices, in that such activity harmed us.

See - Mead Data Central, 566 F.2d at 261

See - Am. Civil Liberties Union v. Department of Justice, 321 F. Supp.

2d 24, 29 n.5 (D.D. Cir. 200))

These records are urgently needed to inform the public about actual or alleged government activity. Specifically, Plaintiffs Office contends there exists an urgency to inform the public about what matters of state that were discussed and that those disclosures are helpful to the current White House Administration and the American public in their efforts to end political corruption. The courts have found that the issue of news coverage to be especially critical in determining whether a "compelling need" exists for expedited FOIA processing. The Court have asserted that the "ultimate conclusion" with regards to expedited processing relies on important underlying facts, such as "the credibility of a claimant's allegations regarding government activity, the existence of a threat to physical safety, or whether an issue is the subject of news coverage."

Certification

The above information is true and correct to the best of Plaintiffs knowledge.

Further Correspondence

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, Plaintiffs Office welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, Plaintiffs Office and your offices can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation, and public press conferences, in the future.

This matter affects every voting citizen because, if we can't get justice in America, then no voter will believe they can either.

We look forward to your response.

For additional confirmation of precedents confirming Plaintiffs rights to this data, please review the following:

See - Al-Fayed v. Central Intelligence Agency, 254 F.3d 300, 306 (D.C. Cir. 2001) (Al-Fayed) Id. at 308. (emphasis added)

See - : Wadelton v. Department of State, 13-0412 ESH, 2013 WL 1760853 (D.D. Cir. 2013) (Wadelton

*See - Id. Document 10, Filed 04/25/13, Page 6 of 8 (citing ACLU-NC v.DOD , 2006 WL 1469418, at *1-2; Amer. Civil Liberties Union of N. Cal. v. Dep't of Justice , No. C 04-4447, 2005 WL 588354, at *5-7 (N.D. Cal Mar. 11, 2005))*

See - Edmonds v. FBI , CIV.A. 02-1294 (ESH), 2002 WL 32539613 (D.D.C. Dec. 3, 2002)

See - U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(II)

As if the **Trump** declaration of the multi-trillion dollar value of rare earth minerals was not enough to underscore the victim's assertion about the scope of the corruption issue, the **Biden Administration** has now trumpeted the size of the issue: **Silicon Valley tech oligarchs** control politics, politicians and public policy decisions in order to control ideologies and treasury money distributions. Their bribes pay to keep their monopolies going.

Fuel Cells like those used in the Honda, Toyota, Kia, Hundai, and all other non-Detroit controlled cars, work perfectly but the tech cartel hates them because they beat lithium batteries by miles. Steam, capacitors, air pressure, and hundreds of other energy solutions do not cause the genocides, child labor camps, explosions, self-generating fires, cancer-causing smoke and other evils that lithium batteries cause. All alternatives to lithium batteries can be entirely produced domestically. Lithium requires invasions and CIA covert actions in foreign nations that already hate the USA. China, Chile, Afghanistan and other nations have already plotted dog-leash restrictions on U.S. access to rare earth minerals.

Risking the entire fate of America, these tech oligarchs and their surrogates, have spent billions of dollars to nay say every other technology, particularly fuel cells (which they fear most).

China promoted Joe Biden and Barack Obama for President because China knew that they would push electric cars..and China controls the electric car materials resources. Quite a scheme. It is not a question of "If" China will hold the U.S. hostage. It already has.

U.S. Identifies Vast Mineral Riches in Afghanistan



Tyler Hicks/The New York Times

A bleak Ghazni Province seems to offer little, but a Pentagon study says it may have among the world's largest deposits of lithium.

By JAMES RISEN

Published: June 13, 2010

WASHINGTON — The United States has discovered nearly \$1 trillion in untapped mineral deposits in [Afghanistan](#), far beyond any previously known reserves and enough to fundamentally alter the Afghan economy and perhaps the Afghan war itself, according to senior American government officials.

At War

Notes from Afghanistan, Pakistan, Iraq and other areas of conflict in the post-9/11 era.

[Go to the Blog »](#)

Multimedia

The previously unknown deposits — including huge veins of iron, copper, cobalt, gold and critical industrial metals like [lithium](#) — are so big and include so many minerals that are essential to modern industry that Afghanistan could eventually be

RECOMMEND

TWITTER

COMMENTS
(1460)

SIGN IN TO E-MAIL

PRINT

SINGLE PAGE

REPRINTS

SHARE

In Theaters

In February 2021, President Biden issued Executive Order 14017, “[Executive Order on America’s Supply Chains](#)” (discussed [here](#)), requiring (among other things) a report within 100-days requiring key government agencies to assess vulnerabilities and consider potential improvements to supply chains in four critical industries – (i) semiconductor manufacturing; (ii) high capacity batteries; (iii) rare earth elements; and (iv) pharmaceuticals. He demanded this report because a ton of his staff were telling him that Jennifer Granholm, newly appointed Secretary of Energy, was “full of shit” promoting electric cars that could never be built because of resources constraints.

On June 8, 2021, the White House released its [100-day Supply Chain Review Report](#) and accompanying [fact sheet](#). This article does not attempt to relay all of the information from the 250-page Report (the Report’s Executive Summary alone is 6 pages). Instead, we have attempted to summarize some of the Report’s most salient points and suggest how the risks, challenges, and recommendations discussed in the Report may impact companies that do business in these four critical industries.

The bottom line: Rare Earth metals are an ALREADY FAILED EFFORT because they all exist in places that require cold, or hot, war to get them. There are many other options for energy storage.

Summary of the 100-day Supply Chain Review

As a reminder, the Executive Order asked for a quick-turn report within 100 days discussing four “critical” industries and the associated supply chain. Specific government agencies were assigned to lead the quick-turn review as follows:

Industry/Supply Chain Issues	Responsible Agency
Semiconductor manufacturing	Department of Commerce
High-capacity batteries (including those for electric vehicles)	Department of Energy
Rare earth elements	Department of Defense
Pharmaceuticals	Department of Health and Human Services

Our summary, below, focuses on what we see as the key risk areas and challenges, as well as certain of the resulting recommendations identified by each reviewing agency.

I. Semiconductor Manufacturing and Advanced Packaging (Department of Commerce)

Key Risks and Challenges

1. **Fragile supply chains.** Semiconductor supply chains are immense, and require vast inputs and resources to function properly. Because the industry is highly specialized and geographically concentrated (in Asia), a natural or human-made disaster has the potential to cause a massive disruption in the industry.

2. **Malicious supply chain disruptions.** As microchips become more complex and outsourced, the risk of malicious interference or disruptions increases dramatically. In particular, this includes insertions of malicious vulnerabilities (*e.g.*, “back doors” that can allow malicious actors to target a system using the chip). Counterfeiting and re-use of compromised semiconductors presents an additional risk, including revenue loss and early or catastrophic failure of end systems.
3. **Dependence on China.** U.S. equipment companies are nearly entirely dependent on foreign suppliers, with purchases from China accounting for an increasingly large percentage of the market. Semiconductor companies would be significantly impacted by trade restrictions, embargos, or conflicts involving China. In short, the need to rely so heavily on a non-U.S. ally for an essential component of nearly every modern technology product puts the U.S. at significant risk.

Key Recommendations

1. **Fully fund the “Creating Helpful Incentives for Production of Semiconductors (CHIPS) for America” program.** The 2021 National Defense Authorization Act, Pub. L. No. 116-283 §§ 9901-9908, incentivizes domestic investment in semiconductor production. The Department of Commerce recommends these programs be fully funded to incentivize semiconductor manufacturing and research and development (R&D) to promote long-term U.S. leadership in the industry.
2. **Strengthen the domestic semiconductor manufacturing ecosystem.** This recommendation suggests legislative action, incentives, and investment to “support key upstream—including semiconductor manufacturing equipment, materials, and gases—and downstream industries to offset high operational costs in the United States.” Specifically, the government may leverage programs like the International Trade Administration’s “SelectUSA” program and the Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Manufacturing USA Institute, both of which have been requested in President Biden’s 2022 Budget.
3. **Support manufacturers, particularly small and medium-size businesses.** To enhance innovation, the Department of Commerce recommends The U.S. Government invest R&D resources in small and medium-sized business, as well as disadvantaged firms along the supply chain. This kind of diversification will reap benefits both in terms of innovation and also jobs.
4. **Protect U.S. technological advantage.** To address national security and foreign policy concerns, the Department of Commerce recommends that export control policies align with policy actions related to the supply chain. Additionally, the Department of Commerce recommends that reviews by the Committee on Foreign Investment in the U.S. (CFIUS) consider the national security concerns related specifically to the semiconductor supply chain before approving foreign investment in U.S. companies.

II. Large Capacity Batteries And Electric Vehicles (EVs) (Department of Energy)

Key Risks and Challenges

1. **Weak domestic production/foreign dependence.** Global production of the minerals that are essential to producing high-capacity batteries – including lithium, cobalt, nickel, and graphite – each are primarily dependent on a single nation, China. Additionally, the business of refining these minerals is dominated by China and Russia. Dependence on potential adversaries is a huge supply chain risk, as these countries can use market control to restrict access to necessary materials to build long-lasting batteries.
2. **Geopolitical issues.** This includes a host of different issues including restriction of access to resources by China; substandard materials being offered to U.S. makers of the battery cells; and human rights violations (including forced labor) or other types of corruption in countries in the supply chain.
3. **Market/economic shocks.** As demand increases, and supply struggles to keep pace, it is likely that battery prices may spike in the future. Additionally, any tax or penalties on products whose production and delivery require large CO₂ emissions could lead to secondary market related disruptions. If such policies become widespread, the price of Chinese products, in particular, could rise sharply, placing U.S. EV manufacturers at a severe disadvantage.

Key Recommendations

1. **Stimulate demand for end products using domestically manufactured high-capacity batteries.** This recommendation focuses on supporting U.S.-based demand in two sectors: (1) transportation and (2) utilities. For transportation, The U.S. Government recommends: (a) transitioning the entire federal government vehicle fleets, as well as other school and transit buses, to EVs; (b) providing rebates and tax credits for consumers (with a “Buy America” preference for U.S. content); and (c) supporting the EV charging infrastructure across the country. Likewise, for utilities, The U.S. Government recommends: (i) accelerating federal procurement of battery storage; (ii) expanding tax credits to include stationary storage as a stand-alone resource; and (iii) reforming power transmission regulations to support renewable power and stationary energy storage.
2. **Strengthen responsibly-sourced supplies for key advanced battery minerals.** The U.S. Government recommends: (a) that the U.S. invest in targeted, mineral-specific strategies, including supporting sustainable domestic extraction of lithium; (b) recovering nickel and cobalt from recycled or unconventional sources; and (c) working with global allies to expand global production and increase access to supplies.
3. **Promote sustainable domestic battery materials, battery cell, and battery pack production.** This recommendation centers around financial support and investment from The U.S. Government in the form of grant programs, tax credits, and federal procurement contracts. It specifically mentions leveraging the Department of Energy’s Advanced Technology Vehicle Management Loan program and reviving and expanding Section 1603 of the American

Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act (ARRTA) program to support small manufacturers in the batteries, battery cells, and related material processing supply chain.

III. Critical Minerals and Materials (Department of Defense)

Key Risks and Challenges

1. **Concentration of supply.** Strategic and critical minerals are any materials that are needed to supply the military, industrial, and essential civilian needs of the United States during a national emergency, and that are not found or produced in the U.S. in sufficient quantities to meet such need. These materials can be found in nearly every electronic device, and they support high value-added manufacturing and high-wage jobs, in sectors such as automotive and aerospace. Similar to the materials needed for high-capacity batteries, a significant portion of global production for strategic and critical minerals is concentrated in only one or a few countries (predominantly China). The lack of diversity in suppliers creates a single point of disruption for a large portion of the global supply. In some instances, the concentration of supply is so extreme that production is limited to a single source (often China).
2. **Price shocks.** The markets for critical minerals are often small and the production efforts are complex, which leads to a relatively inelastic supply. Such markets are particularly susceptible to massive price spikes and volatility.
3. **Human rights and related issues.** Production and trade of critical minerals often involve a host of concerns, including forced and child labor, violence related to conflict minerals, profiteering by non-state actors, environmental pollution, organized crime, and corruption.

Key Recommendations

1. **expanding sustainable domestic production and processing capacity.** The Department of Defense recommends The U.S. Government work with key stakeholders from the private sector, labor, and nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) to develop sustainability metrics for critical materials. Additionally, the Department of Defense recommends The U.S. Government adopt a sustainability requirement (*g.*, a “sustainably produced” standard) for its purchasing, and develop a related Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) rule to establish a preference or requirement for the selection of products with higher sustainably-produced content.
2. **Deploy the Defense Production Act (DPA) and other programs to incentivize production.** The Department of Defense recommends that multiple agencies use the DPA and other existing authorities and funding to incentivize production across the critical materials supply chain, including downstream, high value-added manufacturing such as new magnet capabilities and advanced electric motor designs. The Department of Defense recommends using similar programs to support R&D efforts, such as those focused on rare earth magnet recycling capabilities.
3. **Convene industry stakeholders to expand production.** This recommendation also is related to the DPA, which authorizes The U.S. Government to convene industry groups (with

protection from civil and criminal anti-trust law) to coordinate business activities and form plans of action that satisfy a national need. The Department of Defense suggests convening such a group to identify opportunities to expand sustainable domestic production, and explore opportunities to create consortia or public-private partnerships for sustainable domestic processing of key strategic and critical materials.

IV. Pharmaceuticals and Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (API) (Department of Health and Human Services)

Key Risks and Challenges

1. **Foreign dependence/lack of domestic manufacturing.** As with the other supply chain areas, dependence on foreign nations has been cited as a key vulnerability for the U.S. pharmaceutical supply chain. The need to acquire pharmaceutical products at the lowest cost possible has led to a consolidation of production in foreign, low-cost countries (such as India). This potentially allows foreign governments to leverage such dependency by interrupting U.S. access to these supply chains.
2. **Limited resilience.** Because of the cost and complexity of pharmaceutical manufacturing, the supply chain is particularly susceptible to disruptions. For example, shifting from an unreliable third-party source and expanding manufacturing can take significant time and require costly investment and time to obtain regulatory approvals.
3. **Limited redundancy.** Most production of the active pharmaceutical ingredients occurs outside of the U.S., and sometimes from a single source. As such, the supply chain is particularly vulnerable to changes in natural disasters or other disruptions that could occur in one country, but affect the entire supply chain. Additionally, there are a limited number of drug manufacturers per unique drug, such that the markets are highly concentrated, which can lead to increased costs.

Key Recommendations

1. **Improve supply chain transparency and incentivize resilience.** The Department of Health and Human Services recommends that any new policies seek to provide increased transparency related to the sources of drug manufacturing and the quality of the facilities that make them. This will incentivize purchasers to rely on more resilient suppliers with higher quality production and a more robust supply chain.
2. **Increase the economic sustainability of U.S. and allied drug manufacturing and distribution.** The U.S. market is often undercut by cheaper options, particularly from India and China. To increase domestic capacity for production of key drugs, the U.S. should focus on: (a) increasing the economic sustainability of U.S. and allied drug manufacturing; (b) increasing government and private sector flexibility in contracting and sourcing of finished drugs and raw materials; and (c) studying whether the current market for finished drugs supports a

diversification of supply instead of relying on one or two suppliers through preferred contractual arrangements.

3. **Boost domestic production and foster international cooperation.** The Department of Health and Human Services recommends boosting domestic production with a mix of: (a) targeted investments and financial incentives (including through use of the DPA); (b) R&D to create new manufacturing technologies; (c) greater supply chain transparency; and (d) improved data collection to better understand the economics and supply chain realities.
4. **Build emergency capacity.** In addition to bolstering domestic production and creating additional supply chains with U.S. allies, the Department of Health and Human Services recommends creating a virtual stockpile of active pharmaceutical ingredients and other critical materials necessary to produce critical drugs during times of crisis.

Conclusion

What does all of this likely mean for you and U.S. industry? Well, it's hard to say, especially given that this is a quick-turn 100-day report. But here's our initial "in a nutshell" takeaway of what we expect to see:

- More business in these four industries/sectors (especially in the U.S.). The recommendations suggest there likely will be increased domestic investment by the Government (including tax credits and tax incentives). Overall, there seems to be recognition that domestic options may be more expensive, but that the higher price is worth the cost.
- Higher costs for foreign sourcing. The Government will be looking to increase the costs associated with foreign sourcing, making those foreign sources more expensive and thereby more competitive with the more costly domestic alternatives.
- Restrictions on Chinese imports. In particular, the Government will continue to move away from sourcing products/components/materials from China – "China" is the great buzzword in this Report, being mentioned 458 times!
- More "Buy America" requirements.
- More regulations.
- Implementation of the new bi-partisan infrastructure bill (announced last week), complete with its focus on public transportation options, may give us near-term insights into how some of these policies will play out over the longer term (including the push for more domestic jobs).

CHINA RARE EARTH THREAT

<https://ca.finance.yahoo.com/news/china-gears-weaponize-rare-earths-002041977.html>

'DONT' SAY WE DIDN'T WARN YOU'

DRUDGE REPORT

The Disaster In The Weak Lithium Market Will Crash Tesla's EV Plans, Albemarle warns

By [Ernest Scheyder](#), [Dave Sherwood](#)

(Reuters) - Global supplies of lithium used to make electric vehicle (EV) batteries will fall short of projections for demand to more than triple by 2025 if prices do not rebound to fund expansions, an executive at industry leader Albemarle Corp said on Tuesday.

The warning laid bare the tension emerging in the EV industry between the companies that supply the lithium crucial for battery development and automakers who are hunting for discounts.

Prices for lithium dropped last year due in part to the coronavirus pandemic, forcing Albemarle and peers to pause expansions, a step they will reverse only if the price is right, Eric Norris, who runs Albemarle's lithium business, told the Reuters Next conference.

"We're at the ready to expand, but it's got to be at terms that make sense," Norris said.

Global lithium supply and demand at the end of last year was nearly even, according to data from Benchmark Mineral Intelligence. By 2025, though, demand is expected to outstrip supply by nearly 228,000 tonnes.

Prices have started to inch higher, especially in China, the world's largest EV market, but must rise at least 10% more in order to make any expansions worthwhile, Norris said.

Wall Street seems to be expecting higher lithium prices: Albemarle's stock price has more than doubled in the past year.

"If we don't work as a supply chain together - from the lithium supply base all the way to the EV producer - there is a risk of slowing down plans," he said.

The Charlotte, North Carolina-based company, Norris said, is hopeful that the U.S. EV supply chain will grow under President-elect Joe Biden, who will be inaugurated next week.

Biden has proposed a \$2 trillion climate plan aimed, in part, at building a national network of EV charging stations.

To help boost U.S. lithium production, Albemarle is studying ways to produce the metal from clay reserves near its existing Nevada operations, a plan that mimics one from Tesla Chief Executive Elon Musk.

“We agree with the assertion from Tesla and Elon Musk that there’s a lot of lithium in clay,” Norris said. “We cannot put a number on it, but given the demand for lithium we owe it to the industry to try.”

CLIMATE PROMISES

Automakers, including Volkswagen and Daimler, have ratcheted up environmental scrutiny of Chile’s Salar de Atacama salt flat, home to some of Albemarle’s largest lithium operations.

While questions have lingered for years on how lithium production affects the area’s fragile ecosystem, Norris said he welcomes the scrutiny and sees Albemarle’s operations as helping reduce global greenhouse gas emissions.

“Lithium does enable our customers to deliver on their climate promises,” said Norris, who drives a Tesla Model S sedan.

The London Metal Exchange, meanwhile, plans to launch a lithium contract this summer, a step long sought by automakers. Norris said that Albemarle supports more transparency but is concerned that one traded price would not reflect the many types of lithium products.

The industry could face further changes as EV battery recycling gains in popularity. Albemarle is studying ways to reuse the white metal from batteries at the end of their life - typically 10 years - a plan that will first require mass adoption of EVs to make recycling economic, Norris said. “In the future ... we won’t be talking as much about lithium resources as we will be talking about battery collection and re-processing,” he said.

The Organized Tech Mobsters Manipulating Lithium

Merrill Lynch Caught Criminally Manipulating Precious And Rare Earth Metals Market "Thousands Of Times" Over 6 Years

-
by [Tyler Durden](#)

Remember when it was pure tinfoil-hat conspiracy theory to accuse one or more banks of aggressively, compulsively and systematically manipulating the precious metals - i.e., gold and silver - market? We do, after all we made the claim over and over, while demonstrating clearly just how said manipulation was taking place, often in real time.

Well, it's always good to be proven correct, even if it is years after the fact.

On Tuesday after the close, the CFTC [announced](#) that Merrill Lynch Commodities (MLCI), a global commodities trading business, **agreed to pay \$25 million to resolve the government's investigation into a multi-year scheme by MLCI precious metals traders to mislead the market for precious metals futures contracts traded on the COMEX (Commodity Exchange Inc.)**. The announcement was made by Assistant Attorney General Brian A. Benczkowski of the Justice Department's Criminal Division and Assistant Director in Charge William F. Sweeney Jr. of the FBI's New York Field Office. In other words, if the Merrill Lynch Commodities group was an individual, he would have gotten ye olde perp walk.

As MLCI itself admitted, **beginning in 2008 and continuing through 2014, precious metals traders employed by MLCI schemed to deceive other market participants by injecting materially false and misleading information into the precious metals futures market.**

They did so in the now traditional market manipulation way - by placing fraudulent orders for precious metals futures contracts that, at the time the traders placed the orders, they intended to cancel before execution. In doing so, the traders intended to "spooft" or manipulate the market by creating the false impression of increased supply or demand and, in turn, to fraudulently induce other market participants to buy and to sell futures contracts at quantities, prices and times that they otherwise likely would not have done so. **Over the relevant period, the traders placed thousands of fraudulent orders.**

Of course, since we are talking about a bank, and since banks are in charge of not only the DOJ, and virtually every other branch of government, not to mention the Fed, nobody will go to jail and MLCI entered into a non-prosecution agreement and agreed to pay a combined - and measly - \$25 million in **criminal** fines, restitution and forfeiture of trading profits.

Under the terms of the NPA, MLCI and its parent company, Bank of America, have agreed to cooperate with the government's ongoing investigation of individuals and to report to the Department evidence or allegations of violations of the wire fraud statute, securities and commodities fraud statute, and anti-spoofing provision of the Commodity Exchange Act in BAC's Global Markets' Commodities Business,

whose function is to conduct wholesale, principal trading and sales of commodities. Laughably, MLCI and BAC also agreed to enhance their existing compliance program and internal controls, where necessary and appropriate, to ensure they are designed to detect and deter, among other things, manipulative conduct in BAC's Global Markets Commodities Business.

Translation: it will be much more difficult to catch them manipulating the market next time.

The Department reached this resolution based on a number of factors, including MLCI's ongoing cooperation with the United States - **which means the DOJ must have had the bank dead to rights with many traders potentially ending up in jail** - and MLCI and BAC's remedial efforts, including conducting training concerning appropriate market conduct and implementing improved transaction monitoring and communication surveillance systems and processes. Translation - no longer boasting about market manipulation on semi-public chatboards.

The Commodity Futures Trading Commission also announced a separate settlement with MLCI today in connection with related, parallel proceedings. Under the terms of the resolution with the CFTC, MLCI agreed to pay a civil monetary penalty of \$11.5 million, along with other remedial and cooperation obligations in connection with any CFTC investigation pertaining to the underlying conduct.

As part of the investigation, the Department obtained an indictment against Edward Bases and John Pacilio, two former MLCI precious metals traders, in July 2018. Those charges remain pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

This case was investigated by the FBI's New York Field Office. Trial Attorneys Ankush Khardori and Avi Perry of the Criminal Division's Fraud Section prosecuted the case. The CFTC also provided assistance in this matter.

Oh, and for anyone asking if they will get some of their money back for having been spoofed and manipulated by Bank of America, and countless other banks, into selling to buying positions that would have eventually made money, the answer is of course not.

Goldman Sachs, Elon Musk's stock market manipulation facade, own vast amounts of lithium and rare-earth mines, directly.

At Issue

Dear Lithium: A Dear John Letter

We never had a chance to fall in love

By David Mantey, Editor, PD&D Magazine

I get it, I get it. We're a culture that loves buzzwords. iPhone, Palm Pre, energy efficiency, green, change, synergize, monetize, functionality, stimulus, Tesla, etc. The list is long and can be efficiently compiled, even though it's in a constant state of fluctuation, by looking at the top stories on any aggregate or social site.

Just look at the top stories on reddit or Digg. Look at the most read news stories on CNN.com . Look at this newsletter and the PD&D site: If we feature anything on Tesla, our servers go into cardiac arrest and our IT Scotsman burns his mustache administering mouth-to-mouth.

All things lithium or Li-ion typically make the top 10 on this list, and I'm not sure the position is warranted. After all, few know what it is, where it comes from and how much of it is buried under the earth's surface.

We only need to know that this green efficient technology will help power our gadgets, replace fossil fuels, help alleviate the pain we're feeling at the pump, cure noise and noxious pollution woes and pull a golden-egg-laying rabbit out of a 40-story hat.

I was speaking with Scott Redmond, ... when he casually mentioned the limited amount of lithium – and how more than half of this green power stash was located in a country that typically hasn't played nice with others. I didn't brush off the comment, but I offered an agreeable 'Right, right,' and continued with my line of questioning for the cover story...

I was working out the article when the comment came back to me. Limited lithium? That can't be. Our country would never make a fool-hearted investment and risk billions of tax payer dollars on a technology with a finite amount of resources.

If two of these companies figure it out, the forecasted lithium shortage could happen much sooner than 2015.

Back to the green revolution getting stalled in South America. Bolivia is the potential owner of 5.4 million tons of lithium under the Salar de Uyuni salt desert (about half the worlds supply). According to an article run in the Guardian (UK) , "Bolivia's socialist government has a habit of clashing with foreign multinationals in other sectors and has not clinched a deal – and, according to some, may never seal one – with the investors needed to extract significant quantities of lithium."

The holder of Bolivia's most interesting title, Mining & Metallurgy Minister Luis Alberto Echazu hopes to extract 1,200 tons of lithium next year, with exponentially more to follow as the operation becomes more efficient in subsequent years. Right now, the government is building a bungalow to house technicians and miners for a pilot plant that seems dangerously similar to Fordlandia.

The government is in the market for a partner, but foreign companies have been afraid to work with a government that "confiscates assets and rips up contracts."

Not only is there a finite number of lithium resources, but it seems unlikely that Bolivia will find a way to harness its potential.

No lithium for the batteries and a desert that is once again known for nothing more than being salty.

Lithium, it's just not working for me. You say you're going to be there for me and then you never show. Do you know how embarrassing it was to describe to my friends? I sit in your driveway waiting for hours, only to have your father come out and say, 'It's not going to happen. it's over. I'm done playing games. Thanks for nothing, I'm keeping the toaster.' Dictated but not read. Is lithium the answer?

Lithium Mining Can't Meet Potential Demand

[Matt Bohlsen](#)

Morgan Stanley says 2021 should see lithium's market closer to balance as supply cuts bite and demand recovers, prices capped for now.

Lithium market news - US declares a national emergency to deal with the threat of US critical materials supply. Biden campaign tells miners it supports domestic production of EV metals.

Lithium company news - Tianqi Lithium warns of \$1.9 billion default as loan date looms. Pilbara Minerals achieved higher recoveries, stronger production and sales.

Too many players, not enough supply, mining is now a national industrial war hostage tool!

Welcome to the October 2020 edition of the lithium miner news. October saw lithium prices flat and numerous calls from within the US to support the EV metal miners (White House Executive order on critical minerals, Biden to support EV metals). There was also the usual very strong lithium demand forecasts such as "lithium demand seen doubling in next four years". Tesla ([TSLA](#)) Battery Day also served as a major acceleration to the EV boom and hence a wake-up call for auto manufacturers to secure EV metals or risk missing out. You can read more on this in my recent Trend Investing article: "[Tesla Just Put The Accelerator Down On The EV And Battery Boom.](#)"


Lithium spot and contract price news

During October, 99.5% lithium carbonate China spot prices were up [0.92%](#). Lithium hydroxide prices were down [0.34](#). Spodumene (6% min) prices were [unchanged](#).

Fastmarkets (formerly Metal Bulletin) [reports](#) 99.5% lithium carbonate battery grade spot midpoint prices cif China, Japan & Korea of US\$6.75/kg (US\$6,750/t), and min 56.5% lithium hydroxide battery grade spot midpoint prices cif China, Japan & Korea of US\$9.00/kg (US\$9,000/t).

[Benchmark Mineral Intelligence](#) has September global weighted average prices at US\$6,086/t for Li carbonate, US\$8,795/t for Li hydroxide, and US\$375/t for spodumene (6%).

Lithium carbonate & hydroxide, battery grade, cif China, Japan & Korea




Source: Fastmarkets

Lithium demand versus supply outlook

As part of a September 28 article on the Piedmont Lithium/Tesla deal the [Investors.com](#) article [quoted](#):
Morgan Stanley note - "Separately in a note Monday, Morgan Stanley analysts gave a strong lithium outlook. They wrote that "2021 should see lithium's market closer to balance as supply cuts bite and demand recovers, but the large volume of latent hardrock capacity and continued brine expansions cap price upside."

BNEF updated Li-ion battery demand outlook (June 2020)


Note: This may soon be updated considerably higher in the years 2025 to 2030 following Tesla Battery Day.



Source: Bloomberg New Energy Finance [BNEF]


Benchmark Mineral Intelligence lithium demand v supply forecast

Lithium Market Balance (tonnes LCE)



Source: Core Lithium courtesy of Benchmark Mineral Intelligence

2019 to 2030 'battery' demand increase forecast for EV metals as the EV boom takes off



Source: Courtesy BloombergNEF

Benchmark Mineral Intelligence - Simon Moores's - forecasts



[Source](#): Benchmark Mineral Intelligence Twitter

Lithium market and battery news

Some news I missed from last month. Nasdaq [reported](#):

Indonesia says LG Chem, CATL sign deal for lithium battery plant.... Indonesia has set a 2024 target to start producing lithium batteries....Indonesia's Investment Coordinating Board said in June that LG Chem was considering a \$9.8 billion investment in an electric vehicle battery factory integrated with a smelter. Meanwhile, CATL is already investing in a plant on Indonesia's Sulawesi island to extract battery-grade nickel chemicals. Indonesia stopped exports of unprocessed nickel earlier this year to ensure raw material supply for nickel investments in the country.

On September 28 Benchmark Mineral Intelligence [reported](#):

Tesla to build lithium hydroxide refinery in Texas to feed Terafactory; first automaker to enter lithium. The EV maker will build a spodumene conversion facility adjacent to the Terafactory / Gigafactory 5 in Austin, Texas in what has a typically aggressive start up target of Q4 2022. This adds to Tesla's plans to build a cathode facility in Texas in what Elon Musk describes as “part of our cell production plan”. Despite a flurry of Tesla Battery Day announcements, confusion reigned over Tesla's lithium direction in particular the EV makers plans' to extract lithium from Nevada-clay, which Benchmark understands is more of an early stage idea than a supply solution.

On September 28 Seeking Alpha reported:

Tesla said to be eyeing investment in LG Chem. Tesla ([TSLA](#)) is looking into purchasing a [stake](#) in battery maker LG Chem ([OTCPK:LGCLF](#)) of as much as 10%, according to the Korea Times. The report follows word earlier this month that LG will spin off its battery business to create a new company called LG Energy Solutions. Tesla is hoping to secure a supply of batteries as it also goes down a dual path of developing its own batteries.

On September 29 Reuters [reported](#):

Battery maker Northvolt raises \$600 million in private placement...with Volkswagen, Baillie Gifford, Goldman Sachs and Spotify founder Daniel Ek among the investors, it said on Tuesday. Northvolt,

which aims to take on major Asian players such as CATL and LG Chem and targets a 25% market share in Europe by 2030, said the deal would enable further investments in capacity expansion, research and development, and recycling.

On September 30 The White House [announced](#):

Executive Order on addressing the threat to the domestic supply chain from reliance on (35) critical minerals from foreign adversaries | The White House.....I therefore determine that our Nation's undue reliance on critical minerals, in processed or unprocessed form, from foreign adversaries constitutes an unusual and extraordinary threat, which has its source in substantial part outside the United States, to the national security, foreign policy, and economy of the United States. **I hereby declare a national emergency to deal with that threat.** In addition, I find that the United States must broadly enhance its mining and processing capacity, including for minerals not identified as critical minerals and not included within the national emergency declared in this order.

Note: The above report says the US Gov. will look into giving "grants to procure or install production equipment for the production and processing of critical minerals in the United States", "loan guarantees" and for projects that support domestic supply chains "funding awards and loans pursuant to the Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing incentive program."

You can view the US critical minerals list [here](#). It contains cobalt, graphite, **lithium**, manganese, PGMs for catalytic agents (Eg: palladium), rare earth elements group, scandium, titanium, vanadium etc.

On October 6 Reuters [reported](#):

EV battery maker Romeo Systems to go public through a \$1.33 bln SPAC deal....Romeo Systems Inc, a battery maker for electric vehicles, will go public through a merger with blank check company RMG Acquisition Corp in a \$1.33 billion deal, the companies said on Monday. Romeo will use the proceeds for capacity expansion and research & development to further develop battery system technologies for commercial vehicles, according to a statement. After the deal closes, which is expected in the fourth quarter of 2020, the combined company will list on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "RMO".


On October 6 Reuters [reported](#):

Toyota-Panasonic venture to build lithium-ion batteries for hybrids in Japan.... to manufacture lithium-ion power units for hybrid vehicles beginning in 2022....The production line at a Panasonic factory in Tokushima prefecture will have enough capacity to build batteries for around 500,000 vehicles a year.

On October 6 New Atlas [reported](#):

"World's fastest electrodes" triple the density of lithium batteries. French company Nawa technologies says it's already in production on a new electrode design that can radically boost the performance of existing and future battery chemistries, delivering up to 3x the energy density, 10x the power, vastly faster charging and battery lifespans up to five times as long..... Nawa's vertically aligned carbon nanotubes, on the other hand, create an anode or cathode structure more like a hairbrush, with a

hundred billion straight, highly conductive nanotubes poking up out of every square centimeter.....The result is a drastic reduction in the mean free path of the ions – the distance the charge needs to travel to get in or out of the battery – since every blob of lithium is more or less directly attached to a nanotube, which acts as a straight-line highway and part of the current collector..... We put the question of cost to Nawa. "The million dollar question!" said Boulanger. "Here's a million dollar answer: the process we're using is the same process that's used for coating glasses with anti-reflective coatings, and for photovoltaics. It's already very cheap."



[Source](#)

On October 7 Mining weekly [reported](#):

Lithium demand seen doubling in next four years.....On its Battery Day, US EV manufacturer Tesla announced it is working towards achieving 100 GWh of cell production capacity by 2022 and up to 3 000 GWh by 2030. This is far greater than other manufacturers such as China's BYD, which is expected to expand its capacity to 126 GWh in 2024, versus 40 GWh in 2019. Japan's Panasonic, a key supplier to Tesla itself, is expected to increase its capacity from 40 GWh in 2019 to 63 GWh in 2021, while LG Chem will expand from 65.2 GWh in 2019 to 172.4 GWh in 2024....

On October 8 Battery Materials Review [reported](#):

October's lead article is about the chronic under-investment in battery raw materials supply and the threat it poses to the EV event. Since 2018 US\$50bn has been raised for new battery capacity, US\$60bn for EV capacity but only US\$8bn in new raw materials capacity...and raw materials capacity takes 2-3 years longer to build. There is now a material risk of supranormal raw material prices which will impact battery prices and EV makers' profitability.

On October 13 PV-magazine [reported](#):

Lithium-ion gigafactory breaks ground in Australia. Less than a year from now, Australia will start producing its own renewables-storing lithium-ion batteries in New South Wales.

On October 21 [Mining.com reported](#):

Over \$1 trillion needed for energy transition metals. An investment of over \$1 trillion will be needed in key energy transition metals – aluminium, cobalt, copper, nickel and **lithium** – over the next 15 years just to meet the growing demands of decarbonisation. Wood Mackenzie, in a new report, says the figure is double what was invested over the last 15 years.

On October 23 Reuters [reported](#):

Biden campaign tells miners it supports domestic production of EV metals. Joe Biden's campaign has privately told U.S. miners it would support boosting domestic production of metals used to make electric vehicles, solar panels and other products crucial to his climate plan, according to three sources familiar with the matter, in a boon for the mining industry.

Lithium miner news

Albemarle (NYSE:[ALB](#))

No lithium related news for the month.

Sociedad Quimica y Minera S.A. (NYSE:[SQM](#))

On October 8 Nasdaq [reported](#):

Chile lithium miner SQM says to slash water, brine use at Atacama. The announcement comes two months after SQM lost a high profile legal battle that forced it to begin again on a plan to make amends for over-pumping brine from the environmentally sensitive Atacama..... The company said in a statement announcing its "Sustainable Development Plan" that it would voluntarily reduce its use of brine by 20% from November this year, with a goal of slashing it by 50% by 2030. "We do not believe that this brine extraction reduction will have an impact on our near- or long-term lithium production," the company said in the statement.

On October 8, SQM [announced](#): "SQM announces sustainable development plan."

Investors can read the company's latest presentation [here](#).

Jiangxi Ganfeng Lithium [SHE:002460] [HK: 1772], Mineral Resources [ASX:MIN], International Lithium Corp. [TSXV:ILC] ([OTCPK:ILHMF](#))

On October 16, Mineral resources [announced](#): "Sustainability report."

On October 15 S&P Global [reported](#):

China's Ganfeng Lithium expects up to 5x YOY rise in Q3'20 earnings. Ganfeng Lithium Co. Ltd. expects its net profit attributable to shareholders for the third quarter to increase 419.9% to 524.7% year over year to between 173.5 million Chinese yuan and 208.5 million yuan.....For the first nine months of this year, Ganfeng expected its earnings to be 330 million to 365 million yuan, a yearly

increase of 0.3% to 10.9%. The company said profit growth was affected by lower prices for lithium products during the period, offset by increased sales in its battery business. Ganfeng attributed the earnings increase to a rise in the stock price of 6.85%-owned Pilbara Minerals Ltd.

(Chengdu) Tianqi Lithium Industries Inc. [SHE:002466]

On September 29, 4-traders [reported](#):

Tianqi Lithium warns of \$1.9 billion default as loan date looms. China's Tianqi Lithium Corp said on Tuesday it may not be able to make a \$1.88 billion repayment due in November on a loan taken out to buy a stake in Sociedad Minera y Quimica de Chile [SQQ] in 2018.

Livent Corp. (LTHM)[GR:8LV] - Spun out from FMC Corp. (NYSE:FMC)

No significant news for the month.

Orocobre [ASX:ORE] [TSX:ORL] (OTCPK:OROCF)

No significant news for the month.

Upcoming catalysts include:

- H1 2021 - Olaroz Stage 2 (42.5ktpa) commissioning.
- H1 2021 - Naraha lithium hydroxide plant (10ktpa) commissioning (ORE share is 75%).

You can read the latest investor presentation [here](#).

Galaxy Resources [ASX:GXY] (OTCPK:GALXF)

On October 14, Galaxy Resources [announced](#):

Quarterly conference call & preliminary results.....At Mt Cattlin, Galaxy shipped 16,753 dry metric tonnes (“dmt”) of lithium concentrate during the quarter and 15,700 dmt at the beginning of October. Quarterly production of 30,067 dmt was achieved at a grade of 5.92% Li₂O and recovery of 57%, in line with full year guidance.

Upcoming catalysts include:

2020 - Construction progress at SDV.

2022 - SDV Stage 1 production commencement target.

Investors can read my recent article "[Galaxy Resources Plan To Be A 100,000tpa Lithium Producer By 2025](#)", and my CEO interview [here](#), and the latest company presentation [here](#).

Pilbara Minerals [ASX:PLS] (OTC:PILBF)

On October 12, Pilbara Minerals [announced](#): "Pilgangoora operational update. Sustained higher recoveries, stronger production and sales during September 2020 quarter sees unit costs continue to trend down." Highlights include:

- "An increase in plant run-time and utilisation, which represented approximately 70-75% utilisation across the quarter (compared with 40% in the June quarter).
- Higher plant utilisation and continued high product recovery contributed to a lower average unit cash operating cost of US\$355/dmt (CIF China) for the September quarter.
- Increased production, with a total of 62,404 dry metric tonnes [dmt] of spodumene concentrate produced for the quarter (compared with 34,484 dmt for the June quarter).
- An increase in sales, with spodumene concentrate shipments totalling 43,630dmt for the quarter, in line with guidance provided in the June Quarterly Report (compared with 29,312 dmt for the June quarter)."

Upcoming catalysts:

2021/22 - Stage 2 commissioning timing to depend on market demand.

Investors can read my article "[An Update On Pilbara Minerals](#)", and an interview [here](#).

Altura Mining [ASX:AJM] ([OTC:ALTAF](#))

No news for the month.

Investors can read a company presentation [here](#).

AMG Advanced Metallurgical Group NV [NA:AMG] [GR:ADG] ([OTCPK:AMVME](#))

No significant news for the month.

Upcoming catalysts:

2020/21 - Progress on lithium projects in Zeitz, Germany and in Zanesville, Ohio, both in the planning stage.

?2021--> - Stage 2 production at Mibra Lithium-Tantalum mine (additional 90ktpa) planned.

Neometals ([OTC:RRSSE](#)) ([Nasdaq:RDRUY](#)) [ASX:NMT]

On October 9, Neometals [announced](#):

Legal proceedings relating to Mt Marion. On 8 October 2020, project development company, Neometals Ltd, was served with a writ of summons in respect of proceedings commenced against it in the Supreme Court of Western Australia. The plaintiffs, Mr Murray Ward and his associated company, Roseland Capital Pty Ltd, ("Plaintiffs") seek damages from Neometals for alleged breaches of contract, breaches of the Australian Consumer Law, and tortious conspiracy. Neometals emphatically denies the Plaintiffs' claims and intends to vigorously defend the proceedings.

Lithium Americas [TSX:LAC] ([LAC](#))

On October 20, Lithium Americas [announced](#):

Lithium Americas provides corporate update and establishes US\$100m ATM program.....the Company has established an at-the-market equity program (the “ATM Program”) that allows the Company to issue up to US\$100 million (or its Canadian dollar equivalent) of common shares (the “Common Shares”) from treasury to the public from time to time, at the Company’s discretion. “With over 60% of the capital costs spent and enhanced COVID-19 health and safety protocols in place, we remain fully-funded to advance Cauchari-Olaroz to production,” said Jon Evans, President and CEO. “In Nevada, the permitting process continues to progress as planned with the public comment period complete on the Draft EIS and local support with the recently approved tax abatements from the Governor’s Office of Economic Development. Finally, the Company has decided to implement an ATM Program to strengthen our position as we advance discussions with potential partners and customers at Thacker Pass.”

Upcoming catalysts:

- 2020 - Cauchari-Olaroz plant construction.
- Q4 2020 - Thacker Pass DFS.
- ~Mid 2021 - Cauchari-Olaroz lithium production to commence and ramp to 40ktpa.
- 2023 - Possible lithium clay producer from Thacker Pass Nevada (full ramp by 2026). Also any possible JV announcements prior.

NB: LAC owns 49% of the Cauchari-Olaroz project and partners with Ganfeng Lithium (51%).

Investors can read my article "[An Update On Lithium Americas.](#)"

Global X Lithium & Battery Tech ETF (NYSEARCA:[LIT](#)) - Price = [US\\$42.19](#).

The LIT fund was up strongly again in October. The current PE is [41.8](#). My updated model forecast is for lithium demand to increase 3.6 fold between 2020 and end 2025 to ~1.1m tpa, and 9.6x this decade to reach ~3.7m tpa by 2030.



[Source](#): Seeking Alpha

Note: Amplify Advanced Battery Metals and Materials ETF ([BATT](#)) is a broad based EV metals fund worth considering.

October saw lithium prices flat.

Highlights for the month were:

- Indonesia says LG Chem, CATL sign deal for lithium battery plant.
- Tesla to build lithium hydroxide refinery in Texas to feed Terafactory; first automaker to enter lithium.
- Morgan Stanley note: "2021 should see lithium's market closer to balance as supply cuts bite and demand recovers, but the large volume of latent hardrock capacity and continued brine expansions cap price upside."
- Tesla said to be eyeing investment in LG Chem.
- Battery maker Northvolt raises \$600 million in private placement
- EV battery maker Romeo Systems to go public through a \$1.33 bln SPAC deal.
- **Lithium demand seen doubling in next four years.**
- Under-investment in battery raw materials supply and the threat it poses to the EV.
- CATL and LG Chem have signaled they may join projects that could see \$20 billion more invested in supply chains in Indonesia.
- Wood Mackenzie says **over \$1 trillion needed for energy transition metals** – aluminium, cobalt, copper, nickel and **lithium** – over the next 15 years just to meet the growing demands of decarbonisation.
- Biden campaign tells miners it supports domestic production of EV metals.
- Chile lithium miner SQM says to slash water, brine use at Atacama.
- Tianqi Lithium warns of \$1.9 billion default as loan date looms.
- Galaxy Resources - Quarterly production of 30,067 dmt was achieved.
- Pilbara Minerals achieved higher recoveries, stronger production and sales during September 2020 quarter, unit costs continue to trend down.
- Lithium Americas establishes a US\$100m at-the-market equity program.
- Only a short time later we see the trends solidify:
 - Summary
- Lithium prices rose the past month.
- Lithium market news - US unveils plans for supply of batteries, critical minerals, semiconductors. Volkswagen and Tesla active at securing battery metals.

- Junior lithium miner company news - Ganfeng offers to buy the balance of Bacanora Lithium shares at 67.5 pence. Sigma Lithium exceptional PEA results supporting doubling planned production capacity.
- Neo Lithium produces 99.9% battery grade lithium carbonate. Sayona Quebec (Sayona 75%; Piedmont 25%) awaiting Court approval of contested bid for NAL.
- Piedmont Lithium updated Scoping Study result of after-tax NPV8% US\$1.923b, after tax IRR 31%, initial CapEx US\$838.6m. Frontier Lithium intersects over 340 metres of pegmatite averaging 1.68% Li₂O at the spark pegmatite. Nano One graduates to the TSX, changes ticker to "NANO".
- I do much more than just articles at Trend Investing: Members get access to model portfolios, regular updates, a chat room, and more. [Learn More »](#)



Welcome to the June 2021 edition of the "junior" lithium miner news. I have categorized those lithium miners that won't likely be in production before 2022 as the juniors. Investors are reminded that most of the lithium juniors will most likely be needed in the mid and late 2020's to supply the booming electric vehicle [EV] and energy storage markets. This means investing in these companies requires a higher risk tolerance, and a longer time frame.

June saw lithium prices rise again and plenty of good news from the lithium juniors.

Lithium spot and contract price news


Asian Metal reported during the past 30 days, 99.5% lithium carbonate China spot prices were up [2.41%](#). Lithium hydroxide prices were up [4.42%](#). Spodumene (6% min) prices were up [6.15%](#) over the past month.

Fastmarkets (formerly Metal Bulletin) [reports](#) 99.5% lithium carbonate battery grade spot midpoint prices cif China, Japan & Korea of US\$13.50/kg (US\$13,500/t), and min 56.5% lithium hydroxide battery grade spot midpoint prices cif China, Japan & Korea of US\$15.00/kg (US\$15,000/t).

[Benchmark Mineral Intelligence](#) as of Mid-June reported China lithium carbonate prices of US\$12,875/t (technical grade) to US\$13,800 (battery grade), and for lithium hydroxide prices of US\$13,800/t, and for spodumene-6% no figure was quoted (I have heard US\$620-720/t).


[Metal.com](#) reports lithium spodumene concentrate (6%, CIF China) price of USD 720/mt, as of June 17, 2021.

Lithium carbonate & hydroxide, battery grade, cif China, Japan & Korea



Source: Fastmarkets

Benchmark Mineral Intelligence lithium demand vs. supply forecast



Source: Core Lithium courtesy of Benchmark Mineral Intelligence

Lithium market news

For a summary of the latest lithium market news and the "major" lithium company's news, investors can read my "Lithium Miners News For The Month Of June 2021" [article](#). Highlights include:

- Roskill - "By 2031, demand is expected to exceed 2.0Mt LCE from all end-use applications, which will require fundamental changes in the scale and types of lithium projects in operation to meet."

- EV prices fall as battery technology improves, ICE/EV parity forecast by 2023.
- Global EV battery sales surge as demand for clean cars booms.
- Volkswagen to 'get actively involved in the raw materials business'.
- Tesla to buy more than \$1 billion of Australian battery minerals a year.
- US unveils plans for supply of batteries, critical minerals, semiconductors.
- CATL and BYD in talks with Apple (NASDAQ:[AAPL](#)) for EV battery supply... (Apple) aims to start production of a passenger vehicle in 2024, Reuters [reported](#).
- Sweden's Northvolt raises \$2.8 bln to supercharge EV battery output.
- FREYR ([ALUS](#)) in negotiations for building battery production facilities in the United States
- The global lithium ion battery megafactory count is now at 211 (3,791 GWh), up from 142 a year ago, and 84 two years ago.

Junior lithium miners company news

Bacanora Lithium [LSE-AIM:BCN] [GR:2F9] ([OTCPK:BCLMF](#))

On June 3, Bacanora Lithium [announced](#):

PUSU extension. Bacanora Independent Directors and Ganfeng International Trading (Shanghai) Limited ("Ganfeng") announced that Bacanora Lithium PLC ("Bacanora") and Ganfeng had entered into an agreement regarding the terms of a possible cash offer by Ganfeng for the entire issued and to be issued share capital of Bacanora, other than that which it already owns, at a price of 67.5 pence per Bacanora Share (the "Offer Price") (the "Possible Offer"). The Possible Offer remains subject to a number of pre-conditions as set out in the 6 May 2021 announcement.

On June 22, Bacanora Lithium [announced](#):

Update on Zinnwald Lithium, the Sonora Project and debt facility. Bacanora Lithium plc, a lithium development company, is pleased to note today's announcement by Zinnwald Lithium Plc (AIM:ZNWD) ("Zinnwald") of its acquisition of the remaining 50% of Deutsche Lithium GmbH ("Deutsche Lithium"). The Company also announces an update on its site activities at the Sonora Lithium Project (the "Project"), located in Mexico, and its debt facility agreement with RK Mine Finance ("RK"). Zinnwald has announced that it has entered into a binding agreement to acquire the 50 percent of Deutsche Lithium that it does not already own for a total consideration of €8.8 million to be settled with a cash payment of €1.5 million and the issue of approximately 50 million new shares (the "Acquisition"). Deutsche Lithium is developing the advanced Zinnwald Lithium Project in Germany (the "Zinnwald Project"). The Acquisition gives Zinnwald full ownership and operational control of the Zinnwald Project and is in line with its corporate objective to become a key supplier to the European lithium market. On completion of the Acquisition, Bacanora's shareholding in Zinnwald will decrease

from 44.2% to 35.5%. Bacanora will maintain its right to appoint one Director to the Board of Zinnwald.

Investors can view the Company's latest presentation [here](#).

Catalysts include:

- 2021 - Sonora [construction has begun](#) (50:50 JV with Ganfeng Lithium) as Bacanora's share is now fully funded.
- 2023---> Plan to commence Sonora production ramping to 17,500 tpa, and in stage two 35,000 tpa.

Sigma Lithium Resources [TSXV:SGMA]([OTCQB:SGMLF](#))

Sigma is developing a world class lithium hard rock deposit with exceptional mineralogy at its Grota do Cirilo property in Brazil.

On June 2, Sigma Lithium Resources [announced](#): "Sigma Lithium announces exceptional PEA results supporting doubling planned production capacity to 440,000 tpa (66,000 LCE)." Highlights include:

Phase 2 production highlights

- "Project's near-term production capacity of battery grade high-purity green lithium will be potentially doubled: Production is planned to increase from 220,000 tpa (33,000 LCE) planned for 2022 in Phase 1, to 440,000 tpa (66,000 LCE), within approximately one year. Phase 2 production has a projected life of mine of approximately 13years: vertically integrated to Sigma's second deposit, Barreiro with 20.5 Mt of measured & indicated high-grade and high-purity lithium resources at 1.43% Li₂O. Low-risk execution strategy: Phase 2 construction is planned to start once Phase 1 concludes commissioning and ramps up production in 2022. The Company has significantly advanced multiple Project workstreams with the objective of preparing for Phase 2 production after 2023."

Phase 2 financial highlights

- "Phase 2 has the potential to more than double total NPV of the Project to US\$844 million: NPV of Phase 2 Production US\$449 million. Low initial capital expenditures of US\$44.5 million.
- Phase 2 after-tax cash flow generation during 13 years is projected as follows: Net revenue of US\$2.1 billion (annualized US\$165 million). After Tax Free Cash Flow of US\$ 766 million (annualized US\$60 million). EBITDA of US\$1 billion (annualized US\$83million). IRR of 208%.
- Located close to Atlantic emerging supply chain for electric vehicles in North America and Europe, Phase 2 would enable Sigma to continue to be amongst the lowest cost producers in the industry. PEA projects Phase 2 average total cash cost to be US\$256/t (FOB Plant, life of mine) and US\$360/t (CIF China Port, life of mine)."

Phase 1 construction update

- "All Detailed Engineering and Pre-Construction workstreams continued to advance to achieve production in the third quarter of 2022..."

On June 23, Sigma Lithium Resources [announced](#):

Sigma breaks ground advancing project to implementation stage and commences earthworks in preparation for civil construction.

Catalysts include:

- Q3 2022 - Production targeted to begin at the Grota do Cirilo Project in Brazil.

Investors can read my recent Trend Investing article [Sigma Lithium Looks To Be A Potential 2022 Lithium Producer With Significant Next Stage expansion Potential](#).

Neo Lithium [TSXV:NLC] ([OTC:NTTFF](#))

On May 27, Neo Lithium [announced](#): "Neo Lithium discovers new deep brine aquifer in the high-grade zone of the 3Q project." Highlights include:

- "Every new drill hole intercepted high-grade brine at depth of up to 362m and outside of the previous resource estimate in 2018.
- Previous resource estimate in the northern high-grade zone only went to 100m and drill hole PP1-R-26 250m off-strike..."

On June 9, Neo Lithium [announced](#): "Neo Lithium updates 3Q project with 125% increase of measured and indicated resources in the high-grade zone." Highlights include:

- "Significant increase in northern high-grade mineral resource estimate at 800 mg/l lithium cut-off: Measured and indicated resource estimate of 1,682,000 tonnes of lithium carbonate equivalent at an average grade of 926 mg/l Lithium.
- Significant increase of central and southern medium-grade resource estimate at 400 mg/l Lithium cut-off: Measured and indicated resource estimate 5,304,000 tonnes of lithium carbonate equivalent at an average grade of 636 mg/l Lithium
- Average combined impurities for Magnesium/Lithium and Sulphate/Lithium continue to be lowest in industry. High grade resource with 800 mg/l cut off: Mg/Li=1.66 and Sulfate/Li=0.49
- Potential for resource expansion continues to exist at depth, and off strike on the eastern border of the 3Q Project under the alluvial cones.

On June 17, Neo Lithium [announced](#): "Neo Lithium produces 99.9% battery grade lithium carbonate." Highlights include:

- "Highest battery grade lithium carbonate produced to date with 99.9% purity.

- The Company will now convert the Plant from batch mode into continuous mode to produce large samples for specification certification.
- Lithium carbonate has now been considered acceptable for the development of batteries by CATL."

Investors can read the latest company presentation [here](#), and an excellent video [here](#). My CEO interview is available on Trend Investing [here](#).

Upcoming catalysts include:

- Mid 2021 - Environmental permit expected.
- Q3 2021 - Feasibility Study due. Possible project partner/funding announcements usually after FS is released.
- Late 2021/early 2022 - Project construction planned to begin (subject to financing).

Core Lithium Ltd. [ASX:CXO] [GR:7CX] ([OTC:CORX](#))([OTCPK:CXOXF](#))

Core 100% own the Finnis Lithium Project (Grants Resource) in Northern Territory Australia. Significantly they already have an off-take partner with China's Yuhua (large market cap, large lithium producer), who has [signed a supply deal with Tesla \(TSLA\)](#). The Company states they have a "high potential for additional resources from 500km² covering 100s of pegmatites."

On May 26, Core Lithium Ltd. [announced](#): "Core secures Darwin Port Operating Agreement."

Highlights include:

- "Core signs 5-year Darwin Port Operating Agreement [POA].
- Darwin Port Operations Facilities include truck dump, ship loader and conveyor and unloading of (mining and plant construction) equipment.
- POA contemplates exporting lithium concentrate and DSO and cements a key part of Core's low cost logistics chain from mine to port.
- Core preparing for FID in coming months to target first exports of lithium in 2022."

On June 1, Core Lithium Ltd. [announced](#): "Lithium resource expansion and exploration drilling recommences at Finnis Lithium Project."

On June 24, Core Lithium Ltd. [announced](#):

Nuggets at new Toolebuc prospect extends far east gold trend to 2,500m in length. Core's major focus is on the development of the Finnis Lithium Project.

Investors can read a company presentation [here](#).

Catalysts include:

- 2021 - Updated Feasibility Study for the Finnis Lithium Project.

- H2 2021 - Construction anticipated to begin at Finnis, subject to FID (Q3 2021).
- 2021 - Fieldwork on the Adelaide River Gold Project.

Neometals ([OTC:RRSSF](#)) ([Nasdaq:RDRUY](#)) [[ASX:NMT](#)]

On May 27, Neometals [announced](#): "Primobius enters MoU for North America with Stelco ("STLC") to construct a plant for extraction and recycling of battery metals." Highlights include:

- "Primobius (JV between Neometals and SMS group) enters into lithium-ion battery recycling MoU with leading Canadian steel producer Stelco Inc. (TSX: "STLC").
- MoU contemplates a potential 50:50 joint venture to recycle battery packs arising from end-of-life vehicle and rejected battery recycling.
- MOU sets out key commercial arrangements for Primobius' first potential operation in North America with a partner capable of providing large volumes of end-of-life batteries."

On June 3, Neometals [announced](#):

Neometals realises A\$30M for Mt Marion offtake option... The Company retains significant exposure to the lithium-ion battery supply chain through its Primobius battery recycling JV and portfolio of proprietary lithium processing technologies. Neometals' cash, receivables and investments increase to ~A\$107 million. Commenced a strategic review of the Indian lithium refinery project.

Catalysts:

- 2021 - Possible spin-off the Mt Edwards Nickel Project.
- 2022 - Advancements in key projects with JV partners towards final investment decisions.
- 2023 - Potential Lithium refinery in India (or Australia), potential for lithium-ion battery recycling 50:50 JV Primobius to have several projects globally, possible late stages with the Barrambie Titanium Vanadium Iron Project & the Vanadium Recovery Project.

You can read my very recent article "[An Update On Neometals 5 Key Projects Across The Energy Storage Metals And EV Battery Metals Sector](#)"

Savannah Resources [[LSE:SAV](#)] [[GR:SAV](#)] ([OTCPK:SAVNE](#))

On June 1, Savannah Resources [announced](#): "Mina do Barroso Lithium Project update. Savannah to evaluate additional strategic opportunities due to improved market conditions." Highlights include:

- "... Amid this backdrop the Heads of Agreement ('HoA') with Galp (announced on 12 January 2021) has now expired, and discussions in relation to strategic investment and offtake will continue with Galp outside of the exclusive terms of the HoA.
- Meanwhile, Savannah intends to leverage this degree of commercial interest in MdB to create a strong economic platform to support the Project's development and to maximise shareholder value. Savannah is now evaluating its many strategic options.

- This process may include alternative or supplementary offtake contracts, with or without investment from the offtaker[s] in Savannah or the project directly. It may also result in strategic investments in the Company independent of offtake, or the sale of a portion of the Mina do Barroso Project.
- Savannah's own financial position has been significantly strengthened during the period following the execution of the oversubscribed £10.3m fund raise in April 2021. This provides Savannah with the opportunity to independently advance the definitive feasibility study work at Mina do Barroso and add to its lithium project portfolio.
- Savannah intends to leverage this degree of commercial interest in MdB to create a strong economic platform to support the Project's development."

On June 2, Savannah Resources [announced](#): "Financial results for the Year Ended 31 December 2020." Highlights include:

Subsequent Events & 2021 Outlook

Mina do Barroso Lithium Project, Portugal

- "Public consultation on the EIA initiated in April 2021; Key milestone of 'Declaration of Environmental Impact' expected later this year.
- Received increasing investment and offtake interest in parallel with H1 2021 lithium price recovery...
- Subject to ongoing COVID-related restrictions, complete the project's Definitive Feasibility Study in support of securing construction financing.
- Adding to our in-house team in preparation for the development of Mina do Barroso."

Argosy Minerals [ASX:AGY][GR:AM1] ([OTCPK:ARYMF](#))

Argosy has an interest in the Rincon Lithium Project in Argentina, targeting a fast-track development strategy.

On June 4, Argosy Minerals [announced](#): "Rincon 2,000tpa li₂co₃ project update." Highlights include:

- "2,000tpa lithium carbonate process plant development works progressing on schedule and budget.
- 24% of scheduled total construction works now complete.
- First commercial production of battery quality Li₂CO₃ product targeted by mid-2022.
- Positive lithium sector fundamentals to leverage attractive off-take agreements to support the 2,000tpa operation and 10,000tpa project development.
- Strategic investment discussions on-going for 10,000tpa capex funding solution."

Investors can view the company's latest investor presentation [here](#).

Wesfarmers [ASX:WES] (took over Kidman Resources)

The Mt Holland Lithium Project is a 50/50 JV between Wesfarmers [ASX:WES] ([OTCPK:WFAFF](#)) and SQM ([SQM](#)), located in Western Australia. There is also a proposal for a refinery located in WA. Wesfarmers acquired 100% of the shares in Kidman for A\$1.90 per share, for US\$545 million in total.

On June 16, Wesfarmers [announced](#):

Wesfarmers issues inaugural sustainability-linked bonds... Wesfarmers is to raise AUD1 billion following the successful pricing this week...

You can view the latest company presentation [here](#).

Upcoming catalysts include:

- [H2, 2024](#) - Mt Holland production planned to begin.

Sayona Mining [ASX:SYA] ([OTC:DMNXF](#))

On May 27, Sayona Mining [announced](#):

Sayona bid for NAL to be submitted for court approval. Emerging lithium producer Sayona Mining Limited is advancing its Québec expansion strategy after the monitor (administrator) appointed by the Court overseeing the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act (Canada) process of North American Lithium Inc. [NAL], further to the support confirmation from both secured creditors of NAL, has confirmed that it will support the filing of a motion with the Court for the approval of Sayona's joint bid for NAL with Piedmont Lithium Inc. (Sayona 75%; Piedmont 25%).

On May 28, Sayona Mining [announced](#):

Sayona Québec: NAL Offer 'Takes a New Step'. Emerging lithium producer Sayona Mining Limited attaches the following statement from the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Sayona Québec, regarding the joint bid with Piedmont Lithium Limited for North American Lithium (Sayona 75%; Piedmont 25%) (refer ASX release 27 May 2021). Also included is a link to the Québec Government announcement concerning the proposed bid... (if successful) Sayona Québec aims to resume production as soon as possible. The company is putting priority on environmental update of the facilities, technical improvements as well as the upgrading of certain equipment which was at a standstill.

On June 2, Sayona Mining [announced](#): "New drilling underway at Authier Project; WA Lithium earn-in." Highlights include:

- "New drilling campaign underway at flagship Authier Lithium Project, Québec, with goal of expanding lithium resource, reducing strip ratio and accelerating production to enhance profitability.
- Earn-in agreement reached with Altura Mining to expedite exploration at Sayona's lithium assets in Pilgangoora, Western Australia and facilitate Company's focus on North America."

On June 8, Sayona Mining [announced](#): "Completion of Piedmont investment in Sayona Québec."

On June 22, Sayona Mining [announced](#):

Update on Sayona's bid for North American Lithium. Emerging lithium producer Sayona Mining Limited announced today an update on the status of Sayona Québec Inc.'s (Sayona Québec) bid to acquire North American Lithium Inc. [NAL] as part of the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act [CCAA] proceedings of NAL. A preliminary hearing of the Joint Motion was held on 18 June, at which the Court scheduled the substantive hearing of the Joint Motion for 28 June 2021. The Joint Motion is being jointly contested by an alternative bidder for, and unsecured creditor of, NAL.

Investors can read the Company presentation [here](#), and my Trend Investing CEO interview [here](#).

Upcoming catalysts include:

- 2021 - Authier permitting. Result of NAL bid. Possible project financing and off-take.

Critical Elements Lithium Corp. [TSXV:CRE] [GR:F12] ([OTCQX:CRECF](#))

On June 2, Critical Elements Lithium Corp. [announced](#):

Critical Elements is UL ECOLOGO® certified for mineral exploration. Critical Elements Lithium Corporation is very proud to announce that it has received UL 2723 ECOLOGO® Certification for Mineral exploration Companies. The Company has successfully completed the final stage of the certification process, which includes audit results, use of the UL ECOLOGO® mark, and registration in UL's SPOT sustainable product database.

On June 7, Critical Elements Lithium Corp. [announced](#):

Lithium hydroxide engineering study. Critical Elements Lithium Corporation is pleased to announce it has retained the services of Metso Outotec and WSP in Canada [WSP] to prepare a Phase II engineering study for a chemical plant to produce high quality lithium hydroxide monohydrate for the electric vehicle and energy storage system battery industries.

On June 18, Critical Elements Lithium Corp. [announced](#): "Critical Elements engages GoldSpot Discoveries to apply AI exploration Technologies at its lithium-tantalum projects within the Nemiscau belt in Quebec."

Upcoming catalysts include:

- 2021 - Rose Lithium-Tantalum Project permitting. Possible off-take or financing announcements. Results of studies for a chemical plant to produce high quality lithium hydroxide monohydrate.

Lithium Power International [ASX:LPI] ([OTC:LTHHF](#))

No significant news for the month.

Upcoming catalysts:

- 2021 - Maricunga drill results. Further developments with Mitsui re off-take partner and funding announcements for Maricunga Lithium Brine Project in Chile.

Millennial Lithium Corp. [TSXV:ML] ([OTCQB:MLNLF](#)) ([OTCQX:MLNLF](#))

Millennial has tenements at the Pastos Grande Lithium Project and the Cauchari East Lithium Project, in Argentina. Mining licence and environmental permit has been granted.

On June 15, Millennial Lithium Corp. [announced](#):

Millennial Lithium Corp. announces additional license acquisitions at its Pastos Grandes Project, Argentina to increase holdings to 14,091 hectares... The acquisition of these licenses, particularly PPG 01, allows Millennial to continue to develop and plan our Project infrastructure and it also provides the Project with the potential to expand significantly the sources of fresh water for our processing facility. Millennial is fully engaged at Pastos Grandes with pilot plant operations continuing and discussions progressing with a number of off-takers and strategic investors.”

You can view the Company's latest investor presentation [here](#).

Upcoming catalysts:

- 2021 - Possible off-take agreements and project funding.

Lake Resources NL [ASX:LKE] [GR:LK1] ([OTCQB:LLKKE](#))

Lake Resources own the Kachi Lithium Brine Project in Argentina. Lake has been working with Lilac Solutions Technology (private, and backed by Bill Gates) for lithium extraction.

On June 4, Lake Resources NL [announced](#): "Kachi Project finance advances." Highlights include:

- "Strong interest for lower cost project debt finance of Lake's flagship Kachi Lithium Project has been indicated, amid increasing focus from EV makers on sustainable, high purity lithium.
- Preliminary interest indicated by over half a dozen major international banks with strong experience of funding projects in Argentina subject to support from export Credit Agencies.
- Lake well-funded through to final investment decision [FID] on construction finance, anticipated to be mid-2022, with A\$24 million in bank at end March 2021."

AVZ Minerals [ASX:AVZ] ([OTC:AZZVF](#))

On June 14, AVZ Minerals [announced](#): "AVZ's DRC logistics arm, Nyuki Logistics, secures long term land concession for Kabondo Dianda Intermodal Staging Station." Highlights include:

- "AVZ's 100% owned DRC Logistics and Haulage company, Nyuki Logistics, secures 1,227 hectare site at Kabondo Dianda for Intermodal Staging Station and local community social economic development programmes.
- 25-year renewable rental agreement for industrial land awarded by Haut-Lomami Lands Office."

Upcoming catalysts include:

- 2021 - Initial project work, possible project funding/partner.

ioneer Ltd [ASX:INR] [GR:4G1] ([OTCPK:GSCCF](#))

No significant news for the month.

Upcoming catalysts include:

- 2021 - Possible off-take and project financing discussions.

European Metals Holdings [ASX:EMH] [AIM:EMH] [GR:E861] ([OTC:ERPNE](#)) ([OTCPK:EMHLF](#)) (Nasdaq:EMHYY)

On June 10, European Metals Holdings [announced](#): "Lithium life cycle assessment specialist engaged." Highlights include:

- "... Cinovec LCAs to be produced for both battery-grade lithium carbonate and battery-grade lithium hydroxide monohydrate which will be manufactured at a lithium chemical plant nearby to the Cinovec mine.
- Cinovec LCAs will be benchmarked against global lithium peers.
- Minviro will be actively engaged to identify low-carbon optimisations in the developing feasibility study for Cinovec.
- Cinovec LCAs expected to demonstrate strong carbon footprint credentials with lower energy use, less intensive reagent application and net carbon credits from mine and process by-products.
- LCA Report anticipated to be completed and provided to the Company in Q3 2021."

On June 18, European Metals Holdings [announced](#):

EMH ADS to trade in the U.S... ADSs expected to commence trading on the OTC Market as a member of the Nasdaq International Designation during July 2021 under the ticker symbol "EMHYY"... Prague Listing to be postponed at suggestion of Prague Stock Exchange.

Upcoming catalysts include:

- 2021 - DFS to be released.

Piedmont Lithium [ASX:PLL] (Nasdaq:[PLL](#))

Piedmont Lithium state they are "the only US lithium spodumene project", with their 100%-owned Piedmont Lithium project in North Carolina.

On June 9, Piedmont Lithium [announced](#):

Scoping update highlights the exceptional economics and industry-leading sustainability of Piedmont's Carolina Lithium Project. Piedmont's Carolina Lithium Scoping Study Update is based on the Company's Mineral Resource estimate reported in April 2021, of 39.2 Mt at a grade of 1.09% Li₂O and the by-product Mineral Resource estimates comprising 7.4 Mt of quartz, 11.1 Mt of feldspar and 1.1 Mt of mica reported in June 2021. The fully integrated Study contemplates a 20-year project life, with the

downstream lithium hydroxide chemical plant commencing 90 days after the start of concentrate operations. The chemical plant is assumed to achieve full capacity within 12 months. Table 1 provides a summary of production and cost figures for the integrated Project.

Note: After-tax NPV 8% of US\$1.923b, after-tax IRR of 31%. Total initial CapEx estimated at US\$838.6m, average LiOH production cash costs US\$2,943/t, 20 year mine life, 2.9 year payback.

Piedmont Lithium's updated Scoping Study results summary

US\$401MM Average Annual EBITDA	US\$1.92B After-tax NPV	31% After-tax IRR
30,000 Tonnes per Year LiOH Production	US\$2,943 Per Tonne LiOH Cash Cost	2.9 year Payback Period

Source

Upcoming catalysts include:

- 2021/22 - Possible off-take and project funding announcements.

You can view the company's latest presentation [here](#).

Wealth Minerals [TSXV:WML] [GR:EJZN] (OTCQB:WMLLF)

Wealth Minerals has a portfolio of lithium assets in Chile, such as 46,200 Has at Atacama, 8,700 Has at Laguna Verde, 6,000 Has at Trinity, 10,500 Has at Five Salars.

On May 25, Wealth Minerals [announced](#):

Wealth arranges \$3,900,000 strategic investment. Hendrik van Alphen, CEO of Wealth, commented: "This is part of Wealth's strategy to advance our corporate development for the benefit of shareholders."
“

On June 21, Wealth Minerals [announced](#): "Wealth closes second tranche of \$3,900,000 strategic investment."

Investors can view the company's latest presentation [here](#).

Cypress Development Corp. (TSXV:CYP) (OTCQB:CYDVF)

Cypress Development owns tenements in the Clayton Valley, Nevada, USA.

No significant news for the month.

Liontown Resources [ASX:LTR] (OTC:LINRF)

Liontown Resources 100% own the Kathleen Valley Lithium spodumene project in Western Australia.

No news for the month.

You can view the company's latest presentation [here](#).

Upcoming catalysts include:

- Q4 2021- DFS due on Kathleen Valley Lithium-Tantalum Project

Standard Lithium [TSXV:SLL] ([OTC:STLHF](#))

On June 14, Standard Lithium [announced](#):

Standard Lithium announces the early conversion of loan facility with LANXESS Corporation. The Company has issued 6,251,250 common shares, and 3,125,625 share purchase warrants (each, a "Warrant"), to the Lender in connection with the conversion of the outstanding Loan and has retired the principal of the Loan in the amount of US\$3,750,000. Each warrant is exercisable to acquire an additional common share of the Company at a price of C\$1.20 until June 10, 2024...

Frontier Lithium [TSXV:FL] ([HLKMF](#))

Frontier Lithium own the PAK Lithium (spodumene) Project comprising 26,774 hectares and located 175 kilometers north of Red Lake in northwestern Ontario. The PAK deposit is a lithium-cesium-tantalum [LCT] type pegmatite containing high-purity, technical-grade spodumene (below 0.1% iron oxide).

On May 26, Frontier Lithium [announced](#):

Frontier to receive funding from Ontario Government. The Ontario government is investing \$363,000 in Frontier Lithium to help the emerging Greater Sudbury-based junior mining company demonstrate its new innovative extraction process for lithium. This investment will support jobs and opportunities in the mining sector, promote economic development in the north and highlight Ontario's high mineral development potential.

On June 1, Frontier Lithium [announced](#): "Frontier Lithium intersects over 340 metres of pegmatite averaging 1.68% Li₂O at the spark pegmatite." Highlights include:

- "Diamond Drill Hole ("DDH") PL-048-21 collared in pegmatite and intersected 340.7m of pegmatite averaging 1.68% Li₂O; Includes two continuous intersections of 153.1 and 116.2m averaging 1.62% and 1.68% Li₂O. Includes narrower high grades zones of up to 26m of 2.36% with one 8m zone averaging 3.13% Li₂O.
- Geomechanical DDH PL-GDH-06-21 intersected 82.2m averaging 1.25% Li₂O with an 11.2 m zone at the top of the hole averaged 2.22% Li₂O.
- The western extent of the deposit is open and appears to be trending to the southwest.
- Results from the remaining 4 holes are pending."

E3 Metals [TSXV:ETMC] ([OTCPK:EEMMF](#))

E3 Metals is a lithium development company focused on commercializing its extraction technology and advancing the world's 7th largest lithium resource with operations in Alberta. E3 has an inferred mineral resource of 6.7 million LCE.

On May 27, E3 Metals [announced](#): "E3 Metals Corp announces filing of Q1 2021 financial statements and MD&A."

On June 2, E3 Metals [announced](#): "E3 Metals expands Aquifer Management Plan following successful optimization..."

On June 9, E3 Metals [announced](#):

E3 Metals completes R&D, commissions flow testing and design... on the sorbent technology has completed. The Company has selected the final candidates to advance into the process design phase with the commissioning of a flow column testing program at its Calgary Testing Facility ("the Facility"). E3 Metals has been developing its proprietary, lithium selective sorbent for the purposes of primary extraction of lithium from brine. This development work began with the University of Calgary in 2017 and has been the major focus for E3 Metals since the Company released its third resource report in 2018, now totaling 7.0Mt LCE inferred mineral resources, with opportunity for significant expansion.

On June 23, E3 Metals [announced](#):

E3 Metals' improved sorbent outperforms, advanced for flow column testing... has demonstrated longer life and consistent performance in batch testing with 97% lithium recovery while removing over 99% of the critical impurities.

You can read the company's latest presentation [here](#).

American Lithium Corp. [TSXV: LI] ([OTCQB:LIACF](#)) (acquired Plateau Energy Metals Inc.)

On May 20, American Lithium Corp. [announced](#): "American Lithium adds drill-ready lithium exploration targets west of Falchani and outlines plans for advancing Peruvian Lithium and Uranium Projects." Highlights include:

- "Two new high priority, drill-ready lithium target areas have been identified west of Falchani through mapping and surface sampling.
- Drilling now being planned to test these targets and focus on the discovery of new lithium deposits.
- In-fill and expansion drilling to begin at Falchani and focus on resource re-classification (upgrading resource categories) and resource expansion. expansion drilling to begin at Macusani to expand existing uranium resources and test for new deposits.

- Permitting process, including environmental and community permitting, underway with goal of launching the above drill programs late June to coincide with the end of the local rainy season."

On June 17, American Lithium Corp. [announced](#):

American Lithium provides update on its plan of operations for TLC... Next phase at TLC to include a drill program of up to 95 drill holes to extend, expand and upgrade existing resource and complete up to 5 test pits for metallurgical bulk sampling.

Rio Tinto [ASX:RIO] [LN:RIO] ([RIO](#))

Rio owns a large lithium deposit called Jadar, which is yet to be developed. Jadar is a unique, world-class lithium-borate deposit near the town of Loznica in Serbia. They also have a potential US lithium project from their Boron Mine tailings.

On May 25, Rio Tinto [announced](#):

Rio Tinto partners with InoBat to explore innovative lithium battery initiative. Rio Tinto and InoBat, a European battery technology and manufacturing company, have signed a Memorandum of Understanding to work together to accelerate the establishment of a “cradle to cradle” battery manufacturing and recycling value chain in Serbia.

Catalysts:

2022 - Jadar construction to begin (4 years as underground mine).

2026/27 - Jadar production planned to begin

Lithium processing and new cathode technologies

Nano One Materials [TSX:NANO] ([OTCPK:NNOME](#))

On May 27, Nano One Materials [announced](#):

Nano One receives conditional approval to graduate to the Toronto Stock Exchange. (Ticker change to "NANO")

On June 3, Nano One Materials [announced](#): "Nano One and Johnson Matthey enter into a Joint Development Agreement for Lithium-ion Battery Materials." Highlights include:

- "... Co-development of next generation products and processes for Johnson Matthey’s eLNO® family of nickel-rich advanced cathode materials using Nano One’s patented One-Pot process.
- Includes detailed commercialization study for pre-pilot, pilot and scaled up production.
- Builds on the successful technical reviews and evaluations conducted by Nano One and JM over the past year."

On June 17, Nano One Materials [announced](#): "Nano One granted 3 new patents." Highlights include:

- "3 new patents, issued and allowed in Canada, the US and China.

- Patents extend protection on One-Pot process and LNMO cathode materials.
- Patents add value to One-Pot, M2CAM, coated nanocrystal and sustainability enhancing technologies."

Other lithium juniors

Other juniors include: Alpha Lithium Corporation [CVE:ALLI] ([OTCPK:ALLIF](#)), American Lithium Corp. [TSX-V: LI] ([OTCQB:LIACF](#)), American Pacific Borate & Lithium [ASX:ABR], Anson Resources [ASX:ASN] [GR:9MY], Ardiden [ASX:ADV], Argentina Lithium and Energy Corp. [TSXV: LIT] (OTCQB: [OTCQB:PNXLF](#)), Avalon Advanced Materials [TSX:AVL] [GR:OU5] ([OTCQX:AVLNF](#)), Carnaby Resources Ltd [ASX:CNB], Electric Royalties [TSXV:ELEC], Eramet [FR: ERA] ([OTCPK:ERMAF](#)) ([OTCPK:ERMAY](#)), European Lithium Ltd [ASX:EUR] ([OTCPK:EULIF](#)), Far Resources [CSE:FAT] ([OTCPK:FRRSE](#)), Firefinch Limited (ASX: FFX) (formerly Mali Lithium Limited), Galan Lithium [ASX:GLN], Ltd [ASX:HNR], Iconic Minerals [TSXV:ICM] [FSE:YQGB] ([OTCPK:BVTEF](#)), Infinity Lithium [ASX:INF], International Battery Metals [CSE: IBAT] ([OTCPK:RHHNF](#)), Ion Energy [TSXV:ION], Jadar Resources Limited [ASX:JDR], Kodal Minerals (LSE-AIM: KOD), Lake Resources [ASK:LKE] ([OTCQB:LLKKE](#)), Latin Resources Ltd [ASX: LRS] ([OTC:LAXXF](#)), Liberty One Lithium Corp. [TSXV:LBY] ([OTCPK:LRTTF](#)), Lithium Australia [ASX:LIT] ([OTC:LMMFF](#)), Lithium Chile Inc. [TSXV:LITH] [GR:KC3] ([OTCPK:LTMCF](#)), Lithium Energi exploration Inc. [TSXV:LEXI]([OTCPK:LXENF](#)), Lithium South [TSXV:LIS] ([NRGME](#)), MetalsTech [ASX:MTC], MGX Minerals [CSE:XMG] ([OTC:MGXMF](#)), Noram Ventures [TSXV: NRM], One World Lithium [CSE:OWLI] ([OTC:OWRDF](#)), Portofino Resources Inc.[TSXV:POR] [GR:POT], Power Metals Corp. [TSXV:PWM] ([OTCPK:PWRMF](#)), Prospect Resources [ASX:PSC], Pure Energy Minerals [TSXV:PE] ([OTCQB:PEMIF](#)), Rock Tech Lithium [CVE:RCK], Spearmint Resources Inc [CSE:SPMT] ([OTCPK:SPMTE](#)), United Lithium Corp. [CSE:ULTH] (OTC PINK:ULTHF)[FWB:0UL], Vision Lithium Inc. [TSXV:VLI] ([OTCQB:ABEPF](#)), Vulcan Energy Resources [ASX: VUL] ([OTCPK:VULNF](#)).

Conclusion

June saw lithium spot prices higher again.

Highlights for the month were:

- Global EV battery sales surge leading to strong lithium demand and pricing.
- Ganfeng offers to buy the balance of Bacanora Lithium shares at 67.5 pence.
- Sigma Lithium announces exceptional PEA results supporting doubling planned production capacity to 440,000 tpa (66,000 LCE).
- Neo Lithium discovers new deep brine aquifer in the high-grade zone of the 3Q project. Neo Lithium produces 99.9% battery grade lithium carbonate.

- Neometals realises A\$30M for Mt Marion offtake option. Primobius (50/50 JV Neometals & SMS group) enters MoU for North America with Stelco (“STLC”) to construct a plant for extraction and recycling of battery metals.
- Sayona Quebec (Sayona 75%; Piedmont 25%) awaiting Court approval of contested bid for NAL.
- Millennial Lithium Corp. announces additional license acquisitions at its Pastos Grandes Project, Argentina to increase holdings to 14,091 hectares.
- Lake Resources Kachi Project finance advances.
- European Metal Holdings ADS to trade in the U.S, ticker "EMHYY".
- Piedmont Lithium's Carolina Lithium Project updated Scoping Study results in an after-tax NPV8% of US\$1.923b, after-tax IRR of 31%. Total Intial CapEx US\$838.6m, average LiOH production cash costs US\$2,943/t, 20 year mine life, 2.9 year payback.
- Frontier Lithium intersects over 340 metres of pegmatite averaging 1.68% Li₂O at the spark pegmatite.
- Rio Tinto and InoBat sign MOU to work together to accelerate the establishment of a “cradle to cradle” battery manufacturing and recycling value chain in Serbia.
- Nano One graduates to the TSX, changes ticker to "NANO".
- OVERALL: Short term gains will lead to long terms sadness and war
- [My Top 2 Battery Metal Miners For Lithium, Cobalt, Nickel; And 1 For Graphite & Manganese](#)
- [Top 5 Lithium Miners To Accumulate In 2020 & 2021](#)

Ownership Questions Dog ENER1 (HEV) As It Competes For Loans And Grants

Posted by [Alison Kroulek](#) | # |
08:40:27 am on March 23, 2009

RUSSIANS, RUSSIANS, RUSSIANS

Ener1 seems like it would be one of the companies most likely to benefit from the stimulus plan. After all, the company makes batteries for electric cars and it has a manufacturing plant in Indiana, so it benefits American workers. Plus, the market for these batteries should take off as America tries to reduce the amount of fossil fuels used to power our vehicles. Here's how [Barron's](#) describes Ener1's growth potential:

If Ener1 were to win 5% to 12% of a million-vehicle battery market, the company estimates, it could pull in \$2.1 billion in annual revenue with 15% margins (based on earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization). "If you want to apply a 15 times multiple to that cash flow, which in any normal market is a reasonable growth market, you're talking about a \$4.5 billion equity-market cap," says CEO Charles Gassenheimer.

To help expand its facilities here, Ener1 has applied for a \$480 million loan from the US Department of Energy and plans to apply for some of a \$2 billion dollar grant that is part of the Advanced Battery Manufacturing Initiative in the stimulus plan.

However, questions about the company's ownership are complicating the application process. Here's how the [Barron's](#) article I linked to above explains the problem:

As of late February, some 62% of Ener1's outstanding shares were owned by privately held Ener1 Group. In turn, 66% of Ener1 Group — a recent participant in a \$5.7 million loan to Think Global, which is trying to emerge from bankruptcy — is held by Bzinfin, a British Virgin Islands company whose "indirect beneficial owner" is Boris Zingarevich, a Russian businessman. Zingarevich has close ties to Russian President Dmitry Medvedev and Prime Minister Vladimir Putin.

This is a concern for the Department of Energy. There are fears that if Ener1 develops a successful battery, all of the research and development funded with DOE loans and grants could be transferred back to Russia, especially since there are also military applications for the technology.

Speaking to [Barron's](#), Ener1 CEO responded to these concerns by denying that the Russian investors have any influence on the decisions the company makes. Here's how he explains the situation:

Gassenheimer says that Zingarevich joined the company "when the two founders ran into financial difficulties... If it were not for Boris, this company would not be alive today. He's been a tremendous partner, a patient investor. It's nice to have someone with this level of patience that is fully committed to the story." He adds that Zingarevich "as a matter of SEC rules... is deemed to 'beneficially own' a majority of our shares" but has

The Bottom Line On Rare Earth Mines

- **Lithium and rare earth corrupt child labor mines produce Elon Musk's deadly, toxic, explosive lithium batteries**
- **The Obama Administration pitched that you could just “go to Afghanistan and scoop up battery materials in the desert”. That turned out to be a lie!**
- **The US is getting suckered into another lithium wild goose chase by corrupt Senators who own interests in lithium**
- **The politicians that own battery interests work very hard to keep the dangers of lithium covered up**

Lithium ion batteries: cause wars, rape and genocide in the congo, afghanistan and bolivia from the corrupt mining deals involved with mining lithium and cobalt; are insider trading-owned by ex-cia boss woolsey and GOVT boss chu; excrete chemicals that mutate fetuses when they burn; destroy your brain, lungs and nervous system when they burn; kill the factory workers who make them; cause panasonic to be one of the most corrupt companies in the world; poison the earth when disposed of; can't be extinguished by firemen; poison firemen when they burn; are based on criminally corrupt mining schemes like uranium one; have over 61 toxic chemicals in them; come from an industry that spends billions on internet shells and trolls used to nay say all other forms of energy; are insider-trading owned by corrupt u.s. Senators who are running a SAFETY COVER-UP about their dangers. Apple products with lithium ion batteries have been exploding and setting people on fire; over time the chemical dendrites inside each battery grow worse and increase the chances of explosion as they age -

LITHIUM ION BATTERIES BECOME MORE AND MORE LIKELY TO EXPLODE AS TIME GOES ON AND AS THEY AGE; "Bad Guys" have figured out how to make them explode remotely; have their dangers hidden by CNN and MSM because pretty much only the DNC people profit from them; are the heart of Elon Musk's stock market scam. The Obama Administration promised Silicon Valley oligarchs the market monopoly on lithium ion batteries and the sabotage of fuel cells in exchange for campaign financing and search engine rigging; United States Senators that are supposed to protect us from these deadly products own the stock market assets of them so they protect them and stop the FDA, OSHA, DOT & NHTSA from outlawing them. WRITE YOUR ELECTED REPRESENTATIVE AND DEMAND THAT LITHIUM ION BATTERIES BE MADE ILLEGAL TO SELL!

NiCAD and Hundreds of other battery chemistry DO NOT have all of these problems but Lithium Ion batteries get a monopoly because of politician insider trading ownerships. A recent fire on U.S. Highway 101 near Mountain View, CA, burned the driver alive and killed him. In Florida two kids died in a Tesla, burned alive, screaming in agony. A man died in agony in a Tesla crash in Malibu that set Malibu Canyon on fire.

A young woman, at the start of life, and her boyfriend were burned alive in their crashed Tesla. There are many more deaths and crashes than you have heard about. The deaths and the cover-ups are endless. Senators Dianne Feinstein, Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Kamala Harris and their associates own the stock in Tesla Motors and/or its suppliers and mining companies and they cover-up and halt investigations and laws designed to save the public. They, and their crony's, spend over \$1B a year to shill and troll hype about lithium ion batteries and cover-up the dangers. Lithium ion EVs are more prone to battery fires.

experts say that their lithium-ion batteries can fuel hotter fires that release toxic fumes and are more difficult to put out. Lithium ion fires keep reigniting which explains why it takes so long and requires copious amounts of water or foam (it is an electric fire, after all) to smother the flames. Tesla employee Bernard Tse and his team warned Elon Musk about these dangers in 2008 and they got fired and/or warned to "say nothing" by Musk. Three top Tesla engineers died in a plane crash next to Tesla offices in San Carlos after two of them agreed to become whistle-blowers.

Elon Musk exists because he bribed DNC politicians and Senators Feinstein, Reid, Boxer, Harris, Clinton and Pelosi to give him free taxpayer cash and government resources from the Dept. of Energy and the Calif treasury. GOVT has been covering-up organized crime activities at GOVT in which GOVT funds are being used as a slush-fund to pay off DNC campaign financiers and to pay for CIA/GPS Fusion-Class attacks on Silicon Valley business competitors of those DNC campaign financiers who GOVT staff share stock market holdings with.

Elon Musk is a criminal, a mobster, an asshole, a bald fake-hair wearing, plastic surgery-addicted, douchebag, woman-abusing, sex addicted, tax evader. Musk exploits poor people and child slaves in the Congo and Afghanistan to mine his lithium and Cobalt.

Musk spends billions per year to hire Russian trolls, fake blogger fan-boys and buy fake news self-aggrandizement articles about himself. Musk thinks he is the 'Jesus' of Silicon Valley. Fake News manipulator Google is run by Larry Page and Larry is Musk's investor and bromance butt buddy. Musk uses massive numbers of shell companies and trust funds to self-deal, evade the law and hide his bribes and stock market insider trading. A huge number of Tesla drivers have been killed; pedestrians and oncoming drivers have also been killed, and Musk covers it up. The DNC and the MSM refuse to allow any articles about Musk's crimes to be printed because they benefit from Musk's crimes.

Musk has been professionally diagnosed as a 'psychotic narcissist.' A 'Silicon Valley Mafia; cartel of frat boy sociopath venture capitalists like Steve Jurvetson, Tim Draper, Eric Schmidt, et al; threaten those who do not support the cult of Tesla or their political candidates. In EVERY blog that you read that mentions 'Musk', at least 1/3 of the comments have been placed there by Musk's paid shills. Musk holds the record for getting sued for fraud by his investors, wives, former partners, employees, suppliers and co-founders. Elon Musk has gone out of his way to hire hundreds of ex-CIA staff and assign them to "dirty tricks teams" to attack his competitors and elected officials who Musk hates. Musk never founded his companies. Musk's "Starlink" satellites are domestic spy and political manipulation tools - never get your internet from one.

Musk stole Tesla in a hostile ownership take-over from Marty the true inventor of the Tesla. The same kind of EMF radiation proven to cause cancer from cell phones exists in massive amounts in a Tesla. Musk can't fix a car or build a rocket and has almost no mechanical skills. If you pull a report of every VIN# of every Tesla ever built and cross reference that with insurance, repair and lawsuit records you will find that the "per volume" fire, crash, death and defect rate is THE WORST of any car maker in history!

Musk is a lying con artist and partners with Goldman Sachs to rig the stock market. Sachs has a dedicated team of 18 men who rig stocks and valuation bumps for Musk. Over 1000 witnesses can prove every one of those claims in any live televised Congressional hearing! Senators Dianne Feinstein, Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Kamala Harris and their associates own the stock in Tesla Motors and/or it's suppliers and mining companies.

That is why they criminally help cover-up investigations of Tesla! All of this was reported, in writing, to James Comey, Patricia Rich and David Johnson at the FBI. The DNC bosses own the stock in lithium, Solar and EV markets and use kickbacks from those markets (Especially via convoluted campaign finance laundering via Elon Musk) to finance the DNC. The DNC bosses use character assassination as their main political tool against any member of the public who speaks out against their felony stock market scams and PizzaGate-like scandals. The Harvey Weinstein reports by Ronan Farrow show that they have teams of hired goons that they pay to destroy people's lives.

They use Black Cube, Mossad, In-Q-Tel, Stratfor, Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media, Media Matters, David Brock, Sid Blumenthal, NY Times, Google servers, Facebook servers, Podesta Group, Perkins Coie, Covington & Burling and a host of "assassins". It should be a felony to hire character assassins in the USA. DEMAND A LAW and DEMAND the termination of these attack services. IE: Gawker and Gizmodo Media sets-up the attack stories and, in paid partnership with Google, Google kicks their attack links around the globe, in front of 8 Billion people, forever.

Google locks the attack articles of its enemies on the front top search results of Google search results forever, on purpose! That is why Google is being terminated in the largest, most well resourced anti-corruption public service take-down in history! Tesla and Musk are protected by shareholders Harris, Pelosi, Feinstein, Brown and Newsom. Panasonic (indicted for bribery and Musk's partner) spends billions of dollars annually cover-up lithium battery fires and battery defects.

China and Russia have spent decades on spy-craft politi-tricks to make sure the USA becomes embroiled in wars, expense and failure with rare earth mines. It is a "fools errand" for the USA to go after lithium ion batteries when so many other, fully domestic, technologies exist!12 pt

What Already Exists That Obsoletes Lithium Mining?

The Thing That a Couple of Technology Billionaires Will Do Anything To Sabotage

- Certain, known, technology billionaires spend billions of their dollars, per year, flooding blogs with anti-hydrogen lies because they don't have the products to beat it in the competitive market place. Their tactics are detailed in the feature film, *The Merchants of Doubt*, available now on **Netflix** and other Movie-on-Demand sites
- Some battery VC's, who are campaign financiers, have put moles in competitors, bribed senators and black-balled start-ups to keep you, the public, from getting clean energy-products
- Now the FBI, The U.S. Senate, and the entire Japanese and European auto industry have called these “*Solyndra-scammers*” out and the Hydrogen cars are now on sale! The world has said: **“The lying Lithium battery billionaires are full of BS!”**

Here are the federally, and university, proven facts:

Lithium-ion batteries blow up spontaneously. They set homes, offices and planes on fire and have crashed multiple jets. They release cancer-causing, brain damaging, fetus mutating fumes when they burn. They kill the factory workers and nearby towns, where they are made, due to deadly toxins used in making them. They cause one to invade other countries in order to make them. They poison the Earth when they are manufactured and when they are disposed of. A “certain” group of Silicon Valley campaign financiers pushed for the invasion of Afghanistan, and Bolivian political fractures in order to take over the lithium mineral mines for their monopoly of these batteries. Those billionaires **“War Profiteered”! And paid** U.S. Senators with stock in their companies related to lithium ion batteries.

The greedy VC's didn't do their homework. They didn't see that the lithium ion was such a disaster. They only saw dollar signs. They now spend over a billion dollars per year to sabotage, troll, meat puppet and anti-blog any competing sustainable energy technology because..**MONOPOLY!**

So that idea “blew up”, literally. A famous battery car billionaires is, point-blank, **LYING** about hydrogen and fuel cells in order to protect his lithium battery Afghanistan mining scam.

So What's Next?

Wouldn't it be cool if you could provide the fuel stock, for the next generation of automobiles, from the water and waste materials that you generate at home?

Wouldn't it be cool if you could drive your next generation car across the nation with fuel you can carry on board, or pick-up from any grocery store?


Wouldn't it be cool if the only waste material that car gave off was simple water?

Fuel Cell Vehicle Myths

With Toyota and others offering fuel cell powered vehicles in 2015, it's time to tackle some myths about fuel cells and the vehicles that will use them.



Myth #1: Fuel Cell Vehicles Burn Hydrogen



Fuel cells don't burn hydrogen - they use an electrochemical process to convert hydrogen and atmospheric oxygen into electricity and water. They have no moving parts and no open flames.

Myth #2 Fuel Cell Vehicles Are expensive

This *used* to be true - a prototype 2007 Toyota FCV reportedly cost more than \$1 million dollars to build.

However, recent advances in fuel cell manufacturing and catalyst performance have led to a dramatic cost decrease. According to the US Dept. of Energy, fuel cells will cost \$30-\$50 per kw of output by 2020, depending on production volume. To put this number in perspective, Tesla battery packs are estimated to cost over \$250 per kw-hr of capacity today and may fall to \$196/kWh by 2018. Some optimists believe battery pack costs could fall to \$100/kWh by 2025, while others believe battery pack costs will fall no lower than \$167/kWh by 2025. The point? A mid-sized car with a 60kWh battery pack will likely cost more than a similar sized car with a 125kW fuel cell, all things being equal. Fuel cell cars might not be "cheap," per se, but they likely won't be any more expensive than battery powered vehicles (and could be a great deal less).



Hydrogen Costs less, is cleaner, and can be acquired from more sources than anything else:



You can fill up just like any car on Earth PLUS in many new ways



The entire supply and creation chain can be 100% clean



Hydrogen cars beat lithium battery cars on range, weight, safety, flexibility, fire issues, and hundreds of other metrics. In fact, lithium battery cars can't beat fuel cell cars on anything

Myth #10 Fuel Cells Are “BS”

Elon Musk, with much of his personal wealth invested in lithium ion battery-electric car technology, says rival fuel cell vehicle technology is "BS."

Tesla's Elon Musk once famously [quipped](#) that fuel cells are "so BS." Considering Musk's reputation as an innovator and his success with Tesla, many people have taken this comment at face value.

However, in light of FCV range and refueling ease, and Musk's personal investment in battery electric vehicle technology, it would be a mistake to accept his criticism of fuel cells without skepticism.

NOTE: A great deal of misinformation about hydrogen fuel cell vehicles stems from an [article in The New Atlantis magazine](#). Please note that this article is several years old (it was written in 2007). Much of what was written is no longer accurate.

This page was created by [Spork Marketing](#) and references both cited data sources and official Toyota news releases. Visit <http://www.toyota.com/fuelcell/> for more information about Toyota's new FCV.



Myth: Installing a hydrogen infrastructure will be prohibitively expensive

The hydrogen transition will not need enormous investments in addition to those that the energy industry is already making. Instead, it will displace many of those investments.

It is expected that the roll-out of a hydrogen infrastructure will occur regionally over time to coincide with vehicle deployment. Yet with the adoption of hydrogen fuel cell products in early markets such as forklifts, airport baggage tugs, back-up power for telecom sites; distributed power for remote communities; and in transit buses, we are seeing a near-term demand for hydrogen.

With automotive fuel cell electric vehicles in the near term horizon, we must begin to install a hydrogen infrastructure now.

Myth: Hydrogen and fuel cells are too expensive

What do computers, cell phones, televisions, wind turbines and solar panels all have in common? People initially thought that they were too expensive when they were first developed.

As with any new technology, cost can be an issue. But, as demand increases, scientists make new breakthroughs, and companies find ways to cut costs, the price will continue to go down. So, while cost remains an issue right now, hydrogen and fuel cells have the potential to be produced for even less than current technologies.

Hydrogen Costs

Many industries already use large quantities hydrogen as a raw material in the chemical synthesis of ammonia, methanol, hydrogen peroxide, polymers, and solvents. Even oil refineries use hydrogen to remove the sulphur from crude oil. But, because hydrogen products for consumers aren't widely available, there is little economic incentive to make and sell hydrogen fuel.

When analysis's evaluate hydrogen's cost to consumers, they often forget that hydrogen can be made nearly anywhere, from any power source, including renewable energy sources. This flexibility can eliminate most or even all transportation costs. Since a large portion of the price that consumers pay for fuel is for transportation, this is significant. For example, the present price of delivered liquid hydrogen is around four times the cost of producing hydrogen.

Finally, in any cost comparison of hydrogen to other fuels, we shouldn't compare apples to oranges. It isn't meaningful to compare the price of a gallon of hydrogen to a gallon of gasoline because both fuels produce a different amount of energy. What really counts is how many cents a kilometre your fuel costs. Even at the present price of delivered liquid hydrogen, if you used hydrogen to power a fuel cell vehicle, your cost per kilometre would be the same as getting gasoline for a dollar a gallon.

Fuel Cell Costs

The costs of fuel cells will inevitably decrease because the raw materials (such as graphite, commodity metals, plastics, and composite) are inexpensive. The only material that is expensive is current catalyst, typically platinum. To overcome this, scientists are researching alternative catalysts from base metals and reducing the amount of platinum needed. Furthermore, platinum may become less expensive due to new platinum recycling systems. Despite their higher setup and development cost, fuel cells have lower maintenance costs and longer operating life.

Myth: Hydrogen is dangerous

Most fuels have high energy content and must be handled properly to be safe. Hydrogen is no different. In general, hydrogen is neither more nor less inherently hazardous than gasoline, propane, or methane. As with any fuel, safe handling depends on knowledge of its particular physical, chemical, and thermal properties and consideration of safe ways to accommodate those properties. Hydrogen, handled with this knowledge, is a safe fuel. Hydrogen has been safely produced, stored, transported, and used in large amounts in industry by following standard practices that have been established in the past 50 years. These practices can also be emulated in non-industrial uses of hydrogen to attain the same level of routine safety.

Myth: Hydrogen caused the Hindenburg to blow up.

Actually, the cause of the fire that destroyed the German passenger airship Hindenburg in 1937 in New Jersey is still unknown. An investigation in 1990 by Addison Bain, a NASA engineer, showed that the paint coating used on the skin of the airship caused the fire. The coating contained reactive chemicals similar to solid rocket fuel. When the airship was docking in 1937, an electrical discharge ignited the skin, and the fire raced over the surface of the airship.

Myth: Commercial hydrogen can make a hydrogen bomb

It's not possible to make a hydrogen bomb with commercially available hydrogen fuel for a couple of reasons. The thermonuclear explosion from a hydrogen bomb results from a nuclear fusion reaction. Two isotopes of hydrogen – deuterium and tritium – collide at very high energy to fuse into helium nuclei, releasing tremendous amounts of energy. However, to get these rare isotopes of hydrogen to fuse requires extraordinary temperatures (hundreds of millions of degrees) supplied by a thermonuclear weapon by an atomic bomb to trigger the fusion reaction. The sheer amount of energy makes this impossible for anyone but professionals in a lab. Furthermore, commercial hydrogen gas doesn't even contain deuterium or tritium. Without these isotopes, it is impossible for ordinary hydrogen gas to produce a thermonuclear reaction under any circumstances.

Myth: Hydrogen isn't a clean fuel

Hydrogen as a fuel doesn't create any emissions when used in a fuel cell. However, it is only as clean as the energy source it's derived from. Producing hydrogen from fossil fuels does create emissions, but it is less than gasoline or diesel. It is also easier to control this pollution because the pollution is limited to the fuel production process. Hydrogen is best when produced from non-polluting renewable energy sources. Different countries will make different choices, depending on their current energy availability and future priorities.

For vehicles, according to well-to-wheels studies, hydrogen fuel cell vehicles are at least twice as efficient as gasoline vehicles, and 40% more efficient than a hybrid. Most hydrogen internal combustion engines are about 30% more efficient than their gasoline counterparts and fuel cells are 100-200% (2-3 times) more efficient.

If we continue to drive vehicles running on fossil fuels, we will continue emitting carbon dioxide into the atmosphere at an ever-growing rate. But if we drive vehicles running on hydrogen, and burn fossil fuels to make that hydrogen, we can choose to sequester the carbon emitted during production or emit it into the atmosphere. If we choose to produce hydrogen from non-polluting sources of energy, we will decrease the amount of global air pollution that we will create.

Myth: There isn't an abundant source of hydrogen fuel

Hydrogen can be made from almost any source of energy. Oil, coal, hydro power, solar power, nuclear power, geothermal power and other energy sources can all be transformed into electricity and then, by electrolysis, into hydrogen.

Contrast that with gasoline for cars. Even though people tend to talk about cars running on oil, they actually run on gasoline, which is manufactured, not found. Gasoline can only be made from oil, which we get out of the ground, as a feedstock. When we can no longer find oil at a reasonable cost, we can still make hydrogen.

Myth: In cars, hydrogen can't compete with regular gas

In many ways, hydrogen vehicles are more viable than gasoline. Vehicles that use hydrogen in an internal combustion engine are about 30% more efficient than comparable gasoline vehicles. Best of

all, they produce ultra-low emissions, with no CO₂. Fuel cells are ideally suited for cars that use electrical systems instead of hydraulics for functions such as steering and braking. These cars are two to three times more energy efficient than gas cars. Also, in a fuel cell electric vehicle, automakers can put the power train anywhere, which gives them the ultimate in design freedom.

Myth: Using renewable power to produce hydrogen wastes energy

It would be ideal if you could just plug in to your solar panel or wind generator and use that power right away. However, it's not always windy or sunny, so renewable energy projects need a storage system that provides energy whenever you we need it. Hydrogen can store energy that would otherwise go to waste.

Myth: Hydrogen and fuel cell products are still in development and we can't buy them today

Hydrogen and fuel cell products are available today. Many hydrogen fuel cells are used today in forklifts in warehouses, buses in cities, and back-up power for communications companies. Companies and governments recognize the performance, financial, environmental and health benefits. These early uses are playing a pivotal role in refining the technology and establishing infrastructure.

Scientists and companies are currently testing micro fuel cells, often called portable power, to recharge and power cell phones and laptops. These should be available in the near future.

In the next couple of years, we'll start to see new vehicles available for customers too. For example, Honda, Toyota and Mercedes-Benz currently have concept cars on the go and are all planning on releasing fuel cell cars for consumers in 2015.

Twenty Hydrogen Myths That Battery Companies and Oil Companies Spend Billions of Dollar Per Year Trying to Make You Believe:



White paper published at www.rmi.org



[Download the detailed report at the links below:](#)

http://www.rmi.org/Knowledge-Center/Library/E03-05_TwentyHydrogenMyths

http://www.rmi.org/cms/Download.aspx?id=6667&file=E03-05_20HydrogenMyths.pdf&title=Twenty+Hydrogen+Myths

This peer-reviewed white paper offers both lay and technical readers a documented primer on basic hydrogen facts, weighs competing opinions, and corrects twenty widespread misconceptions. Some of these falsehoods include the following: “a hydrogen industry would need to be developed from scratch; hydrogen is too dangerous for common use; making hydrogen uses more energy than it yields; we lack a mechanism to store hydrogen in cars; and hydrogen is too expensive to compete with gasoline”. This paper explains why the rapidly growing engagement of business, civil society, and government in

devising and achieving a transition to a hydrogen economy is warranted and, if properly done, could yield important national and global benefits.

Abstract

Recent public interest in hydrogen has elicited a great deal of conflicting, confusing, and often ill-informed commentary. This peer-reviewed white paper offers both lay and technical readers, particularly in the United States, a documented primer on basic hydrogen facts, weighs competing opinions, and corrects twenty widespread misconceptions. **It explains why the rapidly growing engagement of business, civil society, and government in devising and achieving a transition to a hydrogen economy is warranted and, if properly done, could yield important national and global benefits.**

About the author

Physicist Amory Lovins is cofounder and CEO of Rocky Mountain Institute (www.rmi.org) and Chairman of Hypercar, Inc. (www.hypercar.com), RMI's fourth for-profit spinoff (in which, to declare an interest, he holds minor equity options). Published in 28 books and hundreds of papers, his work has been recognized by the "Alternative Nobel," Onassis, Nissan, Shingo, and Mitchell Prizes, a MacArthur Fellowship, the Happold Medal, eight honorary doctorates, and the Heinz, Lindbergh, World Technology, and "Hero for the Planet" Awards. He has advised industry and government worldwide on energy, resources, environment, development, and security for the past three decades.

About the publisher

Rocky Mountain Institute is an independent, entrepreneurial, nonprofit applied research center founded in 1982. Its ~50 staff foster the efficient and restorative use of resources to make the world secure, just, prosperous, and life-sustaining. The majority of its ~\$7-million annual revenue is earned by consultancy, chiefly for the private sector; the rest comes from foundation grants and private gifts. Much of the context of its work is summarized in Natural Capitalism (www.natcap.org). Donations are welcome and tax-deductible (#74-2244146). RMI is at 1739 Snowmass Creek Road, Snowmass, CO 81654, phone + 1 970 927-3851

Twenty myths

Myth #1. A whole hydrogen industry would need to be developed from scratch.

Myth #2. Hydrogen is too dangerous, explosive, or "volatile" for common use as a fuel.

Myth #3. Making hydrogen uses more energy than it yields, so it's prohibitively inefficient

Myth #4. Delivering hydrogen to users would consume most of the energy it contains...

...Myth #17. A viable hydrogen transition would take 30–50 years or more to complete, and hardly anything worthwhile could be done sooner than 20 years

http://www.rmi.org/Knowledge-Center/Library/E03-05_TwentyHydrogenMyths

Full document (PDF)

http://www.rmi.org/cms/Download.aspx?id=6667&file=E03-05_20HydrogenMyths.pdf&title=Twenty+Hydrogen+Myths



THE INFLUENCE GAME: Toyota's Powerful DC Friends

THE INFLUENCE GAME: Toyota has friends in high places in Washington, but are they enough?

By SHARON THEIMER

The Associated Press

WASHINGTON

The lawmakers now investigating Toyota's recall include a senator who was so eager to lure the Japanese automaker to his state that he tramped along through fields as its executives scouted plant sites, and a congresswoman who owes much of her wealth to a Toyota supplier.

Twenty Hydrogen Myths

AMORY B. LOVINS, CEO, ROCKY MOUNTAIN INSTITUTE
20 June 2003

Hydrogen technologies are maturing. The world's existing hydrogen industry is starting to be recognized as big — producing one-fourth as much volume of gas each year as the global natural-gas industry. Industry, government, and civil society are becoming seriously engaged in designing a transition from refined petroleum products, natural gas, and electricity to hydrogen as the dominant way to carry, store, and deliver useful energy. New transitional paths are emerging, some with a vision across sectoral or disciplinary boundaries that makes them harder for specialists to grasp. Naturally, there's rising speculation about winners, losers, and hidden agendas. And as the novel hydrogen concept is overlain onto longstanding and rancorous debates about traditional energy policy, constituencies are realigning in unexpected ways.

In short, the customary wave of confusion is spreading across the country. What's this all about? Is hydrogen energy really a good idea? Is it just a way for incumbent industries to reinforce their dominance, or could it be a new, different, and hopeful melding of innovation with competition? Is it a panacea for humanity's energy predicament, or a misleading *deus ex machina* destined to inflict public disappointment and cynicism, or neither, or both?

The conversation about hydrogen is confused but hardly fanciful. The chairs of eight major oil and car companies have said the world is entering the oil endgame and the start of the Hydrogen Era. Royal Dutch/Shell's planning scenarios in 2001 envisaged a radical, China-led leapfrog to hydrogen (already underway): hydrogen would fuel a fourth of the vehicle fleet in the industrialized countries by 2025, when world oil use, stagnant meanwhile, would start to fall. President Bush's 2003 State of the Union message emphasized the commitment he'd announced a year earlier to develop hydrogen-fuel-cell cars (FreedomCAR).

Yet many diverse authors have lately criticized hydrogen energy, some severely.¹⁻¹² Some call it a smokescreen to hide White House opposition to promptly raising car efficiency using conventional technology, or fear that working on hydrogen would divert effort from renewable energy sources. Some are skeptical of hydrogen because the President endorsed it, others because environmentalists did. Many wonder where the hydrogen will come from, and note that it's only as clean and abundant as the energy sources from which it's made. Most of the critiques reflect errors meriting a tutorial on basic hydrogen facts; hence this paper.

Introductory facts

To establish a common factual basis for exploring prevalent myths about hydrogen, let's start with six points that are universally accepted by hydrogen experts but not always articulated:

- Hydrogen makes up about 75% of the known universe, but is not an energy *source* like oil, coal, wind, or sun.¹³ Rather, it is an energy *carrier* like electricity or gasoline — a way of transporting useful energy to users. Hydrogen is an especially versatile carrier be-

cause like oil and gas, but unlike electricity, it can be stored in large amounts (albeit often at higher storage cost than hydrocarbons), and can be made from almost any energy source and used to provide almost any energy service. Like electricity, hydrogen is an extremely high-quality form of energy, and can be so readily converted to electricity and back that fuel-cell pioneer Geoffrey Ballard suggests they be thought of together as a fungible commodity he calls “Hydricity™.”

- The reason hydrogen isn’t an energy *source* is that it’s almost never found by itself, the way oil and gas are. Instead, it must first be freed from chemical compounds in which it’s bound up. There are broadly three ways to liberate hydrogen: using heat and catalysts to “reform” hydrocarbons or carbohydrates, or electricity to split (“electrolyze”) water, or experimental processes, based typically on sunlight, plasma discharge, or microorganisms.¹⁴ All devices that produce hydrogen on a small scale, at or near the customer, are collectively called “hydrogen appliances” to distinguish them from traditional large-scale industrial production.
- Fossil-fuel molecules are combinations of carbon, hydrogen, and various other atoms. Roughly two-thirds of the fossil-fuel atoms burned in the world today are hydrogen. (However, hydrogen yields a smaller share of fossil-fuel energy, because its chemical bonds are weaker than carbon’s.) The debate is about whether combusting the last third of the fossil fuel — the carbon — is necessary; whether it might be cheaper and more attractive not to burn that carbon, but only to use the hydrogen; and to what degree that hydrogen should be replaced by hydrogen made with renewable energy sources.
- Using hydrogen as a fuel, rather than burning fossil fuels directly, yields only water¹⁵ (and perhaps traces of nitrogen oxides if used in a high-temperature process). This can reduce pollution and climate change, depending on the source of the hydrogen. But when journalists write that hydrogen can “clean the air,”¹⁶ that’s shorthand for keeping pollutants out of the air, not removing those already there.
- Hydrogen is the lightest element and molecule. Molecular hydrogen (two hydrogen atoms, H₂) is eight times lighter than natural gas. Per unit of energy contained, it weighs 64% less than gasoline or 61% less than natural gas: 1 kilogram (2.2 lb) of hydrogen has about the same energy as 1 U.S. gallon of gasoline, which weighs not 2.2 but 6.2 pounds.¹⁷ But the flip side of lightness is bulk. Per unit of *volume*, hydrogen gas contains only 30% as much energy as natural gas, both at atmospheric pressure. Even when hydrogen is compressed to 170 times atmospheric pressure (170 bar), it contains only 6% as much energy as the same volume of gasoline. Hydrogen is thus most advantageous where lightness is worth more than compactness, as is often true for mobility fuels.
- One of the biggest challenges of judging hydrogen’s potential is how to compare it fairly and consistently with other energy carriers. Fossil fuels are traditionally measured in cost, volume, or mass per unit of *energy content*.¹⁸ That’s valid only if the fuels being compared are all used in similar devices and at similar efficiencies, so all yield about the same amount of energy service. But that’s not valid for hydrogen. Fuel cells (explained further in Myth #6) are not subject to the same thermodynamic limits as fuel-driven engines, because they’re electrochemical devices, not heat engines. A hydrogen fuel-cell car can therefore convert hydrogen energy into motion about 2–3 times as efficiently as a normal car converts gasoline energy into motion: depending on how it’s designed and run, a good fuel-cell system is about 50–70% efficient, hydrogen-to-electricity,¹⁹ while a typical car engine’s efficiency from gasoline to output shaft averages only about 15–17%

efficient.²⁰ (Both systems then incur further minor losses to drive the wheels.) This means you can drive several times as far on a gallon-equivalent (in energy content) of hydrogen in a fuel-cell car as on a gallon of gasoline in an engine-driven car. Conversely, hydrogen costing several times as much as gasoline per unit of *energy contained* can thus cost the same *per mile* driven. Since you buy automotive fuel to get miles, not energy, ignoring such differences in end-use efficiency is a serious distortion, and accounts for much of the misinformation being published about hydrogen's high cost. Hydrogen's advantage in cars is especially large because cars run mainly at low loads, where fuel cells are most efficient and engines are least efficient.²¹ (Hydrogen can also have other economic or functional advantages that go beyond its efficient use. For example, when hydrogen fuel cells power digital loads in buildings, hydrogen may yield even greater extra value because suitably designed arrays of fuel cells can be exceptionally reliable and can yield the high-quality power that computers need.²²)

To reinforce this sixth point, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) says bulk hydrogen made and consumed onsite costs about \$0.71/kg.²³ That's equivalent in *energy* content to \$0.72 per gallon of gasoline.²⁴ But *per mile driven* — which is the objective — it's equivalent to about one-third to one-half that price, *i.e.*, to about \$0.24–0.36/gallon-equivalent, because of the 2–3-fold greater efficiency of a hydrogen fuel cell than a gasoline engine in running a car. Of course, the *price* of hydrogen *delivered* into the car's fuel tank will be much higher. For example, DOE says the delivered price of industrial liquid hydrogen is about \$2.2–3.1/kg. If it could be delivered into the tank of a car for the same price, it would be roughly equivalent *per mile* to \$1-a-gallon gasoline. Thus it can cost several times as much to deliver liquid hydrogen as to produce it. (Fortunately, as we'll see, gaseous hydrogen can be produced at a filling station and put into the car for well under \$2/kg.) Price also depends on hydrogen purity. So to assess hydrogen's price or cost or value or benefit meaningfully, we need to know how it'll be used, whether it's pure enough for the task, whether it's delivered to the task, and how much of the desired work it actually does.

Different questions yield different answers

So much for the basics. What's different about Rocky Mountain Institute's perspective that underlies this paper?

- RMI believes that radical but practical and advantageous efficiency improvements at three levels — vehicles, energy distribution, and overall energy infrastructure — can make the hydrogen transition rapid and profitable.
- At least for the next decade or two, RMI envisions a distributed model for hydrogen production and delivery that integrates the gas, electricity, building, and mobility infrastructures. Instead of building a costly new distribution infrastructure for hydrogen, we'd use excess capacity inherent in the existing gas and electricity distribution infrastructures, then make the hydrogen locally so it requires little or no further distribution. Only after this decentralized approach had built up a large hydrogen market in buildings and vehicles could centralized hydrogen production merit much investment, except in special circumstances.

- RMI's insights into the full economic value of distributed power suggest that hydrogen fuel cells *today* can economically displace less efficient central resources for delivering electricity, paving the way for hydrogen use to spread rapidly, financed by its own revenues.
- RMI recognizes that especially in North America, natural gas is logically the main near-term fuel to launch the hydrogen transition, along with cost-effective renewables. If making hydrogen requires more natural gas (which it may not — see Myth #12), it should come first from natural gas saved by making existing applications more efficient. In the longer run, more mature and diverse renewables will play an important and ultimately a dominant role. Even during the initial, mainly fossil-fueled, stages of the hydrogen transition, carbon emissions will be much smaller than today's emissions from burning those fossil fuels directly. In time, those carbon emissions will approach zero. Insisting that they *start* at zero — that hydrogen be made solely from renewable energy sources, starting now — is making the perfect the enemy of the good. But done right, the hydrogen transition will actually make renewable energy more competitive and speed its adoption.

And what “headlines” will emerge from this perspective in the following discussion?

- **The oft-described technical obstacles to a hydrogen economy — storage, safety, and the cost of the hydrogen and its distribution infrastructure — have already been sufficiently resolved to support rapid deployment starting now. No technological breakthroughs are needed, although many will probably continue to occur. Until volume manufacturing of fuel cells starts in the next few years, even costly handmade or pilot-produced versions can already compete in substantial entry markets. Automotive use of fuel cells can flourish many years sooner if automakers adopt recent advances in crashworthy, cost-competitive ultralight autobodies. If fuel cells prove difficult to commercialize or hydrogen's benefits are desired sooner, there might even be a transitional role for hydrogen-fueled engine-hybrid vehicles.**
- **The hydrogen transition should not need enormous investments in addition to those that the energy industries are already making. Instead, it will displace many of those investments. Hydrogen deployment may well need *less* net capital than business-as-usual, and should be largely self-financing from its revenues.**
- **A well-designed hydrogen transition will also use little more, no more, or quite possibly *less* natural gas than business-as-usual.**
- **A rapid hydrogen transition will probably be *more* profitable than business-as-usual for oil and car companies, and can quickly differentiate the business performance of early adopters.**
- **Most of the hydrogen needed to displace the world's gasoline is already being produced for other purposes, including making gasoline. A hydrogen industry big enough to displace all gasoline, while sustaining the other industrial processes that now use hydrogen, would be only severalfold bigger than the mature hydrogen industry that exists today, although initially it will probably rely mainly on smaller units of production, nearer to their customers, to avoid big distribution costs.**
- **A poorly designed hydrogen transition could cause environmental problems, but a well-designed one can resolve most of the environmental problems of the current fossil-fuel system without making new ones, and can greatly enhance security.**

Now for the currently prevalent hydrogen myths, and what their correction implies about desirable courses of action. Writing for a mainly U.S. audience, we'll use a mixture of U.S. and international units of measurement.

Twenty myths

Myth #1. A whole hydrogen industry would need to be developed from scratch.

Producing hydrogen is already a large and mature global industry, using at least 5% of U.S. natural gas output. Globally, about 50 million metric tons of hydrogen is made for industrial use each year. That's over half a trillion cubic meters measured at atmospheric pressure.²⁵ The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) reports²⁶ that about 48% of global hydrogen production is reformed from natural gas, 30% from oil, and 18% from coal (chiefly in China and South Africa for producing nitrogen fertilizer; half the world's hydrogen goes into ammonia-based fertilizer). Only 4% of the world's hydrogen comes from electrolysis, because that process can compete with reforming fossil fuels only under three main conditions: with very cheap electricity, generally well under 2¢/kWh (see Myth #9 below); if the hydrogen is a byproduct (about 2%, for example, is unintentionally made during "chloralkali" electrolytic chlorine production); or perhaps if the producer is charged for carbon emissions and has a carbon-free source of electricity but no way to sequester (keep out of the atmosphere) carbon released from reforming fossil fuels.

U.S. hydrogen production is at least one-fifth and probably nearer one-third of the world total,²⁷ is equivalent to ~1.8% of total U.S. energy consumption, and comes ~95% from natural gas at ~99% purity from steam reforming and associated cleanup processing.²⁸ Roughly 47% of U.S. or 37–45% of world hydrogen production is reportedly used in refineries;²⁹ it is made onsite, mostly by steam reforming of gas or oil, and is used mainly to make gasoline and diesel fuel. Most hydrogen production by refineries is deliberate, used to make hydrogen-rich refined products or to remove sulfur from them; some is a byproduct of making aromatic compounds. The rest of the world's hydrogen output goes to ammonia fertilizer, methanol, petrochemicals, edible fats and oils, metal production, microchips, and other products, and a little to special industrial furnaces. World hydrogen production is reportedly doubling about every decade, driven by refineries' need to make lower-sulfur fuels and by other growth industries. Usage for fertilizer has been relatively flat for the past decade, and usage for methanol is growing more slowly (roughly with GDP) as prospects fade for wide use of methanol-derived MTBE gasoline additive, so the biggest growth market for industrial hydrogen appears to be refineries.

The industrial infrastructure for centralized hydrogen production already exists. Throughout industry, most hydrogen is currently made at large plants and is used at the industrial site or nearby. There are ~1,500 km (~930 miles) of special hydrogen pipelines (720 km or 446 miles in North America) operating at up to 100 bar.³⁰ Moving hydrogen gas through pipelines takes about half as much of its energy as is currently lost when transporting electricity, and the pipeline is far smaller — a 1.7-meter-diameter hydrogen pipeline at 70 bar delivers 16 GW, whereas a 60-meter-tall pylon with three pairs of ±500-kVDC power lines delivers only 9 GW.³¹ Hydrogen is less dense and takes more compressor energy than natural gas, but also flows better, so transporting hydrogen through existing natural-gas pipelines would deliver only ~20–25% less en-

SEE THE REST AT: http://www.rmi.org/cms/Download.aspx?id=6667&file=E03-05_20HydrogenMyths.pdf&title=Twenty+Hydrogen+Myths

MOBILE FUEL CELL PACKS VS. LITHIUM BATTERIES

Batteries catch on fire quite a bit and have been the result of many more fires and explosions than hydrogen. AT&T 's U-verse TV service now had a massive exploding battery problem, making it necessary for the firm to replace 17,000 backup batteries in its nationwide network.

The Federal Government has OUTLAWED Lithium Batteries on airplanes because they explode unexpectedly so often. Batteries blow up when they want to.

- Fuel Cell power systems run many, many times longer and provide massively greater range per charge than batteries.
- The run time of batteries constantly shortens while Fuel Cell technology does not.
- Batteries have a problematic “Memory Effect” while Fuel Cell technology does not.
- Fuel Cell technology is “instant-charge” via hot-swap while battery packs require hours to recharge.
- Charge life- Fuel Cell systems have an extensive charge life while batteries have a much shorter end-of-life metric.
- The cost per 300 mile range for a Fuel Cell technology car system is far lower than a battery system. A Fuel Cell powered car TODAY that will drive 300 miles without a refill is 50% or less of the price of a battery car that will drive 300 miles without a refill.
- A Fuel Cell system can be charged from a completely clean home energy system but batteries need to be charged from a “sour-grid”.
- Fuel Cell technology can make energy at home. Batteries cannot.
- Fuel Cell technology has a far higher storage density than batteries.
- Fuel Cell systems are far less bulky than batteries.
- The weight of batteries is so great that it reduces the range of travel of a vehicle which causes the use of wasteful energy just to haul the batteries along with the car. Fuel Cell energy systems weigh far less.
- The disposal of batteries, after use, presents a deadly environmental issue while Fuel Cell technology does not.
- Fuel Cell technology does not self discharge like batteries.
- Batteries cause a greater carbon footprint than Fuel Cell technology
- Batteries require coal be burned to charge them. One pound of coal has roughly 14,000 Btu of chemical energy in it. When everything operates well, all that turns out to be generally around

30% efficient, meaning that 30% of the chemical energy that started out in the coal has become actual electricity. New H₂ production systems are up to 93% efficient.

HYDROGEN TANKS VS. HYDROGEN SOLID STATE CASSETTES

- Infrastructure cost per cubic foot of H₂ is far more expensive with pressurized and liquefied hydrogen.
- In an accident, the pressure tanks could shoot, like a rocket, through hundreds of innocent bystanders killing or maiming most of them.
- In an accident, the pressure wave from pressure tanks crushes the organs of nearby people out of their bodies.
- In an accident, the pressure wave from pressure tanks crushes the lungs of nearby people.
- In an accident, the pressure wave from pressure tanks shoots shrapnel through the neighborhood like a hand grenade.
- Ability to ship via UPS/FEDEX/US MAIL does not exist for pressurized and liquefied hydrogen but does for H₂ cassettes.
- Percentage of existing infrastructure that can be used for H₂ cassettes is far, far greater than that which can be used for pressurized and liquefied hydrogen.
- Insurance costs are far less for H₂ cassettes.
- Ability of tank to crush the foot of workers, thus increasing insurances costs, does not exist with H₂ cassettes.
- Time to refuel vehicle is only seconds for an H₂ cassette while it is many times longer for pressurized and liquefied hydrogen.
- Only the Fuel Cell products have the ability to be hand carried.
- Only the Fuel Cell products are H₂-on-Demand where H₂ is not present unless needed.
- Fuel Cell products have less bulkiness.
- Fuel Cell products are fully scalable while tanks are not very scalable.
- Fuel Cell products have better hydrogen-source-to-consumption efficiency metrics.
- Fuel Cell products require no special delivery vehicles and can use any common carrier while tanks cannot.
- Tanks require special pipelines while Fuel Cell technology requires no pipelines.
- High pressure is required for tanks while no pressure is required for Fuel Cell technology.
- Skin cutting on refueling or refilling occurs with tanks but not with Fuel Cell technology.

- Your finger could freeze and snap off using liquid hydrogen but not with Fuel Cell technology.
- Fuel Cell fuel is intelligent and monitors itself but tanks do not have this ability.
- Fuel Cell fuel notifies you when you need more but tanks do not.
- Fuel Cell fuel advises you of its health and purity but tanks do not.
- The overall transport safety of Fuel Cell beats tanks by at least a magnitude.
- Fuel Cell technology uses off-the-shelf, domestically available scalable components but tanks require special service safety parts.
- Fuel Cell technology has fully rechargeable, recyclable, pressure variable output but tanks do not.
- Fuel Cell technology use may improve insurance premiums but tanks will always increase premiums.
- Factory man-power productivity increases using Fuel Cell at the plant-level over tanks.
- All stored H₂ is live and explosive with tanks but not with Fuel Cell.
- Fuel Cell increases balance-of-plant metric but tanks reduce the metrics.
- Fuel Cell's source compound agnostic but tanks are fixed to source compound.
- Fuel Cell is fully patent protected and tanks are not.
- Fuel Cell base hardware investment is future-protected while tanks are only partially protected.
- Fuel Cell technology is fully systemically modular while tanks are fixed.
- Fuel Cell technology fits the box-like form factor of car while tanks dictate their location.
- Tanks require an extensive safety compound required around customer storage area while Fuel Cell does not.
- Tanks need an annual X-Ray and material audit while Fuel Cell technology does not. Fuel Cell technology does not flow across the ground and surfaces in a fire like napalm like liquid hydrogen.

GASOLINE VS. HYDROGEN SOLID STATE CASSETTES

- Fuel Cell technology does not flow across the ground and surfaces in a fire like napalm like gasoline.
- Gasoline service stations are one of the primary sources and causes of cancer. Fuel Cell eliminates the need to go to a service station.

- The gasoline and associated vapors in a vehicle while you drive cause cancer, brain damage and numerous health issues and Fuel Cell technology does not.
- The residue after use of gasoline causes numerous environmental damage issues and Fuel Cell technology does not.
- The residue after use of gasoline causes numerous environmental damage issues and Fuel Cell technology does not.
- Gasoline is increasing in cost and hydrogen sources and end product are decreasing in cost.

Honda also announces hydrogen commitment to H2 as eventual sole fuel source:



Honda - Honda just unveiled its new hydrogen-powered car. It's nothing but water vapor.

Just when everyone's getting all excited about electric cars usurping their fossil fuel-guzzling counterparts, Honda has announced that its hydrogen-powered cars will go on sale in Japan as early as March 2016, with launches in Europe and the US to follow.

The five-seater sedan, called the FCV Clarity, can travel 700 km (434 miles) on a single charge. It's been priced at 756 million yen, or US\$62,807, which puts it just in the affordability range for the average consumer, the Japanese automaker saying it expects to sell far more than the 72 units it sold of its previous-generation model, the FCX Clarity. "We saw this car to be the trigger for the hydrogen society," Honda operating officer Robbie Miles said happily at the Tokyo Motor Show in August this year.

A Honda hydrogen-powered car is nothing new. Back in 2008, the FCX Clarity was leased to a handful of private buyers in California as part of a public trial deal, but things didn't go as well that time around.

For one thing, the car cost 10 times more than it does now, and on top of that, it was 30 percent less powerful. The hydrogen fuel cell stack was also incredibly bulky, and the last thing you want to do is

Countering The Anti-Hydrogen Trolls At Panasonic And In Congress

By Dan Baleen

Senators with stock market holdings in the battery industry, oil executives and Silicon Valley battery VC's spend billions of dollars to try to keep hydrogen and fuel cells from happening. U.S. Secretary of Energy Steven Chu was partners with lithium ion battery companies, so he froze fuel cells, for almost a decade, to protect his, and his friends business ventures. These abuses of public office for market manipulation, are deeply documented.

I see some negative assumptions about hydrogen out there by these shills and paid nay-sayers. Every single one of those people, slamming hydrogen energy, and fuel cells, can be financially, and politically tracked back to competing technology companies. I believe hydrogen is the right way to go. I would like to provide some cut-and-paste of some well-known postings of others, on the Internet, which counter some of the points against H2:

“Hydrogen beats batteries, biofuel and all other vehicle power solutions”

The positions:

Hydrogen is better than batteries by many times!

Oil is the cause of cancer!

Battery makers hire writer-shills and spend tens of millions to put out hydrogen disinformation!

There is nothing better than hydrogen that anybody knows of!

Are proven by the facts below:

A. Hydrogen can be made at home and requires NO NEW INFRASTRUCTURE. You can make it for free, at home, all day long and all night long. The production can be powered by solar, wind, microbes and other free sources. The volume of H2 produced “IS” enough to charge solid state H2 containers from Hbank, Ergenics, Fuel Cell, ECD, Horizon, JSW, Labtech, Palcan, UTC, Jadoo and hundreds of others. The metrics quoted by the anti-hydrogen crowd are just lies to protect their competing business interests.

B. It now costs less to make hydrogen from water than any known way to make gasoline and it continues to get cheaper every month: The GE Noryl system, The R4 processor and over a hundred different systems can do this NOW; with many more expected next year. The “battery shill” spin has worn thin and has been supplanted by facts. Hydrogen is made from WATER via solar energy, wind energy, microbes, radio waves, sunlight and salt, and other FREE sources of energy. Hydrogen can also

be made from any organic garbage, waste, plants or ANYTHING organic via lasers, plasma beams or dozens of other powered exotics which can be run off of EITHER the grid or the free hydrogen made from solar energy, wind energy, microbes, radio waves, sunlight and salt, and other FREE sources of energy OR the grid. There is no oil that needs to be involved anywhere in the production of hydrogen. These systems trickle charge hydrogen into storage containers, either tanks or solid state cassettes, 24/7. GE, ITM Power, QSI, U of Korea and 30 others have this year announced technologies that make H2 hundreds of times more efficiently than any other energy solution.

C. Tens of millions of dollars are being spent by battery companies like A123, Cobasys, AltairNano, etc. in order to discredit hydrogen because hydrogen works better than batteries. A large number of “pundits” who act as “writers”, “bloggers”, “authors” and “non-profit evangelist group founders” are actually supported by financial gain from battery companies who are terrified of hydrogen displacing their revenue streams. They include: Ulf Bossel of the European Fuel Cell Forum, Alec Brooks, James Woolsey, EV World, Sam Thurber, Cal Cars, Felix Kramer and others.

Lets go over the battery and bio-fuel shills lies:

Anti-Hydrogen Lie # 1:

“But critics say the process of producing hydrogen requires three to four times more energy than the hydrogen later generates in the fuel cell.”

RESPONSE: This is data from the 60’s. It is now more efficient to make hydrogen than it is to make gasoline, build or use batteries or process bio-fuel. The technology has beat everything else.

Anti-Hydrogen Lie # 2:

“the cars are too expensive.”

RESPONSE: The production of hydrogen cars is at an early stage while battery cars have been around for almost a hundred years and the battery cars are still expensive for what you get. The Moore’s law on hydrogen cars shows a clear price decline to low cost in market volume. A Fuel Cell car that goes 500 miles without a charge costs half as much TODAY as a battery car that goes 500 miles without a charge.

Anti-Hydrogen Lie #3:

“hydrogen molecules can't be contained easily without energy-consuming compressors or maintaining them in liquid form at extremely low temperatures , and it's extremely difficult to store,”

RESPONSE: This data is also from the 60’s. Hydrogen is stored in chemical powders and muds that easily contain vast amounts of hydrogen. Pressure and liquid tanks to store hydrogen are old school archaic technologies. Hydrogen can be easily stored in over 2800 different solid state compounds.

Anti-Hydrogen Lie #4:

“The infrastructure isn't there”

RESPONSE: Solid state hydrogen can be shipped by UPS, Common Carrier and uses all existing infrastructure. DOT has already licensed and approved such solid state delivery via common

EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE. This method can reach every person on earth TODAY! This requires almost NO NEW INFRASTRUCTURE. NO INFRASTRUCTURE IS NEEDED!!! This is the biggest lie of all. A large number of start-ups have solid state hydrogen solutions that entirely use existing infrastructure.

Anti-Hydrogen Lie #5:

“the hydrogen is too expensive”

RESPONSE: Hydrogen can be made at home or office in numerous ways powered by solar or wind or microbes or any number of free power sources. It is always being made by such devices and constantly trickle charged into solid state storage systems all day and night FOR FREE without grid power. Hydrogen processors now make hydrogen with 91% efficiency.

Anti-Hydrogen Lie #6:

“Hydrogen is too dangerous”

RESPONSE: If the gasoline in your car blows up it will do a VAST AMOUNT more death and damage than H₂ ever will. You are driving a MOLOTOV COCKTAIL. H₂ on fire rapidly dissipates up and into the air. Gasoline flows all over people, cars and streets and covers all of the above with flaming death you can't easily extinguish. In 2030 oil is GONE and there is NO OTHER OPTION that can be delivered world-wide in time but H₂! Biofuel only solves 2% of the problem. Batteries have failed. Nuclear is too dangerous.

Anti-Hydrogen Lie #7:

“We have enough gasoline to last forever”

RESPONSE: Gasoline/petroleum/petrochemicals have now been shown to be the number one cause of cancer, and maybe the primary cause of cancer, in the world. Besides causing global warming, lung disease and all of the other bad things that it does; the oil industry itself knows that affordable oil is gone around the year 2030. Even if it wasn't, do you really want the ROOT CAUSE OF CANCER around one day longer than it needs to be? (See the EPA report “EPA/600/S-6-87/001 Sept. 1987” as one of over 16,000 studies validating this.) Gasoline, Petroleum and the plastics made from it are the single largest cause of cancer in the world. This is a known fact, verified by thousands of studies which the oil industry counters by paying pundits to say: "Well, we just are not sure yet"

This chemical array has killed more Americans than every terrorist since the beginning of time. The petrochemical bisphenol-a, or BPA, causes precancerous tumors and urinary tract problems and made babies reach puberty early. Every gas pump has a label on it that oil and gas causes cancer and a host of lethal medical problems. When there is an oil spill, you are not allowed on the beach because most agencies classify oil as toxic.

Alberta's oil sands are one of the world's biggest deposits of oil, but the cost of extracting that oil may be the health of the people living around them. High levels of toxic chemicals and carcinogens have been found in the water, soil, and fish downstream of the oil sands. The local health authority of Fort

Chipewyan, Alberta commissioned the study in response to locals' claims that the oil extraction projects upstream were damaging the health of citizens. Petrochemicals and their byproducts, such as dioxin, are known to cause an array of serious health problems, including cancers and endocrine disruption. Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) is a term used to describe a large family of several hundred chemical compounds that originally come from crude oil. Crude oil is used to make petroleum products, which can contaminate the environment. Because there are so many different chemicals in crude oil and in other petroleum products, it is not practical to measure each one separately. However, it is useful to measure the total amount of TPH at a site. TPH is a mixture of chemicals, but they are all made mainly from hydrogen and carbon, called hydrocarbons. Scientists divide TPH into groups of petroleum hydrocarbons that act alike in soil or water. These groups are called petroleum hydrocarbon fractions. Each fraction contains many individual chemicals.

Some chemicals that may be found in TPH are hexane, jet fuels, mineral oils, benzene, toluene, xylenes, naphthalene, and fluorene, as well as other petroleum products and gasoline components. However, it is likely that samples of TPH will contain only some, or a mixture, of these chemicals. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has determined that one TPH compound (benzene) is carcinogenic to humans. IARC has determined that other TPH compounds (benzo[a]pyrene and gasoline) are carcinogenic to humans.

Benzene causes leukemia. Benzene as a cause of leukemia had documented since 1928 (1 p. 7-9). In 1948, the American Petroleum Institute officially reported a link between this solvent used in many of their industries used and cases of leukemia in their workers. Their findings concluded that the only safe level of benzene exposure is no exposure at all (2).

The largest breast cancer incidents are in Marin County, California which is tied to the air, water and ecosphere of the Chevron Oil refinery right next door. Gasoline, Petroleum and the plastics made from it are the single largest cause of cancer in the world. This is a known fact, verified by thousands of studies which the oil industry counters by paying pundits to say: "Well, we just are not sure yet"

This chemical array has killed more Americans than every terrorist since the beginning of time. The petrochemical bisphenol-a, or BPA, causes precancerous tumors and urinary tract problems and made babies reach puberty early. Every gas pump has a label on it that oil and gas causes cancer and a host of lethal medical problems. When there is an oil spill, you are not allowed on the beach because most agencies classify oil as toxic.

A study of childhood leukemia in England mapped every child with the disease and found they all occurred in a circle, in the center of which was a gas station.

Some chemicals that may be found in TPH are hexane, jet fuels, mineral oils, benzene, toluene, xylenes, naphthalene, and fluorene, as well as other petroleum products and gasoline components. However, it is likely that samples of TPH will contain only some, or a mixture, of these chemicals. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has determined that one TPH compound (benzene) is carcinogenic to humans. IARC has determined that other TPH compounds (benzo[a]pyrene and gasoline) are carcinogenic to humans.

Benzene causes leukemia. Benzene as a cause of leukemia had documented since 1928 (1 p. 7-9). In A “fuel cell car” and an “electric car” ARE THE SAME THING. The skills want you to think otherwise. The only difference is where the electricity is stored. You can pull the batteries out of every Zenn, Tesla, Zap, EV1, Venture Vehicle, etc. and pop a fuel cell/hydrogen pack in the same hole and go further, more efficiently in EVERY SINGLE CASE.

A modern fuel cell and hydrogen system beats batteries on every front

The charge-keeping capability of a typical lithium-ion battery degrades steadily over time and with use. After only one or two years of use, the runtime of a laptop or cell phone battery is reduced to the point where the user experience is significantly impacted. For example, the runtime of a typical 4-hour laptop battery drops to only about 2.5 hours after 3,000 hours of use. By contrast, the latest fuel cells continue to deliver nearly their original levels of runtime well past the 2,000 and 3,000 hour marks and are still going strong at 5,000+ hours

The electrical capacity of batteries has not kept up with the increasing power consumption of electronic devices. Features such as W-LAN, higher CPU speed, "always-on", large and bright displays and many others are important for the user but severely limited by today`s battery life. Lithium ion batteries, and lithium-polymer batteries have almost reached fundamental limits. A laptop playing a DVD today has a runtime of just above one hour on one battery pack, which is clearly not acceptable.

Batteries require coal be burned to charge them. One pound of coal has roughly 14,000 Btu of chemical energy in it. Any reference textbook says that. When that pound is burned in an electric powerplant, steam is made, which drives turbines at high speed, alternators are turned, and electricity is made. When everything operates well, all that turns out to be generally around 30% efficient, meaning that 30% of the chemical energy that started out in the coal has become actual electricity.

(The other 70% all becomes various forms of heat, all of which contributes toward Global Warming and other problems). Now we have around 4200 Btus of remaining energy, now as electricity, which is a little over a kilowatt-hour. (It turns out that nuclear power is slightly better, at around 32% efficiency, and petroleum and natural gas turbines tend to be around 28% or 29%, but all are essentially the same.) That electricity then has to travel long distances through transformers and wires to get to your house. If you lived right next door to a power plant, it would be fine, but for average Americans, it turns out that around 60% of the electricity put into those wires and transformers never gets to the customers at the other end! It is mostly wasted because the wires become hot because of all the electrical current flowing through them, and they act a lot like giant toasters! People are therefore not generally aware that **only around 13% of the chemical energy burned in the coal in the power plant is actually available as electricity in your house!** (The rest, the other 87% all winds up being various forms of heat, all contributing to global warming!)

So, for a pound of coal burned, your house electrical outlets then receive around 1,820 Btu of electrical power. Around 1100 Btu of that can actually get put in the batteries, due to efficiencies of battery chargers and batteries. Of the energy STORED in the batteries, the efficiencies of batteries, motors and gear trains are such that around 450 Btu of that are eventually available at the wheels as motive power.

(Remember that this is out of 14,000 Btu of chemical energy that was produced when that pound of coal was burned!)

One watt-hour is equal to about 3.412 Btus, so this 450 Btus is the same as around 130 watt-hours, or, for a 14-volt automotive battery, around 10 ampere-hours of actual usable power. The 130 watt-hours is also equal to around 0.18 horsepower for an hour. Now, this might sound like a lot, but remember that the 14,000 Btu in the pound of coal resulted in this 450 Btu that is actually usable in a car, only about 3% overall efficiency! And the other 97% of that energy when the coal was burned all went toward heating that contributes to global warming.

In contrast, a gallon of gasoline has around 126,000 Btu of energy in it, of which a modern car converts around 21% into motive power, so there results around 26,000 Btu of motive power. **POINT: Around 60 pounds of coal (with 840,000 Btu of chemical energy in it) must actually get burned to provide the electricity such that a battery-powered car can do the equivalent to a single gallon of gasoline!** ($60 * 450 = 27,000$) (This is a VERY "losing proposition"!)

That amount of electricity that needs to go INTO the batteries in the car (to be equivalent to that ONE gallon of gasoline) is therefore the 1100 Btu per pound of coal divided by that 3.412 times 60 pounds, or around 20,000 watt-hours of electricity. That is a LOT of electricity! Say you will have 10 hours at night for the batteries to recharge. That means that you would have to have 2,000 watts of power constantly being used and feeding the batteries. For the 14 volt circuitry of standard batteries, that would mean that around 140 amperes of charging electricity would constantly be needed. (NOT the 6 amperes of a good battery charger!) (This huge charging current might actually cause the batteries to explode, unless they are a special and more expensive Deep-Discharge type of battery!) (Batteries in golf-carts are generally wired in series to reduce the amount of current needed.)

Even the house wiring involved might be in question! We are talking about a REALLY impressive battery charger, of course, akin to 25 conventional battery chargers used together, which requires that $1820 / 3.412 * 60$ or about 32,000 watt-hours of input electricity. Over our ten hours, we are therefore talking about needing 3,200 watts of electricity constantly coming in to supply your battery charger. Your house electrical service is sufficient for this need, but standard house wiring would not be. If at 120 volts, a constant 30 amperes of house electricity would be needed, where normal house circuits are either 15 amp or 20 amp if heavy duty. This probably means you would need the specialized wiring like was installed for your air conditioner, which uses roughly the same amount of electricity, through a special 240 volt wiring made especially for the air conditioner. This means you need around 15 amperes of input power to provide that 3,200 watts at 240 volts, or about 30 amps if it is 120 volts.

Herein could be a problem, because most houses were built with 100-ampere electrical service. If the A/C is running and this battery charger and some other electrical devices, you might get close to the full capacity of the house wiring! The existing house wiring, and even the transformers up on the utility poles, are barely big enough and could overheat at that constant heavy ten-hour load! We haven't even yet considered the cost of all that electricity! When you think about a constant 10-hour long consumption of about as much electricity as your central air conditioner uses, you probably start to get

the picture. But say you are in some wonderful location where electricity is still only 10 cents per kilowatt-hour. We are needing to use up 32 kilowatt-hours (to equal the vehicle performance of a single gallon of gasoline, remember), so that is $32 * 10$ or \$3.20 of electricity added to your house electric bill, for the equivalent to ONE gallon of gasoline! It does not initially APPEAR to cost anything, and the car merrily scoots around on its battery power. **But if and when an owner realizes that they also have to spend at least \$3.20 in extra electricity for each gallon of gas not used, much of the financial argument goes away!**

You are encouraged to do research to confirm what is described above. It is all true. Did you notice the "worst part" of what is described above? I'm not even talking about the fact that you would wind up paying for at least \$3.20 of house electricity to replace each \$3 gallon of gasoline! In refining a gallon of gasoline, yes, significant energy is used up, although I have never been able to get a reliable figure. But certainly well under 840,000 Btu of refining energy is required to form the gallon (126,000 Btu) of gasoline. **Replace all cars with battery-powered vehicles, and we then would NEED to burn 60 pounds of coal or use 840,000 Btu of coal (or nuclear) chemical energy to produce the equivalent effect of every gallon of gasoline.** This is worse, regarding resource energy wastage, than the vehicles that are currently on the roads! (Yes, the energy is used up in a distant place, and maybe it seems possible to be able to be ignored, but that is still a really bad idea!) And virtually everything that does not contribute to the "motive power" winds up as wasted heat energy.

When those 60 pounds of coal were burned to create the needed electricity to duplicate the benefits of one gallon of gasoline, carbon dioxide is also released into the atmosphere. The coal is around 75% of bituminous coal, or 45 pounds of that. It is fairly simple to determine the amount of carbon dioxide that is created when it is oxidized. The amounts of carbon and oxygen have to be in a molal relationship of one to two. That means the weight relationship has to be 12 (the atomic weight of carbon) to $(12 + 16 + 16$ or 44) (the atomic weight of the molecule of CO₂). This means that $44/12$ or 3.67 times the weight of carbon dioxide is created, or in this case, 165 pounds, of carbon-dioxide would get released in this process. When a gallon of gasoline is burned in an automobile, it is less. A gallon of gasoline weights around 6 pounds, and it is about 83% carbon. That means that it contains nearly exactly 5 pounds of carbon in the gallon. Again using the 3.67 multiplier, we can see that only around 18 pounds of carbon-dioxide is released.

This means that global warming then would occur around 7 times as fast as now! ($840,000 / 126,000$ [heat]) or ($165 / 18$ [CO₂]). If millions of people started driving battery-powered or Hydrogen-powered vehicles, it would therefore be a far WORSE environmental disaster than now, causing global warming to become even faster than it already is!

The "Ethanol adventure" of using 1/5 of the total farm crop production of 2006 for conversion to Ethanol, which provided only around 2% of the vehicle fuels we used in 2006, is simply endangering our near-term food supplies. News reports are already (April 2007) discussing higher milk, bread, beef, and many other food prices in our grocery stores, as a result of the massive focus on producing Ethanol. But some weather problem is bound to occur. Where we used to have massive over-production of nearly all crops, our government has planted the seeds of a true food-supply disaster, which could

happen any year now. In 2008, it is expected that the amount of America's total crop production which will go to making Ethanol will be 1/3 of everything grown! It is as if we are totally crazy, or that we do not even give any thought to what might be a consequence next week or next month or next year! It really is amazing!

What are called Hybrid vehicles are promoted and sold everywhere already, cars that include both a gasoline-powered engine and a battery-powered electric motor. The promotions for them are unbelievably misleading to the public! They totally ignore all that electricity needed to charge the batteries, but then use the charged batteries to help it get very high fuel-efficiency numbers! People are buying such vehicles (which cost a premium because of their having to include two entirely separate sources of power) greatly because they are told they are GREEN and that they see those very impressive mileage numbers. Those are both very clearly pure lies! As to the GREEN part, we discussed above that the electric powerplant where the electricity was made necessarily produces around seven times as much carbon dioxide and heat loss as a gallon of gasoline produces directly.

As to the mileage figures, well, without recognizing that at least \$3.20 of bought house electricity is needed to replace each equivalent gallon of gasoline (eliminating any actual savings), there are a LOT of other details that no one bothers to tell customers! Such as driving a Hybrid or battery-powered car at night consumes far more electricity for all the lights! Far less battery power is left to actually move the vehicle! And no one seems to mention that the battery-mode operation provides only roughly 10 horsepower maximum for the vehicle, meaning only low speeds and rather poor performance. And this deception is INTENTIONAL! TV ads for a Hybrid vehicle that has a 470 horsepower gasoline engine makes it seem that an owner can have his cake and eat it too! A driver who buys a car because it has a 470 horsepower engine is NEVER going to be satisfied with the performance during a 10-horsepower battery-powered mode of operation! There are many other drawbacks as well.

Another stupid-brilliant idea is manufacturing and selling vehicles that will only run on what is called E-85, meaning 85% Ethanol fuel. Again, if there were unlimited supplies of Ethanol, that might make sense. But when America uses up one-fifth of all its farm crop production to provide only around 2% of the amount of fuel that American drivers use up each year, it indicates scary thinking, or lack thereof. By the time the auto manufacturers fully perfect cars that they will be able to sell to run on E-85, and by the time there are enough service stations that even carry E-85 for such drivers, it is certain that some overwhelming crisis will occur (probably in a weather problem and severe shortages of food for Americans), where sanity might again briefly appear and the massive effort toward Ethanol will very suddenly end. For the few people who may wind up buying E-85 vehicles, they will merely wind up having something that might someday go into a museum, something like what happened to the Edsel automobile!

It is really sad that even supposed Regulatory Agencies of the Government have participated in this hype. A car that has a conventional engine, is likely to get the gas mileage that has long been known, somewhat UNDER what the EPA estimates say! But regarding Hybrids, they seem to have just

considered the battery-powered miles to be "free" (because no gasoline is used) and they have listed some Hybrids as having 60 miles per gallon fuel efficiency. That is technically true, if you totally ignore the cost of all that electricity needed as calculated above! If they wanted to go even farther, they could set up a really short test procedure where ONLY the batteries were even used, and then they could let the manufacturers advertise "1000 MPG" or "1,000,000 MPG" or more! The person's home electric bill would go off the charts, but they do not seem to see any reason to consider that expense!

There is an extremely heavily promoted new vehicle being presented in the news in 2007. The Tesla Sports Car certainly can show impressive acceleration. However, both the media reports and their own web-site present some information that simply violates the laws of Physics! It would be wonderful if such things were possible, even in a \$92,000 car.

Unfortunately, they clearly have done the common "spin" that spokespeople seem to all use today to deceive the public. THAT is really sad. Especially since this particular product actually can probably provide pretty decent performance. Why is it always seen as necessary to be deceptive today?

Using information from their own web-site:

First, there is a small-print, very faint, and very hard to read Disclaimer at the bottom of their web-pages that notes that their vehicles have not yet passed government safety testing, and they say that their specifications might change as a result of that. (By the way, since they have not yet passed government safety tests, they are not yet street legal in any State and could therefore not yet be licensed!)

First, they say that the car can produce an absolute maximum of 185 kW of electrical power. Since 746 Watts is equal to 1 horsepower, this is equal to $185/0.746$ or 248 horsepower. They state in the same sentence that that is equal to 248 peak horsepower. That is fine.

They show a graph where the available torque is basically constant over a wide range of motor speeds (which is fine), and the same graph also shows the horsepower curve that is linear, rising from 0 horsepower at 300 rpm and rising to that maximum of about 248 horsepower at maximum speed. That is also fine, and in good agreement with science.

However that information can be mathematically Integrated to determine the actual acceleration, when one also knows the vehicle weight. The web-site gives the vehicle total weight as being 2,500 pounds.

We can first calculate some more things that DO agree with their claims, to show that at least those claims are credible. Let's consider their vehicle top speed. The streamlined shape of the vehicle certainly has a Coefficient of Drag of around 0.3. The total frontal area of the vehicle is around 18 square feet. The claim is that the top speed is 120 mph, which is the same as 176 feet/second. We can simply calculate the total aerodynamic drag from this information (and the average density of air (around one slug mass per 420 cubic feet). It is $0.3 * 18 * 176^2 / 420$ or around 398 pounds of aerodynamic drag. There is also tire drag which is around another 45 pounds for that vehicle weight. The total vehicle drag is therefore around 443 pounds (at that speed). If we just multiply this drag force by the velocity (176) and divide by 550 to convert it to horsepower, we get 142 actual horsepower as

being needed. Given that they indicate that their motor efficiency is around 85% to 90%, and there are mechanical efficiencies of the tires and wheels, this is in fairly good agreement with the roughly 180 horsepower claimed available from their graph at 13,000 rpm (times that efficiency factor). This confirms that the expected top speed is likely to be around what they claim. Fine here.

Let's look at their acceleration claim, of zero-to-sixty in around four seconds (which is impressively fast).

They certainly did that demonstration in what they call first gear, which has a total gear ratio (and therefore torque multiplication) of 14.3. It is easy to see from this ratio that the motor would be turning at close to its maximum revs at 60 mph, so first gear might have been provided simply to be able to show off with this impressive zero-to-sixty acceleration. In any case, they provide a torque curve for their motor, which suggests that it would produce an average of around 160 ft-lbs of torque through this whole sequence. Multiplying this by the total gear ratio gives around 2300 ft-lb of torque, which becomes around 1900 pounds of thrust after considering the various mechanical losses. We have the aerodynamic drag of around 40 pounds average and the tire drag of another 40 pounds to subtract, so we have around 1820 net pounds of thrust available for acceleration. We divide this by the vehicle weight of 2500 pounds to get 0.73 to get the g-force acceleration. This is roughly 16 mph/second acceleration, or around four seconds to get from zero to sixty. This confirms that in their first gear, the acceleration they describe is realistic.

There is actually another factor involved here, regarding a flywheel effect of the motor rotor itself having to accelerate as well. Without knowing the Rotational Inertia (I) of that armature and rotor, it is not possible to calculate the reduction which must occur in this vehicle acceleration, but it must certainly be slightly less than calculated above. In other words, slightly over 4 seconds for zero-to-sixty is then realistic.

The acceleration claim also tells us something else about the Tesla! It has absolutely nothing to do with the matters at hand here, but it still seems worth noting. The acceleration they describe, of zero-to-sixty-in-around-four-seconds, means that the average acceleration is therefore around 0.73G (as indicated above.) On a dry and clean roadway, the best static coefficient of friction is around 1.0. This means that the 1820 pounds of thrust for acceleration must necessarily require roughly that amount of weight on the driving wheels, or around 1800 pounds. If one axle of a 2,500 pound car has 1,800 pounds on it, the other axle has only 700 pounds. This would be an incredibly dangerous vehicle to drive on any curvy roads, if it has that extreme of a weight-distribution. For an actual Licensed highway vehicle, it could not possibly pass road safety tests with such an extreme weight-distribution. Maybe it will be modified before any get onto the road. Which also would mean that the acceleration performance would necessarily have to be slightly less. (It is interesting all the things that Physics can tell us about any mechanism!) (They might also have used extremely sticky tires for such runs, where less vehicle weight would then have to be on the driving axle.)

So the actual mechanical performance of their car is impressive. Again, much of that is because it is a rather small car that is very aerodynamic. Still, impressive.

However, when we get to the charging of the batteries, their claims seem extremely outrageous. They claim that after driving 100 miles (presumably at highway speed) it only takes two hours to recharge the batteries, and by simply plugging it in.

If we do a drag analysis for 60 mph (similar to the 120 mph calculations shown above), we can see that the total vehicle drag is around 100 pounds aero plus 45 pounds tires or 145 pounds total. As above, this calculates to 23 horsepower being constantly needed. To drive 100 miles at that (constant) speed takes 1.66 hours, or 38.7 horsepower-hours of energy. This is the same as around 29 kilowatt-hours of energy. However, getting electricity out of batteries is not a perfectly efficient process, and they acknowledge that their motor ranges from 90% to 80% efficient. To charge this amount in a two hour period therefore requires charging at a rate of over 15,000 watts. Their charger circuits cannot have perfect efficiency so certainly around 18,000 watts of household electricity would be needed.

If this were simply "plugged in" to a standard outlet, it would require 160 amperes at 110 volts! But standard household outlets are only rated at 15 amperes and even heavy duty ones are only rated at 20 amperes! They are talking about so much electricity that at least 6 or 8 standard outlets would be needed to provide enough power! In fact, the very special wiring that was put in your house for your central air conditioner might not be enough to provide the 80 amperes at 220 volts that would apparently be needed to charge a Tesla in the two hours as described.

In this area, their promotion is extremely misleading. It cannot simply be plugged in as they imply. Very heavy duty special house wiring is required to be able to do that massive charging.

From generally known evidence regarding charging batteries extremely fast like that, the internal structure of the battery often suffers and the battery lifetime might therefore suffer. They don't mention what the cost of replacing their battery pack is, but it certainly would be expensive. A moderately similar experimental electric car recently shown to the press has such an exotic battery pack that replacing it would cost over \$300,000! Obviously, the Tesla battery pack is not that exotic or expensive, but it clearly would be a significant expense if and when it needs to be replaced.

A Tesla spokesperson was on TV talking about this after the above text was written. The battery pack would apparently currently cost around \$9,000 to replace, but she pointed out that battery technology is constantly improving and that cost might drop. She also said that the battery pack lifetime is currently at least two years. It was refreshing to see an honest and open answer to such a question.

Similarly, as discussed much earlier about battery-powered vehicles, the COST of that electricity can be significant. Using Tesla's numbers and this analysis, we are talking about needing to charge around 29 kWh actually into the batteries (in those two hours, after that 100-mile drive). And that due to the efficiencies of chargers, this necessarily requires at least 35 kWh of actual house electricity. If electricity is charged at conventional rates of around 10 cents per kWh, this is around \$3.50 for the electricity for that hundred miles. Granted that this is less than the cost of gasoline in any vehicle to go that distance, but it is still considerably more (around triple) what they claim the electricity cost would be.

But finally, the worst part of such an interesting vehicle is that problem described above regarding the amount of coal that would need to be burned at that remote electric powerplant to provide that much electricity. With the Tesla numbers and this 100 mile trip example, the calculations presented far above show that around 65 pounds of coal would have to be burned in that unseen electric powerplant, which would send around 240 pounds of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere, to provide the electricity for a Tesla to make that (relatively constant speed) 100 mile trip. If a small gasoline engine were used instead inside a similarly aerodynamic and light and small vehicle, maybe two gallons of gasoline would have been required to go that 100 miles, which would have released around 36 pounds of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere. Even if a full-sized sports car such as one of my Corvettes made the trip, with there highway 27 mpg, only 3.7 gallons of gasoline would be used, which would send 67 pounds of CO2 into the atmosphere. The Tesla causes nearly four times as much carbon dioxide to be dumped into the atmosphere than my big-gasoline-engined Corvette would!

Therefore, the Tesla, which is being promoted as being TOTALLY green, in reality causes at least four times as much carbon dioxide to be sent into the atmosphere than if it simply had a gasoline engine in it! Otherwise, it seems to be a rather attractive idea! Impressive acceleration and top speed and decent range. Only the immensity of the charging process, and the consequences of that are such terrible necessary requirements. Like discussed above, NO battery-powered vehicle has any of its own energy, and it requires to get all that energy from some different power source, in this case, house electricity. Even if Tesla is right that electric power companies would give tremendous rate reductions for the electricity because it was nearly all used at night, that cannot stop the requirement that the (remote) electric powerplant necessarily has to cause the release of that 240 pounds of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere from the coal burned.

By the way, many of the advantages of the Tesla have to do with its tiny size and very aerodynamic shape. Any car that had a more conventional size and shape would require a far, far bigger motor and far, far more electricity and battery size and capacity. If that car had a similar horsepower gasoline engine in it, the acceleration and top speed would be comparable, and the gas mileage would be impressive. The two main differences would be that the range would be easily 500 miles (with maybe an 8 gallon gas tank) and that the weight of the vehicle would be more engine instead of the same total weight of batteries.

The Tesla information is very vague about its battery system. Obviously, they are protective about their own unique advances. But we have calculated here that to charge at the rate they describe, there must be around 15,000 watts of charging that is done. Their literature mentions that their charger works at 70 amperes. This seems to imply that their batteries must be a series battery pack, because these numbers imply an effective battery voltage of around 200 volts. Such a high voltage (instead of conventional cars 12-volt batteries) makes a lot of sense in permitting far thinner wires to be used inside the car and in the charger and connectors, although even 70 amperes requires fairly stout wiring.

I suspect that you will NEVER see any reference to a Tesla being driven at night (because all those light bulbs use up a LOT of electrical power which is therefore taken away from being available for the electric motor); nor being driven with the (included) air conditioning operating. Automotive air

conditioning normally takes around 6 horsepower, so the 23 required horsepower for that 60 mph highway driving would become 29 horsepower. This would both reduce the range by 25% and increase the charging time by 30% (as well as increasing the carbon dioxide given off at that distant electric powerplant by another 30%).

I realize that there are many optimistic people who simply say that the detriment of burning coal (which currently provides around 51% of all the electricity used in the US) could be eliminated by CHOOSING to use nuclear powered powerplant electricity instead. First, you don't have any way of deciding where your electricity is made, but second, few people seem to realize that the US already mined essentially all of its Uranium some years ago, and all of the 39 Uranium mines in the US have been closed and completely shut down for some years as a result. We import virtually all the Uranium used in American powerplants! No one seems to know that! (Only a very small percentage is actually from US sources, and that happens to be from the decommissioning of nuclear weapons, for just a few percent.)

There are certainly other even more optimistic people who simply assume that photovoltaic cells (solar cells or PV) can supply the needed electricity. First, such electricity is only available during the daytime when the sun is shining (and Tesla describes recharging through the night). But people who want to believe that have no clue as to how many PV cells would be needed! We have calculated above that around 18,000 watts of electricity would be needed to do the charging that Tesla describes. In a different energy-related page in this Domain, we present the Physics of PV devices, where around 7 watts per square foot of PV cells is possible during bright sunlight around noon. Even under those perfect conditions (noon, no clouds) around 2600 square feet of PV cells would be required. That web-page presentation describes that it is common that around \$150 in total installed cost is involved for each square foot of PV cells. This would mean that around \$390,000 worth of solar cell installation would likely be required to provide the amount of electricity the Tesla describes being needed! I suppose that if you can afford a \$92,000 electric car, you may also be able to afford \$390,000 of solar cells to charge it! But keep in mind that this is for NO CLOUDS and only around noon! Even more solar cells would be required for nearly any real climate!

See the problems? Even though that Tesla can show impressive acceleration and top speed, and decent range, and even though it is such a tiny car that the amount of electricity used is only around three cents per mile (while even at 50 mpg with a small gasoline engine, the gasoline would currently cost around 6 cents per mile), the bottom line regarding why it is even supposed to be desirable is allegedly how GREEN it is. But the reality is that some distant electric powerplant has to pump at least four times as much carbon dioxide into the atmosphere than if the vehicle had simply had a smaller gasoline engine.

The single point for which it is sold is therefore (sadly) totally invalid. It may be fortunate that the only people who will be able to buy a \$92,000 car probably have plenty of money available! However, I suppose that most of them will not even be bothered by the need for maybe an extra thousand dollars of specialized heavy duty wiring being installed in their house to be able to charge the Tesla. And their likely lifestyles are such that they will never even notice if their electric bills happen to get a lot higher because of charging their Tesla.

I see it as a wonderful "novelty" for rich people to play with. For the practical reasons presented in this article, it seems inconceivable that "normal" people will ever benefit from such battery-powered vehicles or even use them (except for golf carts and electric wheelchairs).

It would be nice to be able to say that there was any chance whatever that this technology could advance to actually becoming useful some day. But Tesla even notes that they have already accomplished impressive efficiencies of around 90% and 80% at peak use. What a Tesla has is probably about as good as it will ever be able to get. And if it were not for the horrible requirement that some distant electric power-plant has to release massive amounts of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere to be able to charge the Tesla, it actually could be a useful product. But when a product is SOLD and PROMOTED as being totally green, while the actual reality is entirely opposite, it then turns out to be a really terrible idea!

The truly sad thing is that if millions of people could some day drive vehicles that are electric powered like the Tesla, Global Warming would necessary become far worse as a direct result.”

Such limitations have led to an enormous interest in alternative power sources, of which the fuel cell is the most promising candidate. Storage density, i.e. the electrical capacity available per unit mass of energy storage means, is one of the most important parameters.

So you have the well-known battery and competing fuel shills who are anti-hydrogen sheep:

Ulf Bossel of the European Fuel Cell Forum, Alec Brooks, James Woolsey, Elon Musk, John Doerr, EV World magazine, The Fool, Sam Thurber, Cal Cars, Felix Kramer and plugin America lobby group, Think Progress, and similar...

Yet for every manipulated argument they come up with, they are shot down by hundreds of sites with facts.

The interventions of these 'doubters', shills, meat puppets and trolls fall into a number of clear categories which I'll summarise as:

1 **"You can't succeed because no-one has ever succeeded at this (sports car making / battery-power / taking on the majors, etc etc) before"**. - May I commend to everyone Dava Sobel's wonderful (and short!) book, "Longitude", which offers a perfect map of the tendency of government and the scientific establishment collude to reject true innovation. This effect can only be overcome when a tipping-point of perceived popular utility is reached, at which point the establishment suddenly has a bout of collective amnesia about their earlier denials. (Same story many times over, historically, of course - from Gallileo onwards.)

2 **"It's inefficient to carry around"**. Rather as it's inefficient to carry around a full tank of gas, perhaps? Or to carry around a SUV chassis which itself weighs a ton or more? (Come on, Detroit, you can find a better argument than that, surely?)

3 **"This technology is not a solution and never will be."** This very much reminds me of the IBM's famously short-sighted take on the prospect of home computing, back in the 70s. The language of these

contributions, let alone their content, points to a thought-process rooted in volume-producers' vested interests. Consider the successes of some other new-tech challengers of vested interests: Dyson taking on Hoover with a bagless vacuum-cleaner; Bayliss bringing clockwork (i.e. battery-less) radios and laptops to the third world; thin-film solar panels (sorry, can't remember who, but you know who I mean). On this point, it was deeply depressing, at a high-level environmental science conference of the UK Government last year, for me to witness a "leading and respected" Professor of Transport rejecting electric traction out-of-hand with the words "it will never be more than just power storage on a trolley". Given that this "expert" was advising ministers of state setting future national policy on alternative transport, my immediate thought was "Who pays this man's research grant?"

You can see more about their tactics in the film: **MERCHANTS OF DOUBT**.

So let's be vigilant for any who claim, in a smooth way, that invention can't possibly have the answers. From a position of some expertise in this field, may I remind readers that the "you-don't-understand-how-our-industry-works" argument has been the policy instrument of choice for numerous corporate fraudsters and protectionists down the ages (Enron, anyone?). New York's energetic DA, Mr Spitzer, has made a fine career out of challenging such thinking in the finance sector (with the simple rejoinder: "WHY does your industry work like that? Against customer choice?"). And then of course there's the entire consumer movement (remember Flaming Fords? remember "Unsafe at Any Speed"?). We can and should ask the same questions of the conventional auto industry.

The good news is that genuine innovation will out - as long as ordinary consumers are able to find it and buy it. One of the early lessons of the twenty-first century, thank goodness, is that the old-school, browbeating style of corporate communication - terrorising one's customers into rejecting alternatives - increasingly fails as people wise up to making decisions based on their own independently-gathered information about benefits and risks. (Interestingly, a popular reaction against "selling by fear" is also now happening in the political field. Now why might that be?) As a consumer, one doesn't have to agree with the in-ya-face techniques of anticorporate critics like Michael Moore and Morgan Spurlock to still subscribe to the view that we can buy what we want to buy. We no longer want to be told by old-tech that new-tech is inherently suspect. Isn't it old-tech that brought us dependency on oil, climate change, wars over energy sources?

So c'mon people, how about a reward system for "spot the spoiler"? I'm all for free debate on the issues, but some of these blogs smell rather like the work of paid old-tech corporatists trying to sabotage your success.

Challenge such interventions with the greatest possible vigour, and let consumers decide for themselves!

1.) Battery companies are spending millions of dollars to knock H2 because it works longer, better, faster and cheaper than batteries! Most of the people writing these screaming anti-H2 articles are battery company shells or have investments there. H2 does beat batteries on every front so they should be SCARED!

- 2.) The steel unions hate H2 because H2 cars don't use steel. Steel is too hard to afford any more so nobody will use it in any case.
- 3.) Activists hate H2 because they think it can only be made by the oil companies and they hate the oil companies. This is a falsehood created by the battery and steel guys.
- 4.) Oil companies hate H2 because it is so much better than oil but they only get to hate it unto 2030 when the affordable oil runs out. Then they know they must love it because H2 energy will be all that is left. The Oil industry is dismayed that H2 is coming on so fast and they are trying to slow it down even more.
- 5.) Other alternative energy interests hate it because it is getting all of the funding because the politics are better with H2 than ANYTHING ELSE ON EARTH.

If the gasoline in your car blows up it will do a VAST AMOUNT more death and damage than H2 ever will. You are driving a MOLOTOV COCKTAIL. In 2030 oil is GONE and there is NO OTHER OPTION that can be delivered world-wide in time but H2! Biofuel only solves 2% of the problem. Batteries have failed. Nuclear is too dangerous.”

A New Economy

Fuel cells powered by hydrogen are about to hit the market. In time, they'll let us kiss the sheikhs goodbye.

FORTUNE MAGAZINE

By David Stipp

As far back as Jules Verne, visionaries have predicted that society will someday be utterly transformed by energy based on hydrogen. The lightweight gas, the most abundant element in the universe, can be made from water. It is wondrously clean, emitting mainly pristine steam when burned. When fed into fuel cells, which generate electricity, it offers unprecedented efficiency--these electrochemical reactors extract twice as much useful energy from fuel as internal-combustion engines can.

In fact, hydrogen-powered fuel cells promise to solve just about every energy problem on the horizon. In homes and offices, fuel cells would keep the lights on when the grid can't. Cars propelled by the cells wouldn't foul the air. Hydrogen-based energy would mean less global warming as we shift away from fossil fuels.

None of this is as pie-in-the-sky as it sounds. Potent commercial forces are bringing the hydrogen economy along faster than anyone thought possible only a few years ago. In the next two years, the first wave of products based on hydrogen-powered fuel cells is expected to hit the market, including cars and buses powered by fuel cells, and compact electric generators for commercial buildings and houses. Technology for generating hydrogen is ready now: "reformers" that extract hydrogen from natural gas, and "electrolyzers," Jules Vernian devices that extract hydrogen from plain water. Those electrolyzers, if powered by so-called renewable-energy technologies like wind turbines and solar panels, could truly put an end to oil. Wind turbines and solar panels are emerging fast; after long decades of development, they have entered a Moore's law-like pattern of rapidly falling costs. All these advances add up to a startling reality. Major oil companies have begun to bet quietly but heavily on a hydrogen future. So have many of the largest manufacturers, including United Technologies, General Electric, Du Pont--and every major car company.

Like all disruptive technologies, the hydrogen revolution must overcome major barriers to achieve ubiquity, however. The greatest hurdle is cost: Fuel cells are too pricey for all but niche applications, and they're likely to remain so until economies of scale kick in. Likewise, fully installing the infrastructure needed to produce and deliver hydrogen on a massive scale--think of the refineries, pipelines, and gas stations that have been built to support the oil economy--will take decades and require tens of billions of dollars. Meanwhile, support for hydrogen technology in Washington, D.C., has been almost as evanescent as the gas: For the fiscal year ended Sept. 30, the Department of Energy's hydrogen research budget was \$27 million, a minuscule 0.14% of the GOVT's total budget--and earlier this year the Bush Administration proposed roughly halving that allotment.

Still, it's hard to dismiss a technology that promises a way to kiss the sheikhs goodbye. Suppose further unthinkable things happen--a fundamentalist coup in Saudi Arabia, say, or terrorist attacks on the kingdom's brittle petroleum infrastructure, either of which might precipitate an oil crisis. Could we put the Hydrogen Age on the fast track?

Hydrogen experts, though accustomed to thinking in decades instead of years or months, are already mulling that question, and their answer can be summed up as "yes." A major source of hydrogen is instantly available: natural gas, or methane. Already it is widely processed into hydrogen for manufacturing plastics, "hydrogenated" vegetable oil, and other products. Making hydrogen this way is not totally environmentally friendly--reforming methane generates carbon dioxide, the main culprit in global warming. But it's strategically friendly: Today 99.5% of the methane consumed in America is produced in the U.S. and Canada. What's more, companies such as Praxair of Danbury, Conn., and Air Products & Chemicals of Allentown, Pa., operate a limited but widely dispersed hydrogen infrastructure in the U.S., including pipelines, storage terminals, tanker trucks, and reformers.

Such assets represent a kind of hydrogen-economy starter kit. To jump-start the transition, the first order of business would be to outfit service stations to fuel the hydrogen-powered cars that will soon reach the market, says C.E. "Sandy" Thomas, president of H2Gen Innovations, an Arlington, Va., startup developing novel low-cost methane reformers. Revving up the hydrogen economy would also probably require heavier spending, by industry or government, to accelerate the low-cost mass production of fuel cells, says John A. Turner, a principal scientist at the GOVT's National Renewable Energy Laboratory in Golden, Colo. The technology faces the classic chicken-and-egg problem, he explains: To compete with piston engines and achieve mass commercialization, the costs of the technology must come down by at least a factor of ten. That can happen, but probably not without the cost savings that flow from mass production.

Short-term moves like those would pave the way to a future that excites giant oil companies and environmentalists alike--in which methane would begin to recede as a hydrogen feedstock while renewable sources, like solar and wind power, and biomass, would come to the fore. Before September's terrorist attacks such a shift was projected to happen around the middle of this century. Royal Dutch/Shell, one of the oil giants that is investing heavily in a hydrogen future, projects that by 2050 about half of the world's entire energy supply may well originate with renewables.

Around the industrialized world, the seeds of oil displacement are already visible. Next year, for instance, three major energy companies in Scandinavia plan to build a pilot plant to make hydrogen from wind power. While it's only a start, the implications are huge: Denmark, the world wind-power leader, already gets nearly 15% of its electricity from the wind. Use that electricity to produce hydrogen, and the Danes would have the energy equivalent of the euro: an energy currency that can be efficiently swapped for heat or locomotion, or turned back into electricity. And while electricity is hard to store in large quantities, hydrogen is easy. The Scandinavians plan to use it in fuel-cell-equipped buildings and vehicles--such as the hydrogen-powered buses that DaimlerChrysler expects to roll out in Europe next year.

The U.S. is rich with similar prospects. The windy Dakotas, if studded with twirling wind turbines, could become the Saudi Arabia of hydrogen. Spare megawatts from the 55 major dams along the Columbia River and its tributaries in the Pacific Northwest could be fed into electrolyzers, turning them into the equivalent of inexhaustible oil gushers. Hawaii could help too: Its volcanically abundant geothermal energy could be tapped to generate electricity for churning out hydrogen.

In a telling sign of how far renewable energy has matured since the Age of Aquarius, Home Depot recently started selling solar photovoltaic systems made by AstroPower of Newark, Del., at some of its California stores. Meanwhile, companies such as United Solar Systems in Troy, Mich., have rolled out nifty forms of solar roofing--including shingles that can double as little power plants. Solar cells are only one-tenth as expensive today, on a per-watt basis, as they were in the 1980s, and manufacturers are having trouble keeping up with demand. Worldwide, photovoltaic sales jumped 38% last year. (No high-tech bust there.)

Despite its dropping cost, solar power is still too expensive to mount a serious challenge to grid-supplied electricity--most solar installations power buildings and machines remote from the grid, or are fostered by government-sponsored programs. But wind power, the other high-growth prospect in renewable energy, faces no such limitation.

Thanks to advances such as the advent of monster 1.65-megawatt turbines, wind-power costs have dropped 90% since 1980. In some places, wind watts are now cheaper than those from oil- or gas-fired generators. Over the past decade wind power worldwide has grown, on average, 25% a year, faster than any other energy source, says the Worldwatch Institute, a Washington, D.C., think tank. (Only solar comes close, with a 20% annual growth rate.)

Europe's wind capacity could reach a staggering 60 billion watts by 2010, enough to serve 75 million people, according to the European Wind Energy Association. (By comparison, a large nuclear plant has a capacity of about one billion watts.) The U.S. lags behind Europe in developing wind power, but America's wind-generating capacity is ramping up fast--it's expected to increase by a whopping 60% this year, or 1.5 billion watts.

Much of the growth is happening not in green-dominated California but in America's thrifty heartland. For example, five years ago a school district in Eldora, Iowa, proposed erecting a wind turbine to supply its high school with electricity. The local utility blocked the idea by refusing to allow the wind-supplied watts to offset grid power at the going rate, says Bill Grove, superintendent of the Eldora-New Providence school district. Recently, though, the utility, Alliant Energy of Madison, Wis., rethought the issue and decided to join with the district to install a turbine three times as powerful as originally planned.

Simple arithmetic has inspired a growing number of Midwestern towns, school districts, and farmers to emulate Eldora's pioneering move, says Thomas A. Wind, a wind-power consultant in Jefferson, Iowa. The systems generally pay for themselves over a decade or so, he adds, then continue to whirl out cash year after year.

Richard and Robert Kas, farmers in Woodstock, Minn., were among the first to capitalize on the trend. Two years ago they allotted six acres of their family farm to an energy firm that planted 17 wind turbines, together capable of generating up to ten megawatts, enough for some 4,000 homes. Now the brothers are about to install two 750-kilowatt turbines of their own to sell power to the local utility. Richard estimates the turbines will each generate \$25,000 annually after paying for themselves over about 12 years.

Renewable energy, excluding hydropower, which currently dwarfs other renewables, provides only 2% of U.S. electricity today. But its potential is huge. The harnessable wind power in Midwestern and Western states alone could supply as much electricity during a 15-year period as all of Saudi Arabia's vast oil reserves if they were burned in power plants, according to a federal study.

Such factoids are no longer merely the stuff of environmental confabs and engineering conventions--they are guiding boardroom decisions. Energy bellwethers such as ABB in Zurich and Enron in Houston are positioning themselves to become hydrogen sheikhs by making major investments in wind power. Meanwhile, Royal Dutch/Shell has formed a division devoted to hydrogen and a division devoted to renewables--Shell's top executives have promised to kick-start the new businesses with investments of at least \$500 million by mid-decade. Britain's BP (the former British Petroleum now calls itself the "beyond petroleum" company) has made a major push into solar power--it's the No. 3 photovoltaics maker. (Sharp and Kyocera, both of Japan, are the leaders.)

Clearly, the energy industry will look a lot different two decades hence. Based increasingly on hydrogen, its big players will be more diverse and far-flung than ever. Indeed, they'll probably resemble oil producers crossed with electric utilities. The energy industry's small players will be even stranger creatures: They're likely to be people like us--when we're not using the fuel cells in our homes and cars, we'll plug them in to serve as Internet-like "micropower" nodes supplying electricity to the grid.

Fuel cells are increasingly shaping up to be the 21st century's answer to the internal-combustion engine. You'll probably be able to buy yourself a fuel cell this Christmas. By year-end, Sunbeam's Coleman Powermate unit plans to launch small, portable power modules incorporating fuel cells made by Ballard Power of Vancouver. Plug Power of Latham, N.Y., H Power of Clifton, N.J., and other companies are readying bigger fuel-cell systems designed to supply homes and small businesses with electricity and heat--many have been installed in pilot programs, and full-scale launches are expected by 2004.

In part because of California's recent electricity crisis, the please-let-there-be-light market for fuel cells is likely to skyrocket: Sales, estimated at \$218 million last year, should reach \$2.4 billion by 2005, according to a recent analysis by Fuel Cell Technology News, a Norwalk, Conn., newsletter.

One surprising thing about this projection is that there's already a substantial market for fuel cells. In fact, the "stationary" market for the cells has been quietly growing for years. A decade ago, International Fuel Cells, a United Technologies unit in South Windsor, Conn., introduced fuel-cell systems to supply "uninterruptible" power to buildings. Now its 200-kilowatt PC25 systems are electrifying everything from an Omaha bank to a former stable in New York City's Central Park that's

used as a police station. The latter system, housed in a van-sized green box next to the old stable, enabled the city to avoid a \$1.2 million power-line upgrade--office machines at the precinct house sometimes couldn't all be on at once until the fuel cell was installed in 1999.

Toward the end of this decade, fuel-cell cars should become the hydrogen economy's main driver. Indeed, the auto industry has made by far the boldest investment in the new technology. Four years ago Ford and Daimler-Benz, now DaimlerChrysler, stunned rivals by committing \$750 million to a joint venture with Ballard aimed at rolling out fuel-cell cars by 2004. Not to be outdone, General Motors and Toyota teamed up in pursuit of the same goal. Honda, Renault-Nissan, Hyundai, and Volkswagen have also joined the race.

Today some \$500 million to \$1 billion a year is going into this automotive Manhattan Project, according to analysts. None other than Henry Ford's great-grandson Ford Chairman William Clay Ford Jr. has declared that the fuel cell will "finally end the 100-year reign of the internal-combustion engine."

The venerable piston engine won't be a pushover, though--versatile and cheap, it embodies an entire century of tinkering. Further, there's still no widely accepted way to carry lots of hydrogen around in vehicles. This problem stems from the same property that made hydrogen useful for getting early-20th-century Zeppelins aloft: It's very low-density stuff, so small amounts occupy a large volume.

Like all gases, however, hydrogen can be compressed, so one proposed solution calls for cars to carry special tanks filled with pressurized hydrogen. Such tanks already exist, but more work is needed to establish safety standards for their widespread use in vehicles. (If hydrogen makes you think of the Hindenburg, think again: A 1997 report showed that the famous Zeppelin's skin was painted with chemicals used in rocket fuel. Ignited by static electricity, the chemicals probably were the main cause of its fiery 1937 demise, not the hydrogen inside. In fact, hydrogen dissipates so rapidly outside buildings that the risk of an explosion while gassing up a fuel-cell car with the stuff is practically nil.)

It's likely to be at least several years before you can buy hydrogen at the corner gas station. But if you want to gas up your fuel-cell car at home, you might use one of the highly efficient electrolyzers that Stuart Energy Systems of Toronto is developing. The hydrogen appliances would require only a garden hose (for water) and an electrical outlet to generate enough hydrogen overnight for your daily commute.

The main alternative to onboard hydrogen tanks requires that cars carry compact reformers to synthesize the gas from either gasoline or methanol (wood alcohol). Those liquid fuels wouldn't require radical changes to the corner gas station. But gasoline reformers are costly, bulky, energy consuming, and complex--and they're still at the prototype stage. Methanol, while closer to prime time and less polluting to reform into hydrogen than gasoline, is very toxic. Ingesting half a cup can kill you, and unlike gasoline, it doesn't induce vomiting when swallowed.

Given those daunting problems, why are industry statesmen like Bill Ford so sure that fuel cells will blow away the piston engine? One reason is that the cells offer an astounding 100% leap in fuel efficiency over the venerable competition. Another is that fuel-cell technology is zipping along an arc

of development that promises to amplify its already compelling pluses for decades to come. The piston engine, by comparison, is a mature technology that's increasingly difficult to improve.

Despite its recent fiscal woes, DaimlerChrysler, like every other major automaker, is pouring hefty sums into the effort to launch the cars between 2003 and 2005. But they won't necessarily turn up in showrooms then. The first ones are expected to be marketed as "fleet" vehicles such as taxis. That's because corporate fleets can be gassed up at home bases and so can be rolled out before hydrogen is widely available at service stations. The fuel-cell car market probably won't surpass 5% of U.S. new-vehicle sales, now about 850,000 vehicles a year, until after 2008.

That is, unless the federal government steps in to fast-track the hydrogen economy. Doing so would require a major energy-policy rethink--but probably nothing like the extravagant spending with which the government tried to answer the oil shocks of the 1970s. Instead, seed funding, tax incentives, and mandates for electric utilities to add more renewable power would help; so would shifting federal vehicle fleets to fuel cells. Uncle Sam's hydrogen to-do list might include:

- Creating incentives to install methane reformers at 10% of the nation's service stations--the minimum deemed necessary to support initial mass commercialization of fuel-cell cars. The installations would cost a total of \$4.1 billion, according to a study last year jointly funded by the GOVT and Ford Motor.
- Earmarking, say, \$500 million a year through mid-decade to hurry wind, solar, and other renewable-energy technology. Tax incentives would help erect wind turbines; R&D grants might speed development of advanced "multilayer" solar cells, capable of cutting the cost of solar power in half.
- Providing \$500 million to ramp up fuel-cell manufacturing. The money would fund federal R&D matching grants for labs working on fuel-cell manufacturing processes. It would also pay for shifting federal vehicle fleets to fuel-cell technology, helping fuel-cell makers more quickly achieve economies of scale.

Federal handouts for hydrogen might seem anathema to the oilman in the White House. Yet in Texas two years ago then-governor George W. Bush enacted a sweeping mandate that made Texas a leader in renewable energy. Its first phase requires the state's electric utilities to add 400 megawatts of renewable-energy generating capacity by 2003. The utilities opted for wind power; won over by its low cost, they have since doubled their renewable-energy commitment. Randall Swisher, executive director of the American Wind Energy Association, a trade group in Washington, D.C., calls the Texas program "the most effective renewable-energy policy in the country." More such mandates are sorely needed, adds Swisher, for many utilities and state power regulators still view wind power with a jaundiced eye.

Once the fuel-cell market begins to take off, its impact could snowball. Using hydrogen to combine such renewable energy sources with highly efficient fuel-cell cars could deliver a double whammy to oil's hegemony, says Amory Lovins, an influential energy expert at Rocky Mountain Institute in Snowmass, Colo. That's because the cars' fuel cells could be used both for transportation and, when parked, to generate electricity to feed into the grid. The dividends from such dual-use "Hypercars," he predicts, would probably make them less expensive to get around in than conventional gasoline-powered cars even when oil is still fairly plentiful and cheap, accelerating its displacement by

hydrogen. Oil will still have a role in future years: "It will be good mainly for holding up the ground," he quips.

 NBC NEWS Oct. 14, 2015

Toyota to Phase Out Gas-Powered Vehicles, Doubling Down on Hydrogen

by Paul A. Eisenstein

Toyota Motor Co. wants to virtually eliminate gasoline-powered vehicles from its fleet by 2050, and is betting that hydrogen cars, rather than electric vehicles, will be the long-term answer.

The Japanese maker recently introduced the Mirai, its first retail fuel-cell vehicle, and though sales have so far been measured in the hundreds, the target is to reach 30,000 annually by 2020, and even higher in the years beyond.

A number of other automakers are experimenting with hydrogen power; Hyundai already offers a fuel-cell version of its Tucson SUV, and Honda will launch a retail model next year.

While most competitors are focusing on hybrids, with a heavy emphasis on battery-based models, Toyota remains skeptical about the long-term role of electric vehicle technology.



Japanese auto giant Toyota Motor's hydrogen fuel-cell vehicle Mirai is displayed in Tokyo in November 2014. YOSHIKAZU TSUNO / AFP - Getty Images

LA TIMES

Carmakers prepare to shift to hydrogen fuel cells



Autonomous hydrogen fuel cell vehicle on display at the 2015 Los Angeles Auto Show. The car is a Toyota Mirai, which is a hydrogen fuel cell vehicle.

By **CHRISTOPHER PAPPAS**
Special to the register

SHARELINES

- ▶ "There actually aren't that many other zero-emission vehicles," Toyota manager says
- ▶ There's still much to be done before we have really new cars on the U.S. to drive
- ▶ While it's promising to reach zero-emission cars, fuel cells are not yet

DECEMBER 16, 2014, 10:30 AM

Concerned about slow sales of electric cars and plug-in hybrids, automakers are increasingly looking the future of green cars on hydrogen fuel cell technology.

Chris Demas Motor Corp., maker of the popular Prius gas-electric hybrid, will now hydrogen instead of batteries to power its next generation of green vehicles.

"Today, Toyota actually favors fuel cells over other zero-emission vehicles, like pure battery electric vehicles," said Craig Smith, the company's national manager of advanced technologies. "We would like to be still selling cars when there's no more gas. And no one is coming to our door asking us to build a new electric car."

But even hydrogen's most ardent proponents agree the technology faces enormous hurdles. Like electric cars, hydrogen fuel cell vehicles are expensive. So is the infrastructure to refuel them.



Hydrogen fuel cell vehicle on display at the 2015 Los Angeles Auto Show. The car is a Toyota Mirai, which is a hydrogen fuel cell vehicle.

Car companies have been slow to put hydrogen fuel cell vehicles on the market to date because of the lack of fueling stations. Operators of fueling stations, in turn, won't build more retail outlets unless they see firms fuel cell car sales.

Don Poppe is among the few early investors in hydrogen stations. Visiting a local bar and overalls at his Burbank hydrogen station, Poppe chats on the edges of his mustache and speaks about his future.

"In 2004, we were told we'd have 50,000 cars on the road (in California) by 2009 — but it was more like 300 cars," said Poppe, whose company, H2 Frontier, builds and operates stations in California. "Today, we still only have about 100. That's not going to do it."

Hydrogen fuel cell car makers and station operators like Poppe are subsidized by the state of California, which has set a goal of having 1.5 million zero-emission cars on the road by 2025. By the same year, the state wants 10% of all new cars sold to be zero-emission vehicles.

The category includes plug-in hybrids — which can travel a few miles on battery power alone before a gas engine kicks in — but it doesn't include traditional hybrids, which sell at lower cost and in much higher volumes.

Automakers are still working on electric car technology, and sales of battery electric and plug-in hybrid vehicles are up 30% this year over 2013. Still, total sales for zero-emission vehicles represent less than 1% of all car sales nationally.

They are more popular in California than anywhere else. The state's drivers own 90% of the nation's zero-emission vehicles, almost all of them plug-in hybrids and battery electric vehicles. With automakers still struggling to produce a mass-market electric car, fuel cells increasingly look like the next-best plan.

“We would like to be still selling cars when there's no more gas. And no one is coming to our door asking us to build a new electric car.”

Hydrogen fuel cells are designed to power electric motors much the way batteries do. But instead of storing their energy in a battery pack that takes hours to recharge, the fuel-cell vehicles store hydrogen gas in an onboard tank that can be refilled in minutes, just like a gasoline tank.

The state subsidizes hydrogen-powered cars the same way it does battery-powered cars, with the state \$2,000 rebate if offered buyers of electric cars in the early days. (Electric car buyers now are eligible for a \$1,500 state rebate.) New fuel cell car buyers also qualify, at least until the end of 2014, for a \$4,000 federal rebate — down from an amount as high as \$8,000 for cars that hit the road in earlier years.

© 2014 Los Angeles Times. All rights reserved. This article is intended solely for the personal use of the individual user and is not to be disseminated broadly.

PHOTO: JEFFREY M. HARRIS/GETTY IMAGES FOR THE REGISTER

The state will also award automakers environmental credits for building them, which they can use to comply with California clean air mandates to sell to other automakers who need the credits to comply. Automakers get more credits for fuel cell cars than most battery electric or plug-in hybrids.

Hydrogen refueling station operators like Poppe also get money from the state and other agencies, among them the California Energy Commission, California Air Resources Board and South Coast Air Quality Management District.

Poppe received \$3 million from the state to build a station in China. He got \$200,000 from the energy commission and the air quality district to operate his station in Burbank.

The district says it has spent \$2.4 million so far on the construction, operation and maintenance of nine Southern California stations, with "considerably more funds" being spent by the energy commission and the air resources board, agency spokesman Daniel Novick said.

To qualify for a \$4 million grant, Poppe had to invest \$250,000 to \$300,000 of his own money. To receive grants to cover operational expenses, he has had to hit specific performance goals — a certain number of pumps open, operating at certain capacities, by certain dates — or face being disqualified.



A woman refueling a car at a hydrogen station. The station is a Toyota Mirai, which is a hydrogen fuel cell vehicle.

Despite the risks to overpromise, Poppe believes the future is hydrogen, because fuel cell vehicles address the two main shortcomings of today's battery-powered cars: short driving range and long recharging times.

Car companies agree.

Toyota will launch a fuel cell sedan in Japan early next year and in the U.S. by the summer. Hyundai Motor Co. started testing a hydrogen fuel cell version of its Tucson sport utility vehicle this year. Honda Motor Co., which has spent years testing and leasing its FCX Clarity fuel cell vehicle, is preparing to launch a new fuel cell car sometime next year.

Ford Motor Co., which has put a 2.5 million test miles on a fleet of 200 fuel cell vehicles over the last several years, recently cut a deal with Daimler, Renault and Nissan to develop a joint fuel cell technology that all three companies would share.

General Motors Co., which holds more patents for hydrogen fuel cell technology than any other carmaker, has similarly tested its HydroGen1 car. GM has partnered with Honda, its rival for the number of pure fuel cell patents each year, to co-develop new automotive fuel cell applications.

The cars, when they arrive, won't come cheap. Toyota hasn't set a price for its car here, but when it's launched in Japan it will have a \$68,000 sticker price — though buyers will qualify for a \$10,000 government rebate.

Fuel cell cars have about the same range as many gas-powered vehicles — as much as 300 miles between fueling stops.

Most electric cars have a range of about 80 miles, though more expensive battery-powered cars — namely, the Tesla Model S — offer more than 300 miles of driving range. The Tesla Model S starts at \$77,000 and can cost upward of \$100,000 with the largest battery and luxury options.

In addition, fuel cell attention points out that there are multiple sources of hydrogen, including battery electric or wind generation, nuclear power plants and natural gas.

Edo Mark, chairman of the battery electric vehicle manufacturer Tesla Motors Inc., doubts hydrogen-powered cars will take the science behind them overcomplicated.

"I usually call them 'fool cells,'" Mark said rhetorically in Paris, having earlier dismissed the technology as "a load of rubbish."

Mark did not elaborate on specific weaknesses of hydrogen power or why he believes battery will remain the dominant power source for zero-emission cars. A Tesla spokesperson declined requests for interviews with Mark or other executives.

Some observers caution that the appearance of competing technologies can be misleading. They say the need for clean transportation won't necessarily be fixed in a single system.

"If you have a fuel cell car, you have a longer range between them to the gas station, but if you plug in at home, you never have to go to the gas station at all," said Tim Anah of the Center for Advanced Scientific Studies. "It's not an either-or proposition. It's a both-and proposition."

California is at the leading edge of subsidizing the fuel cell movement. The state Legislature passed AB 680 last year, dedicating \$50 million a year through 2023 to finance the construction of 40,000 or 100 hydrogen fueling stations.

There are only 11 such stations in California now, though that number could increase to 41 stations within a year.

Automakers and station owners have little incentive to invest without government subsidies to develop cars and stations.

"Without government support, this is not a viable business," Poppe said.

Until the current technology goes mainstream, hydrogen station operators like Poppe — who's such a believer in the technology that he and his wife both drive Mercedes-Benz B-Class fuel cell cars — just wait for their businesses to become profitable. His Burbank station serves seven

20 cars a day, he needs at least 30 to remain profitable.

Experts put the price of building a single hydrogen fueling station, including the cost of the real estate, at about \$2 million. A single service at his Burbank station costs \$100,000, Poppe said.

That's expensive, but so are gasoline stations — along with the drilling and refining operations that back them.

"We could put in a nationwide network of (hydrogen) stations for less than the cost of building the Alaska pipeline," said Charlie Friesen, head of the fuel cell vehicle program for GM. "There are a lot of hidden costs too, like the cost of bringing the (oil) to the refineries."

[Rare-earth blood mineral mines are obama kick-backs to silicon valley oligarchs](#)

[Child Labor and the Global Competition for Rare Earth ...](#)

hrbrief.org/2018/12/child-labor-and-the-global-competition-for-rare-earth-elements/

While roughly eighty percent of the cobalt mined in the DRC is produced by mining operations that make use of heavy machinery, the remaining twenty percent of cobalt production comes from hand-dug mining operations that rely on **child labor**. Since the 1970s, the goal of eliminating **child labor** has achieved near-universal consensus.

[iPhone mineral miners of Africa use bare hands | Daily Mail ...](#)

<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3280872/iPhone-mineral-miners-Africa-use-bare-hands-coltan.html>

Hard labour: Panning for **rare minerals** for 12-hours a day, this miner is covered head to foot in the ore-laden mud. It may seem unbelievable, but he is searching for a **mineral** which will power the ...

[LME Steps Up Efforts to Ban Cobalt Suppliers Using Child ...](#)

<https://investingnews.com/daily/resource-investing/battery-metals-investing/cobalt-investing/lme-ban-cobalt-suppliers-child-labor/>

Rare Earth; Industrial Metals ... It can do that by making sure industry standards on **child labor** and conflict **minerals** are being met, that there is auditing and certification," said a LME ...

[Mining Child and Slave Labour - Historical and Current ...](#)

<https://republicofmining.com/category/mining-slave-labour-historical-and-current/>

April 25, 2019 in Africa Mining, Australia/New Caledonia/Papua New Guinea Mining, Battery Technology Innovation/Electric Vehicles, Cobalt, Critical, Strategic and **Rare Earth Minerals** and Metals, International Media Resource Articles, Mining **Child** and Slave Labour - Historical and Current

[Mines Linked to Child Labor Are Thriving in Rush for Car ...](#)

<https://slashdot.org/story/18/02/20/1558257/mines-linked-to-child-labor-are-thriving-in-rush-for-car-batteries>

Metal vital to many electric vehicles has tripled in 18 months. From a report: The appetite for electric cars is driving a boom in small-scale cobalt production in the Democratic Republic of Congo, where some mines have been found to be dangerous and employ **child labor**.

[Cobalt mining for lithium ion batteries has ... - Washington Post](#)

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/graphics/business/batteries/congo-cobalt-mining-for-lithium-ion-battery/>

Workers, including children, **labor** in harsh and dangerous conditions to meet the world's soaring demand for cobalt, a **mineral** essential to powering electric vehicles, laptops, and smartphones ...

[Child miners aged four at Congo cobalt mine | Daily Mail Online](#)

<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-4764208/Child-miners-aged-four-living-hell-Earth.html>

Child miners aged four living a hell on **Earth** so YOU can drive an electric car: Awful human cost in squalid Congo cobalt mine that Michael Gove didn't consider in his 'clean' energy crusade

[Digging for rare earths: The mines where iPhones are born](#)

<https://www.cnet.com/news/digging-for-rare-earths-the-mines-where-iphones-are-born/>

Digging for **rare earths**: The mines where iPhones are born. ... from this mine are **rare-earth minerals**, crucial ingredients for iPhones, as well as wind turbines, hybrid cars, and night-vision ...

[Dirty, dangerous and destructive - the elements of a ...](#)

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2011/sep/26/rare-earth-metals-technology-boom>

Dirty, dangerous and destructive - the elements of a technology boom The environmental and human costs of **rare earth** metals are high. Yet electronics are still built to be discarded, not recycled

[Carmakers' electric dreams depend on supplies of rare ...](#)

<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2017/jul/29/electric-cars-battery-manufacturing-cobalt-mining>

Carmakers' electric dreams depend on supplies of **rare minerals** ... Daimler and Volkswagen were failing to do basic checks to ensure that they did not use cobalt mined by **child** labourers in their ...

[Beyond "Conflict Minerals": The Congo's Resource Curse Lives ...](#)

<https://www.dissentmagazine.org/article/beyond-conflict-minerals-the-congos-resource-curse-lives-on>

Beyond "Conflict **Minerals**": The Congo's Resource Curse Lives On "In sub-Saharan Africa," a video at the 2014 Consumer Electronics Show announces, "there is war that feeds off of global demand for electronics.

[Your Hybrid Car Is Hogging All the Rare Earth Metals ...](#)

<https://www.popsci.com/cars/article/2009-09/your-prius-hogging-all-rare-metals>

A **rare earth** element found in trace quantities in a variety of **minerals**, Neodymium is a crucial component in the alloy used to make the Prius's electric motors' magnets

[Child labour behind smart phone and electric car batteries ...](#)

<https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/news/2016/01/Child-labour-behind-smart-phone-and-electric-car-batteries/>

"The dangers to health and safety make mining one of the worst forms of **child** labour. Companies whose global profits total \$125 billion cannot credibly claim that they are unable to check where key **minerals** in their productions come from," said Mark Dummett.

[Clean Energy Boom Could Fuel One of the World's Dirtiest ...](#)

 <https://earther.gizmodo.com/clean-energy-boom-could-fuel-one-of-the-worlds-dirtiest-1829334689>

The irony of transitioning to clean energy is we're going to have to mine the shit out of the **Earth** to do it. Much like our computers and smartphones, wind turbines and solar panels are high-tech devices whose production demands a smattering of metals and **minerals** from across the periodic table and the planet.

[Electric Vehicles, Batteries, Cobalt, and Rare Earth Metals ...](#)

 <https://blog.ucsus.org/josh-goldman/electric-vehicles-batteries-cobalt-and-rare-earth-metals>

Though neither lithium nor cobalt are **rare earth** metals, and **rare earth** metals aren't nearly as **rare** as precious metals like gold, platinum, and palladium, there are important issues surrounding the production of lithium-ion batteries that must be acknowledged and addressed.

[Plight of African child slaves forced into mines - for our ...](#)

 <https://laborrights.org/in-the-news/plight-african-child-slaves-forced-mines-our-mobile-phones>


Glenn Lesak, who heads Save The Children's relief programme in Congo, estimates that between 5000 and 6000 **child** slaves are forced to work in the mines. He said: "This is an industry which is concentrated on forced labour and **child** labour. It's horrific.

[America's Rare Earth Ultimatum: A National Policy of Vertical ...](#)

 <https://capitalresearch.org/article/americas-rare-earth-ultimatum-part-3/>

China's monopoly of the global **rare earth** market should be no surprise-they are the pioneers of **rare earth** innovation and are the authors of **rare earth** development history as previously discussed. Today, China is by far the world's leading researcher, producer, and exporter of **rare earth minerals** and metals.

[Trade War With China exposes U.S. Mineral Import Problem](#)

 <https://www.forbes.com/sites/judeclemente/2018/07/11/trade-war-with-china-exposes-u-s-mineral-import-problem/>

Jul 11, 2018 · In fact, of the 90 **mineral** commodities that our U.S. Geological Survey tracks, we are more than 50% import reliant for 50 of them and 100% import reliant for 20, including very important **rare** ...

[China trade war with US heats up with Huawei summary ...](#)

<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/china-trade-war-us-huawei-summary-judgement-ban-rare-earth-minerals-threat-beijing/>

Rare Earth Elements. ... Mueller witness Nader remains in custody after **child** porn charge ... Advances in **labor**-saving technology will result in women losing nearly as many jobs as men, hitting ...

[In U.S.-China Trade War, Rare Earths Are the Nuclear Option ...](#)

<https://www.usnews.com/news/world-report/articles/2019-06-03/in-us-china-trade-war-rare-earths-are-the-nuclear-option>

In U.S.-China Trade War, **Rare Earths** Are the Nuclear Option **Rare earths** are vital ingredients in everything from U.S. missiles to wind turbines to cellphones - and China holds 80% of the market.

[Why We Need Cobalt & Rare Earth Minerals To Power Electric Cars](#)

<https://highenergytrading.com/why-we-need-cobalt-rare-earth-minerals-to-power-electric-cars/>

Cobalt: The Key **Mineral** in Electric Vehicle Batteries. The electric vehicles (EV) need a lithium-ion battery pack to function. A lithium-ion battery is made with **rare earth minerals** like cobalt, lithium, nickel, and other metals. Cobalt is a **rare** bluish-gray metal that is found deep in the **Earth's** crust.

[Forget Lithium -- It's Rare Earth Minerals That Are in Short ...](#)

<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/forget-lithium-its-rare-earth-minerals-that-are-in-short-supply-for-evs/>

The availability of lithium is a well-known concern with electric vehicle batteries, but much less reported is the concentration of the **rare earth minerals** vital to making electric motors for EVs ...

[China's Rare Earth Metal: Not As Potent a Trade War Weapon As ...](#)

fortune.com/2019/05/29/china-rare-earth-metals-trade-war/

China, aided by its low **labor** costs and lax environmental regulations, became the dominant force in the **rare earth** market during the 1980s, surpassing the U.S. China, which sits on close to 40% of ...

[Can China Hold The U.S. Hostage With Rare Earth Metals ...](#)

<https://seekingalpha.com/article/4268750-can-china-hold-u-s-hostage-rare-earth-metals>

China dominates global **rare earth** metals supplies. China is a dominant force when it comes to **rare earth** metals. Low **labor** costs and generous environmental regulations led to China's control of ...

Further to John's post yesterday about [electric cars colliding with reality](#), I pass along this news item today:

Batteries exploding in burning abandoned Illinois building

CHICAGO (AP) — Lithium batteries exploded loudly overnight inside a burning former paper mill in northern Illinois that officials had believed was long abandoned, and fire officials have decided to let the blaze burn out because they fear trying to extinguish it could trigger more explosions.

The fire that started in Morris Tuesday prompted city officials to order the evacuation of 3,000-4,000 people in some 950 nearby homes, a school, church and small businesses. . .

The fire chief said he's gathering information from fire departments and other experts on how to fight the fire in a building that — to the surprise of his department and other city agencies — was being used to store nearly 100 tons of lithium batteries ranging in size from cellphone batteries to large car batteries.

Steffes' firefighters stopped using water on the blaze minutes after they arrived when they discovered the batteries because water and firefighting foam can cause batteries to explode. And he said while he has heard some ideas on how to battle the blaze — road salt has been suggested — he won't send crews to battle the fire because of the unknowns about what's inside. . .

The mayor said the city didn't know the building was being used to store batteries until it caught fire, and that he knows very little about the company that owns them.

What could go wrong with scaling up this technology by 1,000 times? Maybe it is time for environmentalists to double up on their personal lithium dose, since their mania seems unabating at the moment.

Robert is one of the country's most knowledgeable experts on energy, and I encourage you to read his [entire testimony](#). Here are some highlights as summarized by him:

“* I'm pro-electricity, but I am adamantly opposed to the notion that we should “electrify everything” including transportation.

* EVs are cool. They are not new. The history of EVs is a century of failure tailgating failure. In 1911, the New York Times said that the electric car “has long been recognized as the ideal solution.” In 1990, the California Air Resources Board mandated 10% of car sales be zero-emission vehicles by 2003. Today, 31 years later, only about 6% of the cars in California have an electric plug.

* The average household income for EV buyers is about \$140,000. That's roughly two times the U.S. average. And yet, federal EV tax credits force low- and middle-income taxpayers to subsidize the Benz and Beemer crowd.

* Lower-income Americans are facing huge electric rate increases for grid upgrades to accommodate EVs even though they will probably never own one.

* The California Energy Commission estimated the state will need 1.3 million new public EV chargers by 2030. The likely cost to ratepayers: over \$13 billion.

Investing in Lithium Mining Stocks

How To Profit from the Lithium Boom

By Brian Hicks

Friday, October 16th, 2009

Editor's Note:

While Western Lithium remains a buy, the *Pure Asset Trader* team tells me they have 2 rare earth trades — and possibly a third — they're looking to issue over the next two weeks... with an opportunity to double if not triple your money in mere months.

Ian Cooper heads up this team. And when they talk energy, our readers are all ears. That's because they've closed 33 winners in 35 tries this year. The gains have been exceptional.

For more information on the *Pure Asset Trader's* next move, [click here](#).

For now, here's the lithium piece I wrote a few months ago. This market is just heating up. And as you'll see below, it's a call that's already made readers a quick 30% gain.

Warren Buffett stunned the market back in September 2008 when he announced that he was investing \$250 million in a Chinese electric car company.

I say *stunned* because Warren Buffett seemed to violate one of his own rules of investing: invest in companies you understand.

He admitted that he doesn't know a thing about electric cars.

So why did he invest?

Because maybe, just maybe, he knows that electric cars are a guaranteed winner.

I'm not recommending GM, Nissan, or any other automobile stock that's developing electric cars.

..

Instead, I'm going to recommend the commodity that is vital to the battery technology that'll be used in electric cars: **lithium**.

My play is a tiny mining outfit called Western Lithium (WLC.V; WLCDF). The stock currently trades for about \$1.08 a share.



If you're skeptical or concerned that fuel efficiency alone is not enough to entice Americans to buy electric cars, consider the Silicon Valley company Tesla Motors (pictured above). While their Roadster is the first production automobile to use lithium-ion battery cells and travel more than 200 miles per charge, it is also capable of going from 0-60mph in under four seconds.

Not only will the Roadster leave most sports cars in the dust, the car recently set a distance record in April 2009 when it completed the 241-mile Rallye Monte Carlo d'Energies Alternatives with 36 miles left on the charge.

Even though the Roadster is probably too pricey for the average consumer at just over \$100,000, Tesla has taken more than 1,000 reservations for the car and expects to begin production of an all-electric and more affordable sedan starting in late 2011.

But just remember, the Tesla - as well as every other electric car - needs lithium. And **demand for lithium** is skyrocketing.

Lithium prices have nearly tripled over the past decade with 22% compound annual growth since 2000 for use in laptops, cell phones, and other electronics.

Demand is expected to continue rising, the recent lithium mania has been ignited by the fact that **electric cars** require about 3,000 times the lithium needed for an average cell phone, or 100 times the lithium used in a computer battery.

This huge spike in demand should propel lithium prices much higher over the next few years.

The best way to profit from the lithium boom is **Western Lithium**, which owns the largest known lithium deposit in North America. Take a look: ..

* Meanwhile, blackouts are almost certain this summer and electricity prices are “absolutely exploding.” California’s electricity prices went up by 7.5% last year and they will likely rise another 40% by 2030. This, in a state with the highest poverty rate and largest Latino population in America. How is racial justice or social equity being served by such regressive policies?

* I also talked about resilience, saying “Electrifying everything is the opposite of anti-fragile. Electrifying transportation will put more of our energy eggs in one basket. It will make the grid an even-bigger target for terrorists, cyberthieves, or bad actors. It will reduce resilience and reliability in case of a prolonged grid failure due to natural disaster, equipment failure, or human error.”

I also highlighted the myriad supply-chain problems with EVs. Citing work done by the Natural History Museum in London, I said that electrifying half of the U.S. motor vehicle fleet would require in rough terms:


- * 9 times the world’s current cobalt production
- * 4 times global neodymium output
- * 3 times global lithium production
- * 2 times world copper production

I concluded by saying:

Oil’s dominance in transportation is largely due to its high energy density. That density and improvements in internal combustion engines and hybrids assure that oil will be fueling transport for decades to come. Powerful lobby groups want Congress to spend billions on electrification schemes that will impose regressive taxes on low-income Americans, reduce our resilience, and increase reliance on China. That’s a dubious trifecta.

Let’s amplify that last point: reliance on electric vehicles will put our future squarely in the hands of the Chinese Communist Party. Robert’s testimony included this stunning chart:

Figure 2. Share of Processing Volume for Selected Minerals, 2019



(Source: IEA)

I suspect that for many Democrats, turning our future over to the Communist Chinese is a feature, not a bug. The extent to which the CCP has co-opted the American establishment by distributing cash freely is stunning.”




WHY LITHIUM-ION BATTERIES CATCH FIRE


Lithium-ion batteries have been in the news recently with reports of some of Samsung's phones unexpectedly catching fire. Here, we examine how the batteries work and what can make them ignite.

HOW THEY WORK

Lithium-ion batteries often use lithium cobalt oxide (LiCoO₂) as the positive electrode and graphite as the negative electrode. When the batteries charge, lithium ions and electrons move from the positive to the negative electrode. When they discharge, the ions and electrons move from the negative to the positive electrode, powering phones and other devices. The ions move through an electrolyte, typically made of a lithium salt dissolved in an organic liquid.




SHORT-CIRCUITING




A porous separator keeps the battery electrodes apart. Charging the battery for long periods or inflicting a mechanical blow can damage the separator, causing the battery to discharge rapidly and generate a lot of heat.

OVERCHARGING



When overcharged, lithium cobalt oxide releases oxygen. This can react with the flammable electrolyte and also with cobalt oxide (Co₂O₃) left over after the release of oxygen. Co₂O₃ also increases the resistance of the battery, raising the risk of overheating.

ELECTROLYTE BREAKDOWN



METHYL CARBONATE

Common electrolyte solvent

During charging, some of the organic molecules in the electrolyte can break down, forming carbon dioxide. Because the battery is sealed, this causes pressure to build up. If it gets high enough, the battery bursts, exposing the flammable electrolyte.



Figure 5: Lithium Ion Batteries Increase Their Intent To Enflame As They Age




Figure 6: The document used by USAID to push the invasion of Afghanistan for Lithium mining



https://



Shocking roadside Tesla blaze takes 35 firefighters to extinguish

Shocking roadside Tesla car blaze in Austria takes 35 firefighters to extinguish. The Tesla Model S caught fire after a car crash. The fire could not be put out until power was cut from the car's lithium batteries.

Figure 7: Water Makes Lithium Battery Fires Worse And Firemen Can't Stop Lithium Battery Fires

nypost.com/2021/08/20/google-founder-created-revenge-site-against-estranged-wife/




Figure 8: The Head Of The CIA Is A Spokesperson For Lithium Mining Profiteering

ELON MUSK'S LITHIUM, NICKEL AND COBALT MINES USE CHILD SLAVE LABOR

ELON MUSK'S LITHIUM & COBALT SLAVE LABOR MINES

"Let's Go Get Some Lithium!"



ELON MUSK'S LITHIUM & COBALT SLAVE LABOR MINES

Elon Musk's Congo Child Labor Cobalt Mines Have Caused Millions To Flee Bloodshed As Congo Falls Apart From Musk's Corruption



ELON MUSK'S CONGO COBALT RAPE MINES



INSIDE THE CONGO MINES THAT EXPLOIT CHILDREN

BY MOBILE POWER, HUMAN TOLL. THE COBALT PIPELINE

ELON MUSK'S LITHIUM & COBALT SLAVE LABOR MINES

grand theft URANIUM

OBAMA & ELON MUSK LITHIUM MINES IN AFGHANISTAN ARE CORRUPT GOLDMAN SACHS STOCK SCAMS



ELON MUSK'S LITHIUM & COBALT SLAVE LABOR MINES

Bild: Noah Friedman-Rudovsky





Mines Linked to Child Labor Are Thriving in Rush for Car Batteries

Photographer: Mi



A PUBLIC INTEREST FILM

HOW POLITICAL CORRUPTION WORKS


DIRECTED BY SCREENPLAY BY STORY BY
MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND DEEP INSIDERS

PI FILMS PRESENTS A CLEVER FILM GROUP PRODUCTION IN ASSOCIATION WITH FAIR USE STUDIOS

CASTING BY CASTING CREW MUSIC BY COMPOSER COSTUME DESIGNER EDITOR PRODUCTION DESIGNER PRODUCER
DIRECTOR OF PHOTOGRAPHY CO-EXECUTIVE PRODUCER EXECUTIVE PRODUCER

COMING SOON MAY 2021

WWW.MAJESTICT11.COM






Figure 9: How The Rare Earth Mining Scam Payola Works



Figure 10: The WE THE PEOPLE Poll That Obama Had The Votes For Deleted

Energy Corruption Recap

Lithium ion batteries are bad because they: Cause wars, rape and genocide in the Congo, Afghanistan and Bolivia from the corrupt mining deals involved with mining lithium and cobalt; are insider trading-owned by ex-CIA boss Woolsey and GOVT Boss Chu; excrete chemicals that mutate fetuses when they burn; destroy your brain, lungs and nervous system when they burn; kill the factory workers who make them; cause Panasonic to be one of the most corrupt companies in the world; poison the Earth when disposed of; can't be extinguished by firemen; poison firemen when they burn; are based on criminally corrupt mining schemes like URANIUM ONE; Have over 61 toxic chemicals in them; come from an industry that spends billions on internet shills and trolls used to nay say all other forms of energy; are insider-trading owned by corrupt U.S. Senators who are running a SAFETY COVER-UP about their dangers.

Apple products with lithium ion batteries have been exploding and setting people on fire; over time the chemical dendrites inside each battery grow worse and increase the chances of explosion as they age - LITHIUM ION BATTERIES BECOME MORE AND MORE LIKELY TO EXPLODE AS TIME GOES ON AND AS THEY AGE; "Bad Guys" have figured out how to make them explode remotely; have their dangers hidden by CNN and MSM because pretty much only the DNC people profit from them; are the heart of Elon Musk's stock market scam.

The Obama Administration promised Silicon Valley oligarchs the market monopoly on lithium ion batteries and the sabotage of fuel cells in exchange for campaign financing and search engine rigging; United States Senators that are supposed to protect us from these deadly products own the stock market assets of them so they protect them and stop the FDA, OSHA, DOT & NHTSA from outlawing them. WRITE YOUR ELECTED REPRESENTATIVE AND DEMAND THAT LITHIUM ION BATTERIES BE MADE ILLEGAL TO SELL!

NiCAD and Hundreds of other battery chemistry DO NOT have all of these problems but Lithium Ion batteries get a monopoly because of politician insider trading ownerships. A recent fire on U.S. Highway 101 near Mountain View, CA, burned the driver alive and killed him. In Florida two kids died in a Tesla, burned alive, screaming in agony. A man died in agony in a Tesla crash in Malibu that set Malibu Canyon on fire. A young woman, at the start of life, and her boyfriend were burned alive in their crashed Tesla.

There are many more deaths and crashes than you have heard about. The deaths and the cover-ups are endless. Senators Dianne Feinstein, Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Kamala Harris and their associates own the stock in Tesla Motors and/or it's suppliers and mining companies and they cover-up and halt investigations and laws designed to save the public. They, and their crony's, spend over \$1B a year to shill and troll hype about lithium ion batteries and cover-up the dangers.

Lithium ion EVs are more prone to battery fires. experts say that their lithium-ion batteries can fuel hotter fires that release toxic fumes and are more difficult to put out. Lithium ion fires keep reigniting

which explains why it takes so long and requires copious amounts of water or foam (it is an electric fire, after all) to smother the flames. Tesla employee Bernard Tse and his team warned Elon Musk about these dangers in 2008 and they got fired and/or warned to "say nothing" by Musk. Three top Tesla engineers died in a plane crash next to Tesla offices in San Carlos after two of them agreed to become whistle-blowers.

Elon Musk exists because he bribed DNC politicians and Senators Feinstein, Reid, Boxer, Harris, Clinton and Pelosi to give him free taxpayer cash and government resources from the Dept. of Energy and the Calif treasury. GOVT has been covering-up organized crime activities at GOVT in which GOVT funds are being used as a slush-fund to pay off DNC campaign financiers and to pay for CIA/GPS Fusion-Class attacks on Silicon Valley business competitors of those DNC campaign financiers who GOVT staff share stock market holdings with.

Elon Musk is a criminal, a mobster, an asshole, a bald fake-hair wearing, plastic surgery-addicted, douchebag, woman-abusing, sex addicted, tax evader. Musk exploits poor people and child slaves in the Congo and Afghanistan to mine his lithium and Cobalt. Musk spends billions per year to hire Russian trolls, fake blogger fan-boys and buy fake news self-aggrandizement articles about himself. Musk thinks he is the 'Jesus' of Silicon Valley. Fake News manipulator Google is run by Larry Page and Larry is Musk's investor and bromance butt buddy.

Musk uses massive numbers of shell companies and trust funds to self-deal, evade the law and hide his bribes and stock market insider trading. A huge number of Tesla drivers have been killed; pedestrians and oncoming drivers have also been killed, and Musk covers it up. The DNC and the MSM refuse to allow any articles about Musk's crimes to be printed because they benefit from Musk's crimes. Musk has been professionally diagnosed as a 'psychotic narcissist.' A 'Silicon Valley Mafia; cartel of frat boy sociopath venture capitalists like Steve Jurvetson, Tim Draper, Eric Schmidt, et al; threaten those who do not support the cult of Tesla or their political candidates.

In EVERY blog that you read that mentions 'Musk', at least 1/3 of the comments have been placed there by Musk's paid shills. Musk holds the record for getting sued for fraud by his investors, wives, former partners, employees, suppliers and co-founders. Elon Musk has gone out of his way to hire hundreds of ex-CIA staff and assign them to "dirty tricks teams" to attack his competitors and elected officials who Musk hates.

Musk never founded his companies. Musk's "Starlink" satellites are domestic spy and political manipulation tools - never get your internet from one. Musk stole Tesla in a hostile ownership takeover from Marty the true inventor of the Tesla. The same kind of EMF radiation proven to cause cancer from cell phones exists in massive amounts in a Tesla. Musk can't fix a car or build a rocket and has almost no mechanical skills.

If you pull a report of every VIN# of every Tesla ever built and cross reference that with insurance, repair and lawsuit records you will find that the "per volume" fire, crash, death and defect rate is THE WORST of any car maker in history!

Musk is a lying con artist and partners with Goldman Sachs to rig the stock market. Sachs has a dedicated team of 18 men who rig stocks and valuation bumps for Musk. Over 1000 witnesses can prove every one of those claims in any live televised Congressional hearing! Senators Dianne Feinstein, Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, Kamala Harris and their associates own the stock in Tesla Motors and/or it's suppliers and mining companies.

That is why they criminally help cover-up investigations of Tesla! All of this was reported, in writing, to James Comey, Patricia Rich and David Johnson at the FBI. The DNC bosses own the stock in lithium, Solar and EV markets and use kickbacks from those markets (Especially via convoluted campaign finance laundering via Elon Musk) to finance the DNC.

The DNC bosses use character assassination as their main political tool against any member of the public who speaks out against their felony stock market scams and PizzaGate-like scandals. The Harvey Weinstein reports by Ronan Farrow show that they have teams of hired goons that they pay to destroy people's lives. They use Black Cube, Mossad, In-Q-Tel, Stratfor, Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media, Media Matters, David Brock, Sid Blumenthal, NY Times, Google servers, Facebook servers, Podesta Group, Perkins Coie, Covington & Burling and a host of "assassins".

It should be a felony to hire character assassins in the USA. DEMAND A LAW and DEMAND the termination of these attack services. IE: Gawker and Gizmodo Media sets-up the attack stories and, in paid partnership with Google, Google kicks their attack links around the globe, in front of 8 Billion people, forever. Google locks the attack articles of its enemies on the front top search results of Google search results forever, on purpose!

That is why Google is being terminated in the largest, most well resourced anti-corruption public service take-down in history! Tesla and Musk are protected by shareholders Harris, Pelosi, Feinstein, Brown and Newsom. Panasonic (indicted for bribery and Musk's partner) spends billions of dollars annually cover-up lithium battery fires and battery defects. We can prove all of these assertions in any Congressional hearing or federal jury trial.

California Governor Gavin Newsom announced that all gas powered lawn mowers and leaf blowers were about to become illegal and must be replaced by lithium-ion versions. The Newsom's own vast stock market interests in lithium ion suppliers.

YOU Can Verify These Facts

Every major federal investigator and intelligence officer has confirmed these facts. Many of them PROVIDED this evidence. Congressional hearings have also proven these facts. Thousands of experts can prove these facts in any equitable jury trial. Everyone has heard of [Mossack Fonseca](https://www.esquire.com/news-politics/politics/a29536656/panama-papers-mossack-fonseca-investigations-russia-trump-organization/) (<https://www.esquire.com/news-politics/politics/a29536656/panama-papers-mossack-fonseca-investigations-russia-trump-organization/>) and the [Panama Papers](https://www.icij.org/investigations/panama-papers/new-panama-papers-leak-reveals-mossack-fonsecas-chaotic-scramble/) (<https://www.icij.org/investigations/panama-papers/new-panama-papers-leak-reveals-mossack-fonsecas-chaotic-scramble/>) but there are 187+ other crooked CPA firms that Diane Feinstein, Elon Musk, Nancy Pelosi, Larry Page, John Doerr, Steve Westly, Reid Hoffman, et al, use with even [dirtier secrets](https://www.sec.gov/files/Algo_Trading_Report_2020.pdf) (https://www.sec.gov/files/Algo_Trading_Report_2020.pdf) about stock market rigging and money laundering. [Jeffrey Epstein's](https://www.businessinsider.com/jeffrey-epstein-politicians-connections-donations-2019-7?op=1) (<https://www.businessinsider.com/jeffrey-epstein-politicians-connections-donations-2019-7?op=1>) Political Mafia corruptions and perversions are the heart-and-soul of the Cartel that these people operate. The investigators at every federal agency now have this proof IN HAND and the public should demand prosecutions of the criminals or the resignations of any officials running the cover-ups. Plaintiffs stated that, [Since Before 2005, "Plaintiffs Witnessed Social Media Companies Engage in Illegal Campaign Contributions With Their 'Algorithm' Censorship And Blacklisting"](http://testimony111.com/index.php?post/Since-Before-2005%2C-We-Witnessed-Social-Media-Companies-Engage-in-Illegal-Campaign-Contributions-With-Their-Algorithm-Censorship-And-Blacklisting) (<http://testimony111.com/index.php?post/Since-Before-2005%2C-We-Witnessed-Social-Media-Companies-Engage-in-Illegal-Campaign-Contributions-With-Their-Algorithm-Censorship-And-Blacklisting>).
Thus the cover-ups are still going on.

<https://justthenews.com/accountability/political-ethics/monfbi-sexcapades-bureau-rocked-illicit-office-romances-workplace>

The Plaintiffs have requested, under FOIA law, that all agencies provide all records discussing, referring, or relating to all meetings or communications between staff and related parties or their representatives including minutes of those meetings, or any memoranda written about these meetings by their employees and contractors who were present or have knowledge of these actions related to the “ *The Obama Administration Vendetta, Reprisal, Revenge Manipulations Of Plaintiffs Funding As Payback For Plaintiffs Provision Of Support To A Criminal Investigation*” per the following facts as told to the FBI and DOJ in this federal filing.

This is an actual FOIA that has also been stone-walled:

“**Whereas**, numerous Congressional reports, IT staff reports and security industry reports have verified that agency servers and files, including those upon which Plaintiffs records were housed, have been hacked, moved, deleted and edited by outside third parties including Chinese and Russian hackers, bored teens and hired opposition research operatives and that the hardware level back-doors for SPECTRE and many other incursion sets still exist in agency Cisco, Intel, Juniper

Networks and other Network devices now connected to government file networks at GOVT, SSA, FEC, and other agencies and this fact is indisputable. (The supporting GOVT files are neither lost nor unrecoverable. The supporting Kleiner Perkins and Greylock case files are neither lost nor unrecoverable. At the very least, China, Russian or Brazilian teen hackers have them up for sale on the Dark Web. The NSA certainly has copies of them.)

FOR THE RECORD:

Per the FBI, DOJ, FCC and Congressional investigators:

It is widely verified by the U.S. DOJ that hackers such as Wang Dong, Sun Kailiang, Wen Xinyu, Huang Zhenyu, and Gu Chunhui, who were officers in Unit 61398 of the Third Department of the Chinese People's Liberation Army (PLA) and Aleksei Sergeyevich Morenets, 41, Evgenii Mikhaylovich, Serebriakov, 37, Ivan Sergeyevich Yermakov, 32, Artem Andreyevich Malyshev, 30, and Dmitriy Sergeyevich Badin, 27, who were each assigned to Military Unit 26165, and Oleg Mikhaylovich Sotnikov, 46, and Alexey Valerevich Minin, 46, who were also GRU officers, and hackers-for-hire including Kevin David Mitnick, Adrian Lamo, Albert Gonzalez, Matthew Bevan, Richard Pryce, Jeanson James Ancheta, Michael Calce, Kevin Poulsen, Jonathan James, The hacker known as ASTRA, The hacker known as GUCIFER, The hacker known as ANON 4CHAN and THOUSANDS of other individuals had free access and free reign throughout NSA, FBI, SSA, DOJ, OPM, CIA and other government servers via the SPECTRE, EMOTET, PRIME ROOTKIT, SERCOMM BACKDOOR, NOTPETYA, MELTDOWN, MASTERKEY, RYZENFALL, FALLOUT, CHIMERA, and hundreds of other back doors and penetration vulnerabilities in Cisco, Intel, Juniper Networks, AMD, and other equipment. Additionally, all of the core server penetration tools used by the CIA and the NSA were hacked by foreign nations and their core source code posted on the internet for all to use.

It is ludicrous for any agency to state that any government servers, prior to 2020, were not widely penetrated and manipulated. The hackers are all known to have sold, or provided the results of their work to famous politicians for use against their competitors.

Nancy Pelosi is an owner of the hacking manipulation firm: CROWDSTRIKE. Crowdstrike and famous California Senators had the easy means, the motivations, the staffing, the resources and the known engagement of services to manipulate SSA, DOJ, SEC, FTC and other agency decisions and filing records in order to harm Plaintiffs, reporters and whistle-blowers who reported their crimes and corruptions.

(<http://www.opensecrets.org/personal-finances/nancy-pelosi/net-worth?cid=N00007360&year=2011>)

(https://www.realclearinvestigations.com/articles/2020/10/09/pelosi_takes_big_stake_in_crowdstrike_democrat-tied_linchpin_of_russiagate_125557.html)

The hackers, daily, use the common tools of:

A. Injection. Injection flaws, such as SQL, NoSQL, OS, and LDAP injection, occur when untrusted data is sent to an interpreter as part of a command or query. The attacker's hostile data can trick the interpreter into executing unintended commands or accessing data without proper authorization.

B. Broken Authentication. Application functions related to authentication and session management are often implemented incorrectly, allowing attackers to compromise passwords, keys, or session tokens, or to exploit other implementation flaws to assume other users' identities temporarily or permanently.

C. Sensitive Data exposure. Many web applications and APIs do not properly protect sensitive data, such as financial, healthcare, and PII. Attackers may steal or modify such weakly protected data to conduct credit card fraud, identity theft, or other crimes. Sensitive data may be compromised without extra protection, such as encryption at rest or in transit, and requires special precautions when exchanged with the browser.

D. XML External Entities (XXE). Many older or poorly configured XML processors evaluate external entity references within XML documents. External entities can be used to disclose internal files using the file URI handler, internal file shares, internal port scanning, remote code execution, and denial of service attacks.

E. Broken Access Control. Restrictions on what authenticated users are allowed to do are often not properly enforced. Attackers can exploit these flaws to access unauthorized functionality and/or data, such as access other users' accounts, view sensitive files, modify other users' data, change access rights, etc.

F. Security Misconfiguration. Security misconfiguration is the most commonly seen issue. This is commonly a result of insecure default configurations, incomplete or ad hoc configurations, open cloud storage, misconfigured HTTP headers, and verbose error messages containing sensitive information. Not only must all operating systems, frameworks, libraries, and applications be securely configured, but they must be patched/updated in a timely fashion.

G. Cross-Site Scripting XSS. XSS flaws occur whenever an application includes untrusted data in a new web page without proper validation or escaping, or updates an existing web page with user-supplied data using a browser API that can create HTML or JavaScript. XSS allows attackers to execute scripts in the victim's browser which can hijack user sessions, deface web sites, or redirect the user to malicious sites.

H. Insecure Deserialization. Insecure deserialization often leads to remote code execution. Even if deserialization flaws do not result in remote code execution, they can be used to perform attacks, including replay attacks, injection attacks, and privilege escalation attacks.

I. Using Components with Known Vulnerabilities. Components, such as libraries, frameworks, and other software modules, run with the same privileges as the application. If a vulnerable component is exploited, such an attack can facilitate serious data loss or server takeover. Applications and APIs using components with known vulnerabilities may undermine application defenses and enable various attacks and impacts.

J. Insufficient Logging & Monitoring. Insufficient logging and monitoring, coupled with missing or ineffective integration with incident response, allows attackers to further attack systems, maintain persistence, pivot to more systems, and tamper, extract, or destroy data. Most breach studies show time to detect a breach is over 200 days, typically detected by external parties rather than internal processes or monitoring.

Whereas, Staff within the Department of Energy, including those who worked directly with Lachlan Seward, Steven Chu and other top executives and at The White House have revealed the insider corruption schemes, at those offices, to Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs investigators.

Whereas, Plaintiffs own elected Senate and Congressional representatives have had their staff arrested for spying for China and a shocking number of the companies in this scam now belong to Chinese interests via strange machinations, per CBS News, and this fact is indisputable.

Whereas, Plaintiffs own elected Senate and Congressional representatives have had their staff engage the services of Fusion GPS and other spy agency-like services to attack Plaintiffs and competitive adversaries who competed with their campaign financiers and this fact is indisputable.

Whereas, Silicon Valley has a "mafia-like" organization known in the news media as "*The PayPal Mafia*", AKA "*The Deep State*", AKA "*The Silicon Valley Tech Mafia*", which is known to exist by their shared communications to organize a private agenda; their shared use of the same banks, CPA's, lobbyists, corrupt tech law firms and political operatives; their shared statements of agenda at tech conferences; their shared black-lists on Venture Beat, Gust, Google Docs and "Angelgate" (Disclosed by the founder of Tech Crunch) collusion meeting venues; and FBI research. It is widely documented that member Reid Hoffman funds street riots and that boss Eric Schmidt manipulates intelligence agency resources for political and profiteering personal goals. The DOJ-produced "*Silicon Valley No Poaching*" class-action case deeply discusses this group and their collusion and these facts are indisputable.

Whereas, "*The Silicon Valley Tech Mafia*" bosses James Bronkema, Tom Perkins and members of Greylock Capital did disclose the existence of this active, organized, collusion-based "*Silicon Valley Tech Mafia*" organization, which is operating in violation of federal RICO laws, to Plaintiffs and solicited their membership. Photographs of the members dressed as Mafia godfathers, to glorify their intent, and their emails and tech conference statements very clearly refer to their intent to emulate an organized crime entity. Steven Greer, a "*cover-up expert*" has multiple feature films on Netflix about a Cabal of these people setting out to control technology industries. Jeffrey Epstein was a favored member of this

group. Over 10,000 news articles feature disturbing research about the actions and agenda of this group of sociopath frat boy billionaires and these facts are indisputable.

Whereas, Plaintiffs own elected Senate and Congressional representatives family members have stayed at Plaintiffs home and dated Plaintiffs and obviously relayed deep operational information to Plaintiffs about the activities of other family members and this fact is indisputable.

Whereas, Plaintiffs own elected Senate and Congressional representatives have placed over \$100 Million of profits in their family bank accounts based on business investments in direct competition to Plaintiffs and this fact is indisputable.

Whereas, Plaintiffs properly filed Applications from 2007 forward were stalled, with-held, delayed, blocked, denied, manipulated and reprisal-distorted to revenge-punish Plaintiffs for Plaintiffs 2007 assistance to law enforcement in a major bribery and payola investigation involving State and Federal treasury funds and stock manipulations by Plaintiffs own elected representatives and this fact is indisputable.

Whereas, Plaintiffs own elected Senate and Congressional representatives engaged in a very public battle with the Central Intelligence Agency involving the hacking of each other's computers and this fact is indisputable.

Whereas, Plaintiffs hired Covington and Burling, including Bruce Demming and Plaintiffs associates, to describe "how it all works In Washington" and how Eric Holder and Steven Chu were placed in their positions by Covington and Burling.

Whereas, The President of The United States is currently engaged in a highly public battle with the FBI, CIA and third parties over the abuse of top spy agency and law enforcement agencies for political vendetta purposes and this fact is indisputable and proves, at the highest, level that federal agency bosses regularly use federal agency resources, such as GOVT agency bosses, for harms against citizens.

Whereas, public news reports and Congressional Special Committee reports prove that previous White House staff and Plaintiffs own elected Senate and Congressional representatives have engaged in tens of millions of dollars of spy agency-type harassment, defamation, opposition research, character assassination, hacking and decision manipulation using taxpayer and third-party contractor resources and this fact is indisputable.

Whereas, Jofi Joseph, and over 20 other Obama Administration officials, were whistle-blowers about activities within the Obama White House and exposed such activities voluminously on social media and in covert Google docs accounts. They, along with articles such as: <https://theintercept.com/2016/04/22/googles-remarkably-close-relationship-with-the-obama-white-house-in-two-charts/> and FBI and FTC reports revealed that fact that Google/YouTube/Alphabet/ET AI controlled and staffed most of the Obama White House. **Google Boss Larry Page and Tesla Boss Elon Musk were co-investors, bromance boyfriends, collaborative lobbyists and had a shared agenda and controlled White House and GOVT decisions.** Google 'advised' McKinsey and Covington and Burling on the hiring for the White House and The U.S. Government with all of their friends. The Google global media control system hides any good news about Tesla's competitors, especially Plaintiffs, and authors defamation and attack videos and hit job articles and puts those attack media items at the top of all Google and Youtube search results. They openly bragged about it. (<https://truthstreammedia.com/2013/06/02/googles-regime-change-agent-jared-cohen/>). Google is a criminal empire that told the Obama White House and Department of Energy what to do!

Whereas, Plaintiffs has no affiliation with any political party and Plaintiffs only personal political agenda is to "end political corruption and crony capitalism via 100% legal innovation efforts".

Whereas, one of Plaintiffs lawyers now works in the White House and sued GOVT, and GOVT and SSA staff knew of this and those staff ordered retribution holds or manipulations of Plaintiffs rights and funding because they hate the current President of the United States for personal reasons as their emails, social media, voting records and events participation proves and this fact is indisputable.

Whereas, Plaintiffs, as part of a group of Plaintiffs, won a federal lawsuit against The U.S. Government and GOVT proving that Plaintiffs Applications for funding were manipulated by agencies infected with corruption, bias, favoritism and crony capitalism and this fact is indisputable.

Whereas, No known party within, or consulting to the U.S. Department of Energy, for Plaintiffs application reviews, since 2007, is not politically opposed to any person opposing the Obama White House Administration and Plaintiffs did participate in a successful lawsuit against corruption in the Obama White House and THUS each and EVERY GOVT staffer who reviewed Plaintiffs benefits applications was conflicted in their interests, including the GOVT IG office, and unqualified to do so and this fact is indisputable.

Whereas, The IRS lost the very public legal “Lois Lerner” case which proved that the White House regularly uses its power to manipulate federal agencies in order to target those that are disliked in the public and that similar cases and IG reports have proven this across every agency and the GOVT and this fact is indisputable.

Whereas, numerous Senate and White House letters on official letterhead and videos and press photography prove that Plaintiffs has had a decades long relationship with White House, Department of Energy senior officials (Ranging from Warren Buffet, To Hillary Clinton, To Monica Lewinsky’s hiring manager, to Mayor’s of San Francisco to seated White House Vice Presidents , et al) and, thus, had adequate access to knowledge of deep operations and crimes within those offices and this fact is indisputable.

It is thus well known and proven that:

1. Plaintiffs applications since 2007 were illegally stalled, with-held, delayed, blocked, denied, manipulated and reprisal-distorted to punish Plaintiffs for their assistance to law enforcement in a major bribery and payola investigation involving State and Federal treasury funds and stock manipulations by Plaintiffs own elected representatives and GOVT staff beholden to those officials.
2. Plaintiffs are Federal Witnesses and Whistle-blowers in an active, ongoing federal corruption investigation who has had their rights to a lawyer and other civil rights blocked. By blocking Plaintiffs funds AND blocking their rights AND disabling Plaintiffs with these attacks and subverting their access to federal Legal Services Corporation-provided legal support and by black-listing law-firms who sought to help Plaintiffs, a violation of Plaintiffs State and Federal Constitutional Rights has been undertaken.
3. Operational command and control of hacking services, GOVT decision procedures and practices, file manipulations, records deletions and related manipulations of Plaintiffs applications are clearly proven and sourced to Plaintiffs own elected Senate and Congressional representatives, GOVT officials and White House staff.

Therefore:

It is demanded that Plaintiffs full retroactive awards and global potential profits from their vehicle manufacturing and energy companies from 2007 forward, including damages, be provided at this time per the provided comparative calculation metrics document.

Plaintiffs are seeking to settle this matter rapidly with an agreed upon financial amount to cover damages and back-monies owed but we will legally collect the funds from the GOVT and federal accounts in any case. Since GOVT has previously wired funds to Plaintiffs projects, it should be a trivial matter to transfer those funds in order to finalize this matter.

Re: The **comparative calculation metrics**: The damages amounts in the attached report are based on fully verified, government reported values from carbon credit sales, revenues, stock market exploitation of GOVT funding matters and other profit GAAP reported amounts and FBI revealed sums, from December 2008 to today, that the GOVT-crony competitors and their investors made in documented profits, revenues, tax write-offs, tax waivers and other upsides that Plaintiffs lost because of the corruption damages, rights blockades and reprisal attacks. Additionally, damages from benefit funds blockades, black-lists and other losses of revenue are incorporated in those standards-based, court precedent comps. Additionally, monies owed for whistle-blower and federal informant fees are calculated per industry precedents (such as the Walter T. Department of Energy whistle-blower award (<https://www.latimes.com/nation/la-na-hanford-whistleblower-settlement-20150813-story.html>) and the known annual budget for FBI informant payments provided to Congress in previous budget reports). Plaintiffs provided the documented case evidence to federal investigators since 1986. Additionally, salary losses based on the averaged CEO salaries of the CEO of Tesla Motors, Fisker Motors, Bloom Energy,

Solyndra and General Motors are included as industry standards for the energy and automotive market in the same time-frame. Additionally, standard pain and suffering inclusions are incorporated.

The filed FOIA's require that each agency provide all records of review of bias or conflict of interest, since 2007, in Plaintiffs application and federal dispute processes.

The FOIA's seek all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the terms "records," "communications," and "documents" in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. Plaintiffs seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Plaintiffs request includes any attachments to these records.

No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production. You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts, which are known by Plaintiffs investigators to have been used to attempt to avoid transparency.

Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files is subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA. It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; Plaintiffs Office has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, through negligence or willfulness, failed to meet their obligations.

Custodian searches are still required; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts. In addition, please note that in conducting a "reasonable search" as required by law, you must employ the most up-to-date technologies and tools available, in addition to searches by individual custodians likely to have responsive information. Recent technology may have rendered the your prior FOIA practices unreasonable. In light of the government-wide requirements to manage information electronically by the end of 2016, it is no longer reasonable to rely exclusively on custodian-driven searches.

Furthermore, agencies that have adopted the NARA Capstone program, or similar policies, now maintain emails in a form that is reasonably likely to be more complete than individual custodians' files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from Plaintiffs or her email program, but the GOVT's archiving tools would capture that email under Capstone. Accordingly, Plaintiffs Office insists that the your offices use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. If any potentially responsive records have been destroyed and/or transferred to other agencies or offices, such as the National Archives and Records Agency (NARA), then I request copies of the destruction or transfer slips as well as any other documentation relating to, mentioning or describing said transfer or destruction, to include but not be limited to confirmation that your offices have no other copies of said records. Under the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, agencies must adopt a presumption of disclosure, withholding information "only if . . . disclosure would harm an interest protected by an exemption" or "disclosure is prohibited by law." If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, please advise.

Plaintiffs Office has requested that you provide an index of those documents as required under Vaughn v. Rosen, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), cert. denied, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). As you are aware, a Vaughn index must describe each document claimed as exempt with sufficient specificity "to permit a reasoned judgment as to whether the material is actually exempt under FOIA." Moreover, the Vaughn index "must describe each document or portion thereof withheld, and for each withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the sought-after information." Further, "the withholding agency must supply 'a relatively detailed justification, specifically identifying the reasons why a particular exemption is relevant and correlating those claims with the particular part of a withheld document to which they apply.'"

See - Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy , 827 F.3d 145, 149-50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry , 844 F.3d 952, 955-56 (D.C. Cir. 2016) Id. at 8 ("The Government argues that because the agency had a policy requiring [the official] to forward all of his emails from his [personal] account to his business email, the [personal]

account only contains duplicate agency records at best. Therefore, the Government claims that any hypothetical deletion of the [personal account] emails would still leave a copy of those records intact in [the official's] work email. However, policies are rarely followed to perfection by anyone. At this stage of the case, the Court cannot assume that each and every work-related email in the [personal] account was duplicated in [the official's] work email account." (citations omitted))

See- Presidential Memorandum-Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), <http://bit.ly/2qOnSLU>; Office of Mgmt. & Budget Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, "Managing Government Records Directive," M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), <http://bit.ly/2raBimr>

See - FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 § 2 (Pub. L. No. 114-185)

See- Founding Church of Scientology v. Bell , 603 F.2d 945, 949 (D.C. Cir. 1979)

See - King v. U.S. Dep't of Justice , 830 F.2d 210, 223-24 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (emphasis in original)

See - Id. at 224 (citing Mead Data Central, Inc. v. U.S. Dept of the Air Force , 566 F.2d 242, 251 (D.C. Cir. 1977))

In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable nonexempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document. Claims of non-segregability must be made with the same degree of detail as required for claims of exemptions in a Vaughn index.

If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release. In addition to the records requested above, Plaintiffs Office also requests records describing the processing of this request, including records sufficient to identify search terms used and locations and custodians searched and any tracking sheets used to track the processing of this request.

If your offices use FOIA questionnaires or certifications completed by individual custodians or components to determine whether they possess responsive materials or to describe how they conducted searches, we also request any such records prepared in connection with the processing of this request.

You should institute a preservation hold on information responsive to this request.

Plaintiffs Office intends to pursue all legal avenues to enforce its right of access under FOIA and damages recovery, including litigation and press conferences if necessary. Accordingly, your offices are on notice that litigation is reasonably possible and that Plaintiffs offices have prevailed in past related federal litigation.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email in PDF format. Plaintiffs non-political, criminal investigation, non-commercial public interest Congressional investigation and distribution group requests rolling production of these records as they are located and reviewed.

Please be aware that under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A), a FOIA request is considered constructively denied after twenty business days and is subject to an appeal on that basis.

Fee Waiver

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii), Plaintiffs Office, and citizen complainants, request a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, Plaintiffs Office provides research to major online news organizations viewed by millions of

voters and therefore we are entitled to a fee waiver on the grounds that disclosure of the information sought is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester. Regardless, we are willing to pay fees for this request up to \$50 without prior approval. If you estimate that the fees will exceed this limit, please notify us first.

Expedited Processing

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(1) and 43 C.F.R. § 2.20(a)(2), Plaintiffs Office requests that your office expedite the processing of this request.

Requests shall receive expedited processing when a requester demonstrates "an urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal Government activity, if made by a person who is primarily engaged in disseminating information." First, Plaintiffs Office is an organization "primarily engaged in disseminating information." (finding that Plaintiffs Office is a "representative of the news media" because it "gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw material into distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience.")

Plaintiffs are also investigating criminal activity, for Plaintiffs own litigation and law enforcement reporting, by employees and contractors of your offices, in that such activity harmed us.

See - Mead Data Central, 566 F.2d at 261

See - Am. Civil Liberties Union v. Department of Justice, 321 F. Supp. 2d 24, 29 n.5 (D.D. Cir. 200))

These records are urgently needed to inform the public about actual or alleged government activity. Specifically, Plaintiffs Office contends there exists an urgency to inform the public about what matters of state that were discussed and that those disclosures are helpful to the current White House Administration and the American public in their efforts to end political corruption. The courts have found that the issue of news coverage to be especially critical in determining whether a "compelling need" exists for expedited FOIA processing. The Court have asserted that the "ultimate conclusion" with regards to expedited processing relies on important underlying facts, such as "the credibility of a claimant's allegations regarding government activity, the existence of a threat to physical safety, or whether an issue is the subject of news coverage."

Certification

The above information is true and correct to the best of Plaintiffs knowledge.

Further Correspondence

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, Plaintiffs Office welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, Plaintiffs Office and your offices can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation, and public press conferences, in the future.

This matter affects every voting citizen because, if we can't get justice in America, then no voter will believe they can either. Plaintiffs have made certain that every voters does know the facts of this matter via mass social media.

For additional confirmation of precedents confirming Plaintiffs rights to this data, please review the following:

See - Al-Fayed v. Central Intelligence Agency, 254 F.3d 300, 306 (D.C. Cir. 2001) (Al-Fayed) Id. at 308. (emphasis added)

See - : Wadelton v. Department of State, 13-0412 ESH, 2013 WL 1760853 (D.D. Cir. 2013) (WadeltonSee - Id. Document 10, Filed 04/25/13, Page 6 of 8 (citing ACLU-NC v.DOD , 2006 WL 1469418, at

*1-2; Amer. Civil Liberties Union of N. Cal. v. Dep't of Justice , No. C 04-4447, 2005 WL 588354, at *5-7 (N.D. Cal Mar. 11, 2005))

See - Edmonds v. FBI , CIV.A. 02-1294 (ESH), 2002 WL 32539613 (D.D.C. Dec. 3, 2002)

See - U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(II)

Demand And Confirmation Of Intent:

To be clear, this is not simply a FOIA request. Many FOIA requests have already been filed.

This is a **DEMAND FOR HUMAN RIGHTS AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS DAMAGES PAYMENTS AND REINSTATEMENT OF BLOCKADED RIGHTS!**

THIS IS ALSO A DEMAND FOR STATE SPONSORED LEGAL COUNSEL AND FINANCIAL SERVICES SUPPORT AND/OR FOR DOJ TO TAKE ON THIS CASE AND REPRESENT Plaintiffs

Notes:

Every single person at The U.S. Government is familiar with this case, the cover-ups and Plaintiffs ability to bring FBI and SEC-class investigations and punishments to bear. It is foolish for any party at GOVT or DOJ to deny knowledge of this matter. Thousands of movies, newscasts, articles, FBI reports and Congressional reports cover this matter in great detail and they ALL reach the same conclusion that crimes were committed by GOVT and White House staff and cover-ups are ongoing. It is a proven fact that EVERY major official and staffer at GOVT is dedicated to operating a cover-up of these crimes and they intend to offer no resources or aid. They are shredding documents and deleting hard drives as fast as they can but hackers are widely known to have harvested all evidence from GOVT servers years ago. Congressional IT reports confirm this. The evidence exists in the hands of third parties and often suddenly appears on Wikileaks and other repositories.

Federal, Congressional, Law enforcement and forensic experts have already begun collection/garnishment development for these funds. A settlement today will eliminate great pain and shame for EVERY person at agencies, later. Please expedite settlement proceedings for the sake of all concerned.

Due to the novel creation and construction of the Section 136 funds bank account, the government can neither move, remove, block off nor delay this effort. As you are aware, multiple Presidents and billionaires have tried to do so and none of them, from either party, could touch it, so no party should even think about trying to hide or redirect the money.

The largest number of search engine results, across the globe, on EVERY search engine, about ANY public agency have to do with the "**Department of Energy Green Corruption**". This reality will keep that legacy going for the rest of time. You can settle this now or watch what happens when millions of citizens crowd-source an anti-corruption process, 100% legally, using their home computers and cell phones. Do public officials really want their name right next to Steven Chu's black-stained profile for all of time?

Every SINGLE thing Plaintiffs told GOVT that was going to happen in 2008 HAS happened. The cases WERE payola. The batteries DID blow-up! Afghanistan WAS totally corrupt! Solyndra WAS a Scam! Chu DID blockade Fuel Cells to help Musk! GOVT staff WERE hiding corruption plans in their private emails and text messages! The GOVT servers WERE hacked over 200 times! The IBM Consulting Service WAS conducting manipulated reviews! Steve Rattner WAS a crook! Etc, etc, etc!...History and Congressional investigations have proven that GOVT was wrong on 100% of the assumptions and Plaintiffs have been proven 100% right.

The crimes, and the circumstances of the Plaintiffs of these crimes, must be made right TODAY, or each politician, and their staff, will become what corrupt Steven Chu and indicted Eric Holder have become in the history books: **Political Stains!** What will the legacy be of those we are asking to resolve this be? Plaintiffs asked Steven Chu that TO HIS FACE in 2009. Plaintiffs all know the answer now.

If Plaintiffs have to execute the garnishment taking of their funds and the shaming of each individual involved in the crimes and cover-ups, 100% legally, the headaches will last forever! Cancel culture is only a hint of it. Lawsuits are just a tiny part

of it. Public shame, indictments and midnight visits from the FBI barely describe how bad it can get for the felon public agency staff that manipulate Democracy.

Some past efforts have been funded by anonymous billionaires who fund 501 C 3 and 501 C 4 charities by paying outside lawyers directly or requesting pro bono services. Steve Jobs widow and Bezo's ex wife, alone, have the financial resources to fund the largest lawsuits and private investigator teams in "*the history of the world*". There are now THOUSANDS of such activist billionaires. Plaintiffs intend to go to every one, everywhere in the world, to get an anti-corruption program underway and agency offices who continue cover-ups may end up being VERY sad that they did not settle this when they could.

Do Defendants want to face that kind of legal warfare for the rest of their lives when this can all be settled, to Plaintiffs satisfaction, with one check of far lower expense, TODAY?

It is a waste of taxpayer funds and resources to allow the hubris of crooked Senator's and insane Silicon Valley search engine billionaires to exacerbate this case endlessly because they are pissed off that they got caught doing crimes. Since Congress shows no interest in supporting the law, proposed by Plaintiffs, to curtail hit-jobs and collusion by billionaires, then one must assume that the opportunity to use those measures goes both ways.

This **human rights** and **legal rights** effort has been accomplished with an ad hoc CIA/FBI-type team of reporters, intelligence officers, FBI agents, lawyers, forensic researchers, AI corruption-hunting digital database engines, private investigators, inside whistle-blowers, ICIJ investigative reporters and **any** member of the public that wishes to join the crowd-sourced research and authoring efforts. Members of Congress helped create this effort. ANYBODY is allowed to help us. These are ad hoc common-interest parties who have assembled a forensic research team known as *The Advanced Voluntary Electronic National Group-Excellence Reinforcement Services*. Modern AI engines at Stanford, CERN and other universities hum all night long tracking down political crooks like a million XKEYSCORE (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/XKeyscore>) DB engines on steroids. EVERY public official must ask themselves: "**What side of the chart do I want to be on when these algorithms spit out the results of every dime in all my secret accounts, anywhere on Earth, and covert stock market interests, I have transacted and taken through secret conduits, covert real estate scams and PACs**"? A large number of active FBI, CIA, DOJ, SEC and other agency personnel are supportive of Plaintiffs 100% legal anti-corruption effort because they too disdain criminals operating within Plaintiffs Democracy.

Plaintiffs are available for any and all meetings with Senior White House, FBI, DOJ, SEC, Congressional and related agency staff who have federal "Q-Sensitive" security clearance, provided that the State has provided Plaintiffs with an equitable law firm to represent him as counsel and appropriate financial representatives. Plaintiffs must make certain that he is aware which side, any party who contacts him, is on. There are only two sides: 1.) *The Cover-up Operators* and 2.) *The Prosecutors*, each type proves their intent by their actions..."

The Money Laundering

Laundering The Politicians Dirty Cash

Billions of dollars of payola in this case has been laundered via U.S. real estate transactions in the last five years, according to a new report by a Washington, D.C.-based think tank. Senators Pelosi, Harris, Feinstein, Reed and their associates own some of the largest swathes of illicit money laundered real estate in America.

By using a database of over 100 publicly reported real estate money laundering cases in the U.S., United Kingdom and Canada, Global Financial Integrity says the U.S. has become a preferred destination for those looking to use real estate to stash illicit funds — making it a “Kleptocrat’s dream.”

Public officials and their associates, known as “*politically exposed persons*”, were involved in more than half of the U.S. cases that GFI reviewed. Those PEPs include Genaro García Luna, a former Mexican security minister who bought millions of dollars of U.S. property while accused of taking bribes from the Sinaloa cartel, and the stepson of former Malaysian Prime Minister Najib Razak, who was arrested in 2019 for his alleged role in the 1MDB scandal.

“[Real estate] provides a really easy way to hide ill-gotten gains with little oversight and few questions asked,” GFI policy director Lakshmi Kumar told the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists. “If you’re a criminal, why would you not choose a method that allows you to flaunt your wealth openly, but also hide its illicit nature?”

The U.S. was once considered at the regulatory forefront when it came to preventing money laundering through real estate, adding “persons involved in real estate closings and settlements” to the Bank Secrecy Act’s definition of financial institutions in 1988. But over time, Kumar says, the country lost ground to its peers in the U.K. and Europe.

“This is clearly a systemic issue globally,” Kumar said. “Everyone’s discovering how easy it is to use and abuse the real estate sector. The difference is that everyone else seems to have charted a path forward. They have put in legislation they’re trying to figure it out. In the U.S., we’re still held back.”

One of the biggest issues that the report cites is the use of geographic targeting orders as the U.S.’s primary tool to identify potential money laundering events. GTOs impose reporting requirements on real estate purchases, but only in narrowly targeted scenarios — large cash purchases by legal entities in specific geographic areas.

More than 60% of the U.S. cases examined in the report involved properties in at least one county not covered by a targeting order, which GFI says highlights the inadequacy of the system.

“A lot of the money laundering cases we saw reported in the U.K. and Canada were really concentrated in what you’d call real estate hubs in the country,” Kumar said. “In the U.S., that was not the case. It was spread far and wide.”

Another concern the report outlines is that the U.S. anti-money laundering regime is focused on residential purchases, when a significant portion of the cases GFI reviewed involve commercial real estate transactions.

The FinCEN Files, an investigation on global dirty money flows by ICIJ and BuzzFeed News, examined the impact of large-scale money laundering on middle America.

ICIJ found that Ukrainian oligarch Ihor Kolomoisky, whose case is cited in GFI's report, amassed a Midwest real estate empire with his associates, at one time becoming Cleveland's largest commercial landlords, and leaving behind a trail of unpaid property taxes, unemployed workers and dangerous factory conditions. Kolomoisky has since been sanctioned by the U.S. State Department.

Kumar said that because commercial deals often involve several parties and complex financing arrangements, they are an easy way to stash illicit money.

"When you talk about residential real estate, the heart of it is identifying who is the beneficial owner, [because] if you find out who the beneficial owner is, it also tells you who the criminal is," Kumar told ICIJ. "In a commercial real estate investment, you don't have to own the majority stake to be a criminal. You can own 2% of a \$500 million property, and you are [still] laundering millions through it."

The report also delves into the involvement of "gatekeepers" in real estate transactions and the direct role of real estate agents, lawyers and accountants in facilitating illicit transactions. But GFI also points to regulating private investment advisers as a more under-the-radar way of tackling real estate money laundering.

"Investment vehicles are one of the key methods in which to invest in commercial real estate in this country," Kumar said. "And private equity, venture capital [and] hedge funds have no [anti-money laundering] requirements — so that becomes a black box, because you don't know who is bringing what money into this country and how."

The report proposes major overhauls to the U.S.'s anti-money laundering program to fill these gaps, including replacing GTOs with more stringent reporting requirements on real estate transactions across the country, robust implementation of the beneficial ownership registry passed this year as part of the Corporate Transparency Act, and urging the U.S. Treasury to issue specific regulations regarding purchases by foreign PEPs.

The authors of this report confronted federal regulators, and Elon Musk's staff, with the fact that Musk was money laundering via the vast number of mansions he had accrued. Musk then put all of the mansions up for sale and "moved" to Texas where he thought the law might not get him. He was wrong!

Take a look at the massive number of real estate and art collection ownerships that Dianne Feinstein, Kamala Harris, Elon Musk, Nancy Pelosi...and the rest (and their families) have accrued to money launder their gains.

From another report on this matter:

“...A group of domestic citizens filed FBI complaints and lawsuits against The President of the United States and his senior staff along with a lawsuit against a rogue off-shoot of the CIA called "In-Q-Tel". These citizens instigated Congressional corruption investigations and hearings against the most senior members of the State and Federal government. These actions resulted in the termination of very famous public officials and their crony criminal embezzlement scams and almost resulted in the President being forced to leave office, mid-term, based on revelations of a massive crony kick-back scheme which began to be exposed after the FBI raid of Solyndra. The director of the FBI was fired for assisting in cover-ups related to this matter.

This natural-born American domestic group of engineers was attacked with a \$30 million dollar+ retribution/political reprisal program contracted by White House political operatives, and their appointees, who were also the business competitors of the engineers. The attackers used Fusion GPS-type character assassination smear campaigns (operated by their cronies at Google, Gawker, Gizmodo, Jalopnik and Facebook), NVCA black-listing, Solyndra-laundering, stone-walling, Lois Lerner-class agency manipulation and search engine rigging. In-Q-Tel turns out to be the only federally financed "charity" whose staff are also employed by each of the suspects in this case and who financed the suspects in this case. It was revealed that White House executives ordered government agencies to harm members of the public and to reprisal with-hold public resources from the public. This was a violation of tort, RICO and anti-trust laws.

The victims fought back.

With the encouragement of members of Congress they used 100% legal tools to interdict the corruption.

Essentially; they helped the United States government sue itself!

First, with a unique new kind of pioneering federal lawsuit, victims established — FOR THE FIRST TIME IN LEGAL HISTORY — that political cronyism is a valid basis for a claim of arbitrary-and-capricious agency action under the Administrative Procedure Act. See: Federal Case One, (D.D.C. 2015).

Second, they prevailed in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit on their appeal of the district court's ruling that an agency may escape judicial review of its action by requesting a voluntary remand but refusing to reconsider its initial denial of an application. See: Case Federal Two, (D.C. Cir. 2017). The Washington DC Circuit agreed with the victims that an agency may only seek a remand if it promises to reconsider its initial decision. It is because of that victory that the government, under court order is now re-doing the victims applications and GAO, FBI, IG's and

Congressional oversight offices are watching to assure effective ethics and transparency. Third, these cases placed, on permanent public record, one of the most detailed documentation sets, ever assembled, about how modern political "Dark Money" conduits operate. The legal team hired ex-FBI, CIA and SEC experts to track down covert bank accounts, revolving door bribes, insider stock trades and other payola between the victim's competitors and public officials. This documentation now prevents the use of those kinds of criminal efforts, in the future, by exposing their tactics to the public.

Fourth, the victim's team engaged in the interdiction and termination of corrupt agency executives, contractors and their financiers. This included some of the most well-known names in Washington, DC, at the time. Many of them were, and are still being, investigated and surveilled by the FBI, GAO, SEC and Congress.

Fifth, and most important, the effort put every corrupt political scheme on notice that they WILL be found out and interdicted!

The bottom line?

The victims group WON on every single aspect of their public-interest goals but still have yet to be recompensed for their damages!

Now the "bad guys" have less options to engage in the corruption of our Democracy! “

=====

From Yet Another Overview Of The Matter:

“ Victim and his co-workers witnessed officials stealing money from both, their company and the US Government Treasury. They reported it to the cops. It turned out to be part of one of the biggest embezzlement crimes ever exposed. Major political figures and tech "bosses" turned out to be running a "PayPal Tech Mafia". The bad guys then began hunting down and attacking whistle-blowers in reprisal "for the rest of our lives" per their threats and their ongoing retribution vendettas.

It was found that famous senators, their Silicon Valley oligarch financiers and their associates run an organized crime insider trading scam that abuses taxpayers and sabotages competing businesses. The terminations of the heads of the FBI, The U.S. Government and other famous people in politics is because of their operation, and cover-ups, of this case. The cover-ups are still going on. Victim's ability to get justice in this matter is still blockaded.

Hundreds of the perpetrators have already been fired, placed under permanent public surveillance, financially tracked through every asset, reported to federal agencies and targeted for investigation.

This is a large part of all of that "political corruption" and "dirty Dark Money" politics you read about in the newspaper every day.

The goal is to interdict every single person, company and political operative group who is engaging in these crimes using crowd-sourced investigation and intelligence tools. “

Crony Insider Payola

Cronyism is still prevalent in the energy sector, and the government allocates special benefits to the well-connected instead of fostering a playing field that provides opportunity for all to compete. Nancy Pelosi, Kamala Harris, Dianne Feinstein and Jerry Brown had stock market and revolving door job benefits from the Cleantech Crash Department of Energy funds "winners" and helped to sabotage the competitors of their friends that got handed Crony Cash. Forensic FBI-class accounting proves this! These people were supposed to be Plaintiffs leaders but they chose to cheat rather than compete!

Corruption cannot be fixed in America until it is illegal for ANY politician, or their family members, to own stock market stocks!

The Department of Energy's crony-exclusive subsidies obstruct the long-term success and viability of the technologies and energy sources that they are intended to promote by distorting the actual costs of energy production and interfering with the price signals by which businesses monitor supply and demand. This is clearly covered in the feature films: "*Too Big To Fail*" and "*Omerta*".

In order to keep competitors (of the California Senator's friends) from getting access to the funding, White House and Department of Energy (GOVT) goons, under Secretary of Energy Steven Chu, resorted to the following vendetta and blockade tactics:

- Plaintiffs were first-to-file GOVT applications. Plaintiffs had been invited by the government to participate. GOVT refused to follow the "*First Come, First Served*" rule of the Section 136 funding law and moved those Applicant's who had their act together, to the back of the line when it was discovered that they were ahead of Tesla and Fisker, who had lackadaisically not even filed applications. Tesla and Fisker knew they were going to just be handed the funds, without review, based on White House insider say-so. GOVT then arbitrarily published an illegal rule change press release saying that the "First-Come, First-Served" rule was suddenly no longer in effect after GOVT realized that insider Tesla and Fisker had not had their acts together and that their competitors had filed first and could get money ahead of White House favorites Fisker and Tesla.

Micheal Carr, one of the authors of the 136 Bill, personally told Plaintiffs, in his Washington DC office, that Tesla and Fisker were not intending to even submit applications because funding was "in the bag" for them. Washington DC research firm: Eye On Washington, spoke with Dianne Feinstein's Chief Of Staff, who threatened them and Plaintiffs over the Fremont California NUMMI factory. The Plaintiffs were the first party to approach the NUMMI factory owners to take over the factory. Elon Musk had already gone on the news to say that the "NUMMI factory had no value to Tesla".

Feinstein then talked Musk into back-tracking and taking the building because her husband ran CBRE realty which had interests in that and the Solyndra property (later raided by the FBI) next door. Her relative: Herb Newman, got the HR work and a construction contractor with Feinstein family connections got construction work. Some of her staff then went to work for Tesla. Feinstein's daughter used to stay at Plaintiffs home and had often complained about her mother's ethics in recorded get-

together. Her best friend lived with Plaintiffs and her mother worked at the Feinstein office. The 60 Minutes “Cleantech Crash” episode reveals that most of the Plaintiffs technologies were acquired by China and forced ultra-cheap rates and Chinese spies have been found to be working for the Feinstein’s. Tesla’s China deal was facilitated by Feinstein intermediaries. Feinstein’s hubby’s business partner: Mart Bailey, is China’s top deal maker. China is considered, by the Pentagon, to be America’s biggest current global adversary.

- Once an applicant applied for GOVT funds, they were locked out of all other bank or venture funding worldwide, by design. The National Venture Capital Association and major banks cooperated with this scheme. No other funding outlet could match the GOVT finance rate, so nobody would fund an applicant until AFTER an applicant had been funded... but GOVT would not fund any applicants except the 5 companies who paid the biggest bribes, and made the largest campaign PAC payments, to the Obama campaign. The GOVT funds were a catch-22 to make sure that indie tech companies could NOT get funded unless they were crony insiders.

- GOVT "bottom-drawered" outsiders, especially the Plaintiffs, applications and stuck them at the bottom of a file cabinet, in Lachlan Seward's GOVT office, for months without even looking at them in order to run-out-the-clock on those who competed with campaign-finance front facades: Tesla and Fisker. Tesla and Fisker had agreed to take the taxpayer money, stuff it in their company account, then move it to PAC's who then moved it to Obama, Feinstein, Harris and Pelosi political election campaigns. That process is called “Dark Money Obfuscation”. When the New York Mafia did it they got put in prison. When Elon Musk does it, he gets more free taxpayer cash.

- GOVT told Plaintiffs, that competed with Tesla, that GOVT would not approve the loan for their 100% electric car because the applicant's car "***DID NOT USE ENOUGH GASOLINE***" ...! or that an applicant "was not going to make enough cars from the outset"..when it is widely known that any manufacturing effort requires a ramp-up curve.

- Every, so called, 'GOVT reviewer' of the GOVT applications was either a direct competitor of the Plaintiffs or had stock market holdings in Tesla, Fisker, Solyndra, etc. or later went to work for them. The review process was the most rigged, crony deal anyone had ever seen in Washington DC.

- Insider (and bribe paying) Tesla Motors had hundreds of meetings and phone calls with GOVT staff, many at GOVT HQ, in order to hand-walk Tesla through the process and babysit their application on orders from the Obama White House. Competing applicants received no phone calls, no meetings and no help. GOVT's Carol Battershal, refused to return most phone calls from applicants who were in competition with Tesla because she was a "*Tesla Fan Girl*".

- GOVT lawyer Cohen, refused to respond to any questions from applicants competing with Tesla, yet spent many hours helping Tesla get their paperwork configured. He was terminated for conflict issues.

- Oval Office White House car czar: Steven Rattner, refused to assist or respond to any applicants and told a number of members of Congress that he was just focused on trading "votes-for-GOVT-funds with Detroit auto unions". He said that small electric car start-ups "don't offer enough vote trades to make a discussion valuable". ***Rattner was later indicted in New York for Stock market fraud.*** His

indictment provided key initial clues that the entire Cleantech "green energy" program was about pumping stock market holdings that GOVT and White House insiders exclusively controlled for their own profiteering.

- The GOVT was so overt in their industrial monopoly operations and sabotage efforts that Tesla's own marketing boss wrote an article about it because they were so obvious in their manipulations of an industry: <https://www.wired.com/2009/12/GOVT-loans-stifle-innovation/>
- GOVT never once called, or returned calls from CEO's of the companies applying. GOVT particularly refused to talk to Plaintiffs because all of Plaintiffs technology obsoleted all of Tesla's business model and technologies.
- One of the White House staff that communicated between agencies was caught taking pictures up girls skirts and his case was reduced because of White House intervention. Barack Obama later became part of NETFLIX and helped bring the notorious pedo film "Cuties" to the screen. Sex trades between White House staff, GOVT staff and lobbyists had become common-place by 2010.
- Applicant Bright Automotive famously published a nationwide open letter confirming all of these crimes and abuses by GOVT
- ZAP Motors, Elio Motors, Brammo and almost every other applicant confirmed these charges.
- The GAO, Congress and yet-to-be-seen FBI documents and NSA recordings confirm all of these assertions.
- Plaintiffs hand delivered more written customer order letters to Congress and GOVT in Washington DC than ALL of the other applicant's combined yet Lachlan Seward at GOVT "lost them" (like Lois Lerner lost her IRS hard drives). The applicants re-sent them, yet the GOVT office refused to review them or contact the customers that had submitted them because they proved that Tesla was faking customer order volume and other applicants were not.
- GOVT staff Matt Rogers, Steve Spinner and other Steven Chu buddies, who were manipulated into GOVT jobs by McKinsey/Covington and Burling, flew back and forth to California, on the taxpayers dime, to go to parties and baseball games each weekend, yet GOVT could not find the time or resources for any in-person meetings with anyone but insider Tesla Motors. They were reported-on by green energy CEO Gary D. Conley. Conley was later found with a bullet in his head behind Beale Air Force base in Northern California. Conley had written and talked to a number of applicants stating that a major Silicon Valley crime mob was "after him". Kamala Harris refused to investigate the matter when she was the Attorney General of California. Harris is financed by the Silicon Valley oligarchs suspected of being complicit in the deaths of Conley, Rajeev Motwani, Seth Rich and others.
- Applicant WITNESS Vehicles had applied for a loan under the GOVT "LGP" program. GOVT wanted a cash payment of many tens of thousands of dollars from them in order to look at that application. GOVT told WITNESS that "GOVT does not have an application form so just make up your own". WITNESS acquired an investor to fund the "review payment", which GOVT maintained was not a bribe. Tesla Motors was not required to make such a payment in advance of review. Tesla

only had to make the payment later, after other applicant's complained that Tesla was getting "crony insider favors". Secretary of Energy Steven Chu's top man had committed, in a recorded call, to speak to WITNESS's outside investor's in one phone call, per the request of WITNESS's investors to confirm that the money was being used as a GOVT fee. The GOVT official refused to return all calls, emails, FEDEX letters and personal messenger requests to respond. He was recorded in conversations in the next room while his secretary said he was "not in the building" and also when he told his secretary to "hang up" on the callers. The investors had become concerned that the promised reply from GOVT was being blocked. After a massive number of attempts, a few minutes after the deadline to pay the "review fee" had passed, the senior official at GOVT finally responded with a communication. He sent an email stating that WITNESS had missed the deadline and was not eligible to apply. He had specifically and overtly sabotaged WITNESS by not giving the one sentence response to WITNESS's investors, ever!, and then waiting until the moment the deadline passed to say "HA, we f*cked you!" In a recorded conference call and Congressional meetings, Steven Chu had offered to waive the fee, as GOVT had set a precedent of doing for this with other, applicants. WITNESS, which beat every other applicant on comparative metrics, lost millions of dollars because of the lies and machinations of GOVT.

- Steven Chu's buddies at McKinsey Consulting flooded Congress and the White House with "helpful" "White Papers" that all seemed to reach the ironically similar conclusion that only Tesla Motors could be the "green company" that could solve "green energy". [Raj Gupta Went to jail for rigging McKinsey](https://www.indiawest.com/blogs/former-mckinsey-ceo-rajat-gupta-s-10-tall-claims-short-shrifted/article_e7e88b8c-9c58-11e9-9d18-03143acc78a.html) and (https://www.indiawest.com/blogs/former-mckinsey-ceo-rajat-gupta-s-10-tall-claims-short-shrifted/article_e7e88b8c-9c58-11e9-9d18-03143acc78a.html) stock market manipulations. The Silicon Valley oligarchs had hired all of McKinsey to push this narrative that would only hype Tesla stock. Mckinsey pushed lithium mining and lithium batteries like there was no tomorrow. Google and Goldman Sachs held massive assets in lithium mining.

- GOVT "Tesla Fan Boys" made up their own interpretations of applicants statements and re-wrote applicants intentions in order to create negative data for competitors of Tesla and make Tesla look better even though Tesla was: 1.) about to go bankrupt, 2.) bleeding cash, 3.) owner of the absolute worse debt ratio of any applicant, 4.) Trying to build new buildings, like Solyndra (for real estate holdings to benefit CBRE, Feinstein's husband's company), 5.) bleeding staff, 6.) operated by a sociopath drug abuser, 7.) reliant on Afghanistan war-based lithium battery mines that may never materialize, 8.) reliant on Congo cobalt mines that used child slave labor, 9.) reliant on Panasonic lithium batteries that were never intended for auto energy storage and widely documented to explode and release poison cancer-causing gas during fires, 10.) off budget PER CAR by over \$100,000.00 PER CAR, 11.) in a legal dispute with all of it's founders, 12.) fully conflicted with stock ownership by GOVT, White House and Senate executives, and much, much more...The FBI and SEC have been provided with a list of nearly 1000 lies, deceptive actions, safety cover-ups, stock manipulations in association with Google owners, sex abuse issues, racism and frauds by Tesla Motors and Elon Musk.

- Department of Energy staff use lies, Lois Lerner-type manipulations, sabotage, third-party contracted media hit-jobs (operated by Fusion GPS, Gizmodo, Media Matters, Google, etc.), stone-walling and

other dirty tricks tactics known as "Ratf*ucking", to harm and delay funding for any party who might compete with the crony insiders.

There are **thousands** of other hard-evidence case examples of the corruption in this case.

White House Staff including Rahm Emanuel, Bill Daley, Jay Carney, Robert Gibbs, Steve Rattner, David Axelrod, John Podesta, et al; and The Secretary of Energy Steven Chu and the Chief Counsel for the United States Department of Energy Daniel Cohen and Bill Cooper were, (from 2007 forward), either financed by, friends, with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; applicant's business adversaries, or the Senators and Department of Energy politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political digital search manipulation services to.

Criminal U.S. Senators coordinated and profited in these schemes. Their own family members have now supplied evidence against them. The facts prove that nobody is allowed to "win" government funds **unless** they are friends and stock market partners with certain U.S. Senators.

Even if your GOVT application metrics beat every single other competing Applicant, you will get lied to and defrauded by Department of Energy and White House officials. You will be told that you have a "fair chance", **but** all of the money is secretly hard-wired to a business partner of a Pelosi or Feinstein and you will just waste your time, staff resources and payroll waiting for years on promised funds that will never come.

The awful truth is that Plaintiffs were **DEFRAUDED** out of their life savings, their investors savings and years of their lives by The U.S. Government . The feds knew that the money was hard-wired to Fisker, Tesla and the crony insiders but they induced the other applicants to apply under false pretenses. They used the outsider applicants as a cover-up for their pass-through crony payola schemes to pay off Silicon Valley tech oligarchs for funding the Obama and Pelosi political campaigns and internet search rigging.

The White House, at the time, and The U.S. Government engaged in **FELONY, ORGANIZED CRIME** payola, worked with Jeffrey Epstein and his gang and operated massive stock market manipulation. The highest levels of law enforcement, including Eric Holder and James Comey, helped cover it up! Joe Biden's son has now been exposed running the same type of shenanigans with sketchy off-shore companies.

When the government plays favorites, valuable resources shift to less productive uses.

Removing the cronyism and corporate welfare that are pervasive in energy markets is no easy feat. The current administration's attempt to rescind unused funds in the U.S. Department of Energy Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing loan program provides a good case study.

In handing out only five crony loans, the program has wasted taxpayer dollars by subsidizing economic losers (Fisker) and has promoted corporate welfare by subsidizing well-off companies (Nissan and

Ford). Tesla Motors was clearly awarded government funds based on bribes and cover-ups of its failed finances and deadly engineering defects. Additionally, Tesla acts as a campaign funding conduit to Nancy Pelosi and Dianne Feinstein's election drives. The program has \$4.3 billion remaining but has been idle for more than eight years without a new loan administered by the department because the FBI is hot on the trail of this scheme. Funds still left in that account should be given to the Plaintiffs who were the victims of this failed crony payola Cleantech Crash political payola scheme.

The Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 authorizes the President to rescind funding previously enacted into law, and the White House appropriately offered a \$15 billion rescissions package that included the Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing loan program. The government owes the defrauded applicants their damages and that money should come from the \$4.3 billion still sitting around at GOVT. The White should take the \$1.5 Billion out of the fund and provide it to these Plaintiffs.

The Pelosi Special Interests have, so far, prevailed, and the program remains in place. The money is just sitting there. A DOJ lawsuit is needed to expose the scam and shut down these crimes against the public. In the mean time, that money must be used to compensate those harmed, damaged, sabotaged and defrauded by GOVT. No company will ever get that money again without a global crowd-sourced public FBI-class investigation being conducted against them. That money, must, therefore, be used to make up for the quantified past crimes and harms against the non-crony Applicant's!

Thus, the State of California and The Agencies Of The U.S. Government should consider Plaintiffs demand. It is a legal '**Demand For Payment**' and the collection proceedings are in process!

McKinsey's Whitepapers From Hell

McKinsey & Company staffed The White House and The senior executives at the U.S. Department of Energy for the "Cleantech Crash". All the insiders were McKinsey insiders who worked for the government AND AGAINST THE PUBLIC and for their insider friends. McKinsey was hired by the Silicon Valley VC Cartel to flood Congress and political circles with a tsunami of white-papers and talks saying that lithium ion batteries were the only thing that could solve their "Climate Crisis".... Except McKinsey was hired by the battery owners. You might wonder where you heard the name "McKinsey". McKinsey loves monopolistic world-scope corporate crime smoke-screens.

Since 2008, McKinsey & Company has regularly advised the Food and Drug Administration's drug-regulation division, according to agency records. The consulting giant has had its hand in a range of important FDA projects, from revamping drug-approval processes to implementing new tools for monitoring the pharmaceutical industry.

During that same decade-plus span, as emerged in 2019, McKinsey counted among its clients many of the country's biggest drug companies — not least those responsible for making, distributing and selling the opioids that have ravaged communities across the United States, such as Purdue Pharma and

Johnson & Johnson. At times, McKinsey consultants helped those drugmaker clients fend off costly FDA oversight — even as McKinsey colleagues assigned to the FDA were working to bolster the agency’s regulation of the pharmaceutical market. In one instance, for example, McKinsey consultants helped Purdue and other opioid producers push the FDA to water down a proposed opioid-safety program. The opioid producer ultimately succeeded in weakening the program, even as overdose deaths mounted nationwide.

Yet McKinsey, which is famously secretive about its clientele, never disclosed its pharmaceutical company clients to the FDA, according to the agency. This year ProPublica submitted a Freedom of Information Act request to the FDA seeking records showing that McKinsey had disclosed possible conflicts of interest to the agency’s drug-regulation division as part of contracts spanning more than a decade and worth tens of millions of dollars. The agency responded recently that “after a diligent search of our files, we were unable to locate any records responsive to your request.”

[Federal procurement rules](#) require U.S. government agencies to determine whether a contractor has any conflicts of interest. If serious enough, a conflict can disqualify the contractor from working on a given project. McKinsey’s contracts with the FDA, which ProPublica obtained after filing a FOIA lawsuit, contained [a standard provision](#) obligating the firm to disclose to agency officials any possible organizational conflicts. One passage reads: “the Contractor agrees it shall make an immediate and full disclosure, in writing, to the Contracting Officer of any potential or actual organizational conflict of interest or the existence of any facts that may cause a reasonably prudent person to question the contractor’s impartiality because of the appearance or existence of bias.”

Agency officials rely on disclosure to ensure that they have the information they need to consider whether a contractor’s other business relationships risk slanting its judgment. “Contractors have the obligation to disclose potential conflicts, and then the government has an obligation to figure out how to deal with it,” said Jessica Tillipman, an assistant dean and government procurement law expert at George Washington University Law School.

Asked for comment, McKinsey did not assert that it disclosed potential conflicts to the FDA. But a spokesperson for the firm, Neil Grace, nonetheless maintained that “across more than a decade of service to the FDA, we have been fully transparent that we serve pharmaceutical and medical device companies. McKinsey’s work with the FDA helped improve the agency’s effectiveness through organizational, resourcing, business process, operational, digital, and technology improvements. To achieve its mission, the government regularly seeks support from additional experts who understand both the government’s mission and the industries’ practices. We take seriously our commitment to avoid conflicts and to serve the best interests of the FDA.” (McKinsey is a sponsor of ProPublica’s local virtual events programming.)

McKinsey’s failure to disclose its industry engagements deprived the FDA of the opportunity to consider whether, for example, the overlap between McKinsey’s government and pharmaceutical industry projects and the potential financial incentives at play constituted a conflict, experts said.

“For a contractor like McKinsey not to disclose the companies it is working for has all the appeal of the Addams Family on Halloween hiding Uncle Fester in the basement so as not to scare the neighborhood,” said Charles Tiefer, a professor of government contracting at the University of Baltimore Law School.

An FDA spokesperson, Shannon Hatch, said: “The FDA’s procurement activities are governed by the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR). The agency takes our role awarding contracts seriously and we work to ensure the agency maintains high standards of integrity as set forth in the FAR.”

McKinsey’s extensive opioid company consulting eventually began coming to light, starting with [a 2019 ProPublica report](#). The firm’s opioid work has provoked widespread criticism, spawned a welter of lawsuits and led the firm to pay nearly \$600 million this year to settle legal claims made by all 50 states, as well as five U.S. territories and the District of Columbia. It also prompted McKinsey to issue a [statement](#) in which the firm acknowledged that it “fell short” of its standards in advising opioid makers while also denying that it “sought to increase overdoses or misuse and worsen a public health crisis.” The firm pledged not to work on opioid-related projects going forward.

The lawsuits and [public outrage](#) have focused on the consulting firm’s efforts to help increase (or “turbocharge,” in McKinsey’s parlance) sales of Purdue Pharma’s highly addictive flagship opioid, OxyContin. But lately, concerns have begun to emerge about McKinsey’s parallel assignments, which were worth upward of \$50 million over about 12 years, for the nation’s primary drug regulator. [In a letter](#) to the FDA in August, a bipartisan group of senators led by [Sen. Maggie Hassan, D-N.H.](#), asked the regulator to address “potential conflicts of interest that may have arisen” from McKinsey’s work for both the agency and “a wide range of actors in the opioid industry, including many of the companies that played a pivotal role in fueling the opioid epidemic that our country now faces.”

McKinsey, which has focused on counseling the CEOs of leading corporations for much of its nearly 100-year history, began expanding its public-sector practice in the United States around the time of its earliest FDA projects. McKinsey prides itself on its ability to act quickly and with discretion, and in its largely unregulated engagements for corporate clients, there are few impediments to the firm doing so.

In government consulting, however, the rules are far more stringent, and on several recent occasions, the firm has been caught [refusing to abide by such strictures](#), including disclosure rules. Over the past couple of years, for example, McKinsey’s bankruptcy-advisory practice has paid more than \$30 million to the Justice Department and one client’s creditors to settle allegations that it failed to disclose potential conflicts, as required by the federal bankruptcy rules. Those allegations also [prompted a federal criminal investigation](#) of the firm. McKinsey has denied wrongdoing, and the investigation, which came to light in 2019, has not led to charges.

There are signs of overlap between McKinsey’s government and industry engagements, though publicly available information about the firm’s work for drug companies is limited. In one instance in 2008, which surfaced in a lawsuit against Purdue, the FDA told Purdue that it planned to require the company to submit a drug-safety plan for its bestselling drug, OxyContin. The company recognized that regulation of this sort threatened to cut into its sales margins, and according to McKinsey

documents [filed in federal court](#), top Purdue executives tasked the consultancy with devising a response to the FDA.

According to [McKinsey PowerPoint slides](#), the firm proposed four options, among them suing the FDA to “delay” the imposition of a safety plan and to “band together” with other opioid producers to “formulate arguments to defend against strict treatment by the FDA.” Purdue selected the latter, with McKinsey helping to implement the strategy. In 2009, McKinsey emails and slides show, its consultants prepared Purdue executives for at least two meetings with FDA officials. (One suggested answer to questions about who at Purdue would take personal responsibility for OxyContin overdoses: “We all feel responsible.”)

In the meantime, according to [a 2011 FDA contract](#), the agency’s drug-regulation division hired McKinsey to develop a “new operating model” for the office responsible for developing drug-safety plans of the sort Purdue and its allies were fighting against, with the consultancy’s help. Among McKinsey’s tasks were defining the office’s “strategic goals and objectives,” including its “role in monitoring drug safety.”

In 2012, the FDA issued a substantially watered-down version of the opioid-safety plan.

There’s no evidence to suggest McKinsey’s consultants at the FDA influenced the opioid-safety plan. But this apparent overlap between a government contract and an assignment for a commercial client reflects the type of issue an agency would want to consider when assessing whether a potential conflict of interest exists. Agencies are likeliest to identify a conflict “where an outside business venture is related directly to the subject matter of the procurement and structured such that there is a real economic incentive for biased performance,” Keith Szeliga, a partner at the law firm Sheppard Mullin, [wrote in a 2006 article](#) in the Public Contract Law Journal.

A number of other McKinsey projects at the FDA, contracting records show, were also likely to have a financial impact on its pharmaceutical industry clients. In 2010, for example, the FDA hired the firm to help it develop a system to track and trace the distribution of potentially harmful prescription drugs. The [contract](#) required the firm to consult with “supply chain stakeholders,” a category that potentially included a number of long-standing McKinsey clients. Hassan and her fellow senators, in their recent letter to the FDA, called this “an obvious conflict of interest.”

[How McKinsey Is Making \\$100 Million \(and Counting\) Advising on the Government’s Bumbling Coronavirus Response](#)

[Another contract](#), from 2014, tasked McKinsey with assessing the “strengths, limitations and appropriate use” of Sentinel, a system meant to monitor the safety of drugs once they’re on the market. That project likewise called for McKinsey to interview “external stakeholders,” including “industry organizations” and “drug and device industry leaders.”

The news of McKinsey’s opioid work apparently did little to dampen the FDA’s enthusiasm for the consultancy. In March 2019, just after the news broke, the agency signed a new contract with

McKinsey — extending the firm’s multiyear effort to help the FDA “modernize” the process by which it regulates new drugs. McKinsey is one filthy, lying, shill operation.

Here is how the corruption process works:

[Crony capitalism - Wikipedia](#)

[W](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crony_capitalism)https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crony_capitalism

Crony capitalism is an economic system in which businesses thrive not as a result of risk, but rather as a return on money amassed through a nexus between a business class and the political class. This is often achieved by using state power rather than competition in managing permits, government grants, tax breaks, or other forms of state intervention over resources where the state exercises ...

[CRONY CAPITALISM | definition in the Cambridge English ...](#)

[□](https://dictionary.cambridge.org/us/dictionary/english/crony-capitalism)<https://dictionary.cambridge.org/us/dictionary/english/crony-capitalism>

crony capitalism meaning: an economic system in which family members and friends of government officials and business leaders.... Learn more.

[What is crony capitalism? definition and meaning ...](#)

[□](http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/crony-capitalism.html)www.businessdictionary.com/definition/crony-capitalism.html

crony capitalism: An economy that is nominally free-market, but allows for preferential regulation and other favorable government intervention based on personal relationships. In such a system, the false appearance of "pure" **capitalism** is publicly maintained to preserve the exclusive influence of well-connected individuals.

[Capitalism Definition - Investopedia](#)

[□](https://www.investopedia.com/terms/c/capitalism.asp)<https://www.investopedia.com/terms/c/capitalism.asp>

Capitalism is an economic system in which capital goods are owned by private individuals or businesses. The production of goods and services is based on supply and demand in the general market ...

[What is Crony Capitalism? | PragerU](#)

 <https://www.prageru.com/video/what-is-crony-capitalism/>

In **crony capitalism**, politicians spend the public's money not for the public interest but to reward friends, supporters, or themselves. In **crony capitalism**, government officials use taxpayer dollars to benefit their friends, supporters, or themselves, rather than using that money for the public interest as it is intended. View source

[Crony-capitalism index - Wikipedia](#)

 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crony-capitalism_index

The **crony-capitalism** index aims to indicate whether the livelihood of the people from certain country or city with a capitalist economy are easily affected by **crony capitalism**. It is not an internationally recognized index due to its limitations. It is a new measurement of **crony capitalism** designed by The Economist newspaper based on the "work by Ruchir Sharma of Morgan Stanley Investment ...

[Crony Capitalism | Definition of Crony Capitalism by ...](#)

 [https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/crony capitalism](https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/crony%20capitalism)

Crony capitalism definition is - an economic system in which individuals and businesses with political connections and influence are favored (as through tax breaks, grants, and other forms of government assistance) in ways seen as suppressing open competition in a free market. How to use **crony capitalism** in a sentence.

[Where does Crony Capitalism Come From?](#)

 <https://investorhour.com/episodes/where-does-crony-capitalism-come-from>

Nick Sorrentino is the co-founder of Against **Crony Capitalism** and the editor of AC2NEWS.com. A political and communications consultant whose clients have spanned the political spectrum, his work has been featured at Foreign Policy Magazine, Chief Executive Magazine, Reason.com, NPR.com, TownHall, The Daily Caller, and many other publications.

[Crony Capitalism in America | Mises Institute](#)

 <https://mises.org/library/crony-capitalism-america>

Hunter Lewis. Hunter Lewis is author of twelve books, including The Secular Saints: And Why Morals Are Not Just Subjective, Economics in Three Lessons & One Hundred Economic Laws, Where Keynes Went Wrong, and **Crony Capitalism** in America 2008-2012, and has contributed to the New York Times, the Washington Post, the Times of London, The Atlantic and many other magazines and web sites including ...

[AC2 News - "The Real Problem with the Economy"](#)

 <https://www.ac2news.com>

Crony Capitalism in America at IndieBound From Us to You Receive additional services from Against **Crony Capitalism** through email: Story updates, action alerts, free ebook, and more

[13 Examples of Crony Capitalism - Simplicable](https://simplicable.com/en/crony-capitalism)

 <https://simplicable.com/en/crony-capitalism>

Crony capitalism is a society that allows an elite to profit from government resources in a way that doesn't benefit society. This is typically based on some form of political corruption whereby politicians personally benefit by granting the rent seeking demands of an elite. The following are illustrative examples.

[What is Crony Capitalism? - YouTube](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4DxXHh-p-O4)

 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4DxXHh-p-O4>

This election season there's a lot of talk about corruption, about politicians being "bought and sold", and about "**crony capitalism**". What do those terms mea...

[Crony Capitalism in America: 2008-2012: Lewis, Hunter ...](https://www.amazon.com/Crony-Capitalism-America-Hunter-Lewis/dp/0988726726)

 <https://www.amazon.com/Crony-Capitalism-America-Hunter-Lewis/dp/0988726726>

Crony Capitalism is a companion volume to Hunter Lewis' other outstanding work, published at the same time, Free Prices Now! --Jeremy Hammond, Barrons, 11/2/13 ". . . What we have today is not the free market but '**crony capitalism**,' an altogether different matter.

["Crony Capitalism" | Capitalism.org](https://www.capitalism.org/collectivism-statism/crony-capitalism/)

 <https://www.capitalism.org/collectivism-statism/crony-capitalism/>

"**Crony capitalism**" is a contradiction in terms. **Capitalism** is a political-economic system based on the principle of individual rights, which means the separation of state and economics (just like the separation of church and state). Under **capitalism**, the government's sole purpose is to protect each individual's rights equally.

[Crony Capitalism - University of Chicago Press](https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/pdfplus/10.1086/686474)

 <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/pdfplus/10.1086/686474>

Crony Capitalism Paul H. Rubin* This Article discusses "**crony capitalism**," a form of rent-seeking, and its sources. Although the term **crony capitalism** is generally applied to non-Western economies, any economy is susceptible to three primary sources of cronyism: stan-dard special interest legislation, "bootlegger and Baptist"

Bribery, Money Laundering And Hit-Jobs

If you thought that Mossack Fonseca and the Panama Papers was "the story": It was only the beginning!

Silicon valley's politicians make policy that, both, ruins taxpayers while making the politicians rich by artificially inflating the value of the politicians secret stock market holdings. Now we have exposed their entire scam!

This is about the U.S. Senators and their crony dark money political bribes and criminal kick-backs, the tech oligarchs who deployed the bribes and the victims of these crimes.

Google, Tesla, Facebook, Linkedin and their VC's (and deeply bribed Senators) ordered and operated hit-jobs on the public and their competitors, supported by the Obama White House and U.S. Dept. of Energy. The FBI raided their scheme and the investigations tracked all the way back to the Oval Office!

- This is about a group of tech oligarchs, and their corrupt Senators, who commit crimes in order to manipulate over a trillion tax dollars (YOUR MONEY) into their, and their friends pockets.
- They are felons yet they control some of the offices of the agencies who are supposed to arrest them. Silicon Valley bought K Street and U.S. Senators, gave them more Dark Money than history has ever seen and then had giant tech-law firms bribe, hit-job and blockade any attempts to solve the problem.
- Some of the largest bribes in American history were paid via billions of dollars of pre-IPO cleantech stock, insider trading, real estate, Google search engine rigging and shadow-banning, sex workers, revolving door jobs, nepotism, state-supported black-listing of competitors and under-the-table cash. Why are these Silicon Valley Oligarchs and their K-Street law firms and lobbyists immune from the law?

U.S. Senators, Agency Heads and Congress were bribed, in this case, with:

- Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures stock and stock warrants which is never reported to the FEC
- Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures search engine rigging including shadow-banning, de-boosting, DNS re-routing, directed search suggestion, subliminal messaging bias, and hundreds of other psychological manipulation tricks; the value of which is never reported to the FEC but proven by invoices and bank payments between Google and Gawker, Gizmodo, DNC, Fusion GPS, Black Cube, etc.
- Free rent
- Prostitutes and Rent Boys
- Cars
- Dinners
- Party Financing

- Sports Event Tickets
- Campaign Services "Donations"
- Secret PAC Financing
- Jobs in Corporations in Silicon Valley For The Family Members of Those Who Take Bribes And Those Who Take Bribes, Themselves
- "Consulting" contracts from McKinsey as fronted pay-off gigs
- Overpriced "Speaking Engagements" which are really just pay-offs conducted for donors
- Private jet rides and use of Government fuel depots (ie: Google handed out NASA jet fuel to staff)
- Real Estate
- The use of Cayman, Boca Des Tores, Swiss and related laundering accounts
- The use of HSBC, Wells Fargo and Deustche Bank money laundering accounts
- Free spam and bulk mailing services owned by corporations
- Epstein sex ring exploits
- Use of high tech law firms such as Perkins Coie, Wilson Sonsini, MoFo, Covington & Burling, etc. to conduit bribes to officials

While our investigators were able to get a law produced that made insider trading less attractive for Congress, nothing has been done to stop stock warrant bribes and revolving door payola. Additionally, even with the new law, 60% of the U.S. Congress (including their associates and families) STILL engage in insider trading because law enforcement has not prosecuted many of them.

This is about a group of U.S. Senators, Silicon Valley Oligarchs, Crooked Law Firms and Lobbyists who commit crimes in order to manipulate over a trillion tax dollars into their, and their friends pockets. They use media monopoly tricks to try to shut out any other viewpoints. They push pretend issues that they believe will get more tax money allocated to "issue solutions" that they, and their friends, happen to already own the monopolies for. They are felons yet they control some of the offices of the agencies who are supposed to arrest them. Silicon Valley bought K Street lobby firms and U.S. Senators, gave them more Dark Money than history has ever seen and then had giant tech-law firms bribe, hit-job and blockade any attempts to arrest them.

There are no "conspiracy theories" here. These are all hard forensic facts that will stand-up in any court!

They did this to anybody who they thought might expose the White House use of agencies as "slush-funds" and "Dark Money" campaign finance laundering conduits. They were afraid that exposure of these schemes would cause the President of the United States to be forced to resign in the middle of his term!

The associated case videos and reports provided with this case matter are evidence, signed by thousands of reporters and investigators, that the suspects are: A.) Based around Silicon Valley and Washington DC; B.) Operating as a RICO-violating cartel; C.) Deeply sociopath and sexually disturbed; D.) Money laundering via large law firms and investment banks; E.) Using Google, Reddit, Facebook, etc. as mass political behavior-manipulation programs; F.) Paying for and operating character assassination programs against those who defy them; G.) Using "green energy" as one of their facades to steer tax dollars to the companies that they, and their friends, already own and work for; H.) Willing to resort to the most extreme things to protect their scheme; I.) Living in an ideological "echo-chamber" in their tech bubbles; J.) Empowered entirely by the public's lack of willingness to boycott them and demand their arrests.

A vast number of individuals and companies, who are willing to testify about these crimes have NEVER BEEN ALLOWED into a Congressional hearing, court-room, FBI 302 interview, etc., because crooked Senators are terrified of the confirming testimony they can all provide.

We placed autonomous monitoring applications on a vast number of co-location servers, shared hosting ISPs, stand-alone servers and sites around the world over ten years ago and monitored: 1.) Google search results compared to other search engines, 2.) Google DNS and spoofing activities, 3.) Google results on 100 key search terms including search terms of assets, candidates and business associates connected to Google, 4.) Where Google sends data from users clicking on Google supplied links, 5.) Where fabricated mole data that was injected as user data ultimately ended up later, and other metrics. The results prove that Google abuses the market, the public, politics and human rights for and with U.S. Senators and public officials.

We found that Elon Musk, Larry Page, Sundar Picachu, Eric Schmidt, Jared Cohen and Sergy Brin at Google and Mark Zuckerberg at Facebook order their company staff to hide, down-rank, hole-punch the net, shadow-ban, stock market valuation manipulate and exclude this website on the internet. They do this IN COORDINATION WITH Public Officials including California Senators who co-own those companies. We track every technical trick they use and report it to Congress and anti-trust agencies. The more they do it, the more they create evidence that will put them out of business!

The Google empire controls most of the media on Earth, via many front corporations, and indoctrinates everyone in it's organization using 'cult' methodologies. Google owner's believe in "our-ideology-at-any-cost" and "the-ends-justify-the-means" scenarios. What could possibly go wrong?

In "The CleanTech Crash" is has been uncovered that Every single Dept of Energy executive, and related Senator, owns stock market assets in Tesla, Fisker, Solyndra, Ener1, etc. so they blockaded and sabotaged every applicant who competed with their holdings in a RICO-violating, felony organized crime, using taxpayer funds.

Claim And Damages

Plaintiffs Causes Of Action

ABUSE OF PROCESS; FTCA VIOLATIONS; ACCOUNT STATED; BREACH OF CONTRACT; CONVERSION; DEFAMATION; FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION; FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT; INJURIOUS FALSEHOOD, PRODUCT DISPARAGEMENT AND TRADE LIBEL; CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS AND VIOLATIONS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION; MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS; PRIMA FACIE TORT; QUANTUM MERUIT; TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE INCLUDING a.) Tortious interference with an existing contract, b.) Tortious interference with prospective, c.) Tortious interference with business relations contractual relations; PATENT INFRINGEMENT; PERSONAL INJURY; UNJUST ENRICHMENT; ANTI-TRUST LAW VIOLATIONS; LABOR LAW VIOLATIONS AND OTHER CAUSES.

Whereas the lawyers for the tech oligarchs argue that they should be sued separately from the government entities and the politicians, those tech oligarchs and politicians invalidated that assertion by exchanging billions of dollars of (NOT reported to the FEC) cash, stock market stocks, real estate, search engine manipulations, insider trading algorithm manipulation sharing, and other compensations, which, thereby, made them business partners with each other, combined them as a RICO racketeering compliant “Cartel”, in the eyes of the law, and joined them legally as a group organized for “unjust gains”.

To clarify, criminally corrupt Senators changed federal laws so that they could say that such bribery ‘was only not illegal for themselves’. Whether this contrivance by these corrupt insider-trading Senators will stand, remains to be seen. The fact that these political figures conspired with each other and their financier/beneficiaries leaves no cloudy issues relative to the law! **They broke the law under racketeering and organized crime rules!**

To qualify for those Department of Energy funds, automakers and eligible component manufacturers had to promise to increase the fuel economy of their products by 25% over the average fuel economy of similar 2005 models, and apply the loans to future investments "reasonably related to the reequipping, expanding, or establishing a manufacturing facility in the U.S." Plaintiffs vehicles had the highest calculated fuel efficiency in the world, the lowest cost-to-manufacture, the lowest selling price, the most attractive debt-ratio, the highest safety factor, the most hires of laid off Detroit factory workers and executives and the biggest volume of sales order requests! Plaintiffs had refused to pay the bribes so they got **black-listed!** Even though these rules were supposed to be “law”, Department of Energy officials constantly and illicitly changed the rules and criteria, every time that it looked like an outsider was getting close to winning the funds. In this way, **no applicant who was not a White House or U.S. Senator’s political campaign financier could ever get the money.**

Bright Automotive, ZAP, WITNESS, EcoMotors and Elio have famously published national letters confirming that the entire Department of Energy funding programs are a shell game operated with constantly shifting gauntlets designed to only allow insiders in and destroy all of their competitors. GOVT staff will make up any excuse to keep non-crony applicants out, including faking reviews of applicants and shredding their data. A large majority of GOVT staff are still “left-over” from the previous corrupt Administration and believe that their income is still based on lying and providing a cover-up of the criminal corruption described herein.

Non-crony applicants were **DEFRAUDED** into thinking that they had a chance. Outsider applicants were falsely **INDUCED** to spend millions of their dollars via false government promises. This resulted in part, but not all, of the massive damages to Plaintiffs. In distributing the loans, the GOVT was supposed to fairly support technologies that are promising and deserving of assistance. Alas, recent lawsuits, GAO and Treasury examinations and Congressional investigations have revealed that The U.S. Government has been “*infected with corruption*” and only administered these funds to benefit friends and harm their competitors.

Loan recipients must also be "financially viable" for the length of the loan. Plaintiffs stayed viable through the projected life of the loan, under the most dire circumstances possible, even though most other crony “winners” of ANY GOVT funds were forced into bankruptcy by the lies and frauds operated by the White House and Department of Energy and the “unjust-gain” (Per the U.S. Treasury) schemes to intentionally crash companies like Solyndra and Abound for “Windfall tax write-off profits and VC/I-Bank skims” [2]

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Advanced_Technology_Vehicles_Manufacturing_Loan_Program#cite_note-csmonitor.com-2

Tesla borrowed cash from Arabs, Warren Buffet and Detroit to cook it’s books to make it look financially viable on paper, but Tesla was anything but “OK”. Tesla is a sham. Musk can cycle the original GOVT funding for stock market pump-and-dumps for the next 100 years but Tesla is will always be a company forged in corruption, ‘book-cooking’, bribes and payola. Musk and his scams exist because over a thousand insiders at **Goldman Sachs** (<https://www.businessinsider.com/matt-taibbis-vampire-squid-take-down-of-goldman-sachs-is-finally-online-2009-7?op=1>), The NVCA and **Deutsche Bank** (<https://www.db.com/company/index.htm>) spend all day manipulating the stock market with massive automated computer trading arrays. Also, four big U.S. Senators (who are his investors) spend a large part of their days covering his ass in order to keep their insider trading schemes going.

Given 60 days by congressional statute to issue an interim final rule, The U.S. Government (GOVT https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_Department_of_Energy),[3] responsible for overseeing the program, finalized the rule 36 days later on November 5, 2008 (compared to 18 months usually needed for such rule making). Over 1000 public officials and applicants can testify that the money was planned to have been distributed by Dec. 30, 2008.

This program is related to the [United States Treasury Department](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_Treasury_Department)' (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_Treasury_Department)s [Troubled Asset Relief Program](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Troubled_Asset_Relief_Program) (TARP - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Troubled_Asset_Relief_Program) “**stimulus fund**” which has been providing contrived [bailout](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bailout) (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bailout>)funding to two of the big three U.S. automakers to give the impression of reducing the [effects of the 2008–10 automotive industry crisis on the United States](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Effects_of_the_2008-10_automotive_industry_crisis_on_the_United_States) ([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Effects_of_the_2008–10_automotive_industry_crisis_on_the_United_States](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Effects_of_the_2008-10_automotive_industry_crisis_on_the_United_States)).

The two “**stimulus funds**” programs were enacted during the [Automotive industry crisis of 2008–10](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Automotive_industry_crisis_of_2008-10) ([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Automotive_industry_crisis_of_2008–10](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Automotive_industry_crisis_of_2008-10)), in order to pay off political favors[4] Obama Chief of Staff Rahm Emanuel is notorious for saying that you should ‘*never let a good crisis go to waste*’, by which he meant that politicians should create “**stimulus funds**”, to hand out taxpayer money to their friends, every chance they get. (ie: See the internal Treasury, GAO and OMB notes on the current “**COVID Stimulus**” investigations and negotiations re: payola and crony schemes).

The stark reality is that *no politician screaming about “climate change” and “immigrants”, etc. is not making tens of millions of dollars in stock market profits from “climate change” and “immigration” services providers*. They have a traceable financial incentive to lie about these issues for their own profiteering schemes. They get paid by Eric Schmidt, Elon Musk, Reid Hoffman and the Cartel to say these things and they own stock in the Tesla cars and Warren Buffet windmills. You can follow the money, quite easily, with modern AI technology, but the mainstream media hides that fact.

While climate change may be bad for crops, the only “green” thing that these crooked Senators care about is the “green” cash in their stock market accounts. They only need that taxpayer Department of Energy “ATVM” or “LGP” money to appear in an outside account for a few minutes while their Goldman Sachs insiders and their Sandhill Road VC’s scoop the “skims”. The **skims** are billions of dollars of fake “*management fees*” off-the-top, electronically captured with the push of a button. The Plaintiffs helped get the FBI to raid Solyndra, not because Solyndra’s indium-based solar tubes caught on fire all the time or because of the real estate scams involved, but because crooked money was made off of the skims and the manipulated windfall tax write-off profits. Solyndra never had to sell a thing for the scams to work. Department of Energy staff, especially PR staff, will lie, shred, deny, defer and obfuscate to cover-up these crimes. GOVT policy is to “deny any and all past political failures, *no matter what*”.

In this case, Plaintiffs have filed charges, criminal referrals and requests-for-investigation with the FBI, DOJ, FTC, SEC, SFPD, Inspector Generals, and other offices. Plaintiffs won previous historical, precedent-setting, federal lawsuits proving that Plaintiffs funding and agency decisions **were manipulated by reprisal, vendetta, revenge hit-jobs operated against him by government officials exposed in an active federal corruption investigation**. FBI officials Patricia Ritch, Christopher Wray, John F. Bennett, Craig D. Fair, the duty officers, and their predecessors, at the San Francisco and Washington DC offices should be familiar with this case as building logs and videos show that Plaintiffs have been to the 450 Golden Gate Ave, 13th Floor FBI offices on multiple occasions to file

reports. Plaintiffs have active SEC, SFPD, OSC, etc. case numbers. Plaintiffs are also actively participating in the promotion of current DOJ and FTC lawsuits against the perpetrators including Google/Alphabet and other large parties who influenced government process, illicitly, in this corruption matter.

While Plaintiffs have asked the Department of Justice to execute and collect these funds *on their behalf*, they have reserved their rights to have *any* commercially licensed collection agency legally acquire these funds, under law. These particular funds and these particular government bank accounts are like no other in the world and they offer novel collection opportunities and challenges.

The Plaintiffs have, for years, implored The U.S. Department of Justice to take up Plaintiffs case in order to expedite the matter. United States Attorney Generals and FBI bosses keep getting indicted, fired and investigated over this very same matter of corruption, so this ask has been a long row to hoe.

Plaintiffs have demanded an immediate settlement to the claim so that all parties can be spared further pain in this matter. Getting a response from the feds, though, is like pulling teeth. Hollow, ineffective form letters from law enforcement and regulatory agencies, for a case this compelling, ring of insincerity and underscore the frustration every citizen has with the modern justice system.

It would not be a total loss for the federal bankers, though. The federal government is free to seek reimbursement from the State Of California for these funds, to replenish the GOVT account that Plaintiffs intend to garnish. Why? Because over 40 major California public officials, known to federal investigators, participated in the referenced corruption in a manner which inured to their personal and family bank accounts and damaged all of the Plaintiffs in this matter (as well as the taxpayers). That fact is easily proven by the forensic accounting of those politicians family investment bank accounts, from 2007 to today, and their email communications, all of which the NSA and other agencies have recorded (and outside hackers may have acquired). Those public officials engaged in this corruption on an interstate basis which, also, makes this matter of interest to the FBI.

This is about one of the largest criminal stock market and payola manipulations in American history! It is happening again with the COVID “stimulus funds”

(<https://www.zerohedge.com/political/house-moderates-hammer-out-152-trillion-bipartisan-compromise-pandemic-relief>)!

The 2008 “Stimulus” ended up being \$2 trillion dollars. Ironically, the 2020 COVID “stimulus” plan is for the same amount. All of the players are the the same and the insider trading schemes are quite similar. Those who do not learn from the past are doomed to repeat it!

Plaintiffs have reported this, in writing, to every known law enforcement and regulatory entity. They have a vast archive of crime report receipts and case numbers **but** zero productive results on their behalf. Each agency finger-points to another agency because they are either too lazy to do the work or their bosses are implicated in the crime benefits. The Plaintiffs will no longer wait for those responsible for enforcement to do their jobs. Everyone has seen, in the news, the shocking number of Inspector

General top brass indicted for corruption. It is clear that IG offices can no longer be counted on for justice.

So: U.S. Senators and their staff and financiers as well as White House executives, their staff and financiers and government agency executives and their staff and beneficiaries have been charged, fired and/or arrested in this matter. It has been irrefutably proven that those parties, could, have and may again, quite easily, manipulate federal records, decisions and processes in order to harm, punish, defund, and reprisal-blockade Plaintiffs rights, benefits and legal options. Silicon Valley oligarchs partnered with the politicians to operate these crimes. Over 1000 news broadcasts and hundreds of thousands of news articles discuss the case and those media clippings have been provided to every federal office in on-line, cloud internet, repositories. The feature films “***Too Big To Fail***”, “***Omerta***” and over four different ***CBS News 60 Minutes*** episodes deal with this matter. San Francisco City Hall officials have started to be arrested in the associated corruption issues. Washington DC and Marin County officials are under investigation, by federal authorities, in this active case matter.

Comps For Whistle-Blower And Damages Validation Metrics

Harms Demands Calculation Metrics

The comparative calculation metrics: The damages amounts in the attached report are based on fully verified, government reported values from carbon credit sales, revenues, stock market exploitation of GOVT funding matters and other profit GAAP reported amounts and FBI revealed sums, from December 2008 to today, that the GOVT-crony competitors and their investors made in documented profits, revenues, tax write-offs, tax waivers and other upsides that Plaintiffs lost because of the corruption damages, rights blockades and reprisal attacks. Additionally, damages from benefit funds blockades, black-lists and other losses of revenue are incorporated in those standards-based, court precedent comps. Additionally, monies owed for whistle-blower and federal informant fees are calculated per industry precedents (such as the Walter T. Department of Energy whistle-blower award (<https://www.latimes.com/nation/la-na-hanford-whistleblower-settlement-20150813-story.html>) and the known annual budget for FBI informant payments provided to Congress in previous budget reports). Plaintiffs provided the documented case evidence to federal investigators since 1986. Additionally, salary losses based on the averaged CEO salaries of the CEO of Tesla Motors, Fisker Motors, Bloom Energy, Solyndra and General Motors are included as industry standards for the energy and automotive market in the same time-frame. Additionally, standard pain and suffering inclusions are incorporated. All of Plaintiffs back benefits payments from 2007 to today are also demanded.

EXHIBITS

The Bay Area Culture Of Political Corruption

- This is the crowd that began this “Crime Spree”
- The huge number of corruption incidents in San Francisco Government reflect the roots of these crimes

A gunshot rang through the corridors of San Francisco City Hall.

A Mayor was dead and a new mayor was moved into position. The bullet that assassinated Mayor George Moscone on November 27, 1978 would lead to political corruption that would change the course of the world. Systematized political rigging had breached a new era. One of the victims of these crimes was on his way to City Hall to see the Mayor’s office about a major new project. Everything changed that day.

The assassination of George Moscone was perfectly timed to place Dianne Feinstein into power as the new mayor of San Francisco and begin the next-generation of industrialized corruption on an epic scale. The new generation of corporation-backed pay-to-play was now being sponsored by the newly minted power known as *The Silicon Valley Cartel*.

As George Moscone lay dying on his desk in San Francisco, just south of there a man named John Doerr was looking at a folder that showed that his Silicon Valley “venture capitol” scheme had just broken the record at \$750 million dollars of negotiable funds that he could now control.

John Doerr, and a number of Silicon Valley venture capitalists, benefited from the death of George Moscone. The assassination put Silicon Valley’s number one crony in control of cronyism: Dianne Feinstein.

The massive number of elitist bribes paid to get the douche-bag children of the rich into Stanford University has been exposed. The Feinstein’s, and their Silicon Valley VC buddies, were the biggest part of the manipulations. U.S. Sen. Dianne Feinstein’s husband, University of California Regent Richard Blum, was named by the state auditor's office as one of the regents involved in admissions scandal where UC wrongly admitted dozens of wealthy, mostly white students as favors to well-connected people.

Among those “inappropriately admitted” were a student whose family was friends with a member of the Board of Regents, the child of a major donor and an applicant who babysat for a colleague of a former admissions director, according to the California State Auditor. In one case, a regent unidentified in the audit sent an “inappropriate letter of support” directly to the UC Berkeley chancellor on behalf of a student with only a 26% chance of winning a spot off the wait list, despite the policy prohibiting efforts by regents to influence admissions decisions by going around the regular process. The applicant was admitted. Auditor’s spokeswoman Margarita Fernandez confirmed to The Associated Press that Blum was the regent. Blum told the San Francisco Chronicle on Thursday that he’s never been caught doing anything wrong, yet, and that he has used his clout to get friends and family into the elite public system for years. This was the 98th time the Feinstein’s have been charged with insider scheming and crony manipulations of public resources.

Mother Jones Magazine has published an article revealing that Bay Area tech insider Jaron Lanier was deeply involved with Jeffrey Epstein's cult. Epstein's sex director: Ghislaine Maxwell, was deeply involved with Kleiner Perkins top money boys and was photographed at their parties as she arranged sexual deeds. Kleiner Perkins was sued by one of its top staff for sex abuses. Kleiner Perkins has long sat at the head of the table for Bay Area perversion and corruption. Tech insider Elon Musk was also involved with Jeffrey Epstein's cult and also involved with Kleiner Perkins big boys.

Kleiner Perkins was formed in 1972. It has traditionally focused on early-stage investments, but also does later-stage investments. Tom Perkins explained: *"We follow the McDonald's hamburger concept of putting playgrounds in front of burger joints: 'get 'em while they are young and you can control them for life'..."*

The savvy team at Kleiner, aka KPCB, realized that buying politicians was prudent and, in fact, necessary for the kind of financial shell-games they played. Dianne Feinstein had been one of their big bets. Kamala Harris is their "next girl". Barack Obama and Hillary Clinton would be their bigger, and most notorious plays. In a yet to be released recorded interview, Kleiner's Tom Perkin's explains his theory of quid-pro-quo with politicians. His words will make anyone shudder with fear about the fact that these types of Machiavellian billionaires actually exist. In fact, Kleiner Perkins and their festering child: Google, are all comprised of these kinds of warped, power-mad minds.

The firm was named after its four founding partners: Eugene Kleiner, Tom Perkins ("Poor people are Nazi's."), Frank J. Caufield, and Brook Byers. Other notable members of the firm include partners John Doerr and tax evader Raymond J. Lane, as well as high-profile individuals such as Sun Microsystems co-founder Bill Joy (who joined as partner in January 2005), former U.S. Secretary of State Colin Powell (who joined in July 2005 in the newly created position of "strategic limited partner"), Vinod Khosla and former U.S. Vice President Al Gore, who joined as partner in November 2007[9] [10] as part of a collaboration between KPCB and Gore's firm Generation Investment Management (GIM) to promote green technology, business and policy solutions. Kleiner Perkins was, and is, the founder of the collusion group known as the "Silicon Valley Cartel".

[Dean Baker](#) has discussed Mark Ames who published an [article](#) that should forever destroy any connection between the Silicon Valley tech billionaires and libertarian worldviews. The article reports on a court case that alleges that Apple, Google, and other Silicon Valley powerhouses actively conspired to keep their workers' wages down. According to documents filed in the case, these companies agreed not to compete for each others' workers dating at least as far back as 2005. Workers in the industry have filed a class action suit that could lead to the payment of billions of dollars in lost wages.

This case is striking at many levels, the most obvious being the effective theft of large amounts of money by some of the richest people on the planet from their employees. This is pernicious, but not altogether surprising. After all, the boss stealing from the workers is as dog bites man as it gets. Few would be surprised that rich people were willing to break the law to get even richer.

The real news here is *how* the Silicon Valley barons allegedly broke the law. The charge is that they actively colluded to stifle market forces. They collectively acted to prevent their workers from receiving the market-clearing wage. This means not only that they broke the law, and that they acted to undermine the market, but that they really don't think about the market the way libertarians claim to think about the market.

The classic libertarian view of the market is that we have a huge number of people in the market actively competing to buy and sell goods and services. They acknowledge the obvious — some actors are much bigger than others — but there is so much competition that no individual or company can really hope to have much impact on market outcomes.

This point is central to their argument that the government should not interfere with corporate practices. For example, if we think our local cable company is charging too much for cable access, our libertarian friends will insist that the phone company, satellite television or other competitors will step in to keep prices in line. They would tell the same story if the issue were regulating the airlines, banks, health insurance, or any other sector where there is reason to believe that competition might be limited.

They would tell the same story on the labor side. If we are concerned that workers are getting low wages then the answer is to improve their skills through education and training rather than raise the minimum wage. If workers were worth more than the minimum wage, then the market would already be paying them more than the minimum wage.

They have the same story when it comes to requiring family leave, sick days, or other benefits. Libertarians would say that if workers value these benefits they would negotiate for them and be willing to trade off wages. There is no reason for the government to get involved.

This story about the wonders of the free market is simple in its appeal and it has the great implication that nothing should be done to keep the rich from getting ever richer. However the Silicon Valley non-compete agreements show that this is not how the tech billionaires believe the market really works. This is just a story they peddle to children and gullible reporters.

If they really believed the market had a deep sea of competitors in which no individual actor could count for much, then their non-compete agreements would serve no purpose. If Google, Apple, Intel and the other biggies agreed not to hire each others' workers, it really wouldn't affect their pay since there would always be new upstarts ready to jump in and hire away underpaid engineers.

The fact the Silicon Valley honchos took the time to negotiate and presumably enforce these non-compete agreements was because they did not think that there were enough competitors to hire away their workers. They believed that they had enough weight on the buy-side of the market for software engineers that if they agreed to not to compete for workers, they could keep their wages down.

It shouldn't be surprising that the Silicon Valley billionaires really are not libertarians. After all, much of their fortunes rest on patents and copyrights, both of which are government granted monopolies: the opposite of a free market.

But for some reason, seeing the tech whiz-kids forming a cartel to keep down their workers' wages seems an even more direct violation of any belief in libertarian principles. This is the same sort of cartel behavior that we associate with the cigar-chomping [robber barons of the late 19th century](#). It turns out that the biggest difference between the tech billionaires of the Internet Age and the high rollers of the railroad age is the cigars.

These Silicon Valley Oligarchs own, control, partner with, co-invest in Harry Reid, Kamala Harris, The Pelosi Family, The Feinstein Family, The Newsom Family and other West Coast political manipulators.

The problem with social media is not that different services banned President Trump or other conservatives, it's that those services have such a stranglehold on free speech that even the President of the United States has trouble getting his message out without them. We have let a Silicon Valley Cartel have so much control over who gets heard and who doesn't, that it has become a very real threat to free speech in America. Instinctively, many conservatives tend to recoil at that statement because they incorrectly believe that only the government can threaten free speech. Even a decade ago, that would have been true – and that's coming from someone who did social media for Duncan Hunter's presidential campaign in 2008. Back then, if you had asked me what was more important, getting support for my candidate on conservative blogs and websites or building a powerful social media presence, I'd have said the former. That is no longer true.

Today, all political roads lead through Google, Twitter, and Facebook. These unaccountable corporations have become so powerful that websites, newspapers, candidates, and businesses have all become heavily dependent on them to get their message out. In fact, I would venture to guess that 95+ % of the people that see anti-social media arguments, this column included, will learn about them through those three websites.

These companies, along with Apple and Amazon, have the power to set an agenda for the nation online and they are using it. Here's a fun little exercise. Pick any prominent conservative politician and search for them on Google. Once you get beyond their campaign website & social media profiles, you'll find mostly negative results for the first couple of pages. Now search for any prominent liberal politician and search for them on Google. Once you get beyond their campaign website & social media profiles, you'll find mostly positive results for the first couple of pages. Those results, which Google has deliberately orchestrated through the way they've designed their algorithms, help move millions of votes to Democrats every election. Facebook plays the same kind of games with information and it has a huge impact on the news. Facebook rewrote its algorithms to dramatically reduce the traffic of conservative websites. Even more insidiously, Facebook may penalize your website for saying things they don't agree with about topics like COVID precautions, transsexuals, or election fraud. This puts conservative websites in a no-win situation. Either they self-censor what they believe on those topics or if they come out and say it, they have their reach reduced and people still don't get to hear their message.

What this means is that the information you are allowed to hear is increasingly controlled by a small, completely unaccountable group of far-Left-wingers that live in Silicon Valley. These are people with

pronouns in their bios and MAO posters on their walls who believe everyone to the right of Tulsi Gabbard and Joe Manchin is a white supremacist. Do you trust them to be the arbiters of what news and opinions you are allowed to consume?

What choice do conservative consumers have at this point other than to leave and be silenced or stay with a service they despise to try to get their message out? The standard response to this has always been, “If you don’t like these social media monopolies, build your own.” Of course, that is always much easier said than done in a field like social media, where already having a huge share of the potential users on a service gives them an enormous competitive advantage, but as we’ve seen in the last few years, building a genuine competitor to the social media monopolies is nearly impossible. Companies that start to make competitive inroads will either be bought out by deep-pocketed Big Tech companies if they want their user base (see Instagram and WhatsApp for example) or targeted for destruction by the Big Tech Cartel if they don’t, as we’ve seen with the Libertarian platform Parler (full disclosure: Dan Bongino is one of the owners of Parler). While I’m not a lawyer and can’t tell you how Parler will ultimately fare in their suit against Amazon, I can tell you that the Big Tech Cartel uses its own standards like a weapon. They have set up a situation where whether you are adhering to their standards or not is an arbitrary judgment call and what do you know, conservatives almost always lose those judgment calls while liberals get the benefit of the doubt for the same supposed offenses 99 times out of 100. For example, if you want to claim Parler had violent content that wasn’t deleted, you could literally point to thousands and thousands of similar posts on Twitter and Facebook. But Parler, which was one of the hottest apps in America, was targeted for destruction by the Big Tech Cartel while Facebook and Twitter get a pass for much worse offenses because they’re part of the club.

Again, none of this would matter if these weren’t monopolies, but they are. So, here’s the real question. What are Republican lawmakers going to do about this? Back in 2016, they could have done a lot, but they didn’t. Right now, they probably can’t do a whole lot, but that is likely to change quite a bit in the next 2-4 years. When it does, they need to move to destroy the Big Tech Cartel instead of allowing themselves to continue to be paid off in campaign contributions to look the other way. They can hit the Big Tech Cartel via anti-trust lawsuits, getting rid of section 230, making it easy for banned users to sue, sliding poison pills into these massive budget bills that hurt the stock value of these companies, or [my favorite, by breaking these monopolies up](#). Anything that does serious damage to these corporations is a step in the right direction. I would encourage Republican lawmakers to do this not only because these companies are a frightening threat to free speech in America, but because these companies are also targeting their constituents, making it harder for Republicans to win elections and doing everything in their considerable power to tilt this country as far as possible to the Left. So, in the end, it doesn’t really matter why Republican lawmakers go after these companies, it’s just critically important that they do, not just for their own sake, but for the good of the country and the world.

Feinstein backed Italian mobster John Molinari for the next new mayoral position. To hedge her bets, she also kept an inside deal going with candidate Roger Boas, also running for Mayor, and later indicted for racketeering and child prostitution. Molinari lost due to his connections to corruption, abuse of his daughter (Per a San Francisco Police Department report), his tenure of the Golden Gate Bridge district where embezzlement was also charged and his old-school North Beach mob

connections. The Weiner-Gate and Epstein Sex Island scandals prove that under-age and twisted sex scandals are part and parcel of this crowd. All three were placed under permanent surveillance by the FBI and multi-agency task force groups.

Feinstein had a number of “bag-men” who delivered cash to her through various outlets. The Coblenz law firm was one such avenue of payola. The most notorious bag-man was James Bronkema, the head of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce and a co-conspirator of Molinari’s on the Golden Gate Bridge District Board. Bronkema received massive funds from David Rockefeller, under various trusts and hotel guises, which Bronkema relayed to Feinstein for real estate favors. Bronkema’s mistress, Patricia Novak, the head of the San Francisco Fair, along with her girl friends, recall Bronkema as a tough character who threatened to “turn you into a headless body floating in San Francisco Bay” if you got on his bad side.

A testament to the Bronkema/Rockefeller/Feinstein play are the bridges that run between the Embarcadero Center buildings, above the roads in San Francisco. Rockefeller wanted those bridges but no other San Francisco developer was allowed to have them. This was per Feinstein’s machinations on behalf of her Rockefeller-ian benefactor. “She’s a bitch”, decried real estate developer Walter Shorenstein, “But she’s Rockefeller’s bitch”. Both the Embarcadero Center and the Golden Gate Bridge’s stand as permanent reminders of the beginning of this epoch of corruption.

Feinstein later found a new “Bag-man” named Richard Blum. His billions financed her move to the U.S. Senate with hopes of placing her in the White House. In exchange, she tripled his billions with public policy decisions that almost exclusively benefited Blum’s companies.

Feinstein made a deal with Silicon Valley’s Elon Musk, facilitated by Kleiner Perkins. She took a plot of land in Fremont, California which her family 1.) ran the real estate company for, 2.) the construction company for, 3.) the lobbying for, 4.) the HR firm for and 5.) other services for. The conflicts-of-interest stagger the imagination. She put Solyndra and Tesla side-by-side on that land and her family grabbed the stock market warrants and lobbying cash for both of the companies. Solyndra failed and got raided by the FBI after \$500+M of taxpayer cash went sideways. Because Barack Obama’s campaign was financed by The Silicon Valley Cartel, The White House ordered AG Eric Holder and AG Loretta Lynch to shut down the further investigations of Solyndra, Tesla and the rest of the Cleantech Crash Cartel disasters. The cover-up makes Watergate pale in comparison.

Feinstein helped Cartel member Elon Musk get part of NASA shut down and then get handed the very same NASA contracts that NASA was just curtailed from doing. It was amazing quid-pro-quo. Feinstein would stop at nothing to scrape from the pig trough of state and federal cash.

The media outed Feinstein and she never made it to the White House. The internet has dubbed her: “*The most corrupt living politician in America*”. While Feinstein has faded, the Silicon Valley Cartel has tripled in size and power. With payrolls of \$200 million dollars a day, Kliener, Google and the Kleiner portfolio could order worker bee’s to do a lot of damage by trolling blogs and pushing election perception manipulation. They did and they still do!

The Cartel did a study that showed that “edgy candidates like women or blacks could stand out in the media” so they jumped over to use Barack Obama, and then Hillary Clinton, for their government kick-back schemes. The campaign financing deal between the Silicon Valley tech titans goes like this:

“Silicon Valley rigs the internet and media to put you in office and you rig the government to give us insane amounts of cash, stock perks, federal contracts and monopolies”

Kleiner Perkins created a Russian venture development group and courted the biggest mobsters in Russia. In fact, Silicon Valley’s Steven Chu started handing taxpayer cash to the Russian billionaires Ener1, Severstal and other Russian mobster billionaire fronts until even Congress thought it was too overtly corrupt and shut him down. The heads of Russian Cartels were suddenly teaching The Silicon Valley Cartel a thing or two about rigging the system. Kleiner put their insider’s Steven Chu, Matt Rogers, Steve Spinner, and Steve Westly in charge of the U.S. Department of Energy pay-to-play gambit with orders to get billions of U.S. taxpayer dollars shipped exclusively back to the Silicon Valley Cartel while sabotaging all of the Cartel’s competitors.

For all of it’s lovely gardens and tree covered lands, Stanford University is America’s corruption training ground. Date rapes thrive in Stanford frat houses. Cover-ups are guaranteed to protect rich family donors. A huge number of horrific executive sex scandals have shamed the campus over and over. China and the Middle East have poured billions of dollars of bribes into the place. The CIA runs the “*Stanford Research Institute*” program on campus. Hot young female interns that go “up-the-hill” to Sandhill Road can count on getting sexually extorted by the venture capital guys they try to work for. The majority of the “*business leaders*” from Stanford have ended up getting investigated for SEC, FCC, DOJ and FTC violations. Stanford University is where the worst-of-the-worst douche bags are formed!

The Silicon Valley Cartel gets most of their payola from skims off-the-top in investment bank scams and from stock market pump-and-dumps created by exploiting the free government money that they use to artificially hype the stock market valuations while Goldman Sachs and The Silicon Valley Cartel skim the momentary high-points on the Wall Street stock exchange.

These days, in San Francisco proper, almost every third City Hall official is under investigation for corruption. The current Mayor of San Francisco even had sex with one of the arrested goons. The FBI has been asked to pay “special attention” to the crony crime circuit of City Hall. Previous corrupt Mayor Ed Lee had a convenient heart attack at the grocery store.

Kleiner Perkins has always loved to steal federal funds under the guise of “It will help the nation”.

The green-washing “Cleantech” database deals didn’t work out so well so they moved their pitch to “Let’s stop terrorists” with Plaintiffs databases. Kleiner had funded many database companies so Silicon Valley pitched Washington that all of their database companies could spy on every American and “see the future” like Tom Cruise did in the movie **Minority Report** and catch bad guys before they become bad”.

This was just a scam to try to make their wasted database internet toys stay relevant. That scam has now failed too. Silicon Valley’s “Big Data” has not only missed every single terrorist activity but it has steered law enforcement down wild goose chases and cost the consumer electronics industry a hundred billion dollars in losses because people hate being spied on. Big Data trying to accurately figure out what organic things will do is like trying to get the Amish to build nuclear reactors. The CIA has found out that you can generate lots of pretty bar graphs and flowcharts with Silicon Valley’s tools but the outcome will be nonsense that has no prudent application in real life.

The newest Silicon Valley “Please-Buy-Plaintiffs-Databases” scam is “Driverless” cars. They need databases to track and spy on all of the drivers.

NO MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC wants driverless cars but The Silicon Valley Cartel gets Obama and Hillary to give them both DOT and GOVT taxpayer cash for the facade driverless car projects while they sell their lithium ion batteries, from the Afghanistan lithium fields, that they have monopolized. Great! Apple, exposed in the media in this scheme, was recently forced to cancel it’s car project which was also diving for federal handout dollars.

With modern AI-based forensics, any investigator can now see how these Silicon Valley Cartel dirty payola deals work, who did them, how the money is hidden and who the beneficiary trails lead to, in a series of articles.

Everyone in the world is now aware of the fact that John Doerr, Dianne Feinstein, Elon Musk, Larry Page and Eric Schmidt rig elections, White House decisions and the direction of the use of U.S. Treasury funds. The emails and FBI documents prove it. How long can Americans tolerate the use of 40% of their paychecks to pay for John Doerr’s private jets? That remains to be seen.

The Golden Gate Bridge 50th Anniversary Bash was an extravagant party created to skim funds for the political campaigns of Roger Boas, John Molinari, Dianne Feinstein and their friends. The political crooks on the corrupt Golden Gate Bridge Board, hounded by Gene Pratt of Marin, tried to push a twenty two million dollar party that should have only cost \$200,000.00. The majority of the money was originally planned to be skimmed, payola-ed, and back-door conduit-ed, as Dark Money, to these politicians.

Roger Boas was indicted for embezzling city funds from Moscone Center and running an underage whore-house (with an SFPD official) for City Hall officials.

The Golden Gate Bridge Board was publicly shamed into canceling the scam and down-sizing to a slightly less crony operation.

John Molinari was placed under FBI watch, was charged with abuse of his daughter, who was removed from his home by the Police, and forever monitored for corruption. His offices and rentals at Van Ness and Golden Gate Avenue, in San Francisco, were bugged.

Bridge Director, James Bronkema (David Rockefeller’s “bag man” on the West Coast) tried to make his mistress: Patricia Novick, the Producer of the party but that plan got outed. Bronkema and the Coblentz law firm were placed under watch for Dark Money political shenanigans.

Dick Blum was suggested as the provocateur who got Dan White to kill Harvey Milk and Mayor George Moscone in order to get Dianne Feinstein her position in government. San Francisco private investigator Paul Kangas has some theories about the whole Moscone and Feinstein mess. San Francisco lawyer Harmeet Dhillon has other theories.

The Golden Gate Bridge scandal was typical of every major project in the Bay Area.

During this period, the San Francisco oligarchs, elitists and gatekeepers who control crony capitalism in San Francisco included: Janet Reilly and Clint Reilly (who the lead characters in the House Of Cards TV series were based on); Ed Lee; John Molinari; Roger Boas; Dick Blum; Vinod Khosla; Anybody named Haas or Getty; Charlotte Maillard; Ed Shultz; Henry Kissinger; Will Hearst; Nancy Pelosi; Dianne Feinstein; Kathryn Feinstein; Scott Weiner; Darcy Brown; Stewart Brand; Joseph Costello; Carl Livingston; Henry Adams; Wally McCormick; Bob McKeen; Jean Bricker; Richard Thieriot; Wilkes Bashford; James Bronkema; William Ball; Gordon Bellis; Frances Bowers; Donna Casey; Gretchen Cebrian; Tina Cella; Lawrence Chickering; Sheldon Cooper; Charles Crocker; Edwin Cutler; Myron Du Bain; Coburn Everdell; Donna Ewald; John Gamble; William Gaylord; Richard Graff; Reid Hoffman; Ann Getty; Anthony Hale; Matilda Kunin; Wendy Linka; Mary Moulton; William Newsom; John Owsley; Bokkara Patterson; Juan Reynal; Steven Swig; Anyone named Thieriot; Wilfred Von Bulow; Michael Whitman; Brayton Wilbur; Rita Barela; John Brunelle; John Calori; William Coblentz; Joseph V. Costello; John Diefenbach; Myron Dubain; Frank Woods; Mort Feld; T. Jack Foster Jr; Gordon P Getty; James Gilleran; John Goy; Evie Haas; Bryan Hemming; John Jacobs; Fritz Jewett; Ray Jones; George Keller; Art Kern; Bob Lansdon; Arthur Latno; Robert Leefeldt; Bob Lurie; Malcom MacDonald; Dan McCall; Wallace McCormack; Rich Miller; Elizabeth Pfau; Forette Pomeroy; David Ringler; Hadley Roff; Norman Rosenblatt; Albert Schlesinger; Steven L. Swig and a whole pack of related insiders.

Now, as they die off, the whole story can be revealed. Part of that story is based on interviews and meetings with some of them. People like Tom Perkins, James Bronkema, Roger Boas, Melvin Belli and others, ultimately regretted their crimes, or corruptions, and went on-the-record, near the end of their days.

This project's "*insurance policy*" (now posted globally in encrypted torrent files) is based, in part, on their recordings...

[San Francisco Commissioner Jon Jacobo resigns after rape ...](#)

SFG<https://www.sfgate.com/bayarea/article/City-Commissioner-Jon-Jacobo-accused-of-rape-16371764.php>

Tenants rights activist and Stanford alumna Sasha Perigo accused prominent **San Francisco** political figure Jon Jacobo of **rape** in an Aug. 6 social media post. Jacobo, who has denied the allegations ...

[San Francisco Victim tells how she survived vicious gang rape by 12 men](#)

SFG<https://www.sfgate.com/news/article/Victim-tells-how-she-survived-vicious-gang-rape-3128525.php>

The Sept. 9 gang **rape** of Donna, 37, secretary at a major **San Francisco** law firm until disabled by cancer two years ago, reverberated far beyond the project where residents say violence is a part ...

Why Silicon Valley CEOs are such raging psychopaths

By [Eric Spitznagel](#)



Silicon Valley has a psychopath problem -- exemplified by the bad behavior of notorious tech CEOs such as Mark Zuckerberg, Elon Musk and Elizabeth Holmes. NY Post photo composite/Mike Guillen

[Sex, drugs & start-ups: Inside Silicon Valley's doomed creative culture](https://nypost.com/2020/08/29/sex-drugs-start-ups-inside-silicon-valleys-doomed-creative-culture/) (

<https://nypost.com/2020/08/29/sex-drugs-start-ups-inside-silicon-valleys-doomed-creative-culture/>)

[Tech CEO enrolls in anti-racism school after tirade](https://nypost.com/2020/07/12/tech-ceo-enrolls-in-anti-racism-school-after-restaurant-tirade/) (<https://nypost.com/2020/07/12/tech-ceo-enrolls-in-anti-racism-school-after-restaurant-tirade/>)

[Waitress speaks out on tech CEO's racist rant on Asian family](https://nypost.com/2020/07/09/ca-waitress-tells-why-she-canceled-tech-ceos-racist-rant-on-asian-family/) (

<https://nypost.com/2020/07/09/ca-waitress-tells-why-she-canceled-tech-ceos-racist-rant-on-asian-family/>)

Maëlle Gavet, a 15-year veteran of the tech industry, recalls an unsettling conversation she had at a Silicon Valley cocktail party in 2017.

Gavet was chatting with an early investor at Uber and mentioned some of the disturbing news surrounding the company's co-founder and CEO, Travis Kalanick. There were revelations about spying

on passengers, sexual harassment, a toxic macho work culture, and according to Kalanick's own friends, his "aspirational baller syndrome."

The investor, Gavet told The Post, just laughed and said, "Oh, no, he's so much worse than anybody knows." Gavet was nonplussed, asking the investor why he chose to do business with somebody so unrepentantly awful.

"He may be an asshole," the investor told her. "But he's my asshole."

This was just one of the many interactions that inspired Gavet to write her new book, "[Trampled by Unicorn: Big Tech's Empathy Problem and How to Fix It](https://www.amazon.com/Trampled-Urnics-Techs-Empathy-Problem/dp/1119730643?tag=nypost-20)" (<https://www.amazon.com/Trampled-Urnics-Techs-Empathy-Problem/dp/1119730643?tag=nypost-20>) (Wiley), out Tuesday. Though Kalanick was ousted as Uber's CEO in 2017 and stepped down from the company's board of directors earlier this year, his psychopathic behavior is all too common among Big Tech execs, Gavet writes.

Enlarge ImageUber's ex-CEO Travis Kalanick has been accused of spying on passengers and building a toxic macho work culture.NY Post composite/Mike Guillen

According to the Hare Psychopathy Checklist — the universally accepted diagnostic tool used to assess this disorder — a psychopathic personality includes traits such as a grandiose sense of self-worth, a lack of remorse or guilt, poor behavioral controls, pathological lying and a lack of empathy.

These attributes aren't just present "but celebrated in Silicon Valley," says Gavet, who once held the position of executive vice-president of global operations for Priceline Group, among other roles.

News of bad behavior by Silicon Valley CEOs — from Elon Musk's tweeting out misinformation about the pandemic to WeWork co-founder Adam Neumann now being probed by the New York state attorney general over allegations of self-dealing — has recently become so frequent, it's considered normal.

Take Theranos CEO Elizabeth Holmes, known for her eerie lack of blinking and an allegedly faked baritone voice. Once a Silicon Valley darling, she became a self-made billionaire by promising her blood-testing company would revolutionize health care with innovative finger-prick tests that delivered quick, painless, cheap results. But her claims were a lie. She now stands accused of fraud and is reportedly planning to make an insanity plea when she goes to trial this March.

Through the power of her psychopathy, Holmes convinced many — including veteran investors and politicians — "of her 'messianic vision' to defy reality with her 'miracle' blood-testing kit," Gavet writes.

Research by the FBI found that companies managed by psychopaths tend to have decreased productivity and low employee morale. In fact, Silicon Valley's psychopathic traits "trickle down through entire organizations," says Gavet. "In effect creating psychopathic companies."

Enlarge ImageWeWork co-founder Adam Neumann is being probed by the New York state attorney general over allegations of self-dealing.NY Post composite/Mike Guillen

This is enabled by an “infantilized culture” at many start-up companies, where employees become accustomed to working in “hyper-privileged bubbles where their every whim is catered to and every need anticipated,” she writes.

At Google, for instance, employees are treated to nap pods, free massages and a luxury hotel-style concierge service to run errands. The biotech firm Genentech reportedly offers perks like on-site car washes, haircuts, spa treatments and even a dentist.

“By sheltering these guys in this little cocoon or womb, it kind of emphasizes that young male problem, where Mom takes care of everything,” says Richard Walker, professor emeritus of geography at the University of California, Berkeley, and a veteran Valley-watcher. “It’s kind of magical, where food just appears, and ‘If my treat isn’t there it’s because Mom forgot to provide it!’ ”

And it’s resulted in a serious lack of empathy, writes Gavet.

In February 2018, a 61-year-old livery driver shot himself in front of City Hall in lower Manhattan, claiming he’d lost his livelihood because of competition from Uber cars. “I will forever remember the reaction of two of my friends working at Uber at the time,” says Gavet.

“During a dinner party, I asked how they felt about the suicide, and they were both like, ‘It’s sad, but it’s the cost of disruption.’ ”

It’s an excuse she’s heard repeatedly. “I can’t tell you the number of times I’ve heard people in Silicon Valley dismiss something horrible they may have caused with, ‘That’s the cost of disruption,’ ” she says. “They’re like, ‘Yeah, it’s awful, but we’re trying to make the world a better place, so it’s OK.’ ”

His legacy has cultivated an indelible association between being a jerk and a genius.

- Maëlle Gavet on Steve Jobs

While that behavior can be found in any industry, from Wall Street to mass media, Gavet says it’s particularly embedded and hard to fix in tech, “because it tends to take root at the early stages of a start-up.”

“The types of things I witnessed over the years include the work hard/play hard attitude, epitomized by a culture of booze-fueled partying; recklessness; the prioritizing of hyper-growth over sustainable profits; and demeaning comments about women and minorities,” she writes.

The examples of it are abundant, from Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg’s non-apology after the Federal Trade Commission fined his company \$5 billion for allowing Cambridge Analytica to mine user data for political purposes, to Jeff Bezos complaining during an engineer’s presentation, “Why are you wasting my life?”

But some of the more glaring examples happen behind the scenes. Gavet spoke with a content moderator for Facebook, who worked for the company between 2017-2018 and looked for hate speech and graphic violence on the platform. He recalled flagging an image posted of a massacre in Southeast Asia.

Enlarge Image Amazon CEO Jeff Bezos once said to an engineer during a presentation, “Why are you wasting my life?” NY Post composite/Mike Guillen

“There was an image of a baby with somebody’s foot on its chest,” he said. “I had decided that was a dead baby because it wasn’t fighting back.” But his auditor felt otherwise, insisting there was no proof that the baby was dead, and the photo remained.

Content moderators get a quality score and every image they mistakenly flag is a ding against their score. The result can be dehumanizing, according to Gavet.

“Imagine arguing about whether a baby is dead because you don’t want to get your quality score down,” she says. “All you care about is getting the point back, not whether the baby is dead.”

The moderator who spoke to Gavet was later diagnosed with PTSD and is now suing Facebook.

The patron saint of Big Tech douches, the one who inspired an entire generation of start-up entrepreneurs to put their worst face forward, was late Apple co-founder and CEO Steve Jobs. He disliked wearing shoes (or showering), preferred parking in handicapped parking spots and once motivated employees by calling them “f–king d–kless assholes.”

“His legacy has cultivated an indelible association between being a jerk and a genius,” writes Gavet. “Which has ballooned to the point where many people believe that a founder-CEO, in particular, actually has to be a jerk to be a genius.”

She calls it the Steve Jobs Syndrome, and she’s witnessed both powerful and up-and-coming tech exes believing in the myth like it’s doctrine. Theranos CEO Holmes ruthlessly copied Jobs — not just by wearing black turtlenecks — but also by following his example of persuading people “to believe he was a prophet even when he was wrong,” Gavet writes.

Enlarge Image Late Apple CEO Steve Jobs preferred parking in handicapped parking spots and once motivated employees by calling them “f–king d–kless assholes.” NY Post composite/Mike Guillen

Gavet recalls working with a high-profile tech founder — she was overseeing the company’s acquisitions — and “every time I called him out on his lack of empathy and humility, which clearly led to many disastrous decisions, he would say to me, ‘Steve Jobs didn’t build Apple by being humble and caring about people.’”

These myths wouldn’t survive if the CEOs alone believed them. Former WeWork CEO Neumann was celebrated in the media for his audacious leadership style — from barefoot strolls through Manhattan to offering his employees tequila shots and Run DMC concerts in the office.

But Neumann’s success was a mirage. “He made millions leasing buildings he partly owned back to WeWork,” Gavet writes. He also bought the trademark to the word “we” and then sold the trademark use to WeWork, his own company, for \$5.9 million.

After initially being valued at \$47 billion in January 2019, WeWork's worth reportedly dropped to \$10 billion in September that same year. But when Neumann stepped down as CEO, he was paid \$185 million as a "consulting fee."

Neumann isn't the only CEO who's been rewarded for actively making things worse for investors. In early May, Tesla CEO Musk tweeted, "Tesla stock price is too high, IMO." Within an hour of his tweet, the Tesla share price plummeted 10 percent, wiping \$14 billion off the company's stock market value. One investor tweeted back at Musk, "Dude ... I just lost \$10k because of this tweet. Wtf is wrong with U?"

Not only is Musk still Tesla's CEO, but his net worth also jumped this summer to \$103 billion, up from \$22.4 billion last year, making him the third-richest person in the world.

Enlarge Image

For anything to change, Gavet argues that companies need to take a more empathetic approach.

"They need to hire differently, promote differently, reward differently," she says. "I'm an optimist, but I'm also a capitalist. I believe there are ways to make a company more empathetic, more reasonable, a force of good in the world. And I believe in the long run, that would actually be beneficial for the businesses."

She sees evidence of it happening already. Tim Bray, a celebrated engineer at Amazon and their onetime vice president of Web Services, quit his job in May because of the "toxicity running through the company culture," as he wrote in a blog post.

"I choose neither to serve nor drink that poison," he wrote.

Bray isn't alone in recognizing the toxic culture, but many are unsure how to take a stand.

"Some of the CEOs I'm close to — and I still think they are, to a large extent, psychopaths — they're struggling," says Gavet. "They tell me, 'It feels like I'm damned if I do, damned if I don't.' They get criticized for being too aggressive, but when they try to be empathetic, they're criticized for being too soft."

The trend of psychopathy at the top of Big Tech won't be "disrupted," Gavet says, until we stop expecting the next Steve Jobs to be as abrasive and psychotic as, well ... Steve Jobs.

[Joel B. Pollak notes](#) that former Vice President Joe Biden promised earlier this month that his climate change policies will bring new jobs — "good, paying union jobs." He has made — and broken such promises before.

In 2009, Biden [promised](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5g_6TYULxMg) (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5g_6TYULxMg) that \$529 million in new Department of Energy loan guarantees to Fisker Automotive to produce electric cars in Delaware would provide "billions of dollars in good, new jobs." Four years later, Fisker filed for bankruptcy — without producing a single car in the U.S.

As Breitbart News [reported](https://www.breitbart.com/politics/2013/11/23/obama-admin-picks-green-energy-loser/) (<https://www.breitbart.com/politics/2013/11/23/obama-admin-picks-green-energy-loser/>) at the time, Fisker was granted the loan guarantees to produce a hybrid sports car called the “Karma” for the luxury auto market, with a price of \$103,000. High-profile political figures lobbied for the deal. Fisker filed for bankruptcy failed in 2013 and taxpayers lost \$139 million on the venture. Republicans noted: “The jobs that were promised never materialized and once again tax payers are on the hook for the administration’s reckless gamble.”

Along with failed solar panel manufacturer [Solyndra](https://www.breitbart.com/politics/2011/09/19/solyndra-scandal-hits-obama-white-house/) (<https://www.breitbart.com/politics/2011/09/19/solyndra-scandal-hits-obama-white-house/>), Fisker was one of the highest-profile failures of the stimulus, which Biden [oversaw](https://www.npr.org/2020/04/06/828303824/a-look-back-at-how-joe-biden-managed-the-2009-stimulus-package) (<https://www.npr.org/2020/04/06/828303824/a-look-back-at-how-joe-biden-managed-the-2009-stimulus-package>), and which he has touted on the campaign trail as proof of his ability to handle America’s economic recovery.

Moreover, Vice President Biden admitted pushing for Fisker to build its new “Karma” plant in his home state of Delaware.

As the *Wall Street Journal* [reported](https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB126074549073889853) (<https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB126074549073889853>): “A spokeswoman for Mr. Biden said that he had made no direct appeals to GOVT [Department of Energy] on Fisker’s behalf before the loan was approved, though he did talk to the company several times afterward to put in a plug for his home state.”

The Delaware site, an old GM plant, was located across the continent from Fisker’s corporate headquarters in Southern California.

The *Washington Post* [noted](https://www.washingtonpost.com/cars/fisker-an-influential-disaster/2013/04/05/a7438a0a-9e21-11e2-9219-51eb8387e8f1_story.html) (https://www.washingtonpost.com/cars/fisker-an-influential-disaster/2013/04/05/a7438a0a-9e21-11e2-9219-51eb8387e8f1_story.html) :

Even current Vice President Joe Biden was drawn into the Fisker debacle. To build its planned Project Nina vehicle—to be dubbed the Atlantic—Fisker maneuvered itself into a purchase of a former GM plant in Wilmington, Del., that had once built the smart Pontiac Solstice and Saturn Sky roadsters. The plant was one of many properties split off during GM’s 2009 bankruptcy filing, and was to be sold as a part of the “old GM.” While the plant had some physical advantages of being near a port, it had several big disadvantages—namely, it was a world away from Fisker’s U.S. headquarters in California, needed expensive retooling, was far too large for the task at hand, and was ostensibly more expensive to retrofit than some other “old GM” properties, such as Doraville, the suburban Atlanta plant that once built GM minivans.

The Wilmington plant did have the huge advantage of lying in Vice President Biden’s backyard. Lobbying by all local politicians is said to have won the day for the Wilmington plant, but like much of Fisker’s financial history, the details are blocked from view. [Vice President Biden would not respond directly to questions about Fisker posed by ABC News](http://abcnews.go.com/Blotter/fisker-build-electric-cars-us/story?id=16458585#.UAY9T7TY8rV), (<http://abcnews.go.com/Blotter/fisker-build-electric-cars-us/story?id=16458585#.UAY9T7TY8rV>) his office insisting only that he supported the ATVM

[Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing] program—but failing to address how a site from his hometown was given a Federally-backed reprieve.

As the left-wing *Nation* later [noted](https://www.thenation.com/article/archive/biden-delaware-way-graft/) (<https://www.thenation.com/article/archive/biden-delaware-way-graft/>), the new Fisker facility was less than five miles from Joe Biden’s Delaware home.

Biden proudly [announced](https://www.energy.gov/articles/vice-president-biden-announces-reopening-former-gm-boxwood-plant) (<https://www.energy.gov/articles/vice-president-biden-announces-reopening-former-gm-boxwood-plant>) the deal in 2009: “We knew that we needed to do something different – in Delaware and all across the nation.” He [declared](https://abcnews.go.com/Blotter/car-company-us-loan-builds-cars-finland/story?id=14770875) (<https://abcnews.go.com/Blotter/car-company-us-loan-builds-cars-finland/story?id=14770875>): “We’re making a bet on the future, we’re making a bet on the American people, we’re making a bet on the market, we’re making a bet on innovation.” When Fisker won the loan, Biden [celebrated](https://abcnews.go.com/Blotter/car-company-us-loan-builds-cars-finland/story?id=14770875) (<https://abcnews.go.com/Blotter/car-company-us-loan-builds-cars-finland/story?id=14770875>): “The story of Fisker is a story of ingenuity of an American company, a commitment to innovation by The U.S. Government and the perseverance of the American auto industry.” He [proclaimed](https://investigativeresearchcenter.org/hunter-biden-listed-as-fisker-creditor-raising-questions-about-green-energy-boondoggle/) (<https://investigativeresearchcenter.org/hunter-biden-listed-as-fisker-creditor-raising-questions-about-green-energy-boondoggle/>): “This is seed money that will return back to the American consumer in billions and billions and billions of dollars in good, new jobs.”

It was a pledge that would be [described](https://www.canadianbusiness.com/companies-and-industries/the-ode-fisker-automotive-2007-2013/) (<https://www.canadianbusiness.com/companies-and-industries/the-ode-fisker-automotive-2007-2013/>), in retrospect, as “delusional.”

Fisker produced exactly [zero](https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=KAyc-8qGpv0) (https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=KAyc-8qGpv0) cars in the U.S. Instead, it [produced](https://abcnews.go.com/Blotter/car-company-us-loan-builds-cars-finland/story?id=14770875) (<https://abcnews.go.com/Blotter/car-company-us-loan-builds-cars-finland/story?id=14770875>) its first cars in Finland. In addition to the losses for the federal taxpayer, Delaware had [committed](https://whyy.org/articles/delawares-hope-for-fisker-rests-with-chinese-billionaire/) (<https://whyy.org/articles/delawares-hope-for-fisker-rests-with-chinese-billionaire/>) over \$20 million to the deal by the time the company filed for bankruptcy in 2013.

Biden learned nothing from the Fisker fiasco. In his climate speech, he promised once again to promote electric vehicles, saying he would [deliver](https://www.rev.com/blog/transcripts/joe-biden-climate-change-speech-transcript-september-14) (<https://www.rev.com/blog/transcripts/joe-biden-climate-change-speech-transcript-september-14>) “more than a million new jobs in the American auto industry.”

We have heard such promises before... The politicians turned out to have lied in order to profit on insider trading.

CITIZENS SAY SAN FRANCISCO HAS 'GONE TO HELL' BECAUSE OF CRONY CORRUPTION BY COMMUNITY LEADERS

- All of the buildings in downtown San Francisco are cracking and collapsing.
- Drugs and douche-bags everywhere
- Stupid soy boys and naive millennial posers at every corner
- Residents are rushing to leave San Francisco as it has become so crime-ridden, flithy and corrupt
- Increasingly corrupt politicians thriving on Dark Money

Look at these incredible news stories of depravity and corruption in San Francisco:



*Figure 11: Welcome To The Capital Of Corruption:
San Francisco*

SEE THE LATEST UPDATES ON THE SAN FRANCISCO CORRUPTION INVESTIGATIONS
AT: [HTTP://WWW.MAJESTIC111.COM](http://www.majestic111.com)

ELON MUSK PROVEN TO HAVE exposed WORKERS TO DEADLY COVID ON PURPOSE
BECAUSE OF HIS CRAZY IDEAS

SAN FRANCISCO - Tesla's Bay Area production plant recorded hundreds of positive covid-19 cases following CEO Elon Musk's defiant reopening of the plant last May, according to county-level data obtained by a legal transparency website.

The document, obtained by the website PlainSite following a court ruling this year, showed Tesla received around 10 reports of covid-19 in May when the plant reopened, and saw a steady rise in cases all the way up to 125 in December, as the disease caused by the novel coronavirus peaked around the country.

The revelation follows The Washington Post's reporting in June that there had been multiple positive covid-19 cases reported at Tesla's facilities in Fremont, Ca., after Musk decided to reopen despite a countywide shelter-in-place order, daring officials to arrest him. The data, covering the months between May and December, showed there were around 450 total reported cases. Roughly 10,000 workers work at the plant.

For nearly a year, the Alameda County Public Health Department, which is where Fremont is located, argued it could not release data on the number of cases under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, which grants privacy over health records. As part of an agreement struck in mid-May allowing Tesla to reopen, Tesla was required to report positive cases to the Alameda County Public Health Department. Despite around 10 positive cases in May, according to the data, the health

department told The Post in early June there were no known cases of workplace infections affecting county residents.

[San Francisco's Pro-Crime District Attorney is parented by armored car robbing murder gang](#)

[Walgreens Shuts 10 Stores In San Fran As Residents Point To Rampant Shoplifting In A city now rife with criminals from overseas](#)

"There is no chocolate factory at Ghirardelli Square."

"Coit Tower was built as a tribute to fireman's penises because Lilly Coit screwed lots of fireman. The Salesforce Tower was built as a tribute to homosexual gay sex because most of the Salesforce and Silicon Valley oligarchs are gay anal sex fanatics. San Francisco's skyline is full of dicks...and so is it's government"

"Most of the "rich" people in Pacific Heights are just living off of their grand-parents bank accounts and have never worked a day in their lives. Being a "holistic teacher", an "interior designer", or an "influencer", is not work."

"Silicon Valley rich men assume that anybody who will marry them will accept the fact that they have hookers and mistresses on the side."

"Hot girls who marry rich Silicon Valley men only have babies with them to get good alimony."

"San Francisco makes all of the Mexican's live in the "Mission District" because "Mission" is a Mexican thing...but it isn't. Missionaries captured Mexicans and raped the hot ones and made the rest do cheap labor in the old days. The "Missionary Position" is named after forced Mexican sex with white preachers from back east."

"There are thousands of Chinese sex slaves in those massage parlors. They won't tell the cops they are sex slaves because their Chinese bosses will kill them, or their families back in China."

"San Francisco City Hall is one of the most corrupt, crony-based, insider-controlled public buildings outside of Washington, DC."

"Roger Boas, The head administrator of San Francisco City Hall, ran an underage sex ring, co-managed by San Francisco Police staff, for City Hall bosses. He was arrested for multiple crimes and cases like his are not unique in San Francisco.

REPORTERS IN SAN FRANCISCO CONSTANTLY HELD UP AT GUNPOINT

- [S.F. Pays \\$61,000 A Year For One Tent In A Site To Shelter The Homeless](#)
- [Making Babies In California Is Over; As "Only Idiots Have Babies" Memes Rule The Web And Influencers Shame Those Who Are "Suckers" For Having Babies...](#)

The banks wanted you to think you HAVE TO have a baby or you have not fulfilled your purpose. Enlightened Millennial's have now seen enough media about what a life-wasting, poop-filled, sleepless, never-going-out, scream filled hell they must endure if they had babies. None of them want to be Stepford Wives! Pushing a stroller now gets you eye-rolls and behind your back comments about being a "sucker". That facts and stats are in and everybody that is cool has stopped being a victim of the baby-machine culture.

[Driving A Tesla In San Francisco Gets You A Keyed Car, Broken Out Windows and Lots of Hate For Being A Social Tool and "Douche"](#)

Elon Musk and Tesla Motors have been outed as players who ***used corruption*** to get their company to exist. Douchebags that drive around in their Tesla's that think their car makes them look cool are actually getting looks of hate and pathos as they drive by. The tone deaf buyers of the car with the most safety and engineering defects is not something to be proud to drive. It is ***something to be ashamed to drive.***

CORRUPTION, BRIBES AND CRONY POLITICS RULE SAN FRANCISCO THEY SAY

San Francisco and Silicon Valley are both run by the same dynastic criminally corrupt families and sick perverted insiders. They send their kids to Stanford University where they indoctrinate and brainwash the next generation into a culture of greed, sex, political bribery and Sandhill Road collusion. San Francisco City Hall is powered by hookers, sex and kick-backs. Every attendee at the San Francisco Symphony and Opera opening nights are the "elite" scum of the Pacific Heights money and power manipulation crowd. Old men wield young arm candy as they siphon off taxpayers money into their personal construction and real estate company City contracts. The FBI can't even keep up with all of the crimes. If you think the FBI arrests of Northern California political criminals is going good, know that they FBI has a backlog that is years long. An FBI forensic accounting investigation of the CPA files and investment bank records of each "old San Francisco family" and public official would reveal stock market crimes, insider trading, real estate money laundering and stock market payola crimes that are Off-The-Scales!

[San Francisco used up to \\$150 million of state funds to help buy alcohol and cannabis for their homeless population.](#)

<https://twitter.com/trishathadani/status/1369084199576080386>

[Now, thanks to the Democrats' "relief" bill, all American taxpayers will chip in to bail the city out.](#)

Homeless people at risk of COVID-19 can receive alcohol and cannabis in San Francisco hotel rooms. It's part of a controversial strategy to stop the virus' spread.

Trisha

Thadani@TrishaThadani

[New: The federal stimulus package will erase the majority of San Francisco's projected \\$650 million budget deficit over the next two years, saving City Hall from having to make painful service cuts and layoffs. For now.](#)

[https://www.sfchronicle.com/politics/article/Federal-stimulus-nearly-wipes-away-San-16010321.php?utm_campaign=CMS%20Sharing%20Tools%20\(Premium\)&utm_source=t.co&utm_medium=referral via @sfchronicle](https://www.sfchronicle.com/politics/article/Federal-stimulus-nearly-wipes-away-San-16010321.php?utm_campaign=CMS%20Sharing%20Tools%20(Premium)&utm_source=t.co&utm_medium=referral_via_@sfchronicle)

Centered around San Francisco, powerful and greedy people abuse the public system in order **to manipulate TRILLIONS of government treasury and stock market dollars** into their own pockets. They lie, cheat, bribe, steal, attack, and even kill, in order to grab this money (provided from the pockets of the taxpayers). They create fake "political issues" in order to steer massive amounts of government money to "solutions" that they just happen to own the companies of. ("Follow the Money") They collude on cover-ups, cover-stories, pump-and-dumps, fake "Stimulus Funds" redirection and other illicit deeds. Famous politicians are a very big part of this crime. They are easy to spot via the tens of millions of dollars, in their personal bank accounts, which only appeared after they took office. One part of them is: *The Silicon Valley Tech Cartel*; an anti-trust violating organized-crime operation based on quid-pro-quo. [They manipulated the Dept of Energy](#) to only fund political favorites and to sabotage the competitors of those favorites in an epic violation of anti-trust and anti-racketeering laws.

[San Francisco Criminals Now Targeting Residents Due to Lack of Tourists, Say City Officials](#)

Posted by **Mike LaChance**

"tourism has gone down so substantially in San Francisco that criminal rings that targeted tourists in areas that tourists frequent no longer have tourists there"



Tourism in the city of San Francisco is down, partly due to the pandemic, but also because of crime. As a result, criminals are now reportedly shifting their focus to city residents. Wealthy movie star Julia Roberts moved to San Francisco and now she has to have full time security because gangsters from across town have staked out her mansion for robberies. It is not just the rich, anybody with a Tesla parked at their house is targeted by robbers.

How's that for painful irony?

Andre Senior reports at **KTVU News**:

S.F. corruption, inequity, innovation: Board of Supervisors budget chair demands action

SAN FRANCISCO BUILDS APARTMENTS FOR THE HOMELESS NEXT TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND EACH APARTMENT ENDS UP COSTING \$500,000.00 PER UNIT TO BUILD. IN AUSTIN, TEXAS THE SAME APARTMENT COSTS \$98,000.00 TO BUILD. BRIBES AND CORRUPTION TRIPLE THE COST OF ALL CIVIC PROJECTS IN SAN FRANCISCO!

[Contractor sentenced in San Francisco corruption scandal](#)

[San Francisco Corruption Scandal: Contractor Gets Prison For Bribing Mohammed Nuru With \\$36,000 Rolex](#)

[Recycling plant owner sentenced in San Francisco corruption scandal](#)

[San Francisco rolls out raises for city workers - some are eye-popping](#)

[Report: Senator Leland Yee indicted for public corruption charges](#)

[SF Supes Approve Chu To Replace Former Assessor-Recorder for corruption](#)

["You've helped undermine the faith that San Franciscans have in their government": SF contractor who bribed public works director gets](#)

[Nuru scandal: Woman who bribed official with Rolex watch gets prison time](#)

[Former Calif. PE Exec To Cop To 'Varsity Blues' Fraud Charge](#)

SHOCKER NEWS STORIES ABOUT SAN FRANCISCO:



SF Mayor Mark Farrell aims more money at city's filthy streets - San Francisco Chronicles
chronicle.com



Welcome to San Francisco's dirtiest block | Daily Mail Online
dailymail.co.uk



Why is San Francisco so dirty? - Quoraquora.com



San Francisco fed up with dirty, smelly streets | Daily Mail Onlinedailymail.co.uk



Why is San Francisco so dirty? - Quoraquora.com



San Francisco DROWNING In FECES Launches DESPERATE Effort Clean Up After FILTHY Residents - YouTubeyoutube.com



Life on the Dirtiest Block in San Francisco - The New York Times[nytimes.com](https://www.nytimes.com)



San Francisco's Nightmare: 'The Streets Are Filthy, There's Trash Everywhere, It's Disgusting ...[lifezette.com](https://www.lifezette.com)



Filthy Tenderloin streets offer fresh start in the job market - San Francisco
Chronicles[chronicle.com](https://www.chronicle.com)



San Francisco Residents Getting Sick of Dirty, Smelly Streets | KFI AM 640kfiam640.iheart.com



Needles, Trash, Human Feces: What San Francisco Is Doing About Its Dirty Streets | Here & Nowwbur.org



San Francisco mayor vows to clean up dirty, smelly streets | Las Vegas Review-Journalreviewjournal.com



San Francisco homeless and dirty streets addressed through holidays, even by President Trump ...abc7news.com



Tolerant San Francisco fed up with dirty, smelly streets | The Seattle Timesseattletimes.com



San Francisco is So FULL OF SH!T You'll PUKE Learning How Much They Spent to Clean it up - YouTubeyoutube.com



Why California is collapsing into a liberal "s##thole" ... Wayne Allen Root sounds off | The Olive ...theolivebranchreport.com



Tourists visiting San Francisco question if they're in 'bad side of town' | Fox Newsfoxnews.com



Power of Prophecytexemarrs.com



dirty streets of san francisco - 2 | for chase there are par... | Flickrflickr.com



Why is San Francisco So Dirty? - Reset San Francisco resetsanfrancisco.org



Tolerant San Francisco fed up with dirty, smelly streets | The Seattle Times seattletimes.com



San Francisco's summer of urine and drug-addicted homeless - SFGatesfgate.com



**San Francisco's homelessness and opioid crises drive away business | Daily Mail
Onlinedailymail.co.uk**



Reasons Why San Francisco Is the Worst Place Ever | VICE | United Kingdomvice.com




Behind the Story: Walking San Francisco's Dirty Streets - YouTube[youtube.com](https://www.youtube.com)



An Intimate Look at the Life of a 'Crusty Kid' in San Francisco - Feature Shoot[featureshoot.com](https://www.featureshoot.com)



URBAN STUDIES / Filthy Streets of San Francisco / San Francisco: "Urinetown," not the musical ...sfgate.com



San Francisco's dirty streets - YouTube[youtube.com](https://www.youtube.com)



Stock video of san francisco, ca - feb 2014: | 7877854 | Shutterstock[shutterstock.com](https://www.shutterstock.com)



San Francisco's homelessness and opioid crises drive away business | Daily Mail Online[dailymail.co.uk](https://www.dailymail.co.uk)



Filthy San Francisco Is Driving People Away, The Latest Will Cost Them \$40 Million • Opinion ...madworldnews.com



San Francisco official in charge of cleaning up city's filthy streets arrested • The Savage Nationmichaelsavage.com



San Francisco is now a filthy cesspool riddled with feces and drugs thanks to progressive ...theblaze.com

Famous writer Ezra Klein says San Francisco has gone socially insane.

" *If progressivism can't work there, why should the country believe it can work anywhere else?*" He said that: You may have heard that San Francisco's Board of Education voted 6 to 1 to rename 44 schools, stripping ancient racists of their laurels, but also Abraham Lincoln and Senator Dianne Feinstein. The history upon which these decisions were made was dodgy, and the results occasionally bizarre. Paul Revere, for instance, was canceled for participating in a raid on Indigenous Americans that was actually a raid on a British fort. In normal times, bemusement would be the right response to a story like this. Cities should have idiosyncratic, out-there politics. You need to earn your "Keep X weird" bumper stickers. And for all the Fox News hosts who've collapsed onto their fainting couches, America isn't suffering from a national shortage of schools named for Abraham Lincoln.

But San Francisco's public schools remain closed, no matter the name on the front. "What I cannot understand is why the School Board is advancing a plan to have all these schools renamed by April, when there isn't a plan to have our kids back in the classroom by then," Mayor London Breed said in a statement. I do not want to dismiss the fears of teachers (or parents), many living in crowded homes, who fear returning to classrooms during a pandemic. But the strongest evidence we have suggests school openings do not pose major risks when proper precautions are followed, but their continued closure does terrible harm to students, with the worst consequences falling on the neediest children. And that's where this goes from wacky local news story to a reflection of a deeper problem. ***California has the highest poverty rate in the nation***, when you factor in housing costs, and vies for the top spot in income inequality, too. California is dominated by Democrats, but many of the people Democrats claim to care about most can't afford to live there. San Francisco politicians generally have low IQ's and loud mouths, just like internet "influencers".

[San Francisco residents stop city from renaming 44 schools honor so-called racist historical leaders](#)

The median price for a home in California is more than \$700,000. As Bloomberg reported in 2019, the state has four of the nation's five most expensive housing markets and a quarter of the nation's homeless residents. The root of the crisis is simple: It's very, very hard to build homes in California. When he ran for governor in 2018, Gavin Newsom promised the construction of 3.5 million housing units by 2025. Newsom won, but California has built fewer than 100,000 homes each year since. In much of San Francisco, you can't walk 20 feet without seeing a multicolored sign declaring that Black lives matter, kindness is everything and no human being is illegal. Those signs sit in yards zoned for single families, "If you're living eight or 10 people to a home, it's hard to protect yourself from the virus," Senator Wiener told me. "Yet what we see at times is people with a Bernie Sanders sign and a 'Black Lives Matter' sign in their window, but they're opposing an affordable housing project or an apartment complex down the street." In California, taking that standard seriously might mean worrying less about the name on the school than whether there are children inside it. In San Francisco, politics becomes an aesthetic rather than a program. You have to have a BLM sign in your window and a rainbow sticker on your Tesla bumper but you never actually intend to do anything that is NIMBY. San

Francisco's fake symbols of progressivism are always preferred to the sacrifices and risks those ideals demand. In San Francisco Democrats hold total control of the government. If progressivism cannot work here, why should the country believe it can work anywhere else?

[Life on Dirtiest Block in San Fran..\(LINK\)](#)

[Drug dealers flood city..\(LINK\).](#)

[People Line Up In Record Numbers At Bay Area Food Bank As SF Tech Rich Watch SF Poor Starve..\(LINK\)](#)

[Is tech-dominated SF about to return to its Bohemian roots as Nancy Pelosi's Sodom crumbles?\(LINK\)](#)

[Naive Julia Roberts 'Weirded Out' Pals By Suddenly Moving To Filthy San Francisco and not seeming to know what a shit-hole SF is ..\(LINK\)](#)

Actress Julia Roberts bought a house in the formerly up-scale area of San Francisco but her friends wonder if she is crazy to have suddenly up-rooted and moved to the most corrupt, crime-ridden, fallen city in America...

[\(LINK\) San Francisco is a total shithole \(Paul Joseph Watson video\)](#)

[\(LINK\) San Francisco is absolutely degenerate. USUALLY, If you go to the Mission District you can expect your car to get broken into and keyed, but now EVERY neighborhood is filled with break-ins INCLUDING Pacific Heights! \(whatever\)](#)

[So I went to a wedding in Sacramento, and found a cheap flight to Europe out of Oakland a few days later. So girlfriend decided we ought to see San Francisco for a night. So we hear about a great restaurant, get into SF at like 8, park the car in a well-lit spot and get dinner. After dinner we walk past the car on the way to get some dessert, at 10pm. By the time we get back to the car at 10:30, the back window is smashed, side rear window is smashed, and both my bags are missing. I honestly don't give a fuck if San Francisco wants to make itself a shithole, but what pisses me the fuck off is that these morherfuckers think they're so fucking smart and they know exactly how to live, and meanwhile crime is out of fucking control to the point that people are smashing car windows at 10pm I'm well lit and well travelled streets, homeless are everywhere, and they want to export the same policies that have destroyed their city and state to the rest of the country. Fuck off California leftists and your fucking bullshit.](#)

IAN BIRRELL says tech giants have turned San Francisco into a dystopian nightmare of addiction, homelessness and criminality

- Criminals "Zulu Jones", "Lefty O'Douls Boss", "Shrimpboy Chow", "Francesco "Frank" Lanza", "Roger Boas", "James "Jimmy" Bronkema", "[Aladino "Jimmy the Weasel" Fratianno](#)"...the list of political mobsters in the San Francisco Bay Area goes on and on and on...

By [Ian Birrell for The Mail on Sunday](#)

Gilles Desaulniers moved to San Francisco 40 years ago, settling in the 'friendly, quaint and affordable' city after running out of cash while driving from **Canada** down the West Coast of America. Today he runs a grocery store filled with fresh fruit, vegan snacks and organic wines typical of this famously liberal Californian city.

But Gilles has shut one outlet and would sell up entirely if anyone wanted this one, his remaining shop. Each day, up to 30 people stroll in and openly steal goods, costing him hundreds of dollars.



A street cleaner showed me a box filled with used syringes that he had collected, then I met two charity workers picking up needles from the pavement. How many do you find a day, I ask? 'Between 300 and 600, depending on the weather,' one replies. A homeless man is pictured second left using a syringe to inject drugs in the city in June 2018

He has been bitten twice recently by people in his shop and he also found a woman turning blue in the toilet after a drugs overdose, a hypodermic needle still stuck in her leg. He showed me a metal door that is corroding due to people urinating in his doorway, then spoke of finding a man relieving himself in full view of infants playing in a child centre next door. 'Our society is falling apart,' says Desaulniers. 'If people do not play by some rules, society does not function. But it feels like there is no

order, there is no shame.’ He uses two apocalyptic movies to illustrate the state of his adopted city: ‘Living here feels like A Clockwork Orange and Blade Runner have both come true.’

I could grasp his despair. I had just passed dealers selling drugs beside a police car parked outside government offices, and seen their customers openly smoke fentanyl, an opioid 50 times stronger than heroin, then collapse on the street.

- **City ranks among worst tax-and-spend offenders...**

Yet true to form, San Francisco has just elected as district attorney a radical called Chesa Boudin, whose parents were infamous militants from a far-Left, anti-war group. They were jailed for triple murder when Chesa was a toddler, leaving him to be adopted by the founders of the organisation

All cities have their seedy sides. But this is the very centre of San Francisco, by an upmarket Westfield shopping mall thronged with people in designer clothes perusing Rolex watches, Louis Vuitton handbags and Tiffany jewellery.

The beautiful city by the bay, where Tony Bennett famously left his heart and which poses as a beacon of progressiveness, has more billionaires per capita than any other on the planet.

Not long ago, a seven-bedroom home here recently sold for \$38 million (£29 million), while at the Michelin-starred Saison restaurant, the ‘kitchen menu’ starts at \$298 a head and reservations require a \$148 deposit.

The city authorities have a huge \$12 billion budget, handing their 31,800 staff average annual pay and benefit packages of an astonishing \$175,000.

Yet the tide of homeless, addicted and mentally ill people washing up here has become so severe that a global expert on slums claimed San Francisco may be more unsanitary than some of the poorest parts of Africa and Asia.

Oracle, one of the technology giants based in the nearby Silicon Valley, has switched a conference for 60,000 people to Las Vegas due to the toxic combination of ‘poor street conditions’ and costly hotels.

This followed a medical association moving its \$40 million convention out of San Francisco amid safety fears because of sordid tent encampments and overt drug use. Other events are being affected.

Indoors, people are making deals, talking about healthcare and networking. Yet in the streets, I witnessed homeless people injecting cocaine,’ tweeted Kistein Monkhouse while attending a recent J. P. Morgan conference for 9,000 people.

As one prominent academic tells me, it seems a cruel irony that so much squalor and despair is found in the Californian base of all those billionaire technology titans seeking to reshape the world in their image.

‘San Francisco has always had hobos but we’ve never seen anything like this. It’s become a vision of some kind of strange dystopian future,’ says Joel Kotkin, a widely respected professor in urban studies.

He can reel off damning statistics to back his claim that San Francisco symbolises the Golden State’s descent into ‘high-tech feudalism’ including America’s highest poverty levels, its worst rates of property crime and its biggest gap between top and middle incomes.

But one statistic stands out: almost half of homeless people in the United States are in California, according to a recent White House study.

And San Francisco, a comparatively small city that is home to tech giants such as Twitter, Uber and Airbnb, has the highest rate of ‘unsheltered’ citizens – at ten times the national level.

(The Horrors Of Living In San Francisco Cause Many To Jump Off The Golden Gate Bridge)

All cities have their seedy sides. But this is the very centre of San Francisco, by an upmarket Westfield shopping mall thronged with people in designer clothes perusing Rolex watches, Louis Vuitton handbags and Tiffany jewellery


Downtown visitors cannot fail to witness the distressing evidence. Almost instantly after I arrived, I saw three people smoking crystal meth through glass pipes, then others with the facial scabs and sores associated with this destructive drug. One man with matted hair sat slumped in a stupor wearing just grubby underpants.

Another, clearly under the influence of heroin, had ‘nodded off’ and was static on a child’s bicycle. A third urinated on the street. A woman changed her clothes from a tatty suitcase on the pavement.

Others shuffled pathetically or rolled slowly along the street in wheelchairs. Some were clearly suffering mental distress, such as a man in his 50s begging for cash who told me he was waiting for his air force pension. A street cleaner showed me a box filled with used syringes that he had collected, then I met two charity workers picking up needles from the pavement.

How many do you find a day, I ask? ‘Between 300 and 600, depending on the weather,’ one replies. So if you are going to San Francisco, feel free to wear flowers in your hair but local women say avoid sandals on your feet. Dog owners complain they have to wash human faeces from the legs of their pets after a walk. One fed-up resident showed me Hondurans handing out socks filled with wraps of drugs in front of a building being turned into an upmarket Whole Foods store. The dealers displayed little need for concealment. ‘After a while, you become desensitised – it’s like everyone here is wearing blinkers,’ says my guide, a man in his 30s. ‘All my friends with kids have moved out of the city.’ The city and state have some of the highest tax rates in the country, but his area of SoMa West voted to back a fresh charge on firms to fund a new community group to clean up its streets. It is the 17th district to pass such a measure.

‘You have to develop a thick skin,’ says Sonya Lee, 24, supervisor in a Starbucks branch surrounded by bustling boutiques, expensive hotels and smart restaurants. ‘Every day, people come in and take stuff. It’s dreadful but we don’t know what to do.’



(Twitter's sick perverted staff attract naive young drug users and sex deviants)

San Francisco, a comparatively small city that is home to tech giants such as Twitter, Uber and Airbnb, has the highest rate of 'unsheltered' citizens – at ten times the national level. Official data, based on one night's count last year, claimed 8,011 homeless people in this city of 884,000 people – a rise of 17 per cent on 2017. But a record of those receiving healthcare found numbers twice as high and rising faster. City authorities claim their key problem is the high cost of housing combined with past failures to build enough properties. But many blame something simpler to solve: the lack of law enforcement.

'When you tell vagrants that anything goes, it leads to the anarchy you see on these streets,' says Heather MacDonald, a fellow at the Manhattan Institute think-tank and a conservative essayist. She believes we are witnessing a 'real-life experiment' into what happens if society stops enforcing bourgeois norms out of sensitivity to vulnerable people. MacDonald argues that the city authorities are culpable, fuelling drug addiction by doling out 4.5 million needles a year when there is nothing compassionate about giving addicts and mentally ill people the freedom to 'decompose' on the streets.

Much of what she says is backed by Thomas Wolf, 49, who lost his job and family after becoming addicted to opioid painkillers following foot surgery, then moving on to cheaper heroin and ending up homeless in his native city. 'It is a cycle of despair,' he says. 'I was heartbroken at losing my wife and kids but all I cared about was drugs. I hated being on the streets but I loved the easy access to drugs. 'Yet there's such denial that if you'd have asked me if I had a problem, I'd have said no.'

Wolf, who now works for the Salvation Army helping homeless people rebuild lives and has just been appointed to a specialist civic taskforce, sees untreated addiction as the root cause of the city's problem. He says most people living on the streets are hooked on either drugs or drink.

‘If you see someone shouting at the wall, it is crystal meth, not mental illness – although meth might have destroyed their mind.’ Wolf claims that while the city distributes drug paraphernalia, he was never asked to quit or offered help. He says many users sell their monthly welfare \$190 food stamps on receipt to go on a binge. And he wants to see generous welfare benefits – almost \$600 a month in return for 12 hours of voluntary work – slashed. His own time as a homeless heroin addict ended after police caught him holding six socks filled with drugs for Honduran dealers. His brother bailed him from jail on condition that he went into rehab. He was lucky. Last year there were 234 deaths from fentanyl and heroin in the city, more than double the previous year and five times higher than in 2016.

Wolf believes that the decision by a state ballot six years ago to reclassify thefts of property below the value of \$950 as misdemeanours has backfired badly, leading to a huge increase in shoplifting. ‘It is a disaster,’ he says. ‘The idea was sound – to reduce jailing that is predominantly of minorities – but the side effect was to embolden people to commit crime with impunity. Everyone knows you can go into shops and steal up to \$950.’ Even shampoo, deodorant and toothpaste are now locked up in chemist shops to curb thefts. The police declined to speak officially. But one officer sitting in his car beside blatant street-dealing said there was no point arresting people as they would simply be released, even if they were carrying drugs and cash valued at several thousand dollars. ‘I find it very frustrating and lots of my colleagues find it very frustrating,’ he says, adding that officers only intervene when there is violence. ‘We get the blame because people think we’re doing nothing. But it’s not our fault.’ Wes Tyler, manager of a family-owned hotel, told me a man high on crystal meth smashed a \$5,000 window one Sunday afternoon – then repeatedly ignored court dates and probation orders despite being seen in the neighbourhood last week.

‘If City Hall does not start to take these issues more seriously, we’ll see businesses impacted,’ says Jay Cheng, spokesman for the local Chamber of Commerce. Yet true to form, San Francisco has just elected as district attorney a radical called Chesa Boudin, whose parents were infamous militants from a far-Left, anti-war group. They were jailed for triple murder when Chesa was a toddler, leaving him to be adopted by the founders of the organisation.



The beautiful city by the bay, where Tony Bennett famously left his heart and which poses as a beacon of progressiveness, has more billionaires per capita than any other on the planet. Not long ago, a seven-bedroom home here recently sold for \$38 million (£29 million), while at the Michelin-starred Saison restaurant, the ‘kitchen menu’ starts at \$298 a head and reservations require a \$148 deposit. The 39-year-old, who studied at Oxford University as a Rhodes scholar and later worked as a translator for Venezuela’s former leader Hugo Chavez, a Fidel Castro acolyte, campaigned on moving away from prosecuting ‘quality of life’ offences to focus on serious and corporate offences.

The San Francisco Police Officers Association spent heavily campaigning against Boudin, saying he was the choice for ‘criminals and gang members’.

But Jennifer Friedenbach, executive director of the charity Coalition on Homelessness, argues city residents should get angry over ‘systemic neglect’ that sparked this crisis rather than blaming people on the streets. ‘No one wants to live like this,’ she says. ‘We’ve tried locking people up before but that didn’t work.’ Friedenbach insists that the problems stem from a lack of affordable housing, a significant reduction of emergency shelters and the slashing of spending on treatment programmes.

She says, rightly, that issues of homelessness, mental health and addiction are often linked. The city’s mayor, London Breed, whose younger sister died of a drug overdose and elder brother was jailed for robbery, declined to comment.

In her inaugural speech, Breed said the ‘twin troubles of homelessness and housing affordability’ were the big challenge. She is boosting grants for shelters, treatment and street cleaning. Yet those desperate sights staining this one-time hippy nirvana are ultimately the sign of abject political failure. Her new fiefdom is, after all, so populated by millionaires in their exclusive enclaves that it is the second richest city in the world’s richest nation. Considering the city’s wealth, it smacks of callous and uncaring

hypocrisy. As one local resident says: ‘Are they really being progressive to that poor guy in the street with a needle in his arm who is going to die tomorrow?’

[John Gabriel: California Is A Failed State; How Do We Know? They’re Moving To Arizona In Droves](#)

SAN FRANCISCO TURNS INTO SOCIAL HELL-SCAPE AS CORRUPTION HAS MADE EVERYTHING IN SF COST TWICE AS MUCH AS THE REST OF AMERICA

[\(LINK\) Median price for Bay Area home hits record-shattering \\$935,000...](#)

[\(LINK\) Woman allegedly calls cops on girl selling water in San Fran...](#)

[\(LINK\) Gay Tech Mafia runs Silicon Valley and San Francisco](#)

[Meanwhile on the West Coast... Downtown San Francisco Littered with Drug Needles, Piles of Feces](#)

[Diseased Streets: San Francisco Spends \\$30 Million Cleaning Feces, Needles. City "among some of the worst slums in the world."](#) (nbcbayarea.com)

[When people refuse to navigate piles of human shit to get food, San Francisco has the answer - BAN company owned cafeterias to "protect" local restaurants.](#) (m.washingtontimes.com)

[San Fran Diseased Streets... Needles, Garbage, Feces... Like Worst Slums in World...](#)

San Francisco mayor admits she was screwing corruption boss and took thousands of dollars of cash from top crook in FBI corruption probe

SF = Corruption

By [Brie Stimson](#) |

•

[San Francisco](#) Mayor London Breed on Friday admitted having a 20-year friendship and brief romantic relationship with a former city worker now under [FBI](#) investigation, prompting some to call for her resignation.

San Francisco Is One Of The Most Corrupt Cities In The World

San Francisco Mayors, Area Senators and Supervisors exist off of bribes. Facebook, Google, Twitter, Tesla, Chevron, Wells Fargo, Real Estate developers and Chinese mobsters are the biggest payers of bribes. They pay in cash, hookers, buildings, stock warrants and election manipulation. San Francisco politicians pretend to be "green" but they only care about Green Cash. They pretend to be a "Sanctuary City" but all they care about is harboring criminals who they can use to puff up voter counts.

San Francisco cares about one thing: CASH!

If there is a second thing they care about it is: PRETENTIOUS LIFESTYLES

Chicago is corrupt because of the mafia and gangster culture it supports. San Francisco is corrupt because it has no moral compass except the arrow that points straight to hell. Greed and power rule all decisions in San Francisco politics.

['Corrupt in its roots': as Oakland police scandals pile up ...](#)

May 21, 2016 · Donald Trump may have called the city one of the 'most dangerous' in the world, ... 'Corrupt in its roots': as ... the city across the bay from San Francisco ...

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2016/may/21/oakland-police-miscon...>

[Report on the Causes of Municipal Corruption ... - San Francisco](#)

Report on the Causes of Municipal Corruption in San Francisco, ... No one of the many persons we have ... "The undersigned of the City of San Francisco, ...

 sfmuseum.org/hist5/graft1.html

<http://www.freewebs.com/boycottsanfrancisco/>

[SSF Lawmaker Urges Charges In Corruption Probe: OP-ED](#)

South San Francisco, CA - Several local lawmakers including State Senator Jerry Hill are urging the state AG to file charges in a CPUC corruption probe.

[CORRUPTION CENTRAL: PETER P. McDONOUGH - FoundSF](#)

CORRUPTION CENTRAL: PETER P. McDONOUGH. ... San Francisco History ... from FOUNTAINHEAD OF CORRUPTION: Peter P. McDonough, Boss of San Francisco's Underworld by ...

foundsf.org/index.php?title=CORRUPTION_CENTRAL:_PETER...

[San Francisco city employees in court facing public ...](#)

Two former San Francisco city officials and a longtime city staffer appeared in court on Friday, facing public corruption charges.

abc7news.com/politics/sf-city-employees-in-court-facin.

[Are corrupt politicians the cause of sky-high San Francisco ...](#)

Are corrupt politicians the cause of sky-high San Francisco ... What is the most corrupt city agency in San Francisco? ... effect on San Francisco real estate. No one ...

[Q https://www.quora.com/Are-corrupt-politicians-the-cause-of-sky-](https://www.quora.com/Are-corrupt-politicians-the-cause-of-sky-)

[California state Sen. Yee arrested in corruption case - SFGate](#)

The former San Francisco school board member, ... He was reportedly arrested on public corruption charges Wednesday morning amid raids of his office in Sacramento and ...

[San Francisco corruption probe ensnares six current or ... - CNN](#)

Five San Francisco police officers and one former cop were indicted Thursday by federal grand juries in connection with a corruption investigation.

cnn.com/2014/02/27/justice/san-francisco-police-c...

[San Francisco Corruption: Mayor, prosecutor, gangster Shrimp ...](#)

San Francisco Mayor Ed Lee, seen here in 2011, is accused in a new court filing of using officials in his administration to collect bribes. Photo by Justin ...

slate.com/blogs/the_slatest/2015/08/04/san_francisc...

[Who might be next? San Francisco's long-running political ...](#)

He cited a San Francisco prosecution a ... Gascon's words that day provided the first hint that a long-running political corruption probe here might not be over ...

latimes.com/local/california/la-me-sf-probe-20160225-...

[San Francisco police corruption investigation ... - Fox News](#)

The San Francisco police chief is seeking to fire eight officers and discipline six others involved in a racist texting scandal.

foxnews.com/us/2015/05/15/san-francisco-police-corrup...

[3 San Francisco city officials may face trial on corruption ...](#)

First a Chinatown gangster dubbed Shrimp Boy and state Senator Leland Yee were both nabbed in a federal corruption sting. Now three others who were also targets of ...

abc7news.com/3-sf-city-officials-may-face-trial-on-cor...

[How to fix San Francisco's corruption problem - by b stuart ...](#)

Corruption. It's as San Francisco as fog, organic produce and good weed. And by that I mean, it's such a part of the culture here that we take it for grant

San Francisco's Homeless Encampments expose The Failure Of A Liberal Utopia

By [John Daniel Davidson](#)

San Francisco Is Now The Most Rapidly Failing City In America

In America's most liberal city, increasingly visible homeless camps are a stark symbol of San Francisco's economic hollowing out and the failure of progressive governance.

SAN FRANCISCO – “You want the down-low? I’ll give you the down-low: Gavin Newsom and his pearl-clutching elitists from Pacific Heights only do things that profit themselves!

These guys will all tell you something different, but the one thing everyone here has in common is that they all do drugs.”

I’m talking to a group of homeless men camped out under Highway 101 in San Francisco’s Mission neighborhood, where a half-dozen tents are set up on a wide sidewalk across the street from a Best Buy. The thirty something guy who’s telling me it’s all about drugs doesn’t want to give me his name.

Shit-Hole San Francisco — where drug addicts outnumber high school students

Officer Brian Donohue checks on Jeffrey Choate after he sees him lying on the sidewalk along Larkin Street and asks him to dispose of used needles next to him in a proper container on Monday, September 10, 2018 in

San Francisco has more drug addicts than it has students enrolled in its public high schools, the city Health Department’s latest estimates conclude.

There are about 24,500 injection drug users in San Francisco — that’s about 8,500 more people than the nearly 16,000 students enrolled in San Francisco Unified School District’s 15 high schools and illustrates the scope of the problem on the city’s streets.

It’s also an increase of about 2,000 serious drug users since 2012, the last time a study was done.

“There is an opioid epidemic in this country, and San Francisco is no exception,” Deputy Director of Health Dr. Naveena Bobba said.

The problem is particularly visible in the Tenderloin, where police reported more than 600 arrests for drug dealing last year. And where 27 suspects were booked into County Jail for dealing drugs in the first 20 days of the new year.

The out-in-the-open use of drugs on city sidewalks and at the Civic Center BART Station was a huge embarrassment for the city and triggered more police patrols and crackdowns in the past year. The BART station has been cleaned up, but the problem continues in the Tenderloin.

And while the police stay busy targeting dealers — the criminal side of illegal drugs — the city’s public health officials work the problem as a health crisis.

CRONY POLITICS RULE SAN FRANCISCO


The San Francisco Board of Supervisors voted 7-4 on Tuesday to overturn [the 2015 sale of Presidio Terrace](#), a privately-owned street in the neighborhood of the same name used by a few dozen of the city’s wealthiest homeowners, faulting the city’s tax collector and not the residents for letting an annual \$14 tax bill go unpaid for decades.

Supervisor Mark Farrell, whose district includes Presidio Terrace, scheduled Tuesday’s hearing in August, allegedly to “get to the bottom” of the dispute and let all parties plead their case.

A South Bay couple bought Presidio Terrace’s circular street and accompanying sidewalks at a tax sale to the tune of \$90,000 after the Presidio Terrace Association (PTA) had failed to pay the taxes on their avenue for 30 years.

[Residents are FLEEING Sanctuary City San Francisco at a RECORD RATE!](#)(youtube.com)

SAN FRANCISCO HAS TURNED INTO THE BIGGEST SHIT-HOLE CITY IN AMERICA



[Typical San Francisco street fight](#) (youtube.com)

by [gnexus](#) to [videos](#) (+23|-0)

- [comments](#)



[Commieifornia - Colin Flaherty: San Francisco Techies Surprised by the Level of Black Violence \(hooktube.com\)](#)

[comments](#)

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA VENTURE CAPITALISTS PROVE THEIR CORRUPTION RUNS DEEP

The founder of a Silicon Valley venture capital firm was sentenced Wednesday to six months behind bars for paying about \$450,000 in bribes to boost his two daughters' entrance exam scores and get one of them into Georgetown University as a bogus tennis recruit.

Manuel Henriquez, the 57-year-old founder and ex-CEO of Hercules Capital based in Palo Alto, California, cried and dabbed his eyes with a tissue as he prayed for forgiveness from his children and other families he hurt, and asked the judge for mercy.

“There is no perfect way to express how broken I feel in my heart and soul,” said Henriquez, who sat next to his lawyer and wore a face mask for much of the hearing held via video conference because of the coronavirus pandemic.

His wife, Elizabeth Henriquez, was sentenced in March to seven months behind bars. She is currently locked up at a prison in California and is expected to be released in January, according to online records.

Prosecutors had asked for five months for Manuel Henriquez, calling him in court documents a “less active participant in the mechanics of the fraud than his wife.” The defense urged the judge for three months or less.

U.S. District Judge Nathaniel Gorton called Henriquez a hypocrite who donated money to aid children, while at the same time using his wealth and privilege to put his kids ahead of other less fortunate students in the college admissions process.

“It’s a sad day,” the judge said.

How San Francisco's Wealthiest Families Launched Kamala ..

A high-school senior had deftly promoted her “brand” for the Ivy League, a college consultant boasted. In a 2013 promotional video, William Rick Singer, the mastermind of an elaborate admissions corruption scheme, showered praises on the young woman.

She’d started her own organization to fight climate change, he said. She’d eventually enroll in Brown. That student, it turns out, was Mary Doerr, a daughter of John Doerr, the Silicon Valley venture capitalist and early backer of Google and Amazon.

The Doerrs were clients of Singer years before he turned a legitimate college consulting practice into an elaborate bribery-for-admissions scheme that has shaken American academia, the parents who sought Singer’s advice and the Wall Street and Silicon Valley firms that are now dealing with the fallout.

Pro golfer Phil Mickelson and National Football League Hall of Fame quarterback Joe Montana each tweeted last week that their families had used Singer for college consulting and were “shocked” to hear about the illegal activity.

So are some of the Wall Street and Silicon Valley companies who had connections to Singer, including Goldman Sachs Group Inc., bond-giant PIMCO and venture-capital firm Kleiner Perkins Caulfield & Byers, which Doerr co-founded and is chairman.

Court papers suggest as many as 800 families hired Singer at some point. Singer ran an apparently legitimate college counseling firm, the Edge College & Career Network, before about 2011. The 2013 promotional video that referred to Mary Doerr says she was a client “six years ago,” or about 2007. The bribery case reaches back only to 2011.

The Doerr family has not been accused of any wrongdoing. Mary Doerr graduated from Brown University in 2015 and now is a graduate student at North Carolina State University, according to her LinkedIn profile.

Calls to Mary Doerr and her mother, Anne, were not returned. A Kleiner Perkins spokeswoman, Katie Hutchison, didn’t respond to a request to speak with John Doerr.

Hutchison declined to answer questions but said in a written statement that while Doerr and partner Tom Schlein, “along with a long list of Valley luminaries,” were clients of Singer, they consulted him only for “test tutoring and help with college applications — typical of services provided by thousands of private college counselors across the country — and nothing further.”

Goldman Sachs, which was mentioned in a wiretapped phone conversation as a social connection for at least one of the parents charged in Singer’s bribery-for-admissions scheme, says it has begun an internal investigation into any connection its employees may have to the former college consultant.

Firms whose partners and employees were caught up in the federal case known as “Operation Varsity Blues” have swiftly moved to fire or accept the resignations of people involved.

Bond-giant PIMCO said in a statement on Monday that “as part of a regular series that includes dozens of outside speakers, PIMCO invited Mr. Singer to speak twice over the past decade, the latest in 2015, about the college admissions process.” But the firm added that it “has never had a business relationship with Rick Singer or his college preparation organization. Neither PIMCO nor the PIMCO Foundation has ever paid Mr. Singer or donated money to his foundation.”

The statement added that any employees who engaged with Singer’s illegal scheme “would have no place at the firm.”

PIMCO’s former chief executive Douglas Hodge, who retired in 2017, is accused of paying about \$500,000 in bribes for two daughters and a son to be admitted to Georgetown University and the University of Southern California as fake tennis, soccer and football recruits.

The case has also sent shivers among affluent parents who fear they and their children will be tarnished as the Singer case unfolds.

Families now in the admissions process “want to illustrate that they’re a good family that wouldn’t cheat and that their kids would never want them to cheat the process,” said Christine Pluta, a private counselor with Edvise Princeton who has also worked in the admissions offices of the University of Pennsylvania and Barnard College. “I have never before heard a family try to convince me of their own integrity. Singer is part of the conversation.”

Pluta said when she worked at Penn about 20 years ago, a “father offered me a million dollars if his daughter would be admitted. At first I thought he was joking.” She said she made it clear the offer was inappropriate.

Singer cooperated with authorities and pleaded guilty to facilitating more than \$25 million in bribes for faked ACT and SAT scores and bogus athletic profiles to schools including the USC, Georgetown and Yale University. Parents contributed to Singer’s fake charity, Key Worldwide Foundation, to facilitate bribes to coaches and school officials and some and some took the charitable-donation deduction.

The wealthy parents worked in finance, sports and entertainment and included actresses Lori Loughlin and Felicity Huffman as well as Hodge, who was replaced as CEO of PIMCO in 2016; Gordon Caplan, the co-chairman of global law firm Wilkie Farr & Gallagher LLP, and William McGlashan Jr., a former partner of private equity firm TPG, who was fired last week.

The relationships in those worlds led to some embarrassing revelations for firms who invited Singer to speak.

“We know people at Goldman Sachs who have, you know, recommended you highly,” said parent Marci Palatella, according to transcripts of a wiretapped conversation with Singer. Palatella, chief executive officer of a liquor distribution company in Burlingame, Calif., and the wife of

former San Francisco 49ers player Lou Palatella, was charged with paying \$575,000 in bribes to get their son into USC as a fake “long snapper” football recruit.

Goldman Sachs spokesman Patrick Scanlan said Monday that “we’re continuing to look into the matter.”

Another parent charged in the scandal referenced Kleiner Perkins. In a wiretapped conversation, parent Bruce Isackson worried that the Internal Revenue Service might discover the bogus charity, to which Isackson is accused of paying hundreds of thousands of dollars in bribes to get his daughter into USC as a fake-recruited rower.

Isackson, who was president of real-estate development firm WP Investments in Woodside, Calif., was charged along with his wife, Davina. He no longer appears on the website of the firm, which didn’t respond to calls.

“Is this gonna be this — be the front page story with everyone from Kleiner Perkins do whatever, getting these kids into school,” Isackson said according to the transcript.

In the 2013 promotional video, Singer spoke of an unnamed client who had started Inconvenient Youth while in high school. “She got totally engaged in her brand, in her story, in her passion,” he said in the short video, adding that “I had her six years ago as a student” and thanking former Vice President Al Gore for allowing “us” to use his slide show from his 2006 documentary, “An Inconvenient Truth,” that inspired Doerr’s group.

Singer added that getting in to college “has to do with getting involved in your brand.” He highlighted his role in Mary Doerr’s venture, saying that “we wanted to create an organization that would focus on global warming for youth.”

Mary Doerr started Inconvenient Youth in 2008 between her junior and senior years at Castilleja School in Palo Alto, Calif.

John Doerr, whose net worth Forbes puts at \$7.5 billion, has been involved in education issues for some time. He is co-founder and lifetime director of the Newschools Venture Fund, which invests in start-ups aimed at improving public education. He and Anne Doerr donated \$50 million in 2015 to Rice University, his alma mater, to finance a leadership training program.

The couple paid about \$50,000 bribes to have someone cheat multiple times on standardized tests for their daughters, authorities said.

The Henriquezes were also charged with paying \$400,000 to the sham charity run by admissions consultant Rick Singer to get their oldest daughter into Georgetown by falsely portraying her as a star tennis player. Singer in turn paid then-Georgetown tennis coach Gordon Ernst in exchange for labeling her as a recruit, authorities say.

Ernst, who’s accused of getting nearly \$3 million in bribes, has pleaded not guilty.

Singer has pleaded guilty to running the sprawling bribery scheme and helped investigators build the case against the parents.

Henriquez's lawyers said in court documents that his participation in the scheme was “minor and largely passive” as he was busy running his company while his wife “devoted herself to the care and well-being of their children.”

"Mr. Henriquez knew some but not all of the details of the scheme with Singer, played a small role, and to his great regret and shame, did not stop it. He paid Singer’s bills, as he did all the family’s bills," his lawyers said in a court filing.

Assistant U.S. Attorney Eric Rosen said it “strains credulity” that Henriquez would believe that the \$400,000 payment to Singer's sham charity would support "underfunded college athletic programs (including Georgetown tennis) and programs for disadvantaged youth," as his attorneys claimed in court documents.

Rosen accused Henriquez of refusing to fully accept responsibility for his actions and attempting to present a "sanitized version of the conduct to the court."

The Henriquezes are among nearly 30 parents who've admitted to charges in the massive case called “Operation Varsity Blues.”

“Full House” star Lori Loughlin and her fashion designer husband, Mossimo Giannulli, are scheduled to be sentenced in August after pleading guilty to paying half a million dollars to get their two daughters into the University of Southern California as fake crew recruits. Loughlin's plea deal calls for her to serve two months behind bars and Giannulli's calls for him to serve five months.

THE HORRIFIC CORRUPTION OF GAVIN NEWSOM

[Is Gavin Newsom Totally Corrupt or Just Eternally ...](#)

www.capoliticalreview.com/capoliticalnewsandviews/is-gavin-newsom-totally-corrupt-or-just-eternally-incompetent/

Corruption, Incompetent, Supreme Leader **Gavin Newsom** is way over his head. Either the Legislature take back the reins of government—or the Feds should stop giving California money until those responsible or either out of office or indicted. What do you think? A politically connected firm gets an \$800-million mask contract with California.

[Red State: CORRUPTION. Gavin Newsom Got a Free Mansion and ...](#)

<https://rightondaily.com/2020/07/red-state-corruption-gavin-newsom-got-a-free-mansion-and-other-perks-not-reported-on-his-financials/>

Gavin Newsom's \$3.7 million, 12,000 square foot mansion, on 8+ acres along the American River in Sacramento, was the area's most expensive home sale in 2018 The gated estate consists of a 6 bedroom/10 bath home, a guest house, a pool, a tennis court, and a wine cave

[The idiocy, ignorance, and corruption of California's ...](#)

<https://behindtheblack.com/behind-the-black/essays-and-commentaries/the-idiocy-ignorance-and-corruption-of-californias-governor-newsom/>

In the past week the Democratic governor of California, **Gavin Newsom**, has demonstrated that in his quest to cement the dictatorial powers he gave to himself with the arrival of the Wuhan virus, he really has no interest in any facts or real scientific data.

[BREAKING: TREASON: GA Gov. Kemp and CA Gov. Newsom bought ...](#)

<https://channel411news.com/2020/11/24/breaking-treason-ga-gov-kemp-and-ca-gov-newsom-bought-off-by-communist-china-in-covid-supplies-kickback-and-money-laundering-schemes-source/>

In July of this year, Natural News reported how California Gov. **Gavin Newsom** was caught wiring half a billion dollars to communist China as part of a "massive face mask money laundering scheme."

[Gavin Newsom caught wiring half a ... - Corruption News](#)

<https://corruption.news/2020-07-07-newsom-wired-half-billion-communist-china-face-mask-money-laundering.html>

The sordid details of California Governor **Gavin Newsom's** unwholesome dealings with communist China continue to emerge, including the shocking revelation that **Newsom** is quietly funneling hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the communist Chinese regime in exchange for political favors.

[Recall Gavin Newsom Campaign Hits Back at California ...](#)

<https://www.newsweek.com/recall-gavin-newsom-campaign-hits-back-100m-claim-1565397>

"If it costs 100 million tax dollars to #RecallGavin2020, we could have recalled **Gavin Newsom** 300 times with the money lost to [Employment Development Department] **corruption** and unemployment scams!"

[Governor Newsom's Dubious Mask Deal Brings Corruption ...](#)

<https://www.sandiegonewsdesk.com/2020/06/governor-newsoms-dubious-mask-deal-brings-corruption-concerns/>

Gov. **Gavin Newsom** made a \$1.4 billion deal with BYD, a Chinese company, to produce N-95 masks. What's weird about this situation is that BYD is an electric bus maker with no history of making masks. What's even weirder is that the masks never came to fruition because they failed to meet national safety and health standards.

[Project Packs a Sweetheart Deal for No-Bid Willie Brown Crony](#)

[Newsom Recall Petition Reaches 1.5 Million Signatures](#)

Cronyism is the Governing Principle of California

- [California Corruption: Crony Socialism, Corruption](#)
- [Gavin Newsom Netted Millions \(Tax-Free\) In Undisclosed "Sweetheart" Loans](#)

SAN FRANCISCO NOW THE #1 PLACE IN AMERICA TO GET AN AWFUL SEX DISEASE!

[STDs in California reach all-time high: 300,000 cases...](#)

[SAN FRANCISCO Gives ILLEGAL ALIENS Right To Vote in order to try to jam up elections *](#)

[San Fran: Not Only Do the Politics Smell](#)

SEE THE STORY OF MURDER THAT CREATED A WELL-PLACED MAYOR FOR THE TECH CARTEL:

[Darkened Corridors: THE DARKEST STORY OF SILICON VALLEY CORRUPTION THE SILICON VALLEY TECH MAFIA.pdf \(LINK\)](#)

[Home Prices In 80% Of US Cities Grew 2x Faster Than Wages... And Then There Is San Francisco](#)

The mayor of San Francisco says he's hiring 10 workers whose sole responsibility will be to clean up the massive numbers of drug needles littering the streets.

San Francisco Mayor Mark Farrell said Monday the team will respond to resident complaints and remove needles and syringes from "hot spots" every day of the week.

Farrell tells the [San Francisco Examiner](#) that discarded syringes on the streets are among the top litter complaints in the city with a growing homelessness problem and a devotion to encouraging hippie scum to live there.

<http://nbclosangeles.com/news/california/San-Francisco-to-Hire-Needle-Cleanup-Team-480616941.html>

San Francisco Forensic Investigator Paul Kangas Keeps The Ruling Elite On Their Toes

Don't do crime with public money in front of Paul Kangas. This modern Sam Spade will latch onto the case like a pit-bull and never let go. If City Hall, Silicon Valley or the Opera Crowd get into bribery, corruption, sex trafficking and other dirty bits, Paul might end up on their heels.

As the former guard for President Kennedy, Paul Kangas is now a private Investigator in California. His seminal article on the role of George HW Bush in the assassination of JFK was just published on the front page of the Cuban newspaper, Granma. 30 million copies were distributed worldwide. He has taught, and inspired, many contemporary media investigators who have over-turned the entire news industry with the kinds of FBI-class public research that Kangas uses. The many "citizen sleuth" groups operating around the world were inspired by the early work of Kangas. Paul Kangas has busted up more corruption in San Francisco than the last 4 police bosses in San Francisco. You don't always know that he did it, but suddenly a package of investigation data shows up at the right reporter's desk at the right time and that public official is "gone:.

Kangas is said to have copies of all of the files left behind when Clinton private eye: Jack Palladino, died. Paul Kangas is the last guy on Earth that any corrupt politicians should consider screwing with!

[Paul Kangas - Ballotpedia](https://ballotpedia.org/Paul_Kangas)

https://ballotpedia.org/Paul_Kangas

Paul Kangas (Democratic Party) ran for election for President of the United States. **Kangas** lost as a write-in in the Democratic convention on August 18, 2020. **Kangas** also ran for election to the **San Francisco** Unified Board of Education in California. **Kangas** lost in the general election on November 3, 2020.

[DR. PAUL KANGAS, JD, PhD | San Francisco Voter Guide](https://voterguide.sfelections.org/en/dr-paul-kangas-jd-phd)

<https://voterguide.sfelections.org/en/dr-paul-kangas-jd-phd>

My occupation is Criminal Defense Investigator.. My qualifications are: Dr. **Paul Kangas**, JD, PhD. SF can raise teacher pay, to \$100K, by putting 1,000 solar panels on each school, ear-marking the money from solar sold onto the grid @ \$0.49 kwh, for teachers pay only.

[SF Tesla Society, February 9, 2014 - Paul Kangas, N.D ...](http://www.sftesla.org/Newsletters/2014/SFTS_news_2014_02_09.htm)

www.sftesla.org/Newsletters/2014/SFTS_news_2014_02_09.htm

Paul Kangas served in the U.S. Navy from 1960-1964. **Paul** was a guard to congressmen and the President. He received his B.A. in Journalism & Biology from **San Francisco** State University in 1969. **Paul** went on to receive a J.D. degree from Hastings Law School in 1973. He currently

[S.F. school board candidates face tough pandemic choices ...](https://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/article/S-F-school-board-candidates-face-tough-pandemic-15579213.php)

© <https://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/article/S-F-school-board-candidates-face-tough-pandemic-15579213.php>

Paul Kangas, criminal defense investigator. This candidate did not respond to the questions. Jill Tucker is a **San Francisco** Chronicle staff writer. Email: jtucker@sfchronicle.com Twitter: ...

[San Francisco Forensic Investigator Paul Kangas Keeps The ...](#)

[case-xyz2020a.com/index.php?post/San-Francisco-Forensic-Investigator-Paul-Kangas-Keeps-The-Ruling-Elite-On-Their-Toes](#)

San Francisco Forensic Investigator **Paul Kangas** Keeps The Ruling Elite On Their Toes Don't do crime with public money in front of **Paul Kangas**. This modern Sam Spade will latch onto the case like a pit-bull and never let go.

Billionaire Real Estate Mogul David Rockefeller dies at age 101. His San Francisco “Bag Man” James Bronkema is also dead. John Molinari, their Italian “business man” mob-fixer is in his final years.

The end of an era of real estate corruption, bribery, elitist black-listing and crony politics on the West Coast.

Deepti Hajela, Associated Press

The billionaire philanthropist who was the last of his generation in the famously philanthropic Rockefeller family died, Monday, March 20, 2017, according to a family spokesman. (AP Photo/D. Pickoff, File) **NEW YORK** (AP) — David Rockefeller, the billionaire businessman and philanthropist who was the last in his generation of one of the country’s most famously philanthropic families, died Monday. He was 101. Rockefeller died in his sleep at his home in Pocantico Hills, New York, according to his spokesman, Fraser P. Seitel. He was the grandson of Standard Oil co-founder John D. Rockefeller and the youngest of six children born to John D. Rockefeller Jr. With the passing of his siblings, he became the guardian of his family’s fortune and head of a sprawling network of family interests, both business and philanthropic, that ranged from environmental conservation to the arts. To mark his 100th birthday in 2015, Rockefeller gave 1,000 acres of land next to a national park to the state of Maine. Aspects of the Rockefeller brothers’ upbringing became famous, including the 25-cent allowance, portions of which had to be set aside for charity and savings, and the inculcation that wealth brings great responsibility. Two of his brothers held elected office: Nelson Rockefeller served as the governor of New York, hungered for the White House and briefly served as vice president. Winthrop Rockefeller was a governor of Arkansas. David Rockefeller, however, wielded power and influence without ever seeking public office.

Among his many accomplishments were spurring the project that led to the World Trade Center. And unlike his other brothers, John D. III and Laurance, who shied from the spotlight and were known for philanthropy, David Rockefeller embraced business and traveled and spoke widely as a champion of enlightened capitalism. “American capitalism has brought more benefits to more people than any other system in any part of the world at any time in history,” he said. “The problem is to see that the system is run as efficiently and as honestly as it can be.” Rockefeller graduated from Harvard in 1936 and received a doctorate in economics from the University of Chicago in 1940. He served in the Army during World War II, then began climbing the ranks of management at Chase Bank. That bank merged

with The Manhattan Company in 1955 He was named Chase Manhattan's president in 1961 and chairman and chief executive officer eight years later. He retired in 1981 at age 65 after a 35-year career. In his role of business statesman, Rockefeller preached capitalism at home and favored assisting economies abroad on grounds that bringing prosperity to the Third World would create customers for American products. He parted company with some of his fellow capitalists on income taxes, calling it unseemly to earn \$1 million and then find ways to avoid paying taxes on it. He didn't say how much he paid in taxes and never spoke publicly about his personal worth. In 2015, Forbes magazine estimated his fortune at \$3 billion. As one of the Rockefeller grandchildren, David belonged to the last generation in which the inherited family billions were concentrated in a few hands. The next generation, known as "the cousins," has more people. Rockefeller was estimated to have met more than 200 rulers in more than 100 countries during his lifetime, and often was treated as if he were a visiting head of state. Under Rockefeller, Chase — now JPMorgan Chase & Co. — was the first U.S. bank to open offices in the Soviet Union and China and, in 1974, the first to open an office in Egypt after the Suez crisis of 1956.

In his early travels to South Africa, Rockefeller arranged clandestine meetings with several underground black leaders. "I find it terribly important to get overall impressions beyond those I get from businessmen," he said. But Rockefeller took a lot of heat for his bank's substantial dealings with South Africa's white separatist regime and for helping the deposed, terminally ill Shah of Iran come to New York for medical treatment in 1979, the move that triggered the 13-month U.S. embassy hostage crisis in Tehran. Rockefeller maintained the family's patronage of the arts, including its long-standing relationship with New York's Museum of Modern Art, of which his mother had been a fervent patron. His private art collection was once valued at \$500 million. The Rockefeller estate overlooking the Hudson River north of New York City is the repository of four generations of family history, including Nelson's art and sculpture collection. One of the major efforts of Rockefeller's later years was directed at restoring family influence in the landmark Rockefeller Center, most of which had been sold in the 1980s to Japanese investors. He eventually organized an investor group to buy back 45 percent of the property. His philanthropy and other activities earned him a Presidential Medal of Freedom, the nation's highest civilian honor, in 1998. Rockefeller and his wife, the former Margaret McGrath, married in 1940 and had six children — David Jr., Richard, Abby, Neva, Margaret and Eileen. His wife, an active conservationist, died in 1996. James Bronkema was his Bag Man that paid various public officials along with the Coblenz Law firm. For the dirtiest deals, though, John L. Molinari would step in. Molinari uses his sub-gang comprised of: The Amelia Commercial Owners' Association, John L. Molinari Assc, The Molinari Family Partnership LLC, Twenty Five Fifty Five Larkin, The Rapallo Group, Inc., Golden Van Associates LLC John Molinari Partners and other fronts.

Molinari is part of the North Beach Italian business men organization. The FBI has been thought to have bugged his Van Ness, Larken Street, Columbus Avenue locations as well as his cars.

In 1987 Mr. Molinari was a 52-year-old insurance and gun-for-hire man who had served on the Board of Supervisors since 1972, including five terms as president. He played a key role in engineering Mrs.

Feinstein's elevation to Mayor in 1978, and in 1982 became a Democrat, essential to advancing in a city that is 77 percent Democratic. He has earned a reputation as a politician who knows his way around City Hall and can bring warring factions to battle. Molinari is said to have lied about which district he actually lived in, on records filed for that election. Roger Boas, Molinari's friend, was arrested for running a pedophile underage sex ring for the rest of the San Francisco City Hall Elites. Molinari's daughter was removed from his home, per the now public police report records, to protect her from sexual abuse. She was placed in protective custody in a center on California Street, in San Francisco, where she provided investigators with damaging information about her father.

Molinari's large crooked nose and squinty deep-set eyes did not help him avoid the "North Beach Mob" assertions cast by his political adversaries. Warren Hinckle once called him *"A sad version of The Godfather..."*

Anatomy of A Civic Corruption Case- San Francisco- The Bridge To Sin

THE COLD CASE THAT IS NOW VERY HOT! INVESTIGATORS NOW HAVE THE FINAL PIECES OF THE PUZZLE! Link to story: <http://wp.me/p4e1uX-2LV>

See how the Golden Gate Bridge and a little bridge between two buildings at Embarcadero Center connected to an organized crime and child sex abuse ring for billionaires in A billion dollar real estate political kickback scam, by San Francisco "elites", to create an owned and controlled Senator.

Interesting that one has posted pictures of them-self dancing and hugging very young girls on Facebook, one was arrested and indicted for running an underage prostitution ring for billionaire campaign backers and San Francisco "elites", One (A senior public official) had their daughter removed from their home by the police because she was sexually abused (Police reports available) and one was charged with hiring underage prostitutes.

You would think it would be hard to be indicted for BOTH running a child prostitution ring for Pacific Heights billionaires AND helping to embezzle government funds for Moscone Convention Center AND Embarcadero Center but one managed to do it all, on behalf of the others.

James Bronkema was known as David Rockefeller's "Bag Man" on the West Coast. He looked exactly like the greedy little "Monopoly Man" Billionaire on the game-board and even sported the same mustache and vest just to make the point that he was not only corrupt, he was BAD ASS CORRUPT and he would get the Rockefellers on your ass if you messed with him!

When a real estate or political policy action needed to be greased, Bronkema or Coblentz showed up with the cash for the Supervisors, inspectors and public policy-types. Bronkema was one of the creators of "Flower" and ran the cash into John Molinari's Mayoral campaign. He put his girl-friends in executive city jobs as payoff for services rendered. Their hope was to move Molinari from Mayor, to Senator to Governor and on up... but that didn't work out..... when the FBI showed up on some

doorsteps.

Bronkema ran the Business Bureau, The Golden Gate Bridge, Embarcadero Center and Rockre, a “private business operations group” for the Rockefellers. He funneled kickbacks, crony deals and special favors from San Rafael to Oakland to City Hall.

They got a different party to play Senator and Molinari was forgotten but not forgiven for his false campaign district address, tax form creativity and family police incidents. A bug was placed in Molinari’s Classic Jaguar and feds got quite a bit of dirt on old-school San Francisco corruption off of Molinari’s North Beach idle chatter. Molinari then turned State’s evidence.

Once Molinari ate it in the elections, they plowed all of the cash into Feinstein.

A key associate (Goudie, aligned with Molinari) was a top Presidential appointee and campaign funder who was arrested and indicted for federal funds fraud in the Christopher Columbus Scandal which emulated the Golden Gate Bridge Scandal with the same consultants. (Check out his old Facebook page)

The new Silicon Valley Prostitution cases have opened up the Old San Francisco child prostitution cases, as girls reveal decades of abuse and finally tell reporters what really happened back then. Which Silicon Valley and SF Venture Capitalists, who you see quoted in the news lately, were founders of “Flower” the top secret San Francisco underage sex ring for billionaires , held in the billionaires hotels so surveillance security could be totally controlled, (With SF, NYC and Silicon Valley Branches), which is, apparently, still operating? Why are they so excited about all of the underage girls coming over the border in the Mexican child border crisis? Why are Silicon Valley CEO’s and VC’s so supportive of “child Immigrants”?

Paying a bribe for real estate exclusives was often done with cash and hookers, known as “pink cash” in SF.

Why was NAMBLA allowed to thrive in San Francisco at the time, Including being given San Francisco Public Libraries, On Potrero hill and in other locations, to hold their secret “how to abuse children” meetings? (See FBI reports on NAMBLA)

Which San Francisco billionaire VC, in the news lately for other reasons, has a regular weekly appointment to have six nude girls come over and read him books from his library while he pleasures himself?

Which one is under investigation regarding a murder and an attempted murder?

Which one ordered a “hit” on someone?

Part of Kubrick’s film: “Eyes Wide Shut” was based on “Flower”. The cost for a young virgin started at \$50,000.00. Taking her virginity was called: “picking a flower”.

Run all of the names on your database and draw the financial, business and personal connections and see how interesting this case REALLY is!

Who was the bigger real estate guru: Blum, Rockefeller or Shorestein?

What do Dianne Feinstein, Richard Blum, James Bronkema, Roger Boas, John Molinari and David Rockefeller all have in common? All them reported to WHO?

Nobody can now say they “didn’t know what was going on!” The evidence overtly implicates all of the players.

Was there a different reason, than we were told, that Mayor George Moscone was killed? Dan White said he was “drugged up on Twinkies”, was he drugged up on something else so he could carry out his “kill orders” from on high?

Who went to federal prison and who didn’t?

Who ordered the case shut down, but who kept working on it because they thought there was a major cover-up going on?

Who took the fall while the others kept on keeping on?

Who paid for who’s political campaign..and still does? Who pulls who’s strings?

Who is under 24 hour digital device surveillance by 5 different agencies? (Answer: EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THEM, THEIR FAMILIES AND ASSOCIATES)

What is a RICO?

What did federal investigators find when they set-up an office in one of the suspects condo buildings across from his office above Chevy’s Restaurant?

How bad is running a child prostitution ring for the San Francisco elite and Silicon Valley founders?

How much, in bribes and corruption, did the exclusive little bridge from one Embarcadero Center to another, over the public road, really cost Boas, Rockefeller, Molinari, Bronkema and Feinstein?

Who is still operating as a front for whom?

Let's discuss. Send in your links. Watch for the YOUTUBE VIDEO: Anatomy of A Civic Corruption Case and please ask PBS Frontline to pick up the full length version!

Please ask City, State and Federal agencies to OFFICIALLY RE-OPEN THIS CASE!

TA- Former FB*, Associate Producer/ D- SF Chron, FG, J- Worked with Bruce Brugman, GG, HJK, R-Latimes, Wspo-G, bSpecial thanks to D at Regional Federal Office

SAN FRANCISCO'S COLDEST CASE / A coverup is still suspected ... 16 Feb 2003 ... chief administrative officer under mayors George Moscone and Dianne Feinstein. ... Campaign posters of Boas dotted the city, and a prostitute ... on the brothel operators, Roger Boas and seven other customers, including ...

<http://www.sfgate.com/magazine/article/SAN-FRANCISCO-S-COLDEST-CASE-A-coverup-is-still-2669756.php> – View by Ixquick Proxy – Highlight

The Secret Life of a 'Shadow Billionaire' ▶ &JavaScript required to play &a hreflang="en" type="video/mp4" href="https://videos.files.wordpress.com/fsB9480q/the-secret-life-of-a-shadow-billionaire_std.mp4"&The Secret Life of a &Shadow Billionaire&This bridge broke the camels back and connects, politically and financially, to The Golden Gate Bridge District which was, at the time, operated as a private government for personal profit by the suspects. These is the only real estate project in the City that got this deal...bribes DO work!.... until you get caught!

To protect the identity of the abused girl, we will not show the un-blurred form but it, and associated files, can be seen at SFPD, FBI and WIKILEAKS via a FOIA

1. Dianne Feinstein – Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia Dianne Goldman Berman Feinstein, born Dianne Emiel Goldman Richard Ramírez, and in so doing angered detectives by giving away details of his crimes.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dianne_Feinstein – View by Ixquick Proxy – Highlight

2. Dianne Feinstein's "espionage" – Salon.com 24 Jul 2012 ... In sum, leaks of classified information are a heinous crime when done to ... But what makes the case

of Dianne Feinstein extra egregious is that, ...

http://www.salon.com/2012/07/24/dianne_feinsteins_espionage/ – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE ▶ <p>JavaScript required to play GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE. </p>

3. [Dianne Feinstein on Crime – On The Issues](#) Voted YES on reinstating \$1.15 billion funding for the COPS Program. Amendment would increase funding for the COPS Program to \$1.15 billion for FY 2008 to ...

http://www.ontheissues.org/domestic/Dianne_Feinstein_Crime.htm – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

4. [Dianne Feinstein – News, Articles, Biography, Photos – WSJ.com](#) As California's senior Senator, Dianne Feinstein has built a reputation as an independent voice, working with both Democrats and Republicans to find ...

topics.wsj.com/person/F/dianne-feinstein/5519 – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

5. [Dianne Feinstein Biography – Encyclopedia of World Biography](#) Dianne Feinstein was elected San Francisco's first female mayor in 1979 and ... was employed by a public affairs group that was interested in criminal justice.

<http://www.notablebiographies.com/Du-Fi/Feinstein-Dianne.html> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

6. [Committee Assignments – Senator Dianne Feinstein](#) The following are Senator Feinstein's current committee assignments: ... in the Senate, ranging from criminal justice to antitrust and intellectual property law.

www.feinstein.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/committee-assignments – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

7. [the Wicked Witch of the West Dianne Feinstein – Government ...](#) The petition to Charge the Wicked Witch Dianne Feinstein with treason is gaining ground. I would not hold your breath on

this as the criminal that occupies the ...

http://www.governmentpropaganda.net/wicked_witch-of-the-west-feinstein.html – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

[Snopes Misses on Story of Collusion Between Sen. Feinstein and ...](#) 31 May 2013

... Feinstein and Husband's Company. Written by Bob Adelman ... Why, the husband of Senator Dianne Feinstein, that's who! What a bunch of ...

<http://www.thenewamerican.com/usnews/crime/item/15579-snopes-misses-larger-story-on-sales-of-post-offices-by-california-sen-feinstein-s-husband-s-company> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

Worst case scenario: Egomaniac billionaires, who loved sex with children, controlled the real estate deals in San Francisco, because they needed to feel powerful. They had George Moscone killed because he wasn't cool with their sex ring/real estate shenanigans and to put their own person in the top post in Government, aimed for the Presidency. The investigation needs to be re-opened and all cover-up actions overturned.

DG

1. [Dianne Feinstein launches scathing attack on CIA over alleged ...](#) 11 Mar 2014 ...

Senator Dianne Feinstein speaks to reporters after accusing the CIA of cover-up and criminal activity in a speech on the Senate floor.

CIA says: "Feinstein, you fucked with the wrong people, we have ALL of the dirt on you, did you forget who we are!"

<http://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/mar/11/feinstein-accuses-cia-intimidation-torture-report> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

[Meet CISA – Dianne Feinstein's Latest Attack on Privacy, Civil ...](#) 8 hours ago ...

Unsurprisingly, the only "distinctly native American criminal class," as ... Cisa is what Senator Dianne Feinstein, the bill's chief backer and the ...

<http://www.infowars.com/meet-cisa-dianne-feinsteins-latest-attack-on-privacy-civil-liberties-and-the-internet/> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

2. [Dianne Feinstein Articles, Photos, and Videos – Los Angeles Times](#) The Justice Department has announced that it won't pursue a criminal ... Dianne Feinstein: Here's how to deal with the desperate children at the border. Dianne ...

<http://www.latimes.com/topic/politics/government/dianne-feinstein-PEPLT002021-topic.html> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

3. [Feuding CIA, Senate avoid criminal charges from Justice ... – KPCC](#) 5 days ago ... Senate Intelligence Committee Chairwoman Dianne Feinstein alleged in ... On Thursday, the Justice Department declined to bring criminal ...

<http://www.scpr.org/news/2014/07/10/45264/feuding-cia-senate-avoid-criminal-charges-from-jus/> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

4. [Dianne Feinstein Calls Out the C.I.A. for Spying on the Senate : The ...](#) 11 Mar 2014 ... The C.I.A. spied on the Senate, Dianne Feinstein said on Tuesday. ... the Panetta review to the Department of Justice as a possible criminal act.

<http://www.newyorker.com/online/blogs/cloread/2014/03/dianne-feinstein-calls-out-the-cia-for-spying-on-the-senate.html> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

5. [Dianne Feinstein – Huffington Post](#) Former Montana Gov. Brian Schweitzer (D) has spurred plenty of Internet buzz as of late over some comments he made about Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D-Calif.).

<http://www.huffingtonpost.com/news/dianne-feinstein/> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

The San Francisco Bay Guardian newspaper came under direct, and personal, attack for attempting to expose these indiscretions. Senior Bay Guardian and EX SF Weekly and FIST staff know the whole story.

[g-No Criminal Charges In Senate-CIA Spat, Justice Department Says ...](#) 6 days ago ... Senate Intelligence Committee Chairwoman Dianne Feinstein alleged in ... On Thursday, the Justice Department declined to bring criminal ...

<http://www.npr.org/blogs/thetwo-way/2014/07/10/330465260/no-criminal-charges->

in-senate-cia-spat-justice-department-says – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

1. [Moscone–Milk assassinations – Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia](#) The Moscone–Milk assassinations were the killings of San Francisco Mayor George ... as it meant Moscone could tip the balance of power on the Board as well as ... White fled the scene as Feinstein entered the office where Milk lay dead.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Moscone%E2%80%93Milk_assassinations – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

2. [Dianne Feinstein – Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia](#) Dianne Goldman Berman Feinstein, born Dianne Emiel Goldman (/ˈfaɪnstaj̩n/ ... “As president of the board of supervisors, it’s my duty to make this announcement. Both Mayor Moscone and Supervisor Harvey Milk have been shot and killed.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dianne_Feinstein – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

3. [Testimony of Diane Feinstein in the trial of Dan White for the killing ...](#) Q Now, Mayor Feinstein, George Moscone, who was the actually elected Mayor of ... had the power, did he not, to appoint persons to the Board of Supervisors, ... a problem from taking place, because Dan Horanzey was to be sworn at 11:30, ...

law2.umkc.edu/faculty/projects/ftrials/milk/feinsteintestimony.html – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

4. [Dianne Feinstein Gun Laws Wouldn’t Have Stopped Harvey Milk ...](#) 19 Mar 2013 ... Dianne Feinstein gun laws would not have stopped the murders of Harvey Milk, ... body, and I was the one to put a finger in a bullet hole, trying to get a pulse. ... The same month that Harvey Milk and George Moscone were murdered, ... I believe that she realized that it is the ...

<http://www.politicaloutcast.com/2013/03/dianne-feinstein-gun-laws-stoppedmurders-harvey-milk-george-moscone-jonestown/> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

5. [Dianne Feinstein On Moscone, Milk Deaths – YouTube](#) 19 Jul 2010 ...

Dianne Feinstein reflects on the tragic deaths of George Moscone and Harvey Milk. ... election fraud and the people who got him in went on a child killing spree ? ... where she understands the power a gun can give to a person, both as change the fact that regulating guns will ...

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v= 4051pdMlnQ](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4051pdMlnQ) – [View by Ixquick Proxy – Highlight](#)

6. [Just learned Diane Feinstein replaced a Mayor back in 70's who was ...](#) After he was killed Diane Feinstein was sworn in as mayor as she was then ... and thus could tip the Board's balance of power in Moscone's favor. ... City Hall to meet with Moscone and make a final plea for appointment.

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message2099147/pg1> – [View by Ixquick Proxy – Highlight](#)

7. [CITY HALL SLAYINGS / 25 Years Later / Revisiting the horror of that ...](#) 26 Nov 2003 ... Dianne Feinstein was president of the Board of Supervisors. ... "I put my finger to see if there was any pulse, and it went in a bullet hole in his ... Ten days before Moscone and Milk were killed, a mad San ... The mayor had the power to name a supervisor to replace White, and ...

[http://www.sfgate.com/ news/ article/ CITY-HALL-SLAYINGS-25-Years-Later-Revisiting-2510798.php](http://www.sfgate.com/news/article/CITY-HALL-SLAYINGS-25-Years-Later-Revisiting-2510798.php) – [View by Ixquick Proxy – Highlight](#)

8. [Tales from Colma – The Martydom of Mayor Moscone – Part 3/4](#) He slipped the gun into his shoulder holster and put on his suit jacket to hide it. ... White, who'd learned how to kill during basic training, straddled Moscone, leaned ... Dianne Feinstein found Milk only moments after White left. ... stories about the electric chair charred the public into second ...

<http://www.notfrisco.com/colmatales/moscone/mosc3.html> – [View by Ixquick Proxy – Highlight](#)

9. [George Moscone's murder explored in new play directed by his son ...](#) 9 Jan 2012 ... It's a hard-hitting memory play that harnesses the power of myth, history ... the rise of (Dianne) Feinstein have clouded the event of Moscone's

death. ... that had fallen away from a bullet wound and tried to put it back in place.

http://www.mercurynews.com/ci_19707104 – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

10. [Five Ways Dianne Feinstein Has Kicked Butt | TIME](#) 12 Mar 2014 ...

Dianne Feinstein, chairman of the Senate Intelligence Committee, speaks with ... after a speech on the Senate floor that accused the CIA of searching computers set up ... But this isn't the first time Feinstein has spoken truth to power. ... White murdered Milk and Moscone because he ...

<http://www.time.com/22000/five-ways-dianne-feinstein-has-kicked-butt/> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

6. [All the President's Women \(Part 3\): Diane Feinstein | Dave Hodges ...](#) 4 Jan 2013 ... In 2009, Senator Dianne Feinstein introduced legislation which ... liberal democrat please explain to America how Feinstein's crimes are not as ...

<http://www.thecommonsenseshow.com/2013/01/04/all-the-presidents-women-part-3-diane-feinstein/> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

7. [48 Hours Mystery: Who killed Natalie Antonetti? – CBS News](#) Call to police from an angry wife blows a decades-old Texas cold case wide open. ... horrible scene,” Johnny Goudie told CBS News correspondent Tracy Smith.

<http://www.cbsnews.com/news/48-hours-mystery-who-killed-natalie-antonetti/> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

8. [Columbus Day – Offnews.info | Inteligencia y Seguridad, Terrorismo ...](#) 12 Oct 2009 ... The grandiloquently named Christopher Columbus Quincentenary ... Miami developer and Republican fund raiser John Goudie, resigned last ...

<http://www.offnews.info/verArticulo.php?contenidoID=18022> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

9. '92 Columbus Jubilee Is Mired in Problems – New York Times 18 Dec 1990 ... The chairman, John N. Goudie, a Republican fund-raiser in Miami, said ... by the Christopher Columbus Quincentenary Jubilee Commission ...

<http://www.nytimes.com/1990/12/18/us/92-columbus-jubilee-is-mired-in-problems.html> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

[Download PDF](#) Stephen J. Summerhill and John Alexander Williams, Sinking Columbus: Contested History ... Appalachian State University, headed the Christopher Columbus ... sponsors. Goudie could not even attract support from Hispanic American.

http://www.learner.org/courses/worldhistory/support/reading_2_3.pdf – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

10. Articles citations with the tag: COLUMBUS, Christopher, 1451-1506 Results 1 – 50 ... Describes the presumed landing place of Christopher Columbus in the New ... Columbus Quincentenary Jubilee Commission, John Goudie.

connection.ebscohost.com/tag/COLUMBUS%252C%2BChristopher%252C%2B1451-1506 – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

11. Goodbye, Columbus by Garry Wills | The New York Review of Books 22 Nov 1990 ... The Conquest of Paradise: Christopher Columbus and the Columbian ... When I interviewed John Goudie in his Florida real estate office, ...

<http://www.nybooks.com/articles/archives/1990/nov/22/goodbye-columbus/> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

12. U.S. Senator Dianne Feinstein publicly accuses CIA of criminal ... 11 Mar 2014 ... WASHINGTON — The head of the U.S. Senate Intelligence Committee accused the CIA Tuesday of criminal activity in improperly searching a ...

news.nationalpost.com/2014/03/11/u-s-senator-dianne-feinstein-publicly-accuses-cia-of-criminal-activity-airing-intense-dispute-with-spy-agency/ – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

13. Meet CISA – Dianne Feinstein’s Latest Attack on ... – Prison Planet.com 8 hours ago ...
Unsurprisingly, the only “distinctly native American criminal class,” as ... Cisa is what
Senator Dianne Feinstein, the bill’s chief backer and the ...

<http://www.prisonplanet.com/meet-cisa-dianne-feinsteins-latest-attack-on-privacy-civil-liberties-and-the-internet.html> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

Articles about Roger Boas – Los Angeles Times Former San Francisco Chief
Administrative Officer Roger Boas was sentenced Friday to three years’ ... Ex-S.F.
Official Boas Pleads Guilty on Sex Counts.

articles.latimes.com/keyword/roger-boas – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

14. Former City Official Charged in S.F. Teen-Age Sex Inquiry – Los ... 5 Oct 1988 ... At
the same time, newly unsealed indictments show that 12 others, ... In addition to retired
Chief Administrative Officer Roger Boas, 67, charges ...

articles.latimes.com/1988-10-05/news/mn-2880_1_city-official – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

15. SAN FRANCISCO’S COLDEST CASE / A coverup is still suspected ... 16 Feb 2003
... The 1980s offered no shortage of criminal activity for Garnier’s vice grand jury
returned indictments on the brothel operators, Roger Boas and ...

<http://www.sfgate.com/magazine/article/SAN-FRANCISCO-S-COLDEST-CASE-A-coverup-is-still-2669756.php> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

16. Aangirfan: ROBERT GRAY AND THE CIA’S SHADOW GOVERNMENT 6 May
2014 ... f) Roger BOAS (ASCO company) – SHAVIT was the right hand of Roger
fled to Switzerland in 1983 to avoid a 65-count criminal indictment.”

aanirfan.blogspot.com/2014/05/bob-gray-shadow-government.html – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

17. Golden Gate Bridge Corruption – BAY AREA CORRUPTION Strauss was indicted July
28 on six counts of fraud, perjury and cover-up charges Articles about Roger Boas –
Los Angeles Times Ex-S.F. Official Boas ...

bayareacorruption.weebly.com/golden-gate-bridge-corruption.html – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

18. [Full text of “California Democrats’ golden era, 1958-1966”](#) Roger Kent, Building the Democratic Party in California, 1954-1966, 1981. ... and Dianne Feinstein, San Francisco Roger Boas, San Francisco Chuck Bosley, ...

http://www.archive.org/stream/calidemsgolden00coperich/calidemsgolden00coperich_djvu.txt – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

19. [SAN FRANCISCO’S COLDEST CASE / A coverup is still suspected ...](#) 16 Feb 2003
... .. chief administrative officer under mayors George Moscone and Dianne Feinstein.
... Campaign posters of Boas dotted the city, and a prostitute ... on the brothel operators, Roger Boas and seven other customers, including ...
Was George Moscone killed because he was going to bust “Flower”? Was Harvey Milk’s death just a distraction cover?

<http://www.sfgate.com/magazine/article/SAN-FRANCISCO-S-COLDEST-CASE-A-coverup-is-still-2669756.php> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

20. [SAN FRANCISCO UPSET BY TOURISM DECLINE – NYTimes.com](#) 10 May 1981
... ”We’ve got to fill up the hotel rooms,” observed Roger Boas, San ... set by the Board of Supervisors and approved by Mayor Dianne Feinstein. Was Moscone killed because he was going to blow the case on “Flower” wide open? Was this a Manchurian Candidate ploy to put Feinstein in office?

<http://www.nytimes.com/1981/05/10/us/san-francisco-upset-by-tourism-decline.html> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

21. [Articles about John Molinari – Los Angeles Times](#) John Molinari, 94; Former Justice of State Appellate Court. September 16, 2004 | From ... Agnos in the Nov. 3 primary to succeed Mayor Dianne Feinstein. NEWS ...

articles.latimes.com/keyword/john-molinari – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

22. Divided San Francisco Eyes Election – New York Times 21 Oct 1987 ...
... Dianne Feinstein, is nearing the end of her eventful term as Mayor. ... one between
Supervisor John L. Molinari, a former Republican who is a ...

<http://www.nytimes.com/1987/10/21/us/divided-san-francisco-eyes-election.html> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

23. Board of Supervisors : Inauguration 31 Jan 2014 ... Dianne Feinstein, January 08,
1970. John Molinari, January 08, 1972. Ron Pelosi (Seated) – President of the Board,
January 08, 1968. Dorothy ...

<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=3030> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

24. Just learned Diane Feinstein replaced a Mayor back in 70's who was
... Diane Feinstein was his political enemy on a coalition that opposed him, ... “Milk,
Silver, and Lau along with John Molinari and Robert Gonzales ...

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message2099147/pg1> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

25. Usual Suspects – San Francisco’s Political Homepage » Board History Harry Britt was
first appointed in January 1979 by Mayor Dianne Feinstein, succeeding
... John Molinari was elected in 1971, 1975, 1977, 1979, 1980, and 1984.

<http://www.sfusualsuspects.com/elections/board-history/> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

26. Jewish Community-3 – Encyclopedia of San Francisco Roberta
Achtenberg, Roger Boas, Harold Dobbs (father of the author of this
essay), Dianne Feinstein, Leslie Katz, Barbara Kaufman, Quentin Kopp, Mark Leno, ...

<http://www.sfhistoryencyclopedia.com/articles/j/jews3.htm> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

27. Former City Official Charged in S.F. Teen-Age Sex Inquiry – Los ... 5 Oct 1988 ... In
addition to retired Chief Administrative Officer Roger Boas, 67, ... George R. Moscone
and Dianne Feinstein, to serve for a decade as the ...

articles.latimes.com/1988-10-05/news/mn-2880_1_city-official – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)

28. [Board of Supervisors : Inauguration](#) 31 Jan 2014 ... Dianne Feinstein – President of the Board, January 08, 1970. John Barbagelata, January 08, 1970. Roger Boas, January 08, 1962. Robert E.

<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=3030> – [View by Ixquick Proxy](#) – [Highlight](#)
[RelatedHometown Public Journalism Wiki's: SF Bay Area - Senator Yee arrested for organized crime](#)In "bay area corruption"

[All Tesla Model S Cars recalled by NHTSA due to proven fire danger. Now requires "ballistic fire shield"](#). See: <http://wp.me/P4e1uX-22P>In "60 minutes investigation"

[Enough is enough, Silicon Valley must end its elitism and arrogance: Washington Post](#)In "60 minutes investigation"

The dark politics, corruption and scandal of the administration and governance of the Golden Gate Bridge. What can be done? Let's explore that question on behalf of all citizens...


- Who killed Tommy Walker, a devout Mormon who was to organize a major part of the celebration and who then discovered the corruption in the Bridge District Committee.
- Who is Patricia Novick and what is the exact accounting of her pre-contract meetings as the contractor AND the girlfriend of the Bridge Director?
- TOXIC LEAD is being used on the bridge and it is poisoning tourists, neighbors and bridge workers. Touch the bridge or breath while you are on it and you could die or be sickened for life: see: <http://www.goldengatewaste.org>
- Bridge Directors for over a decade have gotten kickbacks from paint suppliers for paint used on the bridge. James and John, the former directors, know what we mean
- The Bridge Directors and all of the Presidio Events marketing staff were informed of all of the facts on this site BEFORE they started marketing to sponsors for money yet they hid these facts from sponsors in their marketing. That is fraud.
- Why were no key celebration contracts put through the bid process and only rewarded to friends of the Directors?

- Which same persons were involved in both the 50th & 75th events and have the same kickback and favors deals?
- Why was the former Bridge Director told to permanently remove himself from politics forever? Hear reporters reviews of his recovered police reporter for child abuse.
- Which Bridge Director was under police investigation because of a police complaint filed by his own daughter?
- Which Bridge Director bribed almost every member of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of his real estate monopoly?
- How could a Bridge District that can't even afford to run it's ferry's (according to NBC News) afford to engage in ANY party extravaganzas?
- Bridge says that their plans for an insurance disaster are to " Keep their fingers crossed"!!!!
- Which Bridge Director used taxpayer money to buy a car?
- The Bridge never pays its bills and has had millions in debts.
- Why did CALTRANS say that it did not want the Golden Gate Bridge District involved with it?
- Why wasn't the law followed, which required that the Bridge District be dissolved?
- Gene Pratt and Marin Advocates Association said the Bridge District was operating as an illegal private government. Are they? To whose benefit?
- The attached chart of currently contracted celebration suppliers and their business associates shows a highly suspicious set of connections. What do you think?
- The mansion on the point near China Beach, behind Robin William's old house, in the SeaCliff District of San Francisco has it's entire foundation built out of stolen Golden Gate Bridge Steel. It is even painted with bridge orange paint. Which Bridge District Director slipped the owner that stash?
- How many senior Bridge District staff got permit and tax favors in the SeaCliff District of San Francisco? Why?
- In the Book "Paying the toll: local power, regional politics, and the Golden Gate Bridge" By Louise Nelson Dyble, we see that the Golden Gate Bridge District was built on corruption and maintains its process as a citadel of corruption with "charges of extravagance, no-bid contracts and inside deals" that began in 1938 and have increased yearly up to 2012. This book can be ordered from Amazon.com

OCCUPY, Union Groups, Citizen Groups, Neighbors outraged by Corporate 1% takeover of Public Lands on Crissy Field:



The corporate 1% friends of the Bridge Directors got this compound behind a metal fence with cushy chairs covering all of the best public viewing area in the middle of the public lands. The Bridge Directors "Whore'd Out" the Golden Gate Bridge





Here is the location of the House in the SF Sea Cliff district that got Golden Gate Bridge Steel for its foundation from a Director.

Here is the location of the House in the SF Seacliff district that got Golden Gate Bridge Steel for its foundation from a Director.



Paying the Toll | Dyble, Louise Nelson - University of Pennsylvania Local Power, Regional Politics, and the Golden Gate Bridge ... Tunnel Authority for its arrogance, corruption, and self-perpetuating administration of the bridge.

www.upenn.edu/pennpress/book/14601.html -

Paying the Toll: Local Power, Regional Politics, and the Golden ... Dyble indicts the Golden Gate Bridge and Tunnel Authority for its arrogance, corruption, and self-perpetuating administration of the bridge."—Journal of ...

www.amazon.com/Paying-Toll-Regional-Politics-American/dp/0812241479

more corruption and lack of transparency and accountability on san ... Dec 5, 2013 ... These same crooks and very corrupt people think they can hoodwink The family of Tilotilo Faoa addressed the Golden Gate Bridge District ...

kilamanjaro-kilamanjaro.blogspot.com/2013/12/more-corruption-and-lack-of.html -

Electoral Systems, District Magnitude and Corruption - ResearchGate Golden under funding from the National Science Foundation (SES-0074860) ... larger electoral districts are associated with higher levels of corruption in open- list found that policy outputs that are geographically targetable —

rivers, bridges,.

www.researchgate.net/publication/228672865_Electoral_systems_district_magnitude_and_corruption/file/50463516ebff54a0f3.pdf -

[Golden Gate Bridge Toll-Takers Get Layoff Notices In Advance Of All ... Mar 8, 2013 ...](#) The end of cash tolls on the Golden Gate Bridge hit hard for toll-takers ... tolling will be in place, Golden Gate Bridge district officials announced. ... every so often, but yet can't address their in-house corruption and ineptitude.

www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/03/08/golden-gate-bridge-toll-takers_n_2836762.html

[Whistleblower's Handbook - kkc.com](#) A Must For Your Christmas Wish List --Corruption Currents, WSJ

www.kkc.com/Whistleblower'sHandbook

[Ewa Bauer: A Journey From Oppression to The Golden Gate Bridge ... May 1,](#)

2013 ... Golden Gate Span Undergoes Complex Seismic Revamp (2005) ... then joining the Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District, ...

enr.construction.com/business_management/workforce/2013/0506-a-journey-from-oppression-to-the-golden-gate.asp -

[Obama's Shaggy Dog Story About the Golden Gate Bridge - Hit ... Jul 17, 2012 ...](#) However, the Golden Gate Bridge was funded by a \$35 million dollar bond issue by the six counties in the Golden Gate Bridge District. It was a ...

www.reason.com/blog/2012/07/17/obamas-shaggy-dog-story-about-the-golden

[San Francisco Bay Area news roundup | abc7news.com](#) 10 hours ago ... A roundup of Bay Area stories making news today. ... wetlands and an extended bridge overlooking the wetlands, according to the Golden Gate ...

abclocal.go.com/kgo/story?id=5876580 -

[Paying the Toll A Political History of the Golden Gate Bridge and ... V Bay Area Rapid Transit versus the Golden Gate Bridge](#) mismanagement, and corruption as other government agencies.²¹ She suggests a number of.

www.uctc.net/research/diss111.pdf -

[Public Employee "Pay Transparency" Efforts Fall Short | Union Watch](#) Jun 4, 2013 ... Bay Area News Group, Bay Area city/county employees and Southern ... Marin Independent Journal , Golden Gate Bridge District employees

www.unionwatch.org/public-employee-pay-transparency-efforts-fall-short/ -

[Man \[child molester\] Dives From \[Golden Gate\] Bridge To Elude ... Jul 11, 2012 ... 7/6/12:](#)

Transportation bill makes Golden Gate Bridge suicide barrier eligible for ... such as the Golden Gate Bridge District — a special purpose district hid pedophile priests doesn't mean the entire church is corrupt today.

www.freerepublic.com/focus/news/2904547/posts -

[Occupy, Unions Shut Down Golden Gate Ferry - WNYC May 1, 2012 ...](#)

The Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District is in ... the corruption which Big Business has infected our Government with.

www.wnyc.org/story/284216-occupy-shuts-down-golden-gate-ferry/ -

[IN THE CITY BY THE BAY » Humphrey Fellows at Cronkite ... Oct 26, 2013 ...](#) The Bay Bridge looks mesmerising with sun's golden rays shining on its ... According to him, Tenderloin District got its name from corrupt cops who ... Golden Gate and Japanese parks are stunningly green but do also go to ...

cronkitehhh.personal.asu.edu/2013/10/city-bay/ -

[CorpWatch : US: Concrete contractor cuts deal with prosecutors Jun 1, 2008... retrofit project at the Golden Gate Bridge, a wastewater treatment plant in Burlingame, ... for orchestrating a fraud scheme that resulted in tons of substandard ... With great fanfare in May 2006, San Francisco District Attorney ...](#)

www.corpwatch.org/article.php?id=15068 -

[Ross Valley Sanitary District declines to take action against legal ... Jun 24, 2013 ...](#) The Ross Valley Sanitary District will not seek a legal claim against a contract ... Part of the Bay Area News Group. Site ... Golden Gate Bridge.

www.marini.com/rosskentfieldgreenbrae/ci_23530765/ross-valley-sanitary-district-declines-take-action-against -

[INSURANCE BROKERAGE ANTITRUST LITIGATION - Third Circuit Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District,. Glenn Singer Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations \(RICO\) Act. Concluding they had ...](#)

www2.ca3.uscourts.gov/opinarch/074046p.pdf -

[Report on the Causes of Municipal Corruption in San Francisco - 1909 Ruef's Failure to Control The District Attorney's Office](#) of the discovery of gold and its population by gold seekers and adventurers from all parts of the world.

www.sfmuseum.org/hist5/graft1.html -

[Golden Gate Bridge Reveals Influence of "Shadow Government ... Mar 23, 2009 ...](#)

"The Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, in its efforts to stave off outside authority, helped maintain and ... "No one likes corruption.

www.newswise.com/articles/golden-gate-bridge-reveals-influence-of-shadow-government-agencies -

[Articles about Joseph Strauss - Los Angeles Times](#) The defendant, Joseph Strauss, was given until Oct. 25 by U.S. District Judge ... Strauss was indicted July 28 on six counts of fraud, perjury and cover-up charges representing such clients as Golden Gate Bridge builder Joseph Strauss.
articles.latimes.com/keyword/joseph-strauss -

[Toledo Iron Workers leader in race to unseat union's president ...](#) Jul 19, 2001... including San Francisco's Golden Gate bridge, the United Nations ... of an investigation into police corruption in the District of Columbia, site ...
www.toledoblade.com/ Retail/ 2001/ 07/ 19/ Toledo-Iron-Workers-leader-in-race-to-unseat-union-s-president.html -

[OccupySF - SFist: San Francisco News, Food, Arts & Events](#) Occupy Celebrates First Anniversary With Financial District Protests [Schedule] Well, part of it could be that the Golden Gate Bridge District just agreed to pay a Help end "corporate personhood, attack corruption, and support community ...
www.sfist.com/tags/occupysf -

THE GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE GALLERY OF CORRUPTION:

How "Special Projects" work, step by step instructions:

- #1. Make-up a "special project" (ie: New paint, big party, "art" project, Staff "reduction technology", etc.)
- #2. Hire your friends to put it on.
- #3. Pay your friends three times more than it actually costs.
- #4. Wait for the project to end or fail; it doesn't matter, either way, because your friends already have the cash. Who cares if it blows up.
- #5. Have dinner with your friends you gave the contracts to. Watch as they slide a manila envelope with the "extra cash" to you under the table or wire it to your law firm to put into your campaign fund.
- #6. Buy girlies, gin, condo's and campaigns.
- #7. Make up another "special project"... YAHOO!

[My Interview with Tiffany Renée | Success & Chocolate ...](#) Governments; the Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District; ... but continue to use a corrupt campaign financing system to become elected.
www.successandchocolate.com/ post/ 18456012387/ my-interview-with-tiffany-renee -

[Silver and Gold, the Gilded Age - Explore San Francisco](#) San Francisco became a city during the Gold

Rush of 1849, prior to that it was a sleepy ... It was an area so rife with vice that the naive ... Because the political landscape was considered so corrupt, an emperor was proclaimed in 1859, ... construction of the Oakland Bay Bridge and the Transbay Tu
www.exploresf.biz/silverngold.html -

[New SMART chief responds to critics | Watch Sonoma County Aug 30, 2011](#) ... The district already has retrenched, downsizing the initial 70-mile rail line here in Sonoma County well away from his brand of corruption and sleet only to find Golden Gate Bridge and Highway Transportation District.
www.watchsonomacounty.com/2011/08/transportation/new-smart-chief-responds-to-critics/ -

[UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY IN ... Sherman Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act \("RICO"\), and Industries Inc., Sunburst Hospitality Corp., Golden Gate Bridge Highway.](#)
www.insurancebrokeragesettlement2013.com/Portals/0/Documents/2435%20Stipulation%20of%20Settlement.pdf -

[Liability Update: The Top 10 Cases You Need to Know - California ... Aug 21, 2013](#) ... Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District (2012) ... corruption or systemic abuse (generally outside the scope), and whether the ...
www.cajpa.org/sites/default/files/conference/presentations/fc13/CAJPA2013handout.082113.pdf -

[Upholding the Public Trust - Vol. 71 · No. 4 - Public Roads Golden Gate Bridge District Engineer Denis Mulligan \(left\) shows USDOT ...](#) A kickback is money paid for referral of business for a contract — without the ...
www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/08jan/01.cfm -

[Vendor/Supplier Code of Conduct - University of San Francisco \(USF\) Golden Gate Bridge at noon Bribery, Kickbacks and Fraud - No funds or assets of the vendor/supplier shall be paid, loaned or otherwise disbursed as bribes, ...](#)
www.usfca.edu/purchasing/Vendor/Supplier_Code_of_Conduct/ -

[Cheat Sheet - The Daily Beast Oct 17, 2013](#) ... Plan for hellish traffic on the Golden Gate Bridge this weekend. ... over the so- called Cornhusker Kickback in the Obamacare negotiations, ...
www.thedailybeast.com/cheat-sheets/2013/10/17/cheat-sheet.html -

[Terry Lawler - Verizon.net John Roebling \(1806 – 1869\), chief engineer of the Brooklyn Bridge, was born in ...](#) a member of the Bridge board was getting a 10 % kickback of Haigh's payment in ... Both the George Washington Bridge (1931) and the Golden Gate Bridge ...
mysite.verizon.net/vze111aso/John%20Roebling2.html -

Bridge-Collapse Toll Hits 11 - WSJ.com Nov 28, 2011 ... Built 10 years ago to echo San Francisco's Golden Gate Bridge, the ... Kickbacks, bribery and collusion are widely believed to take a big toll on ...
online.wsj.com/news/articles/SB10001424052970203935604577065840444195570 -

Change of Subject: Let's vote! Name the scandal Dec 11, 2008 ... It has a nice familiar ring to it -- The Golden Gate Bridge being the world-famous span that connects the city of San Francisco to Marin County ...
blogs.chicagotribune.com/news_columnists_ezorn/2008/12/lets-vote-name-the-scandal.html -

Bio for Mark J. Linderman - Rogers Joseph O'Donnell Internal investigation and disclosures pursuant to the Anti-Kickback Act and ... the Central Artery in Boston, the San Francisco Airport, the Golden Gate Bridge, ...
www.rjo.com/linderman.html -

Articles about Roger Boas - Los Angeles Times Ex-S.F. Official Boas Sentenced in Teen Prostitution Case ... Not long ago, John Molinari seemed sure to realize a lifelong ambition to be elected mayor of San ...
articles.latimes.com/keyword/roger-boas -

Transcript Q 622 Part 1: Telephone conversation between Jim Jones and John Maher of ... Now I would've ov— I would've been guilty of an oversight here, and we— that would The only other Republican of note is [San Francisco Supervisor John] Molinari , ...
jonestown.sdsu.edu/AboutJonestown/Tapes/Tapes/TapeTranscripts/Q622.html -

San Francisco Genealogy - Obituaries and Death Notices, Mm - M Source: San Francisco Examiner 8 Nov 1935 Contact: John Ireland of Bianchina and the late Giacomo MOLINARI, and loving brother of John MOLINARI, Mrs. "MONROE--TRIAL of ELIZA MUNRO -- The case of Eliza MUNRO indicted for ...
www.sfgenealogy.com/sf/vitals/sfobimm.htm -

Articles about Roger Boas - Los Angeles Times Former San Francisco Chief Administrative Officer Roger Boas was ... pleaded guilty Thursday to charges stemming from having sex with teen-age girls at a brothel ... Not long ago, John Molinari seemed sure to realize a lifelong ambition to be ...
articles.latimes.com/keyword/roger-boas -

Golden Gate Bridge marks 75 yrs since opening against steep odds ... May 27, 2012 ... The Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District is now ... Well, the corrupt directors of the Golden Gate Bridge District have seen ...
www.reuters.com/article/2012/05/27/goldengatebridge-idUSL1E8GNFPA20120527 -

[more corruption and lack of transparency and accountability on san ...](#) Dec 5, 2013 ... What stops us from blocking the gate - and stopping all work? THE GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE DISTRICT - BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

[kilamanjaro-kilamanjaro.blogspot.com/ 2013/ 12/ more-corruption-and-lack-of.html](#) -

[\\$8 Golden Gate Bridge Toll Could Be New Reality for North Bay ...](#) Nov 12, 2013 ...

The Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District board of turn the tables on these politicians who very much like their corrupt ...

[novato.patch.com/ groups/ around-town/ p/ 8-toll-golden-gate-bridge-proposed](#) -

[Paying the Toll: Local Power, Regional Politics, and the Golden ...](#) Since its opening in 1937,

the Golden Gate Bridge has become an icon for the beauty ... From the moment of the bridge district's incorporation in 1928, its managers the Golden Gate Bridge and Tunnel Authority for its arrogance, corruption, ...

[www.amazon.com/Paying-Toll-Regional-Politics-American/dp/0812241479](#) -

San Francisco Nurses Demand to Have Pervert Mark Zuckerberg's Name Taken Off Hospital

- Corrupt Zuckerberg found to be using the sick as a tax evasion ploy
- Patients, public and staff object to a hospital being named after the world's largest human rights abuser
- Mayor Ed Lee died at Zuckerberg Hospital due to politics
- Facebook found to be spying on patients and selling their private medical data to governments and political groups BY **PAULA**

BOLYARD-----

By Courtney Tubbs |

San Francisco: Democrat LGBT Lutheran Pastor And Friends Arrested In Child Porn Raid

Five pedophiles at a Pro-LGBT Church have been arrested by San Francisco's Child exploitation Task Forces for the production of child pornography. Controversial Gay Pastor of Christ Church Lutheran in San Francisco, the Rev. Steven Sabin, heads the congregations.



Reverend Steven Sabin is the head pastor at the Christ Church Lutheran national website, where he hosts streaming podcasts worldwide.

The Christ Lutheran Church says that they're aware of the Pastor's arrest. A HUGE number of church bosses have been charged with sex abuse, touching kids and running sex cults in San Francisco.



Rats, Public Defecation, Open Drug Use...

San Francisco Is An Uninhabitable Hellhole...

San Francisco Tech Executives And City Hall Directors Play A Dangerous Game With Trophy Wives and Prostitutes

By Lucy Carl

Driven by a psychopathic need to employ sex for power instead of passion, executives from SalesForce, Twitter, Google, Facebook and other famous brands, live a life of debauchery few normal people can comprehend.

Almost every \$200K/year executive has both a “trophy” wife and a bevy of prostitutes on call. Most of them get the wives and the hookers from many of the same resources. Most of the prostitutes know about the trophy wives, and vice versa, because it is part of “The Deal”.

The San Francisco Zoo fundraising parties, The “House Parties” (on Broadway, west of Divisadero in the tony Pacific Heights neighborhood), San Francisco Symphony parties and Opening Nights, The Red and White Ball Events, The Guardsman parties, The Spinster Events, The Rosewood Hotel “tech events” and a horde of other elitist find-some-ass events deliver the chicks to the Chads.

A Trophy Wife is a surgically enhanced woman who dresses like a Playboy model, wears porno makeup and has a Jessica Rabbit type of cartoonish facial structure. She is acquired by the tech executive to show off to other tech executives. An unusually large number of San Francisco tech executives are homosexual but they still have Trophy wives to keep up appearances.

At one of these events a young, attractive woman will approach a recently divorced tech executive and advise him that she understands “the Deal”. She uses code words and alluded to references but the intent is clear. She is willing to be either a trophy wife or a prostitute because the guy has the cash and she wants the rich lifestyle. A trophy wife is aware that she must accept the fact that the man is rich and can get any woman and there is a 3000 to 1 ratio of hot girls to rich men. If she wants the cash she must accept the non-monogamous sex-with-other-women culture of the tech males.

The Trophy Wives get to live in the big houses with the tech guys and the prostitutes live in apartments in Marin County and Palo Alto. Many of the prostitutes are from overseas and are known as “Instagram Girls” because they advertise on Instagram and Match.com as “models”. Hundreds of these internet hookers are flown into San Francisco, Oakland and San Jose international airports, daily, on the credit cards of these tech executives. The pot is well stocked. San Francisco tech executives account for as much bay area sex trafficking, in dollar volume, as the San Francisco Chinatown gangs. A San Francisco tech prostitute goes for \$1000.00 to \$10000.00 per night while a Chinatown sex worker is only \$300.00 per session.

There are over 200 “Personal Services” providers for tech executives who pitch “managing engagement schedules” for CEO’s. They are pimps who have networked lists of hookers. They are usually women in the PR business who once were trophy wives, or prostitutes, themselves when they

were younger. Most tech executives will eventually receive an offer from a “Social Events Manager”, looking to hook them up.

Trophy Wives and prostitute offerings can be found jogging on San Francisco’s Marina Green, sitting overtly in outdoor cafe’s on Chestnut Street and Union Street in the Marina district and at every “tech event”. They dress in fluorescent Spandex with bare midriff tops and painted on Spandex leggings shoved as far up their butt-crack as biology will allow. The regulars, though, are at the elite events and fund-raisers.

The websites BACKPAGE.COM, SEEKING ARRANGEMENTS.COM and MATCH.COM are the source favored by the tech guys for girls-on-demand hookers. Google is in a huge battle against the government because Google does not want BACKPAGE.COM shut down. It is the top source for hookers for the men of Google.

The parties at the French, British and Russian embassies are notoriously full of high-class hookers and wannabe trophy wife candidates. The key to confirming them, in person, or on Match.com, is the use of code words like “generous gentlemen”, “appreciates a special women”, “knows how to take care of..” , “diamonds..” and other subliminal references.

The game can go south, though. Google’s, Tesla Investments and other top executives have been killed by their hookers. Over 100 top tech execs are now in the news for their over-the-top sex scandals. A Trophy Wife or a Hooker can blackmail the tech executives at any moment; non-disclosure agreements be damned. Hundreds of babies are now being paid for by hundreds of tech executives along with hush-money to keep the names of those babies fathers quiet.

Yes, San Francisco truly is the modern version of Sodom and Gomorrah.

San Francisco accepted Hunters Point shipyard land that may still be radioactive

EPA, state health regulators approved transfer in 2015 despite awareness of fraud allegations
By [Chris Roberts@cbloggy](mailto:Chris.Roberts@cbloggy)

SAN FRANCISCO HOUSING MARKET IS GETTING GUTTED AS FACEBOOK CRASHES AND CHINESE RUN AWAY

[San Francisco Housing market showing signs of cracking...](#)

[As Chinese Money-Hiders Get Busted In California Record Drop in Foreigners Buying...](#)

SAN FRANCISCO IS NOW THE MOST EXTREME PUBLIC SURVEILLANCE CITY IN AMERICA

HIPPIE DIPPERS IN SAN FRANCISCO ARE THE MOST SPIED ON IN USA! IRONIC!

Can you imagine a city in the United States secretly creating a Chinese-style public surveillance network that can identify everyone? Can you imagine that same city secretly creating a Chinese-style public watchlisting network?

Well imagine no more because it has already happened.

When I wrote about "[covert facial recognition street lights coming to a city near you](#)" last year, I never would have dreamt that my article would become a reality so quickly.

San Francisco is now POOP CITY as HEROIN Needles, AIDS and corruption define the roots of the City

Tourists and shoppers make their way past a panhandler asleep outside a clothing store in San Francisco on Aug. 25. The streets of San Francisco have long reflected the eccentric city's governing priorities and many civic contradictions arising from the intersection of wealth and poverty. (Mason Trinca/For The Washington Post)

By [Scott Wilson](#)

San Francisco Millennium Tower is leaning, sinking and now cracking thanks to corrupt Ed Lee

San Francisco's leaning, sinking Millennium Tower has a new problem after a window cracked on the 36th floor. The whole building could collapse just like the Florida condo collapse!

"This is a window system that's designed to sustain hurricane force winds so this is obviously of serious concern," said San Francisco Supervisor Aaron Peskin.

[San Francisco in one photograph: Rich liberals sip \\$30 glasses of wine as they watch the city burn](#)

[–] [EcondoesReal](#) 2 points (+2|-0) 11 hours ago

I lived there, in North Beach for more than a decade. I recently left, and feel such a sense of relief. I can't turn this into a joke since I know that place, but this caption isn't far off. These people will

continue to look at all the shit they vote for, and watch how it went wrong, blame someone else, and double down on their bullshit. Fuck that place. They deserve the shit that's coming to them.

- [permalink](#)

[San Francisco's Free Syringes Are Littering Its Streets - Come For The Heroin, Stay For The Corruption](#)

SAN FRANCISCO MAYOR LONDON BREED EXEMPLIFIES THE WARPED SEX-BASED CRONYISM OF SAN FRANCISCO CITY HALL

Mayor London Breed exhibited a serious lapse of judgment — and [possibly violated city ethics laws](#) — in accepting several thousand dollars worth of car-related expenses from former Public Works Director Mohammed Nuru.

San Francisco Downtown Buildings Are Collapsing And Falling Apart As SF Earth Mass Found To Be Unstable Just Like The Florida Building Collpase

- City Officials will lie until the cows come home but the fact is that greedy mayor and supervisors overbuilt City to get bribes and developer fees
- When will the first skyscraper collapse and kill huge numbers of people?

BY JANIE HAR AND PAUL ELIASAssociated Press

Saudi ties entangle San Francisco's Laurene Powell Jobs' Emerson Collective

Jamal Khashoggi's MURDER has become a litmus test for business leaders, but some ties are more nuanced — and perhaps more complicated.

by Dylan Byers

[San Francisco Spends \\$30 Million Cleaning Feces, And Use Drug Needles From It's Hepatitis Infected Streets - Literally A U.S. SHITHOLE !!!](#) (nbcbayarea.com)

[Bay Area reaches highest exodus levels in 10 years. U-Haul says it is running out of 1 way trucks.](#) (archive.is)

[San Francisco residents navigate sidewalks filled with increasing piles of shit, mattresses, shelving, and other furniture](#)

San Francisco Has The Most High-Tech Schools In The World But SF Students Are The Biggest Failures In The World

- San Francisco proves, again, that it is all fluff and no substance
 - Bottom line: "Don't move to San Francisco if you want your kids to get an education!"
-

A survey by Blind found that 59% of employees at Bay Area tech companies said they cannot afford homes.

- San Francisco is too expensive even for tech workers.

San Francisco's housing market is so out of control, most tech workers say they can't afford homes thanks to Google

The San Francisco Bay Area's housing market is so bleak, even tech workers are struggling to buy a home there.

- Cisco, eBay, and Intuit had the highest percentage of employees who said home ownership is elusive.
-

San Francisco Employee privacy is at stake as corporate surveillance technology monitors Bay Area workers' every move

Ellen Sheng@ellensheng

Key Points

- Corporate interest in surveillance seems to be on the rise to boost productivity.
 - A 2018 survey by Gartner found that 22% of organizations worldwide are using employee-movement data, 17% are monitoring work-computer-usage data, and 16% are using Microsoft Outlook- or calendar-usage data.
 - Employees are concerned over this invasion of privacy.
-

[San Francisco Logs 16,000 Feces Complaints in a Week as San Francisco becomes filled with poop and Google assholes...](#)

[WINNING: Arizona Appellate Court decides Nancy Pelosi's Hockey Stick emails must be released](#) (wattsupwiththat.com)

San Francisco Shall Forever Be Known as POOP CITY
because of the corrupt politicians and dirty people that live there

Poop City (San Francisco)-We find you with a straw, you're going to jail...but come here if you want a safe place to shoot up heroin, we'll make sure you don't die!(nbcbayarea.com)

San Francisco's Human Poop Map Shows City Is in Deep Doo-Doo

San Francisco is swiftly moving from one of America's most beautiful cities to one of America's most troubled cities. The city struggles with crime and the consequences of illegal immigration after declaring themselves a "sanctuary city". The transit authorities (BART) recently announced ...

 <https://www.redstate.com/kiradavis/2017/10/17/san-franciscos-human...>

San Francisco Requires Poop Maps To Help Pedestrians Avoid ...

For those who have maintained that San Francisco is full of . . . whatever, there is now living proof. How much poop is there on the streets of the City by the Bay?

<https://www.dailywire.com/news/12001/san-francisco-requires-poop-ma...>

San Francisco poop map: real thing or a rumor?

Feb 28, 2018 · Of all the claims made by San Francisco's detractors, possibly the most puzzling to people who actually live in the Bay Area is the "poop map." "The city now offers people poop maps so they can avoid surprises," contends one online commenter on a recent story at this website about people ...

 <https://www.mercurynews.com/2018/02/28/san-francisco-poop-map-real-th...>

San Francisco Set to Roll Out the 'Poop Patrol' to Clean Up ...

It has a funny name, but it's targeting a serious problem. San Francisco is rolling out the 'PoopPatrol.'

 <https://www.nbcbayarea.com/news/local/San-Francisco-Set-to-Roll-Out-...>

Holy Crap: San Francisco To Deploy Poop Patrol To Clean Up ...

Aug 15, 2018 · Holy Crap: San Francisco To Deploy Poop Patrol To Clean Up Feces That Are Apparently Everywhere - Matt Vespa: Well, we all know San Francisco is having problems.

 <https://townhall.com/tipsheet/mattvespa/2018/08/15/holy-crap-s...>

Why is San Francisco ... covered in human feces? | Nathan ...

Aug 18, 2018 · It's an empirical fact: San Francisco is a crappier place to live these days. Sightings of human feces on the sidewalks are now a regular occurrence; over the past 10 years, complaints about

human waste have increased 400%.

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/aug/18/san-francisco-p...>

[This Map Shows San Francisco Is Covered in Human Poop](#)

Citing human waste reports made in San Francisco, software engineer Jenn Wong mapped the city's most poop-ridden neighborhoods, and it is a feast for watery eyes.

<https://www.thrillist.com/news/nation/human-wasteland-map-plots-all...>

[SF Mayor: 'There's More Feces ... Than I've Ever Seen' - NBC ...](#)

San Francisco Mayor London Breed believes homeless advocacy groups that receive funding from the city need to better educate the homeless to "clean up after themselves."

 <https://www.nbcbayarea.com/news/local/SF-Mayor-Theres-more-feces-on-...>

[SF Mayor Says Her City Is Drowning In Poop: 'There's More ...](#)

San Francisco Mayor London Breed said the streets of her city are flooded with the excrement of the homeless in an interview Friday.

dailycaller.com/2018/07/15/san-francisco-poop-problem/

[\(Human\) Wasteland - MochiMachine](#)

A map of human waste reports made to 311 in San Francisco! (Human) Wasteland; About; Year. 2008. ... (Human) Wasteland is a mochimachine ... San Francisco's Poop ...

mochimachine.org/wasteland/

[It's no laughing matter — SF forming Poop Patrol to keep ...](#)

It sounds like silly elementary school banter, but it's real. San Francisco is about to launch the Poop Patrol. In about a month, ...

 <https://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/heatherknight/article/It-s-no-lau...>

[San Francisco CA plans poop patrol to clean sidewalks | The ...](#)

San Francisco plans a six-person poop patrol to clean up city sidewalks after receiving more than 14,000 complaints of feces since January. But the move sparked mockery and poop emojis aplenty on social media.

<https://www.sacbee.com/news/state/california/article216722405.html>

More results

[San Fran-Poopsco](#)

Typical San Francisco resident man charged with sexually assaulting 99-year-old woman in SF Chinatown as Frisco crime spree worsens

By [Evan Sernoffsky](#)



Photo: SFPD

Security photos show a man suspected of sexually assaulting a woman in San Francisco's Chinatown on New Year's Eve.

A man captured after a New Year's Eve sex assault in San Francisco's Chinatown had attacked a 99-year-old woman in her apartment at the Ping Yuen housing project, officials said Wednesday.

[San Francisco train conductor reportedly warns riders to watch 'for needles' amid 'needle litter' epidemic in drug infested SF \(LINK\)](#) (foxnews.com)

[Now San Francisco Wants To Ban Lunch \(LINK\)](#) (downtrend.com)

[When people refuse to navigate piles of human shit to get food, San Francisco has the answer - BAN company owned cafeterias to "protect" local restaurants. \(LINK\)](#)

(m.washingontimes.com)

San Francisco's Housing and Homeless Crises at "Boiling Point" Because Of Google-Twitter-Facebook Take-over of City Politicians

Written by [James Murphy](#)

San Francisco is a city of contrasts. It boasts glorious views of the Golden Gate Bridge and the Pacific Ocean, and it also offers the [stench and disgusting sight](#) of piles of human feces on its sidewalks. It is a place filled with great wealth and striking poverty, with tech billionaires and Silicon Valley companies existing alongside an aggressive panhandling homeless population. While a city full of investors waits nervously on a new wave of initial public offerings (IPOs), drug users shoot up in the streets for anyone — be it tourists, businessmen, or schoolchildren — to see.

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA'S MEASURE 3 SEEKS TO CREATE "RAPE" BRIDGE TOOLS IN ORDER TO PUT CRONY CASH IN THE POCKETS OF JERRY BROWN'S FRIENDS

- The Entire Measure 3 Plan Is A Scam To Help Google and Crony Insiders!

Posted by: [Zelda Bronstein](#) - On the June 5 ballot, Regional Measure 3 asks voters in nine Bay Area counties to approve a \$3 increase in tolls on all the region's bridges but the Golden Gate "to reduce auto and truck traffic, relieve crowding on BART, unclog freeway bottlenecks and improve bus, ferry, BART and commuter rail service." Its [supporters](#), who include the Bay Area Council, the Silicon Valley Leadership Group, SPUR, Facebook, and YIMBY Action, call it "a bold, coordinated, region-wide traffic relief plan."

RM3 is bold all right: it's an audacious con that dedicates \$4.5 billion to a hodgepodge of disconnected projects that will bring the Bay Area little traffic relief.

The San Francisco Bay Area Tech Mafia

What They Have Been Proven To Be:

Misogynists, Frat Boys, Sexual Abusers, Rapists, Sexual Extortionists, Sexual Harassers, Intellectual Property Thieves, Copy-cats, Monopolists, Valuation Collusion-ists, Hooker Addicts, Trophy Wife Abusers, Tone Deaf Yuppies, Sociopaths, Closet Homosexuals, Elitist Boys Clubbers, Narcissists, Burning Man Orgy Pros, Drug Addicts, Alcoholics, Sex Addicts, Mobsters, Tax Cheats, Abusers, Real Estate Scammers, Liars, Racists, Anti-Trust Violators, Political Bribery experts, Crony Political, Scam Operators, Election Rigging Financiers

NEW SAN FRANCISCO MAYOR SAYS SF IS CONSUMED WITH CRAP

[San Fran Mayor Laments Human Poop On Streets: 'More Than Ever Seen'...](#)

[CA DEMS REBUKE FEINSTEIN...](#)

[Clean up after yourselves: San Francisco mayor plans to ask homeless nicely not to poo in streets](#) (rt.com)

The San Francisco Archi-Tastrophe Coming Building Collapses

- Greed and corruption put San Francisco in the current disaster movie scenario
 - Over-building on un-stable ground in a small area subject to earthquakes should have been an obvious bad idea to anybody
 - San Francisco City Hall chose to cram developer payola in their pockets and lies into the planning process
 - Imagine a million tons of steel and concrete collapsing onto hundreds of thousands of commuters!
 - One skyscraper will take down the next one in a 'domino effect'
 - 18 buildings have started to fail but you have only heard about a handful of them...
-

The 39 San Francisco high-rises at risk of collapse during ...

The analysis and testing of the structure were reviewed by the City and County of San Francisco Department of Building Inspection and Professor Ted Zsutty PhD, an internationally recognized ...

<https://sf.curbed.com/2018/6/18/17465696/highrises-san-francisc...>

UPDATE: Buildings evacuated near construction site emergency ...

Notice of a possible collapse of a 2,000 pound slab of concrete from the 30th floor of 33 Tehama Street prompted immediate evacuations of ten buildings in San Francisco's South of Market ...

sfexaminer.com/evacuations-underway-near-construction-si...

At Risk in a Big Quake: 39 of San Francisco's Top High Rises

experts consider these buildings vulnerable to collapse only in extreme shaking caused by rare and powerful earthquakes, similar to the one that struck San Francisco in 1906.

🔗 <https://nytimes.com/2018/06/14/us/california-earthquakes-high...>

Buildings safe to reenter surrounding San Francisco high-rise ...

The building under construction initially prompted concerns that a 2,000 pound concrete wall could have collapsed. According to San Francisco Fire Department, the construction company made the ...

foxnews.com/us/2017/02/15/evacuations-ordered-as-conc...

Booming San Francisco takes unprecedented step to target ...

San Francisco's effort at publicizing a list of its buildings marks a new front in seismic safety — making it easier for residents and workers to easily understand the age of the city's ...

[latimes.com/local/lanow/la-me-ln-san-francisco-tall-b...](https://www.nytimes.com/local/lanow/la-me-ln-san-francisco-tall-b...)

Which SF High-Rises Could Collapse in an Earthquake

The Transamerica Pyramid is among 39 San Francisco high-rise buildings that could collapse in an earthquake the magnitude of the 1906 quake, according to a new report the New York Times.

[sfweekly.com/news/which-sf-high-rises-could-collapse-i...](https://www.sfgate.com/news/which-sf-high-rises-could-collapse-i...)

Thirty nine San Francisco high rises at risk of collapse in ...

Thirty-nine high rises in San Francisco are at risk of collapse in a major earthquake, according to a new study by the U.S. Geological Service (USGS).. The vulnerable buildings (known as welded steel moment-frame buildings) were constructed by using a flawed technique to weld columns and beams together.

<https://bdcnetwork.com/thirty-nine-san-francisco-high-rises-risk...>

Who Will Pay for San Francisco's Tilting, Sinking Millennium ...

The building, which opened in 2008 and was touted as the most luxurious tower in San Francisco, became a beacon of the city's burgeoning wealth, attracting tech millionaires, venture capitalists ...

[Bhttps://bloomberg.com/news/articles/2017-02-01/who](https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2017-02-01/who)

The San Francisco ghosts in Kamala Harris past show that she knows how to F*ck

by [Tiana Lowe](#)

Few outside of California know or care who Willie Brown is. But that may be about to change. Brown spent around a quarter of a century as de facto royalty in California, first serving more than three decades as a member of the California Assembly (15 years as its speaker), and then eight years as mayor of San Francisco. He also once carried out an open extramarital affair with a young prosecutor named Kamala Harris, currently a U.S. senator and leading contender for the Democratic presidential nomination. Now, we're far beyond the point that a personal past like that disqualifies a candidate. Harris, after all, is vying to challenge a thrice-married man who once graced the cover of Playboy and publicized his infidelity on the cover of the New York Post. Candidates' personal lives no longer warrant deep investigation, unless they tell us something about their professional and political lives.

Unfortunately for Harris, the Willie Brown story is not strictly personal. It's also professional.

SHOW ME THE MONEY



SF District Attorney Kamala Harris says she cannot “find” \$3.7M in Federal cash that she received.

When Harris was barely 30, she began dating Brown, then in his 60s and speaker of the California Assembly. To be clear, an ambitious woman dating a powerful man is not alone any cause for concern. Strong women are attracted to success, and a 30-year-old assistant district attorney is hardly, say, a 21-year-old intern. But the story doesn't end there.

For one thing, Brown was [married](#) at the time. He wound up dumping Harris and returning to his wife as he became mayor. (Again, in the era of President Trump, few will clutch their pearls over this.) More questionable than the romance is the relationship's apparent effect on her finances and her career. Brown, according to contemporary news accounts, gifted his then-girlfriend two government jobs with ample salaries — while she was just getting her start as an assistant DA.




As the San Francisco Weekly [reported](#) in 2003:

Aside from handing her an expensive BMW, Brown appointed her to two patronage positions in state government that paid handsomely — more than \$400,000 over five years. In 1994, she took a six-month leave of absence from her Alameda County job to join the Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board. Brown then appointed her to the California Medical Assistance Commission, where she served until 1998, attending two meetings a month for a \$99,000 annual salary.

(That's more than \$150,000 in current dollars.)

Even after they broke up, Brown, a San Francisco kingmaker, continued to support Harris' career, boosting her district attorney run and years later [calling](#) on Antonio Villaraigosa to stay out of the Senate race, thus clearing the field for Harris.

Just two years into her tenure as senator, Harris now wants to reach the White House.



The facts are messy, but most in California politics are. If she earned few hundred thousand dollars in taxpayer-funded patronage thanks to a personal relationship, that undermines some of her good-government cred. Or at least it requires her to explain how she's changed.



Barack Obama wisely fessed up about his drug usage long before he was a presidential candidate, and he alluded to his personal growth and past mistakes enough that it created a satisfying narrative, one that couldn't be used against him. Donald Trump was a womanizer and a brash braggart, but that was his entire brand for more than two decades. The first real instance where his past came to haunt him was when he seemed to confess to sexual battery on the now-infamous Access Hollywood tape. The allegations of consensual affairs never really mattered.



Harris doesn't talk about Brown. She says that it's not relevant. But part of her prosecutorial persona is a posture of toughness on corruption. But the Willie Brown story, with those big paychecks, gives off at least a whiff of cronyism. She's got to address the charge she was profiting personally off connections to power — at least if she wants us to believe she actually stands [for the people](#).

Was San Francisco Mayor Ed Lee Poisoned, Using Untraceable Spy Technology, Because of His Immigration Scheme?

- [Robert Mendick](#), chief reporter
- [Hayley Dixon](#)
- [Victoria Ward](#)
- [Roland Oliphant](#)

Shell fish toxins, nut tree derivatives, umbrella launched cardiac gases and pocket-based electric pulse weapons can give any politician a "natural-looking heart-attack" that is man made. Many believe Andrew Brietbart and Google's Rajeev Motwani were killed this way for political retribution

A Russian agent convicted of spying for Britain was fighting for his life last night amid suspicions he was poisoned in a shopping centre in Wiltshire.

Sergei Skripal, 66, was in intensive care after being exposed to a mysterious substance as he sat on a bench in the centre of Salisbury. A 33-year-old woman who was with him, is also in critical condition. Both had collapsed and were unconscious when they were discovered.

By [Jenna Lyons](#)

San Franciscan's Hate Google: Protesters toss scooters into street to block tech buses in SF

By **Sarah Ravani**

THE DNC'S SAN FRANCISCO SEX CULT OFFERS CLITORAL TOUCHING FOR \$150.00

We Went UnderCover With An iPhone In San Francisco And Marin County - The Dark Side of the Orgasmic Meditation Company

Almost every member is a registered Democrat and some are highly placed in the DNC. OneTaste is pushing its sexuality wellness education toward the mainstream. Some former members say it pushed them into sexual servitude and five-figure debts.

By Ellen Huet

When Michal got married in August 2015, her family and longtime friends didn't attend. The woman who walked her down the aisle, the dozens of beaming onlookers, her soon-to-be husband—all were people she'd met in the preceding 10 months. Wearing a loose, casual dress borrowed from one of her new friends, Michal spent the ceremony in a daze.

She knew she didn't want to get married like this, in the living room of a rented San Francisco house without her family's support, yet she felt compelled to do it. That uneasy feeling could apply to most of her experiences in OneTaste.

OneTaste is a sexuality-focused wellness education company based in the Bay Area. It's best known for classes on "orgasmic meditation," a trademarked procedure that typically involves a man using a gloved, lubricated fingertip to stroke a woman's clitoris for 15 minutes. For Michal, like those at her wedding, OneTaste was much more than a series of workshops. It was a company that had, in less than a year, gained sway over every aspect of her life.

Warren Hinckle describes the corruption he witnessed inside San Francisco City Hall!

The following is an excerpt from the new book: [Ransoming Pagan Babies: The Selected Writings of Warren Hinckle](#) by Heyday Books. We are proud to present the work of Warren Hinckle, one of San Francisco's most legendary and larger-than-life personalities. For decades, Warren Hinckle provided unique insight into San Francisco's turbulent political scene and changed the face of American journalism.

On the afternoon of November 6, 1987, the Friday after the election, a crisp and cool San Francisco day without a sunbeam of hope piercing the dark electoral cloud gathered over his head, Supervisor John

Molinari was secluded in his second floor City Hall office, receiving no visitors but the intimate and the anointed. Molinari's new campaign manager, Jack Davis, knocked on the door and went in without waiting, as was his custom. He stopped short at the scene inside. John Molinari was seated behind his desk in what seemed to be a state of shock. In a supplicant pose before the supervisor was the Reverend Cecil Williams with his arms outstretched in prayerful entreaty. The black pastor gave Davis the annoyed glance of one who is interrupted during a sacramental process. Molinari waved the campaign manager off. Davis closed the door softly. The moment had the sanctity of the confessional. Indeed, it was a bizarre religious tableau of the type not seen in American politics since the conniving Kissinger knelt with the besotted Nixon in the bunker hours of Watergate.

The Reverend Williams, playing the rat to Molinari's mole—even his friends call Molinari the "Mole"—told him that he was jumping ship to join Art Agnos, who had just come within a few thousand votes of burying the Mole, the former front-runner, in his own campaign mistakes. And Williams had the chutzpah to urge the Mole to quit the race while Agnos was soaring ahead. Molinari, who had just slam-dunked \$1.4 million of his friends' money down the political sewer, was stupefied; the smiling clergyman wanted to turn the election into a coronation. Williams is the boss of Glide Memorial United Methodist Church, the Notre Dame of the Tenderloin. He is also one of the face cards of San Francisco politics.

A savvy ecclesiastic who receives \$800,000 a year from the city to feed the hungry in his Tenderloin tabernacle, Williams was a premature supporter of Molinari. That was back in the good old days when Jack took an early lead in the polls after raising over a million dollars from garbagemen (the supervisor's grandfather was a pioneer San Francisco scavenger), real estate developers and others doing business with the city and county of San Francisco. When Molinari came in a distant second in the November 3 primary, Williams was quick to apprehend an apparent change in divine will; God, after all, is on the side of the winners. The mercurial minister was the first of many longtime Molinari supporters to jump ship, citing what he saw as the candidate's move to the right; many of the others deserting were men of capital who simply could not afford to back a loser.

The shock effect of Agnos's dramatic showing against the previously heavily favored Molinari affected many in the city, not the least Agnos himself. "Feinstein is going to go to pieces," he said. "She won't be able to handle re-entering ordinary life without the policemen and the limos on hand. You can't imagine the incredible power it gives you being mayor but you've got to be able to handle the power. I'm tough. I'll be able to handle it. I'll stand on the steps of City Hall like Feinstein never did and let the ordinary people come up to me and ask me questions, call me an ass----. The power won't get to me." Agnos told me that the night Williams did his routine in Molinari's office. Agnos seemed adrenalated and his eyes were glistening with the otherworldly look of a messiah. He was in the state of political rapture that once moved his fellow Democrat Lyndon Johnson, high from peacemaking, to boast, "I've got doves coming out my armpits."

I know these things because I was a candidate for mayor; not a journalistic observer, but a participant. I crossed the line dividing citizen and politician and entered the twisted world of San Francisco electoral politics, analogous in every way to the Twilight Zone. It is a world closer to the double dealing of the

Middle East than to the values of middle America—a world where money is regent and the commitments that count are the ones that ring the cash register. And yet it's an amazingly personal world where the loyalties and hatreds that shape the campaign come from real, or imagined, hurts of years past. Just say no, I reply, when people ask me why anyone would run for mayor.

My decision to run had a lot to do with being born and raised in this town and thinking that the vision of the old city was being dirtied and chiseled by the politicians. There was a pervasive feeling, shared by many, that San Francisco deserved better than it was being offered in this election, or, perversely, that it was getting just what it deserved. I thought the city was getting short-sheeted, and that in the last free-for-all election we will see in many a year, some idiot had better jump in there and give the politicians what for. As it turned out, I was that idiot.

Yet this mayoral race, for all of its nightmarish big-bucks elements, has brought a few real changes. Who would have imagined a year ago that the election of 1987 would see:

- The nationally-hyped Feinstein regnant go out with a whimper, the city busted financially and Madame Mayor unable to deliver for either Molinari or the Giants stadium, her two final lost causes.
- Herb Caen losing it by putting his healthy cynicism aside and his 50 years of power on the line for a dice-playing buddy named Jack “Millionari” Molinari. Meantime, the young columnist for the afternoon paper went for Agnos, making Mr. San Francisco look like Mr. Milpitas.
- Political control of San Francisco shifting from the Feinstein/Molinari lazy bucks, let-'em-build-skyrises clique to the Sacramento moneybags machine headed by Assembly Speaker Willie Brown, the new power behind the Agnos throne.
- The entrance fee for any serious run for mayor of San Francisco becoming a cool million bucks.

Running for mayor of San Francisco was an exhilarating, exhausting, dreadful, extremely informative and sometimes hilarious experience. At a party thrown by a left-wing Valencia Street bookstore, a worker at McAuley Neuropsychiatric Institute came up to me and said he had great news. He had polled six people at the hospital and all six had said they were going to vote for me as the candidate who cared most for the poor and the homeless. That was encouraging, I said. Were these six of his co-workers? No, he said, crestfallen, they were inmates.

When you don't have a million bucks like your rivals, you have to think up gimmicks to draw attention to your candidacy. That's why we developed the official “Hinckle for Mayor” condoms. They were produced in faraway Thailand and packaged in Tempe, Arizona (sorry, no union bug), in covers cleverly resembling traditional campaign matchbooks. We gave away thousands for educational purposes here in the AIDS capital of the country.

Good news travels. During a stuffy black-tie fundraiser at the Players Club in New York, I gave one of the campaign matchbook/condoms to Tom Wolfe, the writer. Tom used to live in San Francisco and thought this great sport. He bounded across the room to former New York Mayor John Lindsay and handed him the little package, saying this was from his candidate for mayor. Lindsay began to slip it

politely into his pocket, as one would with matches. Wolfe said, "Wait a minute, Your Honor, these aren't regular matches, these are from San Francisco." Lindsay looked down and opened the cover. His tanned chin dropped in horror.

If You're Going To San Francisco...Think Again

By **Brian C. Joondeph**

A few decades ago, Scott McKenzie sang one of the classic hippie [anthems](#), "San Francisco," back in 1967. It was sung during the heyday of sex, drugs, and rock and roll. Flower power was the rage. Peace, love, and understanding were the mantras of the day, in response to the Viet Nam War and the oppression of The Man.

McKenzie sang, "If you're going to San Francisco, be sure to wear some flowers in your hair." Back then, the flowers were for decoration, to match the tie-dyed clothing and sandals.

Flash forward fifty years, and residents of San Francisco may need to wear flowers, not only in their hair, but also covering their entire bodies. Not for decoration, however, but to mask the odor of a new feature of the streets of San Francisco. Excrement.

And it's been making the national news, a veritable emblem of what the city has become. The newly inaugurated San Francisco mayor is London Breed, who, as an aside, has a perfect 1960s name. Interviewed after her inauguration, the Daily Caller noted that she [observed](#) that the streets of her city "are flooded with the excrement of the homeless."

In other words, San Francisco has become Poop City.

Apparently this is not a new problem. The famous chronicler of the city, San Francisco Chronicle columnist Herb Caen, occasionally would use the phrase in his daily screeds during the 1980s and 1990s, but it's far worse now. As the Daily Caller had the new mayor [noting](#): "There is more feces on the sidewalks than I've ever seen growing up here." And not just poop. Added to the mix is a "dangerous mix of drug needles, garbage, and feces."

Lovely. In 1967, "If you're going to San Francisco, you're gonna meet some gentle people there." Now you will meet a garbage dump mixed with a cesspool.

San Francisco has about 7,500 homeless individuals and is [spending](#) \$280 million on homeless services for them. Some simple math reveals that the city could give each homeless resident just over \$37,000 per year, a figure well above the minimum wage in most other places, and call it a day.

If you take out the salaries of all the bureaucrats administering these "homeless services," there would likely be more than \$100,000 available for each homeless person. But you know that won't happen in a Democrat-run city, an administrative state, like San Francisco.

How can the city discourage the homeless from using the sidewalks as their toilets? In their minds, spend more money. The mayor [assured](#) her fellow progressives: "Harsher penalties for offenders are

not on the table.” Instead the typical liberal solution, as the mayor promised, came to: “I work hard to make sure your programs are funded.” I wonder if we’ll see toilet paper dispensers popping up on the sidewalks?

This situation might seem to be a cross between funny and absurd, but it has economic consequences far beyond the necessary clean-up. Who might not be going to San Francisco because of the city’s pungent new attractions?

Start with conventions? San Francisco has always been a popular convention destination due to its fairly pleasant weather, its tourist attractions, its world-class restaurants, and of course, the cable cars. But in its current state, the bloom is off the San Francisco rose.

A major medical association recently cancelled its annual meeting which would have brought 15,000 attendees and \$40 million to the San Francisco economy, [according](#) to the SF Chronicle. This, after many years of coming to the city. Think of that. A group of doctors, quite familiar with feces, needles, and the downtrodden, have said "enough."

These are things doctors can see in the medical ward and prefer to avoid when away from work, often with family, at a medical conference. It’s likely that other trade groups and industries will stay away from San Francisco as well.

Can you blame them? How many U.S. cities provide visitors with a [public defecation map](#) to help tourists steer clear of piles of poop littering the city’s sidewalks? Or which other city can boast of the 20-pound [bag of poop](#) found a few weeks ago on a city sidewalk?

How did things get this bad for the city that Tony Bennett left his heart in? When Tony recorded that song in the 1950s, San Francisco had a Republican mayor. Their last Republican mayor, George Christopher, [left office in 1964](#), when the Beatles arrived in America. Since then, it’s been a hard day’s night for San Francisco, with nearly sixty years' worth of Democrats running the show.

At a national level, San Francisco is represented by House minority leader Nancy Pelosi, Senator Dianne Feinstein, and Senator Kamala Harris, all of whom are hard-core liberals. I am quite confident that there are no piles of poop on the sidewalks in front of their homes.

San Francisco is just another of [many U.S. cities](#) run by Democrats, and into the ground. Even liberal film maker Michael Moore [observed](#) about his home city in Michigan: “Flint has voted for Dems for 84 straight yrs. What did it get us?”

San Francisco is left with virtue-signaling in the name of compassion, tolerance and all the other liberal claptrap in a bid to try to hide third world conditions on city streets. Aside from visitors choosing to go anywhere but San Francisco, what about the residents living in such conditions?

Warm summer temperatures and open sewers become a microbiology laboratory. Toss in a bunch of undernourished and unhealthy homeless persons, sharing hypodermic needles, and pestilence follows. Such a shame for a once-magnificent city.

Rather than cleaning up its mess, San Francisco has just this week [banned plastic straws](#). Poop and hypodermic syringes littering the sidewalks is just fine, but watch out for those nasty little plastic straws.

Once upon a time Scott McKenzie's words rang true, "For those who come to San Francisco, summertime will be a love-in there." Now it's simply America's version of a s***hole.

Brian C. Joondeph, MD, MPS, a Denver based physician and writer.

[San Francisco Voters Choose Between a 1000 Percent Tax Increase and a 500 Percent Tax Increase- Nobody Wants To Be In San Francisco Any More](#) (reason.com)

by [daskapitalist](#) to [politics](#) (+90|-2)

- [comments](#)

The Bad Decision-Making Of The Drug Fried Minds Of The People That Live In San Francisco

By Karma Harris

The social echo-chamber is a terrible thing.

Like drones, or robots or sheep, the mindless clones in San Francisco seem to be unable to see that they, in their cult-like quest for "individuality" are doing the exact same idiotic thing that every other San Franciscan is doing:

- **Getting a giant eagle, crown or flower tattoo on their chest above their boobs**
- **Wearing a Fedora hat**
- **Growing a handle-bar mustache or stupid-looking Amish beard**
- **Making their clothes look like they accidentally exposed their look-at-me desperation tattoo**
- **Taking pictures of themselves making idiotic duck lips**
- **Wearing a plaid shirt**
- **Being obese and wearing a belly shirt**
- **Being obese and wearing a tube top**
- **Being obese and wearing Betty Boop make-up**
- **Thinking that heroin makes you look cool**
- **Study an "Art Career" at a commercial "Institute" your rich sucker parents pay you to smoke pot at**
- **Being a grown-up who uses a skate-board or push scooter**
- **Wearing a spandex T-Shirt and pursing your lips because you want to be gay and "with it"**
- **Using the phrase "sociability nexus" or any other Tech BS-speak**
- **Worshipping Google**
- **Wearing all black at night because nobody else thought of that**

- Thinking that your "pot cafe" idea is original, unique and will last more than 3 months
- Paying tens times more for food because it has tofu hidden in it
- Blindly mouthing any party line pretext that Nancy Pelosi tells them to
- Getting scabies every weekend at the 1050 Folsom night-club
- Tweeting as if anything you do matters to anybody
- Hiding your mental illness by saying and dressing "gender fluid"-like
- Getting a pack of tattoos that proudly announce your bad decision making
- Getting a pack of tattoos that proudly announce you are a slut
- Owning a fluorescent colored bicycle
- Creating a start-up that sells nothing that anybody actually needs or wants but has an ironic name
- Talking about "Burning Man" with any other sense than shame
- Going to a "TED Conference" without realizing you are a douche bag
- Using Match.com to get free dinners
- Having no ability whatsoever to consider the consequences of your actions
- Not seeing that the SF Board of Supes are all elitist stock market inside traders abusing the City
- Having six room-mates
- Pooping in the street because you embrace the "multi-cultural experience"
- Having no clue how the real world works
- Being a woman at Stanford University without knowing the Frats just see you as a baby oven
- Being a white yuppie guy with a Chinese girlfriend who doesn't not realize what the real deal is
- Not knowing why you feel you NEED to go to Starbucks

HOT LINKS FOR INFORMATION UPDATES:

<http://evidence111.com>

<https://www.thecreepylines.com>

<https://www.icij.org>

<https://stopelonfromfailingagain.com>

<http://vcracket.weebly.com>

<https://www.transparency.org>

<https://www.judicialwatch.org>

<https://wikileaks.org>

<https://causeofaction.org>

<https://fusion4freedom.com/about-gcf/>

<http://peterschweizer.com/>

<http://globalinitiative.net>

<https://fusion4freedom.com/the-green-corruption-files-archive/>

<https://propublica.org>

<https://www.allsides.com/unbiased-balanced-news>

<http://wearethenewmedia.com>

http://ec.europa.eu/anti_fraud/index_en.html

<http://gopacnetwork.org/>
<http://www.iaaca.org/News/>
<http://www.interpol.int/Crime-areas/Corruption/Corruption>
<http://www.icac.nsw.gov.au/>
<http://www.traceinternational.org/>
<http://www.oge.gov/>
<https://ogc.commerce.gov/>
<https://anticorruptionact.org/>
<http://www.anticorruptionintl.org/>
<https://represent.us/>
http://www.giacentre.org/dealing_with_corruption.php
<http://www.acfe.com/>
<https://www.oas.org/juridico/english/FightCur.html>
<https://www.opus.com/international-anti-corruption-day-businesses/>
<https://www.opengovpartnership.org/theme/anti-corruption>
<https://www.ethicalsystems.org/content/corruption>
<https://sunlightfoundation.com/>
<http://www.googletransparencyproject.org/>
<http://xyzcase.weebly.com>
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Angelgate>
<https://www.opensecrets.org/>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-Tech_Employee_Antitrust_Litigation
<http://www.projectveritasaction.com>

[Catch and Kill](#) By Ronan Farrow,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catch_and_Kill:_Lies,_Spies,_and_a_Conspiracy_to_Protect_Predators

[Permanent Record](#) By Edward Snowden, <https://www.amazon.com/Permanent-Record-Edward-Snowden/dp/1250237238>

[Brotopia](#) By Emily Chang, <http://brotopiabook.com/>

[Throw Them All Out](#) By Peter Schweizer, <http://peterschweizer.com/books/throw-them-all-out/>

[The Circle](#) By David Eggers, <https://archive.org/details/circle00dave>

[World Without Mind](#) By Franklin Foer, <https://www.amazon.com/World-Without-Mind-Existential-Threat/dp/1101981113>

[A Journey into the Savage Heart of Silicon Valley](#) By Corey Pein, <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/35684687-live-work-work-work-die>

[Disrupted](#) By Dan Lyons, <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/26030703-disrupted>

[Chaos Monkeys](#) By Antonio García Martínez, <https://www.antonio-garciamartinez.com/chaos-monkeys/>

[The Creepy Line](#) By Matthew Taylor, <https://www.thecreepyline.com/>

[The Cleantech Crash](#) By Leslie Stahl, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/cleantech-crash-60-minutes/>

[Congress: Trading stock](#) By Steve Kroft, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/congress-trading-stock-on-inside-information/>

San Francisco Oligarchs, Elitists And Gatekeepers:

If you don't pay bribes and an homage to these people, you get black-listed! If you don't take the knee to them and put them on your Board of Directors (Like Hunter Biden) they will have you black-listed, cut-off, de-funded and ostracized in City Hall sponsored reprisal and vendettas:

Janet Reilly, Clint Reilly, Ed Lee, John Molinari, Any Member Of Gavin Newsom's Family, Roger Boas, Dick Blum, Vinod Khosla, Anybody named Haas, Charlotte Maillard, Ed Shultz, Henry Kissinger, Will Hearst, Nancy Pelosi, Dianne Feinstein, Kathryn Feinstein, Scott Weiner, Darcy Brown, Stewart Brand, Joseph Costello, Carl Livingston, Henry Adams, Wally McCormick, Bob McKeen, Jean Bricker, Richard Thieriot, Wilkes Bashford, James Bronkema, Roger Boas, William Ball, Gordon Bellis, Frances Bowers, Donna Casey, Gretchen Cebrian, Tina Cella, Lawrence Chickering, Sheldon Cooper, Charles Crocker, Edwin Cutler, Myron Du Bain, Coburn Everdell, Donna Ewald, John Gamble, William Gaylord, Richard Graff, Reid Hoffman, Ann Getty, Anthony Hale, Matilda Kunin, Wendy Linka, Mary Moulton, William Newsom, John Owsley, Bokkara Patterson, Juan Reynal, Steven Swig, Wilfred Von Bulow, Michael Whitman, Brayton Wilbur, Rita Barela, John Brunelle, John Calori, William Coblentz, Joseph V. Costello, John Diefenbach, Myron Dubain, Frank Woods, Mort Feld, T. Jack Foster Jr, Gordon P Getty, James Gilleran, John Goy, Evie Haas, Bryan Hemming, Bruce Demming, Brian Goncher, Steve Spinner, John Jacobs, Fritz Jewett, Ray Jones, George Keller, Art Kern, Bob Lansdon, Arthur Latno, Robert Leefeldt, Bob Lurie, Malcom MacDonald, Dan McCall, Wallace McCormack, Rich Miller, Elizabeth Pfau, Florette Pomeroy, David Ringler, Mark Zuckerbeg, Hadley Roff, Norman Rosenblatt, Albert Schlesinger, Steven L. Swig, et al

These are the people that decide who gets elected in San Francisco.

They trade wives, boyfriends, rent boys and prostitutes.

They operate a vicious black-list.

Palo Alto, California – Home Of The Tech Mobsters

Stanford University graduates are inspired by the likes of corrupt Elon Musk and corrupt Italian Prime Minister Silvio Berlusconi—the forerunner of the media-manipulating populist-criminal-strongman trend that's recently swept through Western nations—or passages discussing Facebook, Twitter and Google's prioritization of profit over their responsibility to safeguard democracy from hate speech and disinformation. Eric Schmidt, Larry Page, Elon Musk, Jack Dorsey, Reid Hoffman, John Doerr, Vinod Khosla and Mark Zuckerberg are the embodiment of this problem, given that their flouting of rules and standards of common decency—has made it appear acceptable, and in fact rewarding, to act in the worst possible manner as a means of achieving one's selfish ends. Their Silicon Valley is the pit of American assholery.

To grasp that argument, one need only listen to Silicon Valley Assholes: A Theory's basic description of an asshole. As many state, "The asshole is the guy who allows himself special advantages in Bay Area

cooperative life out of an entrenched sense of entitlement that immunizes him against the complaints of other people.” In other words, he’s the individual—generally male, although as John Cleese candidly admits, his mother probably was one too—who thinks the general rules don’t apply to them because they’re somehow smarter, better, or more special than their fellow citizens. James’ example is a surfer who violates the right-of-way customs in the ocean. Yet the type is universal, whether in line at the grocery store, on the road in traffic, or at work. They’re the arrogant creeps convinced they’re fundamentally superior, and thus free to conduct themselves in whatever way they see fit. That IS the essence of such attitudes, according to the Silicon Valley Assholes Process aren’t confined to law enforcement. Stanford University Fraternities are breeding grounds for horrid group-think mindsets about women and sex. The tech financial sector encourages greed, ruthlessness, and vulgarity as the best way to get ahead. Google executives champion initiation-ritual abuse in service of its own greater good. You can see the movies: Animal House, The Wolf of Wall Street and Full Metal Jacket to see the underscore of the notion that these milieus are all rife with a similar brand of assholery in which arrogance and entitlement justify all manner of despicable ideas and deeds. Every major rape fraternity in the USA eventually sent those abusive boys to run Sandhill Road venture capital companies and Google or Facebook departments.

The most compelling—and enraging—realities concerns Silicon Valley, where Mark Zuckerberg and like-minded CEOs have bred a culture of trampling on competitors, laws, historical norms, and the bedrock tenets of democracy in pursuit of additional eyeballs, clicks, and revenue streams. In a place where young men team up in a desperate quest to develop the innovative next big thing that’ll turn them into tech moguls (“bro-gramming”), nothing matters but the self-centered end goal. And though Facebook, Twitter, Google, Kleiner Perkins, Greylock and their ilk could alter this landscape by simply cracking down on the horridness that permeates their platforms, they choose not to because of the negative financial consequences and their lust for hookers, private jets and cocaine.

Running The Scams

The public must continue to terminate these felony organized crimes by major public officials and Silicon Valley oligarch tech mobsters! (<https://www.breitbart.com/tech/2020/08/31/deleted-big-techs-battle-erase-trump-movement-steal-election/>)

taxpayers must use the internet to publicly shame them! The public must boycott their companies and crash their stock! Voters must demand their arrests and indictments. Citizens must join together in one voice to make it illegal for politicians to own stock because that is how bribes are now paid! (<https://www.yahoo.com/lifestyle/insider-trading-rife-no-regulators-090007170.html>)

State and federal officials defrauded citizens out of millions of dollars of their life-savings and then attacked those taxpayers for reporting the crimes! those officials then put the profits and stock market funds from those crimes in their own, and their families, corrupt monopoly pockets! (<https://www.msn.com/en-us/money/markets/monopoly-power-lies-behind-worst-trends-in-us-fed-study-says/ar-BB186Jq0?ocid=uxbndlbing>)

Their attacks against individuals were their downfall. FBI-class forensic evidence has shown that there were only a few people who could have operated, financed and run command-and-control of the attacks. The money, the bribes, the beneficiary profit tracings, the instructions, their covert communications, the stock market owner-ships, etc.; all trace back to the same handful of people who are all business and political manipulation partners. Their attacks boomeranged back to point straight at the attackers! The evidence is clear!

It seems like the stonewalling never ends. Famous California and Washington DC politicians ran these crimes along with big tech oligarchs!

Huge cover-ups (<https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/6827837-States-Newsroom-1023-Application-Materials.html>) are underway but they will never be allowed to get away with it! they are being exposed by these crowd-sourced investigations that use 100% legal law enforcement and intelligence technologies !

U.S. Government Proves That Rogue CIA And FBI Agents Exist And Use Government Agencies For Revenge Hit Jobs; Just As Plaintiffs Asserted When Plaintiffs Proved SSA, DOJ, GOVT Agencies Found To Run Vendettas On Citizens Who Spoke Up About Corruption - Durham Case! (<https://townhall.com/tipsheet/katiepavlich/2020/08/14/breaking-the-first-durham-indictment-is-here-n2574370>)

Jeffrey Epstein is dead, *Raj gupta* has been jailed, *Steve Rattner* was indicted, *James Bronkema* is dead, *Roger Boas* is dead....but those were just the *tip of the ice-berg*. *Eric Schmidt*, *Larry Page*, *Elon Musk* and their crooked senators are still running around doing the same old crimes and corruption via their network of dirty tricks operatives.

In one of the whistle-blower complaints about State and Federal officials conduct in stimulus programs, Plaintiffs made repeated attempts to inform executive leadership and legal advisers about questionable activities of those officials. Plaintiffs have all of the needed additional evidence to back up their allegations against these officials.

Concerned parties have been blocked from reporting the activity to Offices of Legal Affairs, in violation of the law. In retribution, A number of parties had tax-payer financed revenge programs launched against them using State and Federal resources. Plaintiffs directly witnessed much of the behavior and reported it, personally, to the FBI at their offices. Plaintiffs have made top law enforcement and intelligence officials aware of these concerns on repeated occasions. To Plaintiffs knowledge, none of the agencies ever took action to resolve the issues, and several of them specifically directed subordinate staff to continue facilitating questionable activities after the concerns were raised. Plaintiffs witnessed the concerning activities in Washington, D.C., New York, California and in activities with overseas parties.

White House Staff including Rahm Emanuel, Bill Daley, Jay Carney, Robert Gibbs, Steve Rattner, David Axelrod, John Podesta, et al; and The Secretary of Energy Steven Chu and the Chief Counsel for the United States Department of Energy Daniel Cohen and Bill Cooper were, (from 2007 forward), either financed by, friends, with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; Plaintiffs business adversaries, or the Senators and Department of Energy politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political digital search manipulation services to. Criminal U.S. Senators coordinated and profited in these schemes. Their own family members have now supplied evidence against them. Nobody is allowed to "win" government funds ***unless*** they are friends and stock market partners with certain U.S. Senators. Even if your application metrics beat every single other competing Applicant, you will get lied to and defrauded by Department of Energy and White House officials. You will be told that you have a "*fair chance*", **but** all of the money is secretly hard-wired to a business partner of a Pelosi or Feinstein and you will just waste your time, staff resources and payroll waiting for years on promised funds that will never come.

From 2007 forward, The White House and The U.S. Government were controlled by the Silicon Valley tech oligarchs for monopolistic profiteering! (ie: You could not swing a cat in the Obama White House without hitting a Google executive or an ex-lover of Eric Schmidt) That is a violation of the law, the Constitution and the American Way.

SEE THIS REPORT TO CONGRESS:

[How Google Controls Government Policy And Process In America FREE.pdf](http://american-corruption.com/How%20Google%20Controls%20Government%20Policy%20And%20Process%20In%20America%20FREE.pdf)

(<http://american-corruption.com/How%20Google%20Controls%20Government%20Policy%20And%20Process%20In%20America%20FREE.pdf>)

GOGGLE HAS BRIBED EVERY POLITICIAN; HOW DO THEY GET AWAY WITH SUCH CORRUPTION?..." (<https://www.theamericanconservative.com/articles/the-conservative-inc-to-big-tech-pipeline/>)

The Silicon Valley High-Tech Black-Listing Antitrust Litigation And Big Tech's Attacks On Democracy

*"...Who are the criminal mobsters of Silicon Valley's democracy manipulation millionaires and billionaires known as "The Commission" (Also known as "The Paypal Mafia", The "Deep State", "The Silicon Valley Cartel")? Who are the elitist tax evader, sex freak, money-laundering, **black-list** (<https://www.mediaite.com/news/ex-google-engineer-says-glitch-blocking-websites-including-drudge-breitbart-could-have-revealed-a-mysterious-list/>) operating, Senator bribing, off-shore cash hiding, election rigging insiders who manipulate the system for their own insider trading schemes: Reid Hoffman, Larry Page, Sergey Brin, Elon Musk, Dustin Moskovitz, Mark Zuckerberg, Eric Schmidt, Laurene Powell Jobs, Steve Spinner, Steve Westly, Vinod Khosla, Andy Bechtolsheim, Brian Goncher, Cheryl Sandberg, David Drummond, Andy Rubin, David Plouffe, Tim Draper, Jeffrey Epstein, Gilman Louie, Ira Ehrenpreis, Tim Cook, McKinsey Consulting, Deloitte, Goldman Sachs, Jerry Brown, Richard Blum, James Breyer, John Podesta, Joe Lonsdale, John Doerr, Keith Rabois, Marc Andreessen, George Soros, Mario Rosatti, Martin LaGod, Michael Moritz, Viktor Vekselberg, Larry Summers, Pierre Omidyar, Tom Steyer, Steve Jurvetson, Steve Rattner and their CARTEL including their crooked lawyers and lobbyist mobs! They have "command and control and exclusive-beneficiary positions in ongoing, coordinated, criminal and anti-trust activities involving government and stock market funds...". Their crimes financially benefited Dianne Feinstein, Nancy Pelosi, Jerry Brown, Kamala Harris and Barack Obama and their stock market holdings, while harming their competitors on purpose. The securities and stock market records prove that it was crony corruption and payola. The sex crime victims of Cartel member [Jeffrey Epstein](https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8639501/Bill-Clinton-smiles-receiving-neck-massage-Jeffrey-Epstein-victim.html) (<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8639501/Bill-Clinton-smiles-receiving-neck-massage-Jeffrey-Epstein-victim.html>) reported him to the DOJ a decade ago, yet nothing was done. Plaintiffs reported this Cartel in 2008, STILL, nothing has been done! These people have run a stock market and government funding State and Federal PONZI SCHEME in every "stimulus" from 2008 forward! They use free government money, stock valuation pump-and-dump and black-lists to make certain that no competitor can ever operate against them in any market. The more that the California Senators get to control Congress, the more they get to push laws that benefit companies owned by their spouses! By filling California up with illegal immigrants, enticed by the offer of "free stuff", Dianne and Nancy get to control federal policy by manipulating the census numbers. By controlling government decisions, Nancy and Dianne get to give taxpayer money to their friends (Elon Musk, Eric Schmidt, Mark Zuckerberg, etc, who they own stock with) and deny that money to their competitors. They have a covert news and media network (<https://www.opensecrets.org/news/2020/05/dark-money-networks-fake-news-sites/>) that hides all news*

coverage about the crimes. Illegal immigrants equal control of the stock market profits for Nancy's, Kamala's and Dianne's investment banker husbands. It is as simple as that!..."

HOW THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND CALIFORNIA SENATORS CREATED THE LARGEST INSIDER TRADING SCHEME IN HISTORY

Companies in PR-hype industries sometimes have a history of [reinventing themselves](https://www.ft.com/content/8c46ff08-5522-469e-bc6c-4be08cdf9828) (<https://www.ft.com/content/8c46ff08-5522-469e-bc6c-4be08cdf9828>). For Tesla Motors and Solyndra the potential transition from start-up to future energy monopoly was being facilitated by the government. Now Kodak has copied this corruption scam. The **U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (GOVT)** [signed a letter of interest](https://www.dfc.gov/media/press-releases/dfc-sign-letter-interest-investment-kodaks-expansion-pharmaceuticals) (<https://www.dfc.gov/media/press-releases/dfc-sign-letter-interest-investment-kodaks-expansion-pharmaceuticals>) to provide hundreds of millions in favored-nation 'loans' to them to support the company's plans to produce green energy things for the U.S. domestic market. Thereafter, a series of ensuing events raised concerns about potentially illegal insider trading, and of an uneven level playing field for investors in U.S. stock markets. The immediate response by U.S. Congress was to investigate. The new KODAK Covid loan is the same kind of crime, which insiders learned they could get away with in the Obama **U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**.

Whether the suspicious trading activity is a case of illegal insider trading activity is difficult to judge without further scrutiny by prosecutors. However, these new types of substantial loans from the government to private companies give rise to a new type of private information. While traditional corporate events, such as earnings announcements or corporate takeovers, are subject to strict rules about the disclosure of information and reporting requirements, it appears that the disbursement of government subsidies and grants falls into a grey area not effectively covered by existing rules. Thus, it is necessary to consider more transparent and consistent protocols on information disclosure to avoid providing unfair advantages to a select group of company insiders including California Senators and Energy Department staff.

Just one day before the official announcement of the loan, on July 27, Kodak's stock price jumped by about 25 percent on a trading volume of 1,645,719 shares, over five times the average daily trading volume in prior months. These unusual trading activities were likely [attributable to the premature release of the news by several media outlets](https://www.wsj.com/articles/tweets-and-articles-sent-kodak-shares-surg-ing-before-official-announcement-11596056729). (<https://www.wsj.com/articles/tweets-and-articles-sent-kodak-shares-surg-ing-before-official-announcement-11596056729>)

Unusual trading activity also occurred in Kodak's stock options, even prior to the news leakage. The trading volume was especially strong in out-of-the-money (OTM) call options, which are set to profit especially from a positive jump in stock prices, with daily trading volumes of all OTM call options on July 17, 20 and 27 that was more than ten times larger than average volumes since the beginning of 2020.

Kodak's executives and board members are also linked to controversial transactions. About one month before the announcement, on June 23, the company's executive chair and a director [purchased over 50,000 shares](https://www.sec.gov/cgi-bin/browse-edgar?) (<https://www.sec.gov/cgi-bin/browse-edgar?>

action=getcompany&CIK=0000031235&type=4) of the company's stock a day during the time when the company was negotiating loans with the government. In addition, four executives were [granted stock options right on July 27](#), (<https://www.wsj.com/articles/kodaks-stock-surge-turned-insiders-options-into-potential-windfall-11596220862>) just one day before the stock price skyrocketed. Prior to another major stock price drop, due to a freezing of the Kodak loan associated with the congressional investigation, a board [member donated \\$116 million](#) (<https://www.ft.com/content/6e7494c4-56cd-4121-8027-ecfc9586958c>) in company shares, entitling the donors to a substantial tax deduction.

These events have alerted legislators and raised concerns that trades of investors may explain the suspicious activity with access to material non-public information. On August 3, Sen. [Elizabeth Warren](#) (D-Mass.) formally [requested the Securities and Exchange Commission \(SEC - <https://www.warren.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/2020.08.03%20Letter%20to%20SEC%20re%20Kodak%20stock%20trades.pdf>\)](#) to [investigate](#) the possibility of insider trading and violation of regulatory compliance rules. Two House committee chairs also launched their own inquiries, [requesting detailed records](#) from the loan provider, DFC. (https://financialservices.house.gov/uploadedfiles/2020.08.04_h_cmtes_ltr_to_dfc.pdf)

Besides these valid outcries due to concerns about the potential for illegal insider trading, this turn of events raises a question of broad public interest. Why was the usual protocol for the enforcement of news disclosure and insider trading regulations around corporate events not followed in this case? The announcement of the government-sponsored Kodak loan is no different from traditional corporate events, such as earnings disclosures, announcements of mergers and acquisitions, spinoffs, or new product announcements. It also involves secret negotiations in executive offices that give rise to the potential for trading on privileged information.

In the Kodak case, the private information was indeed material. The \$765 million government loan it received amounts to 54 percent of the company's total assets, 78 percent of its annual revenue, and is 64 times larger than its annual operating cash flows, according to its [most recent 10-K filing](#). (https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/31235/000156459020011408/kodk-10k_20191231.htm) It is not surprising that such news boosted the stock price from about \$2 to \$60 in a matter of days. Another related issue is that the DFC and Kodak did not release the news at the same time. This lack of consistency in information disclosure makes it difficult to pinpoint when the information was publicly available.

The Kodak experience was not unique. The [massive monetary and fiscal interventions](#) (<https://www.imf.org/en/Topics/imf-and-covid19/Policy-Responses-to-COVID-19>) that have been announced in the U.S., Europe and elsewhere are likely to lead to similar types of sensitive news releases. The difference with respect to more traditional corporate announcements is that the government has a seat at the table. U.S. officials and their counterparts elsewhere are picking winners and losers in the disbursement of grants, loans and contracts. Thus, a new source of privileged information has emerged that raises the possibility that insiders may well profit from possession of such information before it is released to the market.

In The U.S. Government Cleantech Crash political payola quid pro quo, government money was used by Goldman Sachs and their clients for the following scams, EVERY SINGLE ONE OF WHICH was used in the Dept of Energy ATVM AND LGP funds manipulations.

The Tech Bribes Using The Stock Market

Every One of these [financial scam tricks](#) (https://www.sec.gov/files/Algo_Trading_Report_2020.pdf) is used **daily** by the suspects in this case:

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "Pools"**

Agreements, often written, among a group of traders to delegate authority to a single manager to trade in a specific stock for a specific period of time and then to share in the resulting profits or losses." [5] In Australia section 1041B prohibits pooling. (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Market_manipulation#cite_note-5)

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "Churning"**

When a trader places both buy and sell orders at about the same price. The increase in activity is intended to attract additional investors, and increase the price.

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "Stock bashing"**

This scheme is usually orchestrated by savvy online message board posters (a.k.a. "Bashers") who make up false and/or misleading information about the target company in an attempt to get shares for a cheaper price. This activity, in most cases, is conducted by posting libelous posts on multiple public forums. The perpetrators sometimes work directly for unscrupulous Investor Relations firms who have convertible notes that convert for more shares the lower the bid or ask price is; thus the lower these Bashers can drive a stock price down by trying to convince shareholders they have bought a worthless security, the more shares the Investor Relations firm receives as compensation. Immediately after the stock conversion is complete and shares are issued to the Investor Relations firm, consultant, attorney or similar party, the basher/s then become friends of the company and move quickly to ensure they profit on a classic Pump & Dump scheme to liquidate their ill-gotten shares. (see P&D)

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "Pump and dump"**

A [pump and dump](#) scheme is generally part of a more complex grand plan of market manipulation on the targeted security. The Perpetrators (Usually stock promoters) convince company affiliates and large position non-affiliates to release shares into a free trading status as "Payment" for services for promoting the security. Instead of putting out legitimate information about a company the promoter sends out bogus e-mails (the "Pump") to millions of unsophisticated investors (Sometimes called

"Retail Investors") in an attempt to drive the price of the stock and volume to higher points. After they accomplish both, the promoter sells their shares (the "Dump") and the stock price falls, taking all the duped investors' money with it.

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "Runs"**

When a group of traders create activity or rumours in order to drive the price of a security up. An example is the [Guinness share-trading fraud](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Guinness_share-trading_fraud) of the 1980s. In the US, this activity is usually referred to as *painting the tape*.^[6] Runs may also occur when trader(s) are attempting to drive the price of a certain share down, although this is rare. (see Stock Bashing) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Guinness_share-trading_fraud)

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "Ramping (the market)"**

Actions designed to artificially raise the market price of listed securities and give the impression of voluminous trading in order to make a quick profit.^[7] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Market_manipulation#cite_note-7)

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "Wash trade"**

In a [wash trade](#) the manipulator sells and repurchases the same or substantially the same security for the purpose of generating activity and increasing the price.

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "Bear raid"**

In a [bear raid](#) there is an attempt to push the price of a stock down by heavy selling or [short selling](#).^[8] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Market_manipulation#cite_note-8)

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "Lure and Squeeze"**

This works with a company that is very [distressed on paper](#), with impossibly high debt, consistently high annual losses but very few assets, making it look as if bankruptcy must be imminent. The stock price gradually falls as people new to the stock short it on the basis of the poor outlook for the company, until the number of shorted shares greatly exceeds the total number of shares that are not held by those aware of the lure and squeeze scheme (call them "people in the know"). In the meantime, people in the know increasingly purchase the stock as it drops to lower and lower prices. When the short interest has reached a maximum, the company announces it has made a deal with its creditors to settle its loans in exchange for shares of stock (or some similar kind of arrangement that leverages the stock price to benefit the company), knowing that those who have short positions will be squeezed as the price of the stock sky-rockets. Near its peak price, people in the know start to sell, and the price gradually falls back down again for the cycle to repeat.

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "Quote stuffing"**

[Quote stuffing](#) is made possible by high-frequency trading programs that can execute market actions with incredible speed. However, high-frequency trading in and of itself is not illegal. The tactic involves using specialized, high-bandwidth hardware to quickly enter and withdraw large quantities of orders in an attempt to flood the market, thereby gaining an advantage over slower market participants. [9] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Market_manipulation#cite_note-9)

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "Cross-Product Manipulation"**

A type of manipulation possible when financial instruments are settled based on [benchmarks](#) set by the trading of physical commodities, for example in United States Natural Gas Markets. The manipulator takes a large [long \(short\) financial position](#) that will benefit from the benchmark settling at a higher (lower) price, then trades in the physical commodity markets at such a large volume as to influence the benchmark price in the direction that will benefit their financial position.

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "Spoofing (finance)"**

[Spoofing](#) is a disruptive algorithmic trading entity employed by traders to outpace other market participants and to manipulate commodity markets. Spoofers feign interest in trading futures, stocks and other products in financial markets creating an illusion of exchange pessimism in the futures market when many offers are being cancelled or withdrawn, or false optimism or demand when many offers are being placed in bad faith. Spoofers bid or offer with intent to cancel before the orders are filled. The flurry of activity around the buy or sell orders is intended to attract other [high-frequency traders](#) (HFT) to induce a particular market reaction such as manipulating the market price of a security. Spoofing can be a factor in the rise and fall of the price of shares and can be very profitable to the spoofer who can time buying and selling based on this manipulation.

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "Price-Fixing"**

A very simple type of fraud where the principles who publish a price or indicator conspire to set it falsely and benefit their own interests. [The Libor scandal](#) for example, involved bankers setting the [Libor](#) rate to benefit their trader's portfolios or to make certain entities appear more creditworthy than they were.

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "High Closing (finance)"**

High closing is an attempt to manipulate the price of a security at the end of trading day to ensure that it closes higher than it should. This is usually achieved by putting in manipulative trades close to closing.

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "Cornering the market"**

In [cornering the market](#) the manipulators buy sufficiently large amount of a commodity so they can control the price creating in effect a [monopoly](#). For example, the brothers [Nelson Bunker Hunt](#) and [William Herbert Hunt](#) attempted to corner the world [silver](#) markets in the late 1970s and early 1980s, at one stage holding the rights to more than half of the world's deliverable silver.[10] (

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Market_manipulation#cite_note-TEXAS-10) During the Hunts' accumulation of the precious metal, silver prices rose from \$11 an ounce in September 1979 to nearly \$50 an ounce in January 1980.[11] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Market_manipulation#cite_note-NYT-11) Silver prices ultimately collapsed to below \$11 an ounce two months later,[11] much of the fall occurring on a single day now known as [Silver Thursday](#), due to changes made to exchange rules regarding the purchase of commodities on margin.[12] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Market_manipulation#cite_note-TimeBubble-12)

**** The Silicon Valley Stock Scam Called: "*The Conduit Double Blind*"**

In this scam, government money is given to a Tesla, Solyndra, etc. who then money launder the cash through executive-held 501 c3 and c4 charities; and company assets and then provide **DARK MONEY** cash and services to political campaigns like Obama and Clinton election funds. In the case of Tesla, Google (an investor and boyfriend of Musk) supplied billions of dollars of web search rigging. Stock ownership in the companies and deals is traded for campaign funds. David Brock is a master of this kind of Dark Money money-laundering for political campaigns using PACS and pass-through spoofing.

Tesla and Solyndra investors have used ALL of the above tactics and more. Goldman Sachs and JP Morgan have thousands of staff who PROVIDE these stock market manipulation tricks to people like Elon Musk, Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, et al. These kinds of financial crimes and corruption account for the manipulation of over **ONE TRILLION DOLLARS** of ill-gotten profits annually!

Given the massive stimulus packages that are in force today and expected to be implemented going forward, regulators need to set clear guidelines for how and when such privileged information can be disclosed, and impose rigorous trading restrictions for investors with access to private information. Failure to do so always gives unfair advantage to some and damages the level playing field in financial markets.

To avoid providing such unfair advantage to selected executives, the SEC and the Department of Justice need to develop new procedures to incorporate potential illegal transactions derived from information about government intervention through diverse channels. Plaintiffs advocate for a more transparent and consistent protocol on information disclosure regarding government's loan programs to prevent similar events from recurring. For example, the government could channel the release of news about COVID-19-related stimulus interventions through a common platform to prevent leakage from diverse sources and reduce information asymmetry among investors.

The DFC loan to Kodak is the first of its kind under the Defense Production Act but not the first ever because GOVT already created the pump-and-dump scheme for tech oligarchs. Nobody should be surprised by Kodak trying a proven corruption scam. Since we are in unprecedented times, government agencies and regulators need to make changes to adapt to the current situation and fulfill their mission to ensure a level playing field for investors even during this difficult period. Regulation never happens in these scams because most California Senators and their families profit from these crimes and corruption.

The indisputable facts here are the assertions that the Silicon Valley oligarchs:

1. Control business and politics like a crime Cartel, and...
2. Are a white male fraternity who attack outsiders in organized and group-planned manners, and...
3. Come from dynastic families that systematically ran them through Stanford, Harvard and Yale men's clubs, and...
4. Were socially trained and programmed to operate in a closed, tribal, exclusionary manner, and...
5. Operated the "Angelgate" collusion scandal, the "Solyndra Scandal", etc., and...
6. Operated the "Silicon Valley High Tech Employee Collusion" for which they were sued in a famous class-action case, and...
7. Controlled the Obama Administration and the Obama White House and traded most key staff, back-and-forth, with that Administration, and...
8. Have been sued, indicted and charged in divorce proceedings with an unusually massive amount of sex trafficking matters, and...
9. Use the same 10 law-firms who have been charged with public policy manipulation, bribery and lobbying, and...
10. Control internet news, media and information, and...
11. "Own" certain U.S. Senators by virtue of direct bribe payments and securities/stock payola payments, and...
12. Use a variety of tools like GUST, Private Google Docs sites, covert Facebook pages and similar, to secretly conspire and plan collusion and organized monopolistic practices, and...
13. Have their venture capitalists spy on entrepreneurs and copy their technology to be deployed by Google, or Facebook under a new name, and...
14. Have over 150+ fake "charity" and hidden shell corporation entities that conduit money to political interests that promise to increase the oligarchs stock market valuations, and...
15. Are financing and using Jeffrey Epstein's, NXVIUM and many other underage sex cult's, and...
16. Are an interstate Mafia-like criminal organization operating in violation of felony-class organized crime laws, and...
17. Have hired the largest number of K Street and Sacramento lobbyists and political manipulators in history, and...
18. Are connected to multiple suspicious deaths from Seth Rich, to Gary Conley, to Rajeev Motwani, and many more, and...

19. Have had their [secret files hacked by the Feds](https://www.cnbc.com/2020/07/30/apple-amazon-facebook-google-internal-emails-released-by-congress.html) (<https://www.cnbc.com/2020/07/30/apple-amazon-facebook-google-internal-emails-released-by-congress.html>) and Chinese and Russian hackers (offered for sale on the Dark Web), and... more...

There are over 320 million people in America and the handful of people listed above ruined Democracy for every one of them by manipulating the government for their personal profits at the expense of every taxpayer!

Victim: " ...Without breaking a single law, we are hunting down and destroying every single one of the scumbags who attacked us and destroyed Democracy for their own power mongering, sex cult-driven, sick profiteering schemes...These crooks need to know, with certainty: if the FBI doesn't get you - we and the crowd-sourced public forensics groups will!..."

Join the "FOLLOW-THE-MONEY" Team and learn 100% legal CIA and FBI-class tactics and technologies which are being used to track why these people are all connected by covert financial and asset tracks. Extensive case files and dossiers on each party, below, are available in torrents globally. These people knowingly engaged in these crimes, attacks and illicit activities! ** = The worst ones. They share a cadre of dirty CPA, legal and lobbyist firms that assist them with their dirty work and run the interface between Fusion GPS, Black Cube, Media Matters, Gizmodo and other hit-job services.

Follow-the-money, the surveillance data, the stock market accounts, the sex workers and the emails! These people don't do "good deeds" or help their nation. They do dirty schemes to help themselves make money off of citizens and destroy their competitors because they would rather cheat than compete!

This is who financed and operated the attacks. They operate illicit manipulations and anti-trust violations. Free-roaming automatic AI bots are out working around-the-clock scanning every database to connect their bribes, payola, stealth funds, tax evasions, money-laundering, sex trafficking and other illicit deeds. These are the ones that everyone is working on exposing, indicting and TAKING DOWN!

Court Statement By One Of Many Victims:

"... Plaintiffs were attacked with a \$30 million dollar+ retribution/political-reprisal/revenge hatchet-job program contracted by White House and Department of Energy political operatives, their appointees and staffing. Plaintiffs have sourced the payment records evidence to prove it. Those public officials illegally used taxpayer-financed government funds and they were also business competitors of my peers and I. They are mad because they got caught doing crimes with taxpayer funds...There is, now, no legal or historical-fact question about the veracity of the proof that public officials put "hit-jobs" on reporters, whistle-blowers or competitors using government resources to do so. In fact, today, the U.S. Congress is spending nearly a third of it's time on the question of agencies being used as reprisal operations....famous senators, their Silicon Valley oligarch financiers and their associates run a felony-class organized crime insider-trading scam that abuses

taxpayers and sabotages competing businesses at the expense of the public treasuries. This is about a group of U.S. Senators, Silicon Valley Oligarchs, Detroit Oligarchs, Crooked Law Firms and Lobbyists who commit crimes in order to manipulate over a trillion tax dollars into their, and their friends pockets. They use media monopoly tricks to try to shut out any other viewpoints. They push manufactured "emotional trigger" issues that they believe will get more tax money allocated to "issue solutions" that they, and their friends, happen to already own the monopolies for. They are felons yet they control some of the offices of the agencies who are supposed to arrest them. Silicon Valley bought K Street lobby firms and U.S. Senators, gave them more 'Dark Money' than history has ever seen and then had giant tech-law firms bribe, hit-job and blockade any attempts to arrest them...The U.S. Government hired us, paid us part of Plaintiffs money, then asked us to spend Plaintiffs life savings and years of Plaintiffs time on THEIR federal project based on their lies and false-promises. Then they took the assets we were asked to invest, plus the money they owed us, and gave it to their friends. When we complained to the FBI, Congress and the SEC, they hired Fusion GPS-like companies to run "hit-jobs" on us and threaten Plaintiffs lives.

WE WERE LIED TO AND DEFRAUDED BY GOVERNMENT AGENCIES. THEY TOOK Plaintiffs MONEY AND USED US, AND Plaintiffs PEERS, AS A SMOKE-SCREEN TO HIDE THEIR CRONY PAYOLA CRIME THAT PUT taxpayer CASH IN THEIR FRIEND'S POCKETS...Plaintiffs have received ZERO justice and ZERO compensation for Plaintiffs damages, Plaintiffs time, Plaintiffs witness testimony and Plaintiffs help provided to the authorities! Plaintiffs demand Plaintiffs compensation from the State And Federal authorities! Neither I, Plaintiffs peers or the voters will ever let this go until we receive justice!..."

Key points of note in this RICO racketeering case:

- These [Jeffrey Epstein-LIKE](https://www.netflix.com/title/80224905) (<https://www.netflix.com/title/80224905>) crooks include senators, technology ceo's and famous sand hill road venture capitalists. those suspects have engaged in the largest sets of bribes in u.s. history! don't let these silicon valley sex-trafficking, bribing, racist, misogynist, tax-evading, money-laundering, black-listing, felony criminals get away with it!
- The [Flynn Case](https://www.trunews.com/article/former-intel-chiefs-flynn-destroyed-by-cia-nsa-hit-job) (<https://www.trunews.com/article/former-intel-chiefs-flynn-destroyed-by-cia-nsa-hit-job>), The [Strzok Case](https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/news/peter-strzoks-wife-discovered-lisa-page-affair-on-his-phone-doj-reveals) (<https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/news/peter-strzoks-wife-discovered-lisa-page-affair-on-his-phone-doj-reveals>), The [Solyndra Case](https://www.judicialwatch.org/corruption-chronicles/fed-audit-confirms-govt-corruption-in-solyndra-deal/) (<https://www.judicialwatch.org/corruption-chronicles/fed-audit-confirms-govt-corruption-in-solyndra-deal/>), The [GOOGLE Corruption Cases](https://www.wsj.com/articles/justice-department-state-attorneys-general-likely-to-bring-antitrust-lawsuits-against-google-11589573622), (<https://www.wsj.com/articles/justice-department-state-attorneys-general-likely-to-bring-antitrust-lawsuits-against-google-11589573622>) The 200+ [Silicon Valley Cases](https://www.technewsworld.com/story/85141.html) (<https://www.technewsworld.com/story/85141.html>) and [MORE](https://www.allsides.com/news/2020-05-14-0636/obamagate-isn-t-conspiracy-theory-it-s-biggest-political-scandal-Plaintiffs-time-and), prove that [White House](https://www.allsides.com/news/2020-05-14-0636/obamagate-isn-t-conspiracy-theory-it-s-biggest-political-scandal-Plaintiffs-time-and) (<https://www.allsides.com/news/2020-05-14-0636/obamagate-isn-t-conspiracy-theory-it-s-biggest-political-scandal-Plaintiffs-time-and>) officials weaponized federal intelligence and services

staff and used federal agencies to put hit-jobs on citizens, as anti-trust violating reprisal/revenge vendettas, on orders from their [Silicon Valley](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-Tech_Employee_Antitrust_Litigation) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-Tech_Employee_Antitrust_Litigation) campaign financiers. Billionaires and their companies like Tesla, Google, Facebook, Solyndra, Netflix, etc., got kick-backs, government contracts, monopolies and tax evasion perks for their quid-pro-quo! In the current and previous "emergency stimulus bills", under the guise of "it's an emergency, give us all the money", Congressional leaders took taxpayer funds and put the money and stock market perks in their family bank accounts, while sabotaging their business competitors. The Congressional hearings have proven it!

- "Plaintiffs witnessed a series of [felony corruption crimes](http://american-corruption.com/AAFINAL-GOVT-Loan-Guarantees-Report%20-%20THE%20CLEANTECH%20CRASH%20ENERGY%20DEPT%20SLUSH%20FUND.pdf) (<http://american-corruption.com/AAFINAL-GOVT-Loan-Guarantees-Report%20-%20THE%20CLEANTECH%20CRASH%20ENERGY%20DEPT%20SLUSH%20FUND.pdf>), mind numbing cronyism, and [political payola using insider trading](http://american-corruption.com/GOOGLE_FEDERAL_COMPLAINT_NOTES_2020.html) (http://american-corruption.com/GOOGLE_FEDERAL_COMPLAINT_NOTES_2020.html) and we reported those crimes. In exchange we got [hit-jobs](https://gawker-media-attacks.weebly.com/) (<https://gawker-media-attacks.weebly.com/>), cover-ups, de-funding, rights-blockades, harassment and ZERO JUSTICE from public officials!"

- [News reports](https://thenationalpulse.com/politics/biden-black-lives-matter-defund-the-police/) (<https://thenationalpulse.com/politics/biden-black-lives-matter-defund-the-police/>) have now [revealed](https://theconservativetreehouse.com/2020/06/11/exploited-again-financial-contributions-to-black-lives-matter-are-being-funneled-to-biden-campaign/?utm_source=whatfinger) (https://theconservativetreehouse.com/2020/06/11/exploited-again-financial-contributions-to-black-lives-matter-are-being-funneled-to-biden-campaign/?utm_source=whatfinger) that the Silicon Valley oligarchs are [funding and creating](https://www.investmentwatchblog.com/here-is-the-employee-chart-for-actblue-charities-the-company-that-receives-every-dollar-raised-via-the-black-lives-matter-website/?utm_source=whatfinger) (https://www.investmentwatchblog.com/here-is-the-employee-chart-for-actblue-charities-the-company-that-receives-every-dollar-raised-via-the-black-lives-matter-website/?utm_source=whatfinger) the BLM riots and street ruckus in order to get even more political donations for election manipulations. These are the same Stanford elitists that ran the Cleantech Crash scam. They don't care about the environment, racism or anything but their stock market accounts. They only care about killing off their competitors and they use "green-washing" to cover their crimes. You can find a list of their names at the bottom of this document. They have thousands of operatives like Steve Spinner, David Plouffe, Sid Blumenthal, Nick Denton, etc. that launder hundreds of millions of dollars through a vast number of PAC's and fake 'charities'. This mob had the idea to: "*control all information and manipulate politics for the benefit of their bank accounts*"

- Public forensic investigations are [bringing hell](http://american-corruption.com/HOW%20TO%20DESTROY%20ANY%20CORRUPT%20COMPANY%20OR%20PERSON%20ON%20EARTH%20WITH%20TOTALLY%20LEGAL%20TACTICS.pdf) (<http://american-corruption.com/HOW%20TO%20DESTROY%20ANY%20CORRUPT%20COMPANY%20OR%20PERSON%20ON%20EARTH%20WITH%20TOTALLY%20LEGAL%20TACTICS.pdf>) to every big tech crook involved in this scheme, for the rest of their lives, until they are brought to justice. These crooks are now [under surveillance](http://american-corruption.com/EXPOSING_CORRUPT_OLIGARCHS.html) (http://american-corruption.com/EXPOSING_CORRUPT_OLIGARCHS.html) and [investigation](https://www.centreforpublicimpact.org/case-study/fbi-virtual-case-file-system/) (<https://www.centreforpublicimpact.org/case-study/fbi-virtual-case-file-system/>) by the public, and they are being exposed, doxed, reported and FBI-charged. [Disclosure dossiers](https://legalbeagle.com/8546756-write-official-case-file.html) (<https://legalbeagle.com/8546756-write-official-case-file.html>) have been [produced on every single one of the top 200 culprits!](http://american-corruption.com/expose_THE_MOBSTERS.html) (http://american-corruption.com/expose_THE_MOBSTERS.html) Global citizen social media and news [out-reach programs](#) (

http://american-corruption.com/ANTI_CORRUPTION_BATTLE_ON_BEHALF_OF_AMERICAN_CITIZENS.html) contact hundreds of thousands of voters per day. By the time the next elections get here, **EVERYBODY** (http://american-corruption.com/EXPOSING_CORRUPT_OLIGARCHS.html) will know about this corruption and **EACH** (http://american-corruption.com/EXPOSING_CORRUPT_OLIGARCHS.html) of the perpetrators by name! If you have a computer YOU have the tools to investigate them just like the FBI does.

- Plaintiffs [saw criminal actions including bribes, insider trading, etc.](#), that Plaintiffs politicians engaged in, and got no help, no responses and no provision of Plaintiffs Constitutional rights so we are forced to rely on citizen crowd-sourcing of investigations and mass social media out-reach for justice! (<https://finance.townhall.com/columnists/maritanoon/2012/11/25/exclusive-GOVT-corruptionappointed-and-elected-officials-should-face-prison-time-n851724>)

- The 2008 Department of Energy [Cleantech Crash](#) proves that a federal agency was used as just one big slush-fund to pay-off political campaign financiers, operate insider-trading stocks and sabotage those financiers competitors using taxpayer-financed resources! Plaintiffs have used private investigator, FBI resources and deep AI research to [reveal that all government staff working on Plaintiffs application were getting quid-pro-quo...they were on the take.](#) (Can anyone point out to us EVERN ONE person who was in the GOVT/White House loop who was not working for, invested in, getting a future job from or other wise conflicted?) What do you do when The U.S. Government convinces you to invest millions of dollars, and your life, into one of their projects. Then their project turns out to be a scam where they had covertly hard-wired the upside to a couple of Senator's and their campaign financier friends. The fix was in and the game was rigged to use a government program as a slush-fund for friends-with-benefits. Plaintiffs, and the public, got defrauded. Now the damages must be paid for, one way, or another. Every one of the insiders who did get government funding got it in the exact same size and order as their covert political campaign funding and stock market bribes to the deciders. Chamath Palihapitiya and other Silicon Valley insiders have now exposed the fact that Greylock, Kleiner, etc. are just a [VC Ponzi Scheme! in this whole mess.](#)

(<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/cleantech-crash-60-minutes/>)

(http://american-corruption.com/expose_THE_MOBSTERS.html)

(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NVVsdIHsIfI>)

- Elon Musk got government money by bribing public officials and stacking hundreds of his friends and shareholders, ie: Steven Chu, Matt Rogers, Steve Westly, Steve Spinner's 'special friend', investor Google's employees, etc. on the staff of The U.S. Government and in the White House. In other words, hundreds of Elon Musk's friends and financiers from Silicon Valley (Mostly from his investor: Google) were placed in the very offices that decided if he got the government funds. Plaintiffs have FBI-class records, financial tracking, emails, stock market relay records and other forensic data that proves it. Plaintiffs can swear, warrant, certify and prove these assertions in front of Congress in a live Congressional hearing or Civil Jury trial, given non-compromised legal backing. Musk bribed Senators, bought a President and had his buddies take over most of the Department of Energy. [Lithium metals](#), (

<https://lithium-ion.weebly.com/>) and other rare earth mining materials, are monopolized by Elon Musk and his Silicon Valley Cartel, in rare-earth corrupt mining scams. Lithium's widespread [use in cars is hindered](#) (<https://www.hackernoon.com/dangers-li-ion-batteries-might-aware-4960f2f75ae7>) by a challenging obstacle: upon multiple charge-discharge cycles, [fractal filaments called dendrites](#) (<https://www.pressconnects.com/story/news/2020/01/21/lithium-batteries-everywhere-dangerous-what-know-rochester-binghamton/4480496002/>) always grow through the electrolyte from the negative to the positive electrode and [short-circuit the battery](#) (https://batteryuniversity.com/learn/article/safety_concerns_with_li_ion) from the inside, thus guaranteeing that [Tesla Cars](#) (<https://www.livescience.com/62179-tesla-fire-cleanup-danger.html>) will [eventually all explode](#) (<https://www.wired.com/2017/03/dont-blame-batteries-every-lithium-ion-explosion/>) . [Musk and Panasonic have known](#) this since 2007 (They are "dumping" the batteries via Tesla) and have paid U.S. Senators, who own stock in Tesla, [to cover it up](#). [The lithium fires and toxic vapors are a major safety concern because they have killed, poisoned and injured too many citizens](#). Musk gets away with his scams because he pays U.S. Senators bribes with stocks in his corporations and has a thousand crooked Goldman Sachs investment bankers selling his hair-brained schemes to your parents pension funds. Musk's partners created the government policy to sell pretend air, known as carbon credits. He makes \$200M per year selling this pretend air. It is like you getting paid to sell your farts based on the hope that you might eat some beans some day. Musk's big bucks don't come from selling cars but from government mooch schemes.

(<https://www.nbcnews.com/health/health-news/battery-behind-dangerous-deadly-e-cigarette-explosions-n1032901>)

(<https://eandt.theiet.org/content/articles/2016/10/lithium-ion-batteries-found-to-produce-toxic-gases/>)

(<https://www.visiontimes.com/2019/02/15/dangers-of-lithium-ion-batteries.html>)

- Plaintiffs have formally asked the FBI and DOJ to investigate, engage in surveillance upon and interview attackers: Nicholas Guido Denton, John Hermann, Ian Fette, Adrian Covert, Patrick George, John Cook, Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, David Drummond, David Axelrod, David Plouffe, Lachlan Seward, Jay Carney, Robert Gibbs and their associates and determine how they were compensated for the attacks, who had command and control of their actions and who acted as a conduit for the funds. These people bribed government officials, sold Plaintiffs government and Democratic process like they were running a garage sale and used taxpayer-funded resources to attack anyone who reported the crimes involving their Tech Cartel! Their emails, text messages, stock market accounts, bank accounts, paypal accounts and social accounts prove what they were up to!

A Previous Sent Letter To William Barr, Attorney General For The United States Of America Via Certified Mail And Email:

“...Plaintiffs filed a federal Tort Claim ‘Form 95’ for damages and were informed by federal insiders that the claim was being stone-walled. Plaintiffs need to take deeper legal action against the government entities that caused the damages. Those damages are metricized in the seven to nine figures and proven by forensic data.

Plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs peers, have filed claims and reports with every law enforcement and regulatory entity we know of and testified for a number of them. My co-investors filed lawsuits and won in federal court on the proof that a government entity engaged in favoritism and quid-pro-quo but I have yet to receive any damages cash as I was an interested party in those other cases. My lawyer now works for you so he is conflicted out from helping us on this case any further.

After completing a federal contract, to perfection, to build America's next generation energy and transportation technologies, Plaintiffs team were promised a factory contract, and funding, to deliver these low-cost, hyper-efficient, job-building, clean solutions to every American.

It turns out, we were lied to and defrauded. My associates found out that crooked politicians had secretly arranged to give Plaintiffs money and contracts to their best friends. That is a felony crime that abuses quite a few State and federal RICO, Anti-Trust laws, Quid-Pro-Quo laws, the public and the roots of Democracy..

Plaintiffs found out, thanks to FBI, OSC, GAO, OMB and private investigators, that the jurisdictional Senators and related politicians were engaged in corruption in partnership with a specific group of Silicon Valley tech oligarchs, now under investigation by various agencies of The U.S. Government .

Investigators have not found a single political entity in this case who was not either: financed by, friends with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with, making profits by consulting for, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; one of those business adversaries, or the Senators and politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political search engine manipulation services to.

In other words, the FBI found that the politicians that were supposed to represent and protect us were the owners, financiers and beneficiaries of Plaintiffs local and national competitors. Plaintiffs would be delighted to sit down with you at Plaintiffs regional FBI office to go over the connections and crimes with you and the senior FBI staff again.

Plaintiffs were lied to by government representatives who said we could make "hundreds of millions of dollars in sales profits and carbon credits sales" if we invested millions of dollars of Plaintiffs resources and years of Plaintiffs lives in America. Plaintiffs were induced to invest. Plaintiffs invested, but those representatives secretly knew they had already hard-wired the funds to Plaintiffs competitors. Plaintiffs competitors made hundreds of millions of dollars at Plaintiffs expense!

Those "representatives" were Senators and government agency bosses who were financed by, friends with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private

events with, making profits by consulting for, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; one of those business adversaries, or the Senators and politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political search engine manipulation services to.

Plaintiffs product and services metrics beat those of every other competitor. Plaintiffs won on every comparison item except we refused to pay the bribes and cooperate with the illegal quid-pro-quo demands.

Plaintiffs found that mega-insider law firms operate a cartel of political appointee placements via quid-pro-quo deals, black-lists and manipulation of public policy and policy decisions via covert perks and payola schemes.

Plaintiffs found out how insider stock trading between politicians and tech companies is the largest form of corruption in America.

Plaintiffs found out who the top 200 dirty politicians, corrupt investment bankers and tech oligarchs were that operated the scheme. Plaintiffs found out thanks to some FBI, GAO, Congressional and Media investigators. The evidence and financial tracking software proves it. The quid-pro-quo tracks back to each and every one of their stock market, i-bank and estate accounts.

Plaintiffs issues are headline news every day. Nobody can doubt the veracity of Plaintiffs claims since they read about the exact same things in the news every morning.

How might you help me, personally, recover my monies for the damages from fraud, interference and other losses?..."

Plaintiffs have asked the FBI, OSC, SEC and FTC to investigate the fact that the executives and investors of Google, YouTube, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix, LinkedIn, and their owned politicians, run an organized criminal stock market and public policy manipulation racketeering cartel.

These tech oligarchs have been documented meeting and conspiring to harm others, that they compete with, using mobster-type tactics and methods. That is a FELONY violation of federal RICO laws.

Plaintiffs elected officials owned portions of these companies, were financed by these companies, allowed these companies to write laws they presented to Congress, slept with the staff of these companies, exchanged staff with these companies, had media manipulated on their behalf by these companies and engaged in other illicit deeds with these companies

Per The United States Congress, The FBI and the FTC: The Previous High-Tech Antitrust Black-Listing Litigation is a [United States Department of Justice](#) (DOJ) [antitrust](#) action and a civil [class action](#) against several [Silicon Valley](#) companies for secret collusion agreements which targeted high-tech employees. This case was one of the most famous federal lawsuits in Silicon Valley. [Scam fronts for Silicon Valley oligarchs are being exposed daily.](#)

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_Department_of_Justice)

(<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antitrust>)

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Class_action)

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Silicon_Valley)

(<https://www.politico.com/news/2020/05/05/americans-progressive-action-super-pac-fake-234316>)

The [tech Cartel is evil](#) because:

they steal any technology they desire;

(<https://thebaffler.com/latest/mouthbreathing-machiavellis>)

they run a [prostitution ring](#) and [sexually extort young women and interns](#) in Silicon Valley;

(<https://www.vanityfair.com/news/2018/01/brotopia-silicon-valley-secretive-orgiastic-inner-sanctum>)

(<https://www.mercurynews.com/2017/07/09/silicon-valley-reeling-wake-sexual-harassment-storm/>)

they are 'rape culture' [take-what-they-want misogynists](#);

(<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/07/03/technology/silicon-valley-sexual-harassment.html>)

ageists and [racists](#) as their history of abuses has proven;

(<https://www.digitaltrends.com/mobile/google-gender-and-ethnic-demographics-statistics/>)

their Palo Alto Cartel operates [AngelGate-type](#) collusion and [stock market insider trading schemes that harm independent business and the public](#);

(<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Angelgate>)

(http://american-corruption.com/Pelosi_Clan_Owned_By_China.html)

their Cartel ran the "no poaching" CEO ring which was class-action sued by DOJ and tech workers; 90% of their divorce court files [reveal horrific abuses](#) and [sex trafficking](#);

(<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2016/aug/12/gurbaksh-chahal-silicon-valley-domestic-assault-sentence>)

(<https://www.news.com.au/finance/tech-titan-michael-goguen-of-sequioa-capital-kept-amber-baptiste-as-a-sex-slave-for-13-years/news-story/91012180fc3b23d50c1e6be8105c92e7>)

They have an [army of lobbyists](#) that pay cash, stock market and [revolving door bribes](#) to U.S. Senators;

(<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2017/sep/03/silicon-valley-politics-lobbying-washington>)

(<https://globalanticorruptionblog.com/2014/10/28/corruption-and-the-revolving-door-recent-discussions-and-further-reflections/>)

They can even evade FBI & SEC investigations; They hire women to act as '[trophy wives](#)' and '[beards](#)';

(https://www.forbes.com/2008/07/11/billionaire-wives-club-biz-billies-cx_cv_0711billiewives_slide.html)

(<https://www.axios.com/silicon-valley-sex-party-was-at-steve-jurvetsons-home-1515699374-132b7731-3e58-4727-bc6a-48e5c234a3e5.html>)

they have [lobbyists rig the U.S. Patent Office](#) in order to block inventor patent rights because they are using stolen technologies;

(<https://www.usinventor.org/>)

they have been caught on video and recordings beating, [kicking and harming women hundreds of times](#);

(<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2016/aug/12/gurbaksh-chahal-silicon-valley-domestic-assault-sentence>)

They have bought up all of the Tier-One tech law firms and order them to [black-list](#), and never help, those who seek equal tech rights;

(<https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/free-books/employee-rights-book/chapter10-9.html>)

they collude to abuse your privacy and make databases on the public for political control; they have to cheat to compete because they are only good with spread sheets instead of innovation; They run black-lists, character assassination attacks, collusion and other anti-trust violating acts in violation of RICO laws.

Silicon Valley has become the largest assemblage of douche-bags and yuppie frat boy criminals in human history.

(<https://www.jobsforfelonshub.com/locations/jobs-for-felons-in-palo-alto-california/>)

Theranos is not the exception, it is the standard. Tesla, Google, Theranos, Plaintiffswork, Facebook are lies backed by famous political insiders to protect their insider trading and covered-up by fake news operators. They are also fronts to fund political campaigns via the ill-gotten profits from their endeavors.

(http://american-corruption.com/departement_of_energy_financing.html)

(<https://senatestockwatcher.com/>)

When the bad guys, and their lap-dog politicians, attack you because your products are better than theirs they are proving that they are frat boy scumbags, from Stanford and Yale, that operate in a little pack, like dogs! Their Sandhill Road operation should be raided by the FBI! The best thing that could come from the COVID pandemic is that they all are forced into bankruptcy!

(<https://www.nationalreview.com/2016/06/stanford-rape-case-hook-culture-root-campus-sexual-assault-problem/>)

When your Senator holds stock market shares in companies that exist to profit on the backs of consumers, via corruption, then it is impossible for that Senator to ever do anything but be corrupt!

(<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/congress-trading-stock-on-inside-information/>)

(<https://www.tampabay.com/florida-politics/buzz/2020/04/22/donna-shalala-lone-democrat-overseeing-500b-virus-fund-failed-to-disclose-2019-stock-sales/>)

Plaintiffs have reported this in writing to winklerm@sec.gov, sanfrancisco@sec.gov and 30+ other federal officers but have yet to see Plaintiffs whistle-blower rewards...or any action! Do you wonder how big politician insider stock trading is? Take a look at how many TRILLIONS of dollars pass through the stock markets annually and then look at the reported, AND UNREPORTED, securities holdings of famous U.S. Senators and government agency staff. That is what Seth Rich and the people in the "*In Memory Of*" section, below, were disclosing. These are massive crimes!

(http://american-corruption.com/ANTI_CORRUPTION_BATTLE_ON_BEHALF_OF_AMERICAN_CITIZENS.html)

The crooks at Google, Facebook, Tesla, LinkedIn, Netflix, etc., broke felony laws and the [basic principles of Democracy](#).

(<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/congress-trading-stock-on-inside-information/>)

[GOOGLE, Especially, must be exterminated and every executive and investor exposed and prosecuted because of these crimes:](#)

(<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/congress-trading-stock-on-inside-information/>)

-
[Google faces \\$5 billion lawsuit in U.S. for tracking 'private' internet use. Google WILL pay for their crimes and must be extinguished as a business because of their corruption!](#)

(<https://www.reuters.com/article/us-alphabet-google-privacy-lawsuit/google-faces-5-billion-lawsuit-in-u-s-for-tracking-private-internet-use-idUSKBN23933H>)

They bribed your Senators, White House Staff, insider agency staff and operated a Silicon Valley Oligarch sociopath political Cartel.

What kinds of people were some of these high tech oligarchs? Read their divorce Court Records about their Jeffrey **Epstein**, [NXIVM](#) sex trafficking; Andy Rubin and Goguen [sex slaves](#); tax evasions; money laundering; intern abuses; misogyny; racism; political insider-trading stock market bribes to U.S. Senators; a '[Silicon Valley Tech Mafia](#)' and other horrors.

(<https://www.thecut.com/2019/05/the-most-disturbing-details-from-the-nxivm-sex-cult-case.html>)

(http://american-corruption.com/department_of_energy_financing.html)

What would you do if you found out that Eric Schmidt, Larry Page, Elon Musk, Sergy Brin, John Doerr and other dynastic elitist insider Stanford frat boys were running a mob-like Cartel? Over 60,000 engineers in Silicon Valley took the problem to Federal Court!

The defendants, in the first case, included [Adobe](#), [Apple Inc.](#), [Google](#), [Intel](#), [Intuit](#), [Pixar](#), [Lucasfilm](#) and [eBay](#), all high-technology companies with a principal place of business in the San Francisco–Silicon Valley area of California where they collude together to harm competitors. It is a well documented fact that Facebook, Google, Netflix, LinkedIn, etc. use sophisticated psychological testing on each applicant in order to filter out all but the most radical devotees of the founders ideologies. These companies then maintain an echo-chamber resonance, throughout the company, to reinforce their ideological message, much like Scientology does. In these companies one must praise Elon Musk and Mark Zuckerberg and hate those who the founders target. [The founders target their competitors, in violation of anti-trust laws.](#)

The first civil class action was filed by five plaintiffs, one of whom has died in a curious incident; it accused the tech companies of collusion between 2005 and 2009. In [Abolish Silicon Valley: How to liberate technology from capitalism](#) we see another of thousands of insiders expose the fact that Silicon Valley is a pile of filth.

(<https://www.penguinrandomhouse.com/books/622177/abolish-silicon-valley-by-wendy-liu/>)

Additional cases are planned for filing. Formal complaints have been filed with The SEC, The DOJ, The GAO, The FBI, The FTC and The U.S. Congress. Active investigations into '[Angelgate](#)' and related [collusion and anti-trust matters](#) are known to be under-way by federal, news outlet and private investigators as of 2020.

(<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Angelgate>)

U.S. Senators, and other politicians, who covertly own stock in these Silicon Valley companies, have been delaying enforcement against these corrupt companies. Consumer rights groups have pledged to take down each and every politician who owns these corrupt stocks and protects these corrupt companies!

Plaintiffs alliance of the public, journalists, investigators and agency staff have FBI-level investigated: Steven Chu, Larry Page, David Dummond, Lachlan Seward, Andy Rubin, Jeffrey Epstein, Elon Musk, Nicholas Guido Denton, Harvey Weinstein, Eric Schmidt and the rest of the RICO-violating "Club" and had them fired or removed from their positions. They will remain under public surveillance for the rest of their lives and their case files will be added to monthly via submissions to federal agencies and news entities.

What would an insane tech oligarch (Like Schmidt, Musk, Reid, Brin, Westly, Page, Khosla, etc.) do for trillions of dollars of criminal gain and control of politics and ideology?

When you run the following query on the stock market volume for a single day, ie: *"Select sum(Close*volume*0.001), count(*) from myTable where date = '9/27/2017'."*..you get the following result: 7300 stocks were traded, Total Money flow: \$271,072,334,824. This is how much was traded on that day.

The total world derivatives market has been estimated at about \$791 trillion face or nominal value, 11 times the size of the entire world economy.

The World Bank publishes global data on stocks traded by \$ value [here](#). ie:

- 2016 - \$77.5 trillion for the year.

- (<https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/CM.MKT.TRAD.CD?end=2016&start=1975&view=chart>)

Most exchanges publish this stat. [Here](#) is the data for NASDAQ

- Feb 6, 2018 - \$192 billion for the day.
- (<http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/Trader.aspx?id=DailyMarketSummary>)

And the Indian stock market volume data (in Indian Rupees) is [here](#).

- BSE + NSE cash market, Feb 2018 - About Rs 40,000 crore daily. That's about \$6 billion per day.
- (<http://www.moneycontrol.com/stocks/marketstats/turnover/>)

Average estimates put daily stock movement between 5 to ten trillion dollars per day. You can buy lot's of Weinstein/Epstein-like private islands, private jets, sex parties, U.S. Senators and crony government contracts with that kind of money. Once an oligarch starts buying sex with underage girls, they don't stop. [In fact, there is nothing that a corrupt tech oligarch and their owned Senator won't do to keep their little pig trough filled up.](#)

(http://american-corruption.com/EXPOSE_THE_MOBSTERS.html)

The average murder/robbery in the United States is undertaken for an average amount under \$100.00

Thus, an Eric Schmidt, Elon Musk, Steve Westly, David Drummond, Vinod Khosla, Reid Hoffman, Steve Jurvetson, Andy Rubin, Larry Page or similar oligarch, who is sociologically addicted to money and power, is [most certainly capable of ordering and operating election manipulations](#), Presidential bribes, murders and engaging in other crimes to protect those greed-based assets. They have the full resources to do so, have federal records proving that they hire lobbyists and operatives who do these things for them and have a documented history of engaging in extremist actions.

(http://american-corruption.com/How_Google_And_Facebook_Put_Obama_In_The_White_House.html)

The Silicon Valley Cartel Hires Gawker, Gizmodo, Jalopnik, Black Cube, Fusion GPS, etc. to run "hit-jobs" on those who report their crimes!

The Hit Job

How much do you have to pay Google, Alphabet, YouTube and Black Cube to dedicate a portion of their servers to push a character assassination set of links, against a competitor, to all five billion people on Earth with internet access? How much did Obama, Clinton, Bloomberg spend using those exact same systems to attack their political enemies? How much does it cost to order a citizen's social security benefits blockaded, have their phones and computers hacked and run a global character assassination media campaign to defame and black-list them? Every one of the attack technologies and resources were previously deployed against Presidential candidates in past elections. The providers, operators and compensation conduits of the attack services are known to law enforcement. The payment records have been uncovered, revealing that over \$30M of billings were incurred. By way of contrast: \$30M is the typical WEEKLY expenditure for opposition character assassination programs in a U.S. Presidential election using Gawker, Gizmodo, Facebook, Google and Fusion GPS media attack services. ([See the detailed investigation report on the specific attacks](http://www.majestic111.com/)) (<http://www.majestic111.com/>)

Plaintiffs know. Plaintiffs have their financial records, invoices and receipts and so does the FBI and the NSA.

How much do you have to pay to get them to lock those attack links on one of the first 4 lines of EVERY search result, in the same position in the search results, for over a decade (which proves that their search results are not "organic", they are manually manipulated by Google and YouTube)? How much did Obama, Clinton, Bloomberg spend using those exact same systems to attack their political enemies?

Plaintiffs know. Plaintiffs have their financial records, invoices and receipts and so does the FBI and the NSA.

How much does it cost to make a Disney-like animated movie about a whistle-blower? How much does the production and software and person-hour billings cost? How much does it cost to distribute that movie world-wide and lock it in the top line of all search results?

Plaintiffs know. Plaintiffs have their financial records, invoices and receipts and so does the FBI and the NSA.

How much does it cost to hire a warehouse full of Russian, Nigerian and Chinese click-farm operators who use Palantir and Google software to instantly be alerted of any mention of a person's name on the internet and to then go slam that person in the comment sections with endless troll remarks? Elon Musk uses these same people to hype his narcissistic need for attention. Obama, Clinton, Bloomberg and other politicians hire these same people to attack their political adversaries. How much does it cost to have anonymous trolls in foreign countries kill a person's brand globally?

Plaintiffs know. Plaintiffs have their financial records, invoices and receipts and a huge number of lawsuits, court records, federal investigation and investigative journalism reports have exposed those records and details. The FBI, NSA, SEC, FTC and Congressional investigators can also confirm these numbers!

So it turns out that it costs: **\$35,422,152.00 to have a person and their business killed!**

That is how much they spent on their attack on the Plaintiffs! The people at the top of the heap who organized the attacks were David Plouffe, Jay Carney, David Axelrod, Denis McDonough, Steve Rattner, Robert Gibbs, Rahm Emanuel and their associates and they did it from **The West Wing and The Oval Office in The White House.**

Many of the political attacks were edited by [Nick Denton](#) and his seedy tabloid empire Gawker/Gizmodo.

(https://www.takimag.com/article/gawker_media_hypocrites_vs_douchecanoes/)

Media Matters for America founder David Brock is a hired media assassin who reaped illegal profits through the transfer of millions from a nonprofit he founded to a for-profit entity under his control, according to an IRS complaint filed by a watchdog group. The [complaint](#) details how Brock's nonprofit group American Bridge Foundation (AB Foundation) transferred \$2.7 million in tax-exempt assets to True Blue Media, a for-profit company owned by Brock that is the parent company of ShareBlue, a for-profit media company now known as [The American Independent](#).

(<https://cdn01.dailycaller.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/AB-Foundation-Complaint.pdf>)

(<https://americanindependent.com/>)

The transfers violated IRS rules that prohibit nonprofit organizations from using their tax-exempt resources to pay personal or private expenses of any key figures connected to the nonprofit, the complaint stated. AB Foundation's transfers to True Blue Media were disclosed in the nonprofit's Form 990s filed to the IRS in [2017](#) and [2018](#). *"Brock is a well-known hit man who took money to target Plaintiffs group in reprisal for whistle-blowing...."* Said Team 7 staff.

The money was conduited and assisted for pass-through by political financiers Eric Schmidt, Larry Page, Sergey Brin, Elon Musk, John Doerr, Vinod Khosla, Steve Westly, Steve Spinner and their [Silicon Valley oligarch Cartel](#) black-listing operation.

(http://american-corruption.com/departement_of_energy_financing.html)

It was a felony. It violated RICO, Anti-Trust and Constitutional laws.

So they spent over thirty five million dollars attacking the Plaintiffs and shutting down a competitor that was targeted to make over six billion dollars in profits. The attackers then made the six billion dollars in profits for themselves, at the expense of the Plaintiffs.

So how much do you think they owe the Plaintiffs per previous court awards for such crimes against a member of the public?

[Silicon Valley's No-poaching Case: The Growing Debate over ...](#)

<https://knowledge.wharton.upenn.edu/article/silicon-valleys-poaching-case-growing-debate-employee-mobility/>

"**Silicon Valley's No-poaching** Case: The Growing Debate over Employee Mobility." Knowledge@Wharton. The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, 30 April, 2014.

[Steve Jobs was 'central figure' in Silicon Valley's 'no ...](#)

<https://money.cnn.com/2014/08/11/technology/silicon-valley-poaching-case/index.html>

Aug 11, 2014A **lawsuit** by **Silicon Valley** workers claims Steve Jobs was a ringleader in a conspiracy not to poach employees. If **Silicon Valley's** biggest companies want an embarrassing employee **lawsuit** to go ...

The Sex Cult Club These Perpetrators Use

The perpetrators operate a **massive and abusive national sex cult**. [The perverts](#) in the SandHill Road Venture Capital offices, located between Highway 280 down to Santa Cruz Avenue on Sand Hill Road in Menlo Park, California, are the main perpetrators of this global cartel.

(<https://www.vanityfair.com/news/2018/01/brotopia-silicon-valley-secretive-orgiastic-inner-sanctum>)

Their executives at Google, Facebook, Netflix, LinkedIn, Twitter, and their related holdings, comprise the rest. The Harvey Weinstein and [Ed Buck](#) sex scandals are well known.

(<https://ktla.com/2019/09/17/dem-donor-ed-buck-arrested-after-3rd-mans-overdose-charged-with-operating-west-hollywood-drug-house/>)

These [sex cult actions](#) have been widely covered in the news individually, ie:

- **The [Joe Lonsdale rape case](#)**

(<https://europetodaynews.wordpress.com/2017/04/28/joe-lonsdale-rapist-abusive-frat-boy-and-mysoginst-or-gods-gift-to-women/>)

- **The [Kleiner Perkins Ellen Pao sex abuse lawsuit](#)**

(<https://www.eandblaw.com/employment-discrimination-blog/2016/02/19/pao-v-kleiner-perkins/>)

- **The [Eric Schmidt sex penthouse stories](#)**

(<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2377785/Google-CEO-serial-womanizer-Eric-Schmidt-spends-15-million-dollars-private-doorman-Manhattan-penthouse-totally-soundproofed.html>)

- **The Jeffrey Epstein case**

(<https://www.miamiherald.com/news/local/article220097825.html>)

- **The Google Forrest Hayes hooker murder case**

(<https://www.usatoday.com/story/tech/2014/07/09/google-exec-hayes-killed-by-call-girl/12422797/>)

- **The Google Andy Rubin sex slave case**

(<https://conservativedailypost.com/android-co-founder-accused-of-running-sex-slave-ring/>)

- **The Sergey Brin 3-way sex romp scandal**

(<https://nexter.org/google-sex-scandal-sergey-brin-playboy-book-alleges>)

- **The Steve Bing Case**

(<https://newspunch.com/steve-bing-clinton-friend-associate-epstein-links-dead/>)

- **The Hydrant investigation**

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Hydrant)

- **The Elon Musk Steve Jurvetson billionaire sex parties scandals**

(<https://pagesix.com/2018/02/12/elon-musk-sported-interesting-getup-at-alleged-sex-party/>)

- **The NXIVM sexual slave cases**

(<https://www.oxygen.com/crime-time/nxivm-sex-slave-details-horrific-allegations-against-keith-raniere>)

- **The Michael Goguen anal sex slave case**

(<https://www.news.com.au/finance/tech-titan-michael-goguen-of-sequioa-capital-kept-amber-baptiste-as-a-sex-slave-for-13-years/news-story/91012180fc3b23d50c1e6be8105c92e7>)

- **The Tom Perkins Hooker Parties**

(<https://fortune.com/2018/01/02/brotopia-book-silicon-valley-sex-party/>)

and thousands of other cases and federal divorce court filings.

(<https://www.pacer.gov/>)

This group of people have proven themselves, over and over, to be sociopath control freaks not fit for participation in public commerce, public policy or media control.

(<https://www.npr.org/sections/alltechconsidered/2017/09/19/551810814/silicon-valley-s-ellen-pao-tackles-sex-discrimination-workplace-diversity-in-mem>)

(<https://nypost.com/2018/01/03/book-details-tech-bros-drug-fueled-sex-parties-in-silicon-valley/>)

The Four Seasons Hotel and Rosewood Hotels in Silicon Valley are estimated to engage in over \$30,000.00 of high-end escort sex trafficking per day, a portion of it managed by Eastern Bloc Mafia operators.

(<https://www.thedailybeast.com/silicon-valleys-sex-workers-are-being-priced-out-of-the-city-by-their-own-clients>)

(<https://escortontop.com/hotel-escorts.htm>)

(<https://www.vanityfair.com/culture/2013/05/silicon-valley-cougar-nights-love>)

(<https://www.quora.com/Do-escorts-really-use-the-Rosewood-Hotel-lobby-bar-in-Menlo-Park>)

- **The Elon Musk sex perversions are the tip of the iceberg.**

(<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8426719/Cara-Delevingne-three-way-affair-Amber-Heard-Elon-Musk.html>)

At least 10 Ukrainian escorts fly in and out of SFO and SJO airports every week for these Cartel members. Google boss David Drummond engaged in horrible philandering sexual violations of his wife yet Google covers up every story about it on the web. Google's Eric Schmidt is under massive investigation.

(http://american-corruption.com/Eric_Schmidt_Has_A_Psychotic_Need_To_Control_Governments_And_Society.html)

You hear about the female victims of this sex cult but you rarely hear about the young male victims. One of their vast numbers of prostitutes is quoted as saying that the girls and boys are paid "not just for sex but for the oligarch's endless need to feel that they can control anyone for any reason...". Multiple attorney general's controlled by their cartel, ie: [Eric Schneiderman](#) and [Eliot Spitzer](#) , are involved in these sex rings.

(<https://newspunch.com/eric-schneiderman-nxivm-child-sex-slaves-clintons/>)

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eliot_Spitzer)

*These are the main influencers of a national political party and they are all involved in horrific sex perversions and abuses! The associates political figures financed by this sex Cartel include: Illinois State Representative, **Keith Farnham**, who has resigned and was charged with possession of child pornography and has been accused of bragging at an online site about sexually molesting a 6-year-old girl; Spokesperson for the Arkansas Democratic Party, **Harold Moody, Jr**, who was charged with distribution and possession of child pornography; Radnor Township Board of Commissioners member, **Philip Ahr**, who resigned from his position after being charged with possession of child pornography and abusing children between 2 and 6 years-old; Activist and BLM organizer, **Charles Wade**, who was arrested and charged with human trafficking and underage prostitution; Texas attorney and activist, **Mark Benavides**, who was charged with having sex with a minor, inducing a child under 18 to have sex and compelling prostitution of at least nine legal clients and possession of child pornography, he was found guilty on six counts of sex trafficking; Virginia Delegate, **Joe Morrissey**, who was indicted on charges connected to his relationship with a 17-year-old girl and was charged with supervisory indecent liberties with a minor, electronic solicitation of a minor, possession of child pornography and distribution of child pornography; Massachusetts Congressman, **Gerry Studds**, who was censured by the House of Representatives after he admitted to an inappropriate relationship with a 17-year-old page; Former Mayor of Stillwater, New York, **Rick Nelson** who was plead guilty to five counts of possession of child pornography of children less than 16 years of age; Mayor of Clayton, New York, **Dale Kenyon**, who was indicted for sexual acts against a teenager; Former Mayor of Hubbard, Ohio, **Richard Keenan**, who was given a life sentence in jail for raping a 4-year-old girl; Former Mayor of Winston, Oregon, **Kenneth Barrett**, who was arrested for setting up a meeting to have sex with a 14-year-old girl who turned out to be a police officer; The Mayor of Randolph, Nebraska, **Dwayne L. Schutt**, who was arrested and charged with four counts of felony third-degree sexual assault of a child and one count of intentional child abuse.*

*The associates political figures financed by this sex Cartel also include: The Former Mayor of Dawson, Georgia, **Christopher Wright**, who was indicted on the charges of aggravated child molestation, aggravated sodomy, rape, child molestation and statutory rape of an 11-year-old boy and a 12-year-old girl; Former Mayor of Stockton, California, **Anthony Silva**, who was charged with providing alcohol to young adults during a game of strip poker that included a 16-year-old boy at a camp for underprivileged children run by the mayor; Former Mayor of Millbrook, New York, **Donald***

Briggs, who was arrested and charged with inappropriate sexual contact with a person younger than 17; The party leader for Victoria County, Texas, **Stephen Jabbour**, who plead guilty to possession and receiving over half a million child pornographic images; DNC activist and fundraiser, **Terrence Bean**, who was arrested on charges of sodomy and sex abuse in a case involving a 15-year-old boy; DNC Party Chairman for Davidson County, Tennessee, **Rodney Mullin**, who resigned amid child pornography allegations; DNC activist, **Andrew Douglas Reed**, who pleaded guilty to multiple counts of 2nd-degree sexual exploitation of a minor for producing child pornography; DNC official from Terre Haute, Indiana, **David Roberts** who was sentenced to federal prison for producing and possessing child pornography including placing hidden cameras in the bedrooms and bathrooms at a home he shared with two minor female victims; Democratic California Congressman, **Tony Cárdenas**, who is being sued in LA County for allegedly sexually abused a 16-year-old girl; Democratic aide to Senator **Barbara Boxer**, **Jeff Rosato**, who plead guilty to charges of trading in child pornography; Alaskan State Representative, **Dean Westlake**, who resigned from his seat after the media published a report alleging he fathered a child with a 16-year-old girl when he was 28; New Jersey State Assemblyman, **Neil Cohen**, who was convicted of possession and distribution of child pornography; DNC donor and billionaire, **Jeffrey Epstein**, ran an underage child sex brothel for The Commission and was convicted of soliciting underage girls for prostitution; New York Congressman, **Anthony Weiner**, who plead guilty to transferring obscene material to a minor as part of a plea agreement for sexted and sending Twitter DMs to underage girls as young as 15; DNC donor, activist, and Hollywood producer **Harvey Weinstein** is being criminally prosecuted and civilly sued for years of sexual abuse (that was well known “secret” in Hollywood) including underage sexual activities with aspiring female actresses; DNC activist and #metoo proponent, **Asia Argento**, settled a lawsuit for sexual harassment stemming from sexual activities with an underage actor; Mayor of Racine, Wisconsin, **Gary Becker**, who was convicted of attempted child seduction, child pornography, and other child sex crimes; Democratic Seattle Mayor **Ed Murray** resigned after multiple accusations of child sexual abuse were levied against him including by family members; San Francisco Mayoral candidate **John Molinari** had his daughter removed from his home by San Francisco Police for his abuse of her according to SFPD reports; San Francisco Mayoral candidate **Roger Boas** was arrested for running an underage sex brothel which catered to San Francisco political elite; DNC activist and aid to NYC Mayor De Blasio, **Jacob Schwartz** was arrested on possession of 3,000+ child pornographic images; Democratic activist and actor, **Russell Simmons**, was sued based on an allegation of sexual assault where he coerced an underage model for sex; DNC Governor of Oregon, **Neil Goldschmidt**, after being caught by a newspaper, publicly admitted to having a past sexual relationship with a 13-year-old girl after the statute of limitations on the rape charges had expired; Democratic Illinois Congressman, **Mel Reynolds** resigned from Congress after he was convicted of statutory rape of a 16-year-old campaign volunteer; Democratic New York Congressman, **Fred Richmond**, was arrested in Washington D.C. for soliciting sex from a 16-year-old boy; Democratic activist, donor, and director, **Roman Polanski**, fled the country after pleading guilty to statutory rape of a 13-year-old girl - Democrats and Hollywood actors still defend him to this day, including, **Whoopi Goldberg, Martin Scorsese, Woody Allen, David Lynch, Wim Wenders, Pedro Almodovar, Tilda Swinton and Monica Bellucci**; Democratic State Senator from Alaska, **George Jacko**, was found guilty of sexual harassment of an underage legislative

page; Democratic State Representative candidate for Colorado, **Andrew Myers**, was convicted for possession of child pornography and enticing children; Illinois Congressman, **Gus Savage** was investigated by the Democrat-controlled House Committee on Ethics for attempting to rape an underage female Peace Corps volunteer in Zaire; Activist, donor, and spokesperson for Subway, **Jared Fogle**, was convicted of distribution and receipt of child pornography and traveling to engage in illicit sexual conduct with a minor; State Department official, **Carl Carey**, under **Hillary Clinton**'s state department, was arrested on ten counts of child porn possession; Maine Assistant Attorney General, **James Cameron**, was sentenced to just over 15 years in federal prison for seven counts of child porn possession, receipt and transmission; Leading DNC boss and financier **Ed Buck** was arrested for killing gay prostitutes and running a sex and drug ring. Wealthy businessman Ed Buck hobnobbed and financed elite Democrats such as Barack Obama, Hillary Clinton, and Gavin Newsom. Away from the glamour of the dinner parties and fundraisers, Buck had a dangerous dark side. It included preying on masked gay black men in sexual games and injecting them with fatal levels of GHB and methamphetamine. ; State Department official, **Daniel Rosen**, under **Hillary Clinton**'s state department, was arrested and charged with allegedly soliciting sex from a minor over the internet; State Department official, **James Cafferty**, pleaded guilty to one count of transportation of child pornography; Democratic radio host, **Bernie Ward**, plead guilty to one count of sending child pornography over the Internet; Democratic deputy attorney general from California, **Raymond Liddy**, was arrested for possession of child pornography. There are **THOUSANDS** of other sex crime cases involving associates of the tech Cartel. All of these perpetrators had financing from and social and political direction from the Silicon Valley controlling perpetrators.

An inordinate number of the [members are closeted homosexuals](#) who seek to use their [media monopolies and massive lobbyist ownership's](#) to [promote child sex](#) and child sex change consideration.

(<https://nationalnewsnetwork.net/video/the-silicon-valley-mafia-cartel>)

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/PayPal_Mafia)

(<https://nypost.com/2016/10/09/the-sex-slave-scandal-that-exposed-pedophile-billionaire-jeffrey-epstein/>)

Hence the [massive](#), sudden, [promotion of those issues](#) in all of [their media](#) since they took power in 2008 and pretty much ran the Obama White House.

(<https://www.nytimes.com/2014/07/20/fashion/silicon-valley-embrace-gay-and-lesbian-community.html>)

(<https://www.wired.com/2007/11/how-paypal-gave/>)

(<https://topica.asia/news/biggest-secret-of-silicon-valley-mafia-network/>)

The press has [widely reported](#) on underage boy sex clubs and the payment to [parents for the blood of young boys by these oligarchs](#). [A large number of tech VC's](#) and senior executives are covert gay activists who hire women to act as their "beards".

(<https://www.forbes.com/sites/scottallison/2012/08/25/gay-in-silicon-valley-a-founders-perspective/>)

(<https://www.cnbc.com/2017/05/31/blood-transfusions-from-teenagers-start-up-charging-8000-apiece.html>)

(<http://www.abeldanger.org/web/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/The-Silicon-Valley-Mafia-Update-4.2-1.pdf>)

Their elitist [Yale and Stanford](#) fraternity house upbringings promoted "[bromances](#)", "[rape culture](#)" and a [don't-worry-daddy-will-fix-it](#) mentality. Highly gay law firms, (like Covington, Perkins and Sonsini), actively lobby to place gay politicians in office from their Bay Area offices.

(<http://politicalhat.com/2018/08/13/tech-overlords-of-silicon-valley-gay-frogs-and-the-silencing-of-dissent/>)

(https://www.huffpost.com/entry/how-has-being-openly-gay_b_14367576)

(https://www.huffpost.com/entry/brock-turner-dad-letter-is-rape-culture-in-a-nutshell_n_57555bace4b0ed593f14cb30)

What some of the fundraisers for the political candidates tell these oligarchs to get their cash:

"...We need to control the government or you won't have enough money to buy private islands, ranches and penthouses and have young boys and girls flown in to have sex with. If we don't control the government, no more sex parties for you..."

The AngelGate Conspiracy (<https://venturecapitalcorruption.weebly.com/the-angelgate-conspiracy.html>); The Job Collusion Case (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-Tech_Employee_Antitrust_Litigation) and hundreds of other cases, prove that the perpetrators regularly [meet](#), [conspire](#), [collude](#) and racketeer, in [full view](#) of law enforcement, without ever getting arrested by the FBI because they bribe public officials in order to avoid prosecution.

(<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-5229757/amp/Inside-Silicon-Valleys-sex-drug-parties.html>)

Public officials and Silicon Valley oligarchs exchanged felony bribes and manipulated government actions in order to benefit themselves and harm us. these are the facts including the lists of bribes, attacks and covert financing routes!

Famous members of congress lie, cheat, steal and manipulate public records in order to protect their

trillions of dollars of Google, Facebook, Netflix, Tesla and Amazon insider stock market payola.

Now the public is working together, around the globe, to end this corruption forever by exposing every single one of the corrupt and all of their dirty secrets!

[Department of Energy staff \(ie: Chu\), White House staff \(ie: Emanuel\), CIA staff \(ie: Woolsey\) owned the rare earth \(ie: lithium, indium\) mining scam stock \(ie: Goldman Sachs transfers\) market securities from foreign countries \(ie: Afghanistan, Congo\) which only benefited themselves, Elon Musk and his Silicon Valley cartel.](#)

(http://american-corruption.com/department_of_energy_financing.html)

Demand the immediate divestiture of all stock market holdings of all politicians and their family members because that is how most bribes are now paid!

The Political Corruption Crimes Plaintiffs experienced In California And Washington DC

The government is responsible to Plaintiffs for the damages to Plaintiffs.

As natural born citizens, they suffered injuries caused by the crimes of government staff during, and after, Plaintiffs work for the government.


The NY Times reported: ["Ms. Feinstein and her husband sold \\$1.5 million to \\$6 million worth of stock in Allogene Therapeutics, a California-based biotech company, in transactions that took place on Jan. 31 and Feb. 18."](#) S

(<https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/19/us/politics/richard-burr-stocks-sold-coronavirus.html>)

he, as usual, claimed that she has "no involvement in her husband's financial decisions" to avoid criticism. Do you really think that she has no idea about multi-million dollar deals that her husband is involved in? Dianne Feinstein, and her family owned the HR services, the construction company, the leasing services and the stock market accounts in Tesla and Solyndra and got the owners of those companies their government hand-outs. White House Staff and Department of Energy staff were fully aware of this and covered up these conflicts to protect their own stock holdings and revolving door jobs. She, and other Senators, ordered hit-jobs on the competitors to those companies, who were their constituents, in order to protect their stock holding profiteering efforts.

Public integrity at The U.S. Government and The U.S. Congress is in shambles because of this audacious corruption.

THE TESLA TAX MONEY SCAM



The Crimes And Corruption That Plaintiffs experienced

These are just a few of the corrupt financial conflicts of interest we experienced while engaging in a federally contracted program:

- Plaintiffs saw Congress not only fail to eliminate both the appearance and the potential for financial conflicts of interest; we saw Senators, White House staff and Department of Energy executives optimize the support structure to engage in such criminality. Americans must be confident that actions taken by public officials are intended to serve the public, and not those officials. The actions taken by Obama Administration staff and Department of Energy officials in illicit coordination with U.S. Senators were criminal acts in violation of RICO and other laws.

- Plaintiffs saw illicit individual stock ownership by Members of Congress, Cabinet Secretaries, senior congressional staff, federal judges, White House staff and other senior agency officials while in office. Those government officials acquired, held, 'pump-and-dumped' and traded stock where its value was influenced by their agency, department, or actions in efforts that harmed us.

- Plaintiffs saw government officials, including Plaintiffs U.S. Senators, engage in organized crime via insider trading, revolving door job payola, sex-for-perks trades, stock valuation fluffs, and more illicit actions.

- *Plaintiffs saw conflict of interest laws and ethics violated by the President and Vice President in violation of Conflicts of Interest standards in which the President and the Vice President did not place conflicted assets, including businesses, into a blind trust to be sold off and hid conflicts of interest.*
- *Plaintiffs saw senior [Department of Energy government officials](http://american-corruption.com/department_of_energy_financing.html), employees, contractors and White House staff invest in privately-owned assets that did present conflicts and harmed us, including large companies like Tesla, Google, Facebook, Sony, Netflix, etc., and commercial real estate.
(http://american-corruption.com/department_of_energy_financing.html)*
- *Plaintiffs saw an organized crime scheme to not respond to filings by citizens or reporters. Former White House and Energy Department staff use 'stone-walling' to intentionally delay responses for a decade, or more, and that tactic continues to this day.*
- *Plaintiffs saw ethics rules violations by government employees, including unpaid White House staff and advisers.*
- *Plaintiffs saw executive branch employees fail to recuse from all issues that might financially benefit themselves or a previous employer or client from the preceding 4 years in the "Cleantech" programs.*
- *Plaintiffs saw a 'Revolving Door' between Silicon Valley industry and government and we saw tech companies buying influence in the government or profiting off of the public service of these officials.*
- *Plaintiffs saw lobbying by the President, Vice Presidents Members of Congress, federal judges, and Cabinet Secretaries; and, we saw other federal employees lobbying their former office, department, House of Congress, or agency.*
- *Plaintiffs saw Plaintiffs competitors immediately hiring or paying these senior government officials from agencies, departments, and/or Congressional offices recently lobbied by those companies and staff from Plaintiffs Senator's office go freely back-and-forth at jobs at the companies and the offices of the Senators.*
- *Plaintiffs saw the world's largest companies, banks, and monopolies, especially Goldman Sachs, (measured by annual revenue or market capitalization) hiring or paying former senior government officials mentioned herein. Plaintiffs saw the massive, and unfair, ability of companies to buy influence through current government employees*
- *Plaintiffs saw current lobbyists taking government jobs after lobbying.*
- *Plaintiffs saw over 82 of Plaintiffs friends and peers suddenly die under strange circumstances. Some of them appear to have been murdered to shut them up.*

- *Plaintiffs saw corporate outlaws like Google, Tesla, Facebook, LinkedIn, Netflix, Sony, etc., working in government via top corporate leaders whose companies were caught breaking federal law.*
- *Plaintiffs saw contractor corruption where federal contractors and licensee employees worked at the agency awarding the contracts.*
- *Plaintiffs saw “Golden Parachutes” that provide corporate bonuses to executives for federal service as bribes.*
- *Plaintiffs saw massive influence-peddling in Washington DC.*
- *Plaintiffs saw the manipulation of the federal definition of a “lobbyist” to exclude most individuals paid to influence government.*
- *Plaintiffs saw individuals paid to influence government on behalf of for-profit entities and their front-groups who were facades for Silicon Valley oligarchs.*
- *Plaintiffs saw the obfuscation of the disclosure of lobbyist activities and influence campaigns where Plaintiffs competitor's lobbyists did not disclose specific bills, policies, and government actions they attempted to influence; nor many meetings with public officials; and many documents they provided to those officials*
- *Plaintiffs saw massive influence-peddling by Foreign Actors such as that which occurred in the ENER1, Severstal, Solyndra and related scandals. Plaintiffs saw substantial foreign influence in Washington by foreign lobbying.*
- *Plaintiffs saw American lobbyists accepting money from foreign governments, foreign individuals, and foreign companies to influence United States public policy at The U.S. Government and other agencies.*
- *Plaintiffs saw Plaintiffs competitors current lobbyists taking government jobs after lobbying and using those positions against us where they exploited 'Legalized Lobbyist Bribery' and traded money for government favors for Plaintiffs competitors.*
- *Plaintiffs saw political donations from lobbyists to candidates or Members of Congress in exchange for helping Plaintiffs competitors that the lobbyists worked for and that the Members of Congress owned stock in. Plaintiffs saw those lobbyists operate contingency fees that allowed those lobbyists to be paid for a guaranteed public policy outcome.*
- *Plaintiffs saw Plaintiffs competitor's lobbyist gifts to the executive and legislative branch officials they lobby.*

- *Plaintiffs saw Plaintiffs Congressional representatives use Plaintiffs competitor's lobbyists for "expertise" and information in Plaintiffs industry.*
- *Plaintiffs saw those in Plaintiffs congressional service get paid non competitive salaries that do not track with other federal employees.*
- *Plaintiffs saw the removal of the nonpartisan Congressional Office of Technology Assessment to avoid providing open-source critical scientific and technological support to Members of Congress in order to tunnel-vision info about Plaintiffs competitors.*
- *Plaintiffs saw a non-level playing field between Plaintiffs competitor's corporate lobbyists and government via excessive lobbying over \$500,000 in annual lobbying expenditures by Plaintiffs competitors in a huge number of anti-trust violations.*
- *Plaintiffs saw a COMPLETE failure of individuals and corporations to disclose funding or editorial conflicts of interest in research submitted to agencies that is not publicly available in peer-reviewed publications.*
- *Plaintiffs saw McKinsey sham research which undermines the public interest by not requiring that such studies, that present conflicts of interest, undergo independent peer review to be considered in the Congressional rule-making process.*
- *Plaintiffs saw agencies refuse to justify withdrawn public interest rules via public, written explanations.*
- *Plaintiffs saw loopholes exploited by powerful corporations like Google, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix, Sony, etc., to block public interest actions.*
- *Plaintiffs saw loopholes that allow corporations, like Tesla and Google, to tilt the rules in their favor and against the public interest.*
- *Plaintiffs saw Silicon Valley oligarchs and their agency skills delay or dominate the rule-making process by the practice of inviting Google, Tesla or Facebook to negotiate rules they have to follow.*
- *Plaintiffs saw inter-agency review manipulation as a tool for corporate abuse used for the banning of informal review and closed-door industry lobbying at the White House's Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs*
- *Plaintiffs saw abusive injunctions from rogue judges, like Jackson, et al, where individual District Court judges, can temporarily block agencies from implementing final rules.*

- *Plaintiffs saw hostile agencies use sham delays of implementation and enforcement by using the presence of litigation to postpone the implementation of final rules.*
- *Plaintiffs saw obfuscation by agency public advocates to prevent public engagement.*
- *Plaintiffs saw the blockading of private lawsuits by members of the public to hold agencies accountable for failing to complete rules or enforce the law, and to hold corporations accountable for breaking the rules.*
- *Plaintiffs saw a failure to inoculate government agencies against corporate capture such as Google undertook against the White House.*
- *Plaintiffs saw Plaintiffs complaints and whistle-blowing buried in an avalanche of lobbyist activity.*
- *Plaintiffs saw Plaintiffs competitor corporations game the courts by requiring courts to presumptively defer to agency interpretations of laws and prohibiting courts from considering sham McKinsey studies and research excluded by agencies from the rule-making process*
- *Plaintiffs saw blocking of the Congressional Review Act provision banning related rules that prevent agencies from implementing the will of Congress based on Congress' prior disapproval of a different, narrow rule on a similar topic.*
- *Plaintiffs saw a failure in the integrity of the judicial branch by reducing rules that prevent conflicts of interest.*
- *Plaintiffs saw individual stock ownership by federal judges in Plaintiffs competitors.*
- *Plaintiffs saw judges accepting gifts or payments to attend private seminars from private individuals and corporations that were Plaintiffs competitors.*
- *Plaintiffs saw non-ethical behavior by the Supreme Court in which the Court did not follow the Code of Conduct that binds all other federal judges*
- *Plaintiffs saw a lack of public insight into the judicial process by the hiding of information about the process and an increase in the barriers to accessing information.*
- *Plaintiffs saw reduced disclosure of non-judicial activity by federal judges and the hiding of judges' financial reports, recusal decisions, and speeches.*
- *Plaintiffs saw a blockade of public access to court activity by refusing to live-stream, on the web, audio of their proceedings, making case information easily-accessible to the public free of charge, and by federal courts not sharing case assignment data in bulk.*

- *Plaintiffs saw Plaintiffs rights restricted and Plaintiffs access to justice blocked to all but the wealthiest individuals and companies.*
- *Plaintiffs saw barriers that prevented us as individuals from having Plaintiffs case heard in court via harsh pleading standards that make it too hard for individuals and businesses that have been harmed to make their case before a judge.*
- *Plaintiffs saw no independent agency dedicated to enforcing federal ethics and anti-corruption laws.*
- *Plaintiffs saw no support for stronger ethics and public integrity laws via stronger enforcement.*
- *Plaintiffs saw no federal ethics enforcement with effective investigative and disciplinary powers that would help individuals.*
- *Plaintiffs saw minimal enforcement of ethics laws via corrective action, levying civil and administrative penalties, and referring egregious violations to the Justice Department for criminal arrest and enforcement.*
- *Plaintiffs saw no IG anti-corruption and public integrity oversight over federal officials, including oversight of agency Inspectors General, or ethics matters for White House staff and agency heads, or waivers and recusals by senior government officials.*
- *Plaintiffs saw no investigation independent and protected from partisan politics through a single Director operating under strict selection, appointment, and removal criteria.*
- *Plaintiffs saw Deutsche Bank pay Jeffrey Epstein' Russian hookers and manipulate finances for Elon Musk to cook the books.*
- *Plaintiffs saw no easy online access to key government ethics and transparency documents, including financial disclosures; lobbyist registrations; lobbyist disclosures of meetings and materials; and all ethics records, recusals, and waivers.*
- *Plaintiffs saw no independent and empowered ethics office insulated from congressional politics.*
- *Plaintiffs saw few criminal and civil violations in Plaintiffs case referred to the Justice Department, the Office of Public Integrity, or other relevant state or federal law enforcement.*
- *Plaintiffs saw broken Federal Open Records laws, public official and candidate tax disclosures.*
- *Plaintiffs saw Silicon Valley Oligarch special interests using secret donations from corporations and their Cartel of billionaires to influence public policy without disclosure*

- *Plaintiffs saw Google and Facebook provide over a billion dollars of political campaign financing with NO action by the FEC.*
- *Plaintiffs saw fake tech company 'nonprofit organizations' refuse to list donors who bankrolled the production of any specific rule-making comment, congressional testimony, or lobbying material, and refuse to reveal whether the donors reviewed or edited the document at the Silicon Valley insider companies.*
- *Plaintiffs saw the hiding of individuals and corporations disclosures of funding, or editorial conflicts of interest, in research submitted to agencies that is not publicly available in peer-reviewed publications.*
- *Plaintiffs saw McKinsey sham "Cleantech" and "battery research" reports undermine the public interest by using studies that present conflicts of interest to independent peer review to be considered in the rule-making process.*
- *Plaintiffs saw loopholes in Plaintiffs open records laws that allow federal officials to hide tech industry and Silicon Valley oligarch industry influence.*
- *Plaintiffs saw a failure of the presumption of disclosure and a failure to affirmatively disclose records of public interest, including meeting agendas; government contracts; salaries; staff diversity; and reports to Congress.*
- *Plaintiffs saw Tesla Motors get in-person, hand-walked, through the GOVT government cash give-away while all of Tesla's competitors were ignored, black-listed, never communicated with and blockaded.*
- *Plaintiffs saw no use of a central FOIA website that is searchable and has downloadable open records databases with all open FOIA requests and all records disclosed through FOIA.*
- *Plaintiffs saw limited FOIA enforcement by not limiting FOIA exemptions and loopholes, and by not giving the National Archives the authority to overrule agency FOIA decisions and to compel disclosure.*
- *Plaintiffs saw Congress become less transparent by not ending the corporate lobbyists leg up in the legislative process. The public deserves to know what Congress is up to and how Silicon Valley lobbyists influence legislation.*
- *Plaintiffs saw a failure to require all congressional committees to immediately post online more information, including hearings and markup schedules, bill or amendments text, testimonies, documents entered into the hearing record, hearing transcripts, written witness answers, and hearing audio and video recordings.*

- *Plaintiffs saw a refusal of Members of Congress to post a link to their searchable voting record on their official websites*

- *Plaintiffs saw a hiding, by Silicon Valley lobbyists of when they lobby a specific congressional office; specific topics of visit; the official action being requested; and all documents provided to the office during the visit.*

- *Plaintiffs saw much, much more...*

Any politician who allows these crimes and corruptions to continue to exist is part of those crimes and corruptions. never believe any candidate who does not swear to end each of the above listed payola and corruption processes!

IF AMERICA WAS SERIOUS ABOUT ENDING CORRUPTION, IT WOULD MAKE THE ABOVE ILLICIT DEEDS A FELONY, BUT THE CALIFORNIA POLITICIANS WILL DO ANYTHING TO KEEP THEIR PIG TROUGH GOING!

THE ONGOING ATTACKS ON THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO REPORTED THESE CRIMES

The victim's cases have never been fairly reviewed by non-biased, non-conflicted officials. The victim's FBI-class investigators and peers have not found a single entity in the government's case reviews, or determinations, who was not either: financed by, friends with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with, making profits by consulting for, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; one of those business adversaries, or the Senators and politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political search engine manipulation services to. FBI and CIA-class Forensic data proves it.

The victims have demanded, in writing to SSA, DOJ, OSC, SEC, FBI, that an unbiased lawyer and CPA be provided by The State for the case but none has been provided. As they are now low-income, senior, disabled, felony crime victims, the federal government's LSC Corporation and public-interest law groups have stated that it is their right to receive such case assistance from The State. The victims have contacted NOSSCR, LSC, Legal Aid, NADR, and all known local resources on the list provided by the feds but none of those taxpayer funded entities have been responsive because they are helping the cover-ups.

The assertions provided by a Task Force team of 3 letter agency folks, Congressional staff, investigative reporters and crowd-sourced voters supporting the case investigations are beyond reproach, and true, unless someone refuses to hear the truth due to a personal political agenda.

The victim's government-promised benefits have STILL yet to be provided to them and many of the actions by politically conflicted federal officials, so far, have succeeded in harming the victims further. The peers of the victims have received millions and millions of dollars for their Department of Energy, and other agency, whistle-blowing but the other victims have been blocked from getting legal support.

These abuses and benefits blockades are a violation of the victim's human rights, U.S. Constitution and State Constitutional rights. (Yes, each State has constitutional rights you get, too)

The victims put their lives on the line for their country. They have worked 60 hours, or more, per week, since the 70's. They more than earned their full benefits plus damages, interest and back-fees equal to precedents set in the referenced whistle-blower court cases, below.

The victims are fully qualified for, and have the right to receive, a State supplied law firm to represent them but they have been blockaded from their rights because corrupt political figures are embarrassed about their crimes being exposed.

Elected officials and agency staff have one job, that job it is to work for THESE VICTIMS the citizens. Those politicians, though, have been shown to have millions of dollars in their stock market accounts from competing with these victims via their ownership in competing companies that they are supposed to be regulating.

At least one of the California Senators has over \$100 million dollars in their accounts, (a fact the FBI can confirm), from stock market manipulations like this. Politically driven, and greed motivated, agency staff are constantly looking for any little opportunity or reason to use agency resources to harm any whistle-blower in reprisal for the success of the anti-corruption task forces they have assisted.

Federal officials stealth-hired Google, Gawker, Gizmodo, YouTube, In-Q-Tel, Fusion GPS and Black Cube to produce tens of millions of dollars of political reprisal media attacks and coordinate toxic exposures against the victims. The attacks have been proven by federal and private investigators to have happened, The source of the attacks, the financiers of the attacks, the beneficiaries of the attacks and the operators of the attacks are the same handful of government people.

The victims are seeking an analytical, objective, reasonable, non-political review of their case. Unlike Julian Assange, Edward Snowden and other whistle-blowers, not only did they do nothing illegal but they are law enforcement and intelligence service consultant who HELP the nation! They are Smedley Butler-like and not Edward Snowden-like!

It is foolish for any party to ignore the capacity for crime that the Jeffrey Epstein, Harvey Weinstein (ie: his threat to have Jennifer Aniston killed for reporting his sex crimes) and Larry Page oligarchs get involved in, along with the Senators they own and control.

The nature of the core crime case is profound in that it was driven by White House staff and United States Senators, who ordered attacks on the victims in reprisal. These famous political figures use the trillions of dollars in government treasuries and massive stock market scams for illicit profiteering by rigging the system exclusively for themselves and their crony insiders.

They attacked the victims using government taxpayer funded media (Fusion GPS, Black Cube, Google/YouTube/Alphabet, Pysops, Gizmodo Media, Media Matters, Blumenthal, etc.) and spy agency tools because the victims competed with their businesses and reported their crimes. This month the news headlines reveal that San Francisco Bay Area government has as many corrupt politicians as Chicago and relies on the same RICO-violating insider corruption network to operate; as proven by deep AI searches of their financial records. Arrests of those officials are now underway.

Silicon Valley law enforcement records prove that the tech oligarchs that finance these political figures, engage in an organized, racketeering-based, massive sex trafficking, tax evasion, anti-trust violating, spousal abuse, money laundering, black-listing, racist, ageist, political bribery, crony racketeering crime Cartel. The Famous U.S. Senators, Governors and their staff knowingly engage in, finance, operate and benefit from these crimes in exchange for search engine manipulation and stock market insider trading.

The Google, Facebook and Twitter components of this Cartel censor and cover-up news coverage of these crimes, and attacked the victims, because they have a financial connection to the perpetrators.

All of the crooks have had their files hacked. The evidence is out there at the NSA, FBI, etc. Even hackers from Russia and China have copies of the incriminating data. The bad guys will eventually lose!

It is unlikely that any whistle-blowers have as productive a domestic resume, as many letters of reference from famous third-parties and as much proof-of-work as these victims have proven in their evidence sets. The victims have been friends with, and shared homes with, multiple White House and Senate staff and family members and some them have even vouched for the victims. These whistle-blowers know the 'inside scoop'.

The victims are bi-partisan and not affiliated with any political party but they hate political corruption and have the connections to fight it when it affects them and America. Pictures and videos of famous political figures hugging them and meeting with them abound.

In a positive turn of events Whistle-blower Walter Tamosaitis” (easily found on web searches) who was also a Department of Energy Whistle-blower, got a rare victory. Walter got \$4.1 MILLION DOLLARS for his whistle-blower work about The U.S. Government malfeasance.

The rest of the victims have gotten nothing but punishing benefits blockades and benefit reductions that guaranteed they would never be able to afford rent, going to a show or a restaurant, legal services or anything else in their lives! One of the people they helped get arrested sits around and drinks and collects over \$150,000.00 per year in government benefits...and they are an arrested crook. The victims are having a hard time seeing how they have been treated fairly in light of their deeply documented public service!

SSA, and other agencies, were weaponized as political reprisal tools against the victims. James Brown, Jr, the HHS California head of Obamacare was arrested by the FBI for political corruption and

racketeering during this. In Marin county HHS investigators were also arrested for sex crime. These tiny victories do not pay the rent for the victims, though.

Some of the victims were awarded a Congressional commendation award in the Iraq War Bill under the United States Congress. They, and their team were to build America's alternative energy back-up plan for the nation under the Department of Energy. They fully executed their federal agreement and did not go bankrupt, embezzle funds, bribe California politicians or get FBI- raided like their competitor: Solyndra!

U.S. Senators, Agency Heads And Congress-People Were bribed With These Payments:

Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures stock and stock warrants which is never reported to the FEC; Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures search engine rigging and shadow-banning which is never reported to the FEC; Free rent; Male and female prostitutes; Cars; Dinners; Party Financing; Sports Event Tickets; Political campaign printing and mailing services "Donations"; Secret PAC Financing; Jobs in Corporations in Silicon Valley For The Family Members of Those Who Take Bribes And Those Who Take Bribes; "Consulting" contracts from McKinsey as fronted pay-off gigs; Overpriced "Speaking Engagements" which are really just pay-offs conduited for donors; Private jet rides and use of Government fuel depots (ie: Google handed out NASA jet fuel to staff); Real Estate; Fake mortgages; The use of Cayman, Boca Des Tores, Swiss and related money-laundering accounts; Bribes to Stanford officials to admit their kids to college; The use of HSBC, Wells Fargo, Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank money laundering accounts and covert stock accounts; Free spam and bulk mailing services owned by Silicon Valley corporations; Use of high tech law firms such as Perkins Coie, Wilson Sonsini, MoFo, Covington & Burling, etc. to conduit bribes to officials; and other means now documented by us, The FBI, the FTC, The SEC, The FEC and journalists.

Part of this matter was featured on CBS News 60 Minutes investigative news segments. (ie: the segments: "THE CLEANTECH CRASH", "CONGRESS TRADING ON INSIDER INFORMATION", "THE LOBBYISTS PLAYBOOK" and investigations into hundreds of billions of dollars of stock market payola illicitly routed through Silicon Valley tech companies). *If the White House, at that time, had been charged for these stock market campaign payola crimes, The President would have been forced out of office. Instead, the AG, Eric Holder, was impeached/Held-In-Contempt-Of Congress and he had to leave office.*

This is why the attacks on the victims have been so spy agency-oriented and sophisticated: To punish them for helping law enforcement and because the victims accidentally competed with Senator's stock market schemes by making their products obsolete via BETTER products.

There are now thousands of news and Congressional reports; from “Spygate”, to The IRS Lois Lerner case to the FBI McCabe case and a vast number of SSA IG reports, particularly SSA about government agencies being weaponized against citizens for political reprisals. Compromised staff used SSA resources to harm the whistle-blowers and block their benefits because they helped halt one of the largest corruption schemes in modern American history.

This case is still an active case via many federal law enforcement and regulatory agencies. Some of the victims were cellular-level blood poisoned by exposure to toxic chemicals, compounds, powders and radioactive materials in their work environments for The U.S. Government projects. It is unclear if this poisoning was intentional “Alexander Valterovich Litvinenko-like” reprisal poisoning or accidental. The minimal Obamacare medical coverage that some of the victims have does not fund the testing and treatment of Cesium, Thorium overdose, micro-particulant toxins, radical solvents, high energy EMF and the kinds of exotic materials that Department of Energy weapons and energy labs, that victims worked with, use in their locations.

While the victims benefits application was underway in one part of the federal building, on the upper floors of the same building, victims were assisting a federal crime investigation against powerful local and Washington DC politicians and their oligarch financiers, on other floors of that federal building, corrupt political officials were figuring out how to harm the victims and engage in reprisals.

From FBI-class federal investigators and private investigators, records prove that well known California Senate officials and well known White House officials ordered government benefits to be blocked, delayed, obfuscated, denied and otherwise harmed as political reprisal and retribution for the assistance the victims supplied to law enforcement.

Criminal forensic data has proven that digital manipulation of some of victims records and files did occur and that SSA computers are regularly hacked by many parties including the China 'Cloud Hopper' APT 10 group, currently under federal indictment, and hundreds of domestic attack groups, some of whom are hired by U.S. Senators. A number of California and Washington DC Senators and agency heads have already been arrested, indicted and/or removed from office in these matters.

Over 40 of the victims peers in this matter (Rajeev Motwani, Gary D. Conley, Seth Rich, Dr. Epstein’s wife, etc.) are now dead from mysterious circumstances. Victims have received numerous death threats and have been personally attacked on multiple occasions including getting their cars rammed and drive-by death threats.

Some of those victims may have been murdered for whistle-blowing. Multiple senior government officials and Senators have been exposed hiring Google, YouTube, Fusion GPS, In-Q-Tel, PsyOps, Cambridge Analytica, ShareBlue, Media Matters, Black Cube, Gizmodo and other "kill services" to attack citizens in political reprisals.

[Pelosi, Feinstein & Congress Cash in on Insider Trading | RepresentUs](https://represent.us/action/insider-trading/)

<https://represent.us/action/insider-trading/>

In 2011, a CBS investigation blew the lid off of one of Washington's most poorly-kept secrets: members of **Congress** were routinely exploiting legal loopholes to engage in **insider trading** and line their own pockets — a criminal offense for regular citizens. In the ensuing public outrage, **Congress** passed a law called the STOCK Act, and took a [...]

[*Congress: California Senators Trading stock on inside information? - CBS News*](#)

 <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/congress-trading-stock-on-inside-information/>

Congress: Trading stock on inside information? ... But, congressional lawmakers have no corporate responsibilities and have long been considered exempt from **insider trading** laws, even though they ...

[*Congress Tells Court That Congress Can't Be Investigated ...*](#)

<https://theintercept.com/2015/05/07/congress-argues-cant-investigated-insider-trading/>

But as the Securities and Exchange Commission made news with the first major investigation of political **insider trading**, **Congress** moved to block the inquiry.

[*Reckless stock trading leaves Congress rife with corruption ... - POLITICO*](#)

<https://www.politico.com/story/2017/05/14/congress-stock-trading-conflict-of-interest-rules-238033>

POLITICO Investigation. Reckless stock **trading** leaves **Congress** rife with conflicts . After the furor over Tom Price's investments, four more members quietly bought shares in the same firm.

[*Nancy Pelosi Built Wealth on 'Insider Trading', that's where her covert \\$120M came from...*](#)

 <https://thepoliticalinsider.com/nancy-pelosi-insider-trading/>

"**Nancy Pelosi** has engaged in **insider trading**," Hill said, "because she's been the beneficiary of information that other people wouldn't have, so Paul **Pelosi** is able to make active trades on her **insider** knowledge." Listen below:

[*Apple Google Silicon Valley No Cold Calling Anti-Poaching*](#)

 <https://www.lieffcabraser.com/antitrust/high-tech-employees/>


Silicon Valley firms and other **high-tech** companies owe their tremendous successes to the sacrifices and hard work of their employees, and must take responsibility for their misconduct. One of the principal means by which **high-tech** companies recruit employees is to solicit them directly from other companies in a process referred to as "cold ...

[*Engineers Allege Hiring Collusion in Silicon Valley - The ...*](#)

 <https://www.nytimes.com/2014/03/01/technology/engineers-allege-hiring-collusion-in-silicon-valley.html>

Mar 1, 2014 Alan Hyde, a Rutgers professor who wrote "Working in **Silicon Valley**: Economic and Legal Analysis of a **High-Velocity** Labor Market," said the **no-poaching** accusations go contrary to what has made ...

[Apple, Google and others to pay \\$415m to settle **Silicon** ...](#)

 <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/technology/news/11843237/Apple-Google-and-others-to-pay-415m-to-settle-Silicon-Valley-no-poaching-lawsuit.html>

Apple, Google and others to pay \$415m to settle **Silicon Valley 'no poaching' lawsuit** US judge agrees settlement that will see thousands of technology workers receive thousands of dollars

[Dirty Secrets of **Silicon Valley Poaching** | Paysa](#)

 <https://www.paysa.com/blog/dirty-secrets-of-silicon-valley-poaching/>

Silicon Valley is a talent magnet. With prestigious **high-tech** corporations such as Google, Apple, Facebook, Netflix, and Tesla Motors among the Fortune 1000 companies and thousands of startups finding their home in the world-renowned technology hub, this comes as **no** surprise.

[**Silicon Valley no-poaching** deal appears headed for approval](#)

 <https://phys.org/news/2015-03-silicon-valley-no-poaching.html>

Silicon Valley no-poaching deal appears headed for approval. by Howard Mintz, San Jose Mercury News

[**Silicon Valley's \\$415 million poaching** settlement finalized](#)

 <https://www.mercurynews.com/2015/09/03/silicon-valleys-415-million-poaching-settlement-finalized/>

Sep 3, 2015 **Silicon Valley's \$415 million poaching** settlement finalized ... **valley-tech**-giants-learn-from-**no-poaching**-antitrust-case/ 'When Rules Don't Apply': Did **Silicon Valley tech** giants learn from **no** ...

[Justice Department Requires Six **High Tech** Companies to ...](#)

 <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-requires-six-high-tech-companies-stop-entering-anticompetitive-employee>

One of the complaints arose out of a larger investigation by the Antitrust Division into employment practices by **high tech** firms. The division continues to investigate other similar **no** solicitation agreements. Adobe Systems Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in San Jose, Calif., and 2009 revenues of nearly \$3 billion.

Cold calling is one of the main methods used by companies in the high-technology sector to recruit employees with advanced and specialised skills, such as software and hardware engineers,

programmers, animators, digital artists, Web developers and other technical professionals.[1] Cold calling involves communicating directly in any manner with another firm's employee who has not otherwise applied for a job opening. Cold calling may be done in person, by phone, letter, or email.[2] According to the legal brief filed by a Plaintiffs in one of the class-action cases, cold calling is an effective method of recruiting for the high-technology sector because "employees of other [high-technology] companies are often unresponsive to other recruiting strategies... [and] current satisfied employees tend to be more qualified, harder working, and more stable than those who are actively looking for employment." [3]

Amy Lambert, Google's associate general counsel, noted in a blog post shortly after the DOJ's actions, that Google's definition of cold calling does not necessarily eliminate recruiting by letter or email, but only the process of calling on the telephone. By implication, recruiting through LinkedIn incurs recruiting by "InMail" - LinkedIn's own mail contact system: "In order to maintain a good working relationship with these companies, in 2005 we decided not to "cold call" employees at a few of Plaintiffs partner companies. Plaintiffs policy only impacted cold calling, and we continued to recruit from these companies through LinkedIn, job fairs, employee referrals, or when candidates approached Google directly. In fact, we hired hundreds of employees from the companies involved during this time period."

The challenged "no cold call" agreements are alleged bilateral agreements between high technology companies not to cold call each other's employees. The DOJ alleges that senior executives at each company negotiated to have their employees added to 'no call' lists maintained by human resources personnel or in company hiring manuals. The alleged agreements were not limited by geography, job function, product group, or time period. The alleged bilateral agreements were between: (1) Apple and Google, (2) Apple and Adobe, (3) Apple and Pixar, (4) Google and Intel, (5) Google and Intuit,[4] and (6) Lucasfilm and Pixar.[5]

The civil class action further alleges that agreements also existed to (1) "provide notification when making an offer to another [company]'s employee (without the knowledge or consent of the employee)" and (2) "agreements that, when offering a position to another company's employee, neither company would counteroffer above the initial offer." [3]

Department of Justice antitrust action

The [United States Department of Justice Antitrust Division](#) filed a complaint in the [US District Court for the District of Columbia](#) alleging violations of Section 1 of the [Sherman Act](#). In *US v. Adobe Systems Inc., et al.*, the Department of Justice alleged that Adobe, Apple, Google, Intel, Intuit, and Pixar had violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act by entering into a series of bilateral "No Cold Call" Agreements to prevent the recruitment of their employees (a similar but separate suit was filed against Lucasfilm on December 21, 2010[6]).

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-Tech_Employee_Antitrust_Litigation#cite_note-6)

The DOJ alleged in their Complaint that the companies had reached "facially anticompetitive" agreements that "eliminated a significant form of competition...to the detriment of the affected

employees who were likely deprived of competitively important information and access to better job opportunities." The DOJ also alleged that the agreements "were not ancillary to any legitimate collaboration," "were much broader than reasonably necessary for the formation or implementation of any collaborative effort," and "disrupted the normal price-setting mechanisms that apply in the labor setting."^[4] The same day it filed the suit, the DOJ and the defendants proposed a settlement.^[7]

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-Tech_Employee_Antitrust_Litigation#cite_note-doj_case_proposed_settlement-7)

A final judgment enforcing the settlement was entered by the court on March 17, 2011.^[8]

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-Tech_Employee_Antitrust_Litigation#cite_note-doj_adobe_final_judgement-8)

Although the DOJ Complaint only challenged the alleged "no cold call" agreements, in the settlement, the companies agreed to a more broad prohibition against "attempting to enter into, entering into, maintaining or enforcing any agreement with any other person to in any way refrain from, requesting that any person in any way refrain from, or pressuring any person in any way to refrain from soliciting, cold calling, recruiting, or otherwise competing for employees of the other person", for a period of five years; the court can grant an extension.^[8]

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-Tech_Employee_Antitrust_Litigation#cite_note-doj_adobe_final_judgement-8)

The settlement agreement does not provide any compensation for company employees affected by the alleged agreements.^[9]

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-Tech_Employee_Antitrust_Litigation#cite_note-judge oks-9)

Lucasfilm entered into a similar settlement agreement in December 2010.^[5]

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-Tech_Employee_Antitrust_Litigation#cite_note-Richey-5)

Civil class action

In re: High-Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation ([U.S. District Court, Northern District of California](#) 11-cv-2509 ^[10]) is a [class-action](#) lawsuit on behalf of over 64,000 employees of [Adobe](#), [Apple Inc.](#), [Google](#), [Intel](#), [Intuit](#), [Pixar](#) and [Lucasfilm](#) (the last two are subsidiaries of [Disney](#)) against their employer alleging that their wages were repressed due to alleged agreements between their employers not to hire employees from their competitors.^{[11][12]} The case was filed on May 4, 2011 by a former software engineer at Lucasfilm and alleges violations of California's antitrust statute, Business and Professions Code sections 16720 et seq. (the "Cartwright Act"); Business and Professions Code section 16600; and California's unfair competition law, Business and Professions Code sections 17200, et seq. Focusing on the network of connections around former Apple CEO [Steve Jobs](#), the Complaint alleges "an interconnected web of express agreements, each with the active involvement and participation of a company under the control of Steve Jobs...and/or a company that shared at least one member of [Apple's](#)

[board of directors](#)." The alleged intent of this conspiracy was "to reduce employee compensation and mobility through eliminating competition for skilled labor."[\[13\]](#)

On October 24, 2013 the [United States District Court for the Northern District of California](#) granted class certification for all employees of Defendant companies from January 1, 2005 through January 1, 2010.[\[9\]](#)

As of October 31, 2013, Intuit, Pixar and Lucasfilm have reached a tentative settlement agreement. Pixar and Lucasfilm agreed to pay \$9 million in damages, and Intuit agreed to pay \$11 million in damages.[\[9\]](#) In May 2014, Judge [Lucy Koh](#) approved the \$20 million settlement between Lucasfilm, Pixar, and Intuit and their employees. Class members in this settlement, which involved fewer than 8% of the 65,000 employees affected, will receive around \$3,840 each.[\[14\]](#)

The trial of the class action for the remaining Defendant companies was scheduled to begin on May 27, 2014. The plaintiffs intended to ask the jury for \$3 billion in compensation, a number which could in turn have tripled to \$9 billion under antitrust law.[\[15\]](#) However, in late April 2014, the four remaining defendants, [Apple Inc](#), [Google](#), [Intel](#) and [Adobe Systems](#), agreed to settle out of court. Any settlement must be approved by Judge [Lucy Koh](#).[\[16\]](#)[\[17\]](#)

On May 23, 2014, Apple, Google, Intel, Adobe agreed to settle for \$324.5 million. Lawyers sought 25% in attorneys' fees, plus expenses of as much as \$1.2 million, according to the filing. Additional award payments of \$80,000 would be sought for each named Plaintiffs who served as a class representative.[\[18\]](#) Payouts will average a few thousand dollars based on the salary of the employee at the time of the complaint.

In June 2014, Judge Lucy Koh expressed concern that the settlement may not be a good one for the plaintiffs. Michael Devine, one of the plaintiffs, said the settlement is unjust. In a letter he wrote to the judge he said the settlement represents only one-tenth of the \$3 billion in compensation the 64,000 workers could have made if the defendants had not colluded.[\[19\]](#)

On August 8, 2014, Judge Koh rejected the settlement as insufficient on the basis of the evidence and exposure. Rejecting a settlement is unusual in such cases. This left the defendants with a choice between raising their settlement offer or facing a trial.[\[20\]](#)

On September 8, 2014, Judge Koh set April 9, 2015 as the actual trial date for the remaining defendants, with a pre-trial conference scheduled for December 19, 2014. Also, as of early September 2014, the defendants had re-entered mediation to determine whether a new settlement could be reached.[\[21\]](#)

A final approval hearing was held on July 9, 2015.[\[22\]](#) On Wednesday September 2, 2015, Judge Lucy H. Koh signed an order granting Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement. The settlement website stated that Adobe, Apple, Google, and Intel has reached a settlement of \$415 million and other companies settled for \$20 million.

According to the settlement website, Gilardi & Co., LLC distributed the settlement to class members the week of December 21, 2015.

See also

- [Corrupt Eric Schmidt And His Role In The Illegal Collusion](#)
- [Antipoaching](#)

The Tectopus: How Silicon Valley's most celebrated CEOs conspired to drive down 100,000 tech engineers' wages

[By Mark Ames](#)

In early 2005, as demand for Silicon Valley engineers began [booming](#), Apple's Steve Jobs sealed a secret and illegal pact with Google's Eric Schmidt to artificially push their workers wages lower by agreeing not to recruit each other's employees, sharing wage scale information, and punishing violators. On February 27, 2005, Bill Campbell, a member of Apple's board of directors and [senior advisor](#) to Google, emailed Jobs to confirm that Eric Schmidt "got directly involved and firmly stopped all efforts to recruit anyone from Apple."

Later that year, Schmidt instructed his Sr VP for Business Operation Shona Brown to keep the pact a secret and only share information "verbally, since I don't want to create a paper trail over which we can be sued later?"

These secret conversations and agreements between some of the biggest names in Silicon Valley were first exposed in a Department of Justice antitrust [investigation](#) launched by the Obama Administration in 2010. That DOJ suit became the basis of a [class action lawsuit](#) filed on behalf of over 100,000 tech employees whose wages were artificially lowered — an [estimated \\$9 billion](#) effectively stolen by the high-flying companies from their workers to pad company earnings — in the second half of the 2000s. Last week, the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals denied attempts by Apple, Google, Intel, and Adobe to have the lawsuit tossed, and gave final approval for the class action suit to go forward. A jury trial date has been set for May 27 in San Jose, before US District Court judge Lucy Koh, who presided over the Samsung-Apple patent suit.

In a related but separate investigation and ongoing suit, eBay and its former CEO Meg Whitman, now CEO of HP, are being sued by both the [federal government](#) and the [state of California](#) for arranging a similar, secret wage-theft agreement with Intuit (and possibly Google as well) during the same period.

The secret wage-theft agreements between Apple, Google, Intel, Adobe, Intuit, and Pixar (now owned by Disney) are described in court papers obtained by PandoDaily as "an overarching conspiracy" in violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act and the Clayton Antitrust Act, and at times it reads like something lifted straight out of the robber baron era that produced those laws. Today's inequality crisis is America's [worst on record](#) since statistics were first recorded a hundred years ago — the only comparison would be to the era of the railroad tycoons in the late 19th century.

Shortly after sealing the pact with Google, Jobs strong-armed Adobe into joining after he complained to CEO Bruce Chizen that Adobe was recruiting Apple's employees. Chizen sheepishly responded that he thought only a small class of employees were off-limits:

I thought we agreed not to recruit any senior level employees.... I would propose we keep it that way. Open to discuss. It would be good to agree.

Jobs responded by threatening war:

OK, I'll tell Plaintiffs recruiters they are free to approach any Adobe employee who is not a Sr. Director or VP. Am I understanding your position correctly?

Adobe's Chizen immediately backed down:

I'd rather agree NOT to actively solicit any employee from either company.....If you are in agreement, I will let my folks know.

The next day, Chizen let his folks — Adobe's VP of Human Resources — know that "we are not to solicit ANY Apple employees, and visa versa." Chizen was worried that if he didn't agree, Jobs would make Adobe pay:

if I tell Steve [Jobs] it's open season (other than senior managers), he will deliberately poach Adobe just to prove a point. Knowing Steve, he will go after some of Plaintiffs top Mac talent...and he will do it in a way in which they will be enticed to come (extraordinary packages and Steve wooing).

Indeed Jobs even threatened war against Google early 2005 before their "gentlemen's agreement," telling Sergey Brin to back off recruiting Apple's Safari team:

if you [Brin] hire a single one of these people that means war.

Brin immediately advised Google's Executive Management Team to halt all recruiting of Apple employees until an agreement was discussed.

In the geopolitics of [Silicon Valley tech power](#), Adobe was no match for a corporate superpower like Apple. Inequality of the sort we're experiencing today affects everyone in ways we haven't even thought of — whether it's Jobs bullying slightly lesser executives into joining an illegal wage-theft pact, or the tens of thousands of workers whose wages were artificially lowered, transferred into higher corporate earnings, and [higher compensations](#) for those already richest and most powerful to begin with.

Over the next two years, as the tech industry entered another frothing bubble, the secret wage-theft pact which began with Apple, Google and Pixar expanded to include Intuit and Intel. The secret agreements were based on relationships, and those relationships were forged in Silicon Valley's [incestuous boards of directors](#), which in the past has been recognized mostly as a problem for shareholders and corporate governance advocates, rather than for the tens of thousands of employees whose wages and lives are viscerally affected by their clubby backroom deals. Intel CEO Paul Otellini [joined](#) Google's board of directors in 2004, a part-time gig that netted Otellini [\\$23 million in 2007](#), with tens of millions more in

Google stock options still in his name — which worked out to \$464,000 per Google board event if you only counted the stock options Otellini cashed out — dwarfing what Otellini made off his Intel stock options, despite spending most of his career with the company.

Meanwhile, Eric Schmidt served on Apple's board of directors until 2009, when a [DoJ antitrust investigation](#) pushed him to resign. Intuit's chairman at the time, Bill Campbell, also served on Apple's board of directors, and as official advisor — "[consigliere](#)" — to Google chief Eric Schmidt, until he [resigned](#) from Google in 2010. Campbell, a celebrated figure ("a quasi-religious force for good in Silicon Valley") played a key behind-the-scenes role connecting the various CEOs into the wage-theft pact. Steve Jobs, who took regular Sunday walks with Campbell near their Palo Alto homes, valued Campbell for his ability "to get A and B work out of people," gushing that the conduit at the center of the \$9 billion wage theft suit, "[loves people, and he loves growing people.](#)"

Indeed. Eric Schmidt has been, if anything, even more profuse in his praise of Campbell. Schmidt credits Campbell for structuring Google when Schmidt was brought on board in 2001:

His contribution to Google — it is literally not possible to overstate. He essentially architected the organizational structure.

Court documents show it was Campbell who first brought together Jobs and Schmidt to form the core of the Silicon Valley wage-theft pact. And Campbell's name appears as the early conduit bringing Intel into the pact with Google:

Bill Campbell (Chairman of Intuit Board of Directors, Co-Lead Director of Apple, and advisor to Google) was also involved in the Google-Intel agreement, as reflected in an email exchange from 2006 in which Bill Campbell agreed with Jonathan Rosenberg (Google Advisor to the Office of CEO and former Senior Vice President of Product Management) that Google should call [Intel CEO] Paul Otellini before making an offer to an Intel employee, regardless of whether the Intel employee first approached Google.

Getting Google on board with the wage-theft pact was the key for Apple from the start — [articles](#) in the tech press in 2005 pointed at Google's recruitment drive and incentives were the key reason why tech wages soared that year, at the highest rate in well over a decade.

Campbell helped bring in Google, Intel, and, in 2006, Campbell saw to it that Intuit — the company he [chaired](#) — also joined the pact.

From the peaks of Silicon Valley, Campbell's interpersonal skills were [magical](#) and awe-inspiring, a crucial factor in creating so much unimaginable wealth for their companies and themselves. Jobs [said](#) of Campbell:

There is something deeply human about him.

And Schmidt [swooned](#):

He is my closest confidant...because he is the definition of trust.

Things — and people — look very different when you're down in the Valley. In the nearly 100-page court opinion issued last October by Judge Koh granting class status to the lawsuit, Campbell comes off as anything but mystical and "deeply human." He comes off as a scheming consigliere carrying out some of the drearier tasks that the oligarchs he served were constitutionally not so capable of arranging without him.

But the realities of inequality and capitalism invariably lead to mysticism of this sort, a natural human response to the dreary realities of concentrating so much wealth and power in the hands of a dozen interlocking board members at the expense of 100,000 employees, and so many other negative knock-off effects on the politics and culture of the world they dominate.

One of the more telling elements to this lawsuit is the role played by "Star Wars" creator George Lucas, who emerges as the Obi-Wan Kenobi of the wage-theft scheme. It's almost too perfectly symbolic that Lucas — the symbiosis of Baby Boomer New Age mysticism, Left Coast power, political infantilism, and dreary 19th century labor exploitation — should be responsible for dreaming up the wage theft scheme back in the mid-1980s, when Lucas sold the computer animation division of Lucasfilm, Pixar, to Steve Jobs.

As Pixar went independent in 1986, Lucas explained his philosophy about how competition for computer engineers violated his sense of normalcy — and profit margins. According to court documents:

George Lucas believed that companies should not compete against each other for employees, because '[i]t's not normal industrial competitive situation.' As George Lucas explained, 'I always — the rule we had, or the rule that I put down for everybody,' was that 'we cannot get into a bidding war with other companies because we don't have the margins for that sort of thing.'

Translated, Lucas' wage-reduction agreement meant that Lucasfilm and Pixar agreed to a) never cold call each other's employees; b) notify each other if making an offer to an employee of the other company, even if that employee applied for the job on his or her own without being recruited; c) any offer made would be "final" so as to avoid a costly bidding war that would drive up not just the employee's salary, but also drive up the pay scale of every other employee in the firm.

Jobs held to this agreement, and used it as the basis two decades later to suppress employee costs just as fierce competition was driving up tech engineers' wages.

The companies argued that the non-recruitment agreements had nothing to do with driving down wages. But the court ruled that there was "extensive documentary evidence" that the pacts were designed specifically to push down wages, and that they succeeded in doing so. The evidence includes software tools used by the companies to keep tabs on pay scales to ensure that within job "families" or titles, pay remained equitable within a margin of variation, and that as competition and recruitment boiled over in 2005, emails between executives and human resources departments complained about the pressure on wages caused by recruiters cold calling their employees, and bidding wars for key engineers.

Google, like the others, used a "salary algorithm" to ensure salaries remained within a tight band across like jobs. Although tech companies like to claim that talent and hard work are rewarded, in private, Google's "People Ops" department kept overall compensation essentially equitable by making sure that lower-paid employees who performed well got higher salary increases than higher-paid employees who also performed well.

As Intel's director of Compensation and Benefits bluntly summed up the Silicon Valley culture's official cant versus its actual practices,

While we pay lip service to meritocracy, we really believe more in treating everyone the same within broad bands.

The companies in the pact shared their salary data with each other in order to coordinate and keep down wages — something unimaginable had the firms not agreed to not compete for each other's employees. And they fired their own recruiters on just a phone call from a pact member CEO.

In 2007, when Jobs learned that Google tried recruiting one of Apple's employees, he forwarded the message to Eric Schmidt with a personal comment attached: "I would be very pleased if your recruiting department would stop doing this."

Within an hour, Google made a "public example" by "terminating" the recruiter in such a manner as to "(hopefully) prevent future occurrences."

Likewise, when Intel CEO Paul Otellini heard that Google was recruiting their tech staff, he sent a message to Eric Schmidt: "Eric, can you pls help here???"

The next day, Schmidt wrote back to Otellini: "If we find that a recruiter called into Intel, we will terminate the recruiter."

One of the reasons why non-recruitment works so well in artificially lowering workers' wages is that it deprives employees of information about the job market, particularly one as competitive and overheating as Silicon Valley's in the mid-2000s. As the companies' own internal documents and statements showed, they generally considered cold-calling recruitment of "passive" talent — workers not necessarily looking for a job until enticed by a recruiter — to be the most important means of hiring the best employees.

Just before joining the wage-theft pact with Apple, Google's human resources executives are quoted sounding the alarm that they needed to "dramatically increase the engineering hiring rate" and that would require "drain[ing] competitors to accomplish this rate of hiring." One CEO who noticed Google's hiring spree was eBay CEO Meg Whitman, who in early 2005 called Eric Schmidt to complain, "Google is the talk of the Valley because [you] are driving up salaries across the board." Around this time, eBay entered an illegal wage-theft non-solicitation scheme of its own with Bill Campbell's Intuit, which is still being tried in ongoing federal and California state suits.

Google placed the highest premium on "passive" talent that they cold-called because "passively sourced candidates offer[ed] the highest yield," according to court documents. The reason is like the

old Groucho Marx joke about not wanting to belong to a club that would let you join it — workers actively seeking a new employer were assumed to have something wrong with them; workers who weren't looking were assumed to be the kind of good happy talented workers that company poachers would want on their team.

For all of the high-minded talk of post-industrial technotopia and Silicon Valley as worker's paradise, what we see here in stark ugly detail is how the same old world scams and rules are still operative.

Follow all of the Techtopus coverage [here](#).

Article References

- Singer, Bill. ["After Apple, Google, Adobe, Intel, Pixar, And Intuit, Antitrust Employment Charges Hit eBay"](#). *Forbes*. Retrieved 2013-12-02.
- DOJ. ["Complaint, US v. Adobe Systems Inc., et al"](#) (PDF). DOJ. Retrieved 2013-12-02.
- ["Complaint, Hariharan v. Adobe Systems Inc., et al"](#) (PDF). Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein. Retrieved 2013-12-02.
- ["Complaint, US v. Adobe Systems Inc., et al"](#) (PDF). Department of Justice. Retrieved 2013-12-02.
- Richey, Warren. ["Lucasfilm settles antitrust case over wage suppression of top animators"](#). *The Christian Science Monitor*. Retrieved 2013-12-02.
- ["Complaint, US v. Lucasfilm Ltd"](#). Department of Justice. Retrieved 2013-12-02.
- ["Justice Department Requires Six High Tech Companies to Stop Entering into Anticompetitive Employee Solicitation Agreements"](#) (Press release). United States Department of Justice. September 24, 2010. Retrieved 2016-01-14.
- ["U.S. v. Adobe Systems, Inc., et al.: Final Judgment"](#). United States Department of Justice. March 17, 2011. Retrieved 14 January 2016.
- ["Judge OKs class-action suit against Apple, Intel, Google, Adobe"](#). *San Jose Mercury News*. Retrieved 2013-12-02.
- Dan Levine (2014-04-24). ["Apple, Google agree to settle lawsuit alleging hiring conspiracy"](#). *Chicago Tribune*. Reuters. Retrieved 2016-01-17.
- Rosenblatt, Joel. ["Apple, Google Must Face Group Antitrust Hiring Lawsuit"](#). *Bloomberg*. Retrieved 2013-10-27.
- ["Judge Grants Class-Action Status in Silicon Valley Hiring Suit"](#). *The Wall Street Journal*. 2002-10-03. Retrieved 2013-10-27.
- ["Complaint, Hariharan v. Adobe Systems Inc., et al"](#) (PDF). Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein. Retrieved 2013-12-02.

- Cooley, Brian (2014-05-16). "[Judge approves first payout in antitrust wage-fixing lawsuit](#)". CNET. Retrieved 2016-01-17.
 - "[Dockets & Filings: In re: High-Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation](#)". Justia. Retrieved 2013-12-02.
 - Levine, Dan (2014-04-24). "[Apple, Google agree to settle lawsuit alleging hiring, salary conspiracy](#)". The Washington Post. Retrieved 2016-01-17.
 - Levine, Dan (2014-04-24). "[Apple, Google agree to settle lawsuit alleging hiring conspiracy](#)". Reuters. Retrieved 2016-01-17.
 - "[Apple, Google, Intel, Adobe Settle for \\$324.5 Million](#)". Bloomberg News. Retrieved 2014-05-26.
 - "[Judge questions settlement in Silicon Valley no-poaching case](#)". San Jose Mercury News. 2014-06-19. Retrieved 2016-01-17.
 - "[Court Rejects Deal on Hiring in Silicon Valley](#)". The New York Times. 9 August 2014.
 - "[Judge Koh Sets April 2015 Trial In Tech Anti-Poach Row](#)". Retrieved 2015-01-13.
22. [Court preliminarily approves \\$415m settlement of high-tech no-poaching lawsuit](#)". Retrieved 2015-06-30.

External links

- [Docket for US v. Adobe Systems Inc., et al.](https://www.justice.gov/atr/cases/adobe.htm) (<https://www.justice.gov/atr/cases/adobe.htm>)
- [Docket for US v. Lucasfilm Ltd.](https://www.justice.gov/atr/cases/lucasfilm.html) (<https://www.justice.gov/atr/cases/lucasfilm.html>)
- [The Silicon Valley Anti-Poaching Conspiracy](https://web.archive.org/web/20150122225943/http://antitrust.buzz/anti-poaching/) (<https://web.archive.org/web/20150122225943/http://antitrust.buzz/anti-poaching/>)
- [Docket for In re: High-Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation](http://dockets.justia.com/docket/california/candce/5:2011cv02509/243796/) (<http://dockets.justia.com/docket/california/candce/5:2011cv02509/243796/>)
- [Order Granting Plaintiffs' Supplemental Motion for Class Certification, In re High-Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation, no 11-CV-02509 \(N.D. Cal. Oct. 24, 2013\)](https://www.scribd.com/doc/201651711/October-24-2013-Class-Cert-Order) (<https://www.scribd.com/doc/201651711/October-24-2013-Class-Cert-Order>)
- [High-Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation Settlement Administration Website](http://www.hightechemployeelawsuit.com/) (<http://www.hightechemployeelawsuit.com/>)
- <http://pando.com/tag/techtopus/>
- [*http://pando.com/2014/03/30/court-docs-google-hiked-wages-to-combat-hot-young-facebook-after-sheryl-sandberg-refused-to-join-hiring-cartel/](http://pando.com/2014/03/30/court-docs-google-hiked-wages-to-combat-hot-young-facebook-after-sheryl-sandberg-refused-to-join-hiring-cartel/)

THE LIES, CORRUPTION AND ANTI-TRUST VIOLATING INSIDER TRADING SCAMS AT THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Any voyage onto the path of funding from The U.S. Government will be a road to hell.

While frozen-smile aides will shake your hand and tell you how "*excited they are to welcome your application*", behind your back they are sharpening their knives.

Over 100 past Applicants were lied to, defrauded, stone-walled, bottom-drawer'd, sabotaged, and generally screwed with by **The U.S. Government** in order to: 1.) protect campaign financiers who were their competitors and 2.) stone-wall those Applicant's for being competitive against the Elon Musk and Solyndra chosen insiders.

Almost EVERY competing Applicant was faster, cheaper, had better MPG, was easier to manufacture, had lower cost to the main-stream market, had a better set of financials, had a better debt ratio, was safer, etc. but they missed one key factor: **THEY DID NOT OFFER BRIBES AS LARGE AS ELON MUSK DID!**

Even in 2020 you would have to be a sucker to apply for GOVT funds. There are people inside GOVT who are dedicated to making sure you never get that money. You can get a faster loan from a commercial bank without thousands of hidden "gotchas" and insider trading schemes to trip you up. These tricks, built into The U.S. Government process, are created to ensure that GOVT insiders have thousands of excuses to never let you get the money unless you agree to finance the correct political candidates.

Political insiders will always, though, find a way to disqualify any applicant who competes with campaign financier favorites. Hundreds of highly qualified applicants were denied because Elon Musk knew they could put Tesla out of business without GOVT's exclusive support of his monopoly. Tesla's own senior staff have written widely about the 'gate-keeper' insider trading scam at GOVT. GOVT is an anti-trust operator who is a gatekeeper of industry winners and losers based on who donated the most to certain PACs.

The Secretary of Energy and the Chief Counsel for the United States Department of Energy have been challenged, in writing, to provide the names of **ANY** Loan Programs Office (LPO) or Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing (ATVM) Program official, reviewer, advisor or staffer who was not, from 2007 forward, either financed by, friends with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; one of those business adversaries, or the Senators and Department of Energy politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political digital services to. From 2008 forward, The White House and The U.S. Government were controlled by the Silicon Valley tech oligarchs! That is a violation of the law, the Constitution and the American Way.

Department of Energy PR officials blindly push their revisionist history propaganda party-line hype that the GOVT has been "*fair and successful*". There has never been a bigger lie on Earth since the first frat boy told the first sorority girl "*don't worry, I won't get it in your mouth..*"

One group used CIA and FBI style investigation tools to hunt down every reviewer, contractor and insider involved in the Loan Programs Office (LPO) or Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing (ATVM) Program since 2007. A forensic chart was produced showing the insider trading, revolving door and social engagements of each. In almost 97% of the cases, every person was found to have glaringly unethical, often criminal, conflicts of interest between beneficiary lines of connection.

In fact, multiple groups have insisted that the FBI, the NSA, The CIA and 60 Minutes conduct their own independent studies, on a name, by name basis of the GOVT staff involved and publish the results of that study to Congress and the public.

The U.S. Department of Energy has supplied no apologies, no compensation for damages to the victims and no halt in the slush-fund payola schemes!

Do you doubt the veracity of these claims? Show this letter to Bill Cooper, the head lawyer for GOVT. Ask him to provide forensic data proving any of these assertions are not true! He can't do it!

Plaintiffs can provide thousands of FBI agents, investigative journalists and Congressional staff to prove these assertions are true.

Dept. of Energy staff claim that they got rid of all of the bad people at GOVT and that the evil ones don't work there any more but OPM confirms that to be a lie. The stock market holdings, revolving door deals, voter records and social media postings of the current Dept of Energy staff prove that the corrupt ones never left. Tell GOVT to take a look at their moral construct if they contact you!

See <http://www.majestic111.com> for more on this.

Jay Carney was Obama's "Hit Man" in the Oval Office at The White House during the Cleantech Crash. It was Carney who helped order character assassinations and hit jobs on members of the public who spoke out about the crimes. Carney was recently caught, again, ordering hit jobs at Amazon, as well. In a famous magazine interview, his home was revealed to be covered in communist propaganda posters.

Leaked notes from an internal meeting of Amazon leadership obtained by VICE News reveal company executives discussed a plan to smear fired warehouse employee Christian Smalls, calling him "not smart or articulate" as part of a PR strategy to make him "the face of the entire union/organizing movement."

"He's not smart, or articulate, and to the extent the press wants to focus on us versus him, we will be in a much stronger PR position than simply explaining for the umpteenth time how we're trying to protect workers," wrote Amazon General Counsel David Zapolsky in notes from the meeting forwarded widely in the company.

The discussion took place at a daily meeting, which included CEO Jeff Bezos, to update each other on the coronavirus situation. Amazon SVP of Global Corporate Affairs Jay Carney [described the purpose to CNN on Sunday](#): “We go over the update on what's happening around the world with Plaintiffs employees and with Plaintiffs customers and Plaintiffs businesses. We also spend a significant amount of time just brainstorming about what else we can do” about COVID-19.

(<https://www.cnn.com/videos/business/2020/03/29/is-amazon-doing-enough-to-protect-workers-and-customers.cnn>)

Amazon [fired the warehouse worker Smalls](#), after he led a walkout of a number of employees at a Staten Island distribution warehouse. Amazon says he was fired for violating a company-imposed 14-day quarantine after he came into contact with an employee who tested positive for the coronavirus.

(https://www.vice.com/en_us/article/5dmea3/amazon-fired-the-warehouse-worker-who-organized-a-walkout-over-coronavirus)

Zapolsky’s notes from the meeting detail Amazon’s plan to deal with a wave of bad press and calls for investigations from elected officials following the firing of Smalls. They also show top Amazon brass wanted to make Smalls the focus of its narrative when questioned about worker safety.

“We should spend the first part of Plaintiffs response strongly laying out the case for why the organizer’s conduct was immoral, unacceptable, and arguably illegal, in detail, and only then follow with Plaintiffs usual talking points about worker safety,” Zapolsky wrote. “Make him the most interesting part of the story, and if possible make him the face of the entire union/organizing movement.”

They discussed encouraging Amazon executives to use Smalls to discredit the wider labor movement at Amazon. Employees at the warehouse, known as JFK8, [launched an effort to unionize in 2018](#).

(<https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2018-12-12/employees-at-amazon-s-new-nyc-warehouse-launch-unionization-push>)

In his notes, Zapolsky wrote that there was “general agreement” on this point among the other attendees of the meeting. (Zapolsky’s notes also mention SVP of worldwide operations and customer service Dave Clark and SVP of human resources Beth Galetti.) This is the typical culture of the Obama crowd of insider executives who will destroy any citizen who gets in their way. Jay Carney runs a ***Massive covert organized corruption team at Amazon in order to manipulate Democracy.***

Examples of these groups include Pacronym and American Bridge who are part of Arabella Advisors, a consulting firm that is not required to identify its donors.

Pacronym’s affiliated groups create a large network of fake local news outlets that are designed to promote progressive viewpoints inside battleground states in order to rig insider trading for their top bosses.

They are all part of a wealthy dark money network that manipulates public policy for personal profiteering.

American Bridge 21st Century and Pacronym are cutting ads thrashing outsiders as super PACs who go after people they don't like, The Washington Post [reported](#). Both groups also have ties to two fake false-front large nonprofit groups — New Venture Fund and Sixteen Thirty Fund — connected to a massive extreme-leaning consulting group.

(https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/democratic-groups-to-spend-millions-hitting-trump-over-coronavirus-response/2020/03/17/5f9fadba-686c-11ea-9923-57073adce27c_story.html)

NVF gave one of Pacronym's nonprofits — ACRONYM — \$250,000 while providing American Bridge \$40,000 in 2018, [according to](#) NVF's 2018 IRS documents. IRS [records](#) also indicated American Bridge pulled in \$200,000 in 2018 from nonprofit Sixteen Thirty Fund, which reportedly spent \$141 million on various extreme-leaning causes during the midterm election year.

(https://apps.irs.gov/pub/epostcard/cor/205806345_201812_990_2020013117095977.pdf)

(<https://static.politico.com/4b/32/19c3670b41dfa1f8c1b3e0972138/2018-1630-form990.pdf>)

Sixteen Thirty Fund and nonprofit NVF are tied into the same sprawling network, according to an analysis by investigators. Arabella Advisors, a philanthropic consulting company based in Washington, D.C., manages four nonprofits, including the [NVF](#), Sixteen Thirty Fund, Hopewell Fund and the Windward Fund.

(<https://www.influencewatch.org/non-profit/new-venture-fund/>)

Sixteen Thirty Fund has not responded to requests for comment, while NVF acknowledged making a grant to ACRONYM in 2018 but noted that it “has nothing to do with the activities at PACRONYM or American Bridge.”

Arabella representative Steve Sampson called NVF merely a “client of ours,” even though the consulting group shares a Washington, D.C., office with all four groups, according to NVF and Sixteen Thirty Fund's 2018 IRS records. American Bridge has not responded to requests for comment.

Other groups have raised alarms about the network as well.

“Arabella Network is the umbrella, and they have these two funds that flow toward both of these groups. A clearly full-blown extremist arm that is casting itself as a nonprofit is politicizing this event,” former Nevada Attorney General Adam Laxalt told the press.

Laxalt is the Outside Counsel to Americans for Public Trust, a group dedicated to uncovering unethical behavior. Americans for Public Trust has [covered](#) the network in the past. **[\(RELATED: Billionaire Allegedly Behind A False Flag Operation In Alabama Helped Finance The Group Behind Iowa Caucus Chaos\)](#)**

(<https://dailycaller.com/2020/02/18/acronym-iowa-caucus-barack-obama/>)

“American lives are more important than scoring cheap political points,” he added after suggesting that the group is striking while the iron is hot and making certain they capitalize on a crisis that has so far killed thousands of people.

Laxalt is referring to Pacronym's [announcement](#) on March 17 to plow \$5 million into a digital advertising campaign railing against those they hate. The ads are published through Four is Enough, a Pacronym project.

(https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/democratic-groups-to-spend-millions-hitting-trump-over-coronavirus-response/2020/03/17/5f9fadba-686c-11ea-9923-57073adce27c_story.html)

ACRONYM's founder said the campaign makes sense from a public health and national security perspective.

Democratic operative David Plouffe another Jay Carney-type "hit-man", who managed former President Barack Obama's 2008 White House bid, sits alongside McGowan on ACRONYM's board.

ACRONYM has not responded to the DCNF's repeated requests for comment. **[\(RELATED: Tech Firm Behind Iowa Caucus Disaster Also Played Role In Creating A Covert Democratic Propaganda Media Outfit\)](#)**

(<https://dailycaller.com/2020/02/04/iowa-caucus-shadow-buttigieg/>)

Some academics argued that orchestrating such a campaign skirts ethical rules. Running advertisements thrashing the president during a health crisis looks bad, according to Daniel Kreiss, a professor of political communication at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

"It's a very fine line between ensuring that the president has the legitimacy to speak authoritatively on what Americans must do in order to be safe, and the very real and legitimate questions to raise regarding how the president has handled this crisis given that he's on the ballot in November," Kreiss [told](#) WaPo in a March 17 report addressing the ad campaign push.

(https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/democratic-groups-to-spend-millions-hitting-trump-over-coronavirus-response/2020/03/17/5f9fadba-686c-11ea-9923-57073adce27c_story.html)

Laxalt, Nevada's former attorney general, expressed a similar position.

"The timing of attacking the president in battleground states is appalling. If they are going to do it, then you name the place. I think that doing it right this second is outrageous," he told the DCNF.

Meanwhile, ACRONYM's McGowan is also creating a constellation of local news websites that act as progressive arms targeting the president and his policies.

McGowan, a digital [producer](#) for Obama for America in 2011 and the [proprietor](#) behind ACRONYM, raised at least \$25 million from wealthy liberals to create a media company called [Courier Newsroom](#) that is designed to deliver information favorable to Democrats. Courier is rolling out newspapers in swing states to counter what its founder believes is right-wing spin on Facebook and across the digital domain.

(<https://www.linkedin.com/in/taramcgowan/>)

(<https://dailycaller.com/2019/11/05/obama-trump-elections-ads-digital/>)

(<https://couriernewsroom.com/>)

Along with the Courier Newsroom, McGowan is reportedly creating Virginia Dogwood and Arizona's Copper Courier, among others that are expected to roll out in Michigan, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Virginia and Wisconsin, all battleground states.

Mind the Gap at Stanford University is an even sneakier covert group from this crowd. Their efforts haven't previously been reported. They recently petitioned some donors for at least \$100,000 to support its efforts. Backers include people like [Facebook co-founder Dustin Moskovitz](#), former Google CEO Eric Schmidt, San Francisco power broker Ron Conway, and a coterie of major Democratic donors from across Silicon Valley, including fundraiser Amy Rao. What is also unusual is that Mind the Gap is led not by highly experienced political hands, but by academics with no professional backgrounds as fundraisers. The group's leaders are a pair of Stanford law professors: [Barbara Fried](#), who has no apparent campaign experience, and [Paul Brest](#), the former president of the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation. [Graham Gottlieb](#), a Stanford fellow who served in junior roles for former President Barack Obama's 2012 reelection campaign and in his White House, is its executive director.

(<https://www.cnn.com/2016/09/09/politics/facebook-cofounder-gift-democrats/index.html>)

(<https://law.stanford.edu/directory/barbara-fried/>)

(<https://law.stanford.edu/directory/paul-brest/>)

(<https://casbs.stanford.edu/people/graham-gottlieb>)


While dressed in khaki's and acting like they are "saving the trees", the people behind these groups are cold-blooded mercenaries drunk on power, hookers, private jets and a sense of being above-the-law.

[A Corrupt Politician They Didn't ...](#)

 <https://newswithviews.com/democrats-havent-seen-a-corrupt-politician-they-didnt-like/>

Overcoming the specter of a roiling federal **corruption** probe that threatened to draw the curtain on his 16-year career on Capitol Hill, ... Secretary of Energy **Steven Chu**, and UN Ambassador Susan Rice were all among the top ten most corrupt politicians in Washington for 2012, ...

[OBAMA SCAM: Who are the main VIP cartel members targeted ...](#)

 <http://themillenniumreport.com/2018/02/obama-scam-who-are-the-main-vip-cartel-members-targeted-for-takedown/>

OBAMA SCAM: Who are the main VIP cartel members targeted for takedown? The Crooks

[Is Elon Musk A Fraud?](#)

 https://xyzcase.weebly.com/uploads/1/4/4/1/14419908/is_elon_musk_a_fraud.pdf

"Elon Musk is a criminal and a racketeer" Op-Ed- By Lee Van Steiner If you hear that phrase and you suddenly turn your brain off and think to yourself: "I don't want to hear that because, either; A.)

Ripoff Report > Washington, District of Columbia

<https://www.ripoffreport.com/reports/united-states-department-of-energy/washington-district-of-columbia-20585/united-states-department-of-energy-steven-chu-kathy-zoi-lachlan-seward-matt-rogers-st-1412021>

United States Department of Energy **Steven Chu**, Kathy Zoi, Lachlan Seward, Matt Rogers, Steve Spinner, They defrauded over 100 companies and individuals in a crony payola

Steven Chu Should Lose His Job Over The Solyndra Scandal ...

<https://www.investors.com/politics/editorials/steven-chu-should-step-down-after-solyndra-scandal/>

In testimony Thursday before the House Energy and Commerce Committee, **Steven Chu**, caught in a tangled web of administration deceit regarding a \$535 million guaranteed loan to Solyndra, tried but ...

CAPSULE OVERVIEW:

A crime involving stock market securities insider-trading, contracts, bribes and famous politicians occurred. Plaintiffs saw it, were invited in to it, and reported it and now a cover-up is being operated.

EXPANDED CAPSULE OVERVIEW:

Department of Energy Staff (ie: Chu, Zoi, Seward, Cohen, etc.), White House Staff (ie: Emanuel, Axelrod, Carney, Plouffe, Gibbs, etc.), CIA staff (ie: Panetta, Woolsey) owned the rare earth (ie: lithium, indium, cobalt) mining scam stock (ie: Goldman Sachs & JP Morgan transfers) market securities from foreign countries (ie: Afghanistan, Congo, etc.) which only benefited themselves, Elon Musk and his Silicon Valley Cartel (ie: Page, Cohen, Schmidt, Westly, Khosla, Spinner, Hoffman, etc.). They ran hit-jobs and anti-trust schemes against competitors and whistle-blowers using a racketeering operation structure. They run corporate escort rings, lobbyist bribe PACs, money laundering and tax evasion joint operations and character assassination media cartels.

MORE DETAILED OVERVIEW:

- Famous political figures use the ***trillions*** of dollars in government treasuries and the stock market for illicit profiteering by rigging the system exclusively for themselves and their crony insiders.

- They attacked us using government taxpayer funded media (*Fusion GPS, Black Cube, Google, Pysops, Gizmodo, Media Matters, Blumenthal, etc.*) and spy agency tools because we competed with their businesses and reported their crimes.

- San Francisco Bay Area government has as many corrupt politicians as Chicago and relies on the same RICO-violating insider corruption network to operate; as proven by deep AI searches of their financial records. Arrests of those officials is now underway.

- Silicon Valley law enforcement records prove that these tech oligarchs engage in an organized, racketeering-based, massive sex trafficking, tax evasion, anti-trust violating, spousal abuse, money laundering, black-listing, racist, ageist, political bribery, crony racketeering crime Cartel.

- Famous U.S. Senators, Governors and their staff knowingly engage in, finance, operate and benefit from these crimes in exchange for search engine manipulation and stock market assets.

- Silicon Valley and Hollywood media companies censor and cover-up news coverage of these crimes because they have a financial connection to the perpetrators.

- [Most of the government officials working on Plaintiffs case were hand-picked by Plaintiffs business adversaries.](#)

(http://american-corruption.com/EXPOSE_THE_MOBSTERS.html)

Plaintiffs case has never been fairly reviewed by non-biased, non-conflicted officials. Plaintiffs FBI-class associates have not found a single entity in Plaintiffs case reviews, or determinations, who was not either: financed by, friends, with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; one of those business adversaries, or the Senators and politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political digital services to.

Who are the criminal mobsters of Silicon Valley's democracy manipulation millionaires and billionaires? Who are the elitist tax evader, sex freak, money-laundering, Senator bribing, off-shore cash hiding, election rigging insiders who try to rig the system for their own insider trading schemes: **Reid Hoffman, Larry Page, Sergy Brin, Elon Musk, Dustin Moskovitz, Mark Zuckerberg, Eric Schmidt, Laurene Powell Jobs, Steve Spinner, Steve Westly, Vinod Khosla, Andy Bechtolsheim, Brian Goncher, Cheryl Sandberg, David Drummond, Andy Rubin, David Plouffe, Tim Draper, Jeffrey Epstein, Gilman Louie, Ira Ehrenpreis, Tim Cook, Jerry Brown, Richard Blum, James Breyer, John Podesta, Joe Lonsdale, John Doerr, Keith Rabois, Marc Andreessen, George Soros, Mario Rosatti, Martin LaGod, Michael Moritz, Viktor Vekselberg, Larry Summers, Pierre Omidyar, Tom Steyer, Steve Jurvetson, Steve Rattner and their CARTEL! The have "command and control and exclusive beneficiary positions in ongoing, coordinated, criminal and anti-trust**

activities involving government and stock market funds..."

They hire these dirty law firms, investment bankers, lobbyists, fake charity fronts and character assassination services to do their dirty work. Their contractors and employees pay the bribes, relay the orders, buy the 'reporters', implement their black-lists, source the hookers and do the day-to-day illicit deeds.

These are the people funding and promoting riots across the US and Europe.

[LinkedIn Co-Founder Reid Hoffman](#), who [notoriously backed](#) a group that “spread disinformation during the 2017 Alabama special election for U.S. Senate,” is now being joined by other Big Tech billionaires in a plot to boost presumptive Democratic nominee for president Joe Biden in 2020.

(<https://www.forbes.com/profile/reid-hoffman/#3e47244a1849>)

(<https://www.newsbusters.org/blogs/techwatch/corinne-weaver/2019/04/23/lefty-billionaires-election-plot-bought-56x-more-ads>)

Democrats are “scrambling to patch [Biden’s] digital deficits,” according to [Vox Recode](#). “[B]ehind the scenes, Silicon Valley’s billionaire Democrats **are spending tens of millions of dollars on their own sweeping plans** to catch up to President Donald Trump’s lead on digital campaigning.” [Emphasis added.] Recode said these “sweeping plans” are “poised to make [these billionaires] some of the country’s most influential people when it comes to shaping the November results.”

These billionaires in particular are funding everything from “nerdy political science experiments to divisive partisan news sites to rivalrous attempts to overhaul the party’s beleaguered data file.”

As Recode tells it, “Joe Biden has a problem. Silicon Valley billionaires think they have a solution.”

Recode identified four major players from Big Tech that have the “most ambitious plans”: Reid Hoffman, Facebook co-founder [Dustin Moskovitz](#), philanthropist [Laurene Powell Jobs](#), and former Google CEO [Eric Schmidt](#).

(<https://www.forbes.com/profile/dustin-moskovitz/#7ca9684d1dd3>)

(<https://www.forbes.com/profile/laurene-powell-jobs/#436a3ff5704f>)

(<https://www.forbes.com/profile/eric-schmidt/#569af27c138e>)

Hoffman, in particular, stands out from the rest. [OpenSecrets](#) revealed that “political operations are pouring millions of ‘dark money’ dollars into ads and digital content masquerading as news coverage to influence the 2020 election.”

(<https://www.opensecrets.org/news/2020/05/dark-money-networks-fake-news-sites/>)

One of those progressive [operations](#) is dubbed Courier Newsroom, which “has faced scrutiny for exploiting the collapse of local journalism to spread ‘[hyperlocal partisan propaganda](#).’” Courier Newsroom is owned by the leftist nonprofit ACRONYM.

(<https://www.newsbusters.org/blogs/business/joseph-vazquez/2020/05/26/lefty-dark-money-group-acronym-behind-liberal-fake-news>)

(<https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2020/02/06/is-it-local-journalism-or-just-local-propaganda/>)

OpenSecrets reported that ACRONYM had “received [financial backing](#) from [Investing in US](#), an investment vehicle funded by Silicon Valley donor and billionaire LinkedIn founder Reid Hoffman.” Recode said that Hoffman’s “aides have indicated that setting up partisan news sites that masquerade as journalism is one of the priorities of its group of allied donors.” According to Recode, Hoffman has put around \$10 million into ACRONYM.

(<https://www.politico.com/news/2020/02/05/angry-democrats-unload-operative-over-iowa-caucus-results-110807>)

(<https://mronline.org/2020/02/07/acronym-group-that-sabotaged-iowa-caucus-birthed-by-billionaire-who-funded-alabama-disinformation-campaign/>)

But that isn’t all Hoffman has been up to. Recode reported that Hoffman invested “\$18 million, his single-biggest bet this cycle,” in a political startup called Alloy.

Recode reported that “[t]he startup is attempting to build a warehouse to store the data that various progressive groups collect on voters and use it to try to get them to the polls.” Recode continued: “As part of its data acquisition, Alloy has bought some lists of voters’ cellphone numbers, a data source that people say Hoffman’s team, like other donors, sees as key this year due to the new need for digital campaigning.”

The former [Google CEO Eric Schmidt](#) is also getting heavily involved this cycle. Recode said Schmidt “has sunk money into the Democratic Data Exchange, a modest, competing effort by the Democratic National Committee to encourage data sharing by state parties to improve the party’s beleaguered digital backbone.” Recode interestingly assessed that Schmidt “may be working more closely with the party than Hoffman.”

(<https://ericsschmidt.com/bio/>)

Schmidt also had [reportedly](#) given a \$4.7 million gift to the taxpayer-funded liberal outlet National Public Radio with his wife Wendy.

(<https://www.newsbusters.org/blogs/business/joseph-vazquez/2020/05/20/npr-editor-lauds-npr-landing-47m-contribution-dem-donor>)

“This focus on ‘information distribution’ has also led many Silicon Valley donors, directly or indirectly, to fund projects like [Pulso](#) and [PushBlack](#), which are quasi-journalistic plays focused on the Hispanic and African American communities, respectively,” Recode said. “Two things both Pulso and PushBlack also do? Register voters who are likely to be Democrats.”

(<https://projectpulso.org/>)

(<https://pushblack.org/>)

Tech billionaires, “particularly Moskovitz and Powell Jobs,” are unleashing “millions into some of the country’s most ambitious voter-registration programs — **almost all of it behind the scenes** — hoping to emulate what worked for Democrats in 2018,” according to Recode. [Emphasis added.]

About a dozen voter-registration groups, which included failed Democratic Georgia gubernatorial candidate Stacey Abrams’s leftist group [Fair Fight](#), gathered at Powell Jobs’s “Emerson Collective headquarters in mid-March to raise money for their 2020 plans, sources told Recode.”

(<https://fairfight.com/about-fair-fight/>)

For Moskovitz, his “North Star is a desire to nail the lowest ‘cost-per-net-Democratic-vote.’” Recode said “[t]hat’s largely led his team toward the funding of mail and voter-registration work.”

As [Big Tech platform Twitter](#) dips into editorializing Trump’s tweets, it appears that other billionaire liberals from Silicon Valley are not going to be sitting idly by for a repeat of the 2016 election outcome.

(<https://www.newsbusters.org/blogs/techwatch/alexander-hall/2020/05/27/liberal-media-back-twitter-fact-checking-trump-voter-fraud>)

These people operate like a private Mafia that use Plaintiffs government as their plaything!

Recap:

The Silicon Valley tech Cartel (AKA: "Paypal Mafia", "Deep State") is evil because these racist, ageist, sex-trafficking, money-laundering, elitist, politician bribing, tax evaders: steal any technology they desire; They manufactured the CLEANTECH CRASH as shown in the 60 MINUTES episode of the same name; they run a prostitution ring and sexually extort young women and interns in Silicon Valley; they are 'rape culture' take-what-they-want misogynists, ageists and racists as their history of abuses has proven; their Palo Alto Cartel operates AngelGate-type collusion and stock market insider trading schemes that harm independent business and the public; their Cartel ran the "no poaching" CEO ring which was class-action sued by DOJ and tech workers; 90% of their divorce court files reveal horrific abuses and sex trafficking;

They have an army of lobbyists that pay cash, stock market and revolving door bribes to U.S. Senators; They can even evade FBI & SEC investigations; They hire women to act as 'trophy wives' and 'beards'; they have lobbyists rig the U.S. Patent Office in order to block inventor patent rights because they are using stolen technologies; they have been caught on video and recordings beating, kicking and harming women hundreds of times;

They have bought up all of the Tier-One tech law firms and order them to black-list, and never help, those who seek equal tech rights; they collude to abuse your privacy and make databases on the public for political control; they have to cheat to compete because they are only good with spread sheets instead of innovation; They run black-lists, character assassination attacks, collusion and other anti-trust violating acts in violation of RICO laws. Silicon Valley has become the largest assemblage of douche-bags and yuppie frat boy criminals in human history.

Theranos is not the exception, it is the standard. Tesla, Google, Theranos, Wework, Facebook are lies backed by famous political insiders to protect their insider trading and covered-up by fake news operators. They are also fronts to fund political campaigns via the ill-gotten profits from their endeavors. When the bad guys, and their lap-dog politicians, attack you because your products are better than theirs they are proving that they are frat boy scumbags, from Stanford and Yale, that operate in a little pack, like dogs!

Their Sandhill Road operation should be raided by the FBI! The best thing that could come from the COVID pandemic is that they all are forced into bankruptcy! When your Senator holds stock market shares in companies that exist to profit on the backs of consumers, via corruption, then it is impossible for that Senator to ever do anything but be corrupt! We have reported this in writing to winklerm@sec.gov, sanfrancisco@sec.gov and 30+ other federal officers but have yet to see Plaintiffs whistle-blower rewards...or any action!

Do you wonder how big politician insider stock trading is? Take a look at how many TRILLIONS of dollars pass through the stock markets annually and then look at the reported, AND UNREPORTED, securities holdings of famous U.S. Senators and government agency staff. That is what Seth Rich and the people in the "In Memory Of" section, below, were disclosing. These are massive crimes! The perpetrators operate a massive and abusive national sex cult. The perverts in the SandHill Road Venture Capital offices, located between Highway 280 down to Santa Cruz Avenue on Sand Hill Road in Menlo Park, California, are the main perpetrators of this global cartel. Their executives at Google, Facebook, Netflix, LinkedIn, Twitter, and their related holdings, comprise the rest. The Harvey Weinstein and Ed Buck sex scandals are well known.

These men's sex cult actions have been widely covered in the news individually in the Joe Lonsdale rape case, The Kleiner Perkins Ellen Pao sex abuse lawsuit, The Eric Schmidt sex penthouse stories, The Jeffrey Epstein case, The Google Forrest Hayes hooker murder case, The Andy Rubin sex slave case, The Sergy Brin 3-way sex romp scandal, The British Hydrant investigation, The Elon Musk Steve Jurvetson billionaire sex parties scandals, The NXIVM sexual slave cases, The Michael Goguen anal sex slave trial, The Tom Perkins Hooker Parties and thousands of other cases and federal divorce court filings. This group of people have proven themselves, over and over, to be sociopath control freaks not fit for participation in public commerce, public policy or media control. The Four Seasons Hotel and Rosewood Hotels in Silicon Valley are estimated to engage in over \$30,000.00 of high-end escort sex trafficking per day, a portion of it managed by Eastern Bloc Mafia operators. The Elon Musk sex perversions are the tip of the iceberg.

At least 10 Ukrainian escorts fly in and out of SFO and SJO airports every week for these Cartel members. Google boss David Drummond engaged in horrible philandering sexual violations of his wife yet Google covers up every story about it on the web. Google's Eric Schmidt is under massive investigation. You hear about the female victims of this sex cult but you rarely hear about the young male victims. One of their vast numbers of prostitutes is quoted as saying that the girls and boys are paid "not just for sex but for the oligarch's endless need to feel that they can control anyone for any reason...". Multiple attorney general's controlled by their cartel, ie: Eric Schneiderman and Eliot Spitzer, are involved in these sex rings.

These are the main influencers of a national political party and they are all involved in horrific sex perversions and abuses! All Silicon Valley tech cartel media companies work together to censor all

news and information in order to hide coverage of their dirty deeds and to only put politicians in office that will give the Cartel political payola, kick-backs and insider stock pumps.

The Smedley Butler "Business Plot" from the past is not unlike the coup that Google and clan tried. See details in Emily Chang's book: BROTOPIA; Roan Farrow's book: CATCH & KILL; Edward Snowden's Book: PERMANENT RECORD; Peter Schwetzer's book: THROW THEM ALL OUT; Dave Eggers book: THE CIRCLE; Franklin Foer's book: WORLD WITHOUT MIND; Corey Pein's book: JOURNEY INTO THE SAVAGE HEART OF SILICON VALLEY; Dan Lyon's book: DISRUPTED; Antonio Martinez book: CHAOS MONKEYS. The Angelgate, Epstein, No-Poaching DOJ Class Action, and hundreds of other cases, prove that they meet, conspire, collude and blacklist in felony violation of anti-trust and RICO Racketeering laws. -

90% of these guys came from 100% of the fraternity houses involved in the recent college rape cases.

The Quid Pro Quo

How California Politicians And Silicon Valley Tech Company Quid-Pro-Quo Works To Hide The Criminality of Corruption

Christine Lakatos massive investigations of Jerry Brown, Dianne Feinstein, Nancy Pelosi, Kamala Harris and other famous California politicians owning parts of Tesla Motors, Facebook, Google, Netflix and Cleantech is jaw dropping. All of those politicians competing constituents have suffered for it, or been put out of business by exclusive deals that only Tesla Motors, Facebook, Google, Netflix and YouTube got. That is a crime!

A large volume of forensic research proves that Silicon Valley Cartel tech firms receive benefits from politicians and politicians, at the same time, benefit from these firms.

This evidence on the exchange of benefits between politicians and firms implies some sort of agreement between these two parties. This agreement, however, cannot be in the form of a written contract as writing direct fee-for-service contracts between a politician and a firm is considered bribery (Krozner and Stratmann 1998; 2000). In addition, either party to this agreement might renege on its promise and the other party cannot resort to the courts.

Procon.org, for example, reports: “Less than two months after ascending to the United States Senate, Barack Obama bought more than \$50,000 worth of stock in two speculative companies whose major investors included some of his biggest political donors. One of the companies was a biotech concern that was starting to develop a drug to treat avian flu. In March 2005, two weeks after buying about \$5,000 of its shares, Mr. Obama took the lead in a legislative push for more federal spending to battle the disease. The most recent financial disclosure form for Mr. Obama . . . shows that he bought more than \$50,000 in stock in a satellite communications business whose principal backers . . . had raised more than \$150,000 for his political committees.” (<http://insidertrading.procon.org/viewresource.asp?resourceID=1580#obamaa>. See more examples from the Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington (CREW) report (2009).)

The literature and Plaintiffs eye-witness experience proves that politically-connected Silicon Valley tech firms monthly obtain economic favors, such as securing favorable legislation, special tax exemptions, having preferential access to finance, receiving government contracts, or help in dealing with regulatory agencies. The evidence proves that Google's support, for example, can help in winning elections. For example, firms can vary the number of people they employ, coordinate the opening and closing of plants, and increase their lending activity in election years in order to help incumbent politicians get re-elected. (See Roberts 1990; Snyder 1990; Langbein and Lotwis 1990; Durden, Shorgen, and Silberman 1991; Stratmann 1991, 1995, and 1998; Fisman 2001; Johnson and Mitton 2003; Ansolabehere, Snyder, and Ueda 2004; Sapienza 2004, Dinç 2005; Khwaja and Mian 2005;

Bertrand, Kramarz, Schoar, and Thesmar 2006; Faccio 2006; Faccio, Masulis, and McConnell 2006; Jayachandran 2006; Leuz and Oberholzer-Gee 2006; Claessens, Feijen, Laeven 2008; Desai and Olofsgard 2008; Ramanna 2008; Goldman, Rocholl, and So 2008, 2009; Cole 2009; Cooper, Gulen, and Ovtchinnikov 2009; Correia 2009; Ramanna and Roychowdhury 2010; Benmelech and Moskowitz 2010.)

The share ownership of politicians serves as a mechanism to quid-pro-quo their relationships with big tech firms, is as follows: The ownership of politicians plays multiple distinct (but not necessarily independent) roles; one that relies upon the amount of ownership and one that does not. First, as investors in firms, politicians tie their own interests to those of the firm. Thus, harming (benefiting) the firm means harming (benefiting) the politician and vice versa. By owning a firm's stock, politicians commit their personal wealth to the firm and reduce a firm's uncertainty with regard to their actions toward the firm. This will, in turn, enhance the firm's incentive to support the politician-owner during both current and future elections in order to prolong the incumbency period for as long as possible. Firms have their lobbyists push to be able to know the amount of ownership likely to be material to politicians. This knowledge, in turn, enables them to judge whether the politician's interest is aligned with the firm's interest and optimize quid-pro-quo.

Per [Christine Lakatos](#) 2014 began with a bang: "[Obama's Second Term Is All About Climate Change](#)." *New York Magazine*, in their reporting, claimed that the evidence of this has to do with President Obama's appointment of John Kerry ("longtime climate obsessive") as Secretary of State, as well as other key green appointees.

(<https://nymag.com/daily/intelligencer/2014/01/obamas-second-term-is-all-about-climate-change.html>)

Kerry, by the way, while recently in Indonesia, blasted climate change deniers, warning, "Climate change may be the world's 'most fearsome' weapon of mass destruction and urgent action is needed to combat it," [wrote](#) CBC News Canada.

(<https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/toronto/john-kerry-blasts-climate-change-deniers-shoddy-scientists-1.2539163>)

This scare tactic — now adding to the long list of liberal crap, which includes ludicrous allegations that climate change [will lead to](#) "an orgy of killing, looting, rape and burglary" (just ask James Delingpole) — surfaced just weeks after President Obama's State of the Union address (January 28, 2014) where he emphatically [declared](#) that the climate change debate is over.

(<https://www.breitbart.com/Breitbart-London/2014/02/28/Rape-Muder-Chocolate-Shortages-Is-There-Anything-Climate-Change-doesn-t-Cause>)

(<https://www.politico.com/blogs/politico-live/2014/01/obama-climate-change-is-a-fact-182145.html>)

But the debate is settled. Climate change is a fact. And when Plaintiffs children's children look us in the eye and ask if we did all we could to leave them a safer, more stable world, with new sources of energy, I want us to be able to say yes, we did.

A claim that many Americans, including *Washington Post* columnist Charles Krauthammer, find “[absurd](#)” — with [even](#) co-founder of Greenpeace Patrick Moore, last month, [stating](#) to members of the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee that there is no scientific proof of man-made climate change.

(<https://dailycaller.com/2014/02/17/krauthammer-the-president-pretends-that-this-is-all-settled-science/>)

(<https://www.thenewamerican.com/tech/environment/item/17736-no-proof-of-man-made-climate-change-says-greenpeace-co-founder>)

(https://www.epw.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?FuseAction=Files.View&FileStore_id=415b9cde-e664-4628-8fb5-ae3951197d03)

The president, during his speech, which was a “[call to action](#)” with or without Congress, also [pumped up](#) his “all the above” energy strategy, asserting that it was working: “America is closer to energy independence.” Later, Mr. Obama claimed that his energy policy “was creating jobs and leading to a cleaner, safer planet.”

(<https://www.cnn.com/2014/01/28/politics/2014-state-of-the-union/index.html>)

(https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/full-text-of-obamas-2014-state-of-the-union-address/2014/01/28/e0c93358-887f-11e3-a5bd-844629433ba3_story.html)

While Marita Noon (energy expert and Towhall.com [columnist](#)) has continually tackled the president’s so-called “energy independence” assertion, together we have debunked the green jobs hype and deception many times, including in my recent study on the [Obama-backed green energy failures](#).

(<https://greencorruption.blogspot.com/2014/01/cleantech-crash-cbs-lesley-stahl.html>)

Moreover, a year ago, we blew the lid off of [Climate Hawk Kerry](#) and his part in green corruption. What’s most disingenuous is that while Kerry preaches “global warming doom and gloom,” his “government carbon footprint” is [enormous](#) — with no end in sight. (And who’s tracking his personal carbon footprint?)

(https://greencorruption.blogspot.com/2013/01/climate-hawk-senator-john-kerry-and-his.html#.Uuu0Tyhc_zJ)

(<https://freebeacon.com/politics/analysis-john-kerrys-carbon-footprint-is-enormous/>)

Worse, Kerry played a part in crafting President Obama’s 2009 stimulus bill, which was a piece of legislation that allowed him to create a *financial footprint* inside this scandal as well. This includes *timely* green energy investments with the Big VC firm Kleiner Perkins (where “climate billionaires” John Doerr and Al Gore are partners) that will be mentioned many times in this post, including the fact that this firm was a huge winner from the Green Bank of Obama.

The massive spending bill, commonly known as the economic stimulus package, which was [signed into law](#) five years ago, was marketed as a means to save Plaintiffs economy from the brink of disaster and create American jobs.

(<https://thehill.com/blogs/on-the-money/economy/198547-white-house-touts-stimulus-on-fifth-anniversary>)

If you caught Michelle Malkin's [tribute](#) to the so-called (failed) Recovery Act, you'll discover, "The actual cost of the \$800 billion pork-laden stimulus has ballooned to nearly [\\$2 trillion](#)."

(<https://michellemalkin.com/2014/02/14/5-years-later-hows-that-wreckovery-working-out-for-ya/>)

(https://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/wonkblog/post/the-real-price-tag-for-stimulus-between-1-trillion-and-17-trillion/2011/08/25/gIQA2Cc41Q_blog.html)

Even Speaker of the House John Boehner [weighed in](#), "The 'stimulus' has turned out to be a classic case of big promises and big spending with little results ..."

(<https://dailycaller.com/2014/02/17/obama-quiet-as-gop-taunts-him-for-failed-stimulus-plan/>)

Tucked inside was approximately \$100 billion earmarked for renewable energy, which [became](#) "a special-interest feeding frenzy."

(<https://washingtonexaminer.com/obamas-stimulus-became-a-special-interest-feeding-frenzy/article/2544230>)

Obama's Second Term Agenda: Climate change by executive fiat & billions more of taxpayer cash

Obama has [continually pledged](#) during his second term, that he will be "governing unilaterally, by executive order and by regulatory mandate," [warned](#) a *Washington Times* reporter — thus his [weapons of warfare](#) are his "pen and phone." Forget about the Constitution and its check and balances.

Even as those on the Right are up in arms over in the president's [excessive use](#) (and abuse) of executive power, leading Democrats are [applauding this move](#) and pushing for more. Yet if we go back in time to 2008, we find that then-candidate Obama played a different tune, [slamming](#) President Bush's use of executive action.

The author of the *New York Magazine* [piece](#) also noted that other than Secretary of State John Kerry, several Obama second-term moves signal the high priority he assigns the issue of climate change: "This is true not only of the figures Obama has appointed to posts that inherently concern climate change, like his [green appointees](#) to run the Environmental Protection Agency [EPA] and The U.S. Government [GOVT], but also to general political advisors, like Denis McDonough and John Podesta, both committed environmentalists who will drive Obama's climate focus" — both McDonough and Podesta from the left-wing think tank, Center for American Progress (CAP), and the focus of this Green Corruption File.

Podesta, who in November 2013, [was spotted](#) at a fundraising event for Hillary Clinton, [according to](#) the *Washington Post*, “Is expected to stay with the Obama administration for a just a year, freeing him to join the campaign of Mrs. Clinton if she runs for president in 2016.” It turns out that McDonough was the one that brokered his “executive power gig,” of which “Podesta’s portfolio would be broad and would include climate-change issues and executive actions, as well as the troubled health-care law,” [reported](#) the *Washington Post*.

As Kerry and Obama continue their campaign of “climate disaster on the horizon,” the strategic [move](#) in adding Podesta as White House counselor had already signaled an aggressive approach to their radical, expensive and deceptive green energy agenda. In fact, Podesta began his role inside the Obama White House by stirring up the liberal base, when in a [profile published](#) on December 17, 2013 by POLITICO, “Podesta is quoted comparing Republicans to the infamous cult led by Jim Jones, who was responsible for the 1978 cyanide poisoning of more than 900 of his followers in Guyana...” — only to later [apologize](#) via twitter of all places.

Now [labeled](#) “climate change and energy transformation agenda,” Obama and his minions have been, and continue to push through their radical views with [mandates](#), [regulations](#) and legislation, which benefits special interest groups and the Obama administration’s green cronies, while adversely affecting American families. Even the non-partisan organization Reason.com, too, sees the [dire reality](#) here: “Obama’s [Climate Five-Year] plan ambitiously seeks to control nearly every aspect of how Americans produce and consume energy.” One of those is directing the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) “to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants.”

Using the iron fist of the EPA — a key department in Obama’s “war on energy” known for its [abuse of power](#) — the president also tried to force refiners to produce cellulosic biofuels. However, [as noted by](#) Political Outcast, “The standards set were completely unrealistic and unattainable.” On the horizon are new [green rules](#) for trucks, buses and other heavy-duty vehicles, as well as whatever *maneuvers* the White House deems suited for their “[green energy revolution](#).”

Additionally, whether we like it or not, this clean-energy mission is funded by taxpayers — President Obama’s “save the planet slush fund.” A March 2012 [report](#) by the Brookings Institute places the Obama administrations’ “total government spending (both stimulus and non-stimulus) on green initiatives at [\\$150 billion through 2014](#). But that’s not enough to save the planet. Last month, the president [began pushing](#) for a \$1 billion taxpayer-funded program “to help communities across the country prepare for the effects of climate change” — AKA the climate resilience fund. This program is separate from the “[Climate Action Plan](#)” that the president introduced in June 2013, which also calls for releasing [more taxpayer money](#) (\$8 billion [from](#) the GOVT Loan Guarantee Program).

Furthermore, Obama’s GOVT is [attempting to establish](#) a new renewable energy section (under the GOVT Loan Guarantee Program), for grid-integrated green power projects — with the plan of spending anywhere from \$1.5 billion to as much as \$4 billion of taxpayer money. The Obama administration is also [restarting](#) the GOVT’s Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing ([ATVM](#)),

which is also part of the GOVT Loan Guarantee Program — with more than \$15 billion in remaining authority.

This is the same Energy Department program which the Green Corruption Files has exposed many times how, in the process of doling out \$34 billion of taxpayer money, at least 90 percent of the recipients have *meaningful* politically connections (bundlers, top donors, fundraisers, etc) to the president and other high-ranking Democrats — in many cases, to both. While the GOVT will have you believe that these loans were based on “merit,” the kicker is that in March 2012, the House Oversight and Government Reform committee [unleashed a damaging report](#) revealing that the stimulus-created 1705 section of the GOVT’s Loan Program had doled out in excess of \$16 billion to 26 projects, of which 22 of the loans were rated “Junk” grade due to their poor credit quality. “The remaining ended up on lowest end of the investment grade of categories, giving the GOVT’s 1705 loan portfolio an overall average of BB-.”

So, it’s no wonder that this loan program fostered big [alternative energy losers](#) such as Solyndra, Beacon Power, Abound Solar, Vehicle Production Group, and Fisker Automotive, flushing billions of tax dollars down the toilet — with billions more still at risk. Yet, the loan program is not the only one place you’ll find the president’s “cleantech” losers. In January, I released my new study, [documenting 32 Obama-backed green energy failures](#), while tracking the financially troubled, and even those, ironically, having environmental issues as well — over 30 and counting.

Besides the fact that the Energy Department [continues to subsidize](#) green energy, there are also many stimulus-created programs that have been extended and are still dishing out “the green.”

(<https://www.hydrogenfuelnews.com/GOVT-offers-funding-geothermal-energy-research/8516476/>)

One of the largest is the [1603 Grant Program](#), which to date has awarded over \$20 billion of tax-free cash. The Advanced Energy Manufacturing Tax Credit program ([48C Program](#)), which was funded by \$2.3 billion, just unleashed Phase II.

(<https://www.treasury.gov/initiatives/recovery/Pages/1603.aspx>)

(<https://energy.gov/downloads/48c-phase-ii-advanced-energy-manufacturing-tax-credit-program-selections>)

The currently passed 1,000-page [trillion-dollar farm bill](#) will continue to fund renewable energy programs such as the Biorefinery Assistance Program, administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s (USDA). The USDA, with \$1.02 billion in loan power, along with \$600 million stimulus funds from the Energy Department and a \$132 million GOVT stimulus loan, used taxpayer money to fund 31 “not so shovel-ready” risky projects (also politically connected) — of which last time I checked (August 2013), about a third were having issues.

(<https://www.omaha.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20140129/NEWS/140128521/1689>)

Podesta Power

Over the course of unleashing this scandal, I've hinted that CAP is a dark, driving force behind President Obama's massive green energy scheme — roles that range from legitimate to shady lobbying practices, to the fact that numerous CAP "fellows" were at the helm of the green energy deal making, holding key positions inside the Obama White House, his Green Team, and his Energy Department.

Today's Green Corruption File will connect the dots as to Center for American Progress' part in this scam, while shedding light on old and new data. As I *progress*, I'll expose its alternative energy advocacy as well as its funders — corporate donors that were kept secret until their release in late 2013 — **with those in the renewable energy business (at least 17) cashing in at the Green Bank of Obama.**

Let's go back in time when Podesta — former chief of staff to President Bill Clinton — was *infamous* for what is dubbed "[Project Podesta](#)": "This was a system that enabled the Clintons to push through unpopular policies that neither Congress nor the American people wanted. Its implementation marked a dramatic tilt in the balance of power, giving the executive branch an unprecedented ability to force its will on the legislative branch," documented [DiscoverTheNetworks.com](#).

Most know that in 2003, Podesta founded CAP, which as mentioned, is organization funded by billionaire George Soros, who has a [massive footprint](#) inside this green corruption scandal. He then served as the organization's president and CEO, of which it was [reported](#), "Podesta was hand-picked for the job by CAP co-founders Soros and Morton H. Halperin."

In 2008 and early 2009, Podesta, an Obama bundler, while still at CAP, [ran](#) Obama's transition team as the co-chair [along side](#) Valerie Jarrett and [Peter Rouse](#). Meanwhile by 2011, Podesta stepped down from his CEO role and [became the](#) Chair of CAP and the CAP Action Fund — only to leave CAP and join the White House at the end of 2013 in his new role as Obama's "[executive power czar](#)."

But if you go back to right after the Republican 2010-midterm victory, Podesta already had a plan: "The president should bypass Congress and wield the executive powers of his office," [reported](#) Bloomberg last December. In fact, "Podesta had compiled 47 pages of proposals for unilateral action on issues from immigration to solar energy." Podesta even [wrote the foreword](#) for that [CAP report](#) "on how the president could use his executive authority to advance a progressive agenda, including actions to unilaterally force the U.S. economy to become greener."

Furthermore, Podesta has served as an Independent Advisory Council [member](#) of the notoriously corrupt community organization [ACORN](#). Podesta was also on the board of the Apollo Alliance as late as 2011. While I've unleashed the Apollo Alliance (now BlueGreen Alliance) and [their](#) part inside this massive clean-energy scam, I'll briefly touch upon them again today. But what's key here is that Apollo is [another](#) Soros-funded left-wing organization, who along with its "[green jobs radical network](#)," exerts powerful influence on the views and policies of the Obama administration — and they too were involved in drafting the 2009-Recovery Act.

CAP's Left-wing Billionaire George Soros: Obama's "agent of green"

Meanwhile, [Soros](#) is one of the 2009 stimulus authors that [I had covered](#) in October 2013: Those individuals and groups that were involved in crafting the clean-energy sector of the [2009 Recovery Act](#), and who ultimately financially benefited directly (and/or their invested firms, family or friends) from the \$100 billion that [was earmarked](#) for renewable energy.

According to Peter Schweizer's blockbuster 2011 bestseller [Throw Them All Out](#):

Billionaire George Soros gave advice and direction on how President Obama should allocate so-called "stimulus" money in a series of regular private meetings and consultations with White House senior advisers even as Soros was making investments in areas affected by the stimulus program.

While we know that early on, [Soros had visited the White House](#) on at least five occasions since Barack Obama became president, possibly more, Schweizer gives specifics, "Mr. Soros [met with](#) Mr. Obama's top economist, [Larry Summers — also a CAP fellow] on February 25, 2009 and twice more with senior officials in the Old Executive Office Building on March 24th and 25th as the stimulus plan was being crafted. Later, Mr. Soros also participated in discussions on financial reform."

As documented by Schweizer, "In the first quarter of 2009, [Mr. Soros went](#) on a stock-buying spree in companies that ultimately benefited from the federal stimulus," including twelve alternative energy and utility companies. Moreover, if we add in other Soros green energy investments that bagged "green" funds, we can confirm that this Soros is connected to at least \$11 billion from the Green Bank of Obama, the majority from the 2009-Recovery Act.

Due to the fact that Soros is a well-known donor to CAP, here's a sneak peek of what I personally tracked in my [March 2013 Green Corruption File](#), exposing how this left-wing billionaire not only bankrolled Obama's 2008 and 2012 campaigns, but cashed in on the stimulus bill that he helped craft. Interestingly, four of these companies are also CAP corporate donors (marked with an asterisks), which will be detailed later.

- **Brookfield Asset Management ([BAM](#)):** As documented in the March 20, 2012 House Oversight [report](#) on the GOVT's disastrous loan program, "George Soros and Martin J. Whitman, both prominent Democratic donors, are both heavily invested in Brookfield." In September 2011, The Granite Reliable wind project [was awarded](#) \$168.9 stimulus loan, which is owned BAM. Then on May 23, 2012, they also snagged a \$56 million [1603 stimulus grant](#) for "wind in New Hampshire." While there are [additional ties](#) to this wind deal that I'll highlight later when I get to Heather Podesta (super lobbyists sister-in-law of John Podesta), whose firm [Heather Podesta & Partners](#), from 2009 until 2012, served as [lobbyists](#) for BAM.
- **First Solar*:** Through various fund, and as early as 2007, Soros invested in First Solar — the big solar company that is tied to \$3 billion of the 1703 GOVT stimulus loans, including one project that was sold to NRG Energy — another Soros timely investment.
- **SolarCity:** In February 2012, the Private equity firm Silver Lake Kraftwerk [invested in SolarCity](#) — whereas in early 2011, Silver Lake [had launched](#) a clean energy fund in collaboration with billionaire Soros and Cathy Zoi (former GOVT Insider). SolarCity, which

will be detailed later, so far (and since 2009) has been subsidized with “green” through various stimulus funds, grants and federal tax breaks at the tune of \$514 million.

Soros’ Twelve “Stimulus” Green Energy Stock-Buying Spree:

1. **NRG Energy and its subsidiaries:** Initially won \$5.2 billion in 1705 stimulus loans for four projects and at least 65 grants that total over \$363 million of taxpayer money with 37 unaccounted for. Plus much more green energy funds through various alliances.
2. **American Electric Power (AEP)*:** at least four stimulus grants totaling \$740 million. Plus, more detailed later.
3. **Ameren:** five stimulus grants totaling about \$672.5 million
4. **FirstEnergy Solutions:** at least two stimulus grants totaling just over \$71 million. No cash that I could find for BioFuel Energy benefited when the EPA announced a regulation on ethanol.
5. **Constellation***, an Exelon Company: at least one grant worth \$200 million stimulus grants and Constellation is one of the most prolific providers of green energy to federally owned facilities.
6. **Covanta Energy*:** unclear as to how many green government subsidies or the exact dollar amount, but obviously Covanta stands to benefit from the NAT GAS Act if it comes to light again. And what about those [Congressional earmarks](#) Schweizer found?
7. **Edison International:** at least two stimulus grants worth \$64.6 million, and I’m sure there are more...
8. **Entergy:** I’ve only tracked two small stimulus grants, which add up to close to \$10 million
9. **PPL Corporation:** I found one stimulus grant at \$19 million
10. **PSEG:** one stimulus grant for \$76 million.
11. **Powerspan Clean Energy Technology:** one large stimulus grant worth \$100 million

Mr. & Mrs. Podesta the Super Lobbyists: Strike “green” gold

In my [June 2013](#) Green Corruption File, I briefly addressed John Podesta, but more so profiled his brother, Tony Podesta — dubbed “The Lobbyist” by *Newsweek*, and the founder and Chairman at the Podesta Group, which he [started](#) with his brother John in 1987.

Even though [news hit](#) in early 2013, that Heather and Tony Podesta, the married super lobbyists separated, they are both (via different firms) tied to numerous Obama-backed clean-energy deals.

As [documented by](#) the Center for Responsive Politics, you’ll find that the [Podesta Group](#)’s lobbying income went from \$16,070,000 in 2008 to \$25,780,000 in 2009, and has since significantly increased. Their client lists (past and present) includes large corporations such as Bank of America, BP America, and General Electric (GE aviation), General Motors, and Google (Computers/Internet) — all in the green energy business, with BofA, GE and Google also CAP donors that won green energy funds from the Obama administration.

CH2M Hill

Nevertheless, there are quite a few others, of which [in 2009](#), the Podesta Group took on as clients that stand out — those that ultimately won a significant amount of stimulus funds, starting with CH2M Hill that [received](#) \$1.3 billion for the clean up at the Hanford Nuclear Reservation. The details on this special stimulus earmark can be found in my June 2013 “[Nuclear Crimes and Misdemeanors](#)” story, which highlights not only the cronyism and corruption, but the fact that in June 2013, CBS News [reported](#) that this costly project has been plagued with problems, “delays and billions over budget.”

SolarReserve

SolarReserve got special treatment from the Department of Interior (DOI) for their [Crescent Dunes Solar Energy Project](#) located in Tonopah, Nevada, which received a [\\$737 million](#) GOVT stimulus loan.

(<https://www.solarreserve.com/en/newsroom/photos/crescent-dunes-solar-energy-plant>)

(<https://lpo.energy.gov/projects/solarreserve-llc-crescent-dunes/>)

SolarReserve also snagged stimulus grants, yet the amount is unknown. This large GOVT deal (another non-grade investment) was announced on [May 19, 2011](#), and despite those inside the Energy Department that wanted to “kill the transaction,” it was [finalized](#) on September 28, 2011.

(https://www.solarreserve.com/en/newsroom/press-releases/us-department-of-energy-offers-a-conditional-commitment-for-737-million-loan-guarantee-to-solarreserve-for-nevada-solar-project/@@download/file/SolarReserveLGPConditionalCommitmentAnnoucement_Macquarie051911.pdf)

(<https://energy.gov/articles/energy-department-finalizes-737-million-loan-guarantee-tonopah-solar-energy-nevada-project>)

Along the way, it included “relentless assistance” by the Majority Leader Harry Reid as well as some drama. Not to mention, SolarReserve, a [predominately](#) Democrat donor, [executives](#) had given to Reid’s campaign since 2008.

(<https://www.opensecrets.org/orgs/summary.php?id=D000056865&cycle=A>)

(<https://freebeacon.com/issues/solar-shenanigans/>)

Needless to say, there are more SolarReserve investors in the mix that can be found in my [November 2013 Green Corruption File](#): “Underneath Senator Harry Reid’s Clean-Energy Dirt: Career politician directly linked to over \$3 billion in green energy stimulus loans.”

(<https://greencorruption.blogspot.com/2013/11/underneath-senator-harry-reids-clean.html#.UweEbCiRnzI>)

One of the key connections to this deal is Citigroup, who has been a major investor in Solar Reserve since 2008, which is chronicled in my February 2013 post, “[Citigroup’s Massive ‘Green’ Money Machine](#).” Still, since Citigroup is also a CAP corporate donor, we’ll dig deeper later.

(https://greencorruption.blogspot.com/2013/02/citigroups-massive-green-money-machine.html#.UlhdqOBc_zJ)

General Motors & the Chevy Volt

General Motors (GM) — the failed Big Auto company that was [bailed out](#) by taxpayers in 2009 — was a client of the Podesta Group [from 2010](#) until 2012. GM was also a [CAP donor](#) in 2011, and a big recipient of stimulus money. Starting in 2009 until recently, they have bagged hundreds of millions of stimulus dollars (I tracked \$471.6 million so far) to support the Chevy Volt as well as green car components, of which I'll get more specific when I dissect CAP's corporate donors.

Duke Energy

Duke Energy, the nation's largest electric power company, is another CAP corporate donor, which has been a client of the Podesta Group since 2009. What's interesting here is that Jim Rogers, the chairman of Duke Energy is another Obama donor, and was a [major player](#) at the 2012 Democratic convention, as a contributor, creditor, host, and a speaker. Duke Energy won hundreds of millions of green energy money for various projects, which will be detailed later.

Progress Energy

From 2011 to 2012, the Podesta Group added Progress Energy, which in 2009, [won](#) a \$200 million smart-grid stimulus grant. Progress Energy is a [customer](#) of Silver Spring Networks that is a [Foundation Capital](#), Kleiner Perkins, and Google [investment](#) — all with friends and ties to the Obama White House that will be documented a few times in the post.

SolarCity & SunEdison

Additionally, in 2012, the Podesta Group added SolarCity and SunEdison to its list of clients — both members of ACORE, the renewable energy [lobby powerhouse](#) that helped “design The U.S. Government grant programs that partly offset the loss of tax equity financing arrangements.” This is part of the green corruption story that I chronicled in my post, “[The RAT in the Recovery and the Gang of Ten.](#)”

Founded in 2006, [SolarCity](#) has a string of connections to the Obama White House that I've been tracking and reporting on for some time, including billionaire players that received taxpayer money for other green energy deals, such as Elon Musk, [Nicholas J. Pritzker](#), and George Soros. Throw in other stimulus winners like Al Gore's firm Generation Investment Management ([early investor](#) and [major stockholder](#)) as well as Obama's Wall Street buddies: Goldman Sachs, [Bank of America](#), and [Citigroup](#). In between, SolarCity has developed partnerships with [PG&E](#), and [Google](#). But before Podesta came along in 2012, SolarCity had been an energy client of top D.C. lobbyist McBee Strategic Consulting, since 2009 — another [major green corruption villain](#) that I tackled in September 2013.

Nevertheless, Fox News [reported](#) in December 2012, when SolarCity was under a federal probe that they had applied for \$341 million in grants. However, I found 33 federal stimulus grants [from the 1603](#)

[Program](#) that were awarded to SolarCity and [USB SolarCity Master Tenant](#) in 2011 and 2012, ranging across 15 states, totaling over \$92 million.

MARCH 9, 2013 SolarCity UPDATE: Right after this publishing this post, it was brought to my attention that SolarCity, whose success is dependent on government handouts, has received additional green energy subsidies, which places their total at \$514 million.

According to [California Watchdog.org](#)...

(<https://watchdog.org/130098/solarcity-horror-stories/>)

SolarCity has accepted more than [\\$11 million in federal stimulus funds](#) [from September 2009 to March 2010] to make its business run. But the real public support appears elsewhere. Because SolarCity technically owns the energy systems it installs, SolarCity — not the homeowner — earns the federal tax break intended as an incentive to go solar. So far the company has earned [\\$411 million in such tax breaks](#). The company also may earn additional income on state subsidies.

(<https://www.recovery.gov/arra/Transparency/RecoveryData/Pages/Recipient.aspx?duns=785156410>)

(<https://quote.morningstar.com/stock-filing/Quarterly-Report/2013/9/30/t.aspx?t=XNAS:SCTY&ft=10-Q&d=91ea1bcddf31efc04556da7970ad5084>)

Meanwhile, SunEdison, a global provider of solar-energy services, was an early [Goldman Sachs](#) clean-energy investment — Goldman, another CAP donor, and huge winner from the Green Bank of Obama that I'll get to much later. But we can confirm that SunEdison, in 2013, won 5 federal stimulus grants [from the 1603 Program](#) for “solar electricity” that ranges across 5 states, totaling over \$1.8 million tax dollars.

(<https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20060615005527/en/SunEdison-Announces-Capital-Raise-Goldman-Sachs-Lead>)

(https://www.treasury.gov/initiatives/recovery/Pages/1603.aspx?utm_medium=email&utm_source=et&utm_content=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.treasury.gov%2Finitiatives%2Frecovery%2FPages%2F1603.aspx&utm_campaign=1475793_209355_RNC%20Research)

Granite Reliable / Brookfield Renewable Power

On the other side, there is Granite Reliable that [received](#) a \$168.9 million stimulus loan in September 2011 for a wind project in Coos County, New Hampshire. Then on May 23, 2012, they also snagged a \$56 million [1603 grant](#) for wind in New Hampshire, which I am assuming is the for the same project. Work on Granite Reliable's wind farm created 198 construction jobs and six permanent jobs.

Nancy Ann DeParle, President Obama's former Deputy Chief of Staff for Policy in the White House, had a [financial stake](#) in the success of Granite Reliable, due to the fact that she and sat on the Board of Directors for Noble Environmental Power, LLC, which owned Granite Reliable.

Obviously, this is a conflict of interest, but there are [additional ties](#) to this wind deal. Noble sold Granite Reliable in December 2010 to [Brookfield Asset Management](#) (BAM), just 6 months prior to the conditional approval (June 2011) of the GOVT loan guarantee and deep into the application process. Despite the [speculative credit rating](#), this loan was finalized in September 2011.

Not only was Brookfield Renewable Power ([a subsidiary of BAM](#)) represented by Citigroup as [lead advisor](#) during the loan review process, BAM has additional Democrat ties such as Diana Taylor, former New York City Mayor Michael Bloomberg's long-time girlfriend. As mentioned earlier, George Soros and Martin J. Whitman, which are both prominent Democratic donors, are both heavily invested in Brookfield.

But this case [directly hits](#) the Podesta family, because from 2009 until 2012, Heather Podesta, sister-in-law of John Podesta, via [Heather Podesta & Partners](#) served as [lobbyists](#) for BAM — and they've been lobbying on behalf of [Brookfield Power](#) (electric utilities), since 2011, raking in over \$1.3 million from the two connected groups.

Southern Company

Mrs. Podesta's firm also represented [Southern Co.](#) from 2008 until 2010, raking in over \$300,000. This energy giant is part the [Vogtle Project](#), which in February 2010, won a massive [GOVT loan](#): “a conditional commitment for \$8.33 billion to support the construction of the nation's next generation of advanced nuclear reactors.” Despite the project's [troubles](#) coupled with harsh [criticism](#), the Obama administration [just finalized](#) this GOVT deal.

Southern Company — a heavy hitter lobbyist and big donor to both political parties — [bankrolled](#) President Obama's 2013 Inauguration. And due to the fact that this was another GOVT loan approval that was *pressured* by the White House (this one in December 2009), further Intel is found in my [July 2013 Green Corruption File](#), “Nuclear Disaster: \$10.33 billion in energy loans pressured by the White House and POTUS approved, now at risk.”

Center for American Progress: The “green” pusher

While CAP [characterizes](#) itself as “an independent nonpartisan educational institute dedicated to improving the lives of Americans through progressive ideas and action,” [according to](#) left-leaning Huffington Post, they've “been a vocal voice for this president's policies in the media and on the Hill. But their area of highest visibility is advocacy for a clean-energy economy where John Podesta has personally led the effort.”

Of course, as a strong proponent of alternative energy, CAP has also been a big backer of the Energy Department's huge multi-billion-loan guarantee program for renewable energy projects — a government program, of which the [stimulus law](#) added \$16 billion in lending power (the GOVT's “junk bond” and cronyism [portfolio](#)), where we find that many of CAP's corporate donors have cashed in big time.

We also know that [according to](#) the Washington Free Beacon, in September 2008, CAP “authored a [report](#) titled “Green Recovery: A Program to Create Good Jobs and Start Building a Low-Carbon

Economy” that included many recommendations ultimately incorporated into President Obama’s controversial \$800 billion stimulus package.” But CAP is not done: since the president released his [Climate Action Plan](#) in June 2013, CAP continues to [pressure](#) for additional *action*, including, but not limited to, rejecting the Keystone XL pipeline and a call to dole out [billions more](#) in renewable energy funds.

[CAP](#), the progressive think tank with [deep rooted ties](#) to the Clintons, has been on my radar since 2010, and periodically mentioned throughout my work. As noted already, they are [closely aligned](#) with, and have a major foothold [inside](#) the Obama White House. This is not limited to the new CAP additions: [Denis McDonough](#), White House Chief of Staff and Obama’s new advisor, John Podesta.

What’s been forgotten is that a squadron of CAP experts worked with President Obama’s transition team, and they have been “reportedly [highly influential](#) in helping to craft White House Policy.” In 2008, [Edwin Chen](#) of Bloomberg, in his article, “Soros-Funded Democratic Idea Factory Becomes Obama Policy Font,” noted “CAP, which has 180 staffers and a \$27 million budget, devotes as much as half of its resources to promoting its ideas through blogs [ThinkProgress and the Wonk Room], events, publications and media outreach.”

In fact [CAP boasts](#) of John Podesta’s part: “Podesta served as co-chair of President Barack Obama’s transition, where he coordinated the priorities of the incoming administration’s agenda, oversaw the development of its policies, and spearheaded its appointments of major cabinet secretaries and political appointees.”

In addition to Podesta as the co-chair, “at least 10 other CAP experts” were advising the incoming administration, “including Melody Barnes (Obama bundler), the center’s executive vice president for policy who co-chairs the agency-review working group and Cassandra Butts, the senior vice president for domestic policy, who is now a senior transition staffer,” reported Bloomberg.

What has not been widely disclosed is CAP’s *dark* participation, other than their “recommendations,” inside the stimulus package, whereas as noted, \$100 billion was earmarked for renewable energy. Hidden deep inside the 1,073-page stimulus bill, which was [drafted by](#) the Obama transition team and congressional aides, was a RAT: an attempt to suppress potential investigations, and only a few news outlets caught it in February of 2009: the [Washington Post](#) and the [Washington Examiner](#), and completely exposed in my Green Corruption File entitled, “[The RAT in the Recovery and the Gang of Ten](#).”

Entitled the [Obama-Biden Transition Project](#), it employed approximately 400 people and it was comprised of Obama bundlers and campaign contributors as well as lobbyist and those that operate inside Washington’s egregious revolving door. What’s more fascinating to point out is that [according to](#) the Center for Responsive Politics, “Members of Barack Obama’s presidential transition team weren’t necessarily selected solely on their resumes and expertise — some may have scored positions over similarly qualified individuals because they supported the president-elect by bundling money for his presidential campaign or opening their own wallets to him.”

Five CAP Fellows at the Center of “the green”

More relevant to green corruption is that this lengthy list provides us with some familiar members operating inside this clean-energy scam, which of course, were also bundlers for Obama's [2008](#) campaign — [even bundling again](#) in 2012. Even though, in October 2013, I gave insight into the Obama-Biden Transition Team and the numerous green energy players, here's an overview with the CAP fellows marked with asterisks:

1. Valerie B. Jarrett (Obama bundler): Obama-Biden Transition Project Co-Chair
2. *John Podesta (Obama bundler): Obama-Biden Transition Project Co-Chair /Now "Executive Power Czar"
3. *Carol M. Browner (Obama bundler): Advisory Board Member and Energy Policy Working Groups / Was promoted to Climate Czar, from January 2009 until February 2011
4. Michael Froman (Obama bundler): Advisory Board Member
5. TJ Glauthier (Obama bundler): Executive Office of the President
6. Lisa Jackson (Obama bundler): Energy and Natural Resources Team Leads
7. David Sandalow (Obama bundler): Energy Policy Working Groups
8. *Steve Spinner (Two-time Obama bundler): Technology, Innovation & Government Reform Policy Working Groups / Was promoted to GOVT Advisor in April 2009 until September 2011
9. Tom Wheeler (Two-time Obama bundler): Working Group Members; Science, Tech, Space and Arts Team Leader
10. Heather Zichal (Obama bundler): Energy Policy Working Groups

Add to this list two more CAP fellows: Lawrence Summers, who, in late 2008 (until 2011), [became](#) President-elect Obama's Director of the National Economic Council and Van Jones, who in March 2009, [was appointed](#) as a special adviser for green jobs for the Obama White House, until he resigned in September 2009. This means that we have five CAP fellows that I'll profile below.

Obviously, operatives from this team were rewarded with positions inside the Obama White House, while others in 2009, snagged other key green energy roles. My focus has been on the "GOVT Insiders" — those from Obama's "[Green Team](#)" and his Energy Department [officials](#) and advisors, which included its fair share of Al Gore disciples and well-connected [Venture Capitalists](#). There has been a dozen on my radar that are either directly connected to tens of billions of green-government subsidies (loans, grants and special tax breaks), or helped their friends secure the funds.

Ironically, [many have fled](#) since their 2009 appointments, but it's worth noting that the "GOVT Dirty Dozen," under Energy Secretary Stephen Chu, includes Carol Browner (1), Lisa Jackson, Van Jones (2), Steve Isakowitz, Steve Spinner (3), Matt Rogers, Jonathon Silver (4), Cathy Zoi (5), Kristina Johnson (6) and others like James Markowsky (7), Steven Westly (8), Sanjay Wagle (9), David Danielson (10), David Sandalow (11), David Prend (12) — another piece of this scandal currently in the works.

What's telling is that these GOVT Insiders were part of the decision making process, even as the rest had access influence in one way or another. They were in charge of picking winners and losers, especially in regards to the Energy Department's multi-billion Loan Guarantee Program, mentioned many times in this post, whereas I have personally been tracking since 2010.

What we find is that many of those operating inside the Energy Department had more sinister roles and were using tactics such as lobbying, pressure, collusion, and coercion. The evidence of this started circulating in 2011, when the Solyndra Saga broke, but worse, was confirmed in many of the GOVT email exchanges released to the public since that time, which includes the massive "2012 Internal GOVT Email Dump" that was unleashed in late October of that year.

These correspondences [basically prove](#) that the president, the White House, Secretary Chu, and certain GOVT officials lied about how they handled the green energy loans on various fronts — which was followed by secrecy, cover-ups and even perjury.

In November 2012, Marita Noon and I [began unleashing](#) the content of these email interactions, of which we found plenty of references to the president, POTUS, the White House, the "7th floor," and "the Hill." More disturbing is that contrary to House Oversight testimonies by GOVT officials, those inside the GOVT were rushing the approval of the GOVT loans — a fast track process imposed at the POTUS level, yet they were met with resistance by the Treasury as well as the Office of Management and Budget ([OMB](#)), amongst others involved in the deal making process.

As it turns out, these emails reveal that many of the GOVT loans were rushed and approved for political reasons — visits, speeches, announcements, photo ops, and talking points for the president as well as for the purpose of helping those connected to the companies seeking the loans — CEO's, investors, and Democrat politicians, which goes beyond [subsidizing Nevada companies](#) in order to help Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid win his 2010 reelection campaign.

These bombshell emails also expose the cozy relationships GOVT officials and advisors had during the loan review process with loan applicants and their CEO's, lobbyists, and investors, etc. It's no surprise that they had meetings and calls with GOVT officials and Energy Secretary Chu, but there are documented meetings and calls with the president, VP, and WH as well as plenty of "green fraternizing" going on — bike riding, coffee meetings, sleepovers, "beer summits," Al Gore parties, dinners, Democrat fundraisers, and so on.

NOTE: "2012 Internal GOVT Email Dump" is in reference to the House Oversight huge document dump that was [unleashed](#) in October 2012 (see [Memorandum, Appendix I](#) and the [350+ page Appendix II](#)), and due to its value, will be sourced many times in this report.

Today we'll stay focused on Center for American Progress, starting with the fact that other than John Podesta, we have Valerie B. Jarrett: President's Obama's longest serving advisor and confidante, of which some refer to her as the "[shadow chief of staff](#)."

While Podesta is directly tied to CAP, Ms. Jarrett has an indirect connection: Prior to joining the Obama administration as [Senior Advisor](#) and assistant to the president, she [served as](#) Vice Chairman of

The [Joyce Foundation](#) (Obama sat on the board 1994 to 2002), the Chicago-based organization, who is a major donor to radical environmentalist and conservation groups [as well as](#) progressive movements like CAP.

This was part of the climate scam that not involves many green corruption suspects, but leads to [cap-and-trade](#), of which I began to [unravel](#) in 2010, and [what I refer to](#) as the “pot of gold at the end of the climate rainbow” — warning that with so much at stake, even if the planet blows up, they will get their cap-and-trade, or a version of it.

Jarrett, also in September 2009, hosted a “[Clean-Energy Summit](#)” where an array of attendees just so happened to “collectively strike gold” with over \$5.3 billion in taxpayer funds from the Green Bank of Obama. We also know that internal emails showed (released in 2011) that [deliberations on Solyndra](#) — the first GOVT loan to go bad and scream corruption — “reached into Obama’s inner circle, including senior adviser Valerie Jarrett and former chief of staff Rahm Emanuel.”

Additionally, we can confirm via the “2012 Internal GOVT Email Dump” that Ms. Jarrett had a December 7, 2010, meeting with “the CEO’s of NRG and Reliant.” NRG Energy (a Fortune 500 and S&P 500 Index company) and its subsidiaries (Reliant is one) [was the recipient](#) of most of junk-rated stimulus loans, which includes NRG Solar for the Agua Caliente project (\$967 million); NRG Solar for the California Valley Solar Ranch (\$1.2 billion); BrightSource Energy Ivanpah project (\$1.6 billion); and Prologis for Project Amp (\$1.4 billion).

NRG Energy is one of those twelve timely Soros investments that I alluded to earlier (along with additional Obama administration connections) that snagged \$5.2 billion in loans and a truck load of grants as well as other cleantech funds from the Green Bank of Obama. But it is the highly paid [president and CEO](#) (since 2003) David Crane ([stock owner](#) and an [aggressive pusher](#) of clean energy) who appeared to have significant influence. [During the course](#) of the June 2012, House Oversight hearing, Crane admitted that between the Bush administration and the Obama administration, he had visited the White House “14 to 15 times,” of which 6 to 7 of his visits were with the Obama White House.

Lawrence Summers: Currently listed as a Distinguished Senior Fellow at Center for American Progress and mentor to Facebook boss Sandberg

- Former Director of President Obama’s National Economic Council ([designated](#) on November 24, 2008 to 2011)
- Former Secretary of Treasury under President Bill Clinton (from [1999 to 2001](#))

It’s worth noting that back in the day (November 2008), President-elect Barack Obama rolled out his National Economic Council (NEC), and installed “economic czar” [Larry Summers](#) (not subject to pesky confirmation hearings), who had served as Robert Rubin’s [protégé](#) at Treasury. Rubin, on the other hand, who had spent 25 years at Goldman Sachs before serving as Secretary of Treasury under the Clinton administration ([1995-1999](#)), after his government stint went to Citigroup as a [Senior Counselor](#), only to retire in January 2009. However, what’s not widely known is that behind the scenes

[during Timothy Geithner's time at Treasury], "Rubin was still wielding enormous influence in Barack Obama's Washington," [documented](#) POLITICO.

What's worth pointing out again is that Summers' private memos to Obama, which were released by [The New Yorker](#) in early 2012, revealed the real intent behind the economic stimulus bill. American Enterprise Institute [reported](#), "A key source for writer Ryan Lizza is a [57-page, "Sensitive & Confidential" memo](#) written by economist Summers to Obama in December 2008," which exposed "11 stunning revelations from Larry Summers' secret economics memo to Barack Obama." One in particular was that the stimulus was about implementing the Obama agenda and rewarding his green cronies.

The short-run economic imperative was to identify as many campaign promises or high priority items that would spend out quickly and be inherently temporary. ... The stimulus package is a key tool for advancing clean energy goals and fulfilling a number of campaign commitments.

Summers, a [Distinguished Senior Fellow](#) at CAP, has significant ties to Wall Street, which if you've been paying attention, they ensured an Obama victory in 2008. [Prior](#) to Summers heading to the Obama White House as top economic advisor, he had an [elaborate gig](#) where he worked just one day a week while making \$5.2 million in two years at D.E. Shaw — a New York-based [\\$39 Billion Hedge Fund Giant](#). [According to](#) the *Wall Street Journal*, Summers "received hundreds of thousands of dollars in speaking fees from major financial institutions," which included "frequent appearances before Wall Street firms including J.P. Morgan, Citigroup, Goldman Sachs and Lehman Brothers."

What's significant is that both Goldman Sachs and Citigroup (profiled later) are corporate CAP donors that either won billions in green energy funds, or made money off of the deals that occurred. And, the majority of the deal making came from the now \$32 billion Energy Department [Loan Guarantee Program](#), with the majority of the loans awarded and finalized between 2009 and September 2011. This is the same program that, as mentioned earlier, has been pushed and promoted by Center for American Progress for some time.

During Summer's time inside the Obama White House, it's unclear how involved Summers was in the loan program decision making process, but we can confirm via the "2012 Internal GOVT Email Dump" that he was part of a scheduled January 2010 meeting with Jonathan Silver (head of the Loan Program at the time), a few GOVT officials, and Carol Browner — the latter another CAP fellow that will be profiled next.

"Nearly a year before [Solyndra](#) went bankrupt and engulfed the White House in scandal, President Obama's top economic advisors [Summers and Timothy Geithner] warned him about the risks of the clean-energy loan program that granted the solar company more than a half-billion dollars" — [as reported](#) by the Business Insider in September 2011.

Needless to say, Solyndra was only one of the [22 "junk" loans](#) awarded by the Energy Department's \$16 billion stimulus program — a program where we find that Summers is directly tied to one of those GOVT deals, while his buddy David Shaw, a two-time Obama bundler, had an invested interest in more.

Mr. Shaw is the founder of D.E. Shaw, where Summer’s worked before joining the Obama White House, and a firm that is connected to at least two renewable energy companies that snagged billions in GOVT stimulus loans: First Wind and First Solar that are also CAP corporate donors, which will be expanded upon later. First Wind was the winner of a \$117 million GOVT stimulus loan, plus hundreds of millions in stimulus grants, of which, [according](#) to Peter Schweizer, “Larry Summers was part owner of First Wind.” Meanwhile, First Solar won three large stimulus loans, totaling over \$3 billion of taxpayer money — not to mention additional green energy funds.

Carol M. Browner: *Founding member of Center for American Progress and currently a Senior Fellow*

- Currently on the Advisory Committee of the export-Import Bank of the United States
- Headed the Office of Energy and Climate Change Policy (AKA Climate Czar), from January 2009 until February 2011
- Obama-Biden 2008-Transition Team role: Advisory Board Member and Energy Policy Working Groups
- 2008 Obama Bundler

[Browner](#), a career Washington insider, who directed the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) during the Clinton administration, is an Al Gore acolyte, and an [environmental extremist](#) with a few left-wing radical ties on her secret resume. While Browner worked for Gore as far back as 1988, at some point (between 2007 and 2009), [she served](#) as a board member of the Gore’s Alliance for Climate Protection — which, in July 2011, was morphed into “[The Climate Reality Project](#).” From what I gather, this was the result of merging two environmental groups: The Alliance for Climate Protection and The Climate Project, which were both founded in 2006 by Al Gore.

Browner was also a 2008 Obama bundler and part of the Obama-Biden Transition Team, who was later appointed to the president’s 2009 [Green Team](#) as the “climate czar,” only to [abruptly resign](#) in early 2011.

Prior to her tenure at the Obama White House, Browner was a founding board member (from 2003-2008) for CAP, and she is currently listed as a [Senior Fellow](#). Browner, [not only](#) “pushed for billions of dollars for renewable energy in the economic stimulus bill,” she was part of the decision-making process inside the Energy Department’s [Loan Guarantee Program](#), which at the time of her departure had doled out \$34.7 billion of taxpayer money. Browner [is implicated](#) in an array of issues surrounding these loans, as reflected in many of the GOVT email exchanges released to the public since 2011, as well as the “2012 Internal GOVT Email Dump.”

With such deep connections to the former-Vice President Al Gore, and his climate mission, one wonders why Gore and his investment firms — Kleiner Perkins and Generation Investment Management — raked in so much of the GOVT money under her watch. As of January 2013, I tracked that these two firms combined are tied to at least \$10 billion (more if you add in Silver Spring Networks and the fact that their “customers” raked in \$1.3 billion in smart-grid stimulus grants), from the taxpayer-funded Green Bank of Obama, the majority coming from the 2009-Recovery Act — the

stimulus bill (renewable energy part) that Doerr helped author, while Browner pushed to include taxpayer money.

Browner may have left her “climate” post, but she currently sits (and has for a while) on the Advisory Committee of the export-Import Bank of the United States (Ex-Im), another means where Plaintiffs government dishes out billions of American taxpayer dollars in support of clean energy.

“The Ex-Im Bank uses [taxpayer money](#) to backstop politically favored projects, which “just greases the wheels of the powerful and often corrupt big Washington Establishment,” [wrote](#) Heritage Action. This is another “green bank” that not only supports [other Nations](#), but where you’ll find corporate welfare and crony capitalism run amok, which includes quite a number of the president’s favored firms: Abengoa, First Solar (Exelon Corp.) and SolarWorld, to name a few.

As [recently as](#) October 2013, at a [Washington, D.C. CAP event](#) (10th anniversary policy conference), Browner had this to say about the Keystone Pipeline: “There will be some twists and turns” in the political debate over the pipeline, but “at the end of the day [Obama] is going to say no,” [reported](#) the Huffington Post.

(https://www.huffingtonpost.ca/2013/10/25/carol-browner-keystone-obama_n_4164156.html?just_reloaded=1)

(<https://www.americanprogress.org/events/2013/10/23/77992/caps-10th-anniversary-policy-conference/>)

(https://www.huffingtonpost.ca/2013/10/25/carol-browner-keystone-obama_n_4164156.html?just_reloaded=1)

This was an even that besides Browner, featured other Big Green personalities such as Van Jones, Tom Steyer, John Podesta, Treasury Secretary Jack Lew, Secretary of State John Kerry, California Governor Jerry Brown, Chicago Mayor Rahm Emanuel, and of course, Al Gore.

Tom Steyer, CAP Board Member and Donor, Climate Change Radical, Big Oil Investor, Obama Bundler, Margie Sullivan’s partner and Billionaire Buddy of all the Tech Oligarchs

At that event, Browner was [joined on a panel](#) with Van Jones, the former “green jobs czar” — also a CAP fellow (profiled next) — along with environmental advocate Tom Steyer, who has been on an [anti-Keystone XL crusade](#) for some time.

(https://www.huffingtonpost.ca/2013/10/25/carol-browner-keystone-obama_n_4164156.html?just_reloaded=1)

(https://www.huffingtonpost.ca/2013/10/04/tom-steyer-keystone-harper_n_4044667.html)

In fact, Jones has been on the record [slamming](#) the president’s delay on denying the pipeline.

(<https://www.cnn.com/2013/05/30/opinion/jones-obama-keystone-pipeline/index.html>)

Meanwhile, Steyer is the same hedge-fund billionaire and megabucks Obama bundler and Democrat donor that was also a Big Oil Investor through his former firm Farallon Capital Management that has an [invested interest](#) in the rejection of the pipeline.

(<https://www.humanevents.com/2013/06/27/green-is-the-color-of-corruption/>)

Like most prominent Obama fundraisers, Steyer has enjoyed relatively easy access to the White House, and as of the summer of 2012, it was reported that he had met with senior White House officials in the West Wing on at least four occasions. Steyer was even handpicked to make a [cameo appearance](#) at the [2012 Democratic National Convention](#).

(<https://greencorruption.blogspot.com/2012/09/obamas-green-cronies-made-dnc-cameo.html#.UwvejCiRnzI>)

Additionally, Steyer, “plans to spend as much as \$100 million during the 2014 election, seeking to pressure federal and state officials to enact climate change measures through a hard-edge campaign of attack ads against governors and lawmakers,” [reported](#) the *New York Times* last month.

(https://www.nytimes.com/2014/02/18/us/politics/financier-plans-big-ad-campaign-on-environment.html?_r=1)

In September 2012, the *Washington Free Beacon* [documented](#) that Steyer “is [reportedly](#) one of the backers of [Greener Capital](#), which invests in alternative fuel companies that benefit from the anti-oil policies of the Obama administration.

(<https://freebeacon.com/the-one-percent-gets-its-turn/>)

(<https://green.blogs.nytimes.com/2011/03/15/a-foil-for-the-koch-brothers/>)

(<https://www.greenercap.com/index.php>)

” What’s key to this Green Corruption file is that “Steyer has donated at least \$1.4 million to the Center for American Progress (CAP) since 2009 through his TomKat Charitable Trust. As of 2010, he was listed as a director of the left-wing think tank.”

In December 2013, The Beacon, in their piece “[Keystone to the Kingdom](#),” we find a stunning look at the relationship between Mr. Steyer and John Podesta:

(<https://freebeacon.com/keystone-to-the-kingdom/>)

“[Steyer](#) is on the board of the Center for American Progress, and in the early months of 2012 he and Podesta cosigned a *Wall Street Journal* op-ed, “[We Don’t Need More Foreign Oil and Gas](#),”

(<https://climatesolutions.org/donate/climate-solutions-5th-annual-breakfast/tom-steyer>)

(<https://online.wsj.com/news/articles/SB10001424052970203718504577178872638705902>)

arguing against Keystone and for tax loopholes such as the Production Tax Credit, increasing the value of the green energy companies in which Steyer invested and on whose boards Podesta sat.”

Moreover, while a slew of Democrats who oppose the Keystone XL pipeline, [stand to benefit](#) from its rejection, Farallon Capital Management “has extensive holdings in fossil fuel companies

(<https://washingtonexaminer.com/who-gets-rich-off-of-blocking-the-keystone-xl-pipeline/article/2543928>)

— including investments that could benefit from the blocking of the Keystone pipeline,” [reported](#) *The Daily Caller* in May 2013.

(<https://dailycaller.com/2013/06/20/top-anti-keystone-activist-could-benefit-from-blocking-pipeline/>)

One in particular stands out: “Farallon also still holds stock in BP” — the oil giant that [according to](#) *POLITICO* in 2010, Obama was the biggest recipient of BP donations over the past twenty years.”

(<https://www.politico.com/news/stories/0510/36783.html>)

In case you didn’t know, British Petroleum, the oil and gas giant that in 2001, began re branding to Beyond Petroleum (BP), was heavily in the “green” business via [BP Alternative Energy](#) (biofuels, wind and solar). However, in the spring of 2013, BP switched gears and started [abandoning](#) renewable energy.

(<https://finance.townhall.com/columnists/maritanoon/2013/04/07/smart-money-abandoning-renewable-energy-n1560319/page/full>)

Still, that was after BP had snagged millions in “green” funds from the Obama administration, of which I [began to unravel](#) in April 2013 due the fact that BP is in cahoots with Sempra Energy, the winner of a \$337 million GOVT stimulus loan for the Mesquite Solar Project in Arizona.

(<https://greencorruption.blogspot.com/2013/04/newly-bankrupt-chinese-solar-producer.html#.UvZU3yiRnzL>)

Also, BP, at that time, was part of [all five](#) of Sempra’s wind projects.

(<https://www.semprausgp.com/energy-solutions/wind-projects.html>)

BP Alternative Energy is also an [investor](#) in BrightSource Energy — the winner of a [\\$1.6 billion GOVT stimulus loan](#) that involves more CAP corporate donors and a slew of additional Obama cronies that I’ll get to much later.

(<https://www.brightsourceenergy.com/investors#.UvZVNCiRnzI>)

(<https://lpo.energy.gov/projects/brightsource-energy-inc/>)

Van Jones: Senior Fellow at Center for American Progress (it is unknown when Van first joined CAP, but we do know that he [rejoined](#) in February 2010)

- Green Jobs Czar, from March 2009 to September 2009

- 2008 to 2009 Crafter of the Recovery Act: both personally and via the Apollo Alliance, as part or their National Steering Committee, where Van Jones was a board member from 2006 to either 2008 or 2009

As the story goes, Van Jones — [left-wing radical](#), turned CNN contributor — was handpicked to become Obama’s “green jobs czar” in 2009:

(<https://www.wnd.com/2009/08/106653/>)

“We were so delighted to be able to recruit him into the White House,” Senior Advisor Valerie Jarrett, stated on August 12, 2009. Mr. Jones’ advisory post at the White House was short lived due to his radical past and behavior, and in September 2009 he resigned, [blaming](#) it on a “smear campaign of lies and distortions to distract and divide.”

(<https://thinkprogress.org/politics/2009/09/06/59506/van-jones-resigns/>)

Nevertheless, Van is another very active CAP member where his focus remains on “green-collar jobs.” He’s still a strong force in the midst of the climate change debate, pushing green jobs, as well as his [extreme](#) environmental ideology.

(<https://www.rebuildthedream.com/>)

Since 2010, Jones has been a [Senior Fellow at CAP](#), whereas his bio states, “he is a co-founder of three successful nonprofit organizations: the Ella Baker Center for Human Rights, Color of Change, and Green For All” — of which both George Soros and Al Gore (both huge winners of green energy monies) have been know to [fund](#) Van’s causes as far back as the 90’s, including Green for All.

(<https://www.americanprogress.org/about/staff/jones-van/bio/>)

(<https://epaabuse.com/537/watermelon-patch/van-jones-is-the-ultimate-watermelon-green-outside-red-inside/>)

Mr. Jones has been featured in a few of my posts, but most recently when I exposed the various green parties involved in crafting the energy sector of the 2009-Recovery Act — and how they and their friends raked in tens of billions from the Green Bank of Obama. Certainly, Van’s White House post, which comprised of overseeing billions in “green” stimulus funds, and the subsequent controversy surrounding his eco-radical mission (good and bad press) helped catapult Jones into fame and possibly some fortune. In my opinion, this would include the success of his green jobs book, [numerous](#) green honors, and his CNN gig — success that he may not have seen otherwise.

(<https://www.vanjones.net/about>)

We’ve tracked Jones’ connections to CH2M HILL (also a client of the Podesta Group), the winner of \$1.3 billion in stimulus funds as well as Solar Mosaic, where Jones was an advisor, who ultimately, in June 2012, was [awarded](#) a \$2 million grant from the Energy Department’s [SunShot Initiative](#). Solar Mosaic had also [employed](#) Rebuild the Dream to do its public relations work, [documented](#) the *Daily*

Caller in 2012. Also, in 2012 and 2013, Solar Mosaic snagged two small 1603 federal stimulus grants: one in Arizona for \$13,123 and in California for \$185,700.

What about Mr. Jones' *solicitation* business? Prior to Van's resignation, in August 2009, his Green for All [launched](#) a program called [Capital Access Program](#) (CAP) to help green businesses secure stimulus funds — monies that he was overseeing at that time, of which [his bio](#) confirms. In fact, during the 2012 presidential election (as a [CNN contributor](#)), Jones bragged about his part in the implementation of the clean-energy stimulus funds: he helped “run the inter-agency process that oversaw \$80 billion [some [reports](#) go as high as \$100 billion] in green energy recovery spending.”

What's also relevant is that not only did Jones personally participate in the formation of 2009-Recovery Act, he was with the Apollo Alliance as part of their National Steering Committee and Board member (from 2006 to either 2008 or 2009) — when the Apollo Alliance successfully got many of their policy ideas packaged into the stimulus bill, including, but not limited to, its expensive and unsuccessful “green jobs” program.

Both Senator Harry Reid and Mr. Jones have bragged about the Apollo's participation. Adding to the mix is that the Apollo Alliance is a project of the Tides Center. And, the Tides Center/ Tides Foundation is another Soros funded left-wing organization that was also funded by the [Joyce Foundation](#), mentioned earlier under Valerie Jarrett.

(<https://www.discoverthenetworks.org/funderprofile.asp?fndid=5310&category=79>)

What you should know about the Tides Center can be found at [Watchdog.org](#), who in 2013, labeled their programs as “a checklist of liberalism's most ambitious agenda.” Moreover, it's become a meeting place of two potentially warring factions of the Left — labor and environmentalists,” charged [Tori Richards](#) early last year.

(<https://watchdog.org/>)

(<https://watchdog.org/72855/tides-uses-tax-dollars-to-turn-america-to-the-left/>)

Richards [continues](#)....

(<https://watchdog.org/72855/tides-uses-tax-dollars-to-turn-america-to-the-left/>)

Perhaps Tides' biggest coup was using its Apollo Alliance Project to help draft Obama's massive stimulus bill. The final stimulus bill doled out billions of dollars to further the Left's green-energy agenda and social justice constituency while preserving labor's role in centralized economic planning.

Apollo Alliance, now labeled as the [BlueGreen Alliance](#), not only enjoys political clout, but they also have significant and highly influential [green allies and donors](#), which at one point included John Podesta as an Apollo board member — adding another layer to CAP's power behind this massive green energy scheme.

(<https://www.bluegreenalliance.org/apollo>)

(https://keywiki.org/index.php/Apollo_Alliance#cite_note-6)

Steve Spinner: Senior Fellow at the Center for American Progress from September 2010 to October 2011, where he publicly advocated for energy policies that support clean, renewable energy

- Two-time Obama Bundler
- GOVT Loan Programs Advisor, from April 2009 to September 2010
- 2008 Obama-Biden Transition Team role: Technology, Innovation & Government Reform Policy Working Groups

Steve Spinner, a two-time Obama bundler, not only worked for Obama's 2008 transition team, he also was part the president's 2012 reelection campaign, serving as a California finance chair and founded "Technology for Obama (T4O)." His wife was Solyndra's lawyer.

In April 2009, [Spinner](#) was appointed as the GOVT Loan Programs Advisor to then-Energy Secretary Steven Chu, yet by September 2010, he left the GOVT and about that same time joined CAP as a Senior Fellow [until October 2011](#).

(<https://energy.gov/articles/fact-check-steve-spinner>)

(<https://www.politico.com/news/stories/1011/66287.html>)

During the course of [Spinner's](#) GOVT gig, he acted as a liaison between the Recovery Act Office and the Loan Programs Office, of which he was responsible for monitoring the loan program. According to the GOVT, "In that capacity, [Spinner] played no role in the decision-making on or evaluation of individual loan applications or the awarding of any grants."

(<https://energy.gov/articles/fact-check-steve-spinner>)

Spinner was also handpicked to make a [cameo appearance](#) at the [2012](#) Democratic National Convention, along with other wealthy Obama green cronies: Steve Westly, Tom Steyer (profiled earlier) and Jim Rogers, who will be profiled later.

(<https://greencorruption.blogspot.com/2012/09/obamas-green-cronies-made-dnc-cameo.html#.UwvejCiRnzI>)

(<https://www.demconvention.com/>)

Spinner & Solyndra

Spinner is well known for his [involvement](#) and influence (investigations [and](#) internal emails prove) to the ill-fated, politically connected Solyndra, which was once the [poster child](#) for the president's clean-energy initiative.

(<https://www.politico.com/news/stories/1011/65448.html>)

(<https://abcnews.go.com/Blotter/obama-fundraiser-pushed-solyndra-deal-inside/story?id=14691618#.UFZk7BjdtJM>)

(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0J6h1PcVQp8&feature=player_embedded)

The Solyndra Saga — FBI raid and all — in 2011, morphed into the template for Obama’s green corruption scandal: political payback, costing taxpayers at least \$570.4 million. Yet, most concluded a long time ago that Solyndra was only the tip of the iceberg.

Nevertheless, Spinner’s participation, despite the GOVT’s denial, has been proven many times over, including in October 2011, when [POLITICO](#) and others seized on his role inside this scandal, stating, “[Spinner] played an active part in Solyndra’s \$535 million loan guarantee [[approved](#) in September 2009] despite conflict of interest concerns over his wife’s work at a law firm that also represented the California solar company.”

(<https://www.politico.com/news/stories/1011/65448.html>)

(<https://lpo.energy.gov/Plaintiffs-projects/discontinued-projects/>)

Solyndra also [snagged](#) a \$25.1 million in California tax credit, and at some point before 2011, Solyndra indirectly bagged another loan from the export-Import Bank, which was obtained by a third-party company to the tune of \$10.3 million.

(<https://greencorruption.blogspot.com/2014/01/cleantech-crash-cbs-lesley-stahl.html#.UwkCwCiRnzI>)

It should be emphasized that back in 2009, Fitch had rated the Solyndra GOVT loan “non-investment grade,” [as revealed](#) by the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform in March 2012 — a report that implicates Spinner even more so.

(<https://oversight.house.gov/report/the-department-of-energys-disastrous-management-of-loan-guarantee-programs/>)

White House e-mails released late last year [2011] indicate that Spinner was influential in securing the \$528 million loan to now-bankrupt Solyndra. Many of those EMAILS were written just days after he signed an ethics agreement pledging that he would “not participate in any discussion regarding any application involving” his wife’s law firm.

In one message to a GOVT official on August 28, 2009, Spinner wrote, “**How hard is this? What is he waiting for? . . . I have OVP and WH breathing down my neck on this.**” The e-mail went on to demand that the GOVT official “**walk over there and force [the official working on the Solyndra evaluation] to give [him] an answer.**” After just being contacted by Solyndra, Spinner inquires in another e-mail, “**Any word on OMB? Solyndra’s getting nervous.**” The e-mail correspondence occurring in the final days before the Solyndra loan closed in September 2009 centers heavily on Spinner’s efforts to coordinate plans for either the President or Vice President to announce the first loan approval at a scheduled visit to Solyndra.

Spinner & Tesla Motors

Additionally, we know that prior to joining the GOVT, Spinner was an energy-focused venture capitalist and high-tech consultant. At some point, Spinner was a “[consultant](#)” to Tesla Motors, the “billionaire’s electric car company,” with an array of Obama pals in the mix (Elon Musk , [Steve Westly](#),

Nicholas Pritzker, Google executives, Vantage Point Capital Partners and Goldman Sachs), of which in January 2010, was [subsidized](#) with \$465 million of taxpayer money from the GOVT's ATVM program.

Spinner & First Solar

Needless to say, according to *The Nation's* May 2013 piece entitled "[The Secret Donors Behind the Center for American Progress and Other Think Tanks](#)," is where we first discover that First Solar was a huge favorite of CAP. [First Solar](#) is the solar firm, which is connected to a slew of Obama cronies, that is also a confirmed 2012 CAP corporate donor — [possibly](#) as early as 2011.

While we chronicled "[The First Solar Swindle](#)" in the summer of 2012, let me reiterate — although in more detail later — that they were awarded over \$3 billion in stimulus loans, as well as additional taxpayer money. One key tidbit of Intel from *The Nation* is where we learn of Spinner's participation in the First Solar GOVT deal making....

Last year [2012], when First Solar was taking a beating from congressional Republicans and in the press over job layoffs and alleged political cronyism, CAP's Richard Caperton praised Antelope Valley in his testimony to the House Committee on Energy and Commerce, saying it headed up his list of "innovative projects" receiving loan guarantees. Earlier, Caperton and Steve Spinner — a top Obama fundraiser who left his job at the Energy Department monitoring the issuance of loan guarantees and became a CAP senior fellow — had written an article cross-posted on CAP's website and its Think Progress blog, stating that Antelope Valley represented "the cutting edge of the clean energy economy."

The CAP article referenced above by Caperton and Spinner ("[Don't Let Clean Energy Funding Die on the Vine](#),") was published on July 13, 2011, and where we find that CAP demanded that more taxpayer money be used to fund the GOVT's loan program — even calling for a green bank "as a permanent financing tool for clean energy projects."

Spinner & Silver's Collusion

The CAP piece referenced above also opened up another can of worms. Jonathon Silver, at that time an Obama administration official at the Energy Department "actively collaborated with CAP in 2011 to advance the president's green energy agenda, in possible violation of federal law," [documented](#) the Washington Free Beacon on September 14, 2012.

This is where internal emails surfaced showing that over several days via Spinner and Silver's [personal email accounts](#) (correspondences that also imply the two were in touch multiple times over the phone), the two colluded: "In July 2011, Steve Spinner, then a senior fellow at the Center for American Progress (CAP), sought and received guidance from Jonathan Silver, executive director of the Department of Energy's (GOVT) loans program, regarding a CAP [editorial](#) urging Congress to expand funding for the program and permanently establish a Clean Energy Deployment Administration (CEDA), or 'green bank,' to further fund clean energy projects."

Spinner & BrightSource Energy

Inside the “2012 Internal GOVT Email Dump,” we also discover that as early as September 2009, there was “strong push” by Silver, Spinner, and Matt Rogers (Senior Advisor to Energy Secretary Steven Chu from January 2009 until September 2010) to push through the \$1.6 billion BrightSource Energy deal.

NOTE: Jonathan Silver, reported to be an Obama bundler, has quite the impressive background.

As noted by [*Barron’s Magazine*](#) (in 2010), Silver had been a managing partner at Core Capital Partners [and co-founder] in Washington. “Coincidentally, one of his colleagues there was Tom Wheeler, a 2008 Obama bundler” — mentioned under the Obama-Biden Transition Team.

(<https://online.barrons.com/article/SB50001424052970203296004575352982133405348.html>)

We also know that [Silver’s wife](#) has served as financial director of the Democratic Leadership Council, and that the couple hosted a party to promote Al Gore’s environmental advocacy group, the Alliance for Climate Protection. This party (fundraiser) surrounded [Silver’s vetting process](#) (September 2009), in which he invited two key GOVT officials.

(<https://mag.newsweek.com/2011/11/13/how-obama-s-alternative-energy-programs-became-green-graft.html>)

(<https://freebeacon.com/den-of-cronies/>)

Silver was appointed as the Executive Director of the Loan Programs Office in November 2009, and [resigned](#) in early October 2011, amidst the “Solyndra \$535 Million Saga”

(<https://www.nytimes.com/2011/10/07/us/politics/jonathan-silver-quits-energy-dept-loan-guarantee-office.html>)

— even [testifying](#) in September 2011. Considering that there is much to be said about Silver’s just shy of a two-year stint at the GOVT, we do know that it included helping Secretary Chu accelerate the loan review process.

(<https://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2011/09/14/dept-of-energy-no-reason-to-believe-we-were-misled-by-solyndra.html>)

In reading hundreds of pages from the “2012 Internal GOVT Email Dump,” it’s evident that Silver aggressively pushed the loans through (fast tracked) as directed, influenced, and pressured by the POTUS, Vice President Joe Biden, the White House, the 7th Floor, and the Hill — and did so with arrogance, even as he was fraternizing with those seeking the GOVT loans.

Despite his resignation, Silver has appeared before the House Oversight Committee twice (July 2012 and September 2013), of which at that time there was a slew of emails proving cronyism and corruption that Silver had to answer to. This is where some of the most bombshell details emerged, starting with the fact that in the course of Silver’s testimony during the [July 18th Oversight hearing](#), it was revealed that he and other GOVT officials and advisors were using their personal email accounts to conduct Energy Department business.

(<https://oversight.house.gov/hearing/the-administrations-bet-on-abound-solar-assessing-the-costs-to-the-american-taxpayers/>)

Silver also made this [denial](#): “...as I say, almost nobody that I am aware of in the Loan Program even knew who the individuals were who had invested, either directly or indirectly into these companies.” Yet, we know this is a lie.

(<https://greencorruption.blogspot.com/2012/07/recent-oversight-hearing-reveals-shady.html#.UvfOEyiRnzI>)

In fact, we exposed this many times in the course of unleashing the “2012 Internal GOVT Email Dump,” which [began](#) shortly after their release — even discovering more clean-energy dirt along the way. We can confirm that Silver knew **exactly** who the investors were in the projects seeking Energy Department loans in **at least four** of the deals that were approved: BrightSource, Shepherds Flat, and Kahuka Wind, and Fisker Automotive for starters — all with deep ties to the Obama White House. We also know that Silver expedited some of these loans for presidential photo ops, as well as helping Senator Harry Reid’s 2010 midterm election.

(https://finance.townhall.com/columnists/maritanoon/2012/11/04/busting_open_energys_den_of_deception/page/full)

During the September 10, 2013 House Oversight hearing on “[Preventing Violations of Federal Transparency Laws](#),” members questioned two of the most egregious offenders: Mr. Silver and Lisa Jackson the former head of the EPA mentioned early on as part of the Obama-Biden Transition Team.

(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eshWKRPU38&feature=em-uploademail>)

Sadly, this hearing didn’t get much coverage except for [Paul Chesser](#) at the National Legal and Policy Center, noting that this event “didn’t last long enough to get very deep.” However, what caught my attention was when Committee Republican Jim Jordan of Ohio grilled Mr. Silver “about his directives to keep messaging out of the public eye” as well as reading particular email exchanges that implicated Silver helping his buddies get GOVT loans. Also at issue were the loan program failures.

(<https://nlpc.org/stories/2013/09/16/house-committee-grills-GOVT-loan-program-director-over-secret-emails>)

However, the most unbelievable attempt to conceal the truth came out at that time: The congressman then showed an email that was sent to the committee staff a couple of days prior to the hearing from Silver’s lobbyist (lawyer, it’s unclear) demanding, “Don’t direct any questions to Mr. Silver.” Silver denied knowing anything about THE request, but we never got to the bottom of it because the Ranking Member, Congressman Elijah Cummings stopped Jordon from going down that path due to confusion over attorney/client privilege, and that he and Committee Chairman Darrell Issa would be looking into that issue...” off the record.

Center for American Progress Finally Reveals its Corporate Donors: At least 17 raking in tens of billions of tax dollars from the Green Bank of Obama

While the left-wing think tank is a well-known favorite of billionaire George Soros, as well as other left-leaning ultra-rich donors, “the center does not disclose who finances its activities, a policy it is declining to change even as Mr. Podesta prepares to wield influence over the shape of the Obama administration,” [wrote](#) the *New York Times* in 2008.

(https://www.nytimes.com/2008/11/07/us/politics/07podesta.html?_r=4&ref=politics&)

However, “after heavy pressure arising from CAP’s quasi-lobbying history. That scrutiny ratcheted up following the announcement that CAP founder John Podesta would be formally joining the Obama administration. Both Politico and the *New York Times* called for the donor list to meet the public eye,” [reported](#) *Breitbart News*.

(<https://www.breitbart.com/Big-Government/2013/12/13/Center-American-Progress-corporations>)

So, on December 13, 2013, CAP “revealed that it’s funded by some of the country’s largest and most powerful corporations, trade associations and lobbying firms,” [announced](#) *POLITICO*.

(<https://www.politico.com/story/2013/12/center-for-american-progress-donor-list-101140.html>)

The donor disclosure [excluded](#) individuals and foundations, only giving insight into their corporate influence, leaving more darkness in its wake.

(<https://freebeacon.com/donor-disclosure-by-center-for-american-progress-leaves-much-in-the-dark/>)

Moreover, it was reported that each of those listed ([58 donors](#)) has given the group more than \$10,000 in 2013, yet the exact amount was not disclosed.

(<https://www.americanprogress.org/about/Plaintiffs-supporters/>)

CAP’s 2013 donor list “[contains](#) a myriad of massive corporations, including Apple Inc., AT&T, Bank of America, BMW of North America, Citigroup, Coca-Cola, Discovery, GE, Facebook, Google, Goldman Sachs, PepsiCo, PG&E, the Motion Picture Association of America, Samsung, Time Warner Inc., T-Mobile, Toyota, Visa, Walmart and Wells Fargo” — many of which are part of this massive green energy scheme.

(<https://www.americanprogress.org/about/Plaintiffs-supporters/>)

Still, *The Nation*, in their May 2013 piece “[The Secret Donors Behind the Center for American Progress and Other Think Tanks](#),” released a [list](#) of CAP’s 2012 corporate donors — labeled “[American Progress Business Alliance Members](#),” which are charged fees:

(<https://www.thenation.com/article/174437/secret-donors-behind-center-american-progress-and-other-think-tanks-updated-524>)

(https://thenation.s3.amazonaws.com/pdf/CAP_alliance_members_2012_2.pdf)

(https://thenation.s3.amazonaws.com/pdf/CAP_alliance_members_2012_2.pdf)

A confidential CAP donor pitch I obtained describes the Business Alliance as “a channel for engagement with the corporate community” that provides “the opportunity to...collaborate on common interests.” It offers three membership levels, with the perks to top donors (\$100,000 and up) including private meetings with CAP experts and executives, round-table discussions with “Hill and national leaders,” and briefings on CAP reports “relevant to your unique interests.”

The Nation also divulged that CAP doesn't publicly disclose the members of its Business Alliance, but they “obtained multiple internal lists from 2011 showing that dozens of major corporations had joined.” What's relevant here is that in 2011 the lists compiled by The Nation of “CAP's donors included Comcast, Walmart, General Motors, Pacific Gas and Electric, General Electric, Boeing and Lockheed” — with three confirmed winners of green energy funds.

So basically the donor list and the membership list are one in the same. And, what's even more interesting is how The Nations chronicles CAP's assets:

“After growing rapidly in its first few years, tax records show, CAP's total assets fell in 2006 for the first time, from \$23.6 million to \$20.4 million. Assets started growing again in 2007 when CAP founded the Business Alliance, a membership rewards program for corporate contributors, and then exploded when Obama was elected in 2008.”

We know that CAP founded its Business Alliance (corporate donors) in 2007, but since we only have a hint of 2011, combined with lists for 2012 and 2013, the mystery remains. Missing are the corporate donors CAP enlisted from 2007 until 2011. When did these now public donors join CAP, and what was dollar amount of money that was given?

While I am about to dissect the corporations from these lists (2011 to 2013) that received green energy taxpayer money (loans, grants and special tax breaks), in full disclosure, the majority of the stimulus and non-stimulus clean-energy funds (\$150 billion through 2014) were doled out between 2009 and 2011. However, as I reported in the beginning of this post, “green” funds continue to flow, and the Obama administration wants billions more of taxpayer money to save the planet.

I hate to be redundant, but as you'll see, CAP's corporate donors are connected to many of the same projects and firms that we have documented in previous Green Corruption Files. This is due to the fact that meaningful political ties — Obama's bundlers (both in 2008 and in 2012), top donors, financiers, and green cronies — have surrounded the green energy money from the onset. However, considering that CAP players operated inside the Obama White House as well as the Energy Department — prior, during and after the money was doled out — this adds another layer of corruption behind the green energy deals.

At any rate, several of these corporations include Big Banks (even the “too-big-to fail”) that have benefited immensely from President Obama's cleantech push. Even though many on Wall Street stood by the president in 2012, the majority sided with Mitt Romney. But that was after Obama enjoyed his fare share of Wall Street buddies who helped ensure his victory in 2008, poring millions of dollars into his campaign coffers, making their mark as TOP campaign donors (PAC) — with even Wall Street executives bundling huge sums of money for then-candidate Obama. Additionally, “the big bundlers

had broad access to the White House for meetings with top administration officials and glitzy social events.”

Inside these CAP corporate donor lists we find a few TARP recipients such as Citigroup, Goldman Sachs, Bank of America, and Merrill Lynch. What’s more telling is the infiltration of so many Citigroup and Goldman Sachs executives operating (past and present) inside the Obama administration, even shaping his economic policy.

CAP’S 2013 DONORS: Green energy money winners

#1) Bank of America (now Bank of America Merrill Lynch — confirmed donor since 2012):

Both Bank of America and Merrill Lynch (Campaign Committee’s), from 2003 to 2008, supported Senator Obama. Meanwhile, Bank of America, a heavy hitter donor with major lobbying pull, plays both sides of the isle. But in 2008, this Big Bank put their money (PAC and individuals) on blue, including donating to candidate Obama.

In the final days of the GOVT loan program (September 2011), the GOVT awarded a partial guarantee of \$1.4 billion loan — another shady stimulus deal (“non-investment grade”) that was “personally championed” by then-Energy Secretary Steven Chu — for a project called Project Amp. Prior to the finalization of this GOVT transaction, in June 2011, Bank of America Merrill Lynch, Prologis and NRG Energy joined forces on Project Amp, which was “a four-year, \$2.6 billion project to place solar panels on rooftops in 28 states, one of the most ambitious clean-energy projects in recent years,” reported the Wall Street Journal.

The Journal goes on, “Bank of America Merrill Lynch unit will provide \$1.4 billion in loans for the project,” of which “the financing is part of Bank of America’s plan to put \$20 billion of capital to work in renewable energy, conservation and other clean technologies that address climate change.”

However, by October 2013, the Energy Department pulled the plug on Project Amp, and according to POLITICO, Prologis never tapped into the \$1.4 billion. Still, along the way, Prologis did secure “a grant for \$68,000 for the purpose of “rent for warehouse space” under the Recovery Act.

While I have yet to do a complete analysis on Bank of America/Merrill Lynch and their renewable energy portfolio, there are additional firms or projects that this bank has backed that also received “green” taxpayer funds from the Obama administration.

NextEra Energy Wind Energy Assets

Fist is NextEra, whose CEO Lewis “Lew” Hay, III was member of President Obama’s Jobs Council (from 2011 until 2013), that was awarded two large GOVT stimulus loans, as well as a slew of other green energy stimulus funds. This is part of the scheme that we’ve been documenting since the summer of 2012 (“Third Largest Recipient of GOVT Risky Loans”), and later in my January 2013 Big Wind Story.

But since Bank of America has invested in the wind side, what's key here is this: NextEra was the biggest user of the wind energy production tax credit. In an analysis by John Fund of the National Review Online, he states...

Begun 20 years ago to spur the construction of wind-energy facilities that could compete with conventional fossil-fuel power plants, the tax credit [PTC] gives wind an advantage over all other energy producers. But it has mostly benefited conventional nuclear and fossil-fuel-fired electricity producers. The biggest user of the tax credit is Florida-based NextEra Energy, the nation's eighth-largest power producer. Through skillful manipulation of the credits, NextEra from 2005 to 2009 'paid just \$88 million in taxes on earnings of nearly \$7 billion,' Businessweek reports. That's a tax rate of just 1.25 percent over that period, when the statutory rate is 35 percent.

SolarCity

Considering that the Podesta Group added SolarCity as a client in 2012, I highlighted the various political connections to this solar firm earlier, including billionaire players that received taxpayer money for other green energy deals, such as Elon Musk, Nicholas J. Pritzker, and George Soros.

But what most don't know is that SolarCity was in line to get a stimulus loan from the GOVT for \$275 million. However, that deal — dubbed SolarStrong — fell apart due to the Solyndra "red flags" just prior to the loan program's deadline in September 2011. A month later, SolarCity found a willing partner in Bank of America, which was followed by this official announcement: "SolarCity and Bank of America Merrill Lynch today announced that they have agreed to terms on financing for SolarStrong, SolarCity's ambitious five-year plan to build more than \$1 billion in solar power projects for privatized U.S. military housing communities across the country."

It should be noted that without government subsidies, SolarCity wouldn't be the success it is today: "SolarCity currently benefits from tax credits totaling as much as 30 percent of the cost of these systems," noted Bloomberg. And, as I documented earlier, SolarCity, so far (and since 2009) has been subsidized with "green" through various stimulus funds, grants and federal tax breaks at the tune of \$514 million.

SunPower

Bank of America Merrill Lynch and SunPower have worked together since 2009. And this year they announced a \$220 million financing program for residential solar lease projects. In January 2010, SunPower Corp, the San Jose, Calif.-based designer and manufacturer of solar panels and systems, received four of the stimulus-enacted Section 48C Tax Credits totaling \$10.8 million. Then, despite SunPower's well-known financial issues and the fact that it was under a shareholder suit alleging securities fraud and misrepresentations, just days (September 2011) before the 1705 Loan Guarantee Program's deadline (along with four other solar companies), this "non-investment" grade \$1.2 billion stimulus loan was approved — to support the construction of the California Valley Solar Ranch (CVSR) in San Luis Obispo County.

The conditional loan to SunPower was announced on April 12, 2011, and shortly thereafter (April 30, 2011), the French oil conglomerate Total committed to buying a \$1.37 billion controlling stake (60%) in SunPower Corp — a bailout that was confirmed in June 2011. Now, SunPower never directly got the cash, because on the final closing of the GOVT loan guarantee, they sold the California Valley Solar Ranch to NRG Energy. However, SunPower continued on as the developer and Bechtel as the primary contractor building the project.

Also, to date, SunPower Residential, in 2013 and 2014, snagged 34 federal stimulus grants from the 1603 Program for “solar electricity” that ranges across 12 states, totaling over \$86 million tax dollars.

Other than Bank of America, both SunPower and NRG Energy have additional meaningful political connections to President Obama and other high-ranking Democrats, of which we’ve documented a few times: First in my October 2012 report (troubled green energy projects) and then in my February 2013 analysis on Citigroup, another CAP donor that is up next, which is a huge player inside this “climate” scam. Lastly, due to the fact that NRG Energy, a Fortune 500 and S&P 500 Index company, of which they and their subsidiaries, initially, were the recipient of most of 1705 stimulus loans: at least \$5.2 billion of taxpayer money (now minus the \$1.4 billion for Project Amp). NRG Energy was one of George Soros’ timely investments that I mentioned at the beginning of this post, yet more Intel can be found in my March 2013 Green Corruption File.

#2) Citigroup (confirmed donor since 2012):

Since 2007, Citigroup has been heavily involved in “climate change activities.” We also can confirm that this “too-big-to-fail” bank has made a massive footprint inside President’s Obama’s clean-energy dirt — the candidate that Citigroup helped get elected in 2008 as the number seven top donor (PAC) with many executives and friends of Obama bundling for both his campaigns. Meanwhile, in 2012, Citi contributed to both President Obama and Mitt Romney.

By 2011 — prior to the presidential reelection — through their “50 Billion Climate Change Investment Initiative,” Citigroup had “directed \$36.35 billion into such initiatives so far...” — of which they brag: “In the U.S., Citi has the largest market share (28 percent) of U.S. Department of energy section 1703/1705 Loan Guarantee program financings for alternative energy, and we are the leader in such bond transactions.”

Considering that in February 2013, I dedicated an entire post to Cit and their Massive ‘Green’ Money Machine,” which included a careful analysis of their “2012 alternative energy portfolio” that lists about 37 transactions (plus SolarReserve) — both foreign and here in the United States, here I’ll just give some highlights.

What I found is that 58 percent (22) of Citi’s clients had received government subsidies, totaling approximately \$16 billion from the taxpayer-funded Green Bank of Obama, the majority from stimulus package. Furthermore, my research not only “followed the green money,” but profiled the Citi executives that operate (d) inside the White House, some with key positions, which included President Obama’s 2013 choice to replace Timothy Geithner for Treasury Secretary with Jack Lew (former Chief of Staff), Michael Froman, Richard Parsons, Louis Susman, and Michael Eckhart — to name a few.

Many of the alternative energy projects that Citi was involved are mentioned in today's study, starting with the Energy Department's junk bond portfolio, which were doled out between 2009 and 2011:

- BrightSource Energy was awarded \$1.6 billion GOVT loan for the Ivanpah solar facility in California
- Brookfield Renewable Power, whereas Granite Reliable received a \$168.9 million loan for a wind project in New Hampshire.
- Caithness/General Electric received a \$1.3 billion GOVT loan for the Shepherds Flat wind project in Oregon
- NextEra Energy /First Solar got a \$1.46 billion loan for the Desert Sunlight project in California;
- SolarReserve was awarded \$737 million GOVT stimulus loan for the Crescent Dunes project in Nevada.

According to their renewable energy portfolio, Citi, in February 2011, became a major investor in SolarCity. And, as I documented earlier, SolarCity, so far (and since 2009) has been subsidized with "green" through various stimulus funds, grants and federal tax breaks at the tune of \$514 million.

Citigroup, as of 2010 was listed as SunPower's bookrunner. Then in August 2011 SunPower and Citi set up a \$105 million fund for residential solar leasing projects, which states, "SunPower will use the fund to extend its SunPower Lease programme to customers in 8 US states, expanding the financing options available to homeowners interested in SunPower solar energy systems. The SunPower Lease is now available in Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York and Pennsylvania. Citi is contributing \$80m to the fund." Again, I covered SunPower under Bank of America, showing that this solar firm was given plenty of green government subsidies:

In January 2010, SunPower Corp received four of the stimulus-enacted Section 48C Tax Credits totaling \$10.8 million.

In September 2011, SunPower is part of the \$1.2 billion stimulus loan to support the construction of the California Valley Solar Ranch (CVSR) in San Luis Obispo County.

SunPower Residential, in 2013 and 2014, snagged 34 federal stimulus grants from the 1603 Program for "solar electricity" that ranges across 12 states, totaling over \$86 million tax dollars.

#3) Goldman Sachs (possibly a donor since 2012):

Goldman Sachs was a top Obama donor in 2008, but we also know that two Goldman executives sat on Obama's 2008 Finance Committee and a slew of partners, executives and board members bundled for, and donated to Obama's 2008 campaign. Meanwhile, his administration has been infested with Goldmanites — even as early as 2008 when a Goldman board member, James A. Johnson (also an Obama bundler that I profiled many times due to his former firm Perseus), was chosen as head of Barack Obama's vice presidential search team. Known as Jim Johnson and "a fixture of establishment Washington, with ties to Wall Street and "a major presence in Democratic politics for more than two

decades,” Mr. Johnson resigned his VP vetting role amidst criticism over his part in the Countrywide Financial scandal as well as controversy surrounding his role as Fannie Mae’s chief executive from 1991 to 1999.

Even though in 2012, Goldman Sachs turned their back on Mr. Obama in 2012, there were many executives and board members that helped him get reelected.

Like Bank of America, I haven’t had the opportunity to examine Goldman Sachs’ Alternative Energy Group and its Environmental Markets financing and advisory; however, we do know that Goldman has been investing in renewable energy since at least 2005.

By 2014, Goldman Sachs “declared that the renewable sector is one of the most compelling” — even as they backed “green” in 2012, having committed \$40 billion to renewable energy (made and planned investments). According to Renew Economy, “Stuart Bernstein, who heads the bank’s clean-technology and renewables investment banking group, told Recharge in a recent interview in a story titled Goldman goes Green, ”It is at a transformational moment in time.”

As Goldman promotes President Obama’s Climate Action Plan along with other winners of clean-energy funds, they also brag about their climate change roles: “In 2012, we financed nearly \$2 billion and co-invested more than \$430 million in the sector. We also served as financial advisor on clean-energy transactions valued at more than \$1.1 billion.”

Needless to say, since 2010, I’ve been following Goldman and tracking how this Big Bank has been cashing in on the stimulus funds. As my research developed, I found their DNA all over this green energy scheme, of which to date we can confirm that Goldman Sachs has an invested interest — via various roles, and having entered the scene at different junctures (before, during and after taxpayer subsidies were awarded), in many projects and firms that received loans, grants and special tax breaks. So far I’ve tracked at least 14 firms connecting Goldman to over \$8.5 billion from the Green Bank of Obama, the majority from the 2009-Recovery Act.

Keep in mind too, that Goldman is associated (former executives and investments) with the Big VC firm Kleiner Perkins as well as Generation Investment Management (GIM) — mentioned a few times already. As a reminder, Kleiner Perkins is where we find the “climate duo,” whose combined carbon footprint is larger than my entire city: Billionaires John Doerr and Al Gore (partners at the firm), where Doerr, “a very big-ticket Obama donor,” in January 2009, persuasions were reflected in the 2009-Recovery Act via his “meetings with Obama’s transition team and leaders in Congress” as well as the fact that he made “five recommendations to Congress and President-elect Barack Obama to jumpstart a green-tech revolution and fight global warming.”

Shortly thereafter (around February 6, 2009) and just days prior to signing the stimulus bill (February 18, 2009), Obama appointed Doerr as a member of his Economic Recovery Advisory Board (PERAB), which later morphed into the president’s jobs council — only to close down in February 2013.

Meanwhile, back in 2004 Gore started GIM with former CEO of Goldman Sachs Asset Management David Blood, who is another Obama bundler. Apparently, Blood is the “wizard behind” GIM, and

behind this “sustainable firm” are several former Goldman executives and partners — even as Doerr, in 2007, joined the GIM advisory board.

This and more insight were profiled in my January 8, 2013 file on Doerr and Gore, whose “Greentech Portfolio” (at least 50%) and GIM’s “Sustainable Investing” secured billions in loans, grants and special tax breaks — the two firms combined are tied to at least \$10 billion from the taxpayer-funded Green Bank of Obama, the majority coming from the 2009-Recovery Act, of which Doerr had helped author.

As far as Goldman: first off they made big money from the sale of Horizon Wind Energy to Portugal’s EDP for \$2.5 billion in 2007, of which ironically, starting in 2009 until the end of 2012, EDP Renewables North America LLC (formerly Horizon Wind Energy LLC), received over \$700 million of free taxpayer money from the Obama stimulus bill (\$722,468,855 in Section 1603 Grants) for eleven wind projects, placing them at the number two spot of foreign firms that were winners of US grants. This was a shocking report released in early 2013 by the Energy and Commerce Committee, exposing the extent to which foreign corporations are benefiting from green energy stimulus funding.

Goldman’s Green Losers, Winners, Biofuels, & Smart Grids

Nevertheless, Goldman is credited as the “exclusive financial adviser” for the now bankrupt Solyndra (\$570.4 million loss), and in 2010, handled the IPO of what most deem a government winner, Tesla Motors that was awarded \$465 million from the GOVT ATVM program. Also, according to Goldman, “In May 2013, [they] helped raise over \$1 billion in new financing for Tesla Motors.”

There are more bankrupt ones as well: SpectraWatt (\$20.5 million), Nordic WindPower (\$8.6 million), and Suntech Power Holdings Co. (\$2.1 million) — all taking millions of taxpayer money down with them, while Goldman remains unscathed.

Goldman is also active in advanced biofuels and feedstock companies, handling the IPOs of biofuel companies, of which at least two won money and contracts from the Obama administration: Amyris (\$25 million stimulus grant), Kior (seeking \$1 billion GOVT loan) and Solazyme (\$21.7 million GOVT stimulus grant; plus part of the \$12 million biofuel contract with the U.S. Navy) — the latter company has its very own direct connection to CAP: Jonathan Wolfson, Solazyme co-founder and Chief Executive Officer, “is an active participant in many advisory groups, including sitting on the board of the Center for American Progress (CAP) Clean Tech Council.

Meanwhile, Goldman hooked up with another huge winner of stimulus funds: “In March 2013, [they] served as lead-left bookrunner on the \$93 million initial public offering for Silver Spring Networks” — a Foundation Capital, Kleiner Perkins, and Google (all with friends in the White House) green investment, which in 2009, cashed in big time when the GOVT starting handing the smart-grid grants as part of the 2009-Recovery Act. During the course of my January 2013 analysis on Silver Spring, I found that 30 percent of the \$4.5 billion stimulus smart-grid grants went to their “customers” — that’s over \$1.3 billion. Then in my May 2013 report, “Smart Grid, Dirty Devices”, I documented additional ties and an interesting analysis of its IPO: “Silver Spring IPO has more red flags than a Communist Party military parade,” PrivCo CEO Sam Hamadeh.

Goldman's Green GOVT Stimulus Loans

Considering that First Solar is also a CAP Business Alliance Member (donor), we'll expand on that solar firm later, but what is key here is that Goldman was an early investor in First Solar that snagged three large GOVT stimulus loans (over \$3 billion) — a story we've featured many times, starting with "The First Solar Three Billion Dollar Swindle."

Still, Goldman was (is) also an investor in U.S. Geothermal that in February 2011, landed a \$97 million GOVT stimulus loan slated to build a 22-megawatt power plant in the eastern Oregon desert. This was — one of the first geothermal projects funded by the GOVT, despite the fact that in December 2010, S&P had rated this loan as non-investment grade. Yet, the "2012 Internal GOVT Email Dump" prove that this deal was rushed and approved in time for a POTUS photo op. Moreover, U.S. Geothermal had other projects that snagged millions in green energy subsidies.

Then in September 2011, Cogentrix of Alamosa, LLC (Cogentrix Energy a subsidiary of Goldman Sachs), was awarded a \$90.6 million GOVT stimulus loan for the Alamosa Solar Generating Project in Colorado. Cogentrix, on July 16, 2012 bagged a \$34.6 million stimulus grant (free taxpayer money) from the 1603 Grant Program — I'm assuming this is for the same project.

But that's not all....

BrightSource Energy Just Got Darker

According to Renew Economy (January 2014), "[Goldman] has also a substantial investment in BrightSource Energy," which actually brought its Ivanpah solar power facility into full production last month — and if not for a federal loan guarantee, the \$2.2-billion project would have never seen the light of day. Now this massive solar power plant (struggling to produce power) has become the "\$2.2 Billion Bird-Scorching Solar Project" — with even the left-leaning Los Angeles Times, chronicling their grand opening like this:

After nearly four years of construction that killed desert tortoises, burned the feathers off passing birds and mowed down thousands of acres of native flora, Ivanpah officially opened last month with a gala that included a rock band and a horde of dignitaries — Energy Secretary Ernest Moniz among them.

We've been uncovering BrightSource Energy's \$1.6 billion shady GOVT deal since July 6, 2012, and as new information became available we've revisited this huge solar transaction several times. In short, the Ivanpah Solar Electric Generating System (SEGS) in California was subsidized with a \$1.6 billion GOVT stimulus loan, which was announced on February 22, 2010 and finalized April 11, 2011 — a project that also received special treatment by the Department of Interior, which was documented in Plaintiffs "2012 Special Seven Series."

Still, BrightSource investors not only include Goldman Sachs, but additional 2008 Obama donors such as Google, Morgan Stanley, and BP Alternative Energy. Meanwhile the Ivanpah project incorporates a slew of ties to President Obama as well as Vice President Joe Biden and Senator Harry Reid. This story comprises of big donors, political cronies and connections such as BrightSource, VantagePoint, Google, NRG Energy, PG&E, Goldman Sachs, Citigroup, George Soros, the former Commerce Secretary John

Bryson, McBee Strategic Consulting, lobbyists Bernie Toon, and others — with GOVT officials, Obama’s Green Team, and several in Congress from the Democrat side involved.

While I briefly addressed Citigroup’s part in this billion-dollar deal in February 23, 2013 (Ongoing — \$250mm IPO / Joint Bookrunner), it should be noted that just days after the finalization of the \$1.6 billion government loan, BrightSource had filed for an IPO, of which Goldman Sachs Group Inc., Citigroup Inc. and Deutsche Bank AG were leading the proposed offering. However, a year later, they canceled their IPO, and BrightSource CEO John Woolard (now former) told Gigaom.com that it was “because of the weak public markets, particularly for solar and greentech companies...” — of which, to date the IPO is still pending. Yet, we do know that Goldman has made equity investments in the solar developer BrightSource.

We also can confirm that additional political heavyweights have been hovering over this deal, starting with Bechtel (another big corporation with their hand in the stimulus) that constructed BrightSource’s Ivanpah project as well as the fact that sometime in October 2010, during the time of their GOVT loan review process, “NRG became the lead investor (\$300m) in Ivanpah solar project. In fact, as mentioned, this was one of the four (now three) large taxpayer-funded stimulus projects that NRG Energy is part of.

Side Note: My March 22, 2013 file covers The Soros connection, its CEO David Crane, and more. My September 2013 post on BrightSource’s Top DC lobbyist (since 2009) gives more insight into other players inside this \$1.6 billion GOVT deal, such as VantagePoint, Google Inc, and PG&E, which included ongoing interaction and pressure from the heavyweight K Street firm McBee Strategic Consulting — another huge player inside this green energy scam. What’s key is that Steve McBee “reportedly wrote key provisions in the stimulus bill to open the spigot of green corporate welfare” — thus over 60 percent of his energy client list cashed in under the Obama administration.

Lastly, in November 2013, we unleashed BrightSource’s connection to Senator Harry Reid as well as the incriminating “2012 Internal GOVT Email Dump” relevant to this particular GOVT transaction.

What’s key here is that BrightSource Energy has never been solid. In fact, the “Oakland-based BrightSource Energy Inc. had emerged from the bankruptcy of its parent company.” Now I’m assuming this was out of the ashes of BrightSource Industries (Israel) Ltd. that was formerly known as LUZ II Ltd., and changed its name in December 2008.

Nevertheless, according to Schweizer in *Throw Them All Out*, as he described the financial issues they were having, “BrightSource badly needed this infusion of taxpayer cash.” So in essence this \$1.6 billion stimulus GOVT deal was a bailout, which is a clear violation of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 — a fact that we elaborated on many times.

Goldman: SolarCity, SunEdison & SunRun

According to *Renew Economy* (January 2014), “Goldman Sachs also provided \$500 million of finance to SolarCity, to allow the biggest solar installer in the US to expand its solar leasing business. Goldman is one of a number of banks to do that — the latest was Bank of America/Merrill Lynch.”

Goldman, in 2012, also handled the IPO for SolarCity — the solar firm I first highlighted under the Podesta Group (became a 2012 client) and expanded upon under Bank of America, noting that SolarCity was not only in line to receive a loan from the Energy Department, but as documented earlier, SolarCity, so far (and since 2009) has been subsidized with “green” through various stimulus funds, grants and federal tax breaks at the tune of \$514 million. We’ll keep watching...

There is also SunEdison LLC — a global provider of solar-energy services — which was also an early Goldman Sachs investment. Due to the fact that this solar firm also became a client the Podesta Group in 2012, I covered the fact that in 2013, SunEdison won 5 federal stimulus grants from the 1603 Program for “solar electricity” that ranges across 5 states, totaling over \$1.8 million tax dollars.

Furthermore, SunEdison is in cahoots with JPMorgan, GE Capital as well as Southern Company and a few other familiar green energy players and CAP donors such as Bank of America, Duke Energy, and First Solar.

Just last month, the Wall Street Journal reported, “Sunrun Inc., a company that finances and installs home solar projects, has retained Goldman Sachs to raise a growth equity round of more than \$100 million, according to a person familiar with the situation.”

SunRun can be found in my May 2012 research on Foundation Capital — another friend of the Obama White House and big VC winner of taxpayer money from the Green Bank of Obama, adding to my long list of Big VC’s that have had made out like bandits. As of October 2013 , I found that SunRun, between 2011 and 2013, snagged 23 federal stimulus grants from the 1603 Program for “solar electricity” that ranges across 10 states, totaling over \$141 million tax dollars, thus far.

Plus in 2010, SunRun announced a \$100 million joint program with major utility Pacific Gas & Electric, which according to Venture Beat, “PG&E will be funding the rooftop systems in question via its subsidiary, Pacific Energy Capital II, a tax equity fund,” of which “In lieu of traditional returns, the investor — PG&E in this case — gets tax benefits in addition to some cash returns.”

#4) Covanta Energy (confirmed donor since 2012):

This was one of those Soros timely investments (twelve alternative energy and utility companies) that I had alluded to in the beginning of this post and exposed in my March 2013 Green Corruption File. I found that Covanta, a clean-energy company and the recipient of federal stimulus grants, also received millions in 2010 through Congressional earmarks, yet it is unclear as to how many green government subsidies or the exact stimulus dollar amount that Covanta Energy snagged. Also, Covanta Energy stands to benefit from the NAT GAS Act if it comes to light again.

#5) General Electric (confirmed donor since 2011):

General Electric (GE) is a heavy donor to both Republicans and Democrats, and its CEO Jeffrey Immelt “plays the role of typical corporate donor who hedges his bets on both sides of the fence.” However in 2008, GE gave the Obama campaign \$529,855, marking them as a top Obama donor.

Meanwhile, in early 2009, Immelt was first appointed as a member of Obama's Economic Recovery Advisory Board (PERAB), which later morphed into the president's jobs council, where Immelt served as the Jobs Czar, until it closed down in February 2013.

Nevertheless, GE is a major player on the clean-energy scene as well as in this green energy scheme, starting with the fact that they were also part of the GOVT's Electricity Advisory Committee that had influence into the 2009-Recovery Act. In 2009, the New York Times recognized GE's green power, noting, "GE lobbied Congress to help expand the clean-energy subsidy programs, and it now profits from every aspect of the boom in renewable-power plant construction, including hundreds of millions in contracts to sell its turbines to wind plants built with public subsidies."

I've been keeping track of GE's "green tab" since 2012, which at that time exceeded \$3 billion in direct (some indirect) taxpayer cash. This tally includes three large stimulus loans from Energy Department's junk bond portfolio that were doled out between late 2010 until September 2011.

Considering the treasure trove of Intel found in the "2012 Internal GOVT Email Dump," I revisited GE in my December 2012 post. Long story short, in October 2010, the Caithness Shepherds Flat wind project located in eastern Oregon was awarded a \$1.3 billion GOVT stimulus loan — a \$2 billion project sponsored by GE, who also supplied the project with 338 wind-turbines. These internal emails show that this transaction was approved with White House pressure. For example:

September 9, 2010 EMAIL: James McCrea (subject line: Shepherds Flat — Draft Responses to OMB Questions), "As you all know, the pressure to make decisions on this transaction are high so speed of the essence." Then later that day, McCrea writes (Email #4 from Appendix I) he says, "Pressure is on real heavy on SF [Shepherds Flat] due to interest from VP."

Shepherds Flat's developers also received "a \$500 million federal grant, state tax credits totaling \$18 million, accelerated depreciation on federal and state taxes worth \$200 million, and a premium for its power from the state worth \$220 million." At some point, the Shepherds Flat wind farm received three separate tax credits totaling \$30 million from the state of Oregon.

But there's more...

In September 2011, the poorly rated 1366 Technologies, sponsored in part by GE, received a direct \$150 million stimulus loan from the GOVT for its solar manufacturing plant.

GE is also part of the \$1.2 billion GOVT stimulus loan for the Desert Sunlight project, which was finalized in September 2011. This is part of the First Solar Swindle that has been mentioned a few times in this post, and will be detailed later.

Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) loaned \$54.6 million to Kansas City Southern Railway Company (KCSR) "to purchase thirty new General Electric ES44AC diesel-electric locomotives" — a loan that raised red flags in the March 2012 House Oversight investigation.

Also, you'll be "blown away" by the billions of "wind energy grants" that flew out of the stimulus package back in February 2010, of which at that time, GE was contracted to at least 26% of them as the "Turbine Manufacturer."

In late 2009, it was reported by Gigamon, "GE is one of the newer smart meter players, but the conglomerate has been working with utility Oklahoma Gas & Electric on a 6,600 smart meter trial, and has a contract with PHI, which received \$104.80 million for a smart meter deployment in Washington, DC. GE also has a big contract with Florida Power and Light" that also the recipient of a \$200 million stimulus grant.

Other than bagging direct green energy stimulus money, GE has also joined forces with others that have benefited from Obama's alternative-energy taxpayer funds. Two in particular — the Advanced Metering Partners, another John Doerr "venture" via Silver Spring Networks, as well as Energy Technology Ventures formed in 2011 with NRG Energy and ConocoPhillips. And, I'm sure if were to visit GE again, we'd find much more than \$3 billion in green energy funds.

#6) Google (confirmed donor since 2012):

Google, like Wall Street and Big Energy, plays the political game well: it's all about access and influence, starting with campaign contributions. Google's \$814,540 contribution to then-Senator Obama's campaign made it the fifth largest donor in 2008, and in 2012 moved up to the number three spot with a whopping \$805,119. Furthermore, Google's CEO at the time, Eric Schmidt, served as an informal advisor to President Obama. Schmidt, Google Executive Chairman, was also an Obama donor in 2008, and since April 2009, is (was) a member of the president's Science and Technology Advisory Council (PCAST).

Another Google political connection is Dan Reicher, director of climate and energy initiatives at Google, who was one of the founders of Cleantech and Green Business Leaders for Obama. There are other interesting folks behind the Google scenes such as John Doerr and Al Gore — the dynamic climate duo mentioned periodically in this post — who has served as a member of Google's board of directors since May 1999, with Gore as a (past) senior advisor.

And according to Michelle Malkin, "Google cofounder Sergey Brin, Chief Legal Officer and Senior Vice President David Drummond, and Google Vice President and Chief Internet Evangelist Vint Cerf are all vocal Obama supporters and top donors." Meanwhile, Google co-founders Sergey Brin & Larry Page, invested in Tesla Motors, while Google, in 2011, partnered with SolarCity (mentioned a few times now) to create a \$280 million fund for residential solar projects — both BIG winners of "green" taxpayer money.

Like many of these Big VC's that won a significant amount of green money from the Obama administration — Kleiner Perkins, Khosla Ventures, The Westly Group, VantagePoint Capital Partners, Google Ventures, Foundation Capital, and others — their "cleantech investments" overlap, and I briefly touched on Google in my January 2012 post about Doerr and Gore.

Later, I documented Google as energy client of McBee Strategic Consulting (in my September 2013 Green Corruption File), discovering and exposing the fact that Google Ventures — via their “Energy Investments” and other “green deals” that I tracked down at that time — has ten verified stimulus and other green energy money winners, which places their investment score at close to \$5 billion of taxpayer cash, which includes three GOVT loans: BrightSource Energy (\$1.6 billion); GE’s Caithness Shepherds Flat wind project (presented under GE for \$1.3 billion); and Tesla Motors that won \$465 million ATVM loan. If you add in Silver Spring Networks’ customers that won \$1.3 billion in smart-grid stimulus grants, which I divulged here a few times, that figure rises to \$6.3 billion and counting.

#7. PG&E (confirmed donor since 2011):

This utility giant is a strong Obama and Democrat donor that happens to be all over this “green” scam. Not only did they have direct influence over the GOVT loans, they are jam-packed with Washington “green cronies,” including Cathy Zoi, who is the “most controversial former PG&E employee to hold an influential government.” Zoi, an Al Gore acolyte was a GOVT Insider from 2009 until 2011, and she is not only tied to PG&E but other stimulus winners.

Still, PG&E was another client of the top DC lobbyist, McBee Strategic Consulting, of which I divulged in my September 2013 Green Corruption File. As reported by the Washington Free Beacon in 2012, “PG&E has become an aggressive buyer of power supplied by solar, wind, and other renewable sources, in large part due to statutory requirements under California’s Renewable Portfolio Standard, which mandated that 20 percent of the utility’s electricity come from renewable sources by 2010 — and 33 percent by 2020.”

The big win for this huge energy corporation is that they have an invested interest in seven Energy Department stimulus loans worth \$7.6 billion. Moreover, with the exception of BrightSource Energy’s \$1.6 project, of which we now know from an email Dated January 4, 2010, that Peter Darbee, then CEO of PG&E, had himself spoken to President Obama about this deal, the rest of the loans were finalized between June and September 2011. While the details into these taxpayer-funded projects can be found in my April 2013 post, here’s an overview.

Agua Caliente Solar Power Project located in Yuma, Arizona, of which “PG&E will purchase the project’s power and deliver it to customers in California.” Project by NRG Solar: \$967 million loan guarantee

BrightSource Energy development located in Baker, CA, of which “electricity from the project will be sold under long-term power purchase agreements with Pacific Gas & Electric and Southern California Edison Company (SCE).” Project by NRG Energy, Inc. (BrightSource): \$1.6 billion loan guarantee

California Valley Solar Ranch of which the 250-megawatt is under construction in eastern San Luis Obispo County, and “is generating clean, reliable solar power for transmission over PG&E’s utility grid.” Project by NRG Solar and SunPower is still involved: \$1.237 billion loan guarantee

Desert Sunlight Project located in Riverside, CA, with the PPA (purchase power agreement) listed as Southern California Edison and PG&E. This is a First Solar Project that is co-owned by NextEra

Energy Resources, GE Energy Financial Services, and Sumitomo Corporation of America: partial guarantee of \$1.46 billion

Genesis Solar Energy Project located in Riverside County, CA of which “power from the project will be sold to Pacific Gas and Electric Company.” Project by NextEra Energy Resources, LLC: partial guarantee of \$852 million loan

Mesquite Solar 1, LLC located in Maricopa County, AZ, of which Bloomberg News had reported at the time the GOVT loan was approved, “Sempra will sell electricity from the Mesquite Solar 1 plant to California’s largest utility, PG&E Corp., under a 20- year contract.” Project by Sempra Mesquite: \$337 million loan guarantee

Mojave Solar located in San Bernardino County, CA, of which at the time of the GOVT loan approval (September 2011), “Abengoa signed a power-purchase agreement with PG&E to buy the energy produced by the project for a period of 25 years.” Project by the Spanish firm Abengoa Solar, Inc.: \$1.2 billion loan guarantee

Meanwhile, my May 2013 “Smart Grid, Dirty Devices” divulges PG&E’s partnership with Silver Spring Networks on many fronts (PG&E is their top customer). Silver Springs is the the lucky smart-grid technology company that I mentioned earlier, who has an array of White House connections — Foundation Capital, Kleiner Perkins and Google — and as of January 2013 is linked to at least \$1.3 billion in smart-grid stimulus grants.

But there’s more...

PG&E won a significant amount of stimulus money for various projects, of which last year I found at least seventeen that added up to over \$55 million of tax dollars.

PG&E also bagged at least four stimulus 1603 grants in 2012 and 2013 (for fuel cell, hydropower and solar) totaling \$127.2 million.

SolarCity and SunRun, who both won large sums of stimulus money (duly noted in this post), are in cahoots with P.G.&E. Corporation, the California utility holding company’s tax-equity fund to finance residential solar installations.

CAP’S 2011 & 2012 DONORS: Clean-Energy Money Winners (referred to as “American Progress Business Alliance Members”)

Energy/Utilities

#8) American Electric Power (AEP):

While I found that AEP (between 2009 and 2010) was awarded at least four stimulus grants totaling \$740 million, which was detailed in my March 2013 Green Corruption File, there is more to share. According to Schweizer’s bombshell book, “in the first quarter of 2009, Soros made an initial purchase of more than 1.5 million shares in American Electric Power (AEP), a utility company that invested heavily in an energy project called FutureGen.”

This was a project that had been abandoned by the Bush administration; however, on June 12, 2009, the Obama administration revived FutureGen: “a federal-industry partnership that would build an advanced coal-burning power plant in Illinois to trap and store carbon dioxide emissions.” After Obama took office, he not only restarted FutureGen with \$1 billion from the stimulus package, but he moved the project to Meredosia.

The FutureGen Industrial Alliance “was formed to partner with the U.S. Department of Energy on the FutureGen project.” At that time it was a consortium of major coal and utility companies such as American Electric Power Co. Inc. and Peabody Energy Corp. Just thirteen days later, both AEP and Southern Co withdrew from the U.S.-government backed FutureGen project.

Despite ongoing drama, cost overruns and delays, as well as potential air pollution and other matters; back in February 2013, the FutureGen project was moving forward. While AEP was gone, we did find Ameren Corp (another Soros timely investment) along the way. Currently the FutureGen Industrial Alliance includes Alpha Natural Resources, Joy Global Inc, Peabody Energy, Xstrata Coal Pty Limited, and another CAP corporate donor, Anglo American (up next).

#9) Anglo American (confirmed donor since 2012, listed under energy/utility):

As detailed above, Anglo American, “one of the world’s largest mining companies, is headquartered in the UK and listed on the London and Johannesburg stock exchanges,” is now part of the FutureGen project funded with green energy funds. This past January, the Energy Department “gave the long-planned FutureGen clean-coal project one of the final OKs [and \$1 billion] it needs to start building,” announced the Daily Journal.

According to most reports, “If all goes according to plan, the FutureGen project should be fully operational by 2017 and continue commercial operations for at least 20 years.”

#10) Constellation Energy:

Again, this is one of those twelve alternative energy and utility companies that another Soros had invested in shortly having helped craft the 2009 stimulus package that I had alluded to in the beginning of this post (exposed in my March 2013 Green Corruption File). I found that Constellation, an Exelon Company, which is labeled as “the president’s utility,” was another top 2008 Obama donor and big winner of “green” funds. Constellation received a \$200 million stimulus grant, of which since they are (were) the parent of Baltimore Gas and Electric Co, I’m assuming the \$200 million smart-grid grant (awarded in October 2009) that went to BGE is the one Schweizer had mentioned in his book (unless they got another \$200 million for something else).

Moreover, according to the Washington Free Beacon, “Constellation is one of the most prolific providers of green energy to federally owned facilities, sporting contracts with the General Services Administration (GSA) for the U.S. Capitol building, the Federal Reserve, the Smithsonian Institution, the United Nations building in New York, and a host of federal buildings in several states.”

#11) Dow Corning (Silicone Manufacturing/Solar):

In January 2010, two manufacturing tax credits were awarded from the 48C stimulus-created program for solar projects in Michigan. The tax credits included “\$141.9 million for Hemlock Semiconductor’s (a joint venture of Dow Corning Corporation and others) expansion of its Michigan polycrystalline silicon operations, and \$27.3 million for a monosilane plant Dow Corning is building.”

By 2012, Hemlock Semiconductor announced that they “were postponing three of the four phases of their \$1.2 billion plant in Tennessee,” of which the state of Tennessee had committed \$245 million to Hemlock — some of which was stimulus funds. In 2013, the company began laying off hundreds of workers at their Clarksville plant — even 100 were from their facility in Michigan (March 2013), and 50 more in May 2013. With the future of their plants unknown, “Dow Corning Corp. announced [November 2013] that it is acquiring a bigger stake in Hemlock Semiconductor.” So, here we have two more failing stimulus-funded projects that we need to watch — and a CAP donor ta boot.

#12) Duke Energy:

As duly noted, Duke Energy — the nation’s largest electric power company — has been a client of the Podesta Group since 2009. Jim Rogers, the chairman of Duke Energy, is another Obama donor, who was a major player at the 2012 Democratic convention, as a contributor, creditor, host, and even a speaker.

While Duke Energy is worthy of additional scrutiny, my January 2013, Big Wind Story documented that in 2011, Duke Energy was the recipient of a \$22 million grant from the GOVT’s ARPA-E advanced energy research program that was funded by the 2009 stimulus package. This was “to design, build and install large-scale batteries to store wind energy at one of its wind farms in Texas.”

Then in May 2013 (previously dated June 2010), Notrees Windpower — a project of Duke Energy located in Texas — was handed a stimulus grant from the 1603 Program for \$103.6 million. And after a quick glance, I found three 1603 stimulus grants for Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC that were dished out in 2012 and 2013, totaling over \$62 million for “hydropower” and “solar electricity.” Duke Energy was also privy to the “smart” money as well — in 2009, the GOVT awarded Duke Energy a \$200 million stimulus smart-grid grant to support projects in the Midwest.

#13) Enel Green Power North America:

In July 2012, Enel Green Power, through its US subsidiary Enel Green Power North America Inc., was awarded a grant for approximately \$99 million from the 2009-Recovery Act 1603 grant program for the construction of the Caney River wind farm in Kansas. The Caney River and the Rocky Ridge wind farm project includes J. P. Morgan as well as Wells Fargo Wind Holdings LLC and Metropolitan Life Insurance Company.

#14) First Solar (Solar Manufacturing and possibly a 2011 donor):

As I’ve alluded to many times in this post and others, First Solar has considerable ties to the Obama administration, starting with the fact that this solar firm was an early investment of Goldman Sachs, the Wall Street giant mentioned above as a CAP corporate donor since 2012 (maybe sooner).

In the mix we find another First Solar investor — Generation Investment Management (GIM), which as you know, is Al Gore’s sustainability firm tied to many green energy deals. Along the way we find a myriad of Obama billionaire cronies (donors and bundlers) that were also investors in First Solar: Ted Turner, Paul Tudor Jones, Whitney Tilson, David Shaw, as well as the fact George Soros bought First Solar stock sometime in late 2007, until about May 2011, as recorded at GuruFocus.com.

Prior to the \$3 billion in GOVT stimulus loans, in 2010, First Solar snagged \$16.3 million “to expand its manufacturing facility to produce fully completed thin-film solar modules,” in Ohio, which was part of the 2009-Recovery Act via the GOVT / Treasury, Clean Energy Manufacturing Tax Credits (48C). According to reports, “The Ohio Department of Development also lent First Solar \$5 million, and the state’s Air Quality Development Authority gave the company an additional \$10 million loan” — marking First Solar’s Ohio facility as taxpayer-funded with over \$30 million.

But it gets better: First Solar, in 2011, “also scored \$547.7 million in loan guarantees [by the controversial taxpayer funded export-Import Bank (Ex-Im)] to subsidize the sale of solar panels to solar farms abroad,” as documented by Veronique de Rugy (senior research fellow at the Mercatus Center) in her stunning assessment of GOVT’s Loan Program. Ms. de Rugy goes on, “More troubling is the fact that some of the Ex-Im money [\$192.9 million] went to a Canadian company named St. Clair Solar, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of First Solar, meaning that the company received a loan to buy solar panels from itself.”

This Ex-Im transaction even hits closer to CAP, starting with Carol Browner — CAP fellow, former GOVT insider, and Al Gore’s pal — who sits on (and has for a while) the Advisory Committee of the export-Import Bank.

Still, the Daily Caller last month, unearthed another alarming connection: “[First Solar] is not only listed as a CAP donor, but has also been listed as a client of the Albright Stonebridge Group (ASG) in 2011 — a lobbying firm founded by former Clinton Secretary of State Madeleine Albright. ASG is also listed as a CAP donor.”

And, it gets better, as reported in The DC...

Coincidentally, export-Import Bank President Fred Hochberg has spoken annually at CAP since 2011 — the same year First Solar got its loan. It is unknown whether the solar company was also a CAP donor at the time.

When Hochberg spoke at the progressive think tank in 2012, he mentioned that Alice Albright was in attendance — Madeleine Albright’s daughter and the Ex-Im’s chief operating officer from 2009 to 2013. Hochberg spoke on June 25 and First Solar was awarded \$57.3 million in financing on July 18. In 2011, Hochberg spoke on June 15 and, just over a week later on June 23, Ex-Im awarded First Solar millions more in financing. That year the taxpayer-backed export bank awarded First Solar nearly \$573 million to make their products more competitive abroad and boost their sales — most of that financing came after Hochberg gave his speech. First Solar Vice President Frank de Rosa was likely bundling donations for Obama’s reelection campaign around this time.

Nevertheless, the big money came from the Energy Department: First Solar, an Arizona-based manufacturer of solar panels, in August and September 2011, won three 1705 GOVT “junk rated” stimulus loans totaling over \$3 billion. Marita Noon and I first covered the “First Solar Swindler” in the summer of 2012, which began by documenting how seven solar companies received fast-tracked approval by the Department of the Interior (DOI) to lease federal lands in a no-bid process:

Abengoa Solar, BrightSource Energy, First Solar, Nevada Geothermal Power, NextEra Energy Resources, Ormat Nevada, and SolarReserve.

Since then, we’ve tracked First Solar’s woes, which began since the finalization of these three large GOVT loan guarantees — projects, by the way, that were sold to more Obama “energy” cronies just after the taxpayer funds were approved. However, First Solar remained involved in all of them.

The Projects

Exelon (Antelope Valley Solar Ranch): \$646 million stimulus loan

In September 2011, the same day that the Antelope Valley Solar Ranch, located in California, received a GOVT loan guarantee for \$646 million, Exelon Corp. purchased it. First Solar, which developed the project, is still actively involved. The AVSR1 project, by the way, is expected to create 350 construction jobs and 20 permanent jobs.

The Chicago-based Exelon Corp, a big Obama donor and labeled as “The President’s Utility,” by itself is a huge piece of the Green Corruption scandal, which I have alluded to in the past and another piece of the scandal in the works.

NextEra Energy Resources, LLC (Desert Sunlight): \$1.46 billion stimulus loan

The California Desert Sunlight, in September 2011 — again the same day that this project received \$1.46 billion offer for a partial loan guarantee from the GOVT — was sold to NextEra Energy Resources, LLC, the competitive energy subsidiary of NextEra Energy, Inc. and GE Energy Financial Services. Yet, the September announcement also stated, “First Solar will continue to build and subsequently operate and maintain the project under separate agreements.” Both CEO’s Jeffrey Immlet and Lewis Hay were featured in my “Green Five: Spreading the Wealth to Obama’s Ultra-Rich Jobs Council Members” series.

According to the GOVT, Desert Sunlight, which is expected to create 550 construction jobs and 15 permanent jobs for the plant’s operation, “will deploy commercially available First Solar Series 3 modules and is projected to achieve commercial operation by February 28, 2015.”

NRG Solar, LLC (Agua Caliente): \$967 million stimulus loan

In August 2011, as the \$967 million GOVT loan guarantee for the Agua Caliente, located in Arizona, was announced, it was purchased from First Solar by NRG Solar, LLC, a subsidiary of NRG Energy. At that time it was noted that the First Solar will be providing the solar panels for this project, and that the plant, when completed, would supply power to PG&E.

According to the GOVT, the Agua Caliente project — considered another jobs creator with an expected 400 construction jobs and 10 permanent jobs — “currently generates enough energy to power 49,600 households annually.”

Keep in mind that documented much earlier was Steve Spinner — the two-time Obama bundler GOVT advisor (April 2009 to September 2010) turned CAP fellow (September 2010 to October 2011) — and his part in the First Solar deal making, which included advocacy for the at least the Antelope Valley project. Nevertheless, there are additional CAP players here, starting with what The Nation revealed in May 2013:

José Villarreal — a consultant at the power-house law and lobbying firm Akin Gump, who ‘provides strategic counseling on a range of legal and policy issues’ for corporations — was on First Solar’s board until April 2012 while also sitting on the board of CAP, where he remains a member, according to the group’s latest tax filing.

#15) First Wind

In my January 2013 Big Wind Story — also a client of the top DC lobbyists McBee Strategic Consulting — I exposed a “twister of sweetheart deals” found in the Department of Energy’s junk bond portfolio, which included four risky wind projects. One of those was Kahuku Wind Power, LLC, a project of First Wind in Kahuku Oahu, HI, which in July 2010, was granted a \$117 million GOVT stimulus loan, estimated to create a whopping 200 jobs. And then on February 3, 2012 this same project received a 1603 grant for over \$35 million [docket #2594 to \$35,148,839].

Sadly, in August 2012 a fire that destroyed First Wind’s battery storage facility (built by Xtreme Power) and sent toxic fumes into the air, which left ratepayers in the dark over costs and safety. And, it was reported on January 23, 2014 that “Xtreme Power ran out of cash and filed for bankruptcy,” — NOTE: Xtreme Power built the energy storage system for Duke Energy’s Notrees wind energy farm in Texas, another winner of stimulus funds, listed above.

The First Wind plan was to secure taxpayer money and then go public. Now they achieved their first objective with the help of U.S. taxpayers, because and as of July 2012, First Wind’s projects have also received over \$452 million in grants through the stimulus’ 1603 Program.

- First Wind’s Stetson Wind Farm in Maine — \$40,441,471
- Cohocton Wind Farm in New York, \$52,352,334
- Dutch Hill Wind Farm In New York, \$22,296,494
- Milford Wind Corridor Phase I In Utah; \$120,147,809
- Milford Wind Corridor Phase II In Utah, \$80,436,803
- Rollins Wind Farm In Maine; \$53,246,347
- Sheffield Wind Farm In Vermont, \$35,914,864

- Kahuku Wind Farm In Hawaii, \$35,148,839
- Steel Winds II Wind Farm In New York, \$12,778,75

However, in November 2010, Bloomberg announced, “First Wind Holdings Inc., the operator of wind-energy projects backed by D.E. Shaw & Co. and Madison Dearborn Partners LLC, said it withdrew its initial public offering because of unfavorable market conditions” that’s code for “weak demand.”

Speaking of IPO’s...

Within the House Oversight leaked emails that were unleashed late October 2012, more specifically the 350+ page Appendix II (“2012 Internal GOVT Email Dump”), we find that just months prior to the final approval of the Kahuka loan there was intense interaction within the GOVT regarding this transaction...

“Someone is pressing Jonathan [Jonathan Silver is the former Executive Director of the Loan Program Office] who is now pressing hard on the everyone as the sponsor has an IPO in the works.”

This and more can be found in my Big Wind Story, including a the fact the first-rate, high-powered political ties to First Wind are vast, starting with D.E. Shaw & Co, a New York-based investment firm that is a backer of First Wind Holdings Inc. (also an investor in First Solar). This was noted when I profiled Larry Summers from CAP — adding that, according to Peter Schweizer, “Larry Summers was part owner of First Wind.”

The founder of the hedge fund DE Shaw & Co., David Shaw, is a two-time Obama bundler, who employed Larry Summers before heading to the Obama White House, as the top economic advisor. It turns out that in 2011, according to BusinessInsider.com, Shaw, a computer scientist and computational biochemist, was “appointed by Obama to serve on the President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology.”

As revealed by Peter Schweizer, “another 42 percent of First Wind is owned by Madison Dearborn Partners, an investment firm with close ties [and friend of] to then-White House Chief of Staff Rahm Emanuel. The founder of the firm, David Canning, had been a bundler for George W. Bush. But he switched sides in 2008 and gave heavily to Obama. Madison Dearborn gave more to Emanuel’s congressional campaigns than did any other business.”

While the GOP found that “Julia Bovey, First Wind’s Director of External Affairs, was formerly Director of External Affairs for Obama’s Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (June 2009 to June 2010),” there is much bigger fish here. All government backed green comes with a slew of lobbyists, and First Wind is no different — enter in Larry Rasky’s Lobbying Firm with ties to the top.

Larry Rasky, “a longtime confidant and campaign strategist” of Vice President Joe Biden, was also a 2012 Obama bundler, and since Obama took office, “Rasky has visited the White House at least 21 Times,” half of which were during the course of the GOVT loan review process (Data.gov, Accessed 7/18/12). Moreover, we know that in 2009, about the time the 2009-Recovery Act passed, First Wind retained lobbyists Rasky Baerlein Strategic Communications as well as Brownstein, Hyatt et al, who is

primarily a Democrat donor, with some Republicans in the mix — and as of 2012, maintains the work of Rasky. .

#16) General Motors (donor in 2011)

As I divulged at the beginning of this post, General Motors (GM) — a CAP donor in 2011 — was a client of the Podesta Group from 2010 until 2012. Even after the taxpayers bailed out General Motors in 2009 (over \$80 billion — \$17.5 billion under Bush and \$63.4 billion from Obama), of which we lost at least \$14 billion, green energy taxpayer money continues to subsidize the failed auto maker. This time, though, was for GM's hybrid electric vehicle the Chevy Volt — a car that's not doing very well.

What I've tracked so far is that starting in 2009 until recently, GM has bagged hundreds of millions of stimulus dollars (\$471.6 million to be exact) to support the Chevy Volt as well as green car components, of which I'll share the details when I dissect the CAP corporate donors.

According to the January 25, 2012 House Oversight Report...

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) appropriated \$2.4 billion for domestic production of batteries and components for electric cars. Of this, \$1.5 billion in grants were directed toward manufacturing the batteries, while the remaining \$900 million went to building new facilities or improving existing facilities to produce electric drive components. This included \$151.4 million to Michigan-based Compact Power, Inc., for production of lithium-ion polymer battery cells for the GM Volt; \$105.9 million directly to GM for production of high-volume battery packs for the Volt; \$105 million to GM to construct facilities for electric drive systems; and \$89.3 million to Delphi Automotive Systems, a former division of GM, to expand manufacturing facilities for electric drive power components.

Also, “buyers of the Volt will receive a federal tax credit of up to \$7,500 of per vehicle” as well as state tax credits.

Then, lo and behold, on December 12, 2013, Think Progress — CAP's propaganda machine — announced, “Ford Motor Company and General Motors Company will receive a combined \$50 million to support their respective manufacturing facilities that produce electric cars.” This was from the stimulus-created 48C Program, of which GM's share was \$20 million for “its Detroit-Hamtramck Assembly Plant where the company manufactures Extended Range Electric Vehicles— Chevrolet Volts and the Cadillac ELR electric luxury coupe — along with internal combustion cars.”

#17) Xcel Energy:

What's interesting is that Xcel Energy was in the loop with Cogentrix Energy, a subsidiary of Goldman Sachs, which in September 2011, snagged a \$90.6 million GOVT stimulus loan for the Alamosa Solar Generating Project. Then on July 16, 2012, Cogentrix bagged a \$34.6 million stimulus grant (free taxpayer money) from the 1603 Grant Program — I'm assuming this is for the same project.

What's interesting (see graph with Goldman Sachs stimulus loans) is that the partners involved in this project included utility Xcel Energy (XEL), which signed a 20-year contract to buy enough CPV power

to supply electricity to 6,500 homes; and Amonix, the California-based company that will supply the CPV panels — Amonix (complete with Obama buddies) was subsidized with \$29.6 million of taxpayer money before it went bankrupt in July 18, 2012. What a scam...

Also, according to MinnPost.com, in 2010, Minnesota's Senator Al Franken visited Mulroy's Body Shop "to highlight the use of federal stimulus funds in creating jobs and boosting the alternative energy economy." It turns out that the owner had 174 solar panels installed on the roof of his Nicollet Avenue body shop in South Minneapolis. And that "Minneapolis-based Solarflow Energy installed the system and is leasing the equipment to Mulroy's under contract with Xcel Energy. The lease agreement also includes installation, maintenance and support. The federal stimulus funds deliver a grant-in-lieu of a 30 percent tax credit on the value of the installation to Solarflow." Solarflow start-up was partially funded through an Xcel Energy Renewable Development Fund grant of \$1.5 million.

While Xcel was omitted from the \$3.4 billion in stimulus smart-grid grants in 2009, for their highly touted \$100 million "Smart Grid City" project in Boulder, Colorado did snag about \$24.2 million in federal economic stimulus money for "Smart Grid" updates to the state's power grid and customer meters. Still, Xcel Energy is slapping ratepayers with the bill: "In 2010, Xcel found itself asking Colorado regulators for permission to recoup \$44.5 million in rate increases, but the Colorado Public Utilities Commission only gave it \$27.9 million," as documented by GreenTechMedia in 2012. And it seems that while they were seeking another \$16.6 million in 2012 for their Smart Grid City mess, "The Colorado Public Utility Commission (recently) denied Xcel's request to recover a big chunk of that \$45 million," reported Smart Grid News in August 2013.

In closing...

What's clear is that spending millions to elect the right president, while giving big money to other high-ranking politicians, pays big time. This game is played by also hiring high-powered lobbyists, while employing think tanks and organizations that have significant clout. Sadly, this is how crony capitalism works — more like corporate welfare, because this game is rigged for the ultra rich and huge corporations, screwing the taxpayer all the way to the bank. In the meantime, career politicians continue in power; thus fueling the never-ending corrupt cycle of political payback.

Along the way, what counts is either a position or connection (friends and family) inside the White House or a particular government department. It's all about "access and influence" — thus those that play the game well are guaranteed millions, if not billions, of taxpayer money. It's not for the feeble minded, the regular law-abiding citizen, nor the small business worthy of American taxpayer support.

However, Americans have the power to vote the bums out and make it a FELONY for any politician, or their family members, to own stock market stocks or assets.

In the Bay Area Elite arrogant asshole dynasties send their kids to Stanford University. Stanford puts the kids in asshole frat houses to train them to get away with rape and run monopolies. When they graduate they either go up the hill to Sandhill Road and start a venture capital clone operation or they get their frat friends on Sandhill Road to racketeer-fund their start-up or political campaign using money scammed from your parents pension funds. They only work with their frat buddies and insiders

in a tribal 'old boys club' manner. They steal all the technology and markets they want because they control all of the tech lawyers and politicians via bribes and revolving doors. Stanford bosses keep all of this covered-up and covertly fund political campaigns to grease the wheels of political corruption. They then sexually extort some Stanford interns in Rosewood Hotel rooms, get the most 'trophy wife' ones pregnant, and start the cycle all over again. This is how "Silicon Valley" operates.

David Brock Can Kill You, And Destroy Your Democracy, With A Single Facebook Page

- You may not have the right kind of education, technical savvy or psychology PhD to understand how this is possible but you know that Silicon Valley is doing something very, very bad to you..right?

[Michael Wade](#) reveals that the dealings that have been revealed between Cambridge Analytica and Facebook have all the trappings of a Hollywood thriller: a Bond villain-style CEO, a reclusive billionaire, a naive and conflicted whistleblower, a hipster data scientist turned politico, an academic with seemingly questionable ethics, and of course a triumphant president and his influential family. Facebook, Google and Netflix executives and venture capitalists set out to use their data to push their ideology and politics on the world using psychological tricks embedded in their media. You, and Congress, are not smart enough to see how they are doing it. The cow at the meat processing plant is not smart enough to see that, at the end of the week, a metal rod will be shot into his skull and he will be gutted. The public and the cow are both being harvested. One for their privacy, the other for their meat.

The public still buys devices with microphones and cameras on them. The public still uses sites and programs that you have to "log in" to so that you can be data-harvested. Cows can't read newspapers so they can be excused for not knowing that millions of cows before them were chopped up. The news tells the humans that all of the products of Silicon Valley spy on, and abuse them, yet the humans still keep using those products and buying those phones that are just glorified listening devices. One could argue that the humans are dumber than the cows because the humans seem to be incapable of considering the consequences of their digital actions even after being warned.

Much of the discussion has been on how Cambridge Analytica was able to obtain data on more than 50 million Facebook users – and how it allegedly failed to delete this data when told to do so. But there is also the matter of what Cambridge Analytica actually did with the data. In fact the data crunching company's approach represents a step change in how analytics can today be used as a tool to generate insights – and to exert influence. They proved that Facebook has a file on every American.

For example, pollsters have long used segmentation to target particular groups of voters, such as through categorizing audiences by gender, age, income, education and family size. Segments can also be created around political affiliation or purchase preferences. The data analytics machine that presidential candidate Hillary Clinton used in her 2016 campaign – named "Ada" to target groups of eligible voters in the same way that Barack Obama had done 4 years previously. In fact Google and

Facebook manipulated culture, the internet and elections and pretty much, **alone**, illicitly put Obama in the White House in exchange for Quid Pro Quo.

Cambridge Analytica was contracted to the Trump campaign and provided an entirely new weapon for the election machine. While it also used demographic segments to identify groups of voters, as Clinton's campaign had, Cambridge Analytica also segmented using [psychographics](#). As definitions of class, education, employment, age and so on, demographics are informational. Psychographics are behavioral – a means to segment by personality.

Huge numbers of kids have committed suicide because of posts on Facebook. This is widely reported. What is not widely reported is that huge numbers of adults have committed suicide because of organized attacks on them operated by Media Matters, Fusion GPS and hundreds of other hired political attack services.

This makes a lot of sense. It's obvious that two people with the same demographic profile (for example, white, middle-aged, employed, married men) can have markedly different personalities and opinions. We also know that adapting a message to a person's personality – whether they are open, introverted, argumentative, and so on – goes a long way to help getting that message across and/or to control the intent of others.

Understanding people better for better election manipulation

Eric Schmidt, David Drummond, Larry Page, Mark Zuckerberg, Kent Walker, and the other Facebook/Google oligarchs, are clinical sociopaths. The feds never arrest them, the fines against them are meaningless, corrupt U. S. Senators protect them, they have Jeffrey Epsteinian hookers-on-demand...there is no reason for them to end their crimes and every incentive for them to double-down on their machinations. They are above the law!

Traditionally, there have been two routes to ascertaining someone's personality. You can either get to know them really well – usually over an extended time. Or you can get them to take a personality test and ask them to share it with you. Neither of these methods is realistically open to pollsters. Cambridge Analytica found a third way, with the assistance of two University of Cambridge academics.

The first, Aleksandr Kogan, sold them access to 270,000 personality tests completed by Facebook users [through an online app he had created](#) for research purposes. Providing the data to Cambridge Analytica was, it seems, against Facebook's internal code of conduct (except if it pushes ideologies Zuckerberg wants), but only in March 2018 has Kogan been "banned" by Facebook from the platform. In addition, Kogan's data also came with a bonus: he had reportedly collected Facebook data from the test-takers' friends – and, at an average of 200 friends per person, that added up to some 50 million people.

However, these 50 million people had not all taken personality tests. This is where the second Cambridge academic, [Michal Kosinski](#), came in. Kosinski – who is said to believe that micro-targeting based on online data could strengthen democracy – had figured out a way to [reverse engineer a personality profile from Facebook activity](#) such as likes. Whether you choose to like pictures of sunsets, puppies, or people apparently says a lot about your personality. So much, in fact, that on the

basis of 300 likes, Kosinski's model is able to predict someone's personality profile [with the same accuracy as a spouse](#).

Kogan developed Kosinski's ideas, improved them, and cut a deal with Cambridge Analytica. Armed with this bounty – and combined with additional data gleaned from elsewhere – Cambridge Analytica built personality profiles for more than 100 million registered US voters. It's claimed the company then used these profiles for targeted advertising.

Imagine for example that you could identify a segment of voters that is high in conscientiousness and neuroticism, and another segment that is high in extroversion but low in openness. Clearly, people in each segment would respond differently to the same political ad. But on Facebook they do not need to see the same ad at all – each will see an individually tailored ad designed to elicit the desired response, whether that is voting for a candidate, not voting for a candidate, or donating funds. Google does this every minute of every day, to influence politics, because they get away with it with ease.

Cambridge Analytica worked hard to develop dozens of ad variations on different political themes such as immigration, the economy, and gun rights, all tailored to trick different personality profiles.

Behavioral analytics and psychographic profiling are here to stay, no matter what becomes of Cambridge Analytica. This digital data rape industrializes what salespeople have always done but in the most sinister and evil way possible, by adjusting their message and delivery to the personality of their customers. This approach to electioneering – and indeed to marketing – will be Cambridge Analytica's ultimate legacy of darkness.

Protect yourself from tech Cartel corruption: *Poison your data* by always lying in online forms, use fake names and fake email addresses online, NEVER put your real address, city, phone, birth date or ANY other identifiable data online. Deny the Silicon Valley Cartel ANY ability to abuse your data!

Read more: [Cambridge Analytica scandal: legitimate researchers using Facebook data could be collateral damage](http://theconversation.com/cambridge-analytica-scandal-legitimate-researchers-using-facebook-data-could-be-collateral-damage-93600) (<http://theconversation.com/cambridge-analytica-scandal-legitimate-researchers-using-facebook-data-could-be-collateral-damage-93600>)

It is time to directly confront the Silicon Valley cultists and demand FBI, Congressional and SEC investigation!

The Documented Characteristics of Silicon Valley Venture Capitalists and Tech CEO's:

A horrific phenomenon of cultural depravity and white-collar crime is going unchecked in Silicon Valley because Silicon Valley controls the media and the politicians that are usually supposed to check

these kinds of things. Millions of pages of news reports, documentaries, interviews and legal transcripts prove the following to be true:

Elitist Cult. Silicon Valley elitists believe they are better than everyone else. Silicon Valley's Kleiner Perkins founder: VC Tom Perkins, said that poor people must be "*Nazi's*" for not accepting the "*superior intellect*" of VC's. Silicon Valley's Kleiner Perkins VC Vinod Khosla, took over California's favorite public beach, bribed the White House for "green cash" and got sued for arrogance by a horde of public interest lawsuits. Silicon Valley's Kleiner Perkins founder Ray Lane was indicted for tax evasion. Silicon Valley's Kleiner Perkins boss John Doerr was sued for sexual office abuse and running a "frat house". Most of the VC's and tech CEO's in Silicon Valley are charged with ethics breaches.

Prostitutes. Silicon Valley males hire more hookers and "rent-boys" and fly more sex workers into the Bay Area than any other city in America. Google's and Tesla Investments executives were killed in sex and drug romps with hookers. Google's Eric Schmidt ran a huge "sex penthouse" and promotes infidelity and "anti-marriage". Google's search engine rigging boss died at the hands of one of his hookers. The Silicon Valley VC's had the Rosewood Hotel built at the end of Sandhill Road as a place to take interns and hookers to for sex. Underage sex efforts operated by these VC's and Tech CEO's have created the "Pizzagate" phenomenon.

Rapists and Sexual Coercion of Employees. Young interns are "sent up the hill" by Stanford to the VC's Sandhill Road offices to become sexual playthings for the VC's at the Rosewood Hotel. Ellen Pao sued Kleiner Perkins over the sexual "rape culture" that they promoted. Thousands of women have written books and articles about the sexual intimidation they are subjected to by Silicon Valley VC's and their tech CEO's. VC's Joe Lonsdale, Micheal Goguen and hundreds of others have been charged with rape and sex trafficking. Stanford University gets "endowments" and "gifts" for hushing rape culture and intern-fluffing up.

Assholes. Fraternity *House Take-What-You-Want* culture is re-percussively promoted in the bars, clubs, meetings, conferences and emails of the VC's and CEO's. The TV Show: **Silicon Valley**, underscores the point of the dangers of infectious asshole-ism in Silicon Valley.

Clones. They assemble those around them that have the same stereotypical facial structure. In a room full of ordinary people and Tech VC's, the VC's faces stand out as Hollywood-type character-cartoon duplicates of each other.

Sexual deviance and extremism. Google's founders have all had extreme sex scandals involving cheating, 3-way sex, divorces over abuse, forced anal sex and other outrages. Their teachers at Stanford have had to quite over their sex scandals. Their willingness and infatuation with sexual extremes defines them as moral degenerates who care little about laws and ethics.

Insider Dysfunctional Parents. The majority of the VC's and Tech CEO's come from rich dynastic families who are cultism-like devoted to "the family bloodline" and run by male oligarchs who believe in a rape culture/oligarch ethos. This belief system is passed on to their children. The oligarch parents threaten to cut endowments to Stanford University if Stanford punishes their son's Frat Houses for date rapes.

Tone Deaf. Silicon Valley Tech people exist in a conceptual ideology reinforcement bubble by never interacting with, or reading information or news from, anyone outside of their group. VC Tim Draper spent vast amounts of money trying to make Silicon Valley its own country in order to systemize the Silicon Valley tunnel-vision bubble. They want to secede from America because they are offended by normal people who they consider to be low-class and unworthy.

Murders. Rajeev Motwani taught the Google founders how to build Google and was found, mysteriously, floating, dead, in his Silicon Valley swimming pool. Silicon Valley's Gary D. Conley reported John Doerr and Elon Musk for corruption and was found with a bullet in his head behind Beale Air Force base. Three top Tesla engineers reported corruption at Tesla Motors and then suddenly died in a plane crash next to Tesla's factory in San Carlos. There are over 100 mysterious deaths associated with people who had conflicts with Google, Kleiner Perkins and other Silicon Valley oligarchs.

Arrogant. Self-Centered Narcissists. Elon Musk has spent over a billion dollars buying exclusive Google, Twitter, MSM and Facebook hype about himself. Every Tech CEO has a multi-million dollar PR agent assigned to make them look like "Gods of Industry". They regularly hold "Babes and Ball's" parties and sex parties in Woodside that require women to beg for their money. They were trained to be "assholes" and "abusive dominants" via the Stanford Rape/Frat Culture.

Misogynists. All White-Male-Controlled with frat house pasts. Women are used As Sex Objects and *Window Dressing*. The largest number of anti-women news stories, jock/bro blog reports and charges for abuse come from Silicon Valley. VC Steve Westly's CEO friend is notorious for kicking his girlfriend hundreds of times because she "sasssed" him. Almost all of their Divorce filings include abuse charges against the man.

Manipulation of Employees. Silicon Valley cartel companies practice Scientology-like indoctrination and "cultural programming" of employees. These highly impressionable naive young employees are kept in "controlled environments", sent to "mindfulness programming workshops" and told what kind of political opinions they should have. Tight-knit groups of females experience [synchronized menstrual periods](http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v229/n5282/abs/229244a0.html) (<http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v229/n5282/abs/229244a0.html>) over time, cohesive Google and Facebook employee groups engaged in decision-making [discount dissenting viewpoints](#) in the interests of consensus, and that couples who stay together long enough [begin to look alike](#). A [new study](#) has captured another group phenomenon in Silicon Valley and chronicled in humans' natural habitat: "[group brain synchrony](#)". This is a CIA-like brainwashing technique exploited to get all Google/Facebook/Twitter employees to rapidly adopt the same political views.

Racists. Silicon Valley companies have the lowest rates of hiring, or promoting blacks, in America even though they have a high population of blacks at the edge of their community.

Male insecurity issues. They use **TED-Talks**, **SXSW** and **Synchronicity Events** as self-promoting hype in which to act like an apostle of a tech religion. They buy Tesla cars as a badge of arrogance and mock any male who does not own what they own or who who did not go to Stanford or Yale. These pretentious and ostentatious boys are more inclined to brag about penis-size than family.

Blacklisting. In the **AngelGate Investigation** and **Silicon Valley No Poaching Class Action Lawsuit**, Silicon Valley was exposed as an insider club that black-lists those who look different or are not part of the boys club.

Politically corrupt. Silicon Valley has bribed more politicians than any other domestic region in history. They promote more immigration in order to get cheap labor for their tech companies. They steal their technology and bribe politicians to eliminate patent protections for small inventors that they steal from. Political Corruption and Bribery Is *The Norm* for these boys. Silicon Valley created a CIA knock-off called “In-Q-Tel” in order to use CIA technology to manipulate elections at Google, Facebook and Twitter. The 60 Minutes Episode called: “The Cleantech Crash” is about their multi-billion dollar scam using the Dept. of Energy as a slush-fund. They refuse to allow their MSM to report on their corruption and crony kickbacks, ie: Tesla Motors Funding, Off-shore tax evasion, Hooker networks, etc.

Collusion. In the **AngelGate Investigation, the Silicon Valley No Poaching Class Action Lawsuit**, and hundreds of other cases, Silicon Valley was exposed as an insider club that colludes to rig valuations, stock markets and employee poaching. They operate in herds within their peer group. They are not inclined to independent thinking. Kleiner Perkins has been caught placing moles and saboteurs inside competitors start-ups. They operate in herds within their peer group. They are not inclined to independent thinking.

Stock Market Criminals. Stock Market Pump-and-Dump, Flash Boy Algorithm Manipulation, Insider Trading, Market Rigging. The Securities and Exchange law violations and FTC monopoly law violations are vast in number.

Burning Man Sex-and-Drugs Devotees. Silicon Valley frat boys have a huge participation in the date-rape goings-on at Burning Man and buy extensive pheromone and skin contact delivery animal-tranquilizer drugs to use on young Burning Man girls.

Minimal Regard For Consequences. Although their PR agents promote them as “green” and “socially positive”, it is all hype to get tax waivers and government hand-outs. Mark Zuckerberg’s take-over of San Francisco General Hospital’s brand was entirely a scam to get him a \$1 billion dollar tax evasion deployment. The Silicon Frat Boys act on impulse and try to buy off the collateral damage later.

Closeted homosexuals. Using the tremendous funds they have at hand from their exclusive monopolies in public media, they push their trans-gender agendas through their bought-and-paid for politicians. This has resulted in a generation of sexually confused children who believe that they should cut their penises off. The top bosses at Facebook, Google, Twitter, Tesla and other companies are lying to the public about their sexuality and using hired wives, known as “beards”. If they lie about something so basic, one has to wonder what else they lie about.

Thieves. They steal most of their technology from small inventors who can’t defend themselves. They get inventions from Indian engineers and then ship them back to India before the employees can get any stock or IP rights. The tech CEO’s send their VC friends to competing start-ups to spy on competing technologies and steal it. The VC’s say they are just doing “due diligence” but they are actually sucking the competing start-up dry of IP and staff and making a clone of it under another name.

There are so many other awful things about the people of Silicon Valley. You get the picture. Silicon Valley is a swamp and a cesspool.

Next Steps

If you are a member of the public, do these things:

- WRITE YOUR REGULATORY AGENCIES AND DEMAND INVESTIGATIONS AND PROSECUTOR INDICTMENTS OF THE SUSPECTS TODAY!
- DEMAND THAT THE LAW MAKE STOCK MARKET OWNERSHIP ILLEGAL FOR POLITICIANS AND THEIR FAMILIES! MAKE IT A FELONY FOR ANY PUBLIC OFFICIAL, OR THEIR FAMILY MEMBERS, TO OWN ANY SECURITIES OR STOCKS BECAUSE THAT IS HOW ALL MODERN BRIBES ARE PAID!
- WRITE CONGRESS AND DEMAND THAT CRIMINAL COMPANIES LIKE GOOGLE, FACEBOOK, TESLA, THE NVCA, ET AL, BE RAIDED AND SHUT DOWN BY THE FBI

If you are a public official, do these things:

- PAY PLAINTIFFS THEIR DAMAGES AND END THE BLOCKADE OF PLAINTIFFS BENEFITS AND PAYMENTS
- MAKE LAWS TO END THESE CORRUPTION CRIMES AND DARK MONEY BRIBERY SCAMS
- GET READY FOR EVERY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO USE FBI-QUALITY FORENSIC AI SOFTWARE ON YOU, PERSONALLY, WITH THEIR HOME COMPUTERS, IF YOU DON'T DO THESE THINGS

In summation, here is where things now stand: New open-source, and free, public software let's any citizen get any corrupt politician arrested. Any voter can use the software from the comfort of their living room. The AI replicates itself (Like a benign digital version of Covid) across the entire web.

Illegal and corrupt Congressional insider trading tends to be something we don't hear about until it's hit the big news networks and newspapers as the SEC goes for the throat of the accused. By then, unfortunately, those committing it have made their gains, usually in the multi-millions of dollars, and the damage has been done to the stock, its company, investors and the American Way.

Quite frankly, the jail time assessed doesn't correct the damage done, and the fines rarely aid the investors, or the voters, in getting their money and their democracy back. Many of those hurt are Average Joe's and Jill's who were just trying to save their retirement nest eggs. Shame is the tool that works best on the corrupt!

These crimes involves a Nancy Pelosi, Kamala Harris or Dianne Feinstein **investment banker husband** using information, which was not available to the public, buying and selling a company's stock in an underhanded manner. ***It is particularly onerous when one of those Senators buys Tesla, Google, Facebook or Solyndra stock, and makes laws that only benefits Tesla, Solyndra, etc, while sabotaging their competitor constituents.*** Because the dealings involved are pretty much done on the sly, it's been difficult, until now, for the governing body of the SEC to prove illegal insider trading, unless one of the cohorts tattles on the others or their actions become glaringly obvious. In some cases, a sharp mind around the action may take notice and become what's called a whistle-blower.

Previously, writes [Andrew Beattie of Investopedia](#): "... insider trading is often difficult for the SEC to spot. Detecting it involves a lot of conjecture and consideration of probabilities." That was the 'old days', though. Today, the new AI software can bust through these scams like a hot knife through butter!

With this new open-source, free, public spy agency-class software, detecting illegal insider trading is actually less complicated than it sounds.

To the eyes of this new super-powerful AI observer server bot and peer-to-peer databases, it is easy work.

You, the citizen, just type the politician or agency employee name into a field and hit the "**analyze**" button. A few minutes later you receive a multi-page PDF report similar to an FBI report on the target. You can either research the subject in more detail or send copies of the report to the FBI, GAO, OSC, SEC or other enforcement group.

The software is an automated AI temporal matching system which includes 24/7 analysis of all stock trades involving politicians to its information source, politician finances, communications and policy participators. it uses some of the same software code used by the CERN mega-research center in Switzerland.

The technology Core Evaluation Points:

- Analyst estimates - these come from what an analyst estimates that a company's quarterly or annual earnings will be. They are important because they help approximate the fair value of an entity, which basically establishes its price on the stock exchange.
- Share volume - this reflects the quantity of shares that can be traded over a certain period of time. There are buyers and there are sellers, and the transactions that take place between them contribute to total volume.

One Way The AI Detects Congressional Insider Trades

Metricized signs of illegal insider trading occur when trades occur that break out of the historical pattern of share volume traded compared to beneficiary participation's of those connected to company and political entity. Another clue of the illegal insider trading is when a lot of trading goes on right before earnings announcements. That tends to be a sign that someone already knows what the announcement is going to indicate, and it's an obvious violation. One module of the new software hunts these trends around-the-clock in an unmanned manner like a detective who never needs to sleep.

The software red alerts are issued when trades are linked closer to the actual earnings and politicians bills instead of what the predicted earnings were. In a corruption case, it's clear the trades - especially made by politicians close to the company - stemmed from information that was not readily available to the general public.

In other words, at the time an insider makes a trade, the trade has a stronger relationship to earnings guidance rather than to earnings results achieved.

Part Of The Insider Trading Detection AI Uses 'Dynamic Time Warping (DTW)'

In econometrics, which is a concept frequently used by quantitative analysts to evaluate stock market prices, dynamic time warping (DTW) is an algorithm that can be used for measuring similarity between two data sequences by calculating an optimal match between the two. This sequence "matching" method is often used in time series classification to properly "line things up."

The method, coupled with AI machine learning ensemble methods, can provide a clear path between the trades made by insiders and public data used to make the trades.

This is a product of artificial intelligence that has been expanded by Indexer, Splunk, Palantir and other firms fast becoming experts in products that can be used to advance the art of manipulating political and social trends in business and markets by using social media, financial data and news stories. The new software process has taken that sort of approach to the next level and targeted every member of Congress, their staff, family and friends. The first emphasis is on California and Washington, DC public figures.

In a hypothetical example, a group of executives failed to trade by industry standards by leveraging material non-public information and policy manipulation. Although consensus estimates called for higher commodity prices at the end of 2015, it appears key executives traded for their personal accounts as a result of the forecast provided by a specialist system within the firm that was adept at

predicting prices alongside lobbyist manipulations. Flash-boy trading is now dirtier and powered by Google-class server systems.

In the hypothetical scenario the software aggregates executive trades in 2014 and 2015 and finds a strong link between buys and sells of executive stock options, which line up with material non-public estimates of commodity prices that were provided by the specialist system.

For example, in a "Exec Sell and Exec Buys" graph, a green line represents sells, while a black line represents buys. In the corresponding period, one finds a red line represents unrevised prices provided by the specialist system, and green line represents consensus estimates.

During Q1-2014, there was \$28M in purchases of executive stock options, while in Q2-2014, there was \$25M in sales of executive stock options. The specialist system called for Q3-2014 commodity prices to make a precipitous decline going into the end of 2014. Remember, under this scenario, no revisions were made to the specialist systems' price forecast. In this example, executives were afforded a significant advantage using price predictions from the specialist system.

In a final bullet chart, there was a dynamic time warping distance between trades and consensus estimates of 7.23, but this distance is only 2.19 when comparing specialist system estimates and executive trades. Please note, the closer the distance score is to zero, the more similar the trades are to the estimates they are measured against.

We have applied this process to companies well-known for influence buying like, Google, Tesla and Facebook

It's obvious that the tech executives involved did not follow industry standards in their actions and make public the "insider" information they had access to prior to the trades they made. The lobbyists they hired promoted this rigged trend and paid off Senators with perks. These are the kind of violations the SEC and other governing bodies can look to in attempting to protect the trading public and the integrity of financial marketplaces. Artificial intelligence tools are a major factor in assisting the tracking of insider trading. Eric Schmidt, of Google, does not look good under such circumspection.

"Every facet of our everyday lives has been impacted, infiltrated and greatly influenced by artificial intelligence technologies," says Vernon A. McKinley, a multi-jurisdictional attorney, based in Atlanta. "In fact, The U.S. Government and its multiple agencies have developed specialized intelligence units to detect, track, analyze and prosecute those unscrupulous individuals seeking to profit from the use of such tools, specifically in the financial industry, and to protect the integrity and strength of the U.S. economy and its investors." Now these tools are being turned against the corrupt!

The public can now detect trading anomalies in financial situations using this artificial intelligence software on their desktop computers. No public official will ever be able to do these kinds of corruptions, again, without getting caught.

This approach has already had an impact on how political insiders trade on Wall Street and in financial markets around the world.

This technology can end this corruption in America forever!

A module of the software uses data from The Center for Responsive Politics, ICIJ Panama Leaks records, Swiss Leaks records and FEC files to reveal covert routes. Jerry Brown, Dianne Feinstein, Nancy Pelosi, Kamala Harris and other famous California politicians own part of Tesla Motors, Facebook, Google, Netflix, YouTube and other companies they helped get government money for. All of their competing constituents have suffered for it or been put out of business by exclusive deals that only Tesla Motors, Facebook, Google, Netflix and YouTube got. That is a crime!

A large volume of forensic research proves that Silicon Valley Cartel tech firms receive benefits from politicians and politicians, at the same time, benefit from these firms.

This evidence on the exchange of benefits between politicians and firms proves an agreement between the politicians and the companies. This agreement, however, cannot be in the form of a written contract as writing direct fee-for-service contracts between a politician and a firm is considered bribery (Krozner and Stratmann 1998; 2000). In addition, either party to this agreement might renege on its promise and the other party cannot resort to the courts.

Procon.org, for example, reports: “Less than two months after ascending to the United States Senate, Barack Obama bought more than \$50,000 worth of stock in two speculative companies whose major investors included some of his biggest political donors. One of the companies was a biotech concern that was starting to develop a drug to treat avian flu. In March 2005, two weeks after buying about \$5,000 of its shares, Mr. Obama took the lead in a legislative push for more federal spending to battle the disease. The most recent financial disclosure form for Mr. Obama . . . shows that he bought more than \$50,000 in stock in a satellite communications business whose principal backers . . . had raised more than \$150,000 for his political committees.”

(<http://insidertrading.procon.org/viewresource.asp?resourceID=1580#obamaa>. See more examples from the Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington (CREW) report (2009).)

The literature and our eye-witness experience proves that politically-connected Silicon Valley tech firms monthly obtain economic favors, such as securing favorable legislation, special tax exemptions, having preferential access to finance, receiving government contracts, or help in dealing with regulatory agencies. The evidence proves that Google's support, for example, can help in winning elections. For example, firms can vary the number of people they employ, coordinate the opening and closing of plants, and increase their lending activity in election years in order to help incumbent politicians get re-elected. (See Roberts 1990; Snyder 1990; Langbein and Lotwis 1990; Durden, Shorgen, and Silberman 1991; Stratmann 1991, 1995, and 1998; Fisman 2001; Johnson and Mitton 2003; Ansolabehere, Snyder, and Ueda 2004; Sapienza 2004, Dinç 2005; Khwaja and Mian 2005; Bertrand, Kramarz, Schoar, and Thesmar 2006; Faccio 2006; Faccio, Masulis, and McConnell 2006; Jayachandran 2006; Leuz and Oberholzer-Gee 2006; Claessens, Feijen, Laeven 2008; Desai and Olofsgard 2008; Ramanna 2008; Goldman, Rocholl, and So 2008, 2009; Cole 2009; Cooper, Gulen, and Ovtchinnikov 2009; Correia 2009; Ramanna and Roychowdhury 2010; Benmelech and Moskowitz 2010.)

The software can see that the share ownership of politicians serves as a mechanism to quid-pro-quo their relationships with big tech firms, is as follows: The ownership of politicians plays multiple distinct (but not necessarily independent) roles; one that relies upon the amount of ownership and one that does not. First, as investors in firms, politicians tie their own interests to those of the firm. Thus, harming (benefiting) the firm means harming (benefiting) the politician and vice versa. By owning a firm's stock, politicians commit their personal wealth to the firm and reduce a firm's uncertainty with regard to their actions toward the firm. This will, in turn, enhance the firm's incentive to support the politician-owner during both current and future elections in order to prolong the incumbency period for as long as possible. Firms have their lobbyists push to be able to know the amount of ownership likely to be material to politicians. This knowledge, in turn, enables them to judge whether the politician's interest is aligned with the firm's interest and optimize quid-pro-quo.

The Political Action Committee (PAC) contribution of firms (which is a direct measure of benefits flowing from firms to politicians) is a significant determinant of ownership allocations by members of Congress. The ownership of Congress members in firms that contribute to their election campaigns is roughly 32.8% higher than their ownership in noncontributing firms even after accounting for factors that are associated with both ownership and contribution (such as familiarity, proximity and investor recognition). Democratic members invest more (less) in firms that favor, i.e., contribute more to, the Democratic Party. Politicians are partisan investors.

The committee assignments of politicians is a proxy for whether their relations with firms are enforced (Kroznor and Stratmann 1998). Silicon Valley tech firms like Facebook, Tesla and Google obtain private benefits out of their mutual relations with politicians. When the strength of the association between ownership and contributions at the firm level increases, the provision of government contracts to those firms increases.

Members of Congress, candidates for federal office, senior congressional staff, nominees for executive branch positions, Cabinet members, the President and Vice President, and Supreme Court justices are required by the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 to file annual reports disclosing their income, assets, liabilities, and other relevant details about their personal finances.

Personal financial disclosure forms are filed annually by May 15 and cover the preceding calendar year. The Center for Responsive Politics (CRP) collected the 2004–2007 reports for Congress members from the Senate Office of Public Records and the Office of the Clerk of the House. The Center then scanned the reports as digital images, classified the politicians' investments into categories including stocks, bonds, and mutual funds, and built a database accessible via a web query.

Using CRP's data, you can use the software to collect the shares in S&P 500 firms held by members of Congress between 2004 and 2007, for example. You can collect the stock ownership data for every firm that joined the S&P 500 Index any time between January 2004 and April 2009; regardless of when it joined the index, and the software can obtain all the available stock ownership data for that firm between 2004 and 2007. Likewise, if a firm dropped out of the index at any time during 2004–2008, the

software, nevertheless, will retain the firm in a sample for the target period. As such, the sample would include stocks in hundreds of unique firms owned by politicians between 2004 and 2007, for example.

Politicians are required to report only those stocks whose value exceeds \$1,000 at the end of the calendar year or that produce more than \$200 in income. They are CURRENTLY not required to report the exact value of the holding, but instead must simply check a box corresponding to the value range into which the asset falls. The CRP then undertakes additional research to determine the exact values of these stocks. When the Center makes these determinations, it reports them instead of the ranges and I use these values in my study. When only the range is available, you should use its midpoint as the holding's value. You would, thus have data on the stock holdings of hundreds politicians for that time period.

Using the software, you can search for all Political Action Committees (PACs) associated with tech firms. It then collects data on each contribution these PACs made to candidates (both the winners and losers) running for the Senate and House elections. Tricky corrupt Silicon Valley firms establish several PACs, each in a different location, and each of these PACs can contribute to the same candidate. In such cases, the software would total, for each candidate, every contribution he or she received from PACs affiliated with the same firm. To parallel the investment data sample period, for example, the software collects every contribution made from the 2003–2004 cycle up to and including the 2007–2008 cycle. Many Silicon Valley tech firms use deeply covert Fusion GPS, Perkins Coie, BlackCube, Psyops-type service to make very hidden additional payola payments to California politicians.

For sources, for example, the software collects government contract data from Eagle Eye Publishers, Inc., one of the leading commercial providers of Federal procurement and grant business intelligence and <http://www.usaspending.org>. Eagle Eye collects its contract data from Federal Procurement Data System–Next Generation (FPDS-NG), the contract data collection and dissemination system administered by the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA). FPDS-NG provides data on procurement contracts awarded by The U.S. Government . When these contracts are awarded to company subsidiaries, Eagle Eye searches for the names of their parent companies and assigns each subsidiary to its appropriate parent. The software collects both the number and aggregate value of government contracts that were awarded to sample firms between 2004 and 2007 in this example time-frame..

The software reveals, for example, that Representative Maxine Waters (D-CA) is a ten-term member of Congress and a senior member of the House Financial Services Committee. She arranged a meeting between the Department of Treasury and One United Bank, a company with close financial ties to Ms. Waters, involving both investments and contributions.

“In September 2008, Rep. Waters asked then-Secretary of the Treasury Henry Paulson to hold a meeting for minority-owned banks that had suffered from Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac losses.

The Treasury Department complied and held a session with approximately a dozen senior banking regulators, representatives from minority-owned banks, and their trade association. Officials of One

United Bank, one of the largest black-owned banks in the country that has close ties to Rep. Waters, attended the meeting along with Rep. Waters' chief of staff. Kevin Cohee, chief executive officer of One United, used the meeting as an opportunity to ask for bailout funds.

. . . Former Bush White House officials stated they were surprised when One United Officials asked for bailout funds. . . . In December 2008, Rep. Waters intervened again, asking Treasury to host another meeting to ensure minority-owned banks received part of the \$700 billion allocated under the Troubled Asset Relief Program. . . . Within two weeks, on December 19, 2008, One United secured \$12.1 million in bailout funds. . . . This was not the first time Rep. Waters used her position to advance the interests of the bank. Rep. Waters' spouse, Sidney Williams, became a shareholder in One United in 2001, when it was known as the Boston Bank of Commerce. In 2002, Boston Bank of Commerce tried to purchase Family Savings, a minority-owned bank in Los Angeles. Instead, Family Savings turned to a bank in Illinois. Rep. Waters tried to block the merger by contacting regulators at the FDIC. She publicly stated she did not want a major white bank to acquire a minority-owned bank.

When her efforts with the FDIC proved fruitless, Rep. Waters began a public pressure campaign with other community leaders. Ultimately, when Family Savings changed direction and allowed Boston Bank of Commerce to submit a winning bid, Rep. Waters received credit for the merger. The combined banks were renamed One United. . . . In March 2004, she acquired One United stock worth between \$250,001 and \$500,000, and Mr. Williams purchased two sets of stock, each worth between \$250,001 and \$500,000. In September 2004, Rep. Waters sold her stock in One United and her husband sold a portion of his. That same year, Mr. Williams joined the bank's board. . . . One United Chief Executive Kevin Cohee and President Teri Williams Cohee have donated a total of \$8,000 to Rep. Waters' campaign committee. . . . On October 27, 2009, less than two months before One United received a \$12 million bailout, the bank received a cease-and-desist order from the FDIC and bank regulatory officials in Massachusetts for poor lending practices and excessive executive compensation . . . the bank provided excessive perks to its executives, including paying for Mr. Cohee's use of a \$6.4 million mansion . . .” (Crew report 2009, pp. 123–125)

Thanks to Crony quid-pro-quo revelations by an earlier version of the software, you can also see that Fisker Automotive, Inc.'s \$529 Million U.S. taxpayer Loan Approval by The U.S. Government was dirty. Fisker Automotive's Chief Operating Officer Bernhard Koehler pleaded with The U.S. Government in a panicked Saturday midnight hour email to receive a \$529 million loan as the company was 2 weeks from Chapter 7 liquidation, that it was laying off most of its employees, that no private sector investors would fund the company without GOVT guarantees, and that Fisker was unable to raise any further equity funding from independent private-sector investors given the company's financial condition. These statements were made to a Loan Officer at the GOVT . No private sector Loan underwriting (approval) committee would ever grant a low interest loan to a desperate buyer that had just confessed it was in a state of insolvency and was about to layoff most of its staff. Yet within a few weeks the GOVT would approve a \$529 Million Credit Facility to Fisker. Despite the GOVT Loan Officer official's sworn testimony at April 24th's House Oversight Committee that the GOVT used

"same private sector underwriting standards when approving Fisker and other approved taxpayer Funded Loans" - likely perjury based in documents.

In a 'U.S. GOVERNMENT CONFIDENTIAL EMAIL': FISKER AUTOMOTIVE: August 2009: Co-Founder Bernhard Koehler emails U.S. Dept. of Energy Loan Officer in Sat. midnight Panic admitting VC Firms all declined to invest, and company is out of cash. Weeks later the U.S. Department of Energy approves \$529M U.S. taxpayer Funded Loans to FISKER. NO PRIVATE SECTOR Lender would ever authorize a Loan for even \$5 Million let alone \$529 Million after receiving this email stating private sector investors had examined the company and declined equity investments, that they might loan money as more secure Debt, and the Chief Operating Officer of the company further stating that the borrower is totally insolvent. (Weeks after this email the U.S. Federal Government Dept. of Energy Loan Committee Approves Fisker Automotive as a credit-worthy borrow for \$529 Million in U.S. taxpayer Funded Loans). Fisker got the cash because President Obama said to "give it to them" in order to please his campaign financiers.

[The same thing happened with Tesla Motors. Elon Musk and Tesla Motors were broke when GOVT gave them the money.](#)

PrivCo CEO Sam Hamadeh stated in an official statement: *"The documents obtained by PrivCo paint a picture of how an insolvent, unproven automaker received \$192 million in taxpayer funding. The U.S. Government made a loan that no rational lender would have made. This loan was the equivalent of staying execution on a company that was terminally ill to begin with."* Tesla and Fisker could not have been taxpayer funded unless bribes and criminal quid-pro-quo was underway by President Obama and the U.S. Senator insider traders.

WITNESS Vehicle's had been the first to initiate negotiations to retask the NUMMI plant in Fremont, California after Elon Musk went on the record saying the NUMMI Plant was worthless to Tesla. Dianne Feinstein's chief of staff then threatened WITNESS and warned them to cease action on NUMMI. Shortly thereafter, Tesla announced they had acquired the NUMMI plant which Dianne Feinstein's family owned a business interest and she had arranged for Tesla to get funding and presided at the Tesla re-opening of the NUMMI plant.

YOU can fight back and destroy dirty oligarchs!

When the bad guys, and their lap-dog politicians, attack you because your products are better than theirs they are proving that they have to cheat to compete. They cheat with political bribes, black-lists, character assassination attacks, collusion and other anti-trust violating acts.

When your Senator holds stock market shares in companies that exist to profit on the backs of consumers, then it is impossible for that Senator to ever do anything but be corrupt!

As Mother Jones top editor says:

In 18 years of living here, I find zero evidence that the "City Family" is benefiting anybody but its members, developers, old-school socialites, and tech billionaires.

— Clara Jeffery (@ClaraJeffery) [February 14, 2020](#)

I can't even with how insular and incestuous and corrupt SF is. Mayor [@londonbreed](#) acknowledges she had relationship with DPW Nuru two decades ago and that they remained close friends
<https://t.co/HEhIlulkyj>

— Clara Jeffery (@ClaraJeffery) [February 14, 2020](#)

Your public officials set-up, operated and maintained an organized crime scheme for personal profit at the expense of every taxpayer. They went after **Five Trillion** treasury dollars of your tax money for their personal interests via rigged stock market scams, rigged government contracts, exclusionary policy manipulations and other schemes that harmed American taxpayers.

You Owe It To Yourself And The Nation To Take These Crooks Down!

You can wipe them out, all by yourself, in 100% legal ways.

You can wipe them out even faster with crowd-sourced forensics and anti-corruption ai software!

You can join the rest of the public in an epic anti-corruption effort!

You must write FBI-quality criminal activities reports and file those reports, by certified mail, with every law enforcement and regulatory agency in every country in the world, including the FBI, OSC, GAO, EU, Interpol, FSB, UN, etc. CC the social media sites with your reports.

You can use basic private investigator websites to track and back-ground every person, organization and company involved in the corruption and trace their RICO law violations. You can provide that data to The U.S. Congress and every agency, with a copy to every social media posting.

You can now use open-source, free, collaborative NSA-class global databases that can track all of the locations, hookers, fake fronts, family trusts, bank accounts, stock market accounts, bribes and other goodies, of any corrupt public official, in minutes! You get that free anti-corruption software from GitHub, CodePen, Torrents, Onion Project, The Guardian, ICIJ and all over the web.

YOU open your own private investigator/citizen sleuth crowd-sourced investigations into the following:

- Every stock market account they or their family owns
- Every Uber or Lyft ride they ever took for any meeting or transport of sex workers
- Every flight manifest they appeared on
- Every bank account they have ever held

- Every lawsuit, divorce record and police record they have ever appeared in
- Every trust fund they or their family holds
- Every shell corporation they, or their family holds
- Every person named in the Epstein Pedo book: <https://www.scribd.com/document/416207833/Jeffrey-Epsteins-Little-Black-Book-Redacted> cross referenced across all of the other databases and then supplied to the FBI and Child Protection Agencies
- Every item in the Swiss Leaks that cross connects to their holdings
- Every item in the Panama Papers Leaks that cross connects to their holdings
- Every item in the WikiLeaks that cross connects to their holdings
- Every item in the Snowden Leaks that cross connects to their holdings
- Every sex trafficking incident that connects to each of them alone, and in groups
- Every financial transaction between any of the parties on the master list and the character assassination and hit job firms of: Google, Univision, Gawker Media, Unimoda, Jalopnik, Gizmodo, Black Cube, Fusion GPS, Media Matters, Think Progress, IN-Q-Tel, K2 Intelligence, WikiStrat, Podesta Group, YouTube, Alphabet, David Drummond, Larry Page, Facebook, Correct The Record, Stratfor, ShareBlue, Sid Blumenthal, David Brock, Eric Schmidt, Sunshine Sachs, Covington and Burling, BuzzFeed, Perkins Coie and Wilson Sonsini or their derivatives with common owners.
- Every item in the Quest Leaks that cross connects to their holdings
- Every tactical deployment, by a campaign financier, of the tactics listed in this manual: <https://www.cia.gov/library/readingroom/docs/CIA-RDP89-01258R000100010002-4.pdf>
- Every sex service that their credit card payments every connect too
- Every real estate transaction that any name or company they, or their family, were involved in, tracks to

..... and hundreds of thousands of other information points about them that prove that they benefit from crimes that they engage in for others who engage in crimes using the America public policy system.

It all goes into shared 100% legal public collaborative databases that work like XKEYSCORE, or MS Access, or Oracle Databases.

The proof of collusion, organized criminal actions and raw covert corruption is indisputable. The actors are all the same people. The beneficiaries are all the same people. The communications between the parties all coordinate the same actions.

Demand their arrests, indictments, exposures and bankruptcies in public ads, letters to the editor, blog posts, bumper stickers, lawsuits, flyers, newsletters, email and every other outreach manner.

Say "*Fuck You*" to the Silicon Valley Oligarch 'Illuminati' scumbags and the crooked Senators and Governor's they bribed.

As one of the team said: *“Without breaking a single law and WITH the help of the largest law enforcement and investigative resources on the planet, I have killed off every single motherfucker that has come after me, including some of the largest entities in the world! I use lawsuits, federal investigations, news reports, whistle-blowers, new public regulations, anti-trust filings, tens of millions of crowd-sourced voters and unique AI-based forensics. I will find every person and organization on the globe that hates you, gather them together and aim them all at your life! Anyone who attacks me stays on the shit list for the rest of their lives plus the next 100+ years of internet time. You come for me, prepare to suffer. Every stock you buy is a notice to my team to bankrupt the company that you invested in. Even if I am dead, your punishment will be carried out by a legacy team of public volunteers. It may happen to you tomorrow or it may be a slow-drip over the next 15 years. Never stop looking over your shoulder. If you decided to cheat against my business rather than fairly compete against me, then start carving your tombstone. If you hired media attackers, expect 100 times what you did to me to come back at you and your family forever! I am fine with forgive-and-forget, except when it comes to criminal bullies: They are terminated!...”*

That is what happens when you try to kill members of the public

Show these oligarch crooks what billions of taxpaying voters with collaborative FBI-class forensics investigation software can do to their corrupt tech Cartel!

What To Do To Write Up The Dossier On Each Person And Each Corrupt Politician:

1. Dig through their trash and buy their trash bags from the garbage man after they pick them up
2. Locate a beneficiary for a probate proceeding
3. Research current and / or historical property holdings
4. Identify mortgage information
5. Identify secured lenders
6. Identify related party property transactions
7. Determine current market value of real property
8. Locate bankruptcy filings
9. Retrieve and analyze bankruptcy records
10. Uncover improper relationships
11. Locate federal civil lawsuits
12. Locate federal criminal records
13. Retrieve and analyze federal civil and criminal records
14. Retrieve mug shots from arrest records

15. Provide independent analysis
16. Locate state and local criminal arrest records
17. Retrieve and analyze review criminal records
18. Provide peace of mind
19. Locate home phone numbers
20. Locate cell phone numbers
21. Identify owner of home or cell phone number
22. Determine owners of corporation
23. Retrieve and analyze corporate records
24. Locate current or former executives
25. Interview current or former executives
26. Find and retrieve judgment and lien filings
27. Research familial history
28. Connect the dots
29. Locate witnesses for a civil or criminal lawsuit
30. Interview witnesses for a civil or criminal lawsuit
31. Find assets
32. Find current or historical boat registrations
33. Find current or historical aircraft registrations
34. Search for hidden assets
35. Conduct business intelligence
36. Discreet intelligence gathering
37. Determine connections between parties
38. Locate bank account information
39. Locate current or former employees of a company
40. Interview current or former employees of a company
41. Locate significant inheritances
42. Show you the big picture
43. Identify a will for an estate
44. Locate probate records
45. Identify foreign assets
46. Locate regulatory records
47. Identify regulatory actions
48. Identify professional licenses
49. Determine prior disciplinary records for professional licenses
50. Analyze state and federal political contributions
51. Analyze state lobbyist records
52. Analyze federal lobbyist records
53. Identify potential whistle-blowers
54. Vet expert witnesses
55. Interview industry sources

56. Gather competitive intelligence
57. Identify related party business transactions
58. Retrieve and analyze non-profit financial filings
59. Knock on doors
60. Obtain and analyze Department of Labor Form 5500 Filings
61. Submit FOIA / FOIL requests to government agencies
62. Obtain driving record history (in applicable states)
63. Find current vehicle registrations
64. Find historical vehicle registrations
65. Make you look brilliant
66. Determine current market value of motor vehicles
67. Assist with jury selection
68. Background checks on prospective jurors
69. Analyze documents for potential fraud
70. Identify Risks
71. Identifying corporate relationships
72. Give you a competitive advantage
73. Identify Uniform Commercial Code filings
74. Foreign corporation research
75. Help you manage sensitive situations
76. Overseas litigation research
77. Identify stock ownership
78. Find facts
79. Locate online resume
80. Identify online networking profiles
81. Locate historical video or news footage
82. Conduct historical newspaper research
83. Conduct mobile or stationary surveillance
84. Perform clandestine operations
85. Find undisclosed ties
86. Identify and retrieve U.S. Tax Court cases
87. Locate a missing person
88. Identify and confirm education history
89. Identify and confirm previous employment history
90. Scour the Internet
91. Research presence on social networks or message boards
92. We will tell you what those bastards are up to!
93. Send the IRS information about how Google, Netflix, Alphabet are cheating on their taxes and hiding money in Ireland and the Cayman Islands. Get the IRS to sue the oligarchs in tax court.

94. Enhance the publishing of research articles proving that Silicon Valley tech companies destroy the minds of children with tech addiction and brain manipulation and get parents to sue those companies for harming their children
95. expose every secret cash conduit that the oligarchs use to bribe politicians
96. Demand new laws in Congress to stop politicians from owning any stock because that is the #1 source of bribes
97. Use lip-reading software to see what they are saying or have an actual deaf-person do it
98. Photograph them with any hotties they are seen with and then face-track the hotties to see if they are hookers or ill-repute escorts
99. Use one of the many free on-line news publishing softwares and make a global online newspaper that constantly exposes their crimes
100. Write every federal agency and demand investigation and prosecution of the perps
101. Assemble the massive number of news reports about their sex scandals and distribute those reports as books, articles, documentaries and news aggregations to prove what a bunch of sick perverts these people are
102. Publish the speaker list from Techonomy, Davos, TED, Burning Man, etc. to show that it is always the same little group of arrogant assholes that self-promote their hype at each of these elitist events
103. Leave notices on the windshield of any of their Tesla's reminding them what douche-bags they are
104. Organize petitions and letter-writing campaigns to have the overtly corrupt ones removed from their jobs
105. Go to their share-holder meetings and hand-out flyers about their corruption
106. Hire Charles Spies (<https://www.dickinson-wright.com/our-people/charles-spies?tab=0>) to sue them
107. Hire Harmeet K. Dhillon (<http://www.dhillonlaw.com>) to sue them
108. Hire COA (<http://www.causeofaction.org>) to sue them
109. Hire law firms from China or Russia to sue them for a very low price
110. Hire Steven S. Biss (<http://www.linkedin.com/in/steven-s-biss-6517037>) to sue them
111. Blog about the corruption of the political targets every day with the day's news each day
112. Read their divorce filings in court records and see who else might help expose their corruption
113. Read their locations over the last 12 months - <https://www.protocol.com/government-buying-location-data>
114. Talk to every neighbor that has filed a complaint about them
115. Hire *Pierce Bainbridge Beck Price & Hecht LLP* to sue them, especially tech media companies
116. Re-read all of the latest "How To Be A Private Investigator" books and brochures
117. Ask Paul Kangas in San Francisco how he investigates the corrupt

118. Go back to <http://www.pacer.gov> and read each of the lawsuits against them to get more clues about them
119. Put a card table and a pop-up booth outside their office and hand out flyers about them
120. Publish every stock market share they, and their family, owns
121. Produce a dossier on every corrupt partner of every Silicon Valley VC firm
122. Know that there are over 3000 additional forensic tools you can use to expose them 100% legally...

You can work with our peers to solve the ethics crisis in society.

We have hired the best investigators who used to work with the FBI, CIA, FTC, DOJ, SEC, GAO, IG and Interpol.

We have also been teaching every voter in the world how to be a top notch criminal investigator and how to use digital forensic AI tools to "follow-the-money" and bust these crooks. Imagine the entire resources of Palantir, XKEYSCORE, Splunk, Axiom and Taleo aimed at people like Zuckerberg, Hoffman, Musk and Schmidt. They will never survive it!

Every single asshole in Silicon Valley can expect to have accurate, highly professional, criminal charges and referrals filed against them with every federal law enforcement and regulatory agency. Those reports are being BCC'd to the news media and the public.

When you see reports like this book you can clearly see that **Every Tech CEO And Investor In Silicon Valley Is A Predatory, Parasitic, Sex Trafficking, Misogynist, Money Laundering, Politician Bribing, Exclusionary, Black-Listing Criminal.** Now the world will see the truth about them!

[The Big Book ... - amazon.com](#)

 <https://www.amazon.com/Big-Book-Revenge-Serious-Getting/dp/0806521414>

The Big **Book Of Revenge**: 200 Dirty Tricks for Those Who Are Serious About Getting Even [George Hayduke] on **Amazon.com**. *FREE* shipping on qualifying offers. **Book** by Hayduke, George

[Is Pelosi's husband guilty of insider trading?](#)

 https://www.americanthinker.com/blog/2016/04/is_pelosis_husband_guilty_of_insider_trading.html

Is Pelosi's husband guilty of **insider trading**? By Rick Moran. In late October 2014, Nancy Pelosi's husband Paul purchased between \$100,000 and \$250,000 in stock from **green energy** company Sun ...

WASHINGTON—Chris Miller nearly doubled his \$3,500 stock investment in a renewable-energy firm in 2008. It was a perfectly legal bet, but he's no ordinary investor.

Reid's spokesman tried to defend the staffer, Reid's top energy policy adviser, by asserting that he had no influence over tax incentives for renewable energy firms.

Under federal securities law, of course, it is not important whether the staffer had any influence over legislation, Sen. Reid or anyone or anything else.

If it can be shown that the staffer breached a duty of confidentiality in using "inside information" as the basis for buying and selling the stock, then he may very well be guilty of the crime of insider trading.

In May 2009, the Associated Press reported,

Federal prosecutors and the FBI have been investigating possible illegal insider trading by two Securities and Exchange Commission enforcement attorneys who were in a position to receive sensitive information about agency probes of public companies.

Similarly, if the staffer had material information that the public didn't have and he took advantage of it in the buying and selling of securities, he could have committed a serious crime — as well as anyone he may have tipped off.

Reid's staffer has denied wrongdoing, but that should not be dispositive.

The Department of Justice, FBI and U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ought to be investigating the staffer as well as any other potential insider trading violations described in the WSJ article.

At the very least, the staffer should be afforded the same opportunity as Martha Stewart to chat with federal investigators — that worked out so well for her.

Don't expect this to happen, however, as Sen. Reid and other members of Congress will no doubt quietly work to quash any investigation.

Big Brother Has Turned Green

The environmental movement has cultivated a warm and fuzzy public image, but behind the smiley-face rhetoric of "sustainability" and "conservation" lies a dark agenda. The Greens aim to regulate your behavior, downsize your lifestyle, and invade the most intimate aspects of your personal life.

In this stunning exposé, Steve Milloy unveils the authoritarian impulse underlying the Green crusade. Whether they're demanding that you turn down your thermostat, stop driving your car, or engage in some other senseless act of self-denial, the Greens are envisioning a grim future for you marked by endless privation.

Steamrolling nearly all opposition with its apocalyptic predictions of environmental doom, the Green movement has gained influence throughout American society--from schools and local planning boards

to the biggest corporations in the country. And their plans are much more ambitious than you think, says Milloy. What the Greens really seek, with increasing success, is to dictate the very parameters of your daily life--where you can live, what transportation you can use, what you can eat, and even how many children you can have.

Citing the tactics and goals of Green groups as explained by their own activists and leaders, *Green Hell* demonstrates:

- * How Green pressure campaigns threaten the safety of your home and your car, and public health overall
- * Why the election of President Obama portends a giant leap forward for coercive Green policies
- * Why Greens obstruct the use of all forms of energy--even the renewable sources they tout to the public
- * How wealthy Green elites stand to profit fabulously from the restrictions and regulations they seek to impose on the rest of us
- * How Green pressure campaigns are hamstringing the military and endangering our national security
- * Why big business is not only knuckling under to the Greens, but is aggressively promoting the green agenda to the detriment of its own stockholders
- * What you can do to help stop the great Green machine

A one-of-a-kind, comprehensive takedown of the entire environmental movement, *Green Hell* will open your eyes to a looming threat to our economy, our civil liberties, and the entire American way of life.

'*Green Hell* explains why Americans can't afford to fall for Al Gore's 'the debate is over' line on global warming. While we're all for the environment, *Green Hell* explains why we need to oppose the environmentalists.'

--Fred Barnes, Executive Editor, the *Weekly Standard*

"*Green Hell* is the 'inconvenient truth' on extremist, growth-killing environmentalism. A must-read for those interested in keeping America free and prosperous."

--Steve Forbes, President and Chief Executive Officer of Forbes

"Regardless of whether you believe global warming is a fraud, the fact is that the current depression, the past spike in oil prices, and the coming technology of electric cars are all going to solve whatever problem exists. Liberals want to use climate change as an excuse to take over the economy and regulate everything and this book exposes their plans."

--Dick Morris, FOX News commentator and former political consultant to Bill Clinton

"This book describes why the world can't afford to fall for global warming alarmism and environmental hysteria. Steve Milloy shows how to avoid the environmentalists' vision of our future."

--VACLAV KLAUS, President of the European Union and President of the Czech Republic

"Free market capitalism is still the best path to prosperity. *Green Hell* is a must-read for anyone who wants to keep America on that path and away from Soviet-style command-and-control

environmentalism."

--Larry Kudlow, Host, CNBC's *The Kudlow Report*

Former President Barack Obama liked to portray himself as a politician watching out for the little guy.

But it looks like he spent much more time protecting his rich friends – and manipulating the government to help make them a fortune.

It was all part of a scheme that looks a lot like insider trading – or what author Peter Schweizer calls “smash and grab.”

In his new book, *Secret Empires: How the American Political Class Hides Corruption and Enriches Family and Friends*, Schweizer lays out how Obama used government regulations to help lifelong pals buy up companies for pennies on the dollar.

Basically, the Obama Administration would threaten and devalue companies, and Obama’s pals would be ready to swoop in and buy them on the cheap.

And apparently nobody ever stopped to consider the effect that this plot would have on ordinary shareholders – who lost millions – or the employees at the companies.

In an interview with Breitbart, Schweizer gives one shocking example – the case of Marty Nesbitt, who has been described as Obama’s “best friend.”

After Obama was reelected in 2012, Nesbitt set up a private equity firm called Vistria to invest in highly regulated industries – in other words, industries that Obama and his administration can help control.

Schweizer points to Vistria’s acquisition of online learning giant the University of Phoenix as an example of Obama and Nesbitt working together on a “smash and grab” deal.

The Obama Administration had threatened to withhold GI Bill money from the University of Phoenix over the quality of its education, sending its share price tumbling.

Then, Nesbitt and Vistria were able to purchase the university for “three cents on the dollar,” Schweizer reports.

After the deal was made, the Obama Administration withdrew its threat to withhold federal funds.

Schweizer says Obama repeated the strategy throughout his presidency to enrich liberal billionaires like Tom Steyer and George Soros, who have both worked to ruin current President Donald Trump.

“Barack Obama smashes coal companies, [and] what do these guys do? They go in, they buy them for pennies on the dollar, and when the regulatory weight is lifted, their valuations increase, and they make a lot of money, and you see that pattern in all of these industries,” Schweizer said.

And what happens to other shareholders – the ones who aren’t friends with Obama? They’re left holding the bag when the companies are devalued.

Schweizer says that some of the ill-gotten gains realized by Obama's friends eventually found their way to the Obama Foundation.

It's a scheme that absolutely cries out for a federal investigation. But with so many Obama puppets still left in the government, we won't be holding our breath.

How Quid Pro Quo Works At The U.S. Dept Of Energy

How do you give payola, funded by working class taxpayers, to millionaires that don't need it, to pay kick-backs for funding Obama's political marketing?

Easy: You use the **U.S. Department of Energy** as the world's biggest political slush-fund. This way, you get to pay bribes IN PLAIN SIGHT!

The U.S. Government bosses get to claim everything is on the "up and up" but EVERY SINGLE TIME, only the political campaign financiers get the money and their competitors get sabotaged. Neat trick, right?

Here is how it works:

Quid pro quo ("something for something" in [Latin](#)[2]) is a [Latin phrase](#) used in [English](#) to mean an exchange of goods or services, in which one transfer is contingent upon the other; "a favor for a favor". Phrases with similar meanings include: "give and take", "[tit for tat](#)", "you scratch my back, and I'll scratch yours", and "one hand washes the other". Other languages use other phrases for the same purpose.

Corruption in politics at The U.S. Government arises from the mismatch on Capitol Hill: squadrons of well-paid, experienced lobbyists versus GOVT offices where aides are overworked, underpaid and have to depend on those lobbyists for information about issues. We want to see GOVT offices with more aides, supervised by FBI agents, who would get better pay, to keep them on the Hill longer while they develop their own expertise. We would also close the metaphorical revolving door, through which staffers and lawmakers travel to make more money as lobbyists.

The need for campaign finance reform has always been an urgent one. The quid pro quo of shadow money and special interest campaign financing is at the root of corruption in this country, particularly at the Department of Energy. When government uses millions of taxpayer dollars to rent a bond hastily acquired and for no clear purpose from a 'party financier', that is the spectre of campaign finance related corruption showing itself. When construction companies that finance political campaigns to the tune of millions are being awarded public work contracts worth billions under questionable public tendering rules, that is campaign finance related corruption. When a branding company that provided 'free' billboards to a political campaign is given the lion's share of billboard and branding contracts

under a new government, we see the spectre of corruption. And then of course there is the issue of abuse of state resources for campaigning, something we seem to have come full Animal Farm on.

Elon Musk, Solyndra, Fisker, Abound and over a hundred other wire transfers from The U.S. Government were quid-pro-quo payoffs to Obama financiers. The layers of the deals were complex but the money always ended up in the same few pockets.

The U.S. Government has a massive fake due diligence program which spends a hundred times more time and money than any bank undertakes to provide funds. All of that due diligence is a fraud. It is a smoke-screen to provide the appearance of "proper review" when, in each and every case, the funds were covertly already arranged in a back room deal.

All of those people that work on those due diligence efforts must feel like fools. Their work is pointless because the deals were already done in smoke-filled back rooms at Perkins Coie, Wilson Sonsini and Covington Burling lobbyist buildings. All of The U.S. Government staff own the stock of the company that "wins" the government cash and most of them leave the Department of Energy, right after the money is transferred, and go to work at that company or it's suppliers.

It is an EPIC crime!

Now, the need for reform is even more urgent, particularly considering the complexity of how capital moves in an oil and gas economy and the impact of that capital on political decision-making. (ie: "...One emerging party, for example, has been against renegotiation of the oil contract with Exxon, arguing that we should accept it and guard against exploitative arrangements with future contracts. When The U.S. Government recently revealed that it had recently hired a US firm to do what should have been done years ago, revise the decades-old Petroleum (exploration and Production) Act, it was casually revealed that the local firm the US company had partnered with is owned by the Presidential Candidate of the very new party that has – along with the PPP and APNU+AFC – refused to consider contract renegotiation, even in the wake of the damning Global Witness report...")

By breaking the close bonds between lobbyists and congressional offices, lawmakers might become less beholden to the lobbyists' employers — the corporations, unions and special interests that underwrite American politics.

As value is in the eye of the beholder, the something being exchanged for another something may not be equal in value, instead skewed based on one's perspective.

Democrats and their media masters are salivating over now having what they believe is a smoking gun to take down President Trump. Notwithstanding that this must be their hundredth smoking gun, and that each previous one misfired, they are hot on impeachment over this Latin term "quid pro quo."

The [Washington Post](#), happy to let democracy die in darkness while they endeavor to overturn the last presidential election, is giddy over quid pro quo.

In politics, quid pro quo is standard operating procedure. Take campaign contributions, for example. I

contribute to Senator X because I want Senator X to support legislation favorable to my business interests. My money, something of value, will be exchanged for a tax break or new regulation, which is usually of greater value to me, as a quid pro quo, and perfectly legal and acceptable.

A bundler for a presidential candidate raises millions of dollars for said candidate. If that candidate wins the presidency, the bundler may have a choice of any number of ambassadorships around the world. The value of the campaign cash is exchanged for a four-year stint living in the American embassy in London or Paris, attending parties and banquets. Something for something.

Members of Congress do the quid pro quo thing amongst themselves all the time. I'll vote for your bill to build a military facility in your district if you support my bill creating an NSA data center in my district. In Congress it's called "horse trading."

What about economic sanctions? The [Council on Foreign Relations](#), also known as Club Deep State, explains how economic sanctions work.

Governments and multinational bodies impose economic sanctions to try to alter the strategic decisions of state and nonstate actors that threaten their interests or violate international norms of behavior.

Economic sanctions are defined as the withdrawal of customary trade and financial relations for foreign- and security-policy purposes.

Sanctions take a variety of forms, including travel bans, asset freezes, arms embargoes, capital restraints, foreign aid reductions, and trade restrictions.

Quid pro quo, something for something. If you want American money in terms of trade or aid, you had better behave, meaning do as we tell you to do in your political and economic decisions.

Here are a few examples of quid pro quo economic sanctions.

Economic sanctions were put in place against Cuba in 1958. Similar sanctions have been in place against North Korea since the Korean War. Economic sanctions have been in effect against Venezuela since 2015 and Sudan since 1997. These are quid pro quo moves -- behave, give up your nukes, provide human rights, or we will punish you economically. Something for something.

Several of the ladies of [the Squad hinted](#) at cutting off aid to Israel after one of the gals was denied entry to Israel last summer. Presidential candidate [Bernie Sanders threatened](#), "Israel would have to 'fundamentally change' its relationship to Gaza to receive aid if he is elected." Something for something, quid pro quo.

Three Democratic senators [wrote a letter](#) to Ukraine’s prosecutor general,

expressing concern at the closing of four investigations they said were critical to the Mueller probe. In the letter, they implied that their support for U.S. assistance to Ukraine was at stake.

They wanted something for something, quid pro quo.

Then Vice-President Joe Biden, in a now well-known interview, [acknowledged](#), “I looked at them and said: I’m leaving in six hours. If the prosecutor is not fired, you’re not getting the money. Well, son of a b-tch. He got fired.” Quid pro quo, something for something.

So, what did Trump do? He asked the Ukrainian President to investigate corruption, specifically foreign interference in a U.S. election. Biden was an afterthought in the conversation, but his pay to play corruption is fair game, whether or not he is running for president. Until he secures the Democratic party nomination, he is not Trump’s political opponent. What if Bernie or Pocahontas win the nomination?

Trump has a constitutional duty as president to investigate corruption. The U.S. and Ukraine share a [treaty ratified in 1999](#) for “Mutual assistance in criminal matters.”

There is also “The United Nations Convention against Corruption” of 2003, [signed by both](#) Ukraine and the U.S. And then finally is President Trump’s [Executive Order](#) signed in December 2017, “Blocking the property of persons involved in serious human rights abuse or corruption.” Note that last word.

Trump is doing his job as president, yet the Democrats and media howl in outrage over a supposed quid pro quo. But something for something is standard operating procedure in Washington, D.C., even to the point of corruption as Joe Biden illustrated in Ukraine, China, and possibly Romania.

The psychologists call this Democratic caterwauling “projection,” accusing others of doing what you are guilty of. Trying to impeach President Trump over a quid pro quo would be like impeaching him because he didn’t keep a campaign promise, something every elected official, past and present, is guilty of.

Since its ruling in *Buckley v. Valeo*, the U.S. Supreme Court has expressed concern regarding corruption or the appearance of corruption stemming from political quid pro quo arrangements and the deleterious consequences it may have on citizens’ democratic behavior. However, no standard has been set as to what constitutes “the appearance of corruption,” as the Court was and continues to be vague in

its definition. As a result, campaign finance cases after Buckley have relied on public opinion polls as evidence of perceptions of corruption, and these polls indicate that the public generally perceives high levels of corruption in government. The present study investigates the actual impact that perceptions of corruption have on individuals' levels of political participation. Adapting the standard socioeconomic status model developed most fully by Verba and Nie (1972), an extended beta-binomial regression estimated using maximum likelihood is performed, utilizing unique data from the 2009 University of Texas' Money and Politics survey. The results of this study indicate that individuals who perceive higher levels of quid pro quo corruption participate more in politics, on average, than those who perceive lower levels of corruption.

Quid pro quo is not a difficult concept to understand. Too bad the media doesn't endeavor to investigate and explain it. Your politicians don't work for you, they work for their own insider trading stock market holdings for themselves!

This is about a group of U.S. Senators, Silicon Valley Oligarchs, Detroit Oligarchs, Crooked Law Firms and Lobbyists who commit crimes in order to manipulate over a trillion tax dollars into their, and their friends pockets. They use "stimulus" funding and tell the public: "don't-ask-questions, it's-an-emergency" as a trick to fool you.

They use media monopoly tricks to try to shut out any other viewpoints. They push manufactured "emotional trigger" issues that they believe will get more tax money allocated to "issue solutions" that they, and their friends, happen to already own the vaccine, windmill, electric car and battery monopolies for.

They are felons, yet they control some of the offices of the agencies who are supposed to arrest them. Silicon Valley bought K Street lobby firms and U.S. Senators, gave them more 'Dark Money' than history has ever seen and then had giant tech-law firms bribe, hit-job and blockade any attempts to arrest them.

The U.S. Government hired the Plaintiffs/victims, paid them only part of their money, then defrauded the Plaintiff's by asking them to spend THEIR life savings and years of their time on the government's federal project based on lies and false-promises from government bosses at the White House, Congress and The Department of Energy. Then they took the assets Plaintiffs were asked to invest, plus the money they owed Plaintiffs, and gave it to their friends and financiers at Google, Tesla and other crony operations. When Plaintiffs reported the crimes to the FBI, Congress and the SEC, government officials hired Fusion GPS, Gawker, Gizmodo, Media Matters and other hit-job services to run "hit-jobs" on Plaintiffs and threaten their lives.

As a group of domestic citizens, some of the Plaintiffs filed FBI complaints and lawsuits against The U.S. Government and their senior staff along with lawsuits against a rogue Silicon Valley off-shoot of the CIA called "In-Q-Tel". These citizens instigated Congressional corruption investigations and hearings against the most senior members of the State and Federal government.

These actions resulted in the termination of very famous public officials (Steven Chu, Eric Holder, James Comey, etc.) and their crony criminal embezzlement scams. These investigations almost resulted

in the President being forced to leave office, mid-term, based on revelations of a massive crony campaign finance kick-back scheme which began to be exposed after the FBI raid of Solyndra.

The director of the FBI was fired for assisting in cover-ups related to this matter.

The Detroit car Cartel oligarchs had written the hard-wired money give-away for Department of Energy ATVM and LGP funds, exclusively for themselves, and wanted the money in exchange for Obama votes of union members.

Silicon Valley oligarchs heard about the deal and wanted half of it for themselves in exchange for web-rigging search engines and media for Obama-votes. In particular: Elon Musk got government money by bribing public officials and stacking hundreds of his friends and shareholders, ie: Steven Chu, Matt Rogers, Steve Westly, Steve Spinner's 'special friend', investor Google's employees, etc. on the staff of The U.S. Government and in the White House. In other words, hundreds of Elon Musk's friends and financiers from Silicon Valley (Mostly from his investor: Google) were placed in the very offices that decided if he got the government funds.

Our team has FBI-class records, financial tracking, emails, stock market relay records and other forensic data that proves the assertions in this book.

The Plaintiffs can swear, warrant, certify and prove these assertions in front of: 1.) Congress in a live Congressional hearing or 2.) in Civil Jury trial, given non-compromised legal backing or 3.) before a Grand Jury criminal hearing.

Musk bribed Senators, bought a President and had his buddies take over most of the Department of Energy. Lithium metals, and other rare earth mining materials, are monopolized by Elon Musk and his Silicon Valley Cartel, in rare-earth corrupt mining scams. Ford and Tesla had insiders (<https://forums.tesla.com/forum/forums/tesla-should-partner-somehow-ford>)

who kept trying to merge or JV them but the personality clashes kept it from happening. The Detroit Cartel and the Silicon Valley Cartel have cultural differences that always keep them at loggerheads.

The natural-born American domestic group of engineers Plaintiffs were attacked with a \$30 million dollar+ retribution/political reprisal program contracted by White House political operatives, and their appointees, who were also the business competitors of the engineers.

The attackers used Fusion GPS-type character assassination smear campaigns (operated by their cronies at Google, Gawker, Gizmodo, Jalopnik and Facebook), NVCA black-listing, Solyndra-laundering, stone-walling, Lois Lerner-class agency manipulation and search engine rigging. In-Q-Tel turns out to be the only federally financed "*charity*" whose staff are also employed by each of the suspects in this case and who financed the suspects in this case.

It was revealed that White House executives ordered government agencies to harm members of the public and to reprisal with-hold public resources from the public. This was a violation of tort, RICO and anti-trust laws.

BUT...

The victims fought back.

With the encouragement of members of Congress they used 100% legal tools to interdict the corruption.

Essentially; they helped the United States government sue itself!

First, with a unique new kind of pioneering federal lawsuit, victims established — FOR THE FIRST TIME IN LEGAL HISTORY — that political cronyism is a valid basis for a claim of arbitrary-and-capricious agency action under the Administrative Procedure Act. See: Federal Case One, (D.D.C. 2015).

Second, they prevailed in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit on their appeal of the district court's ruling that an agency may escape judicial review of its action by requesting a voluntary remand but refusing to reconsider its initial denial of an application. See: Case Federal Two, (D.C. Cir. 2017). The Washington DC Circuit agreed with the victims that an agency may only seek a remand if it promises to reconsider its initial decision. It is because of that victory that the government, under court and FOIA orders, is now reviewing charges filed by Plaintiffs and GAO, FBI, Inspector General and Congressional oversight offices are watching to assure effective ethics and transparency.

Third, these cases placed, on permanent public record, one of the most detailed documentation sets, ever assembled, about how modern political "Dark Money" conduits operate. Plaintiffs placed the records an evidence in global repositories and 'torrents' around the globe on public record forever. The legal team hired ex-FBI, CIA and SEC experts to track down covert bank accounts, revolving door bribes, insider stock trades and other payola between the victim's competitors and public officials. This documentation now prevents the use of those kinds of criminal efforts, in the future, by exposing their tactics to the public. This was one of the goals of the case: TO CREATE PERMANENT PUBLIC EXPOSURE OF THIS KIND OF CORRUPTION!

Fourth, the victim's team engaged in the interdiction and termination of corrupt agency executives, contractors and their financiers. This included some of the most well-known names in Washington, DC, at the time. Many of them were, and are still being, investigated and surveilled by the FBI, GAO, SEC and Congress.

Fifth, and most important, the effort put every corrupt political scheme on notice that they WILL be found out and interdicted!

The bottom line? The victims group WON on every single aspect of their public-interest goals but still have yet to be recompensed for their damages!

Now the "bad guys" have less options to engage in the corruption of our Democracy and the COVID dynamics have made all of them more desperate! Desperate crooks make bigger mistakes!

The AI software this team helped create can now hunt down every single one of them and report them to every voter in the nation, every regulatory agency, every news outlet and every law enforcement agency. Now with the push of a button on your smart phone or home computer, you can expose the criminals in our Democracy and end corruption!

The Corrupt Crony-Capitalism Quid Pro Quo Payola

Sycophant scumbags at The U.S. Government are covering up these crimes because they own stock in, and get contracts and revolving door jobs from, Elon Musk, Google, et al. The U.S. Government is a deep, dark, smug abyss of crony payola, kick-backs, market monopolization, bribes and insider corruption beholden to the tech cartel. Google, Facebook and Silicon Valley are a private government that is more powerful than The U.S. Government . They use The U.S. Government as their bitch! These people put mobster-like 'hit-jobs' on the victims and operated state-sponsored attacks against them because they reported the crimes to law enforcement.

If you don't believe that Silicon Valley is operated by an organized crime cartel of sociopath frat boys that are protected by famous Senators and public officials, who own their stock and get their campaign cash, then here is absolute proof of the crimes and the cover-ups that go all the way to the White House. We conducted an exhaustive, decades-long, investigation deep into the roots of modern political corruption and here is how it works and how to destroy it!

The Blockades Of The Victim's Legal Representation

"Government staff and contractors have told us: “just sue us”. This was their response to trying to resolve this issue.

That response, by government officials, is a felony violation of Constitutional law, human rights law, State and Federal public policy directives, the expectations of the national citizenry and the principles of Democracy.

The government response is, thus legally “non-responsive” and a threat to every voter and citizen.

Our previously filed demand, attached hereto as a PDF document under the title: “VICTIM DEMAND Jan 12 2020.pdf” proves that no care or attention was paid to Plaintiffs previously filed complaint by your office except to operate a cover-up campaign. Any cover-up campaign of this felony organized crime matter subjects each, and every, government employee and contractor involved in said cover-up to felony arrest and prosecution.

You have blocked Plaintiffs from getting a lawyer or getting any legal help. That is a felony violation of the law and an abhorrent violation of U.S. and State Constitutional and human rights.

The current tactics being used to prevent Plaintiffs from their legal rights include:

A. Plaintiffs have been “black-listed”. Even though the law in California (Cal. Lab. Code § § 1050 to 1053) says that an entity can't prevent or attempt to prevent former workers from getting work or representation through misrepresentation, knowingly permitting or failing to take reasonable steps to prevent blacklisting, or make a statement about why an employee was discharged or left employment, implying something other than what is explicitly said, or providing information that was not requested: It is done every day in Silicon Valley. The "Silicon Valley No Poaching Black-List" class-action lawsuit was about this issue. Federal FAR Section 9.104-1 (d), and related laws, apply. Blacklisting is a key part of the IC Vendetta Cycle attacks. The victims also suffered damage to their rights under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA) (29 USC Sec. 621, et seq.); the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 USC Sec. 12181, et seq.); the Civil Rights Acts - (42 USC Sec. 2000, et seq.); the Davis-Bacon Act (40 USC Sec. 276a, et seq.); the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) (29 USC Sec. 1001, et seq.); the Equal Pay Act (29 USC Sec. 206[d]) and other violations.

B. Each and every law firm capable of handling Plaintiffs case has been contracted, paid and/or influenced so that they are “conflicted out” from representing Plaintiffs. Law firm Mofo was threatened if they helped Plaintiffs. Lawyer Amy Anderson was threatened and lost her license for attempting to help Plaintiffs. Every lawyer or law firm who attempts to help Plaintiffs is hired by Defendants, or their agents and threatened or compromised in order to prevent them from helping Plaintiffs because this case affects trillions of dollars of energy industry profits, the White House, billionaire oligarchs and multi millionaire corrupt Senators. In fact, this issue lies at the root of this entire corruption case. Sociopath over-moneyed Silicon Valley oligarchs have hire Morrison Foerster, Wilson Sonsini, Perkins Coie, Covington Burling and every other major law firm and lobbyist and told them to “kill everyone and destroy everything that I don’t like...”. These law firms (controlled by Mark Zuckerberg, Elon Musk, Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, Steve Westly, Vinod Khosla, Laurene Powell Jobs, Nancy Pelosi, etc. All of whom have nearly a trillion dollars of funds at their disposal) have carte blanche and unlimited payments to run coups, character assassination campaigns, money laundering and other crimes for the oligarchs. They have the staffing to do these things and zero incentive to not do crimes. These law firms are the dirty deeds teams for the mobster-like suspects and there is no law enforcement body with the will nor resources to stop them. It is a violation of the U.S. Constitution to tell Plaintiffs to “go get a law firm” when EVERY possible, equitable, law firm works for, and is massively compensated by, the criminals that need to be sued. Both the politicians AND the tech oligarchs charged with these crimes have hired the very law firms that government agencies have told Plaintiffs to go out and hire.

C. There is a precedent that was set in the US Supreme Court case: Gideon v. Wainwright, (1963) that clarifies that you have a right to a lawyer even if you don't have money for one. The Sixth Amendment, as applied to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause gives one their Due Process rights. One needs to kill someone, though, to most easily get your free lawyer, since the court-appointed lawyer is rarely ever appointed, on citizens behalf, in a case like this. Even if a defendant is represented by an attorney of his or her choosing, he or she may be entitled to relief on appeal if the attorney did not provide adequate representation. A defendant must demonstrate that the attorney’s

performance “fell below an objective standard of reasonableness” and that this was prejudicial to the case. See: *Strickland v. Washington* (<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/466/668/case.html>) , 466 U.S. 668, 688-92 (1984). A few “free lawyers”, that victims had tried, turned out to be working for the opposition side.

D. The federal organization: <https://www.lsc.gov> is required to help but has refused because it’s administrators were friends with, and appointed by, the public officials charged with corruption in this case.

E. Plaintiffs have personally asked the Attorney General, The Director of the FBI and the U.S. Attorney’s office for representation but they have been told not to respond because the case is politically embarrassing to major public officials and their corrupt Silicon Valley financiers.

F. The government agencies who have told Plaintiffs that Plaintiffs should “hire a law firm and sue them”, in order to resolve this matter, are the same government agencies that have cut-off, or blockaded Plaintiffs income sources in order to prevent Plaintiffs from being able to afford to hire a law firm to sue them.

G. A federal agency provided Plaintiffs with a list of “free lawyers”. Upon FBI-level investigation of every “free lawyer” on the list, (via their financial contributions, voting records, leaked emails, social media postings, event attendance, public records, social connections lists online and other surveillance data) they all worked for, or with the opposition interests and could not have possibly provided unbiased services. In fact, multiple lawyers have contacted Plaintiffs who turned out to be working for the opposition. They were sent in to delay, or redirect, Plaintiffs in order to keep the cases from being filed or properly prosecuted in order to protect the suspects. This is a common infiltration procedure widely documented in CIA, British secret service, Russian FSB and Snowden leaks documents on IC dirty tricks tactics.

Agency staff were ordered to harm Applicants by manipulating their benefits in order to deny, delay, obfuscate and reduce their income as reprisal for their assistance to law enforcement in a political corruption and money laundering matter. Agency staff, ranging from the lowest level staff and up to the director headquarters offices, participated in this reprisal-vendetta-revenge action to harm Applicants.

Applicant’s peers have filed DOJ and FBI criminal referrals, launched federal investigations and the assertions have been proven in numerous IG, FBI, Congressional and major news media investigations. Agency offices have failed to provide responsive FOIA requested data, hearing investigation data and fair responses because some of their staff are STILL operating a criminal cover-up which has now been update-reported to the FBI, Congress, the IG, the AG and investigative reporters.

Other federal agencies have complied, verified and provided the requested deliverables. SSA and GOVT have pointed the searchlight of suspicion on themselves, laser-like, by their overt failure to

comply, unlike every other agency. Ironically, the political financing of their executives and their personal relationships “happens” to be with the exact same Silicon Valley oligarchs under felony criminal investigations. The stock market brokerage records, family trust accounts, PAC trace-routing, Interpol records and SEC investigation records proves it!

Unfortunately for the crooked agency staff, some Applicants have the authority, law enforcement credentials and training to arrest any person at their home or office and remand them to the FBI, DOJ or Sheriff. For example: Every “unsigned” SSA email is tracked to the individual author by their IP address, device IMEI, web camera, building key card, door camera, parking lot use chart, building camera, vehicle tracking circuits, text dba records, keyboard UI/UX patterns, motherboard ID #, DNS routing, stingray read-outs and a vast number of other metrics. Applicant investigation peers know the exact person that wrote every SSA email or document or file request. There is no such thing as an anonymous SSA email. Transparency is the Applicants middle name. The FBI and CIA people that SSA and GOVT insiders think are their “buddies” may actually be the APPLICANTS buddies!

You will either give the Applicant his money and damages compensation, or suffer the consequences of the rapid acceleration of one of the largest investigations in modern history, supported by millions of voters with a shared peer-to-peer forensics social media network, the FBI and Congress. With the push of a single button, our latest info can be in the inbox of every blogger and independent investigative journalist in the world, in minutes.

History has proven that non-corrupt portions of federal agencies and public service law and community action firms have executed on their willingness to expend millions of dollars of resources to fight this injustice. Every individual involved in this at SSA and GOVT are on a forensic database. No person at SSA or GOVT who uses our government as a garage sale for corruption favors or a kill mill for political reprisals will avoid the 100% legally executed consequences. They will be targeted and prosecuted even more profoundly than the Applicants were targeted with IC-type hit jobs.

This felony criminal investigation case, is documented on thousands of websites and in dozens of federal court case records in which Applicant won the case or was vindicated in the case and in thousands of news websites.

Applicants researchers and investigators disagree with any decision by any agency which causes a delay in response which puts the applicants in jeopardy for their life and safety.

Testifying, and/or reporting about this crime has resulted in the death, potentially by murder, of the following individuals who reported to the authorities about this crime matter:

Rajeev Motwani; Gary D. Conley; Seth Rich; Philip Haney; David Bird; Doug Bourn; Misti Epstein; Joshua Brown; Kenneth Bellando; Moritz Erhardt; Imran Aliev; Kate Matrosova; David Drye; Vincent Foster; Kathy Ferguson; Duane Garrett; Eric S. Fox; Judi Gibbs; Berta Caceres; Suzanne Coleman; L.J.

Davis; John Hillyer; Stanley Huggins; Sandy Hume; Shawn Lucas; Gary Johnson; John Jones; John F. Kennedy, Jr.; Stephen Ivens; Mary 'Caity' Mahoney; Eric Butera; Danny Casolara; John Ashe; Tony Moser; Larry Nichols; Joseph Rago; Ron Brown; Bob Simon; Don Adams; Peter Smith; Victor Thorn; Lori Klausutis; Gareth Williams; Daphne Caruana Galizia; James D Johnston; Dave Goldberg; Loretta Fuddy; Paul Wilcher; Gary Webb; Beranton J. Whisenant Jr; Stanley Meyer; Jon Parnell Walker; Tyler Drumheller; Barnaby Jack; Dominic Di-Natale; Barbara Wise; Ilya Zhitomirskiy; Jeff Joe Black; Robin Copeland; John Wheeler; Ashley Turton; Michael Hastings; Antonin Scalia; David Koschman; David Werner; Alex Okrent; Kam Kuwata; Larry Frankel; And hundreds more connected to this case who suddenly, and strangely, turned up dead in this case and, ironically, their deaths all benefit the suspects in this case. Applicants are also whistle-blowers who have been previously attacked in reprisal and who have been threatened with continued harm and death.

Any delay, obfuscation, cover-up, FOIA refusal or other obscuring tactic by each and every member of an agency employee or contracting entity will be prosecuted on a person-by-person basis. Each employee or official who causes, by their action, further harm to the Applicants will be sued personally, have their assets garnished and will have a formal criminal referral authored and submitted to the FBI, DOJ, FTC, Congress and the news media. Applicants have sued the highest level personnel in the government for corruption, launched FBI and Congressional investigations against them and had them removed from their jobs and placed under permanent surveillance. The court and news records prove this fact. Do not imagine that any reprisal action by a public agency staffer will go unnoticed or unpunished by federal law enforcement, public forensics and major independent news media investigations.

The suggestion, by certain agency bosses, that one should "just file an IG report" is like telling someone to file a complaint against Hitler at the Nazi SS headquarters. You won't make it through the night! IG reports seem to accomplish nothing more than painting a target on your own back. Court records and news headlines prove that almost every citizen who reported similar political corruption through "official channels" was targeted with hit-jobs and economic blockades....ask Snowden!

Pay the Plaintiffs their damages compensation, whistle-blower fees, back-fees and offset monies NOW! Millions of citizens, around the globe, have all of the same evidence you now have and each day of delay only hurts YOUR agencies position in the eyes of the world and in the eyes of the voting population of the nation!"

(ie: <http://silicon-valley-mobsters.com>)

What would you do if you found out that your politicians (ie: Dianne Feinstein, Nancy Pelosi, Harry Reid, Kamala Harris) had put over one hundred million dollars in their covert family bank accounts which they got from sabotaging your business, because it competed with them, and from blockading your money because their tech oligarch insiders told them to? Our forensic investigators can 'swear, warrant and certify' that the politicians did the asserted crimes and corruption.

Like a social *Terminex*(c) pest control service, we are eliminating every single tech asshole in Silicon Valley (like the roaches that they are) using 100% legal, law enforcement approved, resources.

You are probably asking: "***How Did Palo Alto, California Became Populated Entirely By Assholes***"?

Why is the Tesla THE OFFICIAL CAR OF TONE-DEAF ARROGANT PRICK ASSHOLES!?

A glut of recent feature films (ie: [The feature film: Assholes: A Theory](#)) have excoriated the likes of Sand Hill Road and University Avenue scumbags...but pointing them out is not enough. They must be exterminated. You can verify the following facts, yourself, via court records, police records, FBI reports, FOIA and Congressional records.

As U.S. Marshal Raylan Givens (Timothy Olyphant) famously opined on the TV show "***Justified***", "*You run into an asshole in the morning, you run into an asshole at lunch. You run into assholes all day*"; America on the precipice of the fallout of a monumental presidential election, it seems that assholes are not only everywhere you turn—in newspapers, on cable TV, at political rallies and protests, and all over social media—but that they, and their behavior, has been normalized because they own the media. Silicon Valley has a tsunami of "assholery" underway. This reality is covered in the philosophy of professor Aaron James' non-fiction book and new documentary aimed at both 1.) precisely defining the term "asshole," and 2.) investigating how those who fit that bill have increasingly come to dominate key spheres of modern public life.

Stanford University graduates are inspired by the likes of corrupt Elon Musk and corrupt Italian Prime Minister Silvio Berlusconi—the forerunner of the media-manipulating populist-criminal-strongman trend that's recently swept through Western nations—or passages discussing Facebook, Twitter and Google's prioritization of profit over their responsibility to safeguard democracy from hate speech and disinformation. Stanford and Yale have proven to be the key training grounds for beginner assholes. Eric Schmidt, Larry Page, Elon Musk, Jack Dorsey, Reid Hoffman, John Doerr, Vinod Khosla and Mark Zuckerberg are the embodiment of this problem. They flout all of the rules and standards of common decency. They have made it appear acceptable, and in fact rewarding, to act in the worst possible manner as a means of achieving one's selfish ends. Their Silicon Valley is the pit of American assholery. You can spot an asshole, easily, when they are driving the official car of assholes: A Tesla, but what about the ones that are more under-cover?

Most people that have been tech raped by a Palo Alto Sandhill Road scumbag feel the same way as Mr. Sun, who says: "*Silicon Valley Oligarchs must be punished. Without breaking a single law and WITH the help of the largest law enforcement and investigative resources on the planet, my task force has killed off every single motherfucker that has come after me with their anti-trust violating schemes, including some of the largest entities in the world! My peers and I use lawsuits, federal investigations,*

news reports, whistle-blowers, new public regulations, anti-trust filings, tens of millions of crowd-sourced voters and unique AI-based forensics. Our private investigators have found my every person and organization on the globe that hates the oligarchs. We gathered them together and aimed them all at the tech assholes! My rule is that **Anyone** who attacks me stays on the shit list for the rest of their lives plus the next 100+ years of internet time. When you Zuckerbergs, Larry Page,'s Elon Musk's, John Doerr's, Reid Hoffman's, etc., come for me, prepare to suffer. Every stock you buy is a notice to my team to bankrupt the company that you invested in. Even if I am dead, your punishment will be carried out by a legacy team of public volunteers. It may happen to you tomorrow or it may be a slow-drip over the next 15 years. Never stop looking over your shoulder. If you decided to cheat against our businesses rather than fairly compete against us, then start carving your tombstone. If you hired media attackers, expect 100 times what you did to us to come back at you and your family forever! We are fine with "forgive-and-forget", except when it comes to criminal bullies: They are terminated!

He has pledged millions of dollars, from his family trust, to the effort. His AI database lists every investor, VC and executive in Silicon Valley, their family data and their "asshole ranking". Most of the people attacked and ripped off by the tech oligarchs feel the same way. None of the victims have the monopolized control of the big tech law firms like the tech oligarchs do. None of the victims can afford to have an army of crooked law firms (ie: Mofo, Covington & Burling, Perkins Coie, Wilson Sonsini, etc.) working around the clock to enable financial crimes, corruption and Democracy abuses.

To grasp the argument that these people are assholes, one need only listen to the Silicon Valley Assholes's basic description. As many state, "*The asshole is the guy who allows himself special advantages in Bay Area cooperative life out of an entrenched sense of entitlement that immunizes him against the complaints of other people.*" In other words, he's the individual—generally male, although as John Cleese candidly admits, his mother probably was one too—who thinks the general rules don't apply to them because they're somehow smarter, better, or more special than their fellow citizens. James' example is a surfer who violates the right-of-way customs in the ocean. Yet the type is universal, whether in line at the grocery store, on the road in traffic, or at work. They're the arrogant creeps convinced they're fundamentally superior, and thus free to conduct themselves in whatever way they see fit. That IS the essence of scumbag megalomaniacs: Eric Schmidt, Larry Page, Elon Musk, Jack Dorsey, Reid Hoffman, John Doerr, Vinod Khosla and Mark Zuckerberg!!!

The way "they see fit", of course, is often hateful, perverse and idiotic. The Assholes thrive in a bubble of trophy wives, rent boys and superficial TED conferences. In the midst of ongoing protests and debate over America's own domestic culture, which has long had a tradition of closing ranks and demonizing critics, every Silicon Valley employee ordeal sounds eerily familiar among every worker at Google and Facebook.

Such attitudes, according to the Silicon Valley Assholes are created by Stanford University Fraternities which are breeding grounds for horrid group-think mindsets about women and sex. The tech financial sector encourages greed, ruthlessness, and vulgarity as the best way to get ahead. Google executives champion initiation-ritual abuse in service of its own greater good. You can see the movies: **Animal**

House, The Wolf of Wall Street and Full Metal Jacket to see the underscore of the notion that these milieus are all rife with a similar brand of assholery in which arrogance and entitlement justify all manner of despicable ideas and deeds. Every major rape fraternity in the USA eventually sent those abusive boys to run Sandhill Road venture capital companies and Google or Facebook departments.

Every executive at Google knows that they are doing crimes, lying to Congress and the public and operating the biggest scam in history. That is why they will come up with any lie, re-interpretation of the facts or denial to hide the truth!

Any Presidential Administration that puts sociopath, Epstein-like Eric Schmidt, Larry Page or ANY Google executive IN their Administration is either proving their tone-deaf lack of awareness of the facts or that their President is such a bitch to Google's campaign cash that they could not possibly be trusted by any world leader or any citizen. (See: <https://therevolvingdoorproject.org/letter-to-oppose-eric-schmidt/>) (<https://nymag.com/intelligencer/2013/07/eric-schmidt-penthouse-new-york-photos-apartment.html>)

The Silicon Valley Assholes Process proves that real Silicon Valley VC and tech assholes probably don't know they are one; central to this condition is the certainty that all bad behavior is actually OK.

This is detectable in the media posts of workers from Greylock, Kleiner, Andressen and other tech asshole firms. Many of the tech interns freely admit that that they only pay attention to other social media users if there's a personal benefit to connecting with them. That type of me-first worldview is crucial to Palo Alto Sandhill Road's conception of twisted reality. Asshole-rich Silicon Valley America (where "exceptionalism" is ingrained from an early age) lives in contrast to asshole-light Canada (where folks tend to be more agreeable and accommodating)(Justin, though, is a novel kind of asshole).

The most compelling—and enraging—realities concerns Silicon Valley, where Mark Zuckerberg and like-minded CEOs have bred a culture of trampling on competitors, laws, historical norms, and the bedrock tenets of democracy in pursuit of additional eyeballs, clicks, and revenue streams. In a place where young men team up in a desperate quest to develop the innovative next big thing that'll turn them into tech moguls ("bro-gramming"), nothing matters but the self-centered end goal. And though Facebook, Twitter, Google, Kleiner Perkins, Greylock and their ilk could alter this landscape by simply cracking down on the horridness that permeates their platforms, they choose not to because of the negative financial consequences and their lust for hookers, private jets and cocaine.

To travel through Silicon Valley is to take a gravely depressing survey of a 21st century in thrall—in several crucial arenas—to humanity's worst impulses.

So what is being done about this crisis of society?

We have hired the best investigators who used to work with the FBI, CIA, FTC, DOJ, SEC, GAO, IG and Interpol.

We have also been teaching every voter in the world how to be a top notch criminal investigator and how to use digital forensic AI tools to "follow-the-money" and bust these crooks. Imagine the entire

resources of Palantir, XKEYSCORE, Splunk, Axiom, Fusion GPS and Taleo aimed at people like Zuckerberg, Hoffman, Musk and Schmidt. They will never survive it!

Every single asshole in Silicon Valley can expect to have accurate, highly professional, criminal charges and referrals filed against them with every federal law enforcement and regulatory agency. Those reports are being BCC'd to the news media and the public.

When you see reports like these, you can clearly see that: **Every Tech CEO And Investor In Silicon Valley Is A Predatory, Parasitic, Sex Trafficking, Misogynist, Money Laundering, Politician Bribing, Exclusionary, Black-Listing Criminal:**

- Kleiner Perkins Insider Spills The Dirt On Outright Criminal Culture In Palo Alto

[Why Silicon Valley has a bro culture problem — and how to ...](#)

 <https://www.vox.com/2018/2/5/16972096/emily-chang-brotopia-book-bloomberg-technology-culture-silicon-valley-kara-swisher-decode-podcast>

When **Emily Chang** interviewed venture capitalist Michael Moritz in 2015, she wasn't trying to "trap" him. But when the Sequoia Capital then-chairman suggested that hiring more women might ...

[The Universal Bro Code: The Bro Code Rules](#)

 <https://valenciabrocode.blogspot.com/p/bro-code-rules.html>

The **Bro Code** Rules The **Bro Code** 1) You must always have your **bro's** back. No exceptions. 2) When your **bro's** girlfriend inquires about his whereabouts you know nothing, always. 3) You are only obligated to wingman for one **bro** per social event, after that the **bro** is on his own.

[Emily Chang on the 'Brotopia' of Silicon Valley, and how ...](#)

 <https://www.geekwire.com/2018/emily-chang-brotopia-silicon-valley-companies-can-tackle-toxic-culture/>

Emily Chang: Brotopia, in my mind, perfectly encapsulates this idea of **Silicon Valley** as a modern utopia where anyone can change the world, make their own rules — if they're a man. But if you ...

["Oh My God, This Is So F---ed Up": Inside Silicon Valley's ...](#)

 <https://www.vanityfair.com/news/2018/01/brotopia-silicon-valley-secretive-orgiastic-inner-sanctum>

Adapted from Brotopia: Breaking Up the Boys' Club of **Silicon Valley**, by **Emily Chang**, to be published on February 6, 2018, by Portfolio, an imprint of Penguin Publishing Group, a division of ...

[Today's Tech Oligarchs Are Worse Than the Robber Barons](#)

 <https://www.thedailybeast.com/todays-tech-oligarchs-are-worse-than-the-robber-barons>

Today's Tech Oligarchs Are Worse Than the **Robber Barons**. Our **Silicon Valley Robber Barons**. ...
"If you think **Silicon Valley** is going to fuel growing prosperity, you are likely to be ...

[Silicon Valley Billionaires Are the New Robber Barons](#)

 <https://townhall.com/columnists/victordavishanson/2017/08/17/silicon-valley-billionaires-are-the-new-robber-barons-n2369394>

Aug 17, 2017 **Silicon Valley** Billionaires Are the New **Robber Barons** ... **Silicon Valley** Billionaires Are the New **Robber Barons**. Victor Davis Hanson ... Yet most of the computers and smartphones sold by **Silicon** ...

[Hanson: Silicon Valley billionaires are modern robber barons](#)

 <https://www.mercurynews.com/2017/08/17/hanson-silicon-valley-billionaires-are-the-new-robber-barons/>

Aug 17, 2017 Hanson: **Silicon Valley** billionaires are the new **robber barons** ... Yet most of the computers and smartphones sold by **Silicon Valley** companies are still being built abroad — to mostly silence from ...

[Brotopia: Breaking Up the Boys' Club of Silicon Valley by ...](#)

 <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/36288143-brotopia>

Brotopia by **Emily Chang** is a decent, but very surface level, examination of **Silicon Valleys** misogynist culture. Considering the significance of the topic, Brotopia feels like a missed opportunity to expose and critique the tech industry in a productive way; instead, I felt that only summaries were given on most topics.

[Silicon Valley's Giants Are Just Gilded Age Tycoons in ...](#)

 <https://www.thedailybeast.com/silicon-valleys-giants-are-just-gilded-age-tycoons-in-techno-utopian-clothes>

Silicon Valley's Giants Are Just Gilded Age Tycoons in Techno-Utopian Clothes but the truth is they are a lot like the old **robber barons**. ... **Silicon Valley** executives were not just about ...

[The anonymous Silicon Valley satire that has stumped tech ...](#)

 <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/morning-mix/wp/2015/12/02/the-anonymous-silicon-valley-satire-that-has-stumped-tech-world-insiders/>

Dec 2, 2015 The plot follows **Crooks**, an ex-**tech** industry employee who finds spiritual deliverance in meditating on **Silicon Valley's** philosophies far, far away from the digital mecca itself. AD One passage ...

[Silicon Valley Wants To Read Your Mind - Crooks and Liars](#)

 <https://crooksandliars.com/2019/09/silicon-valley-wants-read-your>

But **Silicon Valley** should not be able to dictate the way these technologies are developed and deployed. If they do, it may radically reshape the way we identify as human. Garfield Benjamin, Postdoctoral Researcher, School of Media Arts and Technology, Solent University. This article is republished from The Conversation under a Creative Commons ...

[**Silicon Valley's cocaine problem shaped our racist tech ...**](#)

 <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2020/jan/30/silicon-valleys-cocaine-problem-shaped-our-racist-tech>

Jan 30, 2020 **Silicon Valley** in the 1980s was the hub of an international drug trafficking network that fueled technological innovation and criminalized black people Charlton D McIlwain Thu 30 Jan 2020 06.00 ...

[**Sex Scandal Toppled a Silicon Valley Chief. Investors Say ...**](#)

 <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/07/27/technology/sexual-misconduct-silicon-valley.html>

Jul 27, 2018 **Sex Scandal** Toppled a **Silicon Valley** Chief. Investors Say, So What? Mike Cagney was ousted as chief executive of Social Finance last year after questions about sexual misconduct. He has since ...

[**Week in Tech: Sex Scandals and Silicon ...**](#)

 <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/01/technology/farhad-and-mikes-week-in-tech-sex-scandals-and-silicon-valley.html>

Dec 1, 2017 **Silicon Valley's Sex Scandals**. Farhad: O.K., let's talk about the tech industry. Let's start with this week's episode of Problematic Men. A report by The Information found that Andy Rubin ...

[**The history of sexual harassment scandals in Silicon ...**](#)

 <https://www.businessinsider.com/sexual-harassment-scandals-tech-industry-2017-7?op=1>

Here is a rundown of the current sexual harassment **scandals** rocking **Silicon Valley**, along with the long history of how the tech industry got here. ... 07/16/how-silicon-valley-silences-sexual ...

[**Silicon Valley Star Lands New Job a Month After Sex Scandal**](#)

 <https://www.wired.com/2013/02/keith-rabois-khosla-ventures/>

A little more than one month after a sexual harassment **scandal** threatened to sink a **Silicon Valley** superangel, Keith Rabois has landed a new gig that puts him not too far from his old one.. A ...

[**Silicon Valley's Not-So-Hidden Secret: Sex Parties**](#)

 <https://www.newser.com/story/253597/silicon-valleys-not-so-hidden-secret-sex-parties.html>


Silicon Valley's Not-So-Hidden Secret: **Sex Parties** ... "These **sex** parties happen so often among the premier V.C. and founder crowd that this isn't a **scandal** or even really a secret, I've been ...

Scandal cost Silicon Valley Community Foundation \$1.5 M

 <https://www.mercurynews.com/2020/01/17/silicon-valley-community-foundation-reveals-price-of-ousting-toxic-leadership/>

Jan 17, 2020 The leaders ousted for fostering a toxic workplace at the **Silicon Valley** Community Foundation walked away with tens of thousands of dollars in severance pay, tax filings show, revealing the cost ...

Sexual harassment in Silicon Valley: have we reached a ...

 <https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2017/jul/09/silicon-valley-sexual-harassment-women-speak-up>

Jul 9, 2017 The last week has seen women in **Silicon Valley** share stories of sexual harassment, assault and discrimination, prompting a backlash and high-profile resignations.

The big lesson Silicon Valley can learn from the Theranos ...

 <https://fortune.com/2018/03/15/elizabeth-holmes-theranos-fraud-secrecy/>

Theranos CEO Elizabeth Holmes and former president Ramesh "Sunny" Balwani were charged with an "elaborate, years-long fraud."

A viral thread has busted the MYTH about "*Famous Billionaires Starting Out "Poor"*". Jonas Grinevičius and Justinas Keturka have revealed that we all love stories about scrappy underdogs who overcome the odds and make it big through relentless hard work, unwavering grit, and sheer force of will. However, the stories about how some of the richest and most powerful people made their millions (and billions) are too romanticized and gloss over some very important details. That's the point that Aidan Smith made in a viral Twitter thread where he explained how Jeff Bezos and others had a huge leg-up when it came to helping lay the foundations of their business empires. Namely—having families with lots of money. Google used taxpayer funds to start its monopoly per

<https://medium.com/insurge-intelligence/how-the-cia-made-google-e836451a959e> and <https://qz.com/1145669/googles-true-origin-partly-lies-in-cia-and-nsa-research-grants-for-mass-surveillance/> ***Not only does Google not pay tens of billions of dollars of its taxes to sponsor firemen and teachers but Google used public resources to start its company! Billions of dollars of citizen funds were used by Google to create its evil empire. Google gets away with this crap because it bribes major U.S. politicians from California!***

Aidan told Bored Panda that the US isn't the only country where a lot of people believe myths about businessmen while the truth is a Google search away. "It's far from a U.S.-exclusive phenomenon, but in America, it's easier for most people to imagine becoming a billionaire themselves than it is to imagine an economic order in which a handful of people own half the world's wealth. Social mobility from working-class to middle-class is increasingly out of reach and the illusion that one can conceivably amass a net worth of over a billion dollars is a comforting fantasy for many people."

The Indisputable Facts

... that the Silicon Valley oligarchs:

1. Control business and politics like a crime Cartel
2. Are a white male fraternity who attack outsiders in organized manners
3. Come from dynastic families that systematically ran them through Stanford, Harvard and Yale sociopath-in-training men's clubs
4. Were socially trained and programmed to operate in a closed, tribal, exclusionary manner
5. Operated the "Angelgate" collusion scandal and most every other financial crime in America.
6. Operated the "Silicon Valley High Tech Employee Collusion" for which they were sued in a famous class-action case
7. Controlled the White House and traded most of the key staff with the Administration.
8. Have been sued, indicted and charged in divorce proceedings with an unusually massive amount of sex trafficking matters
9. Use the same 10 law-firms who have been charged with public policy manipulation
10. Control internet news, media and information
11. "Own" certain U.S. Senators by virtue of direct bribe payments and securities/stock payola payments
12. Use a variety of tools like GUST, Private Google Docs sites, covert Facebook pages and similar, to secret conspire and plan collusion and organized monopolistic practices
13. Have their venture capitalists spy on entrepreneurs and copy their technology to be deployed by Google, or Facebook under a new name
14. Are a Mafia-like criminal organization.

Who are some of the biggest, elitist, self-aggrandizing, entitled, tone-deaf assholes in Silicon Valley?

The Tech Crunch Silicon Valley database-of-assholes provides that answer. They include: David Krane, Brian Bendett, Brendan Bulik-Sullivan, Terri Burns, Blake Byers, Tim Draper, Steve Jurvetson, Elon Musk, Steve Westly, Steve Spinner, Tyson Clark, Frederique Dame, Tom Perkins, Scott Davis, Reid Hoffman, Eric Schmidt, Larry Page, Jared Cohen, David Drummond, Karim Faris, Tom Hulme, Joe Kraus, John Lyman, Vinod Khosla, Laura Melahn, Dave Munichiello, Erik Nordlander, Anthony Philippakis, Sridhar Ramaswamy, David Reshef, Ben Robbins, Issi Rozen, David Schenkein, Vidu Shanmugarajah, M.G. Siegler, Graham Spencer, Bethany Tsui, Jessica Verrilli, Andy Wheeler, Krishna Yeshwant, Asheem Chandna, Jerry Chen, Mike Duboe, Sarah Guo, Christine Kim, Josh McFarland, Saam Motamedi, Sridhar Ramaswamy, Corinne Riley, Jason Risch, Seth Rosenberg, David Sze, David Thacker, David Wadhvani, Manuel Bernal, Allie Dalglish, Don Sullivan, Yasmin Green, Kent Walker,

Matt Rogers, Steven Chu, David Plouffe, Sergy Brin, Andy Rubin, Ian Fette, Travis Kalanick, Elizabeth Holmes, Dustin Moskovitz, Laurene Powell Jobs, Andy Bechtolsheim, Brian Goncher, Cheryl Sandberg, Gilman Louie, Ira Ehrenpreis, Tim Cook, James Breyer, Joe Lonsdale, Keith Rabois, Marc Andreessen, George Soros, Mario Rosatti, Martin LaGod, Michael Moritz, Viktor Vekselberg, Larry Summers, Pierre Omidyar, Tom Steyer, RoseAnn Rotando, Larry Birenbaum, Judy Estrin, Steven Goldby, Terry Kramer, Helen Raiser, John Scully, Sandi Thompson, Ann Wojcicki and their ilk...

You can see that most of them have the same facial deformities that make them all look like yuppie frat or sorority clones with Moonie/Scientology dead eyes and fake smiles that broadcast their blind zealotry and sports bar fakery to the world. Every email, phone call, text, condo, office and brothel that these people use should be bugged by the FBI. These scammers built an insider-trading bubble of TED conferences and tech parties in which they mutually promote their Elizabeth Holmesian fantasies of holier-than-thou idiocracy. Emily Chang's book: "*Brotopia*" covers these scum-bags in great length.

As a Hoover Fellow puts it: "...Elite arrogant asshole dynasties send their kids to Stanford University. Stanford puts the kids in asshole frat houses to train them to get away with rape and run monopolies. When they graduate they either go up the hill to Sandhill Road and start a venture capital clone operation or they get their frat friends on Sandhill Road to racketeer-fund their start-up or political campaign using money scammed from your parents pension funds. They only work with their frat buddies and insiders in a tribal 'old boys club' manner. They steal all the technology and markets they want because they control all of the tech lawyers and politicians via bribes and revolving doors. Most of the money in Stanford University bank accounts is from Foreign and Hollywood oligarchs delivered as bribes meant to get their snot-nosed brats into Stanford. Stanford bosses keep all of this covered-up and covertly fund political campaigns to grease the wheels of political corruption. They then sexually extort some Stanford interns in Rosewood Hotel rooms, get the most 'trophy wife' ones pregnant, and start the cycle all over again. Alas, Stanford is the training ground for abuse, corruption and 'Bro-Crime'..."

White House Staff including Rahm Emanuel, Bill Daley, Jay Carney, David Plouffe, Robert Gibbs, Steve Rattner, David Axelrod, John Podesta, et al; and The Secretary of Energy Steven Chu and the Chief Counsel for the United States Department of Energy Daniel Cohen and Bill Cooper were, (from 2007 forward), either financed by, friends with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; our business adversaries, or the Senators and Department of Energy politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political digital search manipulation services to. Criminal U.S. Senators coordinated and profited in these schemes. Their own family members have now supplied evidence against them. Elon Musk and his frat boys display their self-aggrandizing vanity in sociopath glory because nobody tells them "no". Now we are calling them out!

From 2007 forward, The White House and The U.S. Government were controlled by the Silicon Valley tech oligarchs for monopolistic profiteering! That is a violation of the law, the Constitution and the

American Way. The named person's we have presented to the FBI, DOJ, SEC and other agencies, engaged in crimes to personally profit in the stock market and political venues. At the same time they operated the command and control of millions of dollars of defamation, character assassination and black-list attacks against us for reporting their crimes. These perpetrators are now being exposed, arrested, indicted and drained of their ill-gotten profits. We are owed our damages compensation and we will not rest until we get it! Every member of the public is invited to help crowd-source the termination of these corrupt crooks.

We worked for, with, in and around the White House and The Department of Energy. We were at the homes of the most famous people in government and their Silicon Valley financiers. We have cooperated with top law enforcement and Congressional investigators. Additional whistle-blowers have provided our effort with even more evidence. Ourselves, and investigating agencies, have absolute proof of the crimes, corruption and illicit activities and the ongoing cover-ups.

Agency staff were ordered to harm Applicants by manipulating their benefits in order to deny, delay, obfuscate and reduce their income as reprisal for their assistance to law enforcement in a political corruption and money laundering matter. Agency staff, ranging from the lowest level staff at the San Francisco, San Mateo, Los Angeles and Marin offices, and up to the director headquarters offices, participated in this reprisal-vendetta-revenge action to harm Applicants. Applicant's peers have filed DOJ and FBI criminal referrals, launched federal investigations and the assertions have been **proven** in numerous IG, FBI, Congressional and major news media investigations. Agency offices have failed to provide responsive FOIA requested data, hearing investigation data and fair responses because some of their staff are STILL operating a criminal cover-up which has now been update-reported to the FBI, Congress, the IG, the AG and investigative reporters.

Other federal agencies have complied, verified and provided the requested deliverables. SSA and GOVT have pointed the searchlight of suspicion on themselves, laser-like, by their overt failure to comply, unlike every other agency. Ironically, the political financing of their executives and their personal relationships "happens" to be **with the exact same Silicon Valley oligarchs under felony criminal investigations**. The stock market brokerage records, family trust accounts, PAC trace-routing, Interpol records and SEC investigation records proves it!

Unfortunately for the crooked agency staff, some Applicants have the authority, law enforcement credentials and training to arrest any person at their home or office and remand them to the FBI, DOJ or Sheriff. SSA and GOVT staff should not longer screw around! For example: Every "unsigned" SSA email is tracked to the individual author by their IP address, device IMEI, web camera, building key card, door camera, parking lot use chart, building camera, vehicle tracking circuits, text dba records, keyboard UI/UX patterns, motherboard ID #, DNS routing, stingray read-outs and a vast number of

other metrics. Applicant investigation peers know the exact person that wrote every SSA email or document or file request. There is no such thing as an anonymous SSA email. Transparency is the Applicants middle name. The FBI and CIA people that SSA and GOVT insiders think are their “buddies” may actually be **the APPLICANTS** buddies!

You will either give the Applicant his money and damages compensation, from his 2007 benefits filings, forward, or suffer the consequences of the rapid acceleration of one of the largest investigations in modern history, supported by millions of voters with a shared peer-to-peer forensics social media network, the FBI and Congress. With the push of a single button, our latest info can be in the inbox of every blogger and independent investigative journalist in the world, in minutes.

History has proven that non-corrupt portions of federal agencies and public service law and community action firms have executed on their willingness to expend millions of dollars of resources to fight this injustice. Every individual involved in this at SSA and GOVT are on a forensic database. No person at SSA or GOVT who uses our government as a ***garage sale for corruption favors*** or a ***kill mill*** for political reprisals will avoid the 100% legally executed consequences. They will be targeted and prosecuted even more profoundly than the Applicants waere targeted with IC-type hit jobs.

This felony criminal investigation case, is documented on thousands of websites and in dozens of federal court case records in which Applicant won the case or was vindicated in the case and in thousands of news websites. Applicants researchers and investigators disagree with any decision by any agency which causes a delay in response which puts the applicants in jeopardy for their life and safety. Testifying, and/or reporting about this crime has resulted in the death, potentially by murder, of the following individuals who reported to the authorities about this crime matter: *Rajeev Motwani; Gary D. Conley; Seth Rich; Philip Haney; David Bird; Doug Bourn; Misti Epstein; Joshua Brown; Kenneth Bellando; Moritz Erhardt; Imran Aliev; Kate Matrosova; David Drye; Vincent Foster; Kathy Ferguson; Duane Garrett; Eric S. Fox; Judi Gibbs; Berta Caceres; Suzanne Coleman; L.J. Davis; John Hillyer; Stanley Huggins; Sandy Hume; Shawn Lucas; Gary Johnson; John Jones; John F. Kennedy, Jr.; Stephen Ivens; Mary 'Caity' Mahoney; Eric Butera; Danny Casolaro; John Ashe; Tony Moser; Larry Nichols; Joseph Rago; Ron Brown; Bob Simon; Don Adams; Peter Smith; Victor Thorn; Lori Klausutis; Gareth Williams; Daphne Caruana Galizia; James D Johnston; Dave Goldberg; Loretta Fuddy; Paul Wilcher; Gary Webb; Beranton J. Whisenant Jr; Stanley Meyer; Jon Parnell Walker; Tyler Drumheller; Barnaby Jack; Dominic Di-Natale; Barbara Wise; Ilya Zhitomirskiy; Jeff Joe Black; Robin Copeland; John Wheeler; Ashley Turton; Michael Hastings; Antonin Scalia; David Koschman; David Werner; Alex Okrent; Kam Kuwata; Larry Frankel; And hundreds more connected to this case who suddenly, and strangely, turned up dead in this case and, ironically, their deaths all benefit the suspects in this case. Applicants are also whistle-blowers who have been previously attacked in reprisal and who have been threatened with continued harm and death.*

Because this case involves a huge number of deaths, spies, trillions of dollars of energy industry funds, hit-jobs and epic political dirty tricks contracts there is a warning in effect to every suspect on "**the**

list. "If any other principle whistle-blower in this case is killed, within 24 hours of their death, every person on "the list" will experience the worst possible outcome!"

Any delay, obfuscation, cover-up, FOIA refusal or other obscuring tactic by each and every member of an agency employee or contracting entity will be prosecuted on a person-by-person basis. Each employee or official who causes, by their action, further harm to the Applicants will be sued personally, have their assets garnished and will have a formal criminal referral authored and submitted to the FBI, DOJ, FTC, Congress and the news media. Applicants have sued the highest level personnel in the government for corruption, launched FBI and Congressional investigations against them and had them removed from their jobs and placed under permanent surveillance. The court and news records prove this fact. Do not imagine that any reprisal action by a public agency staffer will go unnoticed or unpunished by federal law enforcement, public forensics and major independent news media investigations.

Pay the Applicants their damages compensation, whistle-blower fees, back-fees and offset monies **NOW!**

And 200+ other cases related to the same Cartel members including many lawsuits against Google for corruption, sex trafficking, racketeering and other forms of their usual crimes. Google's financier, political manipulation partner and spying command-and-control operative: In-Q-tel, was also sued for running dirty tricks campaigns against the Whistle-blowers. In-Q-Tel was forced to come to court in San Francisco and watch the Corbett Report's expose on them (<https://www.corbettreport.com/meet-in-q-tel-the-cias-venture-capital-firm-preview/> and <https://www.corbettreport.com/siliconvalley/>) and then try to explain to the judge why they are a "non-profit charity" when they run dirty tricks campaigns for politicians and were caught with tons of cocaine on their airplanes.

KEY REPORTS ABOUT THE CORRUPTION CARTEL, BASED OUT OF SAN FRANCISCO CITY HALL, THAT STARTED ALL THIS, CAN BE FOUND AT:

[San Francisco Corruption Reports](#)

[Ongoing FBI Corruption Probe](#)

[San Francisco City Hall Corruption](#)

[FBI San Francisco Announces New Charges](#)

[CORRUPTION CENTRAL](#)

[SAN FRANCISCO CORRUPTION: City Hall, Pacific Heights And Silicon Valley Scum](#)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/San_Francisco_graft_trials

https://www.searchingsanfrancisco.org/-_You_Can't_Swing_A_Cat_In_San_Francisco_City_Hall_Without_Hitting_A_Mobster

[PUC boss Harlan Kelly **arrested** by Feds, charged in bribery ...](#)

 <https://missionlocal.org/2020/11/puc-boss-harlan-kelly-arested-by-feds-charged-in-bribery-scheme/>

PUC **boss** Harlan Kelly **arrested** by Feds, charged in bribery scheme, resigns ... the Zelig-like avatar of **San Francisco** institutional corruption, ... The stench of corruption at SF **City Hall** exceeds even the stench of human feces on **City** streets. Where was the District Attorney and **City** Attorney with their squads of highly paid staff while the ...

[SFPUC chief charged with accepting **bribes** in alleged **City** ...](#)

 <https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/crime/sfpuc-chief-charged-with-accepting-bribes-in-alleged-city-hall-corruption-scheme/ar-BB1bv5ri>

Federal prosecutors have charged **San Francisco** Public Utilities Commission chief Harlan Kelly for allegedly accepting **bribes** from a contractor — taking international trips, free meals and ...

[Head of SFPUC charged in public corruption probe - **San** ...](#)

 <https://www.bizjournals.com/sanfrancisco/news/2020/11/30/head-of-sfpuc-charged-in-public-corruption-probe.html>


Kelly, 58, was charged with one count of honest services wire fraud for allegedly engaging in a bribery scheme and corrupt partnership with Walter Wong, a **San Francisco** permit expeditor and ...

[San Francisco Recology Exec Accused of Paying Off DPW Head ...](#)

 <https://www.nbcbayarea.com/news/local/san-francisco/san-francisco-recology-exec-accused-of-paying-off-dpw-head-charged-with-bribery-money-laundering/2402801/>

San Francisco Recology Exec Accused of Paying Off DPW Head Charged With Bribery, Money Laundering By Bay **City** News • Published November 18, 2020 • Updated on November 18, 2020 at 9:45 pm Getty ...

[New charges in Nuru scandal accuse former Recology manager ...](#)

 <https://sfbayca.com/2020/11/18/new-charges-in-nuru-scandal-accuse-former-recology-manager-of-bribery-money-laundering/>

Bovis was **arrested** back in January along with Nuru in connection with an unsuccessful scheme to **bribe** a **San Francisco** International Airport commissioner to aid Bovis in obtaining a restaurant

concession in 2018. Bovis was charged with two counts of fraud, while Nuru was charged with fraud and lying to the FBI.

[San Francisco Official Charged With Corruption in FBI ...](#)

 <https://www.nbcbayarea.com/news/local/san-francisco/san-francisco-public-works-director-arrested-on-suspicion-of-public-corruption/2222125/>

A top **San Francisco** public official and go-to bureaucrat to mayors over two decades was charged with public corruption Tuesday, upending **City Hall** as elected leaders scrambled to reassure the ...

[San Francisco Utilities Chief Faces Bribery Charge in ...](#)

 <https://www.courthousenews.com/san-francisco-utilities-chief-faces-bribery-charge-in-corruption-probe/>

"Bribery scams undermine our faith in **city** government," said David Anderson, U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of California, in a statement Monday. "Our investigation into **City Hall** corruption will continue." **San Francisco** Mayor London Breed announced that she has accepted Kelly's resignation.

[Recology exec accused of paying off SF DPW head charged ...](#)

 <https://www.ktvu.com/news/recology-exec-accused-of-paying-off-sf-dpw-head-charged-with-bribery-money-laundering>

A former manager for **San Francisco's** garbage collection agency Recology has been charged with bribery and money laundering in connection to the SF DPW corruption scandal.

[S.F. City Administrator Naomi Kelly takes leave of absence ...](#)

 <https://darkweb-sites.com/?p=68372>

City Administrator Naomi Kelly took a voluntary six-week leave of absence Wednesday, two days after federal prosecutors revealed a bribery case against her husband, former **San Francisco** Public Utilities Commission chief Harlan Kelly.. Naomi Kelly has not been charged with a crime. But the criminal complaint against her husband alleges she attended a 2016 family vacation that federal ...

[City Hall corruption case: What's next for S.F. City ...](#)

 <https://www.sfchronicle.com/crime/article/City-Hall-corruption-case-What-s-next-for-15767978.php>

San Francisco City Commissioner Naomi Kelly officiates the wedding of Heather Lee and Andrew Lindgren of **San Francisco** while on the Mayor's Balcony in **San Francisco City Hall** in **San Francisco** ...

The solipsistic self-aggrandizing corrupt pigs in San Francisco's City Hall and Pacific Heights are being hunted down (100% legally) and eviscerated by the FBI, public task forces like ours, the news media, private citizens and the biggest email leaks in history. Hundreds of people will be taken down.

The few arrests you have read about in the media are only the beginning. We are all starting at the bottom of the cesspool and working our way up, all the way through Elon Musk, Eric Schmidt, Larry Page, et al, and up to the White House. They will not escape, even in death. Roger Boas, Jeffrey Epstein, et al, may not still be lurking around but the truth about them will live on FOREVER!

The headline reads: "**House Lawmakers Introduce Antitrust Package to Limit Power of Tech Giants**"

(https://www.ntd.com/house-lawmakers-introduce-antitrust-package-to-limit-power-of-tech-giants_626721.html)

This bipartisan initiative is being led by the House Judiciary Antitrust Subcommittee leadership, with each of the five bills having a Republican and Democratic co-sponsor. Each of the bills target different ways that tech companies **abuse their power, manipulate American politics** and **maliciously and mercilessly attack competitors** with help from California politicians who own parts of those tech companies. The witnesses in this case have been instrumental in driving these, and other tech anti-corruption efforts, to fruition. Those witnesses have sworn warranted and certified that the Defendants are a "**RICO Racketeering Organized Crime Cartel**", as defined by law, that is colluding for criminal purposes. This crime group is harming American citizens, the U.S. economy, and domestic Democracy. They use The U.S. Government and The Department of Transportation as a private slush-fund to pay off political financiers.

Ryan Cooper has noted that *"...what America has today is almost exclusively the personal type of corruption, which is considerably worse than the other sort. (A patronage machine may be bent and inefficient, but at least a goodly share of the population gets a piece of the action, and often functional public works and services to boot.) For example, Rohit Chopra and Julie Margetta Morgan recently released a new report for the Roosevelt Institute looking not at money in politics, but money in government. They argue that existing anti-corruption laws are barely being enforced, and are outdated anyway... They also say the revolving door and cultural capture (i.e. the tendency of government employees to sympathize with supposed private-sector adversaries) has made regulators identify with the industries they oversee. Former Attorney General Eric Holder, for instance, had an office reserved for him at the high-powered corporate law firm Covington & Burling for his whole tenure, to which he returned after repeatedly refusing to prosecute big banks or their employees for staggering crimes. (The really insidious thing is he would probably honestly deny his corporate ties influenced his decisions.) Finally, all this mess is largely out of public view and thus harder to understand or root out...It involves de facto bribery of government employees of both parties (in the form of future jobs, consulting gigs, speaking engagements, etc) by the wealthiest institutions in the land..."*

The key Witnesses/Victims/Plaintiff's have demanded: 1.) damages payments; 2.) back-fee monies that are owed; 3.) release of benefits payments blockaded in reprisal; 4.) witness fees that are owed; 5.) whistle-blower fees that are owed; 6.) informant fees and 7.) related payments owed from the U.S. and California government and the operative Defendants.

The victims still have not received a dime of compensation while they suffered billions of dollars in government-sponsored damages. At the same time, the Defendants in this case made billions of dollars of government-sponsored profits at the expense of the victims.

The victims alliance has spent over a decade exposing the evidence in this book to every single voter in the nation. They have used direct mail, advertising, social media, torrents and other novel methods and technologies. Their goal is to end corruption in America by destroying all of the methods of corruption and each of the crooked main players using those methods. This book is being emailed to every person in America.

Many of the Witnesses/Victims/Plaintiff's were "*Democrats*" until they witnessed the heads of their party engage in bribery, fraud, corruption and other forms of some the most severe violations of the law, and the public trust, that one might ever imagine. In this case victims were having their own Senator competing against them and cutting off their government funds to help the victims competitors. Citizens suffered state-sponsored harm because their Senator owns stock in their competitors, ie: Google or Tesla, etc.

This matter involves each and every regulatory agency, services agency and law enforcement agency of the United States Government. It also involves the State Of California and the interaction between each and every one of the Cartel members.

The founders of Google, Facebook, Netflix, Linkedin, Tesla, Sony Pictures, YouTube. Etc. (the so called: "Tech Cartel"); knew Plaintiff's and went to school with Plaintiff's. They, and their investors, asked to look at Plaintiff's patents, technologies and companies which Plaintiff's had engineered and launched as businesses many years prior to when the Cartel copied those efforts. Plaintiff's had patented and shown them to the Cartel bosses, under NDA, years before the "Tech Cartel" bosses copied them and started their own versions of them. The emails, NDA's, patent office files, court records, document leaks, Russian hacks and lawsuit records prove it. The Tech Cartel, and their crooked politicians "spied and lied".

The Cartel chose to "*cheat rather than compete*". They spent over 16 billion dollars on lobbyists at the United States Patent Office in order [to blockade the rights of small American inventors like Plaintiff's](#). They hired millions of dollars of Fusion GPS-type/Gawker/Gizmodo/Google/YouTube media attackers to run hit-jobs on Plaintiff's, and other entrepreneurs, because the Tech Cartel could not face the truth in a fair fight. Plaintiff's have reported that they were in meetings with Defendants in which the Cartel planned, colluded and designed efforts to manipulate the government, create monopolies and control and censor all media access.

This is not a matter of "*crying over spilled milk*" because Plaintiff's were cut-out of Defendants schemes. This is a matter of *Criminal Collusion!*

The Defendants companies and their founders ran ***an organized crime operation Cartel***. That assertion is backed up in the books: "***Brotopia***" by Emily Chang; "***Whistleblower***" by Susan Fowler, "***A Colossal Wreck***" By Alexander Cockburn, "***Emotional Intelligence***" By Daniel Goleman, "***Drain The Swamp***" By Ken Buck, "***How Political Corruption Actually Works***" A feature film by The Wiki Law-Pedia

Group, "**Deleted**" By Allum Bokhari, "**The Creepy Line**" By Matthew Taylor, "**Chaos Monkeys**" By Antonio Martinez, "**Disrupted**" By Dan Lyons, "**Catch and Kill**" By Ronan Farrow, "**Permanent Record**" By Edward Snowden, "**Throw Them All Out**" By Peter Schweizer, "**The Circle**" By David Eggers, "**World Without Mind**" By Franklin Foer, "**A Journey into the Savage Heart of Silicon Valley**" By Corey Pein, and in **hundreds** of other books and thousands of reports from the **FBI, DOJ, FEC, SEC, FTC, EU, Inspector General's** and other government organizations globally. It is proven in the CBS news 60 Minutes episodes: "**The Cleantech Crash**", "**Congress Trading On Insider Information**", "**The Lobbyists Playbook**" and other segments. It is proven in the feature documentary films including: "**Too Big To Fail**", "**Inside Job**", "**How Political Corruption Works**", and "**Omerta - A Corruption Story**". The Cartel is deeply connected to the likes of Harvey Weinstein, Jeffrey Epstein and other notorious folks. The Cartel buys elections with impunity.

It is proven in hundreds of lawsuits, including Silicon Valley divorce court filings for abuse, available at www.pacer.gov and it is now proven by the United States Government in the latest of a series of federal monopoly lawsuits. Per federal **Case # 1:20-cv-03010**:

"Today, millions of Americans rely on the Internet and online platforms for their daily lives. Competition in this industry is vitally important, which is why the challenge against Google — the gatekeeper of the Internet — for violating antitrust laws is a monumental case both for the Department of Justice and for the American people," said Attorney General William Barr. *"Since my confirmation, I have prioritized the Department's review of online market-leading platforms to ensure that our technology industries remain competitive. This lawsuit strikes at the heart of Google's grip over the internet for millions of American consumers, advertisers, small businesses and entrepreneurs beholden to an unlawful monopolist."*

"As with its historic antitrust actions against AT&T in 1974 and Microsoft in 1998, the Department is again enforcing the Sherman Act to restore the role of competition and open the door to the next wave of innovation—this time in vital digital markets," said Deputy Attorney General Jeffrey A. Rosen.

As an example: As one of the wealthiest companies on the planet with a market value of \$1 trillion, Google is the monopoly gatekeeper to the internet for billions of users and countless advertisers worldwide. For years, Google has accounted for almost 90 percent of all search queries in the United States and has used anti-competitive tactics to maintain and extend its monopolies in search and search advertising. Stanford University trained these people to be the worst version of criminal frat boys the world could have imagined. Now America must make things right again.

Hiring your own family in order to keep dark money secrets in Washington has gotten "nuts". Walt Shaub, the former director of the United States Office of Government Ethics, called the situation "positively ridiculous" in a statement to the News.

"Haven't we had enough of nepotists in presidential administrations?" Shaub said. "It may be legal if Ricchetti wasn't directly involved in the hiring, but this is no way to send a message that ethics matters to the administration. It feels like the taxpayers are funding a career-building charity for the Ricchetti family. Add to that the fact that you've got Ricchetti's brother lobbying the administration, and this feels

like a very special episode of the Partridge Family where they all pile in the van and go visit Washington — only they should call this show the Patronage Family."

As alleged in the Complaint, Google has entered into a series of exclusionary agreements that collectively lock up the primary avenues through which users access search engines, and thus the internet, by requiring that Google be set as the preset default general search engine on billions of mobile devices and computers worldwide and, in many cases, prohibiting preinstallation of a competitor.

Google owns, controls, pays and manipulates 70% of the members of Congress!

In particular, the Complaint alleges that Google has unlawfully maintained monopolies in search and search advertising by:

- Entering into exclusivity agreements that forbid preinstallation of any competing search service.
- Entering into tying and other arrangements that force preinstallation of its search applications in prime locations on mobile devices and make them undeletable, regardless of consumer preference.
- Entering into long-term agreements with Apple that require Google to be the default – and de facto exclusive – general search engine on Apple’s popular Safari browser and other Apple search tools.
- Generally using monopoly profits to buy preferential treatment for its search engine on devices, web browsers, and other search access points, creating a continuous and self-reinforcing cycle of monopolization.

These and other anticompetitive practices harm competition and consumers, reducing the ability of innovative new companies to develop, compete, and discipline Google’s behavior.

The antitrust laws protect our free market economy and forbid monopolists from engaging in anticompetitive practices. They also empower the Department of Justice to bring cases like this one to remedy violations and restore competition, as it has done for over a century in notable cases involving monopolists over other critical industries undergirding the American economy like Standard Oil and the AT&T telephone monopoly. Decades ago the Department’s case against Microsoft recognized that the antitrust laws forbid anticompetitive agreements by high-technology monopolists to require preinstalled default status, to shut off distribution channels to rivals, and to make software undeletable. The Complaints allege that Google is using similar agreements itself to maintain and extend its own dominance and to attack and destroy smaller companies and inventors.

The Complaints allege that Google’s anticompetitive practices have had harmful effects on competition and consumers. Google has foreclosed any meaningful search competitor from gaining vital distribution and scale, eliminating competition for a majority of search queries in the United States. By restricting competition in search, Google’s conduct has harmed consumers by reducing the quality of search (including on dimensions such as privacy, data protection, and use of consumer data), lessening choice in search, and impeding innovation. By suppressing competition in advertising, Google has the power to charge advertisers more than it could in a competitive market and to reduce the quality of the

services it provides them. Through filing the lawsuit, the Department seeks to stop Google's anticompetitive conduct and restore competition for American consumers, advertisers, and all companies now reliant on the internet economy.

Those who cover-up for these crooks will experience a wrath like nothing they can imagine! The payback will come from the courts, the public, the news media, the history books and a new kind of automated AI software forensics that hunts and destroys the corrupt!

The witnesses and victims saw these crimes happen. FBI, GAO, SEC, FTC, DOJ, FCC, FEC and IG investigators have confirmed these assertions.

White House insiders have ordered this case covered-up and justice delayed.

The fact remains: ***The Silicon Valley Oligarchs and their hired Senators are MOBSTERS!***

This document is being provided in both hard-copy and digital PDF versions, concurrently, because the URL links in blue text, herein, provide crucial confirming evidence regarding our assertions. The online version of this document is updated weekly.

Additionally, the CBS News 60 Minutes broadcast news journal broadcasts titled: “**The Cleantech Crash**” and “**Congress Trading On Insider Information**” provide confirmations of the assertions. Additionally, the film: “**How Political Corruption Works**” at <http://www.majestic111.com> further details these assertions. Additionally the June 10, 2021 White House report on the urgent gaps in domestic electric car energy storage minerals further confirms our stated assertions of the dollar amounts being manipulated by corrupt politicians and oligarchs. Additionally, FBI, DOJ, FEC, EC, OSC, SEC and Congressional committee case files on this matter further confirm our assertions.

All evidence, below, has previously been supplied to SSA, FBI, OSC, OGIS, OGE and other jurisdictional agencies. Copies of the evidence are available, to view, *again*, online and in Victim/Witnesses’s evidence binders, upon request.

One victim was an employee/contractor for the United States Government. Under California , [Assembly Bill 5](#) (AB-5), which is California Labor Code Section 2750.3 , Victim/Witnesses has all of the rights of a government employee, irrespective of contractor status. Victim/Witnesses’s work as a U.S. Forest Service fire mitigation specialist; their rights as an heir under their father, a U.S. military and U.S. Forest Service long-term employee; Victim/Witnesses’s work for The National Park Service on public use programs; Victim/Witnesses’s credentialed under-cover work for various law enforcement and IC programs; Victim/Witnesses’s work for the United States Department Of Energy under contract; Victim/Witnesses’s work with Argonne, Sandia, Lawrence Livermore national laboratories per the executed non-disclosure and secrecy documents signed with each; Employment law firms have confirmed that, under current laws and legal precedents, Victim/Witnesses would be considered BOTH a previous government employee and government contractor; Millions of pages of supporting evidence relative to these assertions have provided to the government on hard drives, in on-line digital evidence repositories, Congressional Committee reports and in hundreds of published and broadcast news stories. This, and additional evidence, proves that Victim/Witnesses deserves all of the rights, benefits, whistle-blower protections and earnings of a both a government employee and contractor but the government is blocking those rights in reprisal for reporting this corruption crime.

One Victim/Witnesses was exposed to toxic poisoning in their work in the national program laboratories per: Victim/Witnesses’s work for the United States Department Of Energy under federal contract awarded in the Congressional Iraq War Bill; Victim/Witnesses’s contract with Sandia National Laboratories under federal contract and NDA; Victim/Witnesses’s U.S. Government certified issued and federally verified U.S. patent’and other IP per their work with the U.S. Department of Energy, The White House and The Environmental Protection Agency and related agencies document that Victim/Witnesses was exposed to deadly and dangerous chemicals and energy projectors alongside radioactive weapons-grade materials and that an adversary could have forced high dose exposure in a situation like the notorious Salisbury or Litvenenko reprisal poisonings; Victim/Witnesses’s patents

were drafted, researched and verified by major law firms who had engineers on staff that were able to later research and verify the chemical MSDS dangers (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Safety_data_sheet) of the chemicals listed in those patents, which only The U.S. Government had full knowledge of the dangers of and which Victim/Witnesses was not properly advised of or HazMat protected by during their work; Victim/Witnesses has been admitted to the emergency room on multiple occasions for toxic exposure to strange materials; Millions of pages of supporting evidence relative to these assertions have provided to the government on hard drives, in on-line digital evidence repositories, Congressional Committee reports and in hundreds of published and broadcast news stories; <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Poisoning>;
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Metal_poisoning; <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Toxicity>;
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electromagnetic_radiation_and_health;
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Biological_effects_of_high-energy_visible_light;
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Karen_Silkwood;
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nuclear_and_radiation_accidents_and_incidents;
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lithium_Toxicity; https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thallium_poisoning;
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nuclear_labor_issues;
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Energy_Employees_Occupational_Illness_Compensation_Program;
<https://www.cancerbenefits.com/cancer-benefit-programs/dept-of-energy-workers/>

This, and additional evidence, proves that Victim/Witnesses suffered toxic exposure through their work, or that victim's were reprisal-targeted for "[political poisoning](#)" which qualifies them for full SSDI benefits and that those SSDI benefits should be backdated to 2008. Victim's SSA benefits were ordered blocked in 2008, as reprisal for helping expose corruption and safety issues at the U.S. Department of Energy.

As part of this matter, one victim was employed for an average 60 hours per week, mostly in government and community agency work, from 1970 to 2008. The victim has provided over 1000 pages of documents, verification letters, videos and other material on a website created for SSA to prove these assertions. Convicted Congressman Jesse Jackson Jr. (D-IL) is scheduled to receive \$8,700 per month in government disability pay, as well as a partial federal pension of \$45,000. That generous \$8,700 in disability comes thanks to Jackson's sudden development of a "mood disorder" as the federal government began looking to indict him. Jackson, who was sentenced to 2.5 years in prison, had no history of mental illness during his prior 17 years in Congress. So a criminal gets \$150K per year in the USA for disability and the victim only gets \$10K per year because the victim helped expose criminals like that one. SSA consultants have stated that they never heard of an Victim/Witnesses who had such a vast proven work record that then received such low monthly checks from SSA unless Victim/Witnesses's files and decisions had been manipulated by government officials operating a reprisal. Millions of pages of supporting evidence relative to these assertions have provided to the government on hard drives, in on-line digital evidence repositories, Congressional Committee reports and in hundreds of published and broadcast news stories. Victim/Witnesses wrote SSA and IRS together to ask them to research why there were blank years in SSA earnings records. Little or no help has been provided by SSA on this research. This, and additional evidence, proves that

Victim/Witnesses/Victim is receiving an intentionally lower monthly benefit payment, than he earned, compared to the mean average of all other U.S. natural born citizens. Victim/Witnesses charges that benefits have been down-reduced in reprisal for whistle-blowing and law enforcement assistance in a federal corruption investigation.

Over 80 individuals, who victims worked with, or knew, or who reported about the same crime that the victims reported, then died under suspicious circumstances. This validates the previous warnings of threatened reprisal harms against the victims. See Evidence Death List Document # 2021-DL3. Testifying, and/or reporting about this crime has resulted in the death, potentially by murder, of the following individuals who reported to the authorities about this crime matter: *Rajeev Motwani; Gary D. Conley; Seth Rich; Philip Haney; David Bird; Doug Bourn; Misti Epstein; Joshua Brown; Kenneth Bellando; Moritz Erhardt; Imran Aliev; Kate Matrosova; David Drye; Vincent Foster; Kathy Ferguson; Duane Garrett; Eric S. Fox; Judi Gibbs; Berta Caceres; Suzanne Coleman; L.J. Davis; John Hillyer; Stanley Huggins; Sandy Hume; Shawn Lucas; Gary Johnson; John Jones; John F. Kennedy, Jr.; Stephen Ivens; Mary 'Caity' Mahoney; Eric Butera; Danny Casolaro; John Ashe; Tony Moser; Larry Nichols; Joseph Rago; Ron Brown; Bob Simon; Don Adams; Peter Smith; Victor Thorn; Lori Klausutis; Gareth Williams; Daphne Caruana Galizia; James D Johnston; Dave Goldberg; Loretta Fuddy; Paul Wilcher; Gary Webb; Beranton J. Whisenant Jr; Stanley Meyer; Jon Parnell Walker; Tyler Drumheller; Barnaby Jack; Dominic Di-Natale; Barbara Wise; Ilya Zhitomirskiy; Jeff Joe Black; Robin Copeland; John Wheeler; Ashley Turton; Michael Hastings; Antonin Scalia; David Koschman; David Werner; Alex Okrent; Kam Kuwata; Larry Frankel; And hundreds more connected to this case who suddenly, and strangely, turned up dead in this case and, ironically, their deaths all benefit the suspects in this case. Victim/Witnesses are also whistle-blowers who have been previously attacked in reprisal and who have been threatened with continued harm and death. Millions of pages of supporting evidence relative to these assertions have provided to government offices on hard drives, in on-line digital evidence repositories, Congressional Committee reports and in hundreds of published and broadcast news stories. This, and additional evidence, proves the damages caused to victims by receiving ongoing death threats which are disabling and nerve-wracking.*

Numerous federal investigators, Bob Simon of CBS News 60 Minutes, Sharyl Attkisson of CBS News, White House Chief of Staff Rahm Emmanuls staffer, Jofi Joseph and other insiders have confirmed that senior political officials ordered a “hit-job” on the victims in reprisal for reporting financial crimes involving The U.S. Department of Energy and The White House. The attackers ordered victims “...cut off from every source of income...” as payback reprisal for their effective help in a massive anti-corruption effort by Congress and law enforcement concerns. The blockading of victims income and benefits was a key part of this vendetta effort., per: Agency Abuse and IRS Lois Lerner Lawsuit report # 2014-hg53; See Sharyl Attkisson’s books including “**Stonewalled**”; See Federal anti-corruption lawsuit which Victim/Witnesses and their peers won, proving crony reprisal manipulation of Victim/Witnesses government funds; The family of a U.S. Senator has stayed at the Victim/Witnesses’s home and Victim/Witnesses has had a long personal history with that family. Members of that family disclosed some of this information; Victim/Witnesses had a personal relationship with two of the best friends of the Secretary of State. One of those parties disclosed some

of this information; Millions of pages of supporting evidence relative to these assertions have provided to the government on hard drives, in on-line digital evidence repositories, Congressional Committee reports and in hundreds of published and broadcast news stories.

This case involves “**trillions of dollars of stock market, financial and monopoly crimes**” by others. It is common knowledge that adversaries kill others in order to control trillions of dollars of anything. The USGS says the rare earth and energy mineral market is worth “trillions of dollars” which is also verified by hundreds of federal reports, CIA reports and news articles such as:

- **Trillion Motherlode of Lithium and Gold Discovered in ...**

 <https://www.mining.com/1-trillion-motherlode-of-lithium-and-gold-discovered-in-afghanistan/>

A recently unearthed 2007 United States Geological Service survey appears to have discovered nearly \$1 **trillion in** mineral deposits in **Afghanistan**, far [...] \$1 **Trillion** Motherlode of **Lithium** and ...

B. Rare Earth: Afghanistan Sits on \$1 Trillion in Minerals

<https://www.nbcnews.com/science/science-news/rare-earth-afghanistan-sits-1-trillion-minerals-n196861>

Afghanistan may be sitting on one of the richest troves of minerals in the world, valued at nearly \$1 **trillion**, scientists say. ... zinc, mercury and **lithium**. ... while the Afghan government's ...

C. Vast \$Trillion Mineral Deposits Discovered in Afghanistan ...

thenewslink.com/afghanistan-lithium-trillion-dollar-mineral-deposits

'**Trillion dollar**' mineral deposits have been discovered in **Afghanistan** according to US officials. These deposits include vast quantities of iron, copper, and **lithium** (important to battery technologies).

D. 1 trillion dollars of lithium in afghanistan

<https://www.slideshare.net/hxmhxm/1-trillion-dollars-of-lithium-in-afghanistan>

1 trillion dollars of lithium in afghanistan 1. U.S. Identifies Vast Mineral Riches in **Afghanistan** Tyler Hicks/The New York Times A bleak Ghazni Province seems to offer little, but a Pentagon study says it may have among the world's largest deposits **of lithium**. By JAMES RISEN Published: June 13, 201 WASHINGTON — The United States has discovered nearly \$1 **trillion in** untapped mineral deposits in ...

It is easy to understand that a business that generates trillions of dollars of profits would have a large organized crime operation involved in it that had members who were Senators and public agency officials who would go to great lengths, including felony crimes, to protect their endless supply of private jets, private islands and sex workers from these profits.

The U.S. Department Of Defense states that over 2300 United States citizens were killed in the fight for control of mineral and energy rights in Afghanistan. A large number of the deaths on Evidence

Death List Document # 2021-DL3 were whistle-blowers in energy industry corruption relating to the “rare earth and energy mineral market”. The related facts prove that some people regularly kill other people, or harm them, in order to control this multi-trillion dollar energy market. Victim/Witnesses’s patents, technologies and work with The U.S. Government had a direct and overt ability to effect this market. Victim/Witnesses has proven there was 1.) the will, 2.) the motivation, 3.) the exclusive state-sponsored means, and 4.) a vast record of the suspects conducting the same exact attacks on Victim/Witnesses’s peers.

Over 20 Congressional hearings verify the evidence. 5 different federal lawsuits and their court records verify the assertions. The FBI, Police offices and security experts affirm that the evidence provided. On two different occasions, Victim/Witnesses’s car was rammed by a third party who then took off. Victim/Witnesses has received multiple phone, email and drive by death threats. Over 20 different attacks have been undertaken against Victim/Witnesses as documented in Demand Document # 2021-DMDn45

In this SSA case, Victim/Witnesses’s civil rights, human rights, legal rights, U.S. Constitutional rights and California Constitutional rights have been blockaded and violated. Additionally, SSA has prevented Victim/Witnesses from hiring a lawyer by minimizing their payments to such a barely survivable level that they can’t afford proper legal or CPA support.

This, and additional evidence, further proves that major public figures had the means and intent to manipulate, and DID manipulate Victim/Witnesses’s government money and benefits. The massive scope and dollar amount of the organized crime validates the scale or illegality and the depth of tactics which the suspects are known to stoop to in order to harm those in their way. The massive scope and dollar amount of the organized crime validates the scale or illegality and the depth of tactics which the suspects are known to stoop to in order to harm those in their way.

According to Victim/Witnesses’s DOJ, FBI and CIA contacts: The U.S. Government , in particular the DOJ, have filed charges against over 100 individuals who hacked into The U.S. Government ’s highest level servers, including the GOVT, DOJ and SSA servers on which Victim/Witnesses’s records are kept. Individuals including: Wang Dong, Sun Kailiang, Wen Xinyu, Huang Zhenyu, and Gu Chunhui, who were officers in Unit 61398 of the Third Department of the Chinese People’s Liberation Army (PLA) and Anatoliy Sergeyevich Kovalev, Aleksei Sergeyevich Morenets, 41, Evgenii Mikhaylovich, Serebriakov, 37, Ivan Sergeyevich Yermakov, 32, Artem Andreyevich Malyshev, 30, and Dmitriy Sergeyevich Badin, 27, who were each assigned to Military Unit 26165, and Oleg Mikhaylovich Sotnikov, 46, and Alexey Valerevich Minin, 46, who were also GRU officers, and hackers-for-hire including Kevin David Mitnick, Adrian Lamo, Albert Gonzalez, Matthew Bevan, Richard Pryce, Jeanson James Ancheta, Michael Calce, Kevin Poulsen, Jonathan James, The hacker known as ASTRA, The hacker known as GUCIFER, The hacker known as ANON 4CHAN, The for-hire hacking service known as “Hafnium” and THOUSANDS of other individuals had free access and free reign throughout NSA, FBI, SSA, DOJ, OPM, CIA and other government servers via the SPECTRE, EMOTET, PRIME ROOTKIT, SERCOMM BACKDOOR, NOTPETYA, MELTDOWN, MASTERKEY, RYZENFALL,

FALLOUT, CHIMERA, and hundreds of other back doors and penetration vulnerabilities in Cisco, Intel, Juniper Networks, AMD, and other equipment.

Additionally, all of the core server penetration tools used by the CIA and the NSA were hacked by foreign nations and their core source code posted on the internet for all to use. It is well documented that most agency government servers, prior to 2020, were widely penetrated and manipulated. The hackers are all known to have sold, or provided the results of their work to famous politicians for use against their competitors. Nancy Pelosi is an owner of the hacking manipulation firm: CROWDSTRIKE. Crowdstrike and famous California Senators had the easy means, the motivations, the staffing, the resources and the known engagement of services to manipulate SSA, DOJ, SEC, FTC and other agency decisions and filing records in order to harm Victim/Witnesses, reporters and whistleblowers who reported their crimes and corruptions.

Each and every law firm capable of handling Victim/Witnesses case has been contracted, paid and/or influenced so that they are “conflicted out” from representing Victim/Witnesses. Law firm Mofo was threatened if they helped Victim/Witnesses. Lawyer Amy Anderson was threatened and lost her license for attempting to help Victim/Witnesses. Every lawyer or law firm who attempts to help Victim/Witnesses is hired by Defendants, or their agents and threatened or compromised in order to prevent them from helping Victim/Witnesses because this case affects trillions of dollars of energy industry profits, the White House, billionaire oligarchs and multi millionaire corrupt Senators. In fact, this issue lies at the root of this entire corruption case. Sociopath over-moneyed Silicon Valley oligarchs have hire Morrison Foerster, Wilson Sonsini, Perkins Coie, Covington Burling and every other major law firm and lobbyist and told them to “kill everyone and destroy everything that I don’t like...”. These law firms (controlled by Mark Zuckerberg, Elon Musk, Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, Steve Westly, Vinod Khosla, Laurene Powell Jobs, Nancy Pelosi, etc. All of whom have nearly a trillion dollars of funds at their disposal) have carte blanche and unlimited payments to run coups, character assassination campaigns, money laundering and other crimes for the oligarchs. They have the staffing to do these things and zero incentive to not do crimes. These law firms are the dirty deeds teams for the mobster-like suspects and there is no law enforcement body with the will nor resources to stop them. It is a violation of the U.S. Constitution to tell Victim/Witnesses to “go get a law firm” when EVERY possible, equitable, law firm works for, and is massively compensated by, the criminals that need to be sued. Both the politicians AND the tech oligarchs charged with these crimes have hired the very law firms that government agencies have told Victim/Witnesses to go out and hire.

There is a precedent that was set in the US Supreme Court case: Gideon v. Wainwright, (1963) that clarifies that you have a right to a lawyer even if you don't have money for one. The Sixth Amendment, as applied to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause gives one their Due Process rights. One needs to kill someone, though, to most easily get your free lawyer, since the court-appointed lawyer is rarely ever appointed, on citizens behalf, in a case like this. Even if a defendant is represented by an attorney of his or her choosing, he or she may be entitled to relief on appeal if the attorney did not provide adequate representation. A defendant must demonstrate that the attorney’s performance “fell below an objective standard of reasonableness” and that this was

prejudicial to the case. See:

[Strickland v. Washington](#)

(<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/466/668/case.html>)

, 466 U.S. 668, 688-92 (1984). A few “free lawyers”, that victims had tried, turned out to be working for the opposition side.

The federal organization: <https://www.lsc.gov> is required to help but has refused because it’s administrators were friends with, and appointed by, the public officials charged with corruption in this case. Victim/Witnesses have personally asked the Attorney General, The Director of the FBI and the U.S. Attorney’s office for representation but they have been told not to respond because the case is politically embarrassing to major public officials and their corrupt Silicon Valley financiers. Victim/Witnesses contacted David L. Anderson, U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of California, and his senior staff, via certified letter and requested that they assist with the case but senior DOJ officials in Washington, DC refused to respond to the Northern District.

The government agencies who have told Victim/Witnesses that Victim/Witnesses should “hire a law firm and sue them”, in order to resolve this matter, are the same government agencies that have cut-off, or blockaded Victim/Witnesses income sources in order to prevent Victim/Witnesses from being able to afford to hire a law firm to sue them.

SSA provided Victim/Witnesses with a list of “free lawyers”. Upon FBI-level investigation of every “free lawyer” on the list, (via their financial contributions, voting records, leaked emails, social media postings, event attendance, public records, social connections lists online and other surveillance data) they all worked for, or with the opposition interests and could not have possibly provided unbiased services. In fact, multiple lawyers have contacted Victim/Witnesses who turned out to be working for the opposition. They were sent in to delay, or redirect, Victim/Witnesses in order to keep the cases from being filed or properly prosecuted in order to protect the suspects. This is a common infiltration procedure widely documented in CIA, British secret service, Russian FSB and Snowden leaks documents on IC dirty tricks tactics.

Agency staff were ordered to harm Victim/Witnessess by manipulating their benefits in order to deny, delay, obfuscate and reduce their income as reprisal for their assistance to law enforcement in a political corruption and money laundering matter. Agency staff, ranging from the lowest level staff and up to the director headquarters offices, participated in this reprisal-vendetta-revenge action to harm Victim/Witnessess.

Victim/Witnesses’s peers have filed DOJ and FBI criminal referrals, launched federal investigations and the assertions have been proven in numerous IG, FBI, Congressional and major news media investigations. Agency offices have failed to provide responsive FOIA requested data, hearing investigation data and fair responses because some of their staff are STILL operating a criminal cover-up which has now been update-reported to the FBI, Congress, the IG, the AG and investigative reporters.

Other federal agencies have complied, verified and provided the requested deliverables. SSA and GOVT have pointed the searchlight of suspicion on themselves, laser-like, by their overt failure to comply, unlike every other agency. Ironically, the political financing of their executives and their personal relationships “happens” to be with the exact same Silicon Valley oligarchs under felony criminal investigations. The stock market brokerage records, family trust accounts, PAC trace-routing, Interpol records and SEC investigation records proves it!

Precedents, and the law, provide that, under the circumstances, the government must provide a law firm and CPA service to Victim/Witnesses, at no charge to Victim/Witnesses, to mediate a resolution to these issues. SSA must take an effective hand in coordinating these services to be provided to Victim/Witnesses.

This, and additional evidence, proves that Victim/Witnesses’s rights to equitable legal representation, as required by law, have been denied and blockaded

The RICO Racketeering Complaint And Reprisal Complaints

Everything in this book has also been filed as an inspector general complaint, an FBI criminal referral, an FTC demand for anti-trust charges against the defendants and a general law enforcement advisory on behalf of the public

The key witness, known as “WITNESS-2021B, Plaintiff”

The UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT has been asked to join this case as Co-Plaintiff

The DEFENDANTS are named and you can web search each of their names and find some pretty shocking news articles about their notorious manipulations of public policy and their nefarious actions in the corruption of the government.

FBI-grade investigation files have been created on each of the perpetrators. Those files are updated, for the rest of their lives, as new evidence is accrued.

ERIC SCHMIDT

539 S. Mapleton Dr
Holmby Hills, California 90024

STEVEN CHU

-

NATIONAL VENTURE CAPITAL ASSOCIATION

-

JOSEPH BIDEN in his official capacity as

President of the United States,
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500,

THE WHITE HOUSE OFFICE

1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500

OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT

1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500

JEN PSAKI

in her official capacity as Press Secretary
to the President of the United States,
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, D.C. 20500;

THE CLINTON FOUNDATION a/k/a Bill, Hillary & Chelsea Clinton Foundation 1200 President Clinton Avenue
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201

Via: Corporation Service Company
1090 Vermont Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

THE CLINTON GLOBAL INITIATIVE
1200 President Clinton Avenue
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201

Via: John Tisdale (Registered Agent)
200 West Capitol, Suite 2300
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201

&

1271 6th Avenue
New York, NY 10020

THE CLINTON GUISTRA PARTNERSHIP
c/o Frank Giustra
1502 San Ysidro Drive
Beverly Hills, California 90210

MEDIA MATTERS FOR AMERICA
455 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20001

Via: DAVID BROCK
455 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20001

CORRECT THE RECORD
455 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Suite 660
Washington, D.C. 20001

THE NICK DENTON TABLOID EMPIRE

-

AMERICAN BRIDGE 21st CENTURY
455 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20001

-

CITIZENS FOR RESPONSIBILITY AND ETHICS IN WASHINGTON (CREW)
455 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
6th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001

-

SHAREBLUE

c/o David Brock
2130 Cathedral Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20008

&

Corporation Trust Company
Registered Agent for True Blue Media, LLC
Corporation Trust Center
1209 Orange Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

DAVID BROCK

2130 Cathedral Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20008

WILLIAM JEFFERSON CLINTON

15 Old House Lane
Chappaqua, New York 10514

HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON

15 Old House Lane
Chappaqua, New York 10514

&

3067 Whitehaven Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20008

GEORGE SOROS

178 Cantitoe Street
Katonah, NY 10536

JOHN PODESTA

3743 Brandywine Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20016

JONATHAN WACKROW

44 Stavola Road
Middletown, New Jersey 07748

CARDINAL & PINE

-

PACRONYM

-

ACRONYM

-

THE AMERICANO

-

INVESTING IN US

-

SHADOW INC

-

COURIER NEWSROOM

-

IN-Q-TEL

-

GAWKER MEDIA

-

JALOPNIK

-

GIZMODO MEDIA

-

K2 INTELLIGENCE

-

WIKISTRAT

-

PODESTA GROUP

-

FUSION GPS

-

GOOGLE

-

YOUTUBE

-

ALPHABET

-

FACEBOOK

-

TWITTER

-

THINK PROGRESS CONSULTING

-

MEDIA MATTERS

-

BLACK CUBE

-

ORBIS BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE

-

UNDERCOVER GLOBAL LTD

-

JIGSAW

-

SHAREBLUE/ACRONYM OFFICES

-

VERSA LLC

-

AMERICAN LEDGER

-

SUPERMAJORITY NEWS

-

NEW VENTURE FUND

-

SIXTEEN THIRTY FUND

-

SID BLUMENTHAL

-

STATES NEWSROOM

-

HOPEWELL FUND

-

AMPLIFIRE NEWS

-

AMERICAN BRIDGE GROUP

-

PLOUFFE CONSULTING

-

PANTSUIT NATION

-

MOTIVEAI

-

AMERICAN BRIDGE 21ST CENTURY FOUNDATION FUND

-

PRIORITIES USA

-

SUNSHINE SACHS

-

THE AMERICAN INDEPENDENT FOUNDATION

-

COVINGTON AND BURLING

-

BUZZFEED

-

THE AMERICAN INDEPENDENT

-

PERKINS COIE

-

SECONDARY INFEKTION

-

WILSON SONSINI

-

GOOGLE/ALPHABET/YOUTUBE FUND

-

THE NATIONAL VENTURE CAPITAL ASSOCIATION LOBBY GROUP

-

SPACE X

-

LACHLAN SEWARD

-

RAHM EMANUAL

-

ROBERT GIBBS

-

DAVID AXELROD

-

BARACK OBAMA

-

LARRY SUMMERS

-

BILL DALEY

-

STEVE RATTNER

-

ERIC HOLDER

-

LARRY PAGE

-

JOHN Doerr

-

STEVE WESTLY

-

RICHARD BLUM

-

RAY LANE

-

ELON MUSK

-

ANDY BECHTOLSHEIM

-

VINOD KHOSLA

-

MARTIN LAGOD

-

LATHAM AND WATKINS

-

IRA EHRENPREIS

-

ERIC STRICKLAND

-

IN-Q-TEL, INC.

-

TIM DRAPER

-

LLOYD CRAIG BLANKFEIN

-

RAJ GUPTA

-

BRIAN GONCHER

-

JOHN MOLINARI

-

GOLDMAN SACHS

-

KLEINER PERKINS

-

DRAPER FISHER

-

MCKINSEY CONSULTING

-

JOHN DENNISTON

-

DIANNE FEINSTEIN

-

HARRY REID

-

LELAND YEE

-

LOIS LERNER

-

JAMES BROWN JR

-

DANIEL COHEN

-

JONATHAN SILVER

-

BILL LOCKYER

-

STEVE JURVETSON

-

KATHY ZOI

-

CAROL BATTERSHAL

-

MATT ROGERS

-

STEVE SPINNER

-

ALISON SPINNER

-

GAWKER MEDIA

-

JEZEBEL MEDIA

-

GIZMODO MEDIA

-

JALOPNIK

-

JAY CARNEY

-

DAVID PLOUFFE

-

JOHN COOK

-

NICHOLAS GUIDO DENTON

-

JOHN HERRMAN

-

ADRIAN COVERT

-

PATRICK GEORGE

-

GABRIELLE DARBYSHIRE

-

A.J. DELAURIO, AS WELL AS THROUGH ITS PSEUDONYMOUS AUTHORS, INCLUDING: ADAM DACHIS, ADAM WEINSTEIN, ADRIEN CHEN, ALAN HENRY, ALBERT BURNEKO, ALEX BALK, ALEXANDER PAREENE, ALEXANDRA PHILIPPIDES, ALLISON WENTZ, ANDREW COLLINS, ANDREW MAGARY, ANDREW ORIN, ANGELICA ALZONA, ANNA MERLAN, ARIANA COHEN, ASHLEY FEINBERG, AVA GYURINA, BARRY PETCHESKY, BRENDAN I. KOERNER, BRENDAN O'CONNOR, BRENT ROSE, BRIAN HICKEY, CAMILA CABRER, CHOIRE SICHA, CHRIS MOHNEY, CLOVER HOPE, DANIEL MORGAN, DAVID MATTHEWS, DIANA MOSKOVITZ, ELEANOR SHECHET, ELIZABETH SPIERS, ELIZABETH STARKEY, EMILY GOULD, EMILY HERZIG, EMMA CARMICHAEL, ERIN RYAN, ETHAN SOMMER, EYAL EBEL, GABRIELLE, BLUESTONE, GABRIELLE DARBYSHIRE, GEORGINA K. FAIRCLOTH, GREGORY HOWARD, HAMILTON, NOLAN, HANNAH KEYSER, HUDSON HONGO. HEATHER DEITRICH, HUGO SCHWYZER, HUNTER SLATON, IAN FETTE, IRIN CARMON, JAMES J. COOKE, JAMES KING, JENNIFER OUELLETTE, JESSE OXFELD, JESSICA COHEN, JESUS DIAZ, JILLIAN SCHULZ, JOANNA ROTHKOPE, JORDAN SARGENT, JOSEPH KEENAN TROTTER, JOSH STEIN, JULIA ALLISON, JULIANNE E.

SHEPHERD, JUSTIN HYDE, KATE DRIES, KATHARINE TRENDACOSTA, KATHERINE DRUMMOND, KELLY STOUT, KERRIE UTHOFF, KEVIN DRAPER, LACEY DONOHUE, LUCY HALLER, LUKE MALONE, MADELEINE DAVIES, MADELINE DAVIS, MARIO AGUILAR, MATT HARDIGREE, MATT NOVAK, MICHAEL BALLABAN, MICHAEL DOBBS, MICHAEL SPINELLI, NEAL UNGERLEIDER, NICHOLAS ASTER, OMAR KARDOUDI, PIERRE OMIKYAR, OWEN THOMAS, PATRICK GEORGE, PATRICK LAFFOON, PATRICK REDFORD, RICH JUZWIAK, RICHARD BLAKELY, RICHARD RUSHFIELD, ROBERT FINGER, ROBERT SOROKANICH, RORY WALTZER, ROSA GOLIJAN, RYAN BROWN, RYAN GOLDBERG, SAM FAULKNER BIDLE, SAM WOOLLEY, SAMAR KALAF, SARAH RAMEY, SHANNON MARIE DONNELLY, SHEP MCALLISTER, SOPHIE KLEEMAN, STEPHEN TOTILO, TAMAR WINBERG, TARYN SCHWEITZER, TAYLORMCKNIGHT, THORIN KLOSOWSKI, TIM MARCHMAN, TIMOTHY BURKE, TOBEY GRUMET SEGAL, TOM LEY, TOM SCOCCA, VERONICA DE SOUZA, WES SILER, WILLIAM HAISLEY, WILLIAM TURTON AND OTHERS WRITING UNDER PSEUDONYMS

AND NUMEROUS UNKNOWN GOVT'S 1-100 INCLUDING UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND CALIFORNIA STATE GOVERNMENT ELECTED, APPOINTED AND HIRED PUBLIC OFFICIALS

Those are some of the key “bad guys” in the corruption matter. Jury trials have been demanded but the Defendants have spent millions of dollars to blockade any jury trial from every happening because they know that their Cartel will be exposed in any such trial.

We're Not Saying It's Mobsters But...It's Mobsters

Per the Office of the Clerk of this honorable Court, in order to assist the Court after being assured that a RICO Case Statement is never *prohibited*, this Complaint (and analogous follow-on Complaints) will be proceeded by such a Case Statement.

As will be referenced below, allegations contained within this RICO Case Statement, RICO Complaint and Anti-Trust filing are based upon eye-witness information, FBI reports, FTC reports, GAO reports, Congressional Ethics Reports, SEC reports, FEC reports, good faith information and belief.

Social Security Administration records and servers were hacked and manipulated. Data and decision materials harmful to lead Plaintiff were manipulated and political reprisal actions were undertaken by Social Security officials in order to punish lead Plaintiff for his whistle-blower and law enforcement actions in a substantial organized crime case.

Victim/Witnesses' were induced to invest millions of dollars, and all of their life savings, in a government run project. It was later discovered that ***every government operator of that project was either financed by, friends, with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; the Victim/Witnesses' business adversaries, or the politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political digital search manipulation services to. They all used the same couple of law-firms, investment bankers, CPA's, lobbyists and policy manipulation operatives. There can be no question about the fact that the government officials involved "colluded", "conspired" and "coordinated" for their own, personal, unjust gain and quid pro quo. Crooked government employees and contractors made billions of dollars in unjust gains while Victim/Witnesses' lost billions of dollars BECAUSE crooked government employees and contractors engaged in these crimes, defrauded Victim/Witnesses' and used Victim/Witnesses' as a smoke-screen to cover-up their crimes. That "project" turned out to be an operation of the Tech Cartel described in this document as an organized crime endeavor.***

To be clear: EACH CITY, STATE, COUNTY AND FEDERAL OFFICIAL CHARGED IN THIS MATTER WAS RECEIVING STOCK MARKET ASSETS, DIRECT CASH PAYMENTS, POLITICAL CAREER EXCLUSIVES, SEX WORKER EXCHANGES, REAL ESTATE PERKS, PROMOTIONS AND OTHER BRIBES; AND THOSE OFFICIALS HAD INVESTMENT, PERSONAL AND POLITICAL AFFILIATIONS WITH THE ADVERSARIES CHARGED HEREIN; AND THOSE OFFICIALS HAVE BEEN FOUND, BY FEDERAL INVESTIGATORS, TO HAVE USED SPY AGENCY RESOURCES TO ATTACK PLAINTIFF IN REPRISAL AND IN ANTI-COMPETITIVE BUSINESS INTERFERENCE.

Victim/Witnesses/Plaintiff AKA "WITNESS-2021B" had a personal, professional, social, co-habitation and other relationships with White House, Congressional, City Hall and government agency staff from

1970 to this date. Alas, many of those figures have been found to have been owned and control by Victim/Witnesses/Plaintiff's arch competitors who also happen to be in violation of numerous felony laws. For example: The Silicon Valley Big Tech Cartel, which these RICO and anti-trust charges are focused on, are seeking to pull the plug on the effort to recall California Gov. [Gavin Newsom](#) because [Newsom ges most of his money and marketing from them](#).

Laurene Powell Jobs, the widow of Apple CEO Steve Jobs, donated \$200,000 to his political action committee, followed by a \$100,000 donation from former Google CEO Eric Schmidt on June 4, according to a [filing](#) with California's secretary of state.

Reed Hastings, the founder and co-CEO of Netflix, [gave](#) \$3 million to save Newsom, making him the largest donor to any candidate in the likely gubernatorial recall.

Paramount Pictures [donated](#) \$40,000, but the contribution was actually made by ViacomCBS, the studio's parent company, despite the record from the California secretary of state's office listing Paramount as the contributor, a Paramount spokesperson [said](#).

Donations to a candidate for office would normally be subject to campaign finance laws, but Newsom's effort to prevail in a recall is considered a ballot initiative rather than a candidacy, lifting the cap.

Almost every party on the attached Defendants list has ownership in companies that compete with Victim/Witnesses/Plaintiff's forced out of business companies and federal patents, which Victim/Witnesses/Plaintiff created years before any of the Defendants did.

The facts are that almost every official in HUD, SSA, GOVT and related agencies have been exposed in their emails, financials, stock records and revolving door jobs to be competitors to Victim/Witnesses/Plaintiff by providing funds to, or receiving assets from his competitors and by their foolish belief that one technology, or another, has a political party designation associated with it and a "good ole boys club" protecting it. Victim/Witnesses/Plaintiff ran for the office of Mayor in 1987 at the suggestion of the Fair Political Practices and other law enforcement entities. That matter was a "sting operation" which resulted in the arrest and prosecution of other candidates for Mayor for embezzlement of City funds and the operation of a child prostitution ring. Victim/Witnesses/Plaintiff has an "FBI-level" knowledge set of political corruption in the Bay Area and receives/provides information from/to federal law and intelligence investigators. For these types of services, SSA was compromised to be used as a reprisal weapon against Victim/Witnesses/Plaintiff.

This matter involves Defendants, together known as the "Tech Cartel", and their organized crime activities. These activities are operated by well-known public officials working with Silicon Valley tech oligarchs via surrogates at a specific set of colluding: 1.) tech law firms, 2.) CPA firms, 3.) lobbyists, 4.) media hit-job services and 4.) tactical contracted-operatives.

For the past decade in which relevant predicate reprisal acts were corruptly carried out by the named defendants as "payback" for Plaintiff's role in the ***Solyndra; Energy Stock Bribes*** and ***Rare Earth Mining Corruption*** cases and his status as a "whistle-blower" to GAO, FBI, FTC, Congress and other entities (for his temerity in telling the truth concerning obstruction of justice and gross abuse of power),

along with the corrupt politicians surrogates and collaborators (referenced individually and collectively as the “Tech Cartel”).

It is hereby demanded that the FBI interview and ascertain the attack contract compensation sources along with the command and control managers for attacker/Defendants: **GABRIELLE DARBYSHIRE, A.J. DELAURIO, DAVID PLOUFFE, PATRICK GEORGE, ADRIAN COVERT, JOHN HERRMAN, NICHOLAS GUIDO DENTON, and JOHN COOK**, for their organized attacks on Plaintiff. The FBI evidence from those interviews and investigations will confirm the assertions herein.

Defendants actions have been synonymous with criminal behavior, malicious baseless attacks (using mainly the illicit and vicious defamatory tactics against perceived political enemies (like the Plaintiff here) of those willing to compensate participants like Brock, Plouffe, Carney, etc.) – and coordinating by mail and wire to violate myriad Federal and State laws in the exploitation of Tech Cartel nonprofit entities they use for purely partisan purposes.

This Tech Cartel has taken such attacks to an unprecedented and chilling new level involving illegal domestic human and electronic surveillance, and spy agency tradecraft such as “lures” run in order to obstruct other federal Investigations by compromised agencies of the government, all against private citizens in order to assist putting crony associates in political office, destroying whistle-blowers, and enriching themselves with monopolistic control of **internet, media, energy, vehicle and mining markets**. While the **Frank Giustra** mining deals and the **Uranium1** mining deals have been the subject of recent reports, the entirety of the rare earth mining corruption and payola, worth **trillions of dollars** in ill-gotten profits, has yet to be fully explored in the media.

In one example: according to investigations by the United States Congress, and as anticipated to emerge from numerous ongoing government investigations, politicians and Silicon Valley tech oligarchs and certain other Tech Cartel named and unknown named, and high-level surrogates, colluded with Russian intelligence (SVR and FSB) and a disgraced (and according to a referral from the United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary, a putative criminal) former British intelligence officer (Christopher Steele) to accomplish their illicit and unconstitutional objectives. These Tech Cartel defendants, surrogates and participants have their opportunity to properly respond before a court they knowingly misled many times using the most powerful counterintelligence tools available. Let them do so now.

Defendant Brock’s malfeasance became more precisely organized in the Tech Cartel, and thus fully weaponized, as he joined forces with former president William Jefferson Clinton, Hillary Rodham Clinton and funder George Soros (“Soros”), and at various stages of the illegal Tech Cartel, the other defendants named here. They, and the Tech Cartel they formed to control the Democratic Party, took illicit advantage of a previously inviolate structural arrangement (between all three branches of our government) codified in the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (“FISA”) by arranging for payment through smear merchants Fusion GPS to the Russian SVR and FSB and British (former) agent Christopher Steele (“Steele”). To abolish their enemies, in other words, the Tech Cartel defendants

were willing to defy all legal and constitutional dictates – including certain actors within the Obama Department of Justice ineffectually misleading Article III colleagues resident in this very Court. This is sedition, bordering on treason, and patently illegal.

As will be discussed throughout this RICO matter, the Tech Cartel set up and used many unsecured private email servers to conceal from Congress and the Article III Courts tens of thousands of e-mails. These emails, many of which remain missing, obscured (and obscure) from all constitutional branches (thus obstructing justice in myriad ways), and, more broadly, from the people of the United States who are not judges or elected officials, Tech Cartel wrongdoing. Of those emails that have been recovered, they contain classified information that should never be on an unsecured server because that presents (for many reasons), a danger to the national security of the United States. Russian and Chinese state spy agencies are known to have acquired all such emails via hacking and back door 9-11 exploits. They have begun posting that data widely on the internet. The total email batches from John Doerr and Vinod Khosla, alone are shocking in, and of, themselves. Third party hackers have the entire drive sets from the Hunter Biden laptop and the Clinton home server.

As such, The Tech Cartel can and must be charged with using illicit espionage under the clear language of the relevant statute(s). Tech Cartel surrogate James Comey (then-FBI Director) and Loretta Lynch (then-Attorney General) did not so charge; instead, they devised a scheme to protect their Crony's within the Tech Cartel – with the intent that such scheme would help ensure the election of their friends and with such victory would ensure the Tech Cartel would persevere into perpetuity and that they (and other Tech Cartel principals, surrogates and participants) would be richly rewarded. No one would have ever known except for certain FBI and Whistle House whistle-blowers.

At the time of this filing, numerous government investigations involving findings highly-similar to the factual allegations and claims underlying this Complaint are ongoing at the United States Department of Justice at the FBI, within the DoJ Inspector General's Office, and at least one United States Attorney's Office. The United States Congress is/are conducting several more, in both the House and the Senate, and despite holdover Tech Cartel obstruction appears within its committee oversight function to be making substantial progress regarding the very issues raised here. The evidence produced by those investigations will spur follow-on investigations and/or prosecutions with respect to the Tech Cartel defendants and their surrogates and other participants as described herein. Corroborated and/or new evidence from these (and possibly other) investigations, as they mature, can and must be incorporated into this lawsuit at the appropriate time to reinforce its verisimilitude.

Another major investigation (among several) of this seditious abuse by the Tech Cartel and individuals named herein has been undertaken by the Department of Justice Inspector General, providing yet another avenue to satisfy the standard of plausible evidence for this lawsuit, concomitant with a parallel investigation relating to this and related illegalities by Tech Cartel participants – undertaken at the instructions of the United States Attorney General and conducted by the United States Attorney for the District of Utah as a predicate for the putative referral to a duly constituted grand jury and the appointment of a(nother) Special Counsel. Also pending is the appointment and findings of a Special Counsel to investigate and prosecute FISA abuse and Media Matters/Shareblue illicit partnership with

Facebook to “weaponize” private information in violation of U.S. law. Bill Gates, Elon Musk, Bill Clinton, The heads of MIT and other notables, have all been connected with the Epstein sex cult. The actual connection between all of those players is as much political as it is sexual. Their meetings were Tech Cartel organized crime collusion planning sessions and many of those meetings were recorded. Bill Gates divorce, Jeffrey Epstein’s death and Elon Musk’s flight to Texas are all because of these leaks.

In another example, Plaintiff informed FBI Director and James Comey and United States Attorney General Eric Holder, in time-stamped writing, of the following facts. Plaintiff is now informed that those parties ran cover-ups of these facts and charges in order to protect the Tech Cartel:

Compensation is thereby demanded from The U.S. Government and the California State Government, by Victim/Witnesses', for damages, monies owed, witness fees, legal expenses, whistle-blower fees, informant fees and with-held benefits monies. Additionally, Victim/Witnesses' submitted invoices to FBI, GAO, SEC, and other agencies that Victim/Witnesses' have provided witness and investigation services to.

This case involves the illicit sale and trading of stocks, bonds, mutual funds, and other securities; quid pro quo political bribery; election manipulation; monopoly and anti-trust law violation; bodily harm and other criminal matters applicable to every law enforcement and regulatory agency.

This corruption involves the following:

- where a financial or other advantage was offered, given or promised to another person with the intention to induce or reward them or another person to perform their responsibilities or duties improperly (it does not have to be the person to whom the bribe is offered that acts improperly); or
- where a financial or other advantage was requested, agreed to be received or accepted by another person with the intention of inducing or rewarding them or another person to perform their responsibilities or duties inappropriately (it does not have to be the person who receives the bribe that acts improperly).

The bribes included:

- assets given or received directly or through a third party (such as someone acting on the government’s behalf, for example an agent, distributor, supplier, joint venture partner or other intermediary); or
- assets and stocks for the benefit of the recipient or some other person.

The bribes took many forms, for example:

- money (or cash equivalent such as shares);
- unreasonable gifts, entertainment or hospitality;
- kickbacks;
- prostitutes;

- attacks on, and murders of, witnesses;
- unwarranted rebates or excessive commissions (e.g. to sales agents or marketing agents);
- unwarranted allowances or expenses;
- “facilitation” payments/payments made to perform their normal job more quickly and/or prioritise a particular constituent;
- political/charitable contributions;
- uncompensated use of company services or facilities; or
- anything else of value.

This corruption goes between both the public and private sectors.

No agency may refuse, or seek to re-direct, this case, to another agency, because this case falls within the purview of every law enforcement and regulatory agency in the USA. This case also falls within the purview of multi-national enforcement under Interpol, FinCEN, EU, etc. To reiterate: this is not a single-agency matter. No agency has the right to seek to shove this case off to another agency or cover-up this matter at the expense of citizen Constitutional and human rights.

This case involves different organizations who are in contest to control the United States government and the financial and power benefits therefrom.

This case involves the control of the White House and the existence, or termination, of the power in the Oval Office and international corrupt mining deals worth trillions of dollars.

Victim/Witnesses’ witnessed an organized criminal Tech Cartel which affected government Treasury funds. The crime scheme involved: stock market manipulation, corrupt international mining deals and media company power manipulations. When Victim/Witnesses’ reported the crime, millions of dollars of state-sponsored reprisal attacks (enumerated in detail below) were launched against Victim/Witnesses’ in vendetta.

Related cases shed illuminate the depths of criminality and the scope of crimes and illicit deeds by the colluding perpetrators and their crime culture:

In federal **Case No. 1:20-cv-03010** – you see proof that perpetrator Google is monopoly and runs competitor attacks. In **Case No. 11-CV-2509** -<https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/judges/koh-lucy-h-lhk/in-re-high-tech-employee-antitrust-litigation/> - you see proof that the Silicon Valley Cartel colludes to harm others. In Task Force Case **No. 20-xyz2020a** - <http://www.majestic111.com> - you see proof that this “Silicon Valley Cartel” is a RICO law violating entity. In **Case No. 20-03664** - <https://www.insurancejournal.com/app/uploads/2020/06/brown-v-google.pdf> – you see further evidence that the Google-Youtube-Alphabet organization operates as a racketeering entity that controls portions of the government.

In Case No. **1:12-CV-00774-mms** and related cases - <https://thehill.com/blogs/congress-blog/the-administration/250109-a-case-study-in-pay-to-play-cronyism> – You see that criminal referrals against the attackers have been filed with the FBI, DOJ, SEC, FEC, FTC and that insider cronyism at The U.S. Government is accelerating at a rapid pace up and including the recent Granholm investigations.

Additional court cases, available on www.pacer.gov, have filed extensive further evidence proving Victim/Witnesses' assertions. Such key cases include:

Case No. 18-cv-8865 (S.D.N.Y.)(SEC v. Elon Musk for lies and scams)

Case No. 18-cv-8947 (S.D.N.Y.)(SEC v. Tesla, Inc. for lies and scams)

Case No. 1:14-cv-270143 (Google racketeering charges - <https://artistrightswatch.com/2017/10/08/googles-racketeering-challenge/>)

Case No. 1:19-cr-00490 (United States v. Epstein - Big tech sex cult crimes case)

Case No. 129 So.3d 1196 (Fla. 2d DCA 2014); **170 So.3d 125** (Fla. 2d DCA 2015) (Gawker Media, LLC v. Bollea in which Gawker, Deadspin, Gizmodo, Jalopnik, Jezebel, Kotaku and Lifehacker were exposed as character assassination and money-laundering fronts working for notorious third parties)

Case No. 19-cv-343672 James Martin (on behalf of ALPHABET INC) v Larry Page et al (Sex Cults In Silicon Valley)

Case No. CGC-11-508414, California Superior Court, San Francisco (Victim/Witnesses' v Google)

Case No. 3:16-cv-03061 U.S. DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, San Francisco Division (Victim/Witnesses' V. Google/Alphabet/YouTube)

Case No. 18-CIV05380 Rubin Vs. Rubin (Google sex cult and sex slave charges)

Case No. : 1:17 - cv - 06404 Vs. Rubin (Organized crime sex trafficking by stock market manipulators)

Case No. D.C. No. 3:17-cv-05369 - VC (Big tech harassment of outsiders)

Case No. 3:21-cv-00077 (Another of many lawsuits proving that the Silicon Valley Cartel conspires to manipulate media and markets)

1. Victim/Witnesses' were induced to invest millions of dollars, and all of their life savings, in a government run project. It was later discovered that ***every government operator of that project was either financed by, friends, with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; the Victim/Witnesses' business adversaries, or the politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political digital search manipulation services to. They all used the same couple of law-firms, investment bankers, CPA's, lobbyists and policy manipulation operatives. There can be no question about the fact***

that the government officials involved “colluded”, “conspired” and “coordinated” for their own, personal, unjust gain and quid pro quo. Crooked government employees and contractors made billions of dollars in unjust gains while Victim/Witnesses’ lost billions of dollars BECAUSE crooked government employees and contractors engaged in these crimes, defrauded Victim/Witnesses’ and used Victim/Witnesses’ as a smoke-screen to cover-up their crimes. That “project” turned out to be an operation of the Tech Cartel described in this document as an organized crime endeavor.

This is a felony-grade criminal racketeering case. White House, Department of Energy, Department of State and other California State and Federal government agency staff coordinated with their political campaign financier/beneficiaries from Solyndra, Tesla, Fisker, Facebook, Google, YouTube, Netflix, LinkedIn, Alphabet, Kleiner Perkins, Greylock, Goldman Sachs and other PAC-aligned Silicon Valley businesses to operate a RICO-law and Antitrust-law violating illicit business “Cartel”. The operation of this Cartel has been proven by leaked emails, whistle-blowers, lawsuits and FBI-type forensic accounting.

The statute of limitations has not been exceeded in this case because the attacks, harms and perpetrators actions have continued as recently as yesterday.

This illicit organization had planned to skim trillions of dollars of exclusive profits from government contracts, political payola, foreign rare earth mining operations, internet news and search manipulation deals, revolving-door deals and massive computerized algorithm-based stock market manipulations. The Defendants schemes, particularly, planned to exploit funds from the 2008 and 2021 “Stimulus Bills”. Victim/Witnesses"s', government employee/contractors, competed with these entities, with superior technology at lower prices, and were targeted by this Cartel, for termination.

Victim/Witnesses"s' became information providers and whistle-blowers for investigators in the examination of this crime. Defendants expended vast amounts of corporate and taxpayer money and resources harming Victim/Witnesses's in reprisal vendetta attacks. Over a million pages of evidence and proof are provided on the case website. Damages and attacks on Victim/Witnesses' continue to today.

Blockade Of Plaintiff Legal Representation And Rights

Victim/Witnesses' Demand State-Funded Legal Representation

A. Victim/Witnesses's have been “black-listed” from getting legal representation. Even though the law in California (Cal. Lab. Code § § 1050 to 1053) says that an entity *can't* prevent or attempt to prevent former workers from getting work or representation through misrepresentation, knowingly permitting or failing to take reasonable steps to prevent blacklisting, or make a statement about why an employee was discharged or left employment, implying something other than what is explicitly said, or providing information that was not requested: ***It is done every day in Silicon Valley.*** The "*Silicon Valley No Poaching Black-List*" class-action lawsuit, the “*AngelGate Scandal Investigation*” , and many other notorious scandals and books are about this issue. Federal FAR Section 9.104-1 (d), and related laws, apply. Blacklisting is a key part of the IC Vendetta Cycle attacks. The Victim/Witnesses' also suffered damage to their rights under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA) (29 USC Sec. 621, et seq.); the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 USC Sec. 12181, et seq.); the Civil Rights Acts - (42 USC Sec. 2000, et seq.); the Davis-Bacon Act (40 USC Sec. 276a, et seq.); the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) (29 USC Sec. 1001, et seq.); the Equal Pay Act (29 USC Sec. 206[d]) and other violations.

B. Each and every law firm capable of handling Victim/Witnesses's case has been contracted, paid and/or influenced so that they are “conflicted out” from representing Victim/Witnesses's. Law firm Mofo was threatened if they helped Victim/Witnesses's. Lawyer Amy Anderson was threatened and lost her license for attempting to help Victim/Witnesses's. Every lawyer or law firm who attempts to help Victim/Witnesses's is hired by Defendants, or their agents and threatened or compromised in order to prevent them from helping Victim/Witnesses's because this case affects trillions of dollars of energy industry profits, the White House, billionaire oligarchs and multi millionaire corrupt Senators. In fact, this issue lies at the root of this entire corruption case. Sociopath over-moneyed Silicon Valley oligarchs have hire Morrison Foerster, Wilson Sonsini, Perkins Coie, Covington Burling and every other major law firm and lobbyist and told them to “*kill everyone and destroy everything that I don't like...*”. These law firms (controlled by Mark Zuckerberg, Elon Musk, Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, Steve Westly, Vinod Khosla, Laurene Powell Jobs, Nancy Pelosi, etc. All of whom have nearly a trillion dollars of funds at their disposal) have carte blanche and unlimited payments to run coups, character assassination campaigns, money laundering and other crimes for the oligarchs. They have the staffing to do these things and zero incentive to not do crimes. These law firms are the dirty deeds teams for the mobster-like suspects and there is no law enforcement body with the will nor resources to stop them. It is a violation of the U.S. Constitution to tell Victim/Witnesses's to “go get a law firm” when EVERY possible, equitable, law firm works for, and is massively compensated by, the criminals that need to be sued. Both the politicians AND the tech oligarchs charged with these crimes have hired the very law firms that government agencies have told Victim/Witnesses's to go out and hire.

C. There is a precedent that was set in the US Supreme Court case: *Gideon v. Wainwright*, (1963) that clarifies that you have a right to a lawyer even if you don't have money for one. The Sixth Amendment, as applied to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause gives one their Due Process rights. One needs to kill someone, though, to most easily get your free lawyer, since the court-appointed lawyer is rarely ever appointed, on citizens behalf, in a case like this. Even if a defendant is represented by an attorney of his or her choosing, he or she may be entitled to relief on

appeal if the attorney did not provide adequate representation. A defendant must demonstrate that the attorney's performance "fell below an objective standard of reasonableness" and that this was prejudicial to the case. See:

Strickland v. Washington (<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/466/668/case.html>) , 466 U.S. 668, 688-92 (1984). A few "free lawyers", that Victim/Witnesses' had tried, turned out to be working for the opposition side.

D. The federal organization: <https://www.lsc.gov> is required to help but has refused because it's administrators were friends with, and appointed by, the public officials charged with corruption in this case.

E. Victim/Witnesses's have personally asked the Attorney General, The Director of the FBI and the U.S. Attorney's office for representation but they have been told not to respond because the case is politically embarrassing to major public officials and their corrupt Silicon Valley financiers.

F. The government agencies who have told Victim/Witnesses's that Victim/Witnesses's should "*hire a law firm and sue them*", in order to resolve this matter, are the same government agencies that have cut-off, or blockaded Victim/Witnesses's income sources in order to prevent Victim/Witnesses's from being able to afford to hire a law firm to sue them.

G. A federal agency provided Victim/Witnesses's with a list of "free lawyers". Upon FBI-level investigation of every "free lawyer" on the list, (via their financial contributions, voting records, leaked emails, social media postings, event attendance, public records, social connections lists online and other surveillance data) they all worked for, or with the opposition interests and could not have possibly provided unbiased services. In fact, multiple lawyers have contacted Victim/Witnesses's who turned out to be working for the opposition. They were sent in to delay, or redirect, Victim/Witnesses's in order to keep the cases from being filed or properly prosecuted in order to protect the suspects. This is a common infiltration procedure widely documented in CIA, British secret service, Russian FSB and Snowden leaks documents on IC dirty tricks tactics.

H. Agency staff were ordered to harm Victim/Witnessess by manipulating their benefits in order to deny, delay, obfuscate and reduce their income as reprisal for their assistance to law enforcement in a political corruption and money laundering matter. Agency staff, ranging from the lowest level staff and up to the director headquarters offices, participated in this reprisal-vendetta-revenge action to harm Victim/Witnessess. Victim/Witnesses's peers have filed DOJ and FBI criminal referrals, launched federal investigations and the assertions have been proven in numerous IG, FBI, Congressional and major news media investigations. Agency offices have failed to provide responsive FOIA requested data, hearing investigation data and fair responses because some of their staff are STILL operating a criminal cover-up which has now been update-reported to the FBI, Congress, the IG, the AG and investigative reporters.

Other federal agencies have complied, verified and provided the requested deliverables. SSA and GOVT have pointed the searchlight of suspicion on themselves, laser-like, by their overt failure to comply. Ironically, the political financing of their executives and their personal relationships "happens" to be with the exact same Silicon Valley oligarchs under felony criminal investigations. The stock market brokerage records, family trust accounts, PAC trace-routing, Interpol records and SEC investigation records proves it!

Every “unsigned” anonymous government email is tracked to the individual author by their IP address, device IMEI, web camera, building key card, door camera, parking lot use chart, building camera, vehicle tracking circuits, text dba records, keyboard UI/UX patterns, motherboard ID #, DNS routing, stingray read-outs and a vast number of other metrics. Victim/Witnesses investigation peers know the exact person that wrote every government email or document or file request.

Stone-walling based cover-ups are being mitigated by Victim/Witnesses’, and their peers, by carbon-copying these disclosures to every member of the press, every voting citizen and every jurisdiction agent.

For years, victim/Victim/Witnesses's, and their advocates and peers, have contacted every government agency and authority listed in government directories, which may have any jurisdiction over this case. The usual response has been cover-ups, finger-pointing, stone-walling, obfuscation, failure-to-reply or other tactics to delay the inevitable. This has forced victim/Victim/Witnesses's to use social media and novel distribution technologies to present their case to every registered voter in the public. Agency officials who were supposed to be helping victim/Victim/Witnesses's have been exposed taking bribes from victim/Victim/Witnesses' enemies and adversaries in this case.

Certain California State officials, Obama White House Staff and Federal Agency staff ***accepted bribes*** from Silicon Valley Oligarchs and Investment Bank Cartels. They were bribed with: Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures stock and stock warrants which is never reported to the FEC; Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures search engine rigging and shadow-banning which is never reported to the FEC; Free rent; Male and female prostitutes; Cars; Dinners; Party Financing; Sports Event Tickets; Political campaign printing and mailing services "Donations"; Secret PAC Financing; Jobs in Corporations in Silicon Valley For The Family Members of Those Who Take Bribes And Those Who Take Bribes; "Consulting" contracts from McKinsey as fronted pay-off gigs; Overpriced "Speaking Engagements" which are really just pay-offs conducted for donors; Gallery art; Private jet rides and the use of Government fuel depots (ie: Google handed out NASA jet fuel to staff); Recreational drugs; Real Estate; Fake mortgages; The use of Cayman, Boca Des Tores, Swiss and related money-laundering accounts; The use of HSBC, Wells Fargo, Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank money laundering accounts and covert stock accounts; Free spam and bulk mailing services owned by Silicon Valley corporations; Use of high tech law firms such as Perkins Coie, Wilson Sonsini, MoFo, Covington & Burling, etc. to conduit bribes to officials; Payroll W2 and 1099 payments which were actually bribe payments for political work such as character assassinations and internet rigging; and other means now documented by us, The FBI, the FTC, The SEC, The FEC and journalists.

The Office Of The Special Counsel (OSC) Is Not Your Friend

While the “OSC” makes people think of the victory over corruption at the Watergate hearings, that is about the only good thing OSC has ever done. Most people now think OSC stands for: *The Office Of Shredding And Corruption.*

OSC gathers the tips about corruption and then makes sure those tips never see daylight.

The head of the U.S. Office of Special Counsel (OSC), Scott J. Bloch, pleaded guilty to criminal contempt of Congress for willfully and unlawfully withholding pertinent information from a House committee investigating his decision to have several government computers wiped, announced U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia Ronald C. Machen Jr., Office of Personnel Management (OPM) Inspector General, Patrick McFarland, and FBI Assistant Director in Charge Shawn Henry.

The plea was entered before U.S. Magistrate Judge Deborah A. Robinson in U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, who scheduled sentencing for July 20, 2010. Bloch faces a maximum sentence of one year in jail and a fine of \$100,000. Bloch’s likely sentencing range is zero to six months in jail under the federal sentencing guidelines.

The OSC is an independent federal agency charged with safeguarding the merit-based employment system by protecting federal employees and applicants from prohibited personnel practices, with an emphasis on protecting federal whistleblowers. Bloch, a presidential appointee whose title was Special Counsel, headed the OSC from 2004 through 2008.

According to the statement of offense, on March 4, 2008, Bloch submitted to a transcribed interview with staff members of the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, which was investigating, among other things, whether and why Bloch: directed the deletion of e-mails or files on any of Bloch’s OSC-issued computers in December of 2006 by using the computer repair service Geeks On Call; directed that the computer repair service delete e-mails or files contained on the computers of two of his OSC aides; and directed that any such deletion of computer files be done by use of a “seven-level wipe” process. This duly empowered congressional inquiry came after various media reports that Bloch had directed the deletion of files on several OSC-issued computers by using the repair service.

The statement of offense describes five separate exchanges during Bloch’s March 4, 2008, interview with staff members of the House Oversight Committee during which Bloch unlawfully and willfully withheld pertinent information from the committee. Bloch admitted in court today that he refused and failed to state fully and completely the nature and extent of his instructions that Geeks On Call perform “seven level wipes” on his OSC computers as well as the two OSC-issued computers of two non-career OSC staff members in December 2006.

In announcing today’s guilty plea, U.S. Attorney Machen, Inspector General McFarland, and Assistant Director in Charge Henry praised the outstanding work of the investigative agents involved in this matter, especially Special Agent Marc R. Diehl and Andrew Smallman of the FBI; as well as Jill P. Maroney, Deputy Assistant Inspector General for Investigations; and J. David Cope, Assistant Inspector General for Legal Affairs of OPM. They also acknowledged the hard work of Paralegal Specialists Diane Hayes and Mary Treanor; Legal Assistant Jamasee Lucas; former Legal Assistant April Peeler;

Supervisory IT Specialist Joe Calvarese; and Assistant U.S. Attorneys Laurel Loomis Rimón, Dan Butler, James Mitzelfeld, and Glenn S. Leon, who is prosecuting the case.

During the Bloch era, the OSC was criticized for (1) very rarely recognizing legitimate whistleblowers, typically only when the whistleblower had already prevailed elsewhere; (2) taking too long to investigate meritorious cases; (3) using a conservative litmus test in hiring; (4) discouraging federal whistleblowers from using their legal protections, and (5) generally siding with the federal administration instead of with the whistleblowers it was supposed to protect.^{[40][41]}

On March 22, 2007, U.S. Senator [Daniel K. Akaka](#) ([Democrat](#), [Hawaii](#)), Chairman of the [Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management, the Federal Workforce, and the District of Columbia](#), held a hearing on "Safeguarding the Merit System Principles." In his opening statement, Sen. Akaka stated, "organizations that help whistleblowers claim that OSC has gone from being their first option for relief to their last choice since OSC no longer works with agencies to achieve informal relief and the percentage of corrective actions and stays has been cut in half since 2002."^[42]

OSC protects the seated President and the most powerful politicians who have the biggest war chests,

How Government Agencies Were Used As Reprisal Weapons Against Plaintiff

The San Mateo, California Social Security Administration offices are under investigation because one, or more, of their staff used SSA resources to attack an Victim/Witnesses because a staff member (erroneously) thought that an Victim/Witnesses was opposed to that SSA staffer's beliefs on "open-borders". The SSA official ordered up reprisal operations, against the Victim/Witnesses, simply to vent that SSA officials political angers. That is illegal.

A vast number of agency abuse cases and lawsuits are now on public record in the Inspector General's offices and federal courts.

It is an indisputable fact that some government agencies run "hit-jobs" on citizens on orders from certain corrupt politicians. These actions are felony violations of the law.

Federal and State Agencies including SSA, FEC, GOVT, HHS, VA, CIA, HUD, SA, SEC, FBI, DOJ and many others, have been charged, and found guilty, in these crimes against citizens.

In the Congressional investigation published by the United States Congress in review of the U.S. Department of Energy LGP/ATVM programs, it is clearly proven that the U.S. Department of Energy was used as a slush-fund by some GOVT executives in order to pay off campaign financiers by attacking and sabotaging their competitors.

The GOVT Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant under contracts with The U.S. Government and the government-owned U.S. Enrichment Corp paid \$5M whistle-blower awards to those whistle-blowers who were attacked, using government agency resources, for reporting a crime.

Dept. of Energy Hanford URS has agreed to settle a lawsuit brought by former employee Walter Tamosaitis for \$4.1 million. The settlement in the whistle-blower case comes almost one year before the case was set for a jury trial in federal court in Richland and compensates Tamosaitis for attacks against him, by GOVT officials, in retribution for reporting a crime.

VA officials attacked hundreds of citizens who reported corruption, ie:

<https://www.thenewamerican.com/usnews/health-care/item/18610-va-whistleblowers-facing-retribution>.

As shown in this report: <https://www.pogo.org/analysis/2018/08/new-report-confirms-whistleblower-retaliation-is-alive-and-well-at-department-of-veterans-affairs/>

, Agencies attack often and harshly.

CIA and NSA executives have been widely shown to use spy tools to attack domestic citizens they don't like, ie: <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2435011/NSA-employees-used-phone-tapping-tools-spy-girlfriends-cheating-husbands.html> , and hundreds of other news links that can be provided.

Elon Musk and Tesla, as well as Eric Schmidt and Larry Page at Google, have been proven to use the CIA group: IN-Q-TEL, to run government sponsored/financed attacks on business competitors.

In Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-00777-RBW GOVERNMENT AGENCIES WERE CAUGHT BEING USED FOR ATTACKS AGAINST CITIZENS AND PUNISHED IN THE COURT AND THE MEDIA!

The IRS, and hordes of other government agencies have been caught and proven, IN COURT, to target and attack people for presumed political differences.

Why should we assume that the Social Security Administration is not ALSO doing this too to harm citizens who speak out?

The Lois Lerner IRS attacks took many years to resolve. In an unprecedented victorious conclusion to a four year-long legal battle against the IRS, the bureaucratic agency admitted in federal court that it wrongfully targeted citizens, during the Obama Administration, because of their political viewpoints and issued an apology to those people for doing so.

In addition, the IRS is consenting to a court order that would prohibit it from ever engaging in this form of unconstitutional discrimination in the future.

In a proposed Consent Order filed with the Court, the IRS has apologized for its treatment of U.S. citizens including organizations from 20 states that applied for 501(c)(3) and (c)(4) tax-exempt status with the IRS between 2009 and 2012 -- during the tax-exempt determinations process. Crucially, following years of denial by the IRS and blame-shifting by IRS officials, the agency now expressly admits that its treatment of our clients was wrong and a total violation of our Democracy..

As set forth in the proposed Order:

“The IRS admits that its treatment of Victim/Witnesses's during the tax-exempt determinations process, including screening their applications based on their names or policy positions, subjecting those applications to heightened scrutiny and inordinate delays, and demanding of some Victim/Witnesses' information that TIGTA determined was unnecessary to the agency's determination of their tax-exempt status, was wrong. For such treatment, the IRS expresses its sincere apology.”

Throughout litigation of this case, activists have remained committed to protecting the rights of the public who faced unlawful and discriminatory action by the IRS and other agencies. The objective from the very beginning has been to hold agencies accountable for corrupt practices.

This Consent Order represents a historic victory for the public and sends the unequivocal message that a government agency's targeting of citizens organizations, or any organization, on the basis of political viewpoints, will never be tolerated and that revenge will be swift and vast.

The Order will put an end, once and for all, to the abhorrent practices utilized against citizens, as the agreement includes the IRS's express acknowledgment of – and apology for – its wrongful treatment of the public. While this agreement is designed to prevent any such practices from occurring again, rest assured that all public interest lawyers will remain vigilant to ensure that the IRS, SSA, DOJ or SEC does not resort to such tactics in the future.

Per detailed reports, in March of 2012 lawyers began being contacted by literally dozens of citizens and groups who were being harassed by the Obama IRS after submitting applications for tax-exempt status. Their tax-exempt applications were held up for years (over seven years in some cases), and they began receiving obtrusive and unconstitutional requests for donor and member information. That began a now more than five and a half year fight with the burgeoning bureaucracy at the IRS. Then on May 10, 2013, Lois Lerner, the then head of the IRS Tax Exempt Organizations Division, publicly implicated the IRS in one of the worst political targeting scandals of the century.

This is an extraordinary victory against government agency abuse. It sends a powerful warning to the deep state bureaucracy that it will not be allowed to violate the Constitution in order to silence and shut down the whistle-blowers.

In addition to the IRS's admissions of and apology for its wrongful conduct, the Consent Order would specifically award Victim/Witnesses's the following:

- A declaration by the Court that it is wrong to apply the United States tax code to any tax-exempt Victim/Witnesses or entity based solely on such entity's name, any lawful positions it espouses on any issues, or its associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint;
- A declaration by the Court that any action or inaction taken by the IRS must be applied evenhandedly and not based solely on a tax-exempt Victim/Witnesses or entity's name, political viewpoint, or associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint; and
- A declaration by the Court that discrimination on the basis of political viewpoint in administering the United States tax code violates fundamental First Amendment rights. Disparate treatment of taxpayers

based solely on the taxpayers' names, any lawful positions the taxpayers espouse on any issues, or the taxpayers' associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint is unlawful.

In the Order, the IRS has also agreed that (unless expressly required by law) certain actions against the Victim/Witnesses's– i.e. the sharing, dissemination, or other use of information unnecessarily obtained by the IRS during the determinations process (such as donor names, the names of volunteers, political affiliations of an organization's officers, etc.) – would be unlawful. In addition, the IRS promises not to take any retaliatory action against our clients for exposing the targeting scheme.

Finally, and of crucial significance, the IRS admits it targeted persons and groups based on their viewpoints (i.e., "policy positions") and that such viewpoint discrimination violates fundamental First Amendment rights. This is the first time the IRS has admitted that its targeting scheme was not just "inappropriate" – as TIGTA found – but, as alleged, blatantly unconstitutional.

To ensure consistency and uniformity within the agency's operations going forward, the IRS is required, pursuant to the Order, to inform all employees within the Exempt Organizations Division, as well as the Commissioners and Deputy Commissioners within other divisions, of the Order's terms.

This Order not only validates allegations about their treatment at the hands of the corrupt Obama-era IRS but also provides important assurances to the American public that the agency understands its obligation to refrain from further such discriminatory conduct. As Attorney General Sessions acknowledged in this regard, "[t]here is no excuse for [the IRS's] conduct," as it is "without question" that the First Amendment prohibits the conduct that occurred here, i.e., subjecting American citizens to disparate treatment "based solely on their viewpoint or ideology." Sessions further confirmed his Department's commitment to ensuring that the "abuse of power" in which the IRS engaged here "will not be tolerated."

It is impossible to overstate the importance of this victory. This marks a years-long fight for justice in defense of the constitutional rights of the public.

This is an extraordinary victory against abuse of power and corruption.

It sends a powerful warning to the deep state bureaucracy that it will not be allowed to violate the Constitution and manipulate the IRS, SSA and other agencies in order to silence and shut down those who speak out about political corruption crimes.

In the wake of Wisconsin Watchdog's investigation into SSA staff allegations of incompetence, misconduct, and retaliation in Social Security disability appeals offices, several employees have taken their complaints to a Senate committee led by Wisconsin Sen. Ron Johnson.

An official with knowledge of the complaints said the Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee, chaired by the Oshkosh Republican, has received emails and other contacts from "certain people" inside the Social Security Administration's Office of Disability Adjudication and Review.

The initial complaints came from an employee inside the Milwaukee office following Wisconsin Watchdog's opening investigative report that found some claimants waiting more than 1,000 days for an appeals decision on their disability benefits claim.

Following Wednesday's story of a whistleblower in the Madison ODAR office, the committee has received more specific complaints about retaliation against employees, the source said.

Committee staff members sent the latest Watchdog piece to SSA administrators hoping they will "cooperate," the source said. To date, the agency has been less than cooperative.

"This is an ongoing process, and they are not always as forthcoming as we'd like them to be," the source said. "Hopefully with your continued reporting, this is an issue they can't duck."

A Senate committee member said officials there are working with the Office of Special Counsel on "multiple whistleblower retaliation claims." The committee continues to request information from the SSA.

The whistleblower in the Madison office claims management retaliated against her after she was called to testify in a misconduct case. The incident involved "inappropriate behavior" by an administrative law judge, she said.

"They are so corrupt. It's absolutely horrible," said the woman, a lead case technician in the Madison Office of Disability Adjudication and Review.

She spoke on condition of anonymity, fearing more retribution from her supervisors. While she said recounting her particular experiences will more than likely betray her identity anyway, the ODAR case worker insisted she has had enough.

"I'm at point where they don't care about me, I don't see why I'm protecting them. This is my last resort," she said. "I want to do my work without fear of retaliation."

She said she has contacted the Senate committee.

"I forwarded my information to them and I got an email back from them. They said people are coming out of the woodwork with their complaints (about ODAR) following your story," the whistle-blower said.

Ronald Klym, a long-time senior legal assistant in the Milwaukee ODAR office, alleges he has been retaliated against by supervisors for going public with his charges of incompetence and misconduct in the agency. The federal employee, who has worked for SSA for 16 years, provided Wisconsin Watchdog with documents showing extremely long wait times for claimants appealing their denied applications for benefits.

Doug Nguyen, SSA regional spokesman, in a previous story said the agency acknowledges that Milwaukee ODAR has a "high average processing time for disability appeal hearings, and we are working to address the issue."

Beyond the delays is what Klym calls the “shell game,” the wholesale transferring of cases to other parts of the country by administrators to make the Milwaukee office’s numbers look better than they are.

The Madison office whistle-blower confirmed Klym’s allegations, saying at one point she saw 2,000 cases from the Milwaukee office handed off to the Oak Brook operation.

There are over 10,000 SSA disability manipulation charges against SSA executives and staff. There are over 185,000 charges filed by domestic citizens against all of the parties we listed in our **reported-to** list above.

How The Members Of The Public Were Harmed, Specifically

"Victim/Witnesses A" and his co-workers were cooperating with agency investigations into organized crime operating within government agencies. In order to discourage, threaten and intimidate "Victim/Witnesses A", he and his peers were attacked with a \$30M+ state-sponsored reprisal attack which included the following attack tactics, operated by Fusion GPS, Black Cube, Psy-Ops type contractors and White House specialists, which caused quantifiable harms and damages for which "Victim/Witnesses A" is owed compensation:

- 1.) Defrauding Victim/Witnessess via fake government requests to invest in rigged government contracts, thus costing Victim/Witnesses' their life savings;
- 2.) Placing moles in, and spying inside, Victim/Witnesses’s companies;
- 3.) Blockading legal counsel for Victim/Witnesses's;
- 4.) Character assassination and sophisticated contracted defamation media attacks;
- 5.) Defendants contracted off-shore “click-farm” and “troll-factory” processed social media attacks;
- 6.) Government benefits from SSA, HUD, etc, blockades and manipulations;
- 7.) Jobs and venture capital funding blacklisting;
- 8.) FOIA obfuscation for official government FOIA filings;
- 9.) Arbitrary government deadline manipulation for SSA, GOVT, HUD and other applications;
- 10.) Creation of endless fake hurdles in agency applications (ie: GOVT) to protect rigged "winners";

- 11.) Toxic workplace poisonings like the Salisbury, Nalvany, Litvinenko poisonings;
- 12.) Workplace sabotage and obstruction of Victim/Witnesses's companies;
- 13.) Contracted media defamation attacks via Gawker, Gizmodo, Jalopnik, Google, Youtube, etc. Which have now had the payments for the attacks tracked through accounting systems
- 14.) Commercial employment database "Lois Lerner-ing" and red-flagging;
- 15.) Murders or forced deaths of peers (ie: Rajeev Motwani, Seth Rich, Gary D. Conley and 120+ others):
- 16.) Revenue blockades and internet income re-direction;
- 17.) Troll farm attack teams hired from Chinese attack farms;
- 18.) Fusion GPS, Media Matters, David Plouffe attack contracts issued targeting whistle-blowers;
- 19.) Manual search engine lock-in attacks on Google, YouTube, LinkedIn;
- 20.) U.S. patent office manipulation to blockade revenue;
- 21.) Honey-traps sent out targeting the whistle-blowers;
- 22.) Fake news tabloid empires created just for defamation attacks;
- 23.) Housing access and financing blockades created to reprisal harass whistle-blowers;
- 24.) Ongoing hacking of Victim/Witnesses's devices;
- 25.) Tech industry black-list coordination within the National Venture Capital Association;
- 26.) HUD and USDA mortgage rights blockades:
- 27.) DNS and IP routing manipulation to prevent Victim/Witnesses' from selling anything online;
- 28.) Digital attacks designed to put horrific fake news about target in front of 7.5 billion people...

and more spy agency type "dirty tricks" that cost the Victim/Witnesses' their lives, life savings, income and other disabling losses as detailed in the related support documents on the case website.

Victim/Witnesses' have currently had their rights to legal representation and a trial blockaded by government officials who fear political embarrassment in a public trial of this case. In fact, history has proven that those officials have caused a thousand-fold increase in their own public media shame by delaying a settlement of this dispute!

The Demand By Victim/Plaintiff

What The Government And Corrupt Defendants Need To Do To Offset The Harm They Caused:

- Victim/Victim/Witnesses' demands the provision of a state-sponsored court-provided law firm to represent victim/Victim/Witnesses' under the variances and human rights laws affording such provision of services.
- The finding that this matter includes a violation of RICO racketeering laws and Anti-trust laws by the combined organized efforts of the Defendants.
- Awarding Victim/Witnesses' compensatory including actual, consequential, and incidental damages for malicious defamatory conduct as alleged herein in an amount to be determined at trial and in excess of \$35,000,000 U.S. Dollars.
- Awarding punitive damages for Defendant's malicious defamatory conduct based on the routine and accepted calculation of 5 percent of their invested current net worth of over \$63 billion U.S. dollars. Thus, punitive damages are requested be awarded by the in an amount to exceed \$3 billion U.S. dollars, which amount of punitive damages are designed to sufficiently punish Defendant in order that its illegal conduct not reoccur.
- Awarding Victim/Witnesses' attorney's fees and costs.
- Granting any such further relief as the Court deems appropriate including preliminary and permanent injunctive relief.
- Damages in excess of \$225 million against Chu, Axelrod, Podesta, Carny, Biden, Emanuel, Gibbs, Rattner and Seward, jointly and severally, for their violations of Victim/Witnesses''s civil rights.
- A declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B) that Victim/Witnesses''s Loan Program application was wrongfully denied and injunctive relief directing Defendants to reconsider and/or approve same.
- A declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B) Victim/Witnesses''s ATVM Loan Program application was wrongfully denied and injunctive relief directing Defendants to reconsider and/or approve same without respect for political considerations.
- A declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B) that the rejection of Victim/Witnesses''s LGP application without recourse was unlawful, and injunctive relief directing Defendants to accept and consider same without respect for political considerations.
- Such costs and attorney fees as Victim/Witnesses''s may be entitled to under law.
- Such other relief as this Court deems just.

- Victim/Witnesses' have sent an invoice for “*informant and witness fees*” to the GAO, FBI, FTC and SEC in the amount of \$5,000,000.00 per agency but have not had a response, relative to payment, from those agencies.

How Much Have Others Been Paid For The Same Damages?

Reference past payment precedent examples:

- Campbell worked as an informant for federal authorities investigating Vadim Mikerin, a Russian official in charge of U.S. operations for Tenex, a unit of Rosatom. Authorities later accused Mikerin of taking bribes from a shipping company in exchange for contracts to transport Russian uranium into the United States. He pleaded guilty in federal court in Maryland and was sentenced to prison for four years. The Justice Department had also initially charged Mikerin with extorting kickbacks from Campbell after hiring him as a \$50,000-a-month lobbyist. Prosecutors alleged Mikerin had demanded Campbell pay between one-third and half of that money back to him each month under threat of losing the contract and veiled warnings of violence from the Russians. The demand prompted Campbell to turn to the FBI in 2010, which gave its blessing for him to remain part of the scheme as a whistleblower who was compensated for his efforts.

- The FBI has a Congressional docket which documents its annual witness and informant expenditures for the last 20 years. Those financial on-the-record documents show the average payment for a high-ticket case RICO-type informant such as Victim/Witnesses' and are hereby placed in this case record as references of payment standards.

- In a similar case, Victim/Witnesses' Terry Bollea (AKA: Hulk Hogan) was awarded \$145 million dollars in damages because of character assassination efforts by the same parties. The attacks on Victim/Witnesses's exceeded the resources used against Terry Bollea by many magnitudes and thus, the \$145 million dollar figure would be a minimum damages figure for each Victim/Witnesses' in our case as each Victim/Witnesses was attacked in such a manner. Each of the parties attacked by Gawker/Gizmodo (who worked for White House operatives) received at least \$170,000.00 in damages.

- The GOVT Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant under contracts with The U.S. Government and the government-owned U.S. Enrichment Corp paid \$5M whistle-blower awards to those whistle-blowers who were attacked, using government agency resources, for reporting a crime.

- <https://www.foxbusiness.com/markets/deutsche-bank-whistleblower-gets-200-million-bounty-tip-libor-misconduct>

- Adam Lovinger, a 12-year veteran of the Pentagon's Office of Net Assessment (ONA), filed a whistleblower reprisal complaint with the Defense Department's inspector general in May against ONA boss James Baker and received compensation for his whistle-blower efforts.

- A Tesla whistle-blower was awarded \$150 million.

- The FBI's informant in the Uranium One scandal involving the Obama administration gave written testimony to three congressional committees this week in which he accused the Obama administration of making decisions that directly benefited the Russian government and their goals of gaining

geopolitical advantages over the United States. The informant, Douglas Campbell, told congressional investigators on Wednesday that Moscow sent millions of dollars to the U.S. with the expectations that it would benefit the Clinton's, while Hillary Clinton "quarterbacked a 'reset' in US-Russian relations" in her role as Secretary of State during the Obama administration, The Hill reported. The FBI found Campbell's undercover work valuable enough to reward him with a \$50,000 check in 2016.

- Dept. of Energy Hanford URS has agreed to settle a lawsuit brought by former employee Walter Tamosaitis for \$4.1 million. The settlement in the whistle-blower case comes almost one year before the case was set for a jury trial in federal court in Richland and compensates Tamosaitis for attacks against him, by GOVT officials, in retribution for reporting a crime.

- In **Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-00777-RBW**, government agencies were caught being used for attacks against citizens and punished in the court and the media! The IRS, and hordes of other government agencies have been caught and proven, IN COURT, to target and attack people for presumed political differences

- Some of the biggest evidence-of-loss examples are found in the financial records from General Motors, Ford Motors, Nissan and Tesla Motors executives for the time-frame from Jan. 1, 2000 to today. Those records validate the income loss to Victim/Witnesses'.

- Over 400+ other cases decisions, settlement records and government payment precedents are on file at <http://www.pacer.gov> validating the amount that Victim/Witnesses' should be compensated via known and quantified precedents.

One Example Of The Corruption experience

A part of this case documents a state-sponsored attack on a technology manufacturer who was promised a level playing field in its applications for funds to government entities, only to be unfairly denied and financially and detrimentally attacked and harmed, in political and anti-competitive reprisal, in the process. In the course of the investigation, an organized crime Cartel was exposed in operation among Silicon Valley technology oligarchs and well-known political representatives.

This section, below, shows how investigative lawyers and FBI experts broke down the facts in a portion of the case:

- This case has exposed cronyism at government agencies, The White House and among U.S. Senators.
- This case represents the Victim/Witnesses' of the crime as well as taxpayers who deserve an open, transparent, and fair government process without the current layers of bribery and stock market payola quid pro quo.
- The government should immediately grant Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, retribution fees and other damages to Victim/Witnesses'

Key Points:

- 2 Funding granted through, for example: the GOVT's Loan Guarantee Program (LGP) and Advanced Technology Vehicle Manufacturing (ATVM) loan programs, and other agencies, are administered in an arbitrary and capricious manner, inconsistently favoring some and disadvantaging other "outsider" non-crony Victim/Witnesses; lacked mechanisms for Victim/Witnesses to administratively appeal its decisions; and re-reviewed rejected applications on an ad hoc and biased basis in order to protect the friends of government staff and harm their competitors.
- 3 Victim/Witnesses' ATVM application was deliberately "set aside", hidden, delayed, stonewalled and substantially "delayed consideration" by GOVT in favor of loan applications from politically-connected government cronies like Tesla and Fisker, whose top executives and investors, Steve Westly (Tesla), Tim Draper (Tesla) and John Doerr (Fisker) donated millions to Democrats and the Obama Administration in 2008.
- 4 Many of the loan applications granted by the GOVT went to companies that failed to produce the promised results, were not innovative in technology, or ultimately declared bankruptcy (After skimming billions in stock market "pump-and-dump" profits) with the harm going to the American taxpayers (See Solyndra, Tesla and Fisker as examples); while Victim/Witnesses' was

previously funded by The U.S. Government and was one of the ONLY entities that did deliver on the contract.

- 5 Of the \$25 billion that Congress authorized the GOVT to loan, \$16 billion remains undisbursed. Why was Victim/Witnesses' \$40 million request not granted when it could have been used to immediately create jobs?
- 6 The GOVT violated multiple non-disclosure agreements with Victim/Witnesses' (and Victim/Witnesses') passing along patented technology to General Motors and other government cronies and that technology, only after that fact, was displayed by Ford, General Motors, Tesla and other competitors who were funded by GOVT.
- 7 In the case of Victim/Witnesses', we see another example of the GOVT's cronyism, broken promises to American taxpayers, and misuse of executive agency influence.
- 8 This case goes back to the year 2000, and forward, as there were crony political payments between the White House, Department of Energy, Department of State and other State and Federal government agency staff coordinated with their political campaign financier/beneficiaries from Solyndra, Tesla, Fisker, Facebook, Google, YouTube, Netflix, LinkedIn, Alphabet, Kleiner Perkins, Greylock, Goldman Sachs and other PAC-aligned Silicon Valley businesses to operate a RICO-law and Antitrust-law violating illicit business "Cartel" which Victim/Witnesses' were "outsiders" to.

Expanded Points:

- The U.S. Government engaged in arbitrary and capricious methods when awarding loan guarantees through the Advanced Technology Vehicle Manufacturing (ATVM) loan program
 - The GOVT administers the ATVM loan program, in which Congress authorized GOVT to provide direct federal government loans supporting the advancement of technology vehicles
 - Congress authorized GOVT to make \$25 billion in ATVM loans (GOVT currently has approx. \$16 billion of unused lending authority which politicians have been constantly trying to grab for themselves).
 - At all times relevant, GOVT knew that the ATVM loans had evaporated the private markets, and that unduly delaying or denying a small, innovative technology company's ATVM loan likely would scare away other private investors and lenders—meaning a business death sentence.
- Victim/Witnesses's are a small business that has demonstrated innovation, energy efficiency, and provided technology that can advance green energy efforts and create jobs in the United States. They were initially funded by a Congressional award and a Department of Energy commission.

- In November, 2008 at the request of government officials, Victim/Witnesses' applied for an ATVM loan to build a scalable, innovative and efficient electric car. This car would have obsoleted Tesla Motors, which paid profits to ATVM bosses, staff, consultants and related Senators. The metrics (Range, Safety, Price, Cost To Produce, Energy Efficiency, Use of Domestic Labor, Avoidance of Genocide-based Mining, etc.) of that car, and almost every other Victim/Witnesses beat Tesla by many magnitudes. Any Victim/Witnesses who might affect Tesla's market was black-listed in order to protect Senator's and GOVT staff stock market profits. Elon Musk had been promised a 10,000% boost over any competitor, using federal resources. This was a violation of federal RICO and anti-trust laws.
- Victim/Witnesses' design cost less than \$20,000 in base configuration, required no gasoline or extension cords to charge, was easy to repair and build, and used crash effect reduction materials.
- All of the Victim/Witnesses' car's key parts were built and tested or already existed in off-the-shelf components proven in the industry for over a decade.
- Victim/Witnesses' offered GOVT asset collateral of over \$100 million to secure the ATVM loan
- On December 2, 2008, GOVT Director of Advanced Technologies Manufacturing Loan Program Lachlan Seward wrote to Victim/Witnesses' acknowledging receipt of its application and requesting certain information, which Victim/Witnesses' then provided.
- On December 31, 2008, Seward informed Victim/Witnesses' that its application was “substantially” complete, and that GOVT would advise Victim/Witnesses' if it needed additional information during the application review process.
- At all times relevant, Victim/Witnesses' qualified for the ATVM loan based on GOVT's published material (see additional docs).
- We see from the examples of Tesla and Fisker that ATVM loans were massive expenditures that produced few results.
 - Victim/Witnesses' application was “set aside” by GOVT in favor of ATVM loan applications from other companies who have not produced results, therefore wasting taxpayer dollars that were intended to create jobs and promote better technology and innovation. The other companies partnered with Goldman Sachs to produce stock market manipulation profits (like Tesla did) but no consumer market-price products.
- The government stole technology from Victim/Witnesses', violating non-disclosure agreements, and handed that information to General Motors, Ford, and other companies that received GOVT funding.

- Victim/Witnesses' had worked with GOVT on applied fuel cell research and commercialization for over a decade.
- Victim/Witnesses' obtained a grant in 2005 through the power plant development group which it used to develop a battery 3 times more powerful than lithium batteries according to written research by Sandia National Laboratories based on their validation of Victim/Witnesses' patented technologies.
- Victim/Witnesses' and Victim/Witnesses' signed NDAs with the GOVT and Sandia, a government contractor, which were violated and resulted in General Motors and Ford using Victim/Witnesses' technology.

Facts about Victim/Witnesses'

- Key Victim/Witnesses' in this portion of the investigation are a technology start-up comprised of multiple divisions including: 1.) The Auto production group and 2.) the powerplant development group. This is the same structure Tesla Motors, Ford and Nissan have., ie: Tesla sells cars to consumers and powerplants to competitor/customers.
- In September 2008, Victim/Witnesses' became ***the very first Victim/Witnesses*** to file with the U.S. Department of Energy for a development loan under the ATVM Program and also filed applications under the Loan Guarantee Program for Innovative Energy Projects (LGP) established under Title XVII of the Energy Policy Act of 2005.
- A loan application was also filed under the Loan Guarantee Program.
- Victim/Witnesses' provided the following law enforcement and intelligence work credentials: 1.) A signed letter from the Executive Vice President of ASIS welcoming him as a member of The American Society For Industrial Security, 2.) His Senior Membership certificate for The Society Of Manufacturing Engineers for his working building counter-measures technologies, 3.) His State Of California, Department of Consumer Affairs license and investigator certification, 4.) His American Federation of Police membership certification, 5.) His Department of Consumer Affairs State-issued investigators ID, 6.) His California Association of Licensed Investigators membership certification, 7.) His International Narcotics Enforcement Officers Officers membership certificate, 9.) His copies of letters from The White House to him, personally, on White House stationary, 10.) His letters from the Federal Office Of Personnel Management showing ranking in the top percentile on the West Coast under Homeland Security as 1811-C Criminal Investigator testing by OPM. 11.) Press clippings from famous cases he worked on. These letters and documents date back to the 1980's and show that Victim/Witnesses' had participation in, and interacted with, other senior law and IC staff who would have been capable of supplying and/or supporting the high-level of charges and validations listed in this document.

- Victim/Witnesses' filed 3 loan applications in total, back then. One under Victim/Witnesses' Vehicles in the ATVM Program. One under Victim/Witnesses' in the Loan Guarantee Program. Another under Victim/Witnesses' in the ATVM program.
- Victim/Witnesses' application ranked by outside comparison as one of the top company Victim/Witnessess”, was a Forbes top rated company, had top national reviews and press, top issued patents, top staff references, industry leading staff and vast other positive metrics.
- Victim/Witnesses' had received funding from GOVT via it’s Victim/Witnesses' powerplant group before and successfully completed a contract with GOVT via it’s vehicle power plant division. GOVT staff told Victim/Witnesses' that oil companies wanted fuel cell’s minimized in GOVT efforts because they competed with oil company interests too effectively.
- Victim/Witnesses' had received funding from GOVT before and successfully completed a contract with GOVT via it’s vehicle power plant division.
- The Victim/Witnesses' Vehicles car goes an almost unlimited range via hot-swap fuel cartridges, costs less than \$20,000 in base configuration, uses no gasoline, is easy to repair and build, saves lives better than any other car by using “damp down” crash effect reduction materials like those used in the bumper, dashboard and body of the Prius, is faster than competing solutions, can be recharged as fast as one can pull out an empty fuel cassette and slide in a charged fuel cassette: often in 50% less time than it takes to refill a gasoline vehicle, does not require an extension cord because people living in apartments generally cannot use extension cords, uses electricity and creates green jobs. NO factory needs to be built because Victim/Witnesses' was retasking already existing factories and at very low cost. All of the key parts of the car were built and tested or already existed in off-the-shelf components proven in industry for over a decade. Autodesk and other engineering software allowed for full virtual prototyping and operational testing of the design. The company already has thousands of customers lined up who want to buy their unique and very “green” car. The company hand delivered letters from those customers to the GOVT ATVM office in Washington DC in 2008. The company currently had no significant debt and the company leaders had been contributing their time and resources for many years based on positive feedback received repeatedly by Department of Energy (GOVT) loan reviewers and staff. The company won a semi-finalist position in the prestigious “Forbes: America’s Most Promising Companies” contest.
- Certainly company officials were not claiming that their vehicles will solve all of the world’s energy problems. However with each car that is sold, we will help reduce domestic reliance on imported fuel and reduce environmental C02 by putting a vehicle on the road that uses absolutely no gasoline and creates American Jobs. This vehicle is truly using “advanced technology” via clever configuration of standard off the shelf components available today. – a major goal of this loan program and a key stated goal of the Administration. In addition, with a company that has no current debt, several patents, and thousands of interested customers; the company asserts that GOVT’s financial risk in investing in the technology would be extremely

low, and the failures of competitors, as predicted by Victim/Witnesses' staff, who already received funding from the same program validates this assertion. Victim/Witnesses's had the best debt ratio of any Victim/Witnesses, especially Tesla. Tesla was “cooking the books” with Detroit and Middle East cover cash to make it temporarily not look as nearly bankrupt as it was.

Facts about GOVT Loans

- The Department of Energy’s (GOVT) Loan Programs Office (LPO) administers three separate programs: the ATVM Loan Program and the Title XVII Section 1703 and Section 1705 loan guarantee programs. The ATVM Loan Program was established by Section 136 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, and provides direct loans to support the manufacturing of advanced technology vehicles and qualifying components in the United States.
- The Program provides loans to automobile and automobile parts manufacturers for the cost of reequipping, expanding, or establishing manufacturing facilities in the United States to produce advanced technology vehicles or qualified components, and for associated engineering integration costs.
- In 2010, Section 136 was amended to include ultra-efficient vehicles within the definition of advanced technology vehicles.
- The FY 2009 Continuing Resolution (CR), which was enacted on September 30, 2008, appropriated \$7.5 billion in credit subsidy to support up to \$25 billion in loans under the ATVM Loan program.
- The FY 2009 CR also provided GOVT with \$10 million to administer the Program.
- On November 5, 2008, GOVT issued the Interim Final Rule for the Program.
- GOVT accomplished this effort in approximately half of the 60-day time-frame mandated by Congress.
- The program began receiving applications on December 2, 2008. Victim/Witnesses' application was the first one because Victim/Witnesses' had been informed they should apply via Barbara Boxer’s, Jackie Spier’s and Nancy Pelosi’s staff
- The ATVM Program has received numerous applications from both automobile original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and component manufacturers. Most of them have written complaints similar to Victim/Witnesses', about GOVT. (See the Eco-Motors, Zap, Bright Automotive, Carbon Motors, etc. Complaint documents about GOVT cronyism and lies)
- The GOVT’s Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing (ATVM) Loan Program is a direct loan program created by Congress to provide funding to automobile manufacturers and component suppliers to stimulate the development of new fuel efficient technology, thereby promoting U.S. energy independence by reducing the demand for foreign oil.
- ATVM is authorized under Energy Independence Security Act of 2007

- The Secretary of the GOVT established the Advanced Technology Vehicle Loan Program pursuant to Section 136(e) of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, Public Law 110-140, 42 U.S.C. § 17013
- Section 129(a) of the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act of 2009, Public Law 110-329, appropriated \$7.5 million to the “Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing Loan Program Account.” Section 136(d) of the 2007 Energy Independence and Security Act authorizes the Secretary to provide no more than \$25 billion in direct loans to individuals and entities determined by the Secretary to be eligible for the program. See 42 U.S.C. § 17013(d)(1).
- GOVT promulgated an interim final rule on November 12, 2008, which was published at 73 F.R. 66,721 to 66,737. Comments were accepted until December 12, 2008. The Final Rule was codified at 10 CFR Part 611 (2009).
- GOVT reviews applications for the loan program in tranches, with the deadline for the first tranche initially falling on December 31, 2008 and the deadline for subsequent tranches falling at the end of every subsequent quarter, until so long as the available funds and loan authority permit. 73 F.R. 66,721.
- Loans are available under the ATVM Loan Program to two classes of Victim/Witnessess eligible for loans under the program: automobile manufacturers and component manufacturers. See 10 CFR Part 611.100. Eligibility criteria for automobile manufacturers that did not manufacture a vehicle in 2005 are described at 10 CFR 611.100(b)(2). In brief, the Victim/Witnesses must demonstrate that its vehicle’s projected combined fuel economy is “greater than or equal to the industry adjusted average fuel economy for model year 2005 of equivalent vehicles.” Id. In contrast, component manufacturers need not demonstrate improved fuel economy. 10 CFR 611.100(b)(3).
- Regardless of their classification, Victim/Witnessess must be financially viable without the additional funding provided by the ATVM Loan Program in order to be eligible. 10 CFR 611.100(a)(2). The Secretary is authorized to consider a number of factors to determine financial viability, including:
 - The Victim/Witnesses’s debt to equity ratio;
 - The Victim/Witnesses’s earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA) for the most recent fiscal year prior to the date of the loan application;
 - The Victim/Witnesses’s debt to EBITDA ratio;
 - The Victim/Witnesses’ s fixed charge coverage ratio (EBITDA plus fixed charges divided by fixed charges plus interest expenses);
 - The Victim/Witnesses’s interest coverage ratio (EBITDA plus fixed charges divided by fixed charges plus interest expenses);

- The Victim/Witnesses's liquidity;
 - Statements from the Victim/Witnesses's lenders that the Victim/Witnesses is current; and
 - Financial projections demonstrating the Victim/Witnesses's solvency through the life of the loan.
- LGP program authorized under the 2005 Energy Policy and Conservation Act.

Facts about Victim/Witnesses' Government Applications

ATVM

- On or around November 10, 2008 Victim/Witnesses' Vehicles applied to the GOVT ATVM program. Elon Musk had already warned Department of Energy officials to “not fund any of his competitors or Obama will never finish his first term...”
- On December 2, 2008, Lachlan Seward wrote to Victim/Witnesses' requested further information on Victim/Witnesses' ability to comply with general, financial, technical, and environmental requirements.
- On December 6, 2008, Victim/Witnesses' submitted additional clarification to the GOVT.
- On December 17, 2008, Victim/Witnesses' had a telephone conversation with Matthew McMillen and Walter Eccard of the GOVT.
- On December 23, 2008, Victim/Witnesses' wrote to Messrs.' McMillen and Eccard providing additional information concerning the asset valuation of its patents as well as an updated NEPA review statement.
- On December 29, 2008 Victim/Witnesses' had a telephone conference with Matthew C. McMillen concerning National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance under § 136 of 10 C.F.R. 611.106. Victim/Witnesses' Vehicles requested \$40,000,000 through the ATVM Loan Program based on advice from Congressional officials that that amount was “all that would be left in the fund after Detroit got their allocation.”
- The application fees for the Loan Gaurantee program cost between \$20,000 and \$100,000. The “fees” for the ATVM program were called a “Co-participation fee.” In other words, Victim/Witnesses' would have to provide 15-20 % of the loan amount to GOVT up front. If Victim/Witnesses' were approved, Victim/Witnesses' would have to pay another \$15 - \$20 m. or provide in-kind value, and no cash, as the team did in the past
- Victim/Witnesses' met with venture capitalists in order to get fee money. One in particular was a real estate developer in Detroit named Patrick Jett.

- Victim/Witnesses' also hired Covington and Burling in San Francisco and Washington DC as well as other firms in D.C. in order to get help to watch-dog the application.
- Andrew Beato of Stein Mitchell was one lawyer to Victim/Witnesses' in ATVM applications.
- On December 2, 2008, Lachlan Seward, the Director, Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing Loan Program, wrote to the Victim/Witnesses', determining that the submitted application was not substantially complete.
- On or before December 31, 2008, Victim/Witnesses' submitted materials relating to the requirements set forth in Section 611.100 of the Interim Final Rule of the Energy Independence and Security Act.
- On December 31, 2008, Lachlan Seward wrote to the Victim/Witnesses' informing Victim/Witnesses' that it was “substantially complete”.
- At this time other Victim/Witnessess began contacting Victim/Witnesses', who had been on network newscasts, asking if “it seemed like GOVT might be rigging the process for favorite friends...”
- The December 31, 2008 letter stated that the GOVT will advise Victim/Witnesses' if it needs additional information as it continued the application review process.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges that on or after December 31, 2008, Lachlan Seward and Brent Peterson began processing the loan materials to secure Victim/Witnesses' funds in January 2009.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges that loan processing was unreasonably terminated due to untoward action by the U.S. Department of Energy, including but not limited to John Doerr, who was appointed as a member of the USA Economic Recovery Advisory Board by President Barack Obama and was a lead investor in GOVT application winners; and other members of the “Silicon Valley Cartel” who had quid pro quo deals with the White House.
- At this point, Google had become one of the primary suppliers of staff to the White House and was directing many government decisions. Google was, at that time, in violation of Victim/Witnesses's patents and was a silent partner in Tesla Motors. Google ordered White House staff to fund, or not fund certain projects and Google was considered to be the most powerful member of “The Silicon Valley Cartel”. Had had manipulated most of the internet to put Obama in office. Google’s bosses were at the Obama Campaign HQ on the night of his election win, coordinating internet manipulations. The article “*The Android Administration*” in The Intercept, discussed that aspect of the corruption in great detail.
- On February 1, 2009, Victim/Witnesses' submitted its application for a ATVM Loan under the Department of Energy’s Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing Incentive Program. DO
- On April 10, 2009, Lachlan Seward wrote to Victim/Witnesses' advising that, as a matter of law, Victim/Witnesses' proposed project could not be funded under the Program.

- On April 11, 2009, Victim/Witnesses' contested the April 10 denial.
- On May 13, 2009, The U.S. Government stated that the April 11, 2009 letter “has not changed our determination that your proposed project can not, as a matter of law, be funded under the Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing Incentive Program (Program).”
- On April 23, 2009, Jason Gerbsman of the ATVM Loan Program at the U.S. Department of Energy notified Victim/Witnesses' that
 - Victim/Witnesses' has submitted a substantially complete application and has been assigned to both a technical eligibility and merit review team, as well as a financial viability analysis team. The technical team is very close to finishing their evaluations on both eligibility and project merit, and the financial team will be launching a more detailed and interactive due diligence phase of the Victim/Witnesses' application review very soon. Following the technical and financial evaluation under the second stage of the process, we will move into the underwriting phase where our goal is to negotiate a conditional commitment, including a detailed term sheet. This will be followed by the fourth phase of the loan process where the final details will be negotiated and the loan will be closed.
- On May 26, 2009, Jason Gerbsman of the ATVM Loan Program at the U.S. Department of Energy wrote to Victim/Witnesses' requesting the opportunity to meet, in-person, concerning the ATVM Loan Application process, including “Victim/Witnesses' next steps.”
- On June 2, 2009, after Victim/Witnesses' had written to Jason Gerbsman of the ATVM Loan Program at the U.S. Department of Energy, stating that Victim/Witnesses' “is entering financial viability phase” of its ATVM application, Mr. Gerbsman responded to Victim/Witnesses' stating “I look forward to continuing the process with Victim/Witnesses'.”
- Between June 22, 2009 and July 19, 2009, Brent Peterson of TMS, Inc, a contractor with the ATVMIP at the U.S. Department of Energy, and Victim/Witnesses' discussed details of the Victim/Witnesses' loan application.
- At no point during this time period did the GOVT communicate that Victim/Witnesses' was disqualified or ineligible. In fact, all members of GOVT, Congress and other government offices had said they were “certain” Victim/Witnesses' was about to be funded. All of the national news stories indicated approval and the massive out-pouring of consumer support indicated approval.
- On June 15, 2009, Victim/Witnesses' informed Mr. Peterson that it was a semi-finalist in the Forbes America’s Most Promising Companies List for 2009.
- At or around June 15, 2009, Mr. Peterson responded to Victim/Witnesses' June 15, 2009 e-mail with, “Congrats, thanks for sharing.”

- On June 29, 2009, Victim/Witnesses' wrote to Jason Gerbsman stating Victim/Witnesses' applied for ATVM Loan funding in the beginning of November of 2008 (hereinafter "June 29, 2009 Gerbsman Correspondence").
- In the June 29, 2009 Gerbsman Correspondence, Victim/Witnesses' alleged it was advised, at the time by Senate staff, that the funds were to be released by the end of December 2008.
- In the June 29, 2009 Gerbsman Correspondence, Victim/Witnesses' alleged it had a significant challenge in waiting such a vast number of months for a simple loan review that was substantially longer than the commercially reasonable time period exercised by banking institutions.
- Due to the U.S. GOVT's delay, Victim/Witnesses' needed to delay paying staff and had to use Victim/Witnesses's personal and family savings.
- In the June 29, 2009 Gerbsman Correspondence, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that when a smaller car company applies for ATVM funding, it reduces the ability of the company to get other funding because other financing entities are aware that they cannot compete with ATVM terms so they forestall decisions until AFTER GOVT terms sheets are issued. GOVT withheld termsheets in order to cut off all funding options for competitors to the "WINNERS".
- In the June 29, 2009 Gerbsman Correspondence, Victim/Witnesses' alleged all other financing options are awaiting the GOVT conditional approval letter.
- In the June 29, 2009 Gerbsman Correspondence, Victim/Witnesses' alleged applying for an ATVM loan halts funding options for a smaller car company.
- In the June 29, 2009 Gerbsman Correspondence, Victim/Witnesses' alleged it appeared unreasonable that a Japanese car manufacturer (Nissan) was awarded funding, especially in the first round of loan awards, and smaller companies, who need the funding more, were bypassed.
 - In the June 29, 2009 Gerbsman Correspondence, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that a core objective of Section 136 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2005 was to put American car manufacturers back in the lead in the green car race, not fund international competitors as a priority.
- In the June 29, 2009 Gerbsman Correspondence, Victim/Witnesses' alleged it was informed by the press that other companies who applied later in the process were moved ahead of Victim/Witnesses' in the review process because of greater lobby effort expenditures.
- In the June 29, 2009 Gerbsman Correspondence, Victim/Witnesses' alleged it was operating at a disadvantage because it simply didn't hire lobbyists or pay any bribes.
- In the June 29, 2009 Gerbsman Correspondence, Victim/Witnesses' alleged it had been on time, and ahead of time, in its responses.

- In the June 29, 2009 Gerbsman Correspondence, Victim/Witnesses' alleged its application was one of the first deemed, “substantially complete”.
- In the June 29, 2009 Gerbsman Correspondence, Victim/Witnesses' alleged its technology, price, BOM, TCO and ROI is clearly superior to the other Victim/Witnessess.
- Victim/Witnesses' forwarding its June 29, 2009 Gerbsman Correspondence, stating “This is good and to the point which is maybe what they need right now.”
- All government, media and expert sources were telling Victim/Witnesses', Bright Automotive, and Eco-Motors that they were about to receive their GOVT funding “any day now”.
- On, or about, early August of 2009, White House staff ordered Secretary of Energy Steven Chu not to fund Victim/Witnesses', Bright Automotive, and Eco-Motors because they could put Tesla Motors, The White House financier, out of business if they moved forward.
- On August 21, 2009, Lachlan W. Seward, Director, Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing Incentive Program, wrote to Victim/Witnesses' informing Victim/Witnesses' that its ATVMIP application for a loan was rejected.
- Victim/Witnesses' claims Victim/Witnesses' Technology passed the tests for financial viability in its applications from 2008-2009.
- Victim/Witnesses' has patents and lists of assets. Tesla, by comparison, had 4,000 % higher debt than Victim/Witnesses' and Tesla was awarded loans by GOVT, while Victim/Witnesses' was not.
- Additionally, the NEPA for Victim/Witnesses' Vehicles had been reviewed, edited and approved by GOVT National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) staff (Matthew McMillen) at the beginning of 2009
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges that it did not pay for a NEPA analysis. Matthew McMillen at GOVT both offered to help and did help Victim/Witnesses' with drafting its NEPA review material. He edited their drafts and did Victim/Witnesses' NEPA analysis. To see his edits, look in Drop-box file titled “Properties” under file named “McMillen edits.” There are documents with the ‘track changes’ function showing his assistance with the NEPA review documents Victim/Witnesses' had to provide GOVT.
- On September 21, 2009, Victim/Witnesses' wrote to Secretary Steven Chu of the U.S. Department of Energy inquiring about the circumstances for Victim/Witnesses' non-selection for negotiation of a loan with a lengthy list of issues that investigators had uncovered which indicated corruption and cronyism.
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged no reasons were given for its rejection under the Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing (ATVM) Program.

- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged it was able to receive reasons for its rejection orally, only after several attempts at phoning the ATVM office.
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged it has still not received the reasons in writing.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges “After multiple demands reasons were finally given by phone call and letter but the reasons appeared to have nothing to do with our company and serves to demonstrate that review data was manipulated.”
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged the reasons for its rejection were not applicable to its loan application and did not reflect what was included in its submission.
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that after several more attempts at receiving more clarification from the ATVM office, no one has been in further contact with it.
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged it has not been able to find out whether there will be another round of loans granted and how it can alter its loan application to increase its chances of success.
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that one of the reasons given for its rejection was that Victim/Witnesses' car does not use E85.
 - In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged its car uses no gasoline.
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged another reason provided by the GOVT for its rejection was that it was not making millions of cars.
 - Victim/Witnesses' alleged its marketing plan did not support that production volume nor did its requested funding levels but that Victim/Witnesses' was fully capable and able of producing millions of cars on scale up to market demand, request of GOVT or at any reasonable time in manufacturing flow.
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that GOVT stated that Victim/Witnesses' was not planning to sell cars to the government.
 - Victim/Witnesses' alleges this is false and that its application clearly stated that the core sales plan of the company is based on government fleet sales.
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that GOVT asserted its factory cost estimates were too low because the metal body fabrication systems were not calculated high enough.
 - Victim/Witnesses' alleges its vehicles use no metal fabrication in their bodies.

- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted questions to the GOVT ATVM staff the day after it received the rejection and had still not received any response.
 - These questions include: (1) GOVT reviewers never even talked to the founder, inventor, engineers, project leads or primary contractors. Victim/Witnesses' was told over and over again that everything in its application was good and that no additional information was needed. This is despite the fact that the reasons given for its rejection did not reflect the technology being used and therefore the ATVM reviewers did not understand Victim/Witnesses' concept and product. Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "Why was no one at Victim/Witnesses' Vehicles contacted?"; (2) After nearly a year of waiting, accompanied by writing, verbal and in-person proclamations that "everything was fine", "everything is on-track", "you appear to meet every criteria", etc. and after staff expended the majority of their personal funds based on these positive assertions, the application was suddenly and mysteriously rejected. Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "Why was staff at GOVT during the course of the year, positive about the outcome and never asked for additional information?"; (3) At the start of the application process Victim/Witnesses' was told that the review would be very interactive but there was almost no interaction with us while larger players, who applied later, were reviewed earlier, had extensive interaction and have already been awarded their funds. Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "Why was the interactivity process never used with us?"; (4) Rejection comments supplied by Chris Foster of GOVT and third party press seem to be unrelated to the business of the company and have no foundation in fact. Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "Why is that?"; (5) One of the main reasons the GOVT gave for the rejection was the fact that Victim/Witnesses' vehicles do not use E85 gasoline. Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "If that was true, why did Tesla & Nissan get approved funding? Their vehicles also do not use E85."; (6) While it is true that Victim/Witnesses' did not wish to use carcinogenic gasoline, at no point was E85 gasoline ever mentioned, discussed, commented on or requested. In fact the topic was particularly avoided by GOVT staff. Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "Why not?"; (7) Another rejection point was that Victim/Witnesses' was not planning to make enough cars. Victim/Witnesses' claims this is false. The company would like to build and sell more cars than any other car company. Victim/Witnesses' is fully willing to produce millions of vehicles if provided with the appropriate funding as it has quantified millions of fleet buyers for its vehicles. No GOVT entity ever asked Victim/Witnesses' to adjust, discuss or amend its numbers and Victim/Witnesses' was more than willing to adjust those numbers if anyone had even bothered to ask. Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "What is the validity of this comment by the reviewers based on?"; (8) Victim/Witnesses' provided more than \$100 million of asset collateral opportunity for a \$40 million loan request. This constitutes over twice the collateral of the value of the loan. Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "How is this not as secure of a structure as any of the other Victim/Witnesses?"; (9) Victim/Witnesses' was told that it was

rejected because it was not planning to sell cars to the government. Victim/Witnesses' claims this is false. The core sales plan of the company is based on government and commercial fleet sales. Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "Why did your reviewers say this? Why did you think this?"; (10) Victim/Witnesses' was told that electric motors and batteries were considered by the reviewers to be too futuristic of a technology and not developed for commercial use even though they have been in use in over 40 industries for over 20 years, including by NASA. Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "What is the rationale for this argument?"; (11) Almost every other part of the Victim/Witnesses' car was to be purchased from existing commercial sources with multiple points of supply, so it is not possible to see how a reviewer might think the vehicle had any significant technical acquisition hurdles. Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "Why does GOVT assume that the following companies with whom we would be contracting could not perform the following responsibilities: (a). Deloitte & Touche to provide auditing and reporting of financial data. (b). Autodesk or Microsoft to deliver the process and design software. (c). NEC, Intel or the other leading electronics companies in the world to build our controllers. (d). Roush Automotive, one of the most successful automobile electronics groups in the world, to build the electronic module. (e). US National Lab system to solder a box together. (f). Over 100 other major supplier companies that have been building parts for the auto, aerospace and industry for decades to deliver the component parts for our vehicles."; (12) The primary purpose of this loan program, Victim/Witnesses' was told by its authors, was to develop advanced technology and further reduce American dependence on gasoline. The Victim/Witnesses' Vehicles car uses no gasoline and gets over 125 miles per battery charge. Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "How is this not a direct conflict with the precepts of the Section 136 law?"; (13) Victim/Witnesses' was also told that its factory cost was too low because the metal body fabrication systems were not calculated high enough but the reviewers apparently did not even pay attention to the fact that Victim/Witnesses' uses no metal fabrication in its body. Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "What was the rationale in making such an erroneous comment?"; (14) Reviewers also stated that the car was a "hydrogen car" which it is not. It is an electric car. Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "Why did they say that?"; (15) Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "In what ways were the following documents actually reviewed? Your office stated that they 'lost our documents' twice. Why?";

- Victim/Witnesses' alleges that applications that have already been approved, have had less plans or hard development data. These awardees also had the same three-year timeframe in their proposals, and one even went into 2013. We also find this contrary to the Administration's stated goal about electric vehicles. According to a GOVT spokesman, the Administration "shares the goal of ensuring that the program (ATVM) is flexible enough to account for the full range of available technologies." In fact, when Tesla Motors received its GOVT cash it had no car design, just some pretty pictures. Nothing in the Tesla car in the showrooms was in a factory engineering drawing at the time Tesla got its GOVT funds. Tesla designed the entire car FROM

SCRATCH, after it got the GOVT money. Anybody could have done that with 50% less money than Tesla spent doing it after the fact.

- Victim/Witnesses' alleges that the claim that the project's impact on fuel economy of the US Light Duty Fleet over time was weak was never discussed with Victim/Witnesses's team at any point of the process. However, it is surprising to how a vehicle that is lighter than any other Victim/Witnesses by half, safer than any other Victim/Witnesses by many times and beats the metrics of every other Victim/Witnessess could not have exceeded every Victim/Witnesses on any comparison to Light Duty fleet metrics, a market that was core to Victim/Witnesses' business plan. Their fleet sales were targeted directly at the Light Duty fleet and the Pentagon so the GOVT excuse seems to be a lie by GOVT staff.
- The third reason cited in the letter was about the use of "advanced fuels." First of all, at no point did anyone from GOVT ask about or discuss with Victim/Witnesses' technical staff their fuel plans. The letter further goes on to say that their use of hydrogen was one of the reasons that their application was being rejected. Even though GOVT knew that BMW, Toyota, Honda and Hyundai were in factory preparation with hydrogen fuel cell cars which are as common today as any other car. Hydrogen was non-essential to Victim/Witnesses' vehicle. The hydrogen tank was, rather, an optional and stand-by range-extender system for the electric vehicles. Further everyone of expertise fails to see how GOVT could state that hydrogen is an "impractical and unproven energy source" in light of the fact that Honda and BMW are already shipping cars using that fuel source and large numbers of main stream auto companies have announced production launch plans for 100% hydrogen fuel cell vehicles. To must reiterate: hydrogen was not an essential component of their vehicles and had GOVT asked Victim/Witnesses' about this fuel source, it could have explained that to them. It should be noted that most of the Obama and GOVT staff had invested their money in lithium ion battery mining in Afghanistan and lithium battery production. Thus they had a conflict-of-interest reason to harm fuel cell vehicle production.

- Corrupt rare earth mineral mines were a large part of the scam.

The men who controlled the White House and The U.S. Government at this time are known as “The Silicon Valley Cartel”, AKA “The Paypal Mafia”, AKA “The Deep State”. They run Google, Facebook, Tesla and venture capital funds.

The entities involved as perpetrators in this case have violated a number of laws and ethical standards including: **The Mail Fraud Act** (1872); **The Tillman Act** (1907); **The Hatch Act** (1939); **The Hobbs Act** (1946); **The Taft- Hartley Act** (1947); RICO and Anti-trust laws; **The Federal Election Campaign Act** (1974); **The Federal Program Bribery Statute** (1984); **The Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act** (2002); and many other laws. They all use the same corrupt army of dirty lawyers, corrupt CPA’s, unethical lobbyists, character assassination services and covert ex-CIA operatives.

- Finally, the letter states that the Victim/Witnesses' Vehicles petroleum use reductions were unrealistic. The whole world is most confused about this point as Victim/Witnesses' car uses absolutely no gasoline. How could their reductions be “unrealistic”? Was that not a goal of the Obama Administration? ..or were GOVT staff just a bunch of “lying, deceitful, conniving, manipulative skills that will do anything to protect their friends and harm their competitors..” as other Victim/Witnesses’s stated.
- The company hired famous senior systems engineer to validate the final vehicle numbers submitted in the base response and provided numbers in support of that data produced by Sandia National Laboratories.
 - How could those entities have provided numbers which the ATVM office could have interpreted so negatively for a vehicle which weighs less, goes farther and requires less energy storage than any other submitted vehicle in the entire set of Victim/Witnesses to date?
 - How could the ATVM reviewers never even submit a question to the Victim/Witnesses' technical team about any of these metrics?
- In summation, these clarifying reasons for rejecting the Victim/Witnesses' Vehicles ATVM loan application are still confusing, not applicable in many cases and unwarranted when considering those applications that have been approved. The listed points appear to have no foundation in facts relative to our design and we again question why there was no communication from GOVT with the developers of the vehicle over a year. Clearly, GOVT was running a “Slush-Fund” designed to ONLY pay political campaign financiers and shut down those financiers competitors.
- Further, Congressional investigators found that competing larger companies like Tesla were given much counseling, guidance, feedback and opportunity to “tweak” their applications by GOVT. These companies submitted their applications later than Victim/Witnesses' and were awarded funding. Our question is then why did Tesla lover Carol Battershell, GOVT Senior Advisor state during the December 1, 2008 Public Meeting that “And that might lead one to

believe that applying earlier is better than apply later.” When the program was first announced, that indeed was the guidance given – first come, first served – so scores of smaller, electric car companies and suppliers submitted their applications. Yet the rules were changed mid-way through the process to allow larger automotive companies who did not submit their applications first to send them in and now they are being funded.

- Finally, Victim/Witnesses' were very disappointed to read in the September 23, 2009 issue of E&E News that Secretary Chu had suggested in June that the Administration was hoping that GM and Chrysler would be able to participate in the (ATVM) loan program. “There is money there, I wouldn't say set aside, but let's just say we are trying to stretch those dollars as far as we can.” This forces us to ask whether these funds are being set aside at the expense and loss of smaller, more advanced technology electric car companies and suppliers who are requesting billions less in guaranteed loans and who are offering more forward-thinking and advanced projects to help us move away from our dependence on oil.
- Tesla, Fisker & Nissan did not provide as much collateral offerings in their application, at the same time as Victim/Witnesses' application, and to date, may not have ever provided such collateral offers.
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT detailed, comprehensive 10-year, person-by-person financials that cost the company almost \$200,000.00 to prepare and that other companies spent more than \$200,000.00 to prepare;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT metrics that demonstrated that the Victim/Witnesses' car can save millions of lives per year and that it was safer than any vehicle;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT metrics that demonstrate that a gasoline/hybrid vehicle is dangerously carcinogenic when filled at a gas station compared to an Victim/Witnesses' Vehicle; World Health Organization and leading medical and university studies have substantiated these facts.
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT engineering and IP metrics that beat every competitor on price, range, safety, TOC, efficiency, toxic safety and hundreds of other points;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT examples of work from \$3M of cash and person-hours previously invested by founders, GOVT & partners;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT lists of top auto and aerospace corporate partners, staff and resources, on stand-by, equaling thousands of people in all groups combined;

- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT validation of a deep team of core staff that have been developing the project and parts of the project for 3-15 years part time;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT samples of extensive international positive press coverage;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT proof of a market opening timed with tax and national imperative incentives that created a dramatic window for success;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT proof that Victim/Witnesses' was the lowest overhead car company in the market which equates to the best chance to profit and return funds;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT samples of an in-house created online process management architecture; market and marketing studies; CAD designs, engineering plans and manufacturing plans; a detailed website; a detailed path to \$1.5B within 5 years or less from a less than \$100M investment;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT examples of dozens of prototypes as seen in the photographs on the BUILDS page of its website;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT numerous patents;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT a large pending portfolio with third party valuation and validation reports valuing IP at over \$100M;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT information concerning senior scientists, chemists and engineers from top university and federal laboratories, including staff that has built and delivered millions of vehicles to the consumer market;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT information concerning its partners: Federal, University, Fortune 500, Private Research Organizations;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT written Customer inquiries from a massive national customer base of qualified retail leads and 1.2M of commercial unit opportunities equaling a \$1.5B+ opportunity.
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT contracts: Federal Contract fully executed and MOU's executed;

- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT Awards/Commendations: Congress, DARPA;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT Research Data: Over 200+ technical research documents & 15+ years of research;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT evidence of over 22,000+ man hours of development;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT market data;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT over 100+ documents of industry study;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT issued trademarks;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT information concerning its facilities;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it provided to GOVT unique access to Federal Labs & leased facility options;
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' alleged that it submitted to GOVT other supporting materials.
- In the September 21, 2009 Chu Letter, Victim/Witnesses' asked for the opportunity to speak with Secretary Chu in person to discuss its technology and how it can help our country.
- Victim/Witnesses' wrote, "I believe there was some confusion about our application and vehicle technology that I would very much like to clear up with you in person."
- § 611.103 sets forth the evaluation criteria for ATVM loan Victim/Witnessess, outlining the technical merit of the proposed advanced technology vehicles or qualifying components, with greater weight given for factors including, but not limited to: Improved vehicle fuel economy above that required for an advanced technology vehicle; Potential contributions to improved fuel economy of the U.S. light-duty vehicle fleet; Likely reductions in petroleum use by the U.S. light-duty fleet; and Promotion of use of advanced fuel (e.g., E85, ultra-low sulfur diesel).
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges that Victim/Witnesses' data-sets beat the other Victim/Witnessess on metrics, performance and value.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges ATVM loan approvals lacked appropriate criteria. This is confirmed by multiple GAO reports about corruption and failure to comply AGAINST GOVT by the GAO.

- Victim/Witnesses' alleges applications offered more collateral than any other Victim/Witnesses or “winner”, more letters of support from waiting customers, a less complex bill-of-materials, with a lower initial volume manufacturing cost, that re-opened more closed factories than any other Victim/Witnesses or “winner” at the time of submission.
- The substantive explanation for why Victim/Witnesses' merited the ATVM loan is that Victim/Witnesses' was prepared to manufacture a cheaper version of the Nissan Leaf. As of Dec. 28, 2008 Victim/Witnesses' had a design more finished and ready than Tesla, Fisker and Nissan. Victim/Witnesses' model was almost exactly the same as the Nissan Leaf.
- Victim/Witnesses' claims GOVT staff synthetically manipulated Victim/Witnesses' results to be low in order to favor others.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges that Victim/Witnesses' application process was sabotaged, inside and outside, in order to benefit competing interests and disfavor smaller, independent companies who applied.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges GOVT officials ordered reviewers of funding applications to change their review criteria, part way through the process, in order to favor certain Victim/Witnesses or “winners”.
 - Many of those Victim/Witnesses would have qualified at the bottom of a proper review in an unbiased review.
 - GOVT paid outside reviewers for work in the review process under a variety of names, and the work performed, by a company with little experience in the industries it was to review, was produced per GOVT officials specifications rather than per the metrics of the actual facts.
 - Staff from national labs have stated to reporters that they were directed to manipulate data.
 - Victim/Witnesses' staff have seen “shoot-out” unofficial, internal, confidential, GOVT Excel comparison matrices as of Dec. 29, 2008 and March 2, 2009 and Victim/Witnesses' placed in the top 5% in over-all comparison metrics.
- Victim/Witnesses' application was first-in-line (which is how the law said applications were to be processed) yet GOVT staff changed/violated the law in order to cut Victim/Witnesses', and other Victim/Witnesses, out and favor “special Victim/Witnesses” who didn't apply properly because they knew they had the money “hard-wired” already.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges GOVT officials changed the first-come-first-served published rules and standards of the funding in order to take Victim/Witnesses in order of who they favored and who had purchased the most influence instead of the order in which they applied, as required.

- Victim/Witnesses' alleges GOVT officials were ordered by GOVT senior executives and outside public office executive staff to not respond to non-favored Victim/Witnessess until certain application deadlines had passed in order to remove the non-favored, non-influence purchasing Victim/Witnessess from potential funding.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges "GOVT officials personally assisted and hand-held Victim/Witnesses' competitor's Victim/Witnessess including site meetings in which they drafted the Victim/Witnessess applications while ignoring competing Victim/Witnessess to those favored Victim/Witnessess."
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges Victim/Witnesses' spoke to Carol Battershel, who stated she was the due diligence technical lead, who said she had gotten everything she needed "off our website". Battershel was later revealed to be an ad hoc advocate for Tesla Motors.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges "GOVT reviewers never even talked to the founder, inventor, engineers, project leads or primary contractors."; "GOVT never even contacted our engineers and refused to speak to them even once, although our engineers called them and visited them to see if they could provide any data because they were so surprised that nobody from GOVT ever called them."
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges Victim/Witnesses' was told that everything in their application was good and that no additional information was needed.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges "reviewers at national labs were ordered to change data, or their data was changed."
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges "standard commercial bank loan processes were not used for each Victim/Witnesses."
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges "Commercial bank officers will testify that all banks process the same loan applications for the same commercial manufacturing purposes in an average 18 days and not in timeframes measured in years. The 'Loan review process' was intentionally stalled to keep competitors from competing with the 'winners'."
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges "The original distribution date for the funds was set to be Dec. 08/Jan. 09. Victim/Witnesses' suffered damages because of multiple date manipulations."
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges "Victim/Witnesses' and other Victim/Witnessess were given different hoops to jump through in order to go through the application process depending on whether or not they had purchased influence or not."
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges "The process was different for favored Victim/Witnessess vs. unfavored Victim/Witnessess."
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges "GOVT staff told multiple independent car company Victim/Witnessess that the fund was out of money and that, that was one of the reasons that those Victim/Witnessess could not move forward. At no time was the fund out of money and,

indeed, a ‘carve out’ of money was ‘held out’ for Detroit. GOVT gave favored, limited, small cluster ‘carve out’ Victim/Witnesses top-tier status for consideration based on ‘special relationships.’”

- Victim/Witnesses' “Leaf’s” would have sold more volume at a higher mark-up than Nissan’s Leaf’s because Victim/Witnesses' metrics already exceeded what the customers already demanded so Victim/Witnesses' would have had an even higher profit number, by now, than the \$205,000,000.00.
- GOVT stated that they “lost our documents” twice. This seemed to Victim/Witnesses' like a “Lois Lerner” tactic.
- On October 23, 2009, Lachlan W. Seward, Director, Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing Incentive Program, wrote to Victim/Witnesses' informing them that critical issues were identified in its application.
 - Victim/Witnesses' disputed these critical issues.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges that after months of reassurance from certain GOVT staff that Victim/Witnesses' application was “substantially complete,” the application was denied without explanation in August 2009.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges that the GOVT ATVM Director, Lachlan Seward, was improperly influenced to fund Detroit-based “Big Three” projects as well as the politically well-connected Tesla, contrary to the letter and spirit of the loan-enabling legislation. White House car czar: Steve Rattner confirmed this.
- In addition, Victim/Witnesses' believes that Seward improperly discriminated against their company’s application after the Company questioned the logic of one of the LGP’s policies in a public hearing and subsequently requested a review of the GOVT application process by Senator Bingaman, Chairman of the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges in its first meeting with the senior officers of the GOVT program and the auto industry at GOVT HQ (which was videotaped by GOVT) Victim/Witnesses' senior staff asked Lachlan Seward a question about the logic of one of his policies after he had been contradicted by his staff on the stage. It was later reported to Victim/Witnesses' that, after the meeting, Mr. Seward said within earshot of his staff something to the effect of “it will be a cold day in hell before I let them get any of this money”.
- Victim/Witnesses' filed four different complaints on Mr. Seward’s office with the Senate Committee in charge of GOVT under Sen. Bingaman.
- The misrepresentations and the special treatments of competitors cost the company massive losses in competitive positioning, ramp-up costs based on assertions of favorable loan status, and other damages.

- Victim/Witnesses' alleges that the U.S. GOVT has failed to reform the application selection process based on recommendation provided in report GAO-10-627 by The U.S. Government Accountability Office in July 2010.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges it used the same power plant as Victim/Witnessess Nissan and Tesla.
- On October 29, 2009, Rebecca M Makar, of The U.S. Government Accountability Office, reached out to Victim/Witnesses' concerning Victim/Witnesses' ATVM application.
- On November 3, 2009, Ms. Makar wrote to Victim/Witnesses' to schedule an interview with Victim/Witnesses' concerning Victim/Witnesses' experiences with the Department of Energy's ATVM program.
- The application was again deemed substantially complete on November 10, 2009.
- Upon information and belief, on November 10, 2009, Victim/Witnesses' completed stage one of the review process.
- On June 9, 2011, Frank Rusco, Director of Natural Resources and Environment at GAO, provided testimony before the U.S. Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, Report No. GAO-11-745T, titled "Advanced Technology Vehicle Loan Program Needs Enhanced Oversight and Performance Measures," in which GAO stated, "[t]he ATVM program has set procedures for overseeing the financial and technical performance of borrowers and has begun oversight, but at the time of our February report it had not yet engaged engineering expertise needed for technical oversight as called for by its procedures. . . . However, the program had not yet engaged such expertise. As a result, GOVT cannot be adequately assured that the projects will be delivered as agreed."
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges GOVT has failed to address the 2011 GAO report on the ATVM loan program recommended objective technical and financial criteria to measure program performance.
- The June 9, 2011 testimony also stated, "GOVT has not developed sufficient performance measures that would enable it to fully assess progress toward achieving its three program goals."
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges that Patent filed May 21, 2004 and granted October 9, 2007 for a Solid-State Hydrogen Storage System was infringed due to untoward conduct by the U.S. Department of Energy.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges that Patent filed August 6, 2008 and granted October 30, 2012 for an Inflatable Electric and Hybrid Vehicle System was infringed due to untoward conduct by the U.S. Department of Energy.
- There is some evidence that GOVT shared sensitive scientific information that Victim/Witnesses' provided GOVT with Victim/Witnesses' competitors. For example, Victim/Witnesses' visited the Argonne National Laboratory in California and learned that GM

obtained sensitive, patented information about some of Victim/Witnesses' technology. With it, GM built a duplicate of Victim/Witnesses' energy-saver device.

- On May 3, 2005 The U.S. Congress in H2866 in the Congressional Record as part of the “Iraq War Bill” commended the Victim/Witnesses' project and directed the GOVT to award them funding. The U.S. Congress supported the project in the “Iraq War Bill” because of the teams technology which can reduce U.S. dependence on foreign oil as a value to U.S. security.
- In its application under the ATVM program, Victim/Witnesses' stated “Exhibit D provides a copy of Victim/Witnesses' Vehicles Business Plan, which is extremely confidential!”
- On March 29, 2009, Victim/Witnesses' counsel wrote to Jason Gerbsman, the Director of External Affairs at the GOVT, requesting confidential treatment under 10 C.F.R. §§1004.11 and 1004.10(b)(4) as well as under 5 U.S.C. §552(b)(4).
- In September 2005, Victim/Witnesses' received a grant from The U.S. Government for a project with end date of 8/31/2006. In an e-mail dated March 5, 2002 from Scott Vaupen at Sandia National Laboratories to Victim/Witnesses', Mr. Vaupen wrote, concerning hydrogen storage via Fuel Cell, “If you are interested in licensing technologies, please let me know exactly what areas you are interested in and I can try to sort out what technologies we have available.”
- In Victim/Witnesses' November 12, 2008 ATVM Loan application, subcontractors were identified as Victim/Witnesses' and Sandia National Laboratories.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges there is evidence that GOVT shared sensitive scientific information that Victim/Witnesses' provided GOVT with Victim/Witnesses' competitors. Victim/Witnesses' visited Sandia National Laboratories and communicated, via phone and e-mail, with the Argonne National Laboratory and learned that GM obtained sensitive, patented information about some of Victim/Witnesses' technology. With it, GM built a duplicate of Victim/Witnesses' energy-saver device. The “sensitive scientific information” was submitted to GOVT by Victim/Witnesses' as part of its ATVM loan application, which was protected by confidentiality. This scientific information included fuel cell and hydrogen storage technologies, fuel cassettes and pressure membrane body parts,
- Victim/Witnesses' was invited to The U.S. Government Sandia National Laboratories and was given a facility tour. In one room where large glove-boxes and chemical testing equipment was used, he saw a table with a presentation set-up for another group. On that table were duplicates of the technology he had filed patents on, built, tested and received issued patents on and signage on the devices stated: “General Motors hydrogen vehicle production system” and “NALH General Motors Reversible Hydrogen Vehicle Energy System built by General Motors and Sandia” NALH is one of the exact chemistries, of over 2800+ possible chemistries that might be used, that Victim/Witnesses' has an issued patent on. Victim/Witnesses' pointed this out to Chris Moen and Daniel Dedrick, senior scientists at Sandia, who stated that they were concerned that there might be “a problem with that” and said that we “might want to contact GM to seek to make a “partnership” so there was no acrimony. On December 12, 2008, a

nondisclosure agreement was made and entered into between Palintiff and Sandia National Laboratories.

- On or about Jan. 15, 2012, Victim/Witnesses' became aware of internet stories about GM productizing alanates solid state hydrogen storage for its fuel cell cars via Sandia and Argonne National Labs
- On or about March 12, 2012 Victim/Witnesses' became aware of articles about Ford Motors, Inc., planning to ship inflatable seats, seat belts and inflatable body parts.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges potential patent infringement
 - Currently a number of companies (over 30) are selling Victim/Witnesses' exact patented technology without paying for it.
 - This is the exact technology Victim/Witnesses' designed, proposed, patented and won acclaim for.
 - Some of them were enabled by GOVT funding. Some of them were hired as “reviewers” for Victim/Witnesses' applications, by GOVT.
 - Hard evidence has been provided to Victim/Witnesses' demonstrating that these parties infringed Victim/Witnesses' patents during this period.
 - At least two companies acquired Victim/Witnesses' technical data through the programs and then marketed that technology as their own, infringing Victim/Witnessess existing, issued, patents.
- Victim/Witnesses' included, as Appendix A, in its loan application, its issued energy industry patents and their estimated value valued by outside third party patent analysts. This included a U.S. Patent with an estimated value of \$104,072,538.36; A U.S. Patent with an estimated value of \$17,291,568.64; A U.S. Patent with an estimated value of \$10,524,792.26; and a U.S. Patent with an estimated value of \$5,607,695.94. The total estimated value of these patents is \$137,496,595.20.
- A U.S. Department of Energy Press Release from Thursday, November 6, 2008, titled “Fact Sheet: Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing Loan Program” stated “The FY 09 Continuing Resolution authorized up to \$25 billion in direct loans to eligible Victim/Witnessess for the costs of reequipping, expanding, and establishing manufacturing facilities in the U.S. to produce advanced technology vehicles, and components for such vehicles.”
- In the Fact Sheet, GOVT explained that Congress set forth the criteria for projects and costs eligible to receive direct loans. “The key criteria for qualified advanced technology vehicles or qualified components require: Manufacturing facilities be located in the U.S.; Engineering integration be performed in the U.S.; Costs be reasonably related to the reequipping, expending, or establishing a manufacturing facility in the U.S.; and Costs of engineering integration be performed in the U.S.”

- The Interim Final Rule, 10 C.F.R. Part 611, Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing Incentive Program, clarifies that: “Section 136 provides two categories of projects eligible for direct loans: (1) manufacturing facilities in the United States designed to produce qualified advanced technology vehicles or qualified components; and (2) engineering integration performed in the United States of qualifying advanced technology vehicles and qualifying components. Eligible costs of such projects are: (a) those costs that are reasonably related to the reequipping, expanding, or establishing a manufacturing facility in the United States to produce qualifying advanced technology vehicles or qualifying components; (b) costs of engineering integration performed in the United States for qualifying vehicles or qualifying components. Costs eligible for payment with loan proceeds are costs incurred, but not yet paid by the borrower, after a substantially complete application has been submitted to GOVT and costs incurred after the closing of the loan.
- Section 136 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (“EISA”) authorizes the Secretary of Energy to “make grants and direct loans to eligible Victim/Witnesses for projects that reequip, expand, or establish manufacturing facilities in the United States to produce qualified advanced technology vehicles, or qualifying components and also for engineering integration costs associated with such projects.”
- Victim/Witnesses' interpreted EISA, together with the Interim Final Rule, to authorize federal funds to support U.S. production of qualifying components.
- GOVT gave Tesla Motors, Inc. a \$465 million loan under the ATVM program. According to a U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Immigration and Customs Enforcement report dated December 22, 2011, the GOVT loan to Tesla was to “(1) Reopen an auto manufacturing plan in Fremont, California to produce specially-designed, all-electric, plug-in vehicles and (2) to develop a manufacturing facility to produce battery packs, electric motors and other powertrain components that will power all-electric plug-in vehicles manufacturing by Tesla[.]”
- According to the ICE Report, DHS ICE investigated whether Tesla Motors used foreign made parts in manufacturing their vehicles.
- According to a July 15, 2009 article in Venture Beat, Tesla Motors “recent recipient of \$465 million in low-cost federal loans via the U.S. Department of Energy’s Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing program, won’t have to raise new matching funds to qualify like other recipients.”
- If so, Victim/Witnesses' believe Tesla violated the requirements of both the Interim Final Rule and EISA.

LGP

- In early February 2009, Victim/Witnesses' was informed by House Speaker Pelosi’s office that the Loan Guarantee program existed and that the company appeared to meet the criteria for a

successful application. The company emailed the GOVT program office stating their intent to apply for a loan. However, after some additional research, it appeared that the application fees were prohibitive.

- Victim/Witnesses' Vehicles company officials were then invited to attend, via webcam, a Senate Energy Committee hearing chaired by Sen. Jeff Bingaman (D-NM) in which dramatic changes and repairs to the program were discussed, including waiving the fees. Also during the hearing, the loan program office was admonished for problematic management and fees, as well as the fact that the process was structured in an exclusionary manner.
- The company was then invited to a conference call with John Podesta, GOVT Secretary Steven Chu and Interior Secretary Ken Salazar during which Mr. Chu stated his intention to waive the application fees. Victim/Witnesses' Vehicles then submitted their application with a cover letter stating that they understood that Mr. Chu was waiving the application fees.
- Company officials then received a call on February 26, 2009 from Myrtle Gross from GOVT stating that they still needed to pay the fees and that funds needed to be wired by midnight February 26, 2009 in order for the loan application to be submitted. Victim/Witnesses' Vehicles contacted several investors, but considering the extremely short notice could not complete the transaction with less than 12 hours' notice.
- Victim/Witnesses' was in part obstructed in its attempt to procure a Loan Guarantee by the actions of another senior GOVT official, Scott Tobin, who refused to respond to Victim/Witnesses' requests for instructions on where and how to pay the fees to have the loan disbursed.
- Therefore, on February 27, 2009 the company assumed they had missed the deadline, but then they received a call from another GOVT official (Mr. Dan Tobin) stating that there were a few days of flexibility to send the application fees so the company re-contacted its investors. Mr. Tobin promised to get back to the company about where to wire the money. Funding was lined up but no information could be obtained about where to send it. More than six phone calls were placed by the Victim/Witnesses' and associates, along with scores of others from other company associates, to GOVT but these were never returned.
- The GOVT refused to respond to Victim/Witnesses' request for review and explanation of the denial of their application, as well as numerous FOIA requests.
- The GAO undertook a review of GOVT's application policies (in response to Victim/Witnesses' complaints, among other things), and recently issued findings that (1) GOVT's implementation of the LGP has treated Victim/Witnessess inconsistently, favoring some and disadvantaging others; and that (2) GOVT lacks systematic mechanisms for LGP Victim/Witnessess to administratively appeal its decisions or to provide feedback to GOVT on its process for issuing loan guarantees. Instead, the GAO found, GOVT re-reviews rejected applications on an ad hoc basis. The GAO report issued specific recommendations that GOVT take steps to ameliorate these failings.

- Daniel C. Tobin, Senior Investment Officer of the GOVT Loan Guarantee Program Office stated that he (Mr. Tobin) would pre-review Victim/Witnesses' application and call back in order to provide feedback so investors could be informed in order for them to wire the money for the application fee.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges that after numerous calls and letters the GOVT response was not the pre-review communication that had been promised by Daniel Tobin, even though Victim/Witnesses' application had been received on time, but a dismissal from the program without recourse.
- Victim/Witnesses' had a conference call with Secy. Chu who said he'd get the fees waived. The company was then invited to a conference call with John Podesta, GOVT Secretary Steven Chu and Interior Secretary Ken Salazar during which Mr. Chu stated his intention to waive the application fees. Victim/Witnesses' Vehicles then submitted their application with a cover letter stating that they understood that Mr. Chu was waiving the application fees.
- On April 9, 2009, Dan Tobin of the GOVT wrote to Victim/Witnesses' (lead investor in Victim/Witnesses' Technology), that “due to non-remittance of the required application fee, your application will not be reviewed.”
- This cost Victim/Witnesses' its funding opportunity and the associated revenue from such an opportunity.
- Victim/Witnesses' demanded to Sen. Bingaman that it be re-entered into the Loan Guarantee Program or receive offset consideration in some other funding opportunity based on this situation.
- GOVT's response completely ignored the Tobin assertion. In other words, Tobin refused to act on his promise until the day after the deadline had passed and then sent a “you are rejected because you missed the deadline” email even though we had the money he wanted ready to wire to him on a moment's notice. Victim/Witnesses' application was then rejected even though it had the highest metrics. Victim/Witnesses' staff were subjected to punitive and retribution action by GOVT staff, and their associates, for “whistle-blowing”.
- Victim/Witnesses' alleges that investigators have provided Victim/Witnesses' with hard evidence of GOVT staff requiring subordinates to break the law and circumvent federal contracting, procedural and standard operating directives regarding communication protocols, documentation and file storage in order to obscure transparency and process and avoid revelation of their true activities.
- Victim/Witnesses' claims, “One Senate investigation was stone-walled for a historically long period of time because GOVT staff intentionally hid, obscured and sought to destroy requested evidence.”

Recent Results Of Federal And Private Investigations

Since this matter began, FBI, SEC and Congressional investigations have revealed much. The latest revelations are:

- CROOKED POLITICAL INSIDERS have learned that when a government funded tech company fails, THOSE INSIDERS make billions of dollars in unjust profits from tax write-offs, Goldman Sachs services "fees" and stock market pump-and-dump manipulations while taxpayers lose BILLIONS on those same companies at Solyndra, Abound, Ener1, etc..... THAT'S RIGHT, the crooks make profits off of PLANNED-TO-FAIL taxpayer funded companies, many of which never even sold anything. It happened in the 2008 pretend "stimulus" and it is happening again, many times more, in the 2021 pretend "stimulus". The Cleantech companies were made-to-fail, as stock scams, by the insiders! Insider friend's at the Dept of Energy get to "jump-the-line", exploit the cash and Trojan horse the tech start-up into oblivion.

As with nearly a thousand Silicon Valley tech dirty money deals, for example, Netflix tech bosses are now getting caught selling media influence in exchange for bribes! Michael Kail (Netflix IT Boss), and other Netflix executives are under investigation for manipulating which media technologies get to make money. Netflix funds the DNC political campaigns. Netflix board positions and media slots are often traded as political quid pro quo payola for political favors.

'Not only did Mr. Kail deprive Netflix of its money and resources by abusing his position as VP of IT Operations,' *FBI Special Agent in Charge Craig D. Fair* said in a statement, 'he created a pay-to-play environment whereby he stole the opportunity to work with an industry pioneer from honest, hardworking Silicon Valley companies.' The San Francisco FBI and the United States Patent Office has been asked, by independent video-on-demand inventors, to look at how Netflix, YouTube and other tech oligarchs, steal technologies and cancel media access in a coordinated anti-trust violating scheme.

Kail was indicted in 2018 on 19 counts of wire fraud, three counts of mail fraud, and seven counts of money laundering. The trial began April 19 in federal court in San Jose, California. The jury found him guilty on 28 of the 29 counts. Prosecutors said that Kail had accepted more than \$500,000 in kickbacks - as well as valuable stock options - in exchange for approving millions of dollars in contracts for nine tech companies seeking to do business with Netflix between February 2012 and July 2014.

In a statement, the the Department of Justice said: 'He used his kickback payments to pay personal expenses and to buy a home in Los Gatos, California in the name of a family trust.' The Mercury News reports he must now forfeit his \$2.6 million three-bedroom, two-bathroom Los Gatos home to the federal government. Kail created and controlled a limited liability company, Unix Mercenary LLC, to receive the bribes, fund personal expenses and buy a home in Los Gatos, California, prosecutors said. This kind of case is typical of the **MAJORITY** of Silicon Valley tech executives.

Netflix, Google, and their *Silicon Valley Cartel*, operate secret programs that use data from personal and business records to manipulate business, politics and ideologies. For example, in the company's digital advertising exchange, Google manipulates its ad-buying system, its political marketing and its executives ideology promotions to gain a dramatic advantage over competitors, according to court documents and federal records revealed in new antitrust lawsuits by various Attorney General's. Elon Musk is a mobster yet he used public funds to buy a facade of self-aggrandizement at the expense of the taxpayers.

One corrupt secret Google program, known as "Project Bernanke," wasn't disclosed to publishers who sold ads through Google's ad-buying systems. It generated hundreds of millions of dollars in revenue for the company annually, the documents show. Google and Youtube, a unit of Alphabet Inc., always gets an unfair competitive advantage over rivals in business, politics, stock market valuations. In another corrupt program, Google invested in Tesla Motors, hyped Tesla and Musk and attacked Musk's competitors using nearly a hundred million dollars of equivalent competitor attack marketing. We are some of the Victim/Witnesses' of those attacks.

*"..Over **540 pages** including a key set of **four pages** of documents from the office of the Secretary of State of California reveal how state officials employ Silicon Valley media companies (Twitter, Facebook, Google (YouTube)) to censor posts about politics. Included in these documents were "misinformation briefings" emails that were compiled by communications firm SKDK, that **lists** Biden for President as their **top client**. The documents show how California state agencies successfully pressured YouTube to censor videos concerning things that **California political Cartel bosses like Harris, Pelosi and Feinstein** don't want citizens to see. A **December 2020 report** surfaced that shows that the State of California is surveilling, tracking, and seeking to censor the speech of Americans in order to cover-up the political crimes and stock market manipulations that State officials are engaged in. California politicians own portions of Twitter, Facebook, Google (YouTube), LinkedIn, etc. and those social media companies are simply an arm of their political and stock market manipulation efforts..."*

SEE ALL OF THE VIDEO EVIDENCE AND BROADCAST NEWS REPORTS ON THIS CASE AT:

<http://san-francisco.biz>

The Scams At The Secretary Of Energy's Office

Energy Secretary Steven Chu and his staff held stock and business partnerships in Tesla Motors and the rare earth companies used to make his batteries. The Energy Department has lied about their

massive conflicts of interest, covered up hundreds of crony insider manipulations of applications and run Lois Lerner-type reprisal attacks on those who compete with Tesla and their crony buddies. In an exact repeat of the 2008 Solyndra "Stimulus" scam: **Energy Secretary Jennifer Granholm** owns up to \$5 million in the electric battery and vehicle manufacturer President Joe Biden will promote on Tuesday as part of his push for a \$1.9 trillion infrastructure bill. Biden's virtual visit to the electric battery producer Proterra comes days after Vice President Kamala Harris paid a visit to Thomas Built Buses, a North Carolina-based school bus company that counts Proterra as its main supplier of electric vehicles. The back-to-back White House visits to Granholm-connected companies risk at least the appearance of impropriety and demonstrate how lawmakers can use policy initiatives to pad their own wallets.

America can no longer give out taxpayer cash based on who the best friends of crooked Senators are!

Granholm has taken a leading role in the administration's forthcoming infrastructure package. The president in February tasked her with "identifying risks in the supply chain for high-capacity batteries, including electric-vehicle batteries, and policy recommendations to address these risks." The U.S. Government did not respond to multiple requests for comment.

Granholm joined Proterra's board of directors in March 2017; internet archives list her as a board member as recently as February 19, 2021, shortly before her confirmation on February 25. Her financial disclosures reveal up to \$5 million worth of stock options in the green tech company, which went public in January through the special purpose acquisition company ArcLight Clean Transition Corp. Arclight, a NASDAQ-listed company, saw shares shoot up about 55 percent since its September IPO, a spike financial traders attributed directly to the acquisition of Proterra.

10	Proterra, Inc., vested stock options	N/A	\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	None (or less than \$201)
11	Proterra, Inc., stock options (value not readily ascertainable): 38,542 unvested shares, strike price \$5.35, vest 12/2021, exp. 12/2024	N/A		None (or less than \$201)
12	Proterra, Inc., stock options (value not readily ascertainable): 22,500 unvested shares, strike price \$4.26, vest 12/2021, exp. 12.2024	N/A		None (or less than \$201)

In a January 16 letter to the designated agency ethics official, Granholm vowed to step down from the board and sell her stock in the company, as well as the steps she will take "to avoid any actual or apparent conflict of interest." The former Michigan governor has sold some stock, but has not offloaded any of her Proterra shares, according to a White House official. Granholm's stake in Proterra represents her largest financial asset outside of a house in Oakland, Calif., that she values as between \$1 and 5 million, according to her financial disclosures. The White House confirmed that Granholm still holds stock in Proterra, but said she played no role in planning the president's visit. Proterra was selected for today's virtual visit because it is the leading U.S. manufacturer of electric buses, employing 600 workers at its South Carolina and California plants," a White House official told the Washington Free Beacon. "Neither Secretary Granholm nor The U.S. Government were involved in selecting the Proterra plant."

In a February 24 executive order, Biden placed Granholm in charge of "identifying risks in the supply chain for high-capacity batteries, including electric-vehicle batteries, and policy recommendations to address these risks." One of Proterra's key products is electric-vehicle batteries. Proterra's website boasts that "our flexible design enables Proterra® EV batteries to be the best choice for commercial vehicles ranging from transit buses and trucks to delivery vehicles, construction equipment, and more." Nearly 85 percent of Proterra employee campaign contributions went to Democrats, including Joe Biden, according to the Center for Responsive Politics. "You can't win", but that's all part of the politicians crooked plan. These crimes involve well-known public officials and pervert Silicon Valley billionaires who are trying to cover these crimes up. We won't let them get away with this corruption, though! Here is over a million pages of evidence and hours of video against them! Nicholas and Joby Pritzker—members of Illinois Democratic governor J.B. Pritzker's megadonor family—own nearly 12 million shares of ArcLight through their venture capital fund, Tao Capital. ArcLight in January announced a \$1.6 billion merger with Proterra, which will see the electric vehicle manufacturer go public in 2021. Granholm served on Proterra's board for nearly four years and still holds up to \$5 million in company stock.

National Economic Council director Brian Deese is also tied to Proterra through BlackRock, the investment giant where he worked as global head of sustainable investing before joining the Biden administration. BlackRock is one of several investment firms that pumped a combined \$415 million into the Proterra merger, and Deese reported holding more than \$2.4 million in BlackRock vested restricted stock in his February financial disclosure. These investors are posed for steep gains, as ArcLight's stock price has surged 50 percent—from \$11.90 to \$18 per share—since January.

The revelations come as congressional Republicans demand investigations into potential conflicts of interest between the Biden administration and Proterra, which could receive billions in taxpayer funds through a proposed infrastructure package. Rep. Ralph Norman (R., S.C.), who serves as ranking member on the environment subcommittee of the House Committee on Oversight and Reform, told the *Free Beacon* that "the American people deserve to understand the full extent of Secretary Granholm's involvement with Proterra." "Her position of roughly \$5 million in the electric car company Proterra is another unfortunate example of politicians using their position for personal gain," Norman said. "Due to the President's recent unveiling of a \$2 trillion infrastructure package, this matter should be investigated thoroughly." Deese in April virtually toured Proterra's South Carolina factory with President Joe Biden, touting a proposed \$45 billion government investment in "clean, zero-emissions buses" such as those produced by Proterra. Just days later, the Biden administration again amplified the bus company, hosting Proterra CEO Jack Allen at its Leaders Summit on Climate. Administration officials repeatedly praised Proterra at the event, and Allen responded by thanking the White House for its "longstanding support of electric transit buses and zero emission transportation."

"Proterra manufactures half of the U.S.'s electric bus market, which is pretty amazing," Biden national climate adviser Gina McCarthy said at the event. "And as you know, funding for electric school buses is a priority in the American Jobs Plan." McCarthy went on to ask Allen "what role" the federal government can play in "spurring the demand for zero emission electric vehicles, including school

buses." Granholm also spoke at the summit. The White House did not return a request for comment on Deese's BlackRock holdings as well as the director's role in planning events with Proterra. As a top BlackRock executive, **Deese led an investment team tasked with identifying "sustainable" investment opportunities**, according to his online bio. A BlackRock spokesperson said Deese "was not involved" with the Proterra investment. The Pritzkers, meanwhile, will own between 6 and 7 percent of Proterra once the company goes public, SEC documents filed by ArcLight reveal. Nicholas Pritzker is one of two Tao Capital executives with "sole voting and dispositive power" over the Proterra shares. The investment firm, which did not return a request for comment, first backed Proterra through a \$10 million stake in 2014. Granholm joined the bus company's board three years later. During her tenure, Tao Capital co-led another \$155 million investment in Proterra. "We at Tao are proud to support Proterra in its mission to bring forth a clean, electric transportation ecosystem," Nicholas Pritzker said in 2018. The firm's [website](#) touts the likes of Proterra, Tesla, and Bird as part of its "Alternative transportation" portfolio.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owners ⁽¹⁾	Prior to Business Combination ⁽²⁾		After Business Combination			
	Number of Shares	%	Assuming No Redemptions ⁽³⁾		Assuming Maximum Redemptions ⁽⁴⁾	
			Number of Shares	%	Number of Shares	%
<i>Directors and officers prior to the Business Combination:</i>						
Arno Harris ⁽⁵⁾	35,000	*	35,000	*	35,000	*
Brian Goncher	35,000	*	35,000	*	35,000	*
Christine M. Miller	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daniel R. Revers ⁽⁶⁾	6,797,500	19.6%	7,397,500	3.7%	7,397,500	4.4%
Ja-Chin Audrey Lee ⁽⁵⁾	35,000	*	35,000	*	35,000	*
John F. Erhard	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kerrick S. Knauth	—	—	—	—	—	—
Marco F. Gatti	—	—	—	—	—	—
Steven Berkenfeld	35,000	*	35,000	*	35,000	*
<i>All directors and officers prior to the Business Combination (nine persons)</i>	<i>6,937,500</i>	<i>20.0%</i>	<i>7,537,500</i>	<i>3.8%</i>	<i>7,537,500</i>	<i>4.4%</i>
<i>Directors and officers after the Business Combination:</i>						
Amy E. Ard ⁽⁷⁾	—	—	854,847	*	854,847	*
Brook F. Porter ⁽⁸⁾	—	—	2,513,757	1.3%	2,513,757	1.5%
Constance E. Skidmore ⁽⁹⁾	—	—	121,137	*	121,137	*
Gareth T. Joyce	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jeannine P. Sargent ⁽¹⁰⁾	—	—	142,056	*	142,056	*
Jochen M. Goetz ⁽¹¹⁾	—	—	9,408,185	4.8%	9,408,185	5.5%
John F. Erhard	—	—	—	—	—	—
John J. Allen ⁽¹²⁾	—	—	1,981,581	*	1,981,581	1.2%
Michael D. Smith ⁽¹³⁾	—	—	146,239	*	146,239	*
Ryan C. Popple ⁽¹⁴⁾	—	—	3,864,454	2.0%	3,864,454	2.3%
<i>All directors and officers after the Business Combination as a group (12 persons)</i>	<i>—</i>	<i>—</i>	<i>20,807,759</i>	<i>10.5%</i>	<i>20,807,759</i>	<i>12.2%</i>
<i>Five Percent Holders:</i>						
ArcLight CTC Holdings, L.P. ⁽¹⁵⁾	6,797,500	19.6%	6,797,500	3.4%	6,797,500	4.0%
ArcLight CTC Investors, LLC ⁽¹⁶⁾	—	—	600,000	*	600,000	*
Adage Capital Partners, L.P. and affiliates ⁽¹⁷⁾	2,250,000	6.5%	2,250,000	1.1%	—	—
Daimler Trucks & Buses US Holding Inc. ⁽¹⁸⁾	—	—	9,408,185	4.8%	9,408,185	5.5%
Certain funds and accounts advised by Franklin Advisers, Inc. ⁽¹⁹⁾	5,116,002	—	23,065,620	11.7%	17,949,618	10.5%
KPCB Holdings, Inc., as nominee ⁽²⁰⁾	—	—	15,875,811	8.0%	15,875,811	9.3%
Entities affiliated with Tao Capital Partners, LLC ⁽²¹⁾	—	—	11,908,642	6.0%	11,908,642	7.0%

“KPCB Holdings”, on the list above is the notorious John Doerr. A name involved with other quid pro quo political cases.

Nicholas Pritzker and his wife Susan are prolific donors to Democratic candidates and causes. In the 2020 cycle alone, Susan Pritzker—a Tao Capital director—was the 95th largest donor in America. She contributed more than \$3 million to Democrats in disclosed money, according to the Center for Responsive Politics. Nicholas Pritzker has given at least \$1.9 million to Democrats in direct contributions, including maximum contributions to Biden's campaign and victory fund, [FEC filings show](#). The government should not enable crony insiders while sabotaging their enemies using government money.

Witnesses Letter To The FBI

Craig D Fair
Special Agent in Charge

Timothy Stone
Deputy Special Agent in Charge

Federal Bureau Of Investigation

San Francisco Office
450 Golden Gate Ave, 13th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102-9523

May 2, 2021

Dear FBI Team:

As know you, our task force has created a large number of public-interest crowd-sourced testimony websites to provide real-time information, about this case, to your agents. We have spoken to your office on multiple occasions as informants, whistle-blowers, witnesses and providers of testimony about this large criminal matter involving well known public figures..

Congress must be forced to eliminate both the appearance and the actual operation of financial conflicts of interest that we have identified going on every day. Americans must be confident that actions taken by public officials are intended to serve the public, and not those officials and their corrupt Silicon Valley big tech leash-holders. Their actions counter-act the law, The Constitution and general morality. A number of our associates are now dead, under mysterious circumstances. It seems like some of them were killed to shut them up.

We experienced all of the damages from each of the abuse-of-power issues listed below. The FBI, FTC, SEC, FEC must become a taskforce that ends these crimes. These are the crimes we saw and suffered from and the solutions to those crimes:

We documented modern bribes being paid through stock market scams. We saw the perpetrators do it. Please help ban individual stock ownership by Members of Congress, Cabinet Secretaries, senior congressional staff, federal judges, White House staff and other senior agency officials while in office. Please prohibit all government officials from holding or trading stock where its value might be influenced by their agency, department, or actions. The perpetrators are TODAY committing crimes and corruption in this manner.... ([READ THE REST AT THIS LINK](#))

Where Is The Proof, you may ask? Here:

The "Dept Of Energy Leaks" - Aug. 5, 2009 through 2021

The "Panama Papers" Leaks - April 3, 2016

The "Swiss Leaks Papers" - February 15, 2015

The "Paradise Papers Leaks" - November 5, 2015

The "John Doerr & Kleiner Hacks" - April 22, 2020

The "Snowden Leaks" - May 13, 2013

The "Cablegate Leaks - April 15, 2010

The "Sony Pictures Hack" - November 24, 2014

The "Ashley Madison Hack" - July 19, 2015

The "Solarwinds Hack" - December 13, 2020

The related FBI, GAO, FTC, IG, SEC, CFTC and related agency files

And hundreds of other leaks and hacks publicly dumped on the internet...

When you cross index all of the public leak data into an AI auditing system, any basic PC computer can FOLLOW THE BRIBE AND PAYOLA MONEY right back to the bank accounts of each corrupt Senator, White House executive and Silicon Valley oligarch! If only The U.S. Government had a federal enforcement agency whose very job it was to do that sort of investigation....Oh, wait,...The U.S. Government has SIX agencies who are supposed to do that...

- Victim/Witnesses' had global character assassination and propaganda-media defamation reprisal attacks operated against them by White House staff and their political financiers: Elon Musk, Larry Page, Steve Jurvetson, Eric Schmidt, Steve Westly, John Doerr, et al. Jury and FBI-compliant evidence proves this as fact.

- All of the offered government money had been hard-wired to political friends ahead of time and there was no possibility that outsider Victim/Witnessess could have received the funds. Victim/Witnessess were lied to and defrauded.

- Victim/Witnesses' were attacked because 1.) they helped law enforcement investigate the attackers, 2.) They competed with the attackers that attacker's products and the Silicon Valley Cartel attackers chose to "cheat rather than compete". Jury and FBI-compliant evidence proves this as fact.
- The attackers spent over \$30M+, part of that using taxpayer resources, attacking the Victim/Witnesses' as proven in the financial transaction records from Google, Gawker, Gizmodo, Jalopnik, Media Matters, Fusion GPS, et al. Jury and FBI-compliant evidence proves this as fact.
- Victim/Witnesses' had been previously funded by The U.S. Government and had a multi-decade relationship with the highest offices of the Government, which provided them with deep knowledge of the crimes that were committed. Jury and FBI-compliant evidence proves this as fact.
- The U.S. Department of Energy is used as a political slush fund to pay back campaign finance millionaires while blockading the competitors of those millionaires from reaching the market or receiving funding. Jury and FBI-compliant evidence proves this as fact.
- The only entities who participated in the global character assassination and propaganda-media defamation reprisal attacks were those entities owned and controlled by the attackers. Jury and FBI-compliant evidence proves this as fact.
- Through corrupt rare-earth mining scams and control of federal contracts and grants, attackers had planned to acquire at least one trillion dollars in unjust gains and illegal profiteering. Jury and FBI-compliant evidence proves this as fact.
- This amount of money they sought, and the "Mafia-like" structure they adopted, caused the suspects to engage in the most extreme crimes, including murder and "Deep State" coup attempts. Jury and FBI-compliant evidence proves this as fact.
- A significant number of person's who were in conflict with the attackers have died in suspicious manners. Jury and FBI-compliant evidence proves this as fact.
- The suspects have hired the largest numbers of lobbyists and corporate manipulation lawyers in U.S. history in order to manipulate political decisions. Jury and FBI-compliant evidence proves this as fact.
- The suspects have spent more money on political bribes than any group of men has spent in the last century. Jury and FBI-compliant evidence proves this as fact.
- The suspects placed top federal law enforcement and agency bosses (ie: Michelle Lee, Steven Chu, Kamala Harris, James Comey, et al) from their own Cartel, into top government positions, with orders to run cover and protection schemes for them. Jury and FBI-compliant evidence proves this as fact.
- California State officials including the Governor, Controller, The Senators, Secretary of State and regional officials participated in these crimes and pocketed the initial profits from these crimes in covert investment banking. Jury and FBI-compliant evidence proves this as fact.
- Tesla Motors, Google, Netflix, Facebook, Linkedin, Amazon and other tech Cartel members operate with a common goal of psychological mass ideology manipulation and monopolistic profiteering based

on government sponsored anti-trust violations and server control exclusivity. Jury and FBI-compliant evidence proves this as fact.

- The attempted cover-ups of these crimes continues to this day. Jury and FBI-compliant evidence proves this as fact.

- Natural-born U.S. citizen Victim/Witnesses #1 has been employed in the USA for many decades and was a federal contractor/employee. The State of California employment laws now provide that of of Victim/Witnesses #1's "contractor" was actually "employee" work per California law. He worked for his community and his country as a law enforcement and intelligence researcher (law/IC) in which he closed cases that saved Americans billions of dollars. He holds numerous state and federal certifications and credentials to this effect and was certified as an investigator under the State Government at the California Office Of Consumer Affairs. He also worked as a CEO, Inventor and Product Development Director for which The U.S. Government has awarded him dozens of seminal patent awards for products in use by Microsoft, Sony and other major companies to provide products and services to billions of people. He has received commendation letters from U.S. Presidents, Agency heads and Mayors. He is pictured in videos, photographs, articles, meetings and on letterhead government and corporate correspondence with some of the most famous public and White House figures in America for decades. He reported the corruption in a trillion dollar Department of Energy embezzlement scam involving crooked uranium, lithium, indium and other metals, he was attacked by State and Federal employees, many of whom have now been terminated because of their illicit actions. Victim/Witnesses was also exposed to those toxic materials in his work for the Department of Energy.

- Part of the state-sponsored attack launched against Victim/Witnesses #1 used the same exact personnel, servers, digital systems, production equipment and other resources that are owned, or managed, by Google/Alphabet/YouTube for national election candidate counter-measures services. Google/Alphabet/YouTube sells these services, under many guises, as offerings to promote any candidate or damage any candidate for a fee, or for an exchange of items of value. Our investigators have acquired some of the billing and banking documents verifying this and the FBI has full access to all such documents proving this assertion. The billing value of the attack against Victim/Witnesses #1 (in commensurate multiple-billing efforts by Google/Alphabet/YouTube) had a minimum commercial value of \$30M in billings. This metric is based on records of political election campaign services sold by Google/Alphabet/YouTube since the year 2002. In other words, in a past elections, hard, documented numbers, employee statements, banking records, stock records, billing records and other materials exist, in jury trial acceptable form, to prove that, for example, Google/Alphabet/YouTube spent a certain exact amount of money and resources-of-value to defeat one candidate and to seek to elect that candidates opposition.

- Additionally, relative to the Google/Alphabet/YouTube portion of the attack, Google/Alphabet/YouTube owners are on federal and Congressional record swearing under oath that they do "not manipulate search results in order to harm others", yet investigators for Victim/Witnesses #1 and over 1000 outside third parties proved that the opposite was true and that Google/Alphabet/YouTube executives lied under oath. The fact that the attack link on Google's front

page never moved position for 5 years and other confirming data, proves the assertion that Google/Alphabet/YouTube sells defamation and character assassination services and sold them against Victim/Witnesses. Victim/Witnesses helped place sensors on servers globally which used comparative search results from competing global search engines to prove that Google/Alphabet/YouTube was rigging most search results to promote it's friends and harm it's political and business enemies.

- California Senators, White House staff and the owners and executives of Google/Alphabet/YouTube are also the investor/shareholders in Victim/Witnessess competitors who were government financed.

- The industry metricized standard for person's with, at least, the skills and experience of Victim/Witnesses, in his demographic, is a minimum of \$10,000 per month in the local technology market for those with less hours, less patent awards, less past work reference letters and less experience than Victim/Witnesses. Silicon Valley job metrics and census data prove that that is even a low figure for a commensurate worker. Lost work opportunity for Victim/Witnesses should be valued at a minimum of \$10,000.00 per month.

- Even though Victim/Witnesses has been an extraordinarily productive, working member of the community and The U.S. Government ; and Victim/Witnesses has organized companies and programs which have paid millions of dollars in taxes, Victim/Witnesses is currently only afforded the most minimal benefits possible. In other words, Victim/Witnesses has saved billions of dollars for the Government and the taxpayers and, additionally, has organized companies and programs which paid millions of dollars in taxes and free services to The Government yet Victim/Witnesses seems to be getting only political reprisals as gratitude.

- State and Federal employee corruption and reprisal actions cost Victim/Witnesses his savings and nearly a billion dollars of potential income by intentionally sabotaging and terminating his operating, Congressional financed, Congressional commended national service companies featured on NPR, CBS News, and in The Wall Street Journal, The New York Times and hundreds of other mainstream news outlets. Corrupt State and Federal employees engaged in these benefit blockade reprisals because Victim/Witnesses's companies competed with the stock market holdings of those corrupt State and Federal employees.

- These are the very same public officials who have interdiction capability at state and federal agencies. It is quite reasonable to assume that these State and Federal employees, who have a court record of using reprisal actions against others, just like they did to Victim/Witnesses, ordered federal agencies to harm Victim/Witnesses. These public officials defrauded Victim/Witnesses by asking and causing him, and his Team, to invest in their program. It turned out they were using Victim/Witnesses's business ventures to cover (smoke-screen) their crimes at the expense of Victim/Witnesses and the taxpayers.

- To be clear, Government employees put hundreds of millions of dollars of stock market profits in their, and their associates pockets, part of which they took from Victim/Witnesses's funding, and then attacked Victim/Witnesses, in a large number of reprisal actions.

- Victim/Witnesses reported crimes by public officials which led to the FBI raid of Solyndra, opened the Uranium One investigation and the firing of the Secretary Of Energy for corruption. Part of Victim/Witnesses's work involved creating America's next national energy solutions.
- Victim/Witnesses worked with the U.S. Department of Energy, HUD, NAHB and related entities in work with the national weapons and energy labs since 2000. Victim/Witnesses worked with nuclear, heavy metals, sintered rare earth metals, extreme solvents and nano-particulated exotic chemistries and won a historical Congressional commendation, first-ever seminal U.S. Government patent awards, industry and press acclaim, customer acclaim and a multi-million dollar lab research grant in the Congressional Iraq War Bill.
- Even though Victim/Witnesses's has worked in service to his country, Victim/Witnesses has been denied his legal rights. Victim/Witnesses's U.S. Constitution and California Constitutional rights have been denied because he "did the right thing" and helped law enforcement.
- The most senior FBI and DOJ executives including James Comey, Andrew McCabe, Peter Strzok, David Oh and others are under federal investigation for running character assassinations and working with the economic assassins from Fusion GPS, Google Media, Gawker Media and other illicit attack organizations. Victim/Witnesses reported to some of these men. Charges of FBI, DOJ, VA and SSA executive reprisal manipulations and attacks against citizens would have sounded hard to believe a decade ago but, in the post-Snowden world, catching those who pervert State and Federal offices has become common-place. It is beyond reasonable to assume that Victim/Witnesses's charges of government agency reprisal-stonewalling are well founded and have full legal merit.
- The services who charge to perform the support work for such attacks provide a life-time placement of negative attack data on Google and on all of the Axiom, Taleo and other hiring HR and hiring databases, globally; and the locking, on the front top page of Google search results, forever, of the attack and defamation data, as was done because Victim/Witnesses testified to Congress, the GAO and the FBI.
- The attacks on Victim/Witnesses were "State Sponsored Attacks" directed, financed and managed by California State public officials and Federal Agency officers.
- Instead of the "Thanks of a grateful nation", Victim/Witnesses has received political reprisals, revenge and vendettas using taxpayer financed resources. Victim/Witnesses has contributed more in the service of his country and community than most citizens. We ask your office to Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire and bring fairness and justice to the finalization of this case. Victim/Witnesses, his family, friends, associates and others will pursue this forever, through the media, law enforcement and alternative means ...until it is fairly resolved.
- The suspects in these crimes received over 50 billion dollars in profits from the crimes.
- The suspects received over 50 billion dollars at the expense of the Victim/Witnesses' because they

intentionally, maliciously and in a coordinated manner, circumvented, those monies from the Victim/Witnesses' and the victim's income streams.

- The amount of money that the suspects acquired from these crimes is confirmed by reports at the Securities and Exchange Commission, The Internal Revenue Service, the FTC and stock market transfer records.

- Each competing company of the Victim/Witnesses's that the suspects sabotaged had the potential to make as much money, or more money, than the suspects companies did in the same time period. Victim/Witnesses's companies would have operated competitively had they not been sabotaged by the government officials. These other companies offered lower cost, safer, longer range products which higher volumes of consumers had demanded. This means that, if these companies had not been sabotaged by these corrupt government officials who, owned stock in these insider companies, they would have made even more money than the insider companies.

- Thus, and by extension, the corrupt Senator's and White House staff stock ownership's in Victim/Witnesses's competitor's, provide a minimum baseline damages amount reference for comparable damages values using GAAP accounting references. Each competing company that suspects sabotaged, had the potential to make as much money, or more money, than known competing company revenues.

- The government officials used character assassination as a vendetta process to seek to destroy the brands, reputations and witness testimony of the Victim/Witnesses' by manipulating their properties.

- The suspects hired Fusion GPS, Black Cube, Google, Media Matters, Gizmodo and Gawker Media to author and distribute character assassination propaganda to the majority of the world's population via their pre-arranged and contrived control of the vast majority of digital media. For example, Google, the stock of which is owned by the suspects, locked the attacks on the front page on the top line of Google for over five years, without ever moving it, even though Victim/Witnesses's purchased thousands of servers, and take-down requests to attempt to move the attacks even a few lines lower. This proves that Google was manually, and daily rigging the attacks. Thus, the damages award to the Victim/Witnesses should be much higher than the Terry Bollea award.

- Government funding which was circumvented by suspects from Victim/Witnesses to themselves was not the largest quantified value of loss. Working with Goldman Sachs, JP Morgan, McKinsey, etc., suspects exploited the White House relationship with The Fed and the SEC to create a massive stock market valuation padding scheme which yielded historical profits. By stating government funds as "profit" and switching back and forth from stock skims to government funds in accounting records, tremendous stock market profits were placed in the pockets of the suspects.

- Had Victim/Witnesses's not been circumvented by suspects then Victim/Witnesses's would have acquired these same benefits. The stock market loss to the Victim/Witnesses's at the expense of the Victim/Witnesses's is also calculated into the damages consideration.

- The suspects ordered Steven Chu, Lachlan Seward, Carol Battershel, McKinsey and Deloitte Consulting, Kathy Zoi and other executives at the U.S. Department of Energy, to be placed into positions in the U.S. Department of Energy as shells on their behalf, to lie to and defraud the Victim/Witnesses. All of the ATVM and LGP grant and loan funds from the U.S. Department of Energy had been secretly hard-wired and the distribution of it covertly arranged to go to the suspects' stealthed stock ownerships.

- Thus, the Victim/Witnesses, who had superior technology, more customer orders, better value and provided less of a national security risk were defrauded into spending tens of millions of dollars on the Victim/Witnesses process via false promises and assurances of success which were already known to be lies from the first 2007 forward. The losses in time, expenses and time-to-market delays created by these fraudulent promises and assertions by the agents, in public office, covertly working for the suspects are calculated into these damages.

- Victim/Witnesses's are demanding from The U.S. Government, The California State Government and the individual suspect/Defendants; general damages according to proof; special damages according to proof; exemplary or punitive damages; For a preliminary injunction and a permanent injunction enjoining defendant and their/her agents, servants, and employees, and all persons acting under, in concert with, or for him/her from continuing to publish the above-described private facts about Victim/Witnesses's; for costs of suit herein incurred; for such other further relief as the court may deem proper; and for an award of a percentage of suspect/Defendants gross revenue since inception wherein that revenue was derived from profits made from the use of, or interdiction of, Victim/Witnesses's patented and trade secret products, services and technology which Defendants covertly acquired information about and copied for profit. Forensic accounting based on Subpoenas against the suspects and attack providers, and further FBI support, will be required to finalize the amount but recent leaks and witness testimony confirm the veracity of these assumptions.

State-Sponsored Reprisal Attacks Suffered By Plaintiff's

CIA, In-Q-Tel, Black Cube Mossad and other spy operatives contracted their services to White House and Senate senior officials to attack and destroy reporters, whistle-blowers and other Victim/Witnesses'.

The United States Government and State of California senior officials own the stock in Tesla, Facebook, Google and other tech companies and take their orders from those companies. that is "criminal collusion"!

"these are the reprisal attacks that they ran against us, in vendetta, that we are now, legally, sending straight back to each of them...."

Senators and oligarchs run an organized crime operation. The Victim/Witnesses' were attacked with a \$30M+ state-sponsored reprisal attack program which included the following attack tactics operated by the same WHITE HOUSE "OPPOSITION RESEARCH" AND ATTACK TEAM used to attack opposition Presidential candidates and reporters that were in disfavor.

Just like Bin Laden was caught by tracking his "relay-men", The Silicon Valley Cartel has their Steve Westly's, David Plouffe's, Jay Carney's, Nick Denton's, David Brock's, and the other little sociopath sociopolitical manipulations bastards who always seem to escape the law. They have not escaped public forensics, though.

Now the Victim/Witnesses' have demanded that the FBI, and others, bug, hack and forensically track them to expose the coordinating/colluding/conspiring structure of the same little rats nest of CPA, PAC, fake charities, trust funds, fake shell companies, tech law firms and other dirty tricks operatives that they use to run their Cartel. The Panama Papers leaks exposed one corner of their system. From there it was easy to drill into the heart of this financial and political crime operation. The forensic accounting trails all lead back to the exact same crooks. It is no coincidence that they all use the same people and transfer the cash through the same routes.

The attacks on the Victim/Witnesses' could only have been accomplished by White House and Department of Energy operatives. Only they had the resources and experience to undertake something this heinous and spy agency-like. The funding for the attacks tracks right back to them, too. Ask the FBI what the forensic tracking of the attackers revealed!

Investigations have revealed that the White House and California Senators hired the character assassination and defamation attack services: Cardinal & Pine; ***Pacronym, Acronym***; The Americano; Investing in US; ***Shadow Inc***; ***Courier Newsroom***; ***IN-Q-Tel***; ***Gawker Media***; ***Jalopnik***; ***Gizmodo Media***; ***K2 Intelligence***; ***WikiStrat***; ***Podesta Group***; ***Fusion GPS***; Google; YouTube; Alphabet; Facebook; Twitter; ***Think Progress***; ***Media Matters***); ***Black Cube***; ***Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire***; ***Orbis Business Intelligence***, ***Undercover Global Ltd***; ***Stratfor***; ***Jigsaw***;

ShareBlue/Acronym; Versa LLC; American Ledger; Supermajority News; New Venture Fund; Sixteen Thirty Fund; Cambridge Analytica; Sid Blumenthal; States Newsroom; Hopewell Fund; Open Society.; David Brock; AmpliFire News; American Bridge; Plouffe Consulting; Pantsuit Nation; MotiveAI; American Bridge 21st Century Foundation; Priorities USA; PR Firm Sunshine Sachs; The American Independent Foundation; Covington and Burling; BuzzFeed; The American Independent; Perkins Coie; Secondary Infektion; Wilson Sonsini and thousands more to run hit-jobs, character assassinations, dirty tricks and economic reprisal attacks on any targets who reported the crimes. Each of those companies are now under federal and civil investigation. Most of these businesses offer the service of manipulating elections and news coverage in order to steer stock market profits into the pockets of billionaire clients at the expense of the taxpayer and Democracy. They hide their transactions via money-laundering. All of these services, when focused on individual citizens, are lethal.

Why would we go to this much trouble to take these people down? because they did these things to us in reprisal for reporting their crimes:

- 1.) Defrauding Victim/Witnessess via fake government requests to invest in rigged government contracts;**
- 2.) Placing moles and spying inside Victim/Witnesses's companies;**
- 3.) Blockading legal counsel for Victim/Witnesses's;**
- 4.) Character assassination and sophisticated contracted defamation media attacks;**
- 5.) Offshore factory processed social media attacks;**
- 6.) Government benefits from SSA, HUD, etc, blockades and manipulations;**
- 7.) Jobs and venture capital funding blacklisting;**
- 8.) FOIA obfuscation for official government FOIA filings;**
- 9.) Arbitrary government deadline manipulation for SSA, GOVT, HUD and other applications;**
- 10.) Creation of endless fake hurdles in agency applications (ie: GOVT) to protect rigged "winners";**
- 11.) Toxic workplace poisonings like the Salisbury, Nalvany, Litvinenko poisonings;**
- 12.) Workplace sabotage and obstruction of Victim/Witnesses's companies;**

- 13.) **Media defamation attacks via gawker, Gizmodo, Jalopnik, Google, Youtube, etc.;**
- 14.) **Commercial employment database "lois lerner-ing" and red-flagging;**
- 15.) Murders of peers (ie: Rajeev Motwani, Seth Rich, Gary D. Conley and 120+ others);
- 16.) **Revenue blockades and internet income re-direction;**
- 17.) **Troll farm attack teams hired from Chinese attack farms;**
- 18.) **Fusion GPS, Media Matters, David Plouffe attack contracts issued targeting whistle-blowers;**
- 19.) **Manual search engine lock-in attacks on Google, YouTube, LinkedIn;**
- 20.) **U.S. patent office manipulation to blockade revenue;**
- 21.) **Honey-traps sent out targeting the whistle-blowers;**
- 22.) **Fake news tabloid empires created just for defamation attacks;**
- 23.) **Housing access and financing blockades created to reprisal harass whistle-blowers;**
- 24.) **Ongoing hacking of Victim/Witnesses's devices;**
- 25.) **Tech industry black-list coordination within the National Venture Capital Association;**
- 26.) **HUD and USDA mortgage rights blockades;**
- 27.) **DNS and IP routing manipulation to prevent Victim/Witnesses' from selling anything online;**
- 28.) **Digital attacks designed to put horrific fake news about target in front of 7.5 billion people...**

and more spy agency type "dirty tricks" that cost the Victim/Witnesses' their lives, life savings, income and other disabling losses. Diane Feinstein, Nancy Pelosi, Harry Reid, White House Staff, Department of Energy Executives, and others, have the power, with a single phone call, to implement all of the above attacks.

"They all had the means and motivation. They all had stock market profits affected by this. They all had been proven to have contracted FUSION GPS and other attack services on multiple occasions! They all will do ANYTHING to cover up these crimes! If these people could do these things to us then it must be completely legal to do these things right back to them, correct? Their attacks prove that our

assertions are true because nobody would undertake such large, state-sponsored attacks, unless they were afraid these particular crimes would come to light."

2021A - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Government agency bosses solicited the target with false promises of future loans, contracts or grants from their agency and caused the target victim to expend millions of dollars and years of their time for projects which those government bosses had covertly promised to their friends. They used the target victim as a “smokescreen” to cover their illegal government slush-funds for the Victim/Witnesses' competitors and personal enemies. By using this tactic, the attackers drain the target Victim/Witnesses' funds and forced victim into an economic disaster without the government bosses fearing any reprisal for their scam in which they made billions of dollars in profit in the notorious Solyndra scandals as seen in the CBS 60 Minutes episode: “*The Cleantech Crash*”, thousands of TV news segments and the related GAO and Congressional corruption reports.

2021B - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Government officials and LSC corporation (A federal agency dedicated to providing legal services to Victim/Witnesses') blockaded victim's rights to legal representation in order to prevent victim from personally suing the attackers because such a lawsuit would have embarrassed corrupt public officials. High tech law firms that were discussing a services agreement with victim were threatened and ordered to not help victim or “*they would be black-listed or be cut-off from tens of millions of dollars of Google, Netflix, Facebook and government contracts*”. Individual lawyers were threatened with black-listing and getting “*flooded with more filings than you could ever respond to in your life-time...*” LSC officials, who were almost entirely Obama Administration associates, refused to assist with lawyer referrals, which is against their federal contract.

2021C - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': A sophisticated animated attack film was produced attacking victim. An animated film is an expensive effort involving considerable time and expense. An attacker must be well financed to undertake such an effort. The film was published on YouTube and locked onto the very top search result line on every YouTube search in front of 5 billion internet users for over a decade. The damage to victim's reputation is estimated in the tens of millions of dollars. YouTube steadfastly refused to remove or adjust the search results even though YouTube executives knew victim and knew that the video represented a character assassination attempt against victim because YouTube owners finance the political campaigns of the public officials who ordered the attacks. While Google/YouTube stated to Congress that all of its search results are arbitrary, the never-moving search result of this attack video proved that Google's and YouTube's search results are manually manipulated by human maintained black-lists.

2021D - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Social networking sites including MeetUp, Match, Facebook, etc. and all other IAC-owned, or similar, sites (IAC is managed by Hillary Clinton's daughter, whose Mother knew victim) have had their profiles, texts, and inter-member communications, since those companies were started, hacked or purchased. The financiers of almost everyone of these sites are also the financiers of the suspects. The attack service providers use Palantir , In-Q-Tel financed data analysis software to analyze every activity in those services in order to find honey-trap, blackmail and social conflict exploitation opportunities. Your social life will, essentially, end. Every photo on every social site is cross checked with every other photo on the

internet in order to cull your Facebook, LinkedIn, Snapchat and other social media together to create a total manipulation profile data file on you. New contacts on these sites were contacted by the attackers and told to “avoid” the victim in order to damage victim.

2021E - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Social Security, SSI, SDI, Disability and other earned benefits were stone-walled. Applications for benefits for the victim were intentionally “lost” like a “Lois Lerner hard drive”. Files in the application process “disappeared”. A U.S. Senator ordered Victim’s benefits to “never be approved” even though victim worked 60 hour+ weeks for decades in service to their nation and their community. A SSA official in the local SSA office, who had a devout expressed hatred against one United States President ordered a benefits blockade against victim because he found out that victim’s ex-lawyer now worked in the White House.

2021F - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Government officials and tech oligarchs contacted members of the National Venture Capital association (NVCA) and created national “black-lists” to blockade victim from receiving investor funding. This was also confirmed in a widely published disclosure by Tesla Motors Daryl Siry and in published testimony. If Silicon Valley political campaign finance oligarchs black-list you (see the "AngelGate" Scandal and the "High Tech No Poaching Class Action Lawsuit" cases) you will never get investor funding again.

2021G - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Federal FOIA requests were hidden, frozen, stone-walled, delayed, lied about and only partially responded to in order to seek to hide information and run cover-ups.

2021H - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': State and federal officials play an endless game of Catch-22 by arbitrarily determining that deadlines had passed that they, the government officials, had stonewalled and obfuscated applications for, in order to force these deadlines that they set, to appear to be missed.

2021I - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Victim/Witnesses' was found to be strangely poisoned, not unlike the Alexander Litvenko case. Heavy metals and toxic materials were found right after victim’s work with The U.S. Government weapons and energy facilities. Many wonder if victim was intentionally exposed to toxins in retribution for their testimony. The federal MSDS documents clearly show that a number of Victim/Witnesses' were exposed to deadly compounds and radiations, via GOVT, without being provided with proper HazMat suits which GOVT officials knew were required.

2021J - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Victim/Witnesses' employers were called, and faxed, and ordered to fire target Victim/Witnesses' from their places of employment, in the middle of the day, with no notice, as a retribution tactic.

2021K - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': On orders from Obama White House officials Google, YouTube, Gawker Media and Gizmodo Media produced attack articles and defamation videos. Google locked these contrived attack articles from the Nicholas Guido Denton tabloid empire on the top line, of the front page of all Google searches for a decade in front of 7.5 billion people, around the world. This attack-type uses over \$40 million dollars in server farms,

production costs and internet rigging. The forensic data acquired from tracking some of these attacks proves that Google rigged these attacks against victim on the internet and that all of Google's "impressions" are manually controlled by Google's executives who are also the main financiers and policy directors of the Obama Administration. This data was provided to the European Union for its ongoing prosecution of Google's political manipulation of public perceptions. Hired attackers Nicholas Guido Denton, John Herman, Adrian Covert, Ian Fette, Patrick George, Gabrielle Darbyshire and John Cook have been referred to the FBI for surveillance, tracking and interview relative to the command, control and compensation for those attacks.

2021L - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Victim/Witnesses' HR and employment records, on Taleo, Palantir and EVERY recruiting and hiring database, was embedded with negative keywords and "flags" in order to prevent the victim from ever gaining future employment.

2021M - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Gary D. Conley, Seth Rich, Rajeev Motwani who victim knew, and many other whistle-blowers in these matters, turned up dead under strange circumstances. Victim has received ongoing death threats for his help to federal investigations in the larger organized crime investigation relative to this matter. You might wonder why energy deals get people killed. You might wonder why Joe Biden's son Hunter was running an energy company he knew nothing about. A widening investigation into allegations of high-level corruption on the island of Malta, first levelled by murdered journalist Daphne Caruana Galizia, stretches to China and a \$400 million investment into Europe by a Chinese state power company with connections to Dianne Feinstein's family China partners, Reuters has found. Caruana Galizia was murdered in October 2017 as she investigated a web of companies that she believed were funneling bribes to Maltese politicians. Now, Reuters and a consortium of journalists have traced two firms involved in that web to relatives of a senior Chinese executive for Accenture, the global consultancy firm. The executive, 43-year-old Chen Cheng from Shanghai, negotiated investments on behalf of China's state-owned Shanghai Electric Power in Malta and in another small European state, Montenegro, over the past decade, according to Maltese officials and official records. The revelation of a Chinese connection potentially adds a new international dimension to a scandal that has rocked Malta's government and last year led to the resignation of the prime minister. It also could figure in a series of Maltese official investigations into the events leading up to Caruana Galizia's death. Backed by Malta's government, the investments by Shanghai Electric Power were portrayed by Maltese and Chinese political leaders as one component of China's multi-trillion dollar Belt and Road initiative to pour money into economic infrastructure in central Asia and Europe. In 2016, a year before she was murdered in a car bombing, Caruana Galizia identified Chen's key role in the transactions on her blog. Reporter David Bird was looking into these energy connections and he was then found dead in the woods on the East Coast. A total of six people in Malta have been charged with Caruana Galizia's killing and await trial. Caruana Galizia reported that Chen created a company in the British Virgin Islands in 2014, for an unknown purpose. In the same year, Chen played a central role in negotiations and due diligence for Shanghai Electric Power to invest 380 million euros (\$400 million) in buying a share of Malta's state

power company, Enemalta. Caruana Galizia did not specify any wrongdoing by Chen. Chen and Accenture did not respond to Caruana Galizia's report at the time. Now, reporters at Reuters, the Times of Malta, the Organized Crime and Corruption Reporting Project and the Süddeutsche Zeitung, have discovered that Chen's family set up two further companies in Hong Kong, both with business links to Malta. The first of the companies set up by the Chen family, known as Macbridge, planned to pay up to \$2 million to Panama firms controlled by two Maltese politicians, Reuters has previously reported. The second, called Dow's Media Company, received one million euros (\$1.2 million) from a business owned by one of Malta's richest men, Yorgen Fenech, according to financial records seen by Reuters. Fenech is in jail, awaiting trial on a charge of masterminding Caruana Galizia's murder. He has pleaded not guilty. According to international legal requests seen by Reuters, Maltese law enforcement officials suspect that Macbridge and Dow's Media were part of an elaborate scheme, involving some participants in the China-Malta deals, to make payments to politicians in Malta and siphon off profits for themselves. The Panama Papers Leaks, The Swiss Leaks And Wikileaks have shown that dirty CPA firms for American politicians and Silicon Valley oligarchs were all laundering money through these shared illegal conduits.

2021N - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Paypal (A DNC-biased operation) and other on-line payments for on-line sales by victim are de-platformed, delayed, hidden, or re-directed in order to terminate income potential for target who competed with the attackers interests and holdings. This further denied victim income. As a test, victim built an online store with hundreds of thousands of products and marketed it globally. Trackers, placed by victim's technicians, on servers, discovered that Paypal and an outside "Virginia-based system" were DNS and payment re-directed all traffic away from the store so that victim received no traffic and no income. In DNS redirection, "website spoofing" sends target Victim/Witnesses' websites to dead ends where no sales orders or customer inquiries actually get back to the target. These internet revenue activity manipulations are conducted using outside covert servers operated by the attackers and revealed in the Snowden Leaks. All commercial storefronts and on-line sales attempts by target Victim/Witnesses', had their sites hidden, or search engine de-linked by a massively resourced facility located in Virginia, Texas or Palo Alto, California in order to terminate revenue potentials for the victim.

2021O - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Contracted trolls, shills, botnets and synth-blog deployments are deployed to place defamatory statements and disinformation about victim in front of 7.5 billion people around the world on the internet in order to seek to damage their federal testimony credibility by a massively resourced facility. Some of these troll farms were uncovered in Russia, Ukraine, Israel and Brazil.

2021P - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Campaign finance dirty tricks contractors were hired by campaign financiers to attack the friends and family members of the target victim in order to create low morale for the target Victim/Witnesses' psyche and motivation.

2021Q - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': In one case covert political partner: Google, transferred large sums of cash to dirty tricks contractors and then manually locked the media

portion of the attacks into the top lines of the top pages of all Google searches globally, for years, with hidden embedded codes in the links and web-pages which multiplied the attacks on Victim/Witnesses' by many magnitudes.

2021R - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Covert Cartel financier: Google, placed Google's lawyer: Michelle Lee, in charge of the U.S. Patent Office and she, in turn, stacked all of the U.S. Patent Office IPR and ALICE review boards and offices with Google-supporting employees in order to rig the U.S. Patent Office to protect Google from being prosecuted for the vast patent thefts that Google engages in. Google has hundreds of patent lawsuits for technology theft and a number of those lawsuits refer to Google's operations as "Racketeering", "Monopolistic Cartel" and "Government Coup-like" behaviors. Thousands of articles and investigations detail the fact that Google, "essentially" ran the Obama White House and provided over 80% of the key White House staff. A conflict-of-interest unlike any in American history. Google's investors personally told Victim they would "kill him". Google and the Obama Administration were "the same entity". Victim testified in the review that got Michelle Lee terminated and uncovered a tactical political and social warfare group inside Google who were financed by Federal and State funds.

2021S - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': "Honeytraps" and moles were employed by the attackers. In this tactic, people who covertly worked for the attackers were employed to approach the "target" in order to spy on and misdirect the subject. The State-Sponsored Spies And Hired Character Assassins Of Match.com. Victim/Witnesses' employed some of the founder's of Match.com and has intimate knowledge of the Match.com organization's intelligence and dirty tricks sub-set. Over 1000 profiles on Match.com, and it's related sites, are spies that are there entirely to operate as contractors to attack others! Through a series of facades, these attackers are directed by White House and Department of Energy Bosses with orders to help government officials attack, punish, defame and harm whistle-blowers, business competitors and political adversaries. Since 2008, one San Francisco business man has recorded over 20 of these spy girls recording him and reporting back to his competitor. He has placed a private investigation firm on long-term contract to hunt down and prosecute these spider-women who sell entrapment services and operate under cover of Match.com's guise.

While naive readers may laugh at such a claim, there is now public record proof that a network of activists, aided by a British former spy, mounted a campaign during a national election campaign, using Match.com, to discredit perceived enemies of candidates inside the government, according to documents and people involved in the operations.

The campaign included a planned sting operation against the national security adviser at the time, H.R. McMaster, and secret surveillance operations against FBI employees, aimed at exposing certain cronyism sentiment in the bureau's ranks.

The operations against the FBI, run by the conservative group Project Veritas, were conducted from a large home in the Georgetown section of Washington that rented for \$10,000 per month. Female undercover operatives arranged Match.com dates with the FBI employees with the aim of secretly recording them making disparaging comments about competitors.

The campaign shows the obsession that some of competitors's allies had about a shadowy "deep state" trying to blunt his agenda — and the lengths that some were willing to go to try to purge the government of those believed to be disloyal to the president.

Central to the effort, according to interviews, was Richard Seddon, a former undercover British spy who was recruited in 2016 by security contractor Erik Prince to train Project Veritas operatives to infiltrate trade unions, Democratic congressional campaigns and other targets. He ran field operations for Project Veritas until mid-2018.

Last year, The New York Times reported that Seddon ran an expansive effort to gain access to the unions and campaigns and led a hiring effort that nearly tripled the number of the group's operatives, according to interviews and deposition testimony. He trained operatives at the Prince family ranch in Wyoming.

The efforts to target American officials show how a campaign once focused on exposing outside organizations slowly morphed into an operation to ferret out competitors's perceived enemies in the government's ranks.

Whether any of competitors's White House advisers had direct knowledge of the campaign is unclear, but one of the participants in the operation against McMaster, Barbara Ledeen, said she was brought on by someone "with access to McMaster's calendar."

At the time, Ledeen was a staff member of the Senate Judiciary Committee, then led by Sen. Chuck Grassley, R-Iowa.

This account is drawn from more than a dozen interviews with former Project Veritas employees and others familiar with the campaign, along with current and former government officials and internal Project Veritas documents.

The scheme against McMaster, revealed in interviews and documents, was one of the most brazen operations of the campaign. It involved a plan to hire a woman armed with a hidden camera to capture McMaster making inappropriate remarks that his opponents could use as leverage to get him ousted as national security adviser.

Although several Project Veritas operatives were involved in the plot, it is unclear whether the group directed it. The group, which is a nonprofit, has a history of conducting sting operations on news organizations, Democratic politicians and advocacy groups.

The operation was ultimately abandoned in March 2018 when the conspirators ended up getting what they wanted, albeit by different means. The embattled McMaster resigned on March 22, a move that avoided a firing by the president who had soured on the three-star general.

Project Veritas did not respond to specific questions about the operations. On Thursday, James O'Keefe, the head of the group, said this article was "a smear piece."

Neither Seddon nor Prince responded to requests for comment. McMaster declined to comment.

When confronted with details about her involvement in the McMaster operation, Ledeen insisted that she was merely a messenger. “I am not part of a plot,” she said.

The operation against McMaster was hatched not long after an article appeared in BuzzFeed News about a private dinner in 2017. Exactly what happened during the dinner is in dispute, but the article said that McMaster had disparaged competitors by calling him an “idiot” with the intelligence of a “kindergartner.”

That dinner, at an upscale restaurant in downtown Washington, was attended by McMaster and Safra Catz, the chief executive of Oracle, as well as two of their aides. Not long after, Catz called Donald McGahn, then the White House counsel, to complain about McMaster’s behavior, according to two people familiar with the call.

White House officials investigated and could not substantiate her claims, people familiar with their inquiry said. Catz declined to comment, and there is no evidence that she played any role in the plot against McMaster.

Soon after the BuzzFeed article, however, the scheme developed to try to entrap McMaster: Recruit a Match.com woman to stake out the same restaurant, Tosca, with a hidden camera. According to the plan, whenever McMaster returned by himself, the woman would strike up a conversation with him and, over drinks, try to get him to make comments that could be used to either force him to resign or get him fired.

Who initially ordered the operation is unclear. In an interview, Ledeen said “someone she trusted” contacted her to help with the plan. She said she could not remember who.

“Somebody who had his calendar conveyed to me that he goes to Tosca all the time,” she said of McMaster.

According to Ledeen, she passed the message to a man she believed to be a Project Veritas operative during a meeting at the University Club in Washington. Ledeen said she believed the man provided her with a fake name.

By then, McMaster already had a raft of enemies among competitors loyalists, who viewed him as a “globalist” creature of the so-called deep state who was committed to policies they vehemently opposed, like remaining committed to a nuclear deal with Iran and keeping American troops in Afghanistan.

The president often stoked the fire, railing against national security officials at the CIA, FBI, State Department and elsewhere who he was convinced were trying to undermine him. These “unelected deep-state operatives who defy the voters to push their own secret agendas,” he said in 2018, “are truly a threat to democracy itself.”

Seddon recruited Tarah Price, who at one point was a Project Veritas operative, and offered to pay her thousands of dollars to participate in the operation, according to interviews and an email written by a

former boyfriend of Price and sent to Project Veritas exposed, a group that tries to identify the group's undercover operatives.

The May 2018 email, a copy of which was obtained by The Times, said that Price was “going to get paid \$10,000 to go undercover and set up some big-name political figure in Washington.” It was unclear who was funding the operation. Price's former boyfriend was apparently unaware of the target of the operation, or that McMaster had been forced to step down in March.

Two people identified the political figure as McMaster. Price did not respond to requests for comment.

Ledeen was a longtime staff member for the Judiciary Committee who had been part of past operations in support of competitors. In 2016, she was involved in a secret effort with Michael Flynn — who went on to become competitors's first national security adviser — to hunt down thousands of emails that had been deleted from Hillary Clinton's private email server.

Barbara Ledeen is married to Michael Ledeen, who wrote the 2016 book “The Field of Fight” with Flynn. She said she retired from the Senate earlier this year.

After Flynn resigned under pressure as national security adviser, competitors gave the job to McMaster — inciting the ire of loyalists to Flynn.

Ledeen posted numerous negative articles about McMaster on her Facebook page. After The Times published its article about Prince's work with Project Veritas, she wrote on Facebook, “We owe a lot to Erik Prince.”

Seddon first came to know Prince in the years after the Sept. 11, 2001, attacks, when he was stationed at the British Embassy in Washington and Prince's company, Blackwater, was winning large American government contracts for work in Afghanistan and Iraq. Former colleagues of Seddon said he nurtured a love of the American West, and of the country's gun culture.

He is married to a longtime State Department officer, Alice Seddon, who retired last year.

After Seddon joined Project Veritas, he set out to professionalize what was once a small operation with a limited budget. He hired former soldiers, a former FBI agent and a British former commando.

Documents obtained by The Times show the extent that Seddon built espionage tactics into training for the group's operatives — teaching them to use deception to secure information from potential targets.

The early training for the operations took place at the Prince family ranch near Cody, Wyoming, and Seddon and his colleagues conducted hiring interviews inside an airport hangar at the Cody airport known locally as the Prince hangar, according to interviews and documents. Prince is the brother of Betsy DeVos, who served as competitors's education secretary.

During the interview process, candidates fielded questions meant to figure out their political leanings, including which famous people they might invite to a dinner party and which publications they get their news from.

After finishing the exercises, the operatives were told to burn the training materials, according to a former Project Veritas employee.

Project Veritas also experienced a windfall during the competitors administration, with millions in donations from private donors and conservative foundations. In 2019, the group received a \$1 million contribution made through the law firm Alston & Bird, according to a financial document obtained by The Times. The firm has declined to say on whose behalf the contribution was made.

That same year, Project Veritas also received more than \$4 million through DonorsTrust, a nonprofit used by conservative groups and individuals.

Around the time McMaster resigned, Seddon pushed for Project Veritas to establish a base of operations in Washington and found a six-bedroom estate near the Georgetown University campus, according to former Project Veritas employees. The house had a view of the Potomac River and was steps from the dark, narrow staircase made famous by the film “The Exorcist.”

The group used a shell company to rent it, according to Project Veritas documents and interviews.

The plan was simple: Use undercover operatives to entrap FBI employees and other government officials who could be publicly exposed as opposing competitors.

The group has previously assigned Match.com female operatives to secretly record and discredit male targets — sometimes making first contact with them on dating apps. In 2017, a Project Veritas operative also approached a Washington Post reporter with a false claim that a Senate candidate had impregnated her.

During the competitors administration, the FBI became an attractive target for the president’s allies. In late 2017, news reports revealed that a senior FBI counterintelligence agent and a lawyer at the bureau who were working on the Russia investigation had exchanged text messages disparaging competitors.

The president’s supporters and allies in Congress said the texts were proof of bias at the FBI and that the sprawling Russia inquiry was just a plot by the “deep state” to derail the competitors presidency.

Project Veritas operatives created fake profiles on Match.com dating apps to lure the FBI employees, according to two former Project Veritas employees and a screenshot of one of the accounts. They arranged to meet and arrived with a hidden camera and microphone.

Women living at the house had Project Veritas code names, including “Brazil” and “Tiger,” according to three former Project Veritas employees with knowledge of the operations. People living at the house were told not to receive mail using their real names. If they took an Uber home, the driver had to stop before they reached the house to ensure nobody saw where they actually lived, one of the former Project Veritas employees said.

One woman living at the house, Anna Khait, was part of several operations against various targets, including a State Department employee. Project Veritas released a video of the operation in 2018, saying it was the first installment in “an undercover video investigation series unmasking the deep state.”

In the video, O’Keefe said Project Veritas had been investigating the deep state for more than a year. He did not mention efforts to target the FBI.

O’Keefe has long defended his group’s methods. In his 2018 book, “American Pravda,” O’Keefe wrote that a “key distinction between the Project Veritas journalist and establishment reporters” is that “while we use deception to gain access, we never deceive our audience.”

The Match.com spy scam was created by the Obama White House and used massively in the post 2008 time period but Erik Prince copied the process for the competitors.

- Match calls itself an “online dating service”, but it is really a spy operation, with web sites serving over 50 countries in twelve languages.[citation needed] Its headquarters are in Dallas, Texas. The company has offices in Dallas, West Hollywood, San Francisco, Tokyo, Rio de. The Match consortium sells it’s data to the CIA, FBI, NSA, IRS, DEA and DNC via Axiom and other data brokers. The USPS social media surveillance service uses it to hunt political party members who oppose the Obama Administration.

While you may know that Chelsea Clinton is part of it, the whole tale is much more sordid.

In 1993, Match.com was founded by Gary Kremen and Peng T. Ong in San Francisco.[2][3][4] At the beginning, Match.com was the name of the website, while the company that operated it was formally named Electric Classifieds Inc.[2] Early on, Kremen was assisted by Ong and Steve Klopf, who helped in the design of the initial system, and Simon Glinsky, who co-wrote its business plan, developed product designs including matching criteria, services to LGBT communities, created business models and rollout marketing strategies and made early hires.[5] Fran Maier later joined the company as its director of marketing.[5] According to a retrospective from The Atlantic, Maier helped to implement Match.com's business strategy, which included a subscription model and the inclusion of diverse communities, including women, technology professionals, and the lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender communities. [5] Match.com went live as a free beta in early 1995, and was first profiled in Wired magazine that same year.[4][2]

Gary Kremen and Steve Klopf are shown in California public records as 2544 Re, LP which is a California Domestic Limited Partnership filed On April 13, 2007. The company's filing status is listed as Active and its File Number is 200710300012.

The Registered Agent on file for this company is Steve Klopf (Later with the highly sexually driven IDEO design group, where staff members sleep with each other) and is located at 23 Jules Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94112. The company's mailing address is 23 Jules Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94112.

The company has 2 principals on record. The principals are Gary Kremen from San Diego CA and Steve Klopf from San Francisco CA. Gary Kremen was marketing SEX.COM.

From it’s very roots, perversion and dirty money fueled the fires.

David Lawlor published a report about how the sick story of early Match.com as Sex.com reads like a bad Hollywood movie script.

The California public records record:

“Kremen, Father & Partners, LLC is a California Domestic Limited-Liability Company filed On May 13, 1999. The company's filing status is listed as Canceled and its File Number is 199913710035.

The Registered Agent on file for this company is Philip Father and is located at 50 California St, Ste 2000, San Francisco, CA 94111. The company's principal address is 50 California St, Ste 2000, San Francisco, CA 94111 and its mailing address is 50 California St, Ste 2000, San Francisco, CA 94111.

The company has 2 principals on record. The principals are Gary Kremen from San Francisco CA and Philip Father from San Francisco CA.” Philip Father And Gary Kremen had a Victorian building on 3rd Street in the Portrero Hill neighborhood in San Francisco, not far from Nancy Pelosi’s “Goat Hill Pizza”. All of their files got leaked. So the story goes...

Boy gets domain name, boy loses domain name, boy gets domain name back. Add in millions of dollars flying about, a possible run-in with Mexican authorities and, naturally, a climactic courtroom finale.

But real life is always stranger than fiction, and the case of Gary Kremen versus Stephen Michael Cohen et alia is no different. No movie could fully reveal the oddities and quirks of the case of the disputed Sex.com domain name.

A trial in a San Francisco court Thursday will bring the two men together, both hoping for very different endings to the tale.

The story begins in 1994 when Gary Kremen registered the name Sex.com with domain name registrar Network Solutions (NSOL), for free and without any official contract -- the way things were often done in the early days of the Web. At the time, the Internet was in its infancy -- Amazon.com (AMZN: Research, Estimates) was still a year away.

After successfully launching the online dating service Match.com, Kremen turned his entrepreneurial attention to Sex.com. He hadn't developed a Web site to accompany the Sex.com nomenclature immediately after registering it. The domain name had sat empty.

While Kremen was busy developing his online dating service and registering Sex.com, Stephen Michael Cohen sat in federal prison serving a 42-month sentence for bankruptcy fraud. The prior felon had orchestrated a number of impersonation and deception schemes in the past. Cohen finished his bankruptcy fraud term in February 1995, and left federal prison.

Then the tale's first plot twist began. In October 1995, Network Solutions received a letter from a company called Online Classifieds Inc. stating that control of the Sex.com domain name was to be turned over to Cohen. The writer of the letter is listed as Sharyn Dimmick.

Dimmick, who was Kremen's roommate until April 1995, did not know Cohen, says Kremen's lawyer Pamela Urueta of San Francisco-based Kerr & Wagstaffe LLP.

Network Solutions obliged and transferred control of the domain name to Cohen.

Following the transfer, Online Classifieds Inc. informed Network Solutions that all correspondence would have to take place via mail or telephone -- because Online Classifieds Inc. did not have Internet access, Urueta says. Online company, no Internet access.

Following the transfer, Cohen developed the Sex.com Website and turned it in to a multimillion dollar venture. How many millions? It's hard to tell, because Cohen has refused to supply the court with accounting information for the Web site.

But the online pornography sector averaged \$2.7 million per day in earnings in 1999, according to a U.S. House of Representatives report. The Internet pornography industry also represents the most consistently successful e-commerce product on the Web.

However, despite the huge amount of cash the Web site was generating, something was rotten in the land of online titillation. Kremen learned from a friend that Sex.com was operating as a pornographic Web site, he says. Attorneys were called, a lawsuit was filed, and the most bizarre domain name battle in the Internet's short history began.

The first item in question was the letter written to Network Solutions with Dimmick listed as the author. Urueta believes Cohen saw the Internet was becoming a global phenomenon after his release from prison and decided Sex.com could be a lucrative domain name on which to base a business. After finding the name was already taken, Urueta says, Cohen decided to deceptively gain control of the Web property.

She contends that Cohen forged the letter after learning who Dimmick was, as the first step in his plot to take over the domain name. Cohen's lawyer, Robert Dorband of the law firm DuBoff Dorband Cushing and King in Portland, Ore., says Cohen did not forge the letter.

In the end it didn't matter who authored the transfer memo, because in November 2000, the U.S. District Court in San Jose found the letter was fraudulent and therefore the transfer of Sex.com from Kremen to Cohen was void. Sex.com was Kremen's again.

But Cohen argued that the letter and the court's view was irrelevant. He now claimed Sex.com was his before Network Solutions received the letter from Dimmick. In fact, Cohen said he had been using the Sex.com name as long ago as 1979.

Before heading to federal prison, Cohen had run a bulletin board for swingers and operated it from 1979 into the 1980s. One of the areas on the bulletin board used the three-letter file extension ".com" and was preceded by the word "sex," Dorband says.

Trademark law does not require one to register a name to own it, but simply to use the name for a period of time. Citing that law, Cohen claimed that since he had used the term Sex.com since 1979, the moniker was his.

The judge didn't buy it.

For Kremen, the only matter remaining now was the amount of money he should be rewarded from the Web site's earnings while under Cohen's leadership. At the November 2000 hearing, Judge James Ware ordered Cohen, along with two other corporate defendants, to place \$25 million in the court's control, pending final judgment and assessment of damages. The judge also ordered Cohen not to transfer any assets.

It's a very strange case. Kremen was big with the Jerry Brown and Gavin Newsom crew and set about pitching himself as a "Green Energy Guru" for Sacramento. Steve Klopff got a job at IDEO Design after that gig, where his bosses have asked staff not to mention the SEX.COM thing.

In defiance of those two orders, Cohen did not place \$25 million in the court's bank and did transfer money to accounts outside of the United States, says Urueta. She adds that Cohen has been sending money to banks in Luxembourg and other such countries for some time in order to avoid seizure of his assets. Cohen's lawyer confirms that the \$25 million was not placed, and that money was transferred after the court order.

Cohen was held in contempt on March 5 for violating the court's orders and for failing to appear in court on another date. The judge's decision stemming from those violations will disallow Cohen to present evidence at the trial scheduled Thursday. The judge also issued a warrant for Cohen's arrest for failing to comply with court orders.

Cohen could not be reached for comment. Network Solutions declined requests for an interview.

Gary Kremen "It's a very strange case," says Dorband. "It has some unusual characters, who really are more alike than they are different. I think if they [Kremen and Cohen] had met each other in some different forum they would actually be friends."

Since Kremen has regained control of Sex.com, he says he has toned down the nature of the content and may eventually shift the Web site's focus away from pornography and make it an educational property.

"I still need to figure out exactly what's going on with it [the Web site]," Kremen says. "But I don't really want it to be a porno site."

Dorband says the case sets no real precedent for future domain name battles.

"This whole case is really an anomaly," Dorband says. "Everything happened when, for a brief time, Network Solutions had no written agreement with its customers. Now, with contracts, you also have property rights to your domain name. If that would have been the case to start with, then who knows what might have happened in this situation.

Founder Kremen left the company in March 1996, after disagreements with venture capitalists.^[6] In 1997, Match.com was purchased by Cendant, who then sold it to IAC in 1999.^[7]

In September 2001, Match.com partnered with AOL and MSN, with the idea that Love@AOL and MSN Dating and Personals would allow a more diverse audience to gain access to Match.com.^[8]

In 2002 and early 2003, Match.com's then CEO, Tim Sullivan, expanded Match.com into local dating with a service called MatchLive, where daters would meet in a public location for social activities and a form of speed dating.^{[9][10]}

In September 2004, Jim Safka replaced Sullivan as CEO.^[11] Safka was replaced as CEO by Thomas Enraght-Moony in 2007.^[12]^[better source needed]

On November 10, 2005, a class action was filed by Matthew Evans against Match.com in federal court in Los Angeles alleging that Match.com employed fake members to send emails and go on dates with paying members. The suit was repudiated by IAC as baseless, and was later dismissed by the United States District Court for the Central District of California on April 25, 2007.^[13] Similar suits were filed in June 2009 and December 2010, with the judges ruling that Match.com did not break user agreements.^{[14][15]}

Do you see the trend here, yet? Match.com was forged in creepiness and built on slime-ball people with sinister motivations.

In January 2006, Match.com hired Dr. Phil McGraw as a celebrity spokesman.^[16]

In February 2021, Match Group acquired Hyperconnect, a technology company based in Seoul, Korea, for \$1.73 billion.^[17]

In February 2009, IAC incorporated Match Group as a conglomerate of Match.com and other dating sites it owned.[18] Also in February, it was announced that Match.com's European operations would be sold to Meetic for 5 million Euros and a reported twenty-seven percent interest in the company.[19] At the same time that this sale was announced, the current CEO Thomas Enraght-Moony stepped down, while IAC's (Match.com's parent company) Executive VP and General Counsel, Greg Blatt, took his place.[20]

In July 2009, Match.com acquired People Media, which powered AOL Personals and operated BlackPeopleMeet.com and OurTime.com, from American Capital for \$80 million.[21] The following year, Match.com acquired SinglesNet, another dating site.[22] In December 2010, Match.com's CEO Greg Blatt was made CEO of parent company IAC.[20]

In 2012, Match.com bought OkCupid, and Sam Yagan, OkCupid's co-founder and CEO, became CEO of Match Group.[23] That same year, Match.com announced Stir, an events service that was to offer local events each month for Match.com members to attend.[24]

In April 2014, Match.com launched an updated mobile app with a feature called "Stream" which used location to match people based upon photographs, using similar algorithms as the mobile dating app Tinder.[25] The platform's membership auto-billing method has been criticized by customers for the lack of transparency.[26]

In 2017, Yagan was replaced by Mandy Ginsberg as the CEO of Match.com's parent company, Match Group.[27]

A woman claiming she was raped by another person she met on Match.com sued the site in 2011.[28] The woman and her lawyer wanted Match.com to start doing background checks on their users in order to prevent registered sex offenders from using the site. Match.com has responded that it would create many problems trying to get background information from all their users.[29] Days after the lawsuit was filed, Match.com announced that the site would begin screening new members.[30]

From 2011 to 2014, a man described by British police as a "sexual predator" contacted thousands of women through the website. He raped five of them. In March 2016 Derby Crown Court heard that four of the Victim/Witnesses' complained about the man to Match.com; one of the women was told that administrators could not do anything because he had not sent abusive messages through the site.[31] [32]

IAC is an American holding company that owns brands across 100 countries, mostly in media and Internet. [2] The company is headquartered in New York City[3] and incorporated in Delaware. [4] Joey Levin, who previously led the company's search & applications segment,[5] has served as Chief Executive Officer since June 2015.[6]

IAC's largest shareholder, Liberty Media, exited the company in 2010, following a protracted dispute over the 2008 spinoffs.[54][55] Liberty traded its IAC stock for \$220 million in cash, plus ownership of Evite and Gifts.com. [54] On the same day, Diller stepped down as CEO, though he remained as chairman and Match.com CEO Greg Blatt was appointed to succeed him.[54] That same year, IAC acquired dating site Singlesnet[56] and fitness site DailyBurn. [57]

In January 2013, IAC acquired online tutoring firm Tutor.com. [58] On August 3, 2013, IAC sold Newsweek to the International Business Times on undisclosed terms.[59] On December 22, 2013, IAC fired their Director of Corporate Communications, Justine Sacco after an AIDS joke she posted to

Twitter went viral,^[60] being re-tweeted and scorned around the world.^[61] The incident became a byword for the need for people to be cautious about what they post on social media.^[62]

In 2014, IAC acquired ASKfm for an undisclosed sum.^[63]

November 2015, IAC and Match Group announced the closing of Match Group's previously announced initial public offering.^[64]

In May 2017, HomeAdvisor combined with Angie's List, forming the new publicly traded company ANGI Homeservices Inc. The company made its stock market debut in October 2017. In October 2018, the ANGI made its first acquisition of on-demand platform Handy.^[65]

In July 2019, IAC made its largest investment ever in the world's largest peer-to-peer car sharing marketplace, Turo. Later that year, IAC acquired Care.com.^[66] In December 2019, IAC and Match Group entered into an agreement providing for the full separation of Match Group from the remaining businesses of IAC.^[67]

In January 2020, IAC withdrew its financial backing for CollegeHumor and its sister websites and sold the websites to Chief Creative Officer Sam Reich. As a result of the restructuring, more than 100 employees of CollegeHumor were laid off.^[68] In February, IAC completed its \$500 million acquisition of Care.com.^[69]

The Clinton Family own an interest in this operation. Anytime you are trying to date on Match.Com think about Chelsea Clinton and her Friend Ghislaine Maxwell ready your emails and texts on the Match.com servers.

The people that work in the lower staff ranks at Match are generally high-strung leftists woke rights activists who are not old enough to have fully developed brains. They party in clusters in sports bar and loud music club scenes and reinforce a party culture. They are mostly female and embrace "influencers", "Instagram postings" and casual dating. They have a higher tattoo volume than the average corporation.

In July 2020, IAC and Match Group announced the successful completion of the separation of Match Group from the remaining businesses of IAC. As a result of the separation, Match Group's dual class voting structure was eliminated and the interest in Match Group formerly held by IAC is now held directly by IAC's shareholders. As of the separation, "new" IAC trades under the symbol "IAC" and "new" Match Group under the symbol "MTCH." ^[70]

In August 2020, IAC announced^[71] it had invested a 12% stake in MGM Resorts International.

Match Group, Inc. is an American internet and technology company headquartered in Dallas, Texas.^[2] It owns and operates the largest global portfolio of popular online dating services including Tinder, Match.com, Meetic, OkCupid, Hinge, PlentyOfFish, Ship, and OurTime totalling over 45 global dating companies.^[3] The company was owned by parent company IAC and in 2019, the company had 9.283 million subscribers, of which 4.554 million were in North America.^[1] In July 2020, Match Group became a separate, public company.

Match.Com and Attack service: Gawker Media/Gizmodo Media trade Staffer Ian Fette back and forth to share mass computerized political attack and political defamation tools developed at both outfits. In February 2009, IAC incorporated Match Group as a conglomerate of Match.com and other dating sites it owned.^{[1][4]} In July 2009, Match Group's Match.com acquired People Media from American

[Capital](#) for \$80 million in cash. People Media operated dating sites BlackPeopleMeet.com and OurTime, which became part of Match Group's portfolio, and powered [AOL Personals](#).^[5] In February 2010, Match.com acquired dating site Singlesnet.^[6] In February 2011, Match Group acquired OkCupid for \$50 million. OkCupid was the first free, advertising-based product added to the Match Group portfolio.^[7]

In 2012, online dating application Tinder was founded within Hatch Labs, a [startup incubator](#) run by parent company IAC.^[8] The application allowed users to anonymously swipe to like or dislike other profiles based on their photos, common interests and a small bio.^[9] On November 19, 2015, the company became a public company via an [initial public offering](#).^[10]

In 2017, Match Group launched Tinder Gold, which established Tinder as the highest grossing non-gaming app globally.^[8] In the summer of 2017, the company offered to acquire [Bumble](#) for \$450 million.^[11]

In January 2018, [Mandy Ginsberg](#), formerly the CEO of Match North America, replaced Greg Blatt as CEO of the company.^[12]

In June 2018, Match Group acquired 51% ownership in dating app [Hinge](#).^[13] The acquisition was intended to help diversify Match's portfolio and appeal to a wider array of singles. In February 2019, Match Group fully bought out the company.^{[14][15]}

In July 2018, Match Group launched a Safety Advisory Council comprising a group of experts focused on preventing [sexual assault](#) across its portfolio of products. The council included [#MeToo](#) movement founder [Tarana Burke](#) and worked with organizations like the [Rape, Abuse & Incest National Network](#) (RAINN) and the [National Sexual Violence Resource Center](#).^[16]

In August 2018, [Tinder](#) co-founder [Sean Rad](#) filed a \$2 billion lawsuit against Match Group, claiming that Match Group and its parent company IAC purposely undervalued Tinder to avoid paying out stock [options](#) to the company's original team.^[17] Rad and his co-Victim/Witnesses's also accused the former Tinder CEO, Greg Blatt, of [sexual harassment](#).^[18] The company said that the allegations are "meritless".^[19] In October 2019, Blatt filed a defamation lawsuit against Rad and Tinder founding member Rosette Pambakian seeking at least \$50 million in damages.^{[20][21]}

In January 2019, Match Group partnered with media brand [Betches](#) to launch a dating app, called Ship, that allowed users to help their friends pick out potential dates.^[22]

In August 2019, the company acquired Harmonica, an Egyptian [online dating service](#).^{[23][24][25][26]}

In January 2020, Match Group announced an investment and partnership with safety platform [Noonlight](#). The partnership incorporated new safety tools in Match Group's products, including emergency assistance, [location tracking](#) and photo verification.

In January 2020, [Mandy Ginsberg](#) stepped down as chief executive officer due to personal reasons.^{[27][28][29]} [Shar Dubey](#), then President of Match Group, became the CEO of the company effective March 1, 2020.^{[30][31]}

In March 2020, Match Group became the first tech company to support the [Earn It Act of 2020](#), a [bipartisan](#) bill to combat online [child sexual exploitation](#).^[32]

In July 2020, the company completed the separation from [IAC](#). The separation was the largest ever for IAC, as Match Group then had a [market capitalization](#) of \$30 billion.^[33] After the separation, four

new members joins Match Group's board of directors: Stephen Baily, Melissa Brenner, Ryan Reynolds and Wendi Murdoch[34][35][36]

In August 2020, amidst the Covid-19 pandemic, Match Group reported growing profit and revenue and surpassed 10 million subscribers across its portfolio.[37]

In September 2020, Match Group joined others companies like Spotify and Epic Games to form the Coalition for App Fairness. The purpose is to combat Apple over its app store policies.[38][39]

In February 2021, Match Group announced that it would be acquiring Seoul, Korea-based social network company Hyperconnect for \$1.73 billion in both cash and stock.[40] This deal is reportedly Match Group's largest acquisition to date.

Also in February 2021, Match Group took legal action against dating app Muzmatch, the online Muslim dating app, calling the app a "Tinder Clone". [41]

In 2019, the company was sued by the U.S. Federal Trade Commission (FTC) for allegations of unfair and deceptive trade practices. According to the FTC's civil complaint, the company used fake love interest ads to encourage free users to pay for premium subscription services on Match.com. Accounts that were flagged as suspicious or potentially fraudulent by the site were prevented from messaging paid subscribers but were allowed to continue messaging free users who were tricked into believing that the suspicious accounts were real users encouraging them to subscribe and connect with them. The company denied the allegations. The FTC further alleged that the company offered false promises of guarantees, failed to provide support to customers who unsuccessfully disputed charges, and made it overly difficult for users to cancel their subscriptions, which Match Group disputed as cherry-picked and misrepresenting internal emails.[42][43][44][45][46] In September 2020, it was reported that the Department of Justice had closed its investigation into the FTC complaint.[47]

The Dating Sub Sites they use and spy from:

- **Ablo**
- **Amourex**
- **Black People Meet**
- **BLK**
- **Chispa**
- **Disons Demain**
- **Hawaya (formerly Harmonica)**
- **Hinge**
- **Lexa.nl**
- **Love Scout 24**
- **Match.com**
- **Meetic**
- **neu.de**

- OkCupid
- OurTime
- Pairs
- ParPerfeito
- Plenty of Fish
- Ship
- Tinder
- Twoo

•And any other facades that these digital manipulators pop up with.

2021T - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media, Snopes, SPLC and other hired media assassins were retained to produce "hatchet job" character assassination articles about victim. Then those articles were faxed, mailed and emailed to Kaiser Permanente and investors with a note saying: "You don't want to have anything to do with this person, do you..?" in order to get victim fired from their job and get victim's loans or financing pulled. The attackers use their round one attack media, that they authored, to create a round two second wave attack designed to end victim's life status via economic warfare.

2021U - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Mortgage and rental applications had red flags added to them in databases to prevent the targets from getting homes or apartments.

2021V - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': Krebs On Security, Wired, Ars Technica, The Wall Street Journal and most major IT publications have reported that hundreds of spy "back-doors" have been found on every Intel, AMD, Apple, Xfinity, Cisco, Microsoft, Juniper Networks motherboard, chip-set and hardware component set. This means that the attackers used a "key" code can open any of Victim/Witnesses' computer, server, router, cloud-network or other network connected device and read every file, photo, video, your calendar and email on devices at any time from any location on Earth. This has been widely reported on by Glenn Greenwald, Edward Snowden, Scahill, Cheryl K of CBS News and others. Victim was hacked at least 10 times. In a number of instances, people, who victim had been communicating with online, were mysteriously contacted by a third party who sent them the Gizmodo attack article or phoned them with warnings to avoid victim. These kinds of Man-In-The-Middle interceptions would only have been possible from hacking and MITM surveillance tactics.

2021W - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': McCarthy-Era "Black-lists" were created and employed against target Victim/Witnesses' who competed with Obama Administration executives and their campaign financiers to prevent them from getting funding and future employment. This White House process is known as "RatFucking", a tactic that is documented in a variety of published reports and on Wikipedia.

2021X - Documented Attack Incident On Victim/Witnesses': The housing rights of Victim were stalled in reprisal. Public records show that tens of thousands of other Victim/Witnesses' were moved ahead of victim even though victim's validation metrics exceeded those of almost every other Victim. Victim was "black-listed". Federal law enforcement, the United States Congress and the highest level investigators in the U.S., and abroad, have documented (per the "FISA Memo", Congressional Reports and federal employee testimony) and proven the fact that the Obama Administration regularly engaged in the operation of retribution, vendetta and reprisal campaigns known as "hit-jobs" against domestic natural born U.S. citizen domestic taxpayers. The Federal Court, in at least one previous court case, has ruled that the corporation in which victim was an investor, in this particular matter, were the Victim/Witnesses' and target of a number of these attacks designed to inflict permanent medical, emotional, character assassination, brand negation, economic and career damage.

Killing The Messenger

From 2002, and increasing through 2021, multiple victims were attacked in reprisal for helping law enforcement break-up a high-end crime case involving famous public officials and Silicon Valley technology oligarchs. One of the Victim/Witnesses' was attacked and fully disabled in 2008. (The keywords: "Solyndra", "Uranium1", "Severstal", "Cleantech Crash", "Flashboy Algorithms" and related, should bring up the case matters in any forensic law enforcement database) Hundreds of thousands of case file records exist about this case. **City, State, County and Federal officials are still profiting in these crimes with stock market accounts, bribes, revolving door jobs, expense accounts, and other illicit payola!** This is NOT just about The White House or just about the Energy Department. Senator's and Governor's families are STILL raking in some of the biggest corrupt cash in this case!

"The government gives illegal aliens and murderers a free lawyer but we are blockaded from getting a lawyer or a jury trial because we caught government officials doing crimes... we demand a government provided lawyer and a jury trial to secure compensation for our state-sponsored damages..."

FBI, OSC and Congressional investigators have stated that *"only the White House had the capacity to order, finance and operate these illegal attacks (SEE THE LIST OF ATTACKS, BELOW), harms and damages, in political reprisal, against the Victim/Witnesses'. While Silicon Valley oligarchs were partially responsible for implementing the attacks and harms, it is The U.S. Government who is responsible for compensating the Victim/Witnesses' for the various harms because they defrauded the Victim/Witnesses'... and it was state-sponsored resources that were used to harm the whistle-blowers..."*

The remaining Victim/Witnesses' have stated: "...The other Victim/Witnesses' of this crime have received over \$45,000,000.00 in damages payments. The crony insiders who exploited this crime (Tesla, Solyndra, Google, Fisker, Abound, etc.) have pocketed billions in profits. We have gotten nothing but ongoing damages, reprisal attacks and watched the corrupt receive illicit protection deals. Enough is enough.."

Financial records from corporate leaks prove that Google, Gawker and Gizmodo exchanges millions of dollars in cash and hundreds of millions of dollars in search engine search manipulation

services exchanges prove that these companies exchanged compensation as service fees to assist with the attack on Plaintiff by the Tech Cartel!

In the report: [Insider Tape Reveals Zuckerberg And Top Exec Prioritize Punishing Truth Seekers Over Acknowledging Secret Censorship Of ‘Actually True Events Or Facts’](#)

...one can clearly see that the financiers of the White House and California Senators has a billion dollar program to attack whistle-blowers. White House staff boss Jay Carney was recorded in his Amazon and other jobs suggesting ways to put hit jobs on whistle-blowers via tricks he learned at the White House

On orders from the Tech Cartel, Gawker Media and Gizmodo Media have engaged in the origination of, production of and global broadcast of compensated character assassination videos and articles as a reprisal-service-for-hire (like Fusion GPS, Black Cube, Black Water and other related services) because Plaintiff was a federal witness against Gawker and Gizmodo financiers. As 1.) the only publishing group on Earth to have engaged in such attacks and 2.) since the attacks were financed by complainants business competitors and 3.) since adversaries own staff have admitted to the scheme and 4.) since communications, FBI records and previous litigation records prove complainants assertions, complainants are justified in their demands.

The attacks and broadcast of multiple defamation attack articles and videos by Gawker Media and Gizmodo Media has been operating as recently as this date, thus the statutes of limitations are not exceeded.

Well known political figures and political financiers hired Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media and “Nick” Denton to undertake these ongoing attacks and to manipulate web servers to operate those attacks globally and permanently. The attackers hired Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media, “Nick” Denton, Univision/Unimoda LLC and does 1 to 22, et al, to engage in reprisals because of the company’s testimony against those parties in federal investigations and because the plaintiff had superior technologies that the attackers could not compete with. Transaction documents showing payments between the “bad guys” in this case, were recently uncovered in other court cases.

Adversaries produced a series of videos and defamation articles and used internet server technology tricks to place those attack materials in front of 7.5 billion people **day after day, year after year, refreshing the attack daily**. This is, essentially, a “hit-job” service that Univision provides as a side gig through it’s TV networks and it’s offensive tabloid brands of: Gizmodo, Jalopnik, Jezebel, Gawker and other Univision/Unimoda assets along with it’s partnership with Google for the operation of such attacks. “*Univision uses this service as a political-payback tool for politicians as well as an anti-trust violating anti-competition tool for its clients*”, claim Victim/Witnesses.

Private, federal, Congressional and news investigators and evidence from whistle-blowers and other lawsuits have now confirmed the veracity of the charges and the potential for a very large win against Univision/Unimoda LLC and their distribution partners. Recent legal precedents have all been ruled in the victims favor.

The true names and capacities of the Defendants, does 1 through 100, inclusive, are presently unknown to the Victim/Witnesses at this time and the Victim/Witnesses sue those Defendants and each of them,

by such fictitious names pursuant to the pertinent provisions of the California Code of Civil Procedure. The facts and veracity of the charges and claims herein are evidenced in multi-terabyte hard drives and existing online cloud-based evidence repositories containing millions of pages of validating evidence compiled by Victim/Witnesses, FBI, GAO, SEC, EU, private, Congressional, news industry, forensic specialist and leaked archive investigators.

The Victim/Witnesses are informed and believe and, based on that information and belief, allege that the named Defendants herein and each of the parties designated as a “GOVT” and every one of them, are legally responsible jointly and severally for the Federal RICO Statute violating events and happenings referred to in the within Complaint for Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations, Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage, Cyberstalking, Fraud, Invasion of Privacy, Unfair Competition and Theft of Intellectual Property and RICO statute violations.

In particular, Defendants took compensation for, and engaged in, malicious and coordinated tactics to seek to destroy, damage, harm and ruin Victim/Witnesses via an illicit media “hit-job” service which Defendants regularly offered in covert commerce and engaged in regularly against targets that Defendants were hired to seek to ruin as part of reprisal, vendetta, retribution programs operated for business and political competitors of the targets. Historical facts and other history-making lawsuits by third parties, has proven Defendants to be the single largest core violator of human rights, in this manner, in the world. Defendants offer the service of creating and publishing contrived “hatchet job” movies, fake news articles, faked comments and repercussion backlinks describing the Victim/Witnesses in horrific descriptors. The attack material is reposted, “impression accelerated”, “click-farm fertilized” and Streisand array reposted by Defendants massive character assassination technology via servers algorithms and technical internet manipulation daily as recently as yesterday. Defendants also embed the article in job hiring databases on Axciom, Palantir, Taleo and other databases used by all hiring and recruiting services in order to prevent Victim/Witnesses from ever receiving income for W2 or 1099 work ever again. Defendants own staff then post thousands of fake comments, below each attack item, under fake names, designed to make it appear as if a broad consensus of the public agreed with the defamation messages by Defendants. Almost all of the fake comments were created by a handful of Defendants own staff pretending to be a variety of outside voices. Defendants provide the service of delivering “weaponized text and media to corporate clients”.

Defendants replicated various versions of these attack items across all of their different brands and facade front publications and added additional fake comments to each on a regular basis.

Key points in the attacks include:

A. Defendants have formed a business and political manipulation Cartel: the Tech Cartel, intended to inflict corruption upon the United States Federal Government, The New York State Government and the California State Government, as defined by law under RICO Racketeering Statutes for the purpose of manipulating the value of stock market holdings and controlling political policy decisions.

B. In exchange for financing, Defendants Clients gave Defendants Associates business monopolies and government contract monopolies and media distribution exclusives worth trillions of dollars. This was an illegal quid-pro-quo arrangement. Victim/Witnesses designed, produced, received patent awards on, received federal commendations for, received federal funding for and first marketed the very products which Defendants copied and made billions of dollars on and which Defendants felt might beat them in hundreds of billions of dollars of competitive market positions and stock market trades. Companies operated by Victim/Witnesses included automobile design and manufacturing companies, global television broadcasting companies and energy companies which are commonly known to have generated hundreds of billions of dollars in profits, revenue and stock market transactions for Defendants competing holdings at Victim/Witnesses expense. Defendants operated a criminal CARTEL as defined by RICO LAWS and that Cartel ran an anti-trust market rigging and crony political payola operation. Defendants spent tens of millions of dollars attacking Victim/Witnesses because Defendants were not clever enough to build better products. Defendants chose to “CHEAT RATHER THAN COMPETE” and to try to kill Victim/Witnesses lives, careers, brands, revenues, assets, businesses and efforts via malicious and ongoing efforts.

C. U.S. Attorney General Jefferson B. Sessions III has been informed, in writing, of these charges and Victim/Witnesses understand that DOJ officials have an ongoing investigation into these matters. Under investigation for these crimes, New York State attorney general Eric Schneiderman

was recently forced to quit over corruption and sexual cult charges involving the NXIUM group and related matters.

D. Due to Defendants fears of the loss of up a trillion dollars of crony payola from their illegal abuse of taxpayer funds, Defendants engaged in felonious actions in order seek to intimidate others.

E. Just as, over time, the Watergate crimes are now intimately documented and detailed; over time The “Cleantech Crash Scandal” as featured on **CBS News 60 MINUTES** TV Show, has been detailed and exposed in numerous federal, news media and public investigations. Significant barriers to justice were illicitly placed in front of Victim/Witnesses by Defendants.

F. Defendants organized and operated a series of malicious attacks and thefts against Victim/Witnesses as reprisals and competitive vendettas. Defendants report to the FBI, GAO, FTC, SEC, Congressional Ethics Committees, The White House and other entities on a regular basis.

G. Defendants and their associates Elon Musk, Jon Doerr, Eric Schmidt, Larry Page, Steve Jurvetson, Vinod Khosla and other members of the “Silicon Valley Cartel” are documented in tens of thousands of news reports, federal law enforcement reports and Congressional reports in their attempts to infiltrate and corrupt The U.S. Government in an attempt to route trillions of tax dollars to Defendants private accounts. Defendants perceived Victim/Witnesses as a threat to their crimes. Federal investigators, news investigators and whistle-blowers have reported to Victim/Witnesses that Defendants were the financiers and/or beneficiaries and/or command and control operatives for the crimes and corruption disclosed in the CBS NEWS 60 Minutes investigative reports entitled: “The Cleantech Crash”, “The Lobbyists Playbook” and “Congress Trading on Insider Information”; The Feature Film: “The Car and the Senator” Federal lawsuits with case numbers of: USCA Case #16-5279; and over 50 other cases including the ongoing “Solyndra” investigation and federal and Congressional investigations detailed at <http://greencorruption.blogspot.com/> ; <https://theintercept.com/2016/04/22/googles-remarkably-close-relationship-with-the-obama-white-house-in-two-charts/> and thousands of other documentation sites. Victim/Witnesses are charged with engaging in these crimes and corruptions against Victim/Witnesses and financing and ordering attacks

on Victim/Witnesses.

Victim/Witnesses engaged in U.S. commerce and did everything properly and legally. Unlike Defendants, Victim/Witnesses did not steal technology. Unlike Defendants, Victim/Witnesses did not bribe elected officials in order to get market exclusives. Unlike Defendants, Victim/Witnesses did not poach Defendants staff. Unlike Defendants, Victim/Witnesses were the original inventors of their products. Unlike Defendants, Victim/Witnesses did not operate “AngelGate Collusion” schemes and “High Tech No Poaching Secret Agreements” and a Mafia-like Silicon Valley exclusionary Cartel. Unlike Defendants, Victim/Witnesses did not place their employees in The U.S. Government , The California Government, The U.S. Patent Office and The U.S. Department of Energy in order to control government contracts to Defendants exclusive advantage. Unlike Defendants, Victim/Witnesses did not place moles inside of competitors companies. Unlike Defendants, Victim/Witnesses did not hire Gawker Media and Think Progress to seek to kill Victim/Witnesses careers, lives and brands. Unlike Defendants, Victim/Witnesses did not rig the stock market with “pump-and-dump”, “Flash Boy” and “Google-stock/PR-pump” schemes. Victim/Witnesses engaged in hard work every day of their lives for the time-frame in question under the belief that the good old American work ethic and just rewards for your creations was still in effect in the U.S.A., and that the thieves and criminals that attempted to interdict Victim/Witnesses would face Justice. In a number of circumstances Defendants took advantages of Victim/Witnesses hard work via come-ons; Defendants then made billions of dollars from Plainiffs work at Victim/Witnesses expense and attacked Victim/Witnesses in order to reduce Victim/Witnesses competitive and legal recovery options.

H. Defendants exchanged payments for services via cash, stock warrants, illicit personal services, media control and a technology known as a “Streisand Effect Massive Server Array” which can control public impressions for, or against a person, party, ideology or issue. Defendants Streisand Effect internet system was used to destroy Victim/Witnesses in reprisal, retribution, and vendetta for Victim/Witnesses help with law enforcement efforts in the case and because Victim/Witnesses companies competed with Defendants companies with superior technologies.

I. Defendants have used their Streisand Effect technology to build a character assassination ring of bloggers and hired shill “reporters” who engage in a process called a “Shiva”. This process is named after a Plaintiff in a similar case named: Shiva Ayyadurai, the husband of Actress Fran Drescher. Shiva Ayyadurai holds intellectual property rights to part of Defendants email technology. In fact, the people most threatened by the Shiva Ayyadurai patent right claims, ironically turn out to be Defendants and, in particular, Defendants associates Elon Musk, Jon Doerr, Eric Schmidt, Larry Page, Steve Jurvetson, Vinod Khosla and other members of the “Silicon Mafia” who own most of the main companies exploiting email technology. Were Shiva Ayyadurai to prevail in his claims, Defendants would owe him billions of dollars. “Running A Shiva” involves the production of a series of Defamation articles by bloggers who act as if they are independent from Defendants but are in fact, not. Defendants used “the Shiva” to attack and seek to destroy Donald competitors, Shiva Ayyadurai, Victim/Witnesses, and numerous political figures. Univision, Unimoda, Jalopnik, Gawker Media, Gizmodo and over a hundred stealth-ed, and overt, assets of Defendants have been using “The Shiva” network to attack Donald competitors, Shiva Ayyadurai, Victim/Witnesses, and numerous political figures as recently as this morning, thus, the time bar restarts every day. Victim/Witnesses have pleaded with Defendants to cease their attacks but Defendants have refused to comply. Even with Fran Drescher’s ongoing royalty payments from her popular television series, friends have reported that the attacks on the Ayyadurai family have been devastating and have caused massive damages and personal and emotional devastation.

J. Defendants produced animated movies, attack articles, fake blog comments, DNS routes, “Shiva” Campaigns, and other attack media against Victim/Witnesses and expended over \$30 million dollars in value, as quantified by Defendants partner: Google, in placing the attack material in front of 7.5 billion people on the planet for the rest of Victim/Witnesses lifetime. No person could survive such an attack and in the case of Victim/Witnesses, lives were destroyed and multiple companies invested into by Victim/Witnesses, which Defendants made over \$50B off of the copies of, were destroyed because they competed with Defendants.

1.-7. Congressional data from multiple Facebook Whistle-Blowers proves that Facebook intentionally operates as a political weapon and a competitive-interest weapon.

8. The Victim/Witnesses are informed and believe, and based on that information and belief allege that at all times mentioned in the within Complaint, all Defendants were the agents, owners and employees of their co-Defendants and, in doing the things alleged in this Complaint, were acting within the course and scope of such agency and employment.

9. As to any corporate employer specifically named, or named as a “GOVT” herein, the Victim/Witnesses are informed and believe and therefore allege that any act, conduct, course of conduct or omission, alleged herein to have been undertaken with sufficient, malice, fraud and oppression to justify an award of punitive damages, was, in fact, completed with the advance knowledge and conscious disregard, authorization, or ratification of and by an officer, director, or managing agent of such corporation. The Statute of Limitations and time bar on this case has not expired. Victim/Witnesses only became aware of all of the facts in 2017 due to the FBI, Congressional and hacker-exposed investigation data on Defendants operating and receiving cash, rewards and assets from an illegal and illicit set of political slush-funds established to compensate them for financing political campaigns. The Sony, Clinton, DNC, HSBC, Panama Papers and other hacks and publication of all of the relevant files and the Congressional investigation of illicit activities and the continuing issuance of federal documents to Victim/Witnesses confirming Victim/Witnesses intellectual property are all vastly WITHIN the statutes of limitations to allow this case to proceed to Jury Trial. Victim/Witnesses has had a long, ongoing and high-level interaction with Defendant in both the work effort and the monetization and collection effort. Victim/Witnesses has been continually interactive with Defendant in order to try to collect his money. Attacks and interference with Victim/Witnesses has occurred as recently as this week by Defendants.

Character Assassins Contracted By The White House

10. Defendants are among the largest financiers and/or beneficiaries and/or command and control operatives for quid-pro-quo campaigns.

“While most people may think that “hit-jobs” are the realm of Hollywood movie plots, these kinds of corporate assassination attempts do take place daily in big business and politics. At the request of The U.S. Government , Victim/Witnesses developed and patented an energy technology that affected trillions of dollars of oil company and technology billionaire insider profits. They didn’t realize this at the time. Let me make this point clearly: The control of Trillions of dollars of energy industry profits were being fought over by two groups and the Government plunked Victim/Witnesses down in the middle of that war. Victim/Witnesses had no affiliation with either group. They thought they were just accepting a challenge to help their nation and were not aware that Defendants had infected the entire process with crony corruption insider schemes.

Victim/Witnesses won commendation from the U.S. Congress in the Iraq War Bill. They won federal patents. They won a Congressional grant. They won a huge number of letters of acclaim and they won the wrath of a handful of insane Silicon Valley billionaires who could not compete with Victim/Witnesses technology. Defendants chose to “...CHEAT RATHER THAN COMPETE!”

The attacks were carried out by California State employees and U.S. Government officials who had received stock, perks, and other quid-pro-quo payment from these billionaires.

Department of Energy Executives and their campaign billionaire handlers engaged in these attacks in order to control the solar and "green car" markets in violation of anti-trust laws. The billionaires did not care about “green” issues, they only cared about green cash.

Federal and state employees ran retribution campaigns against Victim/Witnessess who competed with inside deals they had set up to line their own pockets at taxpayer expense.

These corrupt politicians thought they could take over a promised “six trillion dollar "Cleantech" industry that was being created to exploit new insider exploitation opportunities around global warming and Middle East disruption. After an epic number of Solyndra-esque failures, all owned by The U.S. Government Executives and their campaign financiers, the scheme fell apart. The non crony Victim/Witnessess suffered the worst fates. As CBS News reporter Cheryl Atkisson has reported, the willingness to engage in media "hitjobs" was only exceeded by the audacity with which Department of

Energy officials employed such tactics.

Now, in a number of notorious trials and email leaks, including the Hulk Hogan lawsuit and the DNC and Panama Papers leaks, the public has gotten to see the depths to which public officials are willing to stoop to cheat rather than compete in the open market.

Department of Energy employees and State of California employees engaged in the following documented attacks against Victim/Witnesses who were competing with their billionaire backers personal stock holdings. Victim/Witnesses and the other Victim/Witnesses including Bright Automotive, Aptera, ZAP and many more, suffered these attacks:

- Social Security, SSI, SDI, Disability and other earned benefits were stone-walled. Applications were “lost”. Files in the application process “disappeared”. Lois Lerner hard drive “incidents” took place.*
- Defendants had lawyers employed by Defendants contact Victim/Witnesses and offer to “help” Victim/Witnesses when, in fact, those lawyers worked for Defendants and were sent in as moles to try to delay the filing of a case in order to try to run out the time bar.*
- State and federal employees played an endless game of Catch-22 by arbitrarily determining that deadlines had passed that they, the government officials, had stonewalled and obfuscated applications for, in order to force these deadlines that they set, to appear to be missed.*
- Some Victim/Witnesses found themselves strangely poisoned, not unlike the Alexander Litvenko and Rodgers cases. Heavy metals and toxic materials were found right after their work with The U.S. Government weapons and energy facilities. Many wonder if these “targets” were intentionally exposed to toxins in retribution for their testimony. The federal MSDS documents clearly show that a number of these people were exposed to deadly compounds and radiations without being provided with proper HazMat suits which GOVT officials knew were required.*
- Victim/Witnesses employers were called, and faxed, and ordered to fire Victim/Witnesses from their places of employment, in the middle of the day, with no notice, as a retribution tactic.*

- *Victim/Witnessess HR and employment records, on recruiting and hiring databases, were embedded with negative keywords in order to prevent them from gaining future employment.*
- *One Gary D. Conley and one Rajeev Motwani, both whistle-blowers in this matter, turned up dead under strange circumstances. They are not alone in a series of bizarre deaths related to the GOVT.*
- *Disability and VA complaint hearings and benefits were frozen, delayed, denied or subjected to lost records and "missing hard drives" as in the Lois Lerner case.*
- *Paypal and other on-line payments for on-line sales were delayed, hidden, or re-directed in order to terminate income potential for Victim/Witnessess who competed with GOVT interests and holdings.*
- *DNS redirection, website spoofing which sent Victim/Witnessess websites to dead ends and other Internet activity manipulations were conducted.*
- *Campaign finance dirty tricks contractors IN-Q-Tel, Think Progress, Media Matters, Gawker Media, Syd Blumenthal, etc., were hired by GOVT Executives and their campaign financiers to attack Victim/Witnessess who competed with GOVT executives stocks and personal assets.*
- *Covert GOVT partner: Google, transfered large sums of cash to dirty tricks contractors and then manually locked the media portion of the attacks into the top lines of the top pages of all Google searches globally, for years, with hidden embedded codes in the links and web-pages which multiplied the attacks on Victim/Witnessess by many magnitudes.*
- *Honeytraps and moles from persons employed by Defendants or living on, or with, Defendants were employed by the attackers. In this tactic, people who covertly worked for the attackers were employed to approach the "target" and offer business or sexual services in order to spy on and misdirect the subject.*

- Mortgage and rental applications had red flags added to them in databases to prevent the targets from getting homes or apartments.

- McCarthy-Era "Black-lists" were created and employed against Victim/Witnesses who competed with GOVT executives and their campaign financiers to prevent them from funding and future employment. The Silicon Valley Cartel (AKA the "PayPal Mafia" or the "Silicon Valley Mafia") placed Victim/Witnesses on their "Black-List".

- Targets were very carefully placed in a position of not being able to get jobs, unemployment benefits, disability benefits or acquire any possible sources of income. The retribution tactics were audacious, overt..and quite illegal.

While law enforcement, regulators and journalists are now clamping down on each and every one of the attackers, one-by-one, the process is slow. The victims have been forced to turn to the filing of lawsuits in order to seek justice. The Mississippi Attorney General's office, who is prosecuting Cartel Member Google, advised Victim/Witnesses to pursue their case in civil court while the Post Election FBI expands its resources."

While Defendants have sought to mock Victim/Witnesses exposure of Defendants organized crime operation by denigrating Victim/Witnesses data as "Conspiracy Theory", the articles located at:

1.) <http://www.zerohedge.com/news/2015-02-23/1967-he-cia-created-phrase-conspiracy-theorists-and-ways-attack-anyone-who-challenge>

2.) <http://www.infowars.com/33-conspiracy-theories-that-turned-out-to-be-true-what-every-person-should-know/>

3.) How, After This Crazy Year, Is 'Conspiracy Theorist' Still Being Used As An Insult?
<http://www.newslogue.com/debate/152>

...and thousands of other links prove that Defendants further attempts to malign Victim/Witnesses over their conspiracy FACTS are ill advised.

[A group funded by George Soros and other donors to continue investigating the Russia collusion allegations after the 2016 election met with the FBI, offering its assistance to agents and alerting them it was working with one of their terminated confidential sources in the case, Christopher Steele, according to court testimony made public Friday.](#)

Dianne Feinstein's in-office attack operative and former FBI analyst **Daniel Jones'** testimony, released in a D.C. Superior Court civil case, recounts in painstaking detail how he formed the group The Democracy Integrity Project in early 2017, raised money for it and continued a private investigation into alleged Russian and other interference in the 2016 election. Daniel Jones is known to have operated "hit-jobs" against Dianne Feinstein's enemies.

Jones acknowledged in his testimony that he worked post-election with some of the key figures tied to [Hillary Clinton's campaign and its now discredited Russia collusion allegations.](#) including recently indicted former campaign lawyer Michael Sussmann and investigative researcher Glenn Simpson.

But in one of his biggest revelations, Sussmann testified he hired the author of the now-infamous and discredited Steele dossier to assist his work and felt obligated to alert the FBI when he met with Russia collusion investigators in March 2017. "I proactively reached out to the FBI," Jones testified.

"The purpose of that meeting was to alert the FBI, a place that I had worked with and who I had maintained contacts with who are still there, that the Democracy Integrity Project as an organization existed and that we were collecting information on foreign government interference in elections and that we had the information on foreign government interference in elections, as well as the fact that I had engaged the services of Christopher Steele for the Democracy Integrity Project and alerting them to that, given the public nature of what had transpired from the Bureau on this."

You can read the full testimony here:

File

[DanJonesDepoAlfacase.pdf](#)

By the time of Jones' meeting with the FBI in March 2017, the bureau had terminated the former British MI6 agent Steele as a confidential informant in the Russia case for leaking to the news media. Counterintelligence agents had also debunked some of the Steele dossier's allegations as Russian disinformation, inaccurate or unprovable and learned his research had been paid for by the Clinton campaign through its law firm Perkins Coie, where Sussmann worked.

File

[Steele2017FBIDebriefing.pdf](#)

But Steele wasn't the only figure tied to the Clinton campaign's earlier Russia collusion operation that engaged with TDIP.

Jones testified that Simpson helped him raise money for his non-profit TDIP and Jones then hired Simpson's Fusion GPS firm to investigate election issues starting in early 2017. Records introduced during the testimony showed the donor-funded TDIP paid Simpson's firm about \$3.3 million for its work.

Simpson's firm had previously done similar work for the Clinton campaign.

While TDIP's list of donors is not public, a spokesman for [Soros previously confirmed](#) in 2019 that the liberal megadonor was one of the group's funders.

Jones also described how he worked with Sussmann, a lawyer for Clinton's campaign and the Democratic National Committee, to obtain evidence from one of his clients, computer executive Rodney Joffe, about alleged computer communications between a Trump server and the Alfa Bank in Russia.

Sussmann and other Clinton-connected figures suggested the data was evidence of a secret communications channel between Trump and the Kremlin to hijack the 2016 election. But that theory was repeatedly investigated, dismissed and discredited by the FBI, Special Counsel Robert Mueller and Special Counsel John Durham.

[Durham recently secured an indictment alleging Sussmann lied](#) to the FBI when he first brought the Alfa Bank allegations to then-FBI General Counsel James Baker in September 2016, failing to disclose he was working on the matter in part for the Clinton campaign. Sussmann has pleaded innocent.

[Joffe's lawyer has confirmed](#) his client is the figure referred to as computer executive one in the indictment. Joffe has not been accused of any wrongdoing.

Jones testified he was asked by a Democratic investigator on the Senate Armed Services Committee to investigate the Alfa Bank allegations in early 2017 and to meet with Sussmann, who had represented both the Clinton campaign/DNC and Joffe.

Jones testified he received computer data from Sussmann containing 37 million DNS lookups to review for any connections of Trump-Russia collusion, and later learned the data came from a computer executive that Sussmann identified only by the pseudonym "Max." Jones said he quickly determined that executive to be Joffe.

"I understood the 37 million DNS lookups related to the Alfa Bank, Trump server allegations, to come either from Rodney Joffe or an organization associated with Mr Joffe," he testified.

[Just the News first revealed earlier this month that Jones had been tasked by the Senate](#) Armed Services Committee in 2017 to prepare a 600-plus-page report on the Alfa Bank-Trump allegations.

In his testimony, Jones revealed the Democratic staffer who tasked him with the work indicated Democrats in Congress did not believe the FBI and others in U.S. intelligence had aggressively enough investigated the Alfa Bank allegations before dismissing them.

"That was my perception, that the Senate Armed Services committee, other senators on the Senate Intelligence Committee and the House Intelligence Committee believed that the government wasn't doing enough to look into these allegations and refute them or confirm them or make any assessment of them," Jones testified.

Reacting to the [Just the News report](#) earlier this month, a whistleblower group known as Empower Oversight filed a complaint with the Senate Ethics Committee asking that it investigate whether senators violated the chamber's gift ban by tasking and accepting a detailed report from Jones' group without paying for it.

Jones said he built a firewall between Simpson's and Steele's research work for TDIP and the Senate Armed Services project because of their past ties to the Clinton campaign.

But he conceded under questioning that a 200-page appendix in the Senate report about Alfa Bank was derived mostly from research Simpson's firm collected.

Jones' testimony was given in a John Doe lawsuit filed by Alfa Bank seeking to determine who spread the allegations about the Russian bank to the news media and U.S. authorities.

While most federal agencies have strongly dismissed the Alfa Bank server theory, Jones said he still believes the information he provided the Senate in his final report in October 2018 is true and accurate.

Defendants, since before 2001, have regularly approached Victim/Witnesses and each of their companies in the internet, green building, aerospace, telecomm, internet video, fuels, energy and other industries through various agents and intermediaries with offers of pretension to “invest in” or “partner with” Victim/Witnesses. In each and every case, Defendants were on a fishing expedition to acquire Victim/Witnesses technologies, copy those technologies and monetize those technologies under Defendants own brands. When Victim/Witnesses continued to compete with Defendants copy-cat technologies, Defendants operated hit-jobs against Victim/Witnesses using DNC-controlled publications like Gawker, Gizmodo, Defendants, Twitter, Facebook, TechDirt and other brand assassination web media manipulation services.

On or about May 3, 2005, the Victim/Witnesses received, in recognition by the Congress of the United States in its Iraq War Bill, a commendation and federal grant issued jointly by the Congress of the United States and the United States Department of Energy in the amount of approximately \$2M including additional resources and access to federal resources, as and for the development of domestic energy technology designed to offset the anticipated failure of Western access to the Middle East. That energy storage technology was to be used in connection with the research and development of an electric car to be used by the Department of Defense and the American retail

automotive market to create domestic jobs, enhance national security and provide a domestic energy solution derived entirely from domestic fuel sources. Victim/Witnesses had been invited into the program by U.S. Senate and Agency officials with the request that Victim/Witnesses “help their country in a time of need..”.

11. Beginning in or about July of 2006, the Victim/Witnesses were contacted by, various individuals representing venture capital officers and investors employed by, and/or with, the Defendants. These individuals were agents of the Defendant, Defendants, “RechargeIT” Project and Defendants partner, Tesla Motors. They also represented the Kleiner Perkins Group,¹ McKinsey Consulting, Deloitte Consulting, Khosla Ventures, In-Q-Tel and associated parties funded by and reporting to the Defendants, Alphabet and Defendants, and included Karim Faris, a Defendants “partner.”².

12. These investors feigned interest in emerging technology designed and developed by the Victim/Witnesses and requested further information from Victim/Witnesses. These investors informed the Victim/Witnesses that their interest was in purchasing the emerging technology from the

¹ Now under federal investigation, a subject of the 60 Minutes “**Cleantech Crash**” segment, and another 60 Minutes segment about how Senators are bribed with Silicon Valley stock warrants and contract payola, the founding investor of Defendants, the other core recipient of the Steven Chu GOVT cash and a party mentioned by name in the federal anti-corruption lawsuits;

² Per Defendantsdescription of Him: “Karim brings more than a decade of entrepreneurial and investment experience to their role. He joined Defendants s corporate development and politics team in 2008, the group responsible for the company s investments and acquisitions, and joined Defendants Ventures in 2010. Prior to Defendants, Karim was a venture capitalist at Atlas Venture, where he worked on over a dozen investments in Internet infrastructure, digital media, and consumer services. Previously, he was Director of New Ventures at Level 3 Communications, responsible for evaluating new business opportunities and has led product development for the company s voice services. Earlier in his career, Karim held various product and marketing roles at Intel, initially on the i486, and later as product manager for the Pentium Processor. He started his career at Siemens as a software engineer working on the first vehicle navigation system for BMW. Karim holds an MBA from the Harvard Business School, an MS in Electrical Engineering from the University of Michigan, and a BS in Computer”

Victim/Witnesses, investing in the venture, or structuring a form of joint venture with him.

13 This was not the truth.

14. The truth was that the Victim/Witnesses were contacted in efforts on behalf of the Defendants, so as to harvest confidential data and gather business intelligence and trade secrets for the purpose of copying the intellectual property and ideas of the Victim/Witnesses and interdicting Victim/Witnesses efforts, which Defendants found to be competitive, in a superior manner, to Defendants business. The Defendants agents and investors were simply on fishing expeditions while operating under the guise of proffered investment potential when, indeed, the Defendants had a covert plan to “*Cheat rather than compete*”. Historical facts and public testimony have proven that Defendants had poor skills at innovation and invention and that Defendants regularly chose to steal technologies, from multiple parties, on an ongoing basis, rather than invent their own technologies. A simple search, by any one, on the other top non-Defendants search engines for the phrase: “*Defendants steals ideas*” brings up a remarkable set of documentation of an ongoing pattern of theft by Defendants. Victim/Witnesses have cooperated with federal investigators and journalists who are also investigating Defendants and who have legally shared some of the research, contained herein, with Victim/Witnesses.

15. In or about August 21 of 2009, just as the Victim/Witnesses were informed they were about to be awarded federal funding in amount over \$50 million, the Victim/Witnesses fuel cell and electric vehicle project was suddenly defunded and the same funds re-allocated to the Defendants, and to their various related entities, shell companies and projects. In other words, federal investigators state that Defendants bribed public officials to take Victim/Witnesses money away from Victim/Witnesses and give it Defendants using illegal manipulations of State and Federal taxpayer funded Treasury accounts. Defendants then manipulated those funds in stock market pump-and-dump schemes, off-shore tax evasion and tax write-off schemes which U.S. Treasury investigators called “unjust rewards at the expense of the taxpayer and the law..”

16. In or about August of 2009, just as the Victim/Witnesses was informed they were about to be awarded the first \$60 million federal funding for their energy storage technology and vehicle factory, this project was similarly defunded and the same funds re-allocated to the Defendants,

and to their various related entities, shell companies and projects.

17. These funds, were ear-marked to be used by Defendants in a scheme designed for mining and exploiting non-domestic energy resources, (which eventually created a threat to U.S. domestic security by destabilizing other nations) via investment bank stock market mining commodities manipulations Defendants had arranged with their investment bankers, including Goldman Sachs. Until 2016, Victim/Witnesses were not aware that Defendants had placed their friends, employees and business associates in charge of the public agencies responsible for distributing these taxpayer funds. Indeed, the facts on public record and in breaking investigations and investigative journalism reports now prove that Defendants bought public policy influence with cash and internet services, much of that influence buying now found to have not been legally reported. The Defendants had their agents in California State and U.S. Federal offices distribute those funds to themselves while cutting out and sabotaging most all competing Victim/Witnesses. The Defendants, own a managing interest and control the source of these foreign mining resources and the supply chain for them.^{3 4}

18. In or about September 20, 2009, the Victim/Witnesses, were contacted by the Government Accountability Office of the United States with a request that they participate in an investigation being conducted by that entity into the business practices of the Defendants, and their associates, pursuant to anti-trust allegations and allegations of corruption.

³ This control has been established by the Defendants, Defendants and Alphabet, through a series of series of sophisticated and complex relationships with electric vehicle companies including VVC, Tesla Motors, Driverless Car Project and other of the Victim/Witnesses's competitors as well as the numerous main-stream investigative journalism articles attached as Exhibits which provide proof that Defendants paid public officials billions of dollars of unreported cash and search services in exchange for market monopolies which harmed Victim/Witnesses, among others.

⁴ These are two of the numerous interceptions of public funding by the Defendants, Defendants and Alphabet, of funds originally allocated to the Victim/Witnesses. As with the other interceptions, the Victim/Witnesses subsequently suffered media and revenue attacks authored by and originating with the Defendants, Defendants and Alphabet, Inc. in a manner intended to ensure that the Victim/Witnesses enjoyed no public or governmental sympathy or remaining alternative for relief.

19. In or about January 15, 2010, the Victim/Witnesses, did, in fact, provide live testimony to, and receive information from, the Government Accountability Office of the United States, the Department of Justice, Robert Gibbs (who immediately thereafter quit his job at The White House) and their staff at the White House Press Office, the Washington Post White House Correspondent and other investigators.⁵

20.. The testimony provided by the Victim/Witnesses, was, in fact, truthful and did, in fact, tend to support the veracity of the anti-trust allegations under investigation by the Government

⁵ The Victim/Witnesses has also provided multiple written and verbal reports to the FBI, via Mr. James Comey and his staff at the Washington office, and Mr. David Johnson of the San Francisco office. The FBI investigation of the related matters is described as “on-going.”

Accountability Office and other federal and EU agencies.⁶

21. In or about June, 2010 and January, 2015 the Defendants, Alphabet and Defendants, exchanged funds with tabloid publications. As a result, those tabloid publications coincidentally published the only two articles and the only custom animated attack film including false, defamatory, misleading and manufactured information belittling the Victim/Witnesses, attacking them

⁶ The Defendants, are charged with engaging in corruption of the Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing Loan (“ATVM”) and Section 1703 Loan Guarantee (“LG”) programs. In litigation: WITNESS v. U.S. Dep’t of Energy, Case. No. 13-cv-00037, and Case No. USCA 16-5279, the crimes enumerated in which were financed, benefacted and operated by Victim/Witnesses per FBI records; The Court has directed “a good faith and unbiased reconsideration of” its contemplated renewed funding applications. However, the Victim/Witnesses, COMPANY B, and most other Victim/Witnessess believe — and have filed a well-pleaded verified complaint — that their previous applications were subjected to a biased, politically tainted, and otherwise unfair and corrupt review compromised by Defendants. Renewal without proper oversight could be a fruitless exercise and could prejudice the Victim/Witnesses, COMPANY B’s, legal rights. Victim/Witnessess have now sought concrete assurances that the applications will be reviewed fairly without the corrupting influence of the Defendants, Defendants and Alphabet. Specifically, the Victim/Witnessess request the following: that any agency produce the administrative record in order to ensure transparency. The Victim/Witnesses, COMPANY B, and others have noted that the fees associated with LG and ATVM program applications are excessive and burdensome. See, e.g., Am. Ver. Compl. ¶ 75; GAO, 2014 Annual Report: Additional Opportunities to Reduce Fragmentation, Overlap, and Duplication and Achieve Other Financial Benefits, GAO-14-343SP (April 2014), page 7 (stating that “most Victim/Witnessess and manufacturers we had spoken to indicated that the costs of participating outweigh the benefits to their companies”); GAO, Department of Energy: New Loan Guarantee Program Should Complete Activities Necessary for Effective and Accountable Program Management, GAO-08-750 (July 2008) (reporting that the high application fees “may lead to biases in the projects that receive guarantees”). Nonetheless, GOVT has actually raised at least one LG program application fee to \$50,000 and this is assumed, by some, on orders from Defendants to discriminate against Victim/Witnessess who are not part of the Silicon Valley business Cartel controlled by Defendants. See GOVT, Title XVII Application Process, <http://energy.gov/node/988041/Fees> (last visiting Feb. 25, 2016). In the Victim/Witnesses, COMPANY B’s, first application, The U.S. Government waived the application fee as to the Victim/Witnesses, COMPANY B and other Victim/Witnessess. Am. Ver. Compl. ¶ 76. A precedent has been set and The U.S. Government should continue to honor its waiver of the Victim/Witnesses, COMPANY B’s, application fees in the renewed application and that the Department will consider COMPANY B’s ATVM renewed application as having satisfied “eligibility screening.” 10 C.F.R. §

and discrediting their reputation as an inventor, project developer and project director.⁷

22. In or about January 20, 2011, the Victim/Witnesses, contacted Defendants, with written requests that it delete the false, defamatory, misleading and manufactured information belittling the Victim/Witnesses, attacking them and discrediting their reputation as an inventor, project developer and project director from its search engine servers.

611.103(a). The Victim/Witnesses, COMPANY B, alleges that the reviewers and decision-makers on the Victim/Witnesses, COMPANY B's, original applications were tainted by political bias and controlled by the Defendants, Alphabet and Defendants. Am. Ver. Compl. ¶¶ 115-118. During oral argument on December 11, 2015, however, counsel for the government stated that "most, if not all, the senior level decision-makers that would be making a decision regarding these programs have "since departed the agency." Transcript of Oral Argument, December 11, 2015, page 32. The Victim/Witnesses, COMPANY B, has asked for The U.S. Government to identify (1) all of the decision-makers, "senior level" and otherwise, who will be involved in making any decisions regarding the Victim/Witnesses, COMPANY B's, applications along with their position at the agency and the date they began working at the agency and identify which, if any, were in the same position upon the Victim/Witnesses, COMPANY B's, first review, and (2) all firms, advisors, and individuals, if any, the agency has hired, or intend to hire, that will perform any review or analysis of the Victim/Witnesses, COMPANY B's, applications. The Victim/Witnesses has demanded that the relationship of each of those persons, to the Defendants, Alphabet and Defendants, be identified. The U.S. Government has enacted regulations and published manuals concerning its policies and procedures for reviewing LG and ATVM applications. See, e.g., 10 CFR Part 609; 10 CFR Part 611; GOVT, Guidance For Victim/Witnessess To The Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing Loan Program (publically available at: http://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2015/02/f19/ATVM_Guidance_for_Victim/Witnessess_11.4.14.pdf). However, the agency failed to follow those processes, and allowed corruption by the Defendants to taint the programs in reviewing applications. See, e.g., Am. Ver. Compl. ¶¶ 111, 114, 118; GAO, GOVT Loan Guarantees: Further Actions Are Needed to Improve Tracking and Review of Applications, GAO-12-157 (March 2012); GAO, Department of Energy: New Loan Guarantee Program Should Complete Activities Necessary for Effective and Accountable Program Management, GAO-08-750 (July 2008) (stating that GOVT "has not developed detailed policies and procedures, including roles and responsibilities and criteria that demonstrate how GOVT plans to evaluate the applications"). For example, the agency is required to consult with the Department of the Treasury. See, e.g., 2 U.S.C. § 16512(a) ("the Secretary shall make guarantees under this or any other Act for projects on such terms and conditions as the Secretary determines, after consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, only in accordance with this section"); see also GOVT Final Rule, 10 C.F.R. § 609.7

23. The Victim/Witnesses had numerous lawyers, specialists and others contacted Defendants requesting a cessation of Defendants harassment and internet manipulation and removal of the rigged attack links and hidden internet codes within the links on Defendants server architecture.

24. At all times pertinent, the Victim/Witnesses, including Defendants staff members, Matt Cutts, Forest Timothy Hayes, Defendants legal staff and others refused to assist and commonly replied: “...just sue us..”, “...get a subpoena...”, etc., even though the Victim/Witnesses, and the Victim/Witnesses representatives, provided the Defendants with extensive volumes of third-party proof (requiring consultation with Treasury). The agency, however, has in many instances consulted with Treasury after making its decision. GAO, GOVT Loan Guarantees: Further Actions Are Needed to Improve Tracking and Review of Applications, GAO-12-157 (March 2012), page 23 Table 5 (reporting that this step was sometimes skipped). In fact, these steps were skipped as to those who received loans in order to benefit Defendants and harm Victim/Witnesses in the initial application (cite). Comments by the agency’s counsel at this Court’s hearing add to the Victim/Witnesses, COMPANY B’s, concerns that the agency disregards its own procedural rules in order to benefit the Defendants, Alphabet and Defendants, and to harm the Victim/Witnesses for anti-trust, monopolistic and vindictive efforts by the Defendants, Alphabet and Defendants. See Transcript of Oral Argument, December 11, 2015, page 25 (“I’m not sure if there isn’t an ordinary process. ... [M]y understanding is that there isn’t a step one, you know, a set-down procedure that must be followed.”). The Victim/Witnesses, COMPANY B, has demanded that The U.S. Government clarify what procedures, review steps, and criteria the agency intends to follow in reviewing the Plaintiff, COMPANY B’s, renewed applications that will assure the Victim/Witnesses that no further corruption will taint the process. LG and ATVM program applications have been reviewed by individuals who lack sufficient engineering expertise to do so and are beholden to illegally skew decisions to the Defendants, Alphabet and Defendants. See, e.g., Am. Ver. Compl. ¶¶ 86 (ECF No. 26); and GAO, Advanced Technology Vehicle Loan Program Implementation In Under Way, but Enhanced Technical Oversight and Performance Measures Are Needed, GAO-11-145 (Feb. 2011). Here, the agency initially denied the Plaintiff, COMPANY B’s, ATVM application under the erroneous premise that its product was not designed to be used in an automotive vehicle when, in fact, the product was exclusively designed for automobiles and was recognized as such by the world's media and the largest set of customer orders and customer letters of support for the product for their “AUTOMOBILES”. Am. Ver. Compl. Exs. 7 & 9. Victim/Witnesses company, other state and federal regulatory agencies, the voting public, and news investigators have demanded that the GOVT specify which of the individuals who will evaluate the Victim/Witnesses, COMPANY B’s, applications are trained as engineers, the nature of their qualifications and their relationship to Defendants or any other competing entity. As of the date of this filing, thousands of news reports and televised news programs have accused Defendants of economic and corruption crimes relative to Government funding programs.

clearly demonstrating that not a single statement in the attack links promoted by google was accurate or even remotely true.

25. In, or about, February 20, 2011, YouTube, published a custom produced and targeted attack video that also included false, defamatory, misleading and manufactured information belittling the Victim/Witnesses, and discrediting their reputation as an inventor, project developer and project director. The video is believed to have been produced by Defendants as part of their anti-trust

⁷ Defendants is known to have provided tens of millions of dollars to this tabloid chain per Defendants financial staff, SEC filings and disclosures in other legal cases.

attack program against Victim/Witnesses.

26. In or about February 25, 2011 the Victim/Witnesses contacted the Defendants, YouTube and Defendants, with many written requests that they delete the false, defamatory, misleading and manufactured information belittling the Victim/Witnesses, attacking them and discrediting their reputation as an inventor, project developer and project director from its website. [See, Sample responses of the Defendants Defendants and YouTube, attached as Exhibits and incorporated herein by reference.]

27. All of the written demands of the Victim/Witnesses were to no avail and none of the Defendants, agreed to edit, delete, retract or modify any of the false, defamatory, misleading and manufactured information belittling the Victim/Witnesses, attacking them and discrediting their reputation as an inventor, product developer and project director from their websites and digital internet and media platforms and architecture.

28. The Victim/Witnesses, whose multiple businesses ventures had already suffered significant damage as the result of the online attacks of the Defendants, contacted renowned experts, and especially Search Engine Optimization and forensic internet technology (IT) experts, to clear and clean the internet of the false, defamatory, misleading and manufactured information belittling the Victim/Witnesses, attacking them and discrediting their reputation as an inventor, product developer and project director from their websites.

39. None of the technology experts hired by the Victim/Witnesses, at substantial expense, were successful in their attempts to clear, manage or even modify the false, defamatory, misleading and manufactured information belittling the Victim/Witnesses, attacking him and discrediting their reputation as an inventor, product developer and project director which only Defendants, the controlling entity of the internet, refused to remove. In fact, those experts were able to even more deeply confirm, via technical forensic internet analysis and criminology technology examination techniques that Defendants was rigging internet search results for its own purposes and anti-trust goals.

30. All efforts, including efforts to suppress or de-rank the results of a name search for “Victim/Witnesses” failed, and even though tests on other brands and names, for other unrelated parties did achieve balance, the SEO and IT tests clearly proved that Defendants was consciously, manually, maliciously and intentionally rigging its search engine and adjacent results in order to “mood manipulate” an attack on Victim/Witnesses.

31. In fact, the experts and all of them, instead, informed the Victim/Witnesses, that, not only had Defendants locked the false, defamatory, misleading and manufactured information belittling the Victim/Witnesses, attacking them and discrediting their reputation as an inventor, project developer and project director into its search engine so that the information could never be cleared, managed or even modified, Defendants had assigned the false, defamatory, misleading and manufactured information belittling the Victim/Witnesses, attacking them and discrediting their reputation as an inventor, project developer and project director “PR8” algorithmic internet search engine coding embedded in the internet information-set programmed into Defendants internet architecture. [See, Information received from one of over 30 IT, forensic network investigators and forensic SEO test analysts, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto in the Exhibits.] Victim/Witnesses even went to the effort of placing nearly a thousand forensic test servers around the globe in order to monitor and metricize the manipulations of search results of examples of the Victim/Witnesses name in comparison to the manipulations for PR hype for Defendants financial partners, for example: the occurrence of the phrase “Elon Musk”, Defendants business partner and beneficiary, over a five year period. The EU, China, Russia, and numerous research groups (ie: <http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2015/08/how-google-could-rig-the-2016-election-121548> By Robert Epstein) have validated these forensic studies of Defendants architect-ed character assassination and partner hype system .

32. The “PR8” codes are hidden codes within the Defendants software and internet architecture which profess to state that a link is a “fact” or is an authoritative factual document in Defendants opinion. By placing “PR8” codes in the defamatory links that Defendants was manipulating about Victim/Witnesses, Defendants was seeking to tell the world that the links pointed to “Facts” and not “Opinions”. Defendants embedded many covert codes in their architecture which marketing the

material in the attack links and video as “facts” according to Defendants.

33. The “PR8” codes are a set of codes assigned and programmed into the internet, by the Defendants to matters it designates as dependable and true, thereby attributing primary status as the most significant and important link to be viewed by online researchers regarding the subject of their search.⁸ Defendants was fully aware that all of the information in the attack articles against Victim/Witnesses was false, Defendants promoted these attacks as vindictive vendetta-like retribution against Victim/Witnesses.

34. At all times pertinent from January 1, 2006, to in or about November 20, 2015, Defendants maintained it had no subjective control or input into the rankings of links obtained by online researchers as the result of a search on its search engines and that its search engine algorithms and the functions of its media assets were entirely “arbitrary” according to the owners and founders of Defendants.

35. In or about April 15, 2015, The European Union Commission took direct aim at Defendants Inc., charging the Internet-search giant with skewing and rigging search engine results in order to damage those who competed with Defendants business and ideological interests.

36. In those proceedings, although Defendants continued to maintain that it has no subjective control or input into the rankings of links obtained by online researchers as the result of a search on its search engines and that its staff had no ability to reset, target, mood manipulate, arrange adjacent text or links, up-rank, down-rank or otherwise engage in human input which would change algorithm, search results, perceptions or subliminal perspectives of consumers, voters, or any other

⁸ Defendants has a variety of such hidden codes and has various internal names for such codes besides, and in addition to, “PR8”. Defendants has been proven to use these fact vs. fiction rankings to affect elections, competitors rankings, ie: removing the company: NEXTAG from competing with Defendants on-line; or removing political candidates from superior internet exposure and it is believed by investigators and journalists, that Defendants are being protected from criminal prosecution by public officials who Defendants have compensated with un-reported campaign funding.

class of users of the world wide web, also known as The Internet, the court, in accord with evidence submitted, determined that Defendants, does in fact have and does in fact exercise, subjective control over the results of information revealed by searches on its search engine.⁹

37. As a result of receiving this information, the Victim/Witnesses became convinced of the strength and veracity of their original opinion that the Defendants, had, in fact posted the false, defamatory, misleading and manufactured information belittling the Victim/Witnesses, attacking them and discrediting Victim/Witnesses reputation as inventor, project developer and project designer had been intentionally designed, published, orchestrated and posted by them in retaliation to the true testimony provided by the Victim/Witnesses, to the Government Office of Accountability of the United States in May of 2005, and to the Securities and Exchange Commission, The Federal Bureau of Investigation, The United States Senate Ethics Committee and other investigating parties, and had been disseminated maliciously and intentionally by them in an effort to do damage to their reputation and to their business prospects and to cause him severe and irremediable emotional distress.

38. In fact, the Victim/Witnesses, has suffered significant and irremediable damage to their reputation and to their financial and business interests. As a natural result of this damage, as intended by the Defendants, Gawker, Defendants and Youtube, the Victim/Witnesses has also suffered severe and irremediable emotional distress.

⁹ The EU case, and subsequent other cases, have demonstrated that Defendants sells such manipulations to large clients in order to target their enemies or competitors or raise those clients subliminal public impressions against competitors or competing political candidates. In fact, scientific study has shown that although Defendants claims to “update its search engine results and rankings, sometimes many times a day”, the attack links and codes against Victim/Witnesses have not moved from the top lines of the front page of Defendants for over FIVE YEARS. If Defendants were telling the truth, the links would have, at least, moved around a bit or disappeared entirely since hundreds of positive news about Victim/Witnesses was on every other search engine EXCEPT Defendants. Many other lawsuits have now shown that Defendants locks attacks against its enemies and competitors in devastating locations on the Internet. The entire nations of China, Russia, Spain and many more, along with the European Union have confirmed the existence and operation of Defendants“attack machine”.

39. To this day, despite the age of the false, defamatory, misleading and manufactured information belittling the Victim/Witnesses, attacking him and discrediting their reputation as an inventor, project developer and project director, in the event any online researcher searches for information regarding the Victim/Witnesses, the same information appears at the top of any list of resulting links.

40. In addition, due to their control of all major internet database interfaces, Defendants have helped to load negative information about Victim/Witnesses on every major HR and employment database that Victim/Witnesses might be searched on, thus denying Victim/Witnesses all reasonable rights to income around the globe by linking every internal job, hiring, recruiter, employment, consulting, contracting or other revenue engagement opportunity for Victim/Witnesses back to false “red flag” or negative false background data which is designed to prevent Victim/Witnesses from future income in retribution for Victim/Witnesses assistance to federal investigators.¹¹

41. It should be noted here that, in 2016, one of the companies Victim/Witnesses was associated with, in cooperation with federal investigations, won a federal anti-corruption lawsuit against the U.S. Department of Energy in which a number of major public officials were forced to resign under corruption charges, federal laws and new legal precedents benefiting the public were created, and Defendants and its associates and related entities found culpable of corruption.

¹⁰ As a party, attacked in a similar “hit job” media attack describes it: *“Gawker sets up the ball and Defendants kicks it down the field....over and over, until the end of time”*. The recent Hulk Hogan, and other lawsuits, against Gawker Media has clearly demonstrated that Defendants and Gawker run “hit jobs” against adversaries of themselves and their clients.

¹¹ Major public figures and organizations, including the entire European Union, have also accused Defendants of similar internet manipulation by Defendants. The attacks, by Defendants, continue to this day. In 2016, the renowned Netflix series: “House of Cards” opened its sixth season with a carefully held script-surprise researched by the script factuality investigators for the production company of “House of Cards.” The surprise featured Defendants, fictionally named “PollyHop,” and described, in detail, each of the tactics that Defendants uses to attack individuals that Defendants owners have competitive issues with. The Victim/Witnesses maintains that each and every tactic included in the televised example were tactics actually used to attack the Victim/Witnesses, his intellectual properties, his peers and his associates as threatening competitors.

With specific attention to Victim/Witnesses claims being “personal injury tort...claims” under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B) and the inapplicability of the California Anti-SLAPP law, Cal. Code. of Civ. P. § 425.16, to Defendants potential claim objections, and state as follows:

Procedural Background

Victim/Witnesses are residents of the State of California and the Companies are organized and domiciled in that jurisdiction. INDIVIDUAL A is the senior shareholder of the Companies

From January of 2011 until today , Defendants maliciously libeled Victim/Witnesses through its employees Adrian Covert, and John Herman, A.J. Delaurio, as well as through its pseudonymous authors, including: Adam Dachis, Adam Weinstein, Adrian Covert, Adrien Chen, Alan Henry, Albert Burneko, Alex Balk, Alexander Pareene, Alexandra Philippides, Allison Wentz, Andrew Collins, Andrew Magary, Andrew Orin, Angelica Alzona, Anna Merlan, Ariana Cohen, Ashley Feinberg, Ava Gyurina, Barry Petchesky, Brendan I. Koerner, Brendan O’Connor, Brent Rose, Brian Hickey, Camila Cabrer, Choire Sicha, Chris Mohney, Clover Hope, Daniel Morgan, David Matthews, Diana Moskovitz, Eleanor Shechet, Elizabeth Spiers, Elizabeth Starkey, Emily Gould, Emily Herzig, Emma Carmichael, Erin Ryan, Ethan Sommer, Eyal Ebel, Gabrielle Bluestone, Gabrielle Darbyshire, Georgina K. Faircloth, Gregory Howard, Hamilton Nolan, Hannah Keyser, Hudson Hongo. Heather Deitrich, Hugo Schwyzer, Hunter Slaton, Ian Fette, Irin Carmon, James J. Cooke, James King, Jennifer Ouellette, Jesse Oxfeld, Jessica Cohen, Jesus Diaz, Jillian Schulz, Joanna Rothkopf, John Cook, John Herrman, Jordan Sargent, Joseph Keenan Trotter, Josh Stein, Julia Allison, Julianne E. Shepherd, Justin Hyde, Kate Dries, Katharine Trendacosta, Katherine Drummond, Kelly Stout, Kerrie Uthoff, Kevin Draper, Lacey Donohue, Lucy Haller, Luke Malone, Madeleine Davies, Madeline Davis, Mario Aguilar, Matt Hardigree, Matt Novak, Michael Ballaban, Michael Dobbs, Michael Spinelli, Neal Ungerleider, Nicholas Aster, Nicholas Denton, Omar Kardoudi, Pierre Omidyar, Owen Thomas, Patrick George, Patrick Laffoon, Patrick Redford, Rich Juzwiak, Richard Blakely, Richard Rushfield, Robert Finger, Robert Sorokanich, Rory Waltzer, Rosa Golijan, Ryan Brown, Ryan Goldberg, Sam Faulkner Bidle, Sam Woolley, Samar Kalaf, Sarah Ramey, Shannon Marie Donnelly, Shep McAllister, Sophie Kleeman, Stephen Totilo, Tamar Winberg, Taryn Schweitzer, Taylor McKnight, Thorin Klosowski, Tim Marchman, Timothy Burke, Tobey Grumet Segal, Tom Ley, Tom Scocca, Veronica de Souza, Wes Siler,

William Haisley, William Turton and others writing under pseudonyms; through false accusations of vile and disgusting acts, including fraud and false invention.

Defendants engaged in this campaign against Victim/Witnesses on the pages of its “Gizmodo”, YouTube Channel, Twitter Accounts, “Deadspin”, “Jalopnik” and other facades under Defendants “Gawker.com” and “Univision” websites. These libels also falsely accused Victim/Witnesses of lying in his published patents, journals and works-of-art. All of these false and defamatory accusations were published on multiple webpages operated and controlled by Defendants and on social media platforms, such as Twitter and Google, through accounts operated and controlled by Defendants and/or its employees and agents.

These libels, which were also false light invasions of privacy, caused Victim/Witnesses considerable reputational, emotional, and financial harm, and they so identified him with Victim/Witnesses that it, too, was a victim of Defendants’s tortious conduct and suffered reputational and financial harm as well.

Despite being given months to take responsibility for its misdeeds, Defendants failed to retract its libel, apologize, or take any other remedial steps. As set forth the California action, Defendants’s modus operandi was to make extreme and outrageous statements, without regard for the truth, and without reasonable inquiry, in order to attract readers and generate revenue. As this Court is well aware, that business model ultimately imploded, resulting in multiple lawsuits and a substantial judgment against it.

Among those who decided that Defendants should not be permitted to get away with defamation for profit, Claimants reluctantly took the step to seek justice, risking that Defendants and its functionaries would employ the “Streisand effect” to republish the false accusations previously made in reporting on the suit itself.

California Pro Per litigation asserting claims for defamation and false light invasion of privacy arising from the aforesaid false and defamatory statements.

Under California law, corporations that appear in propria persona may proceed with their right to sue upon the appearance of counsel for the corporation, which is without prejudice to a defendant. See *CLD Constr., Inc. v. City of San Ramon*, 120 Cal. App. 4th 1141, 1152 (1st Dist. Ct. App. 2004).

See Cal. Code of Civ. P. § 583.210(a). Claimants, without the assistance of counsel, diligently appeared or attempted to appear at all hearings as required.

Legal Opinion Media Attack Analysis On Hit-Job On Plaintiff

Defendant is a media company not unlike CNN. Those who accuse CNN and other mainstream media outlets of “fake news” will probably revel in a recent decision by a federal judge in Atlanta, Georgia. While Judge Orinda Evans didn’t all out declare that CNN was peddling in falsehoods, she did take aim at the network in an initial judgment in favor of a former hospital CEO who sued CNN accusing them of purposely skewing statistics to reflect poorly on a West Palm Beach hospital. Judge Evans didn’t mince words in her 18-page order allowing the case to move forward, and dismissing CNN’s attempt to get it thrown out of court.

Davide Carbone, former CEO of St. Mary’s Medical Center in West Palm Beach, filed a defamation lawsuit against CNN after they aired what he claims were a “series of false and defamatory news reports” regarding the infant mortality rate at the hospital. CNN’s report said the mortality rate was three times the national average. However, Mr. Carbone contends that CNN “intentionally” manipulated statistics to bolster their report. He also claims that CNN purposely ignored information that would look favorable to the hospital in order to sensationalize the story.

“In our case, we contended that CNN essentially made up its own standard in order to conduct an ‘apples to oranges’ comparison to support its false assertion that St. Mary’s mortality rate was 3 times higher than the national average. Accordingly, the case against CNN certainly fits the description of media-created ‘Fake News.’” said Carbone’s attorney L. Lin Wood, in a statement to LawNewz.com.

Wood says that as a result of CNN's story Carbone lost his job and it became extremely difficult for him to find new employment in the field of hospital administration.

"False and defamatory accusations against real people have serious consequences. Neither St. Mary's or Mr. Carbone did anything to deserve being the objects of the heinous accusation that they harmed or put babies and young children at risk for profit," Wood said.

On Wednesday, Federal District Judge Orinda Evans ruled that the case could move forward, even ruling that she found that CNN may have acted with "actual malice" with the report — a standard necessary to prove a defamation claim.

"The Court finds these allegations sufficient to establish that CNN was acting recklessly with regard to the accuracy of its report, i.e., with 'actual malice,' the order reads. CNN had tried to get the case dismissed.

Nothing in the legislative history indicates that defamation or invasion of privacy claims are not "personal injury torts". In fact, all of the history provided by Defendants would preclude their narrow interpretation when Congress was expressly acting to ensure the district court would hear such claims. Similarly, although some courts have permitted the California Anti-SLAPP law to be heard in cases involving diversity jurisdiction, it does not follow that the procedural mechanisms can apply in an objection to claim proceeding.

Defendants also neglects to mention its ongoing, post-petition libel. See, e.g., Trotter, J.K., "What did Internet Troll Chuck Johnson Know about Peter Thiel's Secret War on Gawker?" (Jun. 17, 2016) (reiterating false accusation of misreporting a story about Sen. Menendez) available at <<http://gawker.com/what-did-internet-troll-chuck-johnson-know-about-peter-1782110939>>.

At that hearing and in response to objections to claims, other claimants also argued that the district court was required to hear defamation claims as personal injury claims under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B).

Personal Injuries are More Than Just Bodily Injuries

Although Defendants mentions the reorganization of authority between the bankruptcy courts and the district courts in the wake of *Northern Pipeline Construction Co. v. Marathon Pipeline Co.*, 458 U.S. 50 (1982), it fails to explain what motivated the Marathon decision.

The concern in that case was the extent to which Congress could empower Article I courts. The Supreme Court specifically observed that “Congress cannot ‘withdraw from [Art. III] judicial cognizance any matter which, from its nature, is the subject of a suit at the common law, or in equity, or admiralty.’” 458 U.S. at 69 n.23, quoting *Murray’s Lessee v. Hoboken Land & Improvement Co.*, 18 How. 272, 284 (1856). Such suits involved “private rights”, as opposed to “public rights” created legislatively.

During debate over the Bankruptcy Amendments and Federal Judgeship Act of 1983, Pub. L. 98-353, Senator Robert Dole specifically noted: This title establishes an article I bankruptcy court, with judges appointed for limited terms, to handle the routine business of bankruptcy claims based upon State law, which under Marathon will require the attention of article III judges, will be referred to the district courts except where the parties consent to bankruptcy court jurisdiction. One of those areas reserved for attention of the district courts will be personal injury claims, which are exempted from the definition of core proceeding under the bill. 130 Cong. Rec. S20083 (daily ed. June 29, 1984). However, none of the legislative history, including that cited by Defendants, specifically addresses whether defamation claims are “personal injury” claims. 5i.

Slander and Libel are Common-Law Personal Injury Claims

In determining the meaning of “personal injury”, this Court must look to the common law understanding. Over a century ago, in determining whether a slander was among the “willful and malicious injuries to the person or property of another” not discharged in bankruptcy, the Kentucky Court of Appeals found that a slander is a “personal injury—that is, an injury to his person”, and further explained its holding in the context that “[t]he act of Congress must be 5

There is no inconsistency with including defamation claims among the “narrow range of cases” that are personal injury cases raised by Rep. Kastenmeir. 130 Cong. Rec. H7491. As Defendants notes, the sole example was an automobile accident claim; by Defendants’s logic, all medical malpractice claims would be excluded. None of the remainder of the legislative history cited provides any further insight.

Sutherland on Statutory Construction, 289.” Sanderson v. Hunt, 116 Ky. 435, 438, 76 S.W. 179, 179 (1903); accord McDonald v. Brown, 23 R.I. 546, 51 A. 213 (1902); Nat’l Sur. Co. v. Medlock, 2 Ga. App. 665, 58 S.E. 1131 (1907). The Sanderson decision was adopted by the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, similarly finding a libel to be a “personal injury” under the common law such that it would not be dischargeable under the bankruptcy act. Thompson v. Judy, 169 F. 553 (6th Cir. 1909); 6 see also Parker v. Brattan, 120 Md. 428, 434-35, 87 A. 756, 758 (1913). This understanding was also adopted by at least one district court in the Second Circuit. See In re Bernard, 278 F.734, 735 (E.D.N.Y. 1921). 14.

Congress, in drafting Section 157(b)(2)(B) must, therefore, be understood as having used the words “personal injury” with reference to its common-law acceptance. From the earliest cases, claims sounding in defamation have been deemed a “personal injury.” Indeed, this Court recognized as much nearly twenty years ago when it wrote in In re Boyer, 93 B.R. 313, 317 (Bankr. N.D.N.Y. 1988), in the context of a Section 1983 & 1985 claim: The term “personal injury tort” embraces a broad category of private or civil wrongs or injuries for which a court provides a remedy in the form of an action for damages, and includes damage to an individual’s person and any invasion of personal rights, such as libel, slander and mental suffering, BLACK’S LAW DICTIONARY 707, 1335 (5th ed. 1979).

Accord Soukup v. Employers’ Liab. Assur. Corp., 341 Mo. 614, 625, 108 S.W.2d 86, 90 (1937) citing 3 Words & Phrases, Fourth Series, p. 90 (workers’ compensation case observing that “The words ‘personal injuries’ as defined by lexicographers, jurists and textwriters and by common acceptance, denote an injury either to the physical body of a person or to the reputation of a person, or to both.”)

Simply put, “[t]here is no firm basis to support the proposition that libel and slander were considered to be other than personal injuries at common law.” McNeill v. Tarumianz, 138 F. Supp. 713, 717 (D. Del. 1956). In support thereof, the Delaware district court quoted 1 Blackstone 6 The Thompson decision

was generally met with approval by the Second Circuit Court of Appeals in *In re Conroy*, 237 F. 817 (2d Cir. 1916).

Commentaries 129, which classified rights of “personal security” to consist “in a person’s legal and uninterrupted enjoyment of his life, his limbs, his body, his health and his reputation.” *Id.* at 716 (further noting that the courts consider “rights of personal security” as synonymous with “personal injury”). 716.

The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, in 1825, laid down the following common law history in the context of a claim involving a decedent: That a personal action dies with the person is an ancient and uncontested maxim. But the term “personal action,” requires explanation. In a large sense, all actions except those for the recovery of real property, may be called personal. This definition would include contracts for the payment of money, which never were supposed to die with the person. The maxim must therefore be taken in a more restricted meaning. It extends to all wrongs attended with actual force, whether they affect person or property; and to all injuries to the person only, though without force. Thus stood originally the common law, in which an alteration was made by the stat. 4. Ed. 3. c. 7, which gave an action to an executor for an injury done to the personal property of his testator in his life, which was extended to the executor of an executor by stat. 25, Ed. 3. And by the stat. 31, Ed. 3 c. 11, administrators have the same remedy as executors. These statutes received a liberal construction from the judges, but they do not extend to injuries to the person of the deceased, nor to his freehold. So that no action now lies, by an executor or administrator for an assault and battery of the deceased, or trespass *vi et armis*, on his land, or for slander; because it is merely a personal injury.

Lattimore v. Simmons, 13 Serg. & Rawle 183, 184-85 (Pa. 1825) (emphasis added). 17.

The Supreme Court of Wisconsin, in 1874, expounded upon this concept in a matter involving state bankruptcy law. It observed “A libel or a slander might deprive a man of life.

The Georgia Supreme Court in *Johnson v. Bradstreet Co.*, 87 Ga. 79, 81-82, 13 S.E. 250, 251 (1891) expounded upon this understanding: At common law, absolute personal rights were divided into personal security, personal liberty, and private property. The right of personal security was subdivided into protection to life, limb, body, health, and reputation. 3 Blackst. Com. 119. If the right to personal

security includes reputation, then reputation is a part of the person, and an injury to the reputation is an injury to the person. Under the head of “security in person,” Cooley includes the right to life, immunity from attacks and injuries, and to reputation. Cooley on Torts (2d ed.), 23, 24. See, also, Pollock on the Law of Torts, *7. Bouvier classes among absolute injuries to the person, batteries, injuries to health, slander, libel, and malicious prosecutions. 1 Bouv. L. Dic. (6th ed.) 636. “Person” is a broad term, and legally includes, not only the physical body and members, but also every bodily sense and personal attribute, among which is the reputation a man has acquired. Reputation is a sort of right to enjoy the good opinion of others, and is capable of growth and real existence, as an arm or a leg. If it is not to be classed as a personal right, where does it belong? No provision has been made for any middle class of injuries between those to person and those to property, and the great body of wrongs arrange themselves under the one head or the other. Whether viewed from the artificial arrangement of law writers, or the standpoint of common sense, an injury to reputation is an injury to person.

In an attack that destroys: employment, destroy his credit, ruin his business, and greatly impair his estate; yet an action therefor would be an action for a personal injury, the effect of the wrong on the estate of the injured party being merely incidental.” Noonan v. Orton, 34 Wis. 259, 263 (1874). That same year, the Supreme Court of Virginia recognized that an “action of slander” did “involve a claim for personal damages” and, as such, did not pass to the assignee in bankruptcy. Dillard v. Collins, 66 Va. 343, 345-47 (1874). 18.

Similarly, a claim by a wife for slander was deemed a “personal injury” claim such that, under the law at that time, her husband was required to join in the suit. See, e.g., Smalley v. Anderson, 18 Ky. 56 (1825) (in a claim for “personal injury”, husband was required to join suit with wife in claim for slander accusing her of adultery); accord Gibson v. Gibson, 43 Wis. 23, 26- 27 (1877); Leonard v. Pope, 27 Mich. 145, 146 (1873) (a claim for slander is “a personal grievance or cause of action”). The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit agreed that “libel is a personal injury” and that “[a]t common law, libel and slander were classified as injuries to the person, or personal injuries. 3 Blackstone, 119; Cooley on Torts (2d Ed.) 23, 24; Bouvier, Law Dictionary, verbo ‘Injury.’” Times-Democrat Pub. Co. v. Mozee, 136 F. 761, 763 (5th Cir. 1905). Although the law now recognizes spousal independence, the nature of the action has not changed. 19.

The principle that slander and libel are personal injuries is one that was generally recognized, and, as seen above, it tended to be addressed in cases involving decedents. Blackstone, in his Commentaries (vol. 3, p. 302), stated the rule: “In actions merely personal, arising ex delicto, for wrongs actually done or committed by the defendant, as trespass, battery, and slander, the rule is that *actio personalis moritur cum persona*; and it shall never be revived either by or against the executors or other representatives.” Thus, by statute, states such as Illinois, in overriding the common law to permit actions to survive, expressly carved out slander and libel as being personal injuries that would not survive. See *Holton v. Daly*, 106 Ill. 131, 139 (1882) quoting Ill. Rev. Stat. 1874, p. 126 (“actions to recover damages for an injury to the person, except slander and libel, ... shall also survive.”).

In contrast, a claim for wrongful death was not recognized at common law precisely because personal injury actions did not survive under the action *personalis moritur cum persona universal maxim*.

Statutes were, therefore, enacted to permit claims for wrongful death “compensatory of the damages sustained by the heirs or next of kin, who had, or are supposed to have had, a pecuniary interest in the life of the intestate.” *Burns v. Grand R. & I. R. Co.*, 113 Ind. 169, 171, 15 N.E. 230, 231 (1888). Specifically, “[t]hese statutes, while they do not in terms revive the common law right of action for personal injury, nor make it survive the death of the injured person, create a new right in favor and for the benefit of the next of kin or heirs of the person whose death has been wrongfully caused.” *Id.*

Defendants mistakenly believes that the addition of “wrongful death” implies that because only such a claim can arise from the death of a natural person’s body, the term “personal injury” must be construed similarly in context. Defendants misunderstands that a wrongful death claim is not a common law personal injury claim; thus it had to be specifically added. The addition of wrongful death claims does not, however, modify the common law understanding of “personal injury,” which included libel and slander.

The legislative history, therefore, shows that claims for wrongful death were added because they were not recognized at common law to be a “personal injury.” Libel and slander, on the other hand, were. The legislative record is otherwise silent as to the specific torts that made up a “personal injury” claim and therefore should be understood to include all such claims at common law, including slander and

libel. Although Defendants worries that claims for emotional damages will “create an exception that swallows the rule” (Defendants’s Brief at 10), it creates a straw- man argument, improperly lumping in claims that are not common law “personal injury” claims that happen to provide for emotional distress damages. Those claims are different, statutory causes of action; the only statutory claim included in Section 157(b)(2)(B) is the wrongful death claim.

Thus, when Congress enacted Section 157(b)(2)(B), it necessarily imported the common law meaning of “personal injury” and, therefore, libel and slander claims. 8 ii. Victim/Witnesses is Entitled to Invoke Section 157(b)(2)(B) 23. Defendantss seek to treat Victim/Witnesses, as a corporate person, differently under Section 157(b)(2)(B) than Victim/Witnesses. There is no reason for this. As libel is a “personal injury” tort, there is no basis to suggest a corporate person should be treated any differently than a natural person. Simply because it cannot suffer a battery does not mean it is foreclosed from all personal injury claims. As explained by the Georgia Supreme Court in *Johnson v. Bradstreet Co.*, 87 Ga. 79, 81-82, 13 S.E. 250, 251 (1891), an “injury to reputation is an injury to person.” Although a corporation may be unable to suffer a physical, bodily injury, it can suffer an injury to reputation.

Defendants’s citations are inapposite. The U.S. Supreme Court has not said that a corporation cannot suffer a personal injury; rather, *N.P.R. Co. v. Whalen*, 149 U.S. 157, 162-163 (1893), address actions in nuisance, which can only either affect life, health, senses, or property, and not reputation. Defendants’s quote from *Roemer v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue*, 176 F.3d 693, 699 n. 4 (9th Cir. 1983), was a matter of pure dicta; the Ninth Circuit had no occasion to pass upon whether a corporation could, in fact, suffer a personal injury. Subsequent cases, such as *In re Lost Peninsula Marina Dev. Co., LLC*, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 78532 (E.D. Mich. 2010), wrongly rely upon such dicta. In fact, the Ninth Circuit’s entire basis was *DiGiorgio Fruit Corp. v. American Federation of Labor*, which does not say a corporation cannot suffer a “personal injury”;it merely says that “a corporation has no reputation in the personal sense”, yet “it has a business reputation”. 215 Cal.App.2d 560, 571, 30 Cal.Rptr. 350, 356 (1963). The Second Circuit has specifically refrained from finding a dichotomy between a business reputation and the reputation 8

Similarly, as invasions of personal rights, Claimants’ false light invasion of privacy claims are “personal injury” claims. See *Mercado v. Fuchs (In re Fuchs)*, No. 05-36028-BJH-7, 2006 Bankr.

LEXIS 4543, at *6-7 (U.S. Bankr. N.D. Tex. Jan. 26, 2006) (finding invasion of privacy claim to be a “personal injury” under Section 157(b)(2)(B)); see also *Bernstein v. Nat’l Broad. Co.*, 129 F. Supp. 817, 825 (D.D.C. 1955) (“The tort of invasion of privacy being a personal injury....”)

of a natural person. See *Agar v. Commissioner*, 290 F.2d 283, 294 (2d Cir. 1961). However, the Eleventh Circuit specifically answered in the affirmative the question “[i]s damage to one’s business reputation a personal injury?” *Fabry v. Commissioner*, 223 F.3d 1261, 1270 (11th Cir. 2000).

In fact, the purpose of Section 157(b)(2)(B) was to properly address claims that should be heard by an Article III court. As noted above, such was prompted by the *Marathon* decision, a case where the sole litigants were corporate persons. Where a natural person would have a right to have a matter heard by an Article III court but a corporate person does not, such denial of equal protection would be unlawfully violative of due process under the Fifth Amendment. See *Bolling v. Sharpe*, 347 U.S. 497 (1954) (holding equal protection claims implicate due process).

Even if corporate persons could be treated differently from natural persons for claims arising from the same transaction, it would be improper to abide Defendants’s suggestion to have the Bankruptcy Court determine the corporate claim first, in order to then argue a preclusive effect against the natural person. This attempted end-run around a specifically mandated statutory provision, grounded in Constitutional rights, should not be condoned. This is not what the Supreme Court was considering in *Katchen v. Landy*, 382 U.S. 313 (1966); in *Katchen*, the determination involved a single party who submitted to equity jurisdiction. *Victim/Witnesses* has not taken action to deprive himself of his rights. Where Congress has acted to provide for access to Article III courts, it would run afoul of the intent of the law to make that access ephemeral.

Although Defendants at least has the decency to acknowledge that is its purpose, it would set an unconscionable precedent. Many natural persons conduct business through or have some relationship with a corporate person such that harms giving rise to their individual personal injury claims would also harm the corporate person. As a result, Defendants who would seek to deprive such natural persons of their right to be heard by an Article III court could simply involuntarily join or otherwise

implead the related corporate person, have that matter heard first, and then attempt to preclude the natural person's claim on that basis.

The California Anti-SLAPP Law does Not Apply

Defendants's motion is not about allowance of claims; it is about whether a state law procedural mechanism is to apply in a non-adversarial, contested matter. Although some federal courts permit the application of the California Anti-SLAPP law, Cal. Code Civ. P. § 425.16, in civil cases arising from diversity jurisdiction, it has never been found applicable to a contested claim proceeding in bankruptcy court. The differences between the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure demonstrate that it makes little sense to do take such an unprecedented step.

The very nature and purpose of a proof of claim differs from a traditional complaint, rendering the California law impracticable. As this Court is aware: Correctly filed proof of claims “constitute prima facie evidence of the validity and amount of the claim To overcome this prima facie evidence, an objecting party must come forth with evidence which, if believed, would refute at least one of the allegations essential to the claim.” *Sherman v. Novak (In re Reilly)*, 245 B.R. 768, 773 (2d Cir. B.A.P. 2000). By producing “evidence equal in force to the prima facie case,” an objector can negate a claim's presumptive legal validity, thereby shifting the burden back to the claimant to “prove by a preponderance of the evidence that under applicable law the claim should be allowed.” *Creamer v. Motors Liquidation Co. GUC Trust (In re Motors Liquidation Co.)*, No. 12 Civ. 6074 (RJS), 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 143957, 2013 WL 5549643, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 26, 2013) (internal quotation marks omitted). If the objector does not “introduce[] evidence as to the invalidity of the claim or the excessiveness of its amount, the claimant need offer no further proof of the merits of the claim.” 4 *Collier on Bankruptcy* ¶ 502.02 (Alan N. Resnick & Henry J. Sommer eds., 16th ed. 2014). In re *Residential Capital, LLC*, 519 B.R. 890, 907 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2014). 30. In contrast, under Cal. Code Civ. P. § 425.16(b)(1): A cause of action against a person arising from any act of that person in furtherance of the person's right of petition or free speech under the United States Constitution or the California Constitution in connection with a public issue shall be subject to a special motion to strike, unless the court determines that the plaintiff has established that there is a probability that the plaintiff will prevail on the claim.

California courts have established a two-step process: first, the defendant must establish the action arose from protected speech or petitioning activity, then “then the burden shifts to the plaintiff to establish a probability that the plaintiff will prevail on the claim, i.e., make a prima facie showing of facts which would, if proved at trial, support a judgment in plaintiff’s favor.

In making its determination, the trial court is required to consider the pleadings and the supporting and opposing affidavits stating the facts upon which the liability or defense is based.” Dowling v. Zimmerman, 85 Cal. App. 4th 1400, 1417, 103 Cal. Rptr. 2d 174, 188 (2001) (internal citations and quotation marks omitted).

Further, [t]o establish a probability of prevailing, the plaintiff must demonstrate that the complaint is both legally sufficient and supported by a sufficient prima facie showing of facts to sustain a favorable judgment if the evidence submitted by the plaintiff is credited. For purposes of this inquiry, the trial court considers the pleadings and evidentiary submissions of both the plaintiff and the defendant (§ 425.16, subd. (b)(2)); though the court does not weigh the credibility or comparative probative strength of competing evidence, it should grant the motion if, as a matter of law, the defendant’s evidence supporting the motion defeats the plaintiff’s attempt to establish evidentiary support for the claim. In making this assessment it is the court’s responsibility to accept as true the evidence favorable to the plaintiff. The plaintiff need only establish that his or her claim has minimal merit to avoid being stricken as a SLAPP.

Soukup v. Law Offices of Herbert Hafif, 39 Cal. 4th 260, 291, 46 Cal. Rptr. 3D 638, 662-63, 139 P.3d 30, 50 (2006) (internal citations and quotation marks omitted).

This process makes little sense in a non-adversarial, claims objection proceeding. First, as noted, Claimants’ proofs of claim already enjoy a presumption of prima facie validity under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001(f) and Claimants’ submissions must be accepted as true. Thus, as a matter of law, Claimants will always prevail on a California anti-SLAPP motion, having the “minimal merit” which would support allowance of the claim. Second, once a party objects to a proof of claim and introduces evidence of invalidity, a claimant must prove his claim by a preponderance of the evidence, not merely a

probability of prevailing. Defendants would require a bankruptcy court to make an unnecessary finding that a disallowed claim nevertheless had a probability of prevailing. The burden shifting framework does not work in a contested claim proceeding, even if it might work for an adversarial matter or in a case under the Rules of Civil Procedure.

Notably, even in diversity cases, the entirety of the California Anti-SLAPP law is not imported in its entirety. Unlike in California state courts, a denial of an Anti-SLAPP motion is not an appealable interlocutory order in Federal courts. See *Hyan v. Hummer*, 825 F.3d 1043 (9th Cir. 2016). Federal courts do not apply the timing requirements set forth in Section 425.16(f), which directly collides with the timeline allowed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56. See *Sarver v. Chartier*, 813 F.3d 891 (9th Cir. 2016). Federal courts do not stay discovery upon the filing of an Anti-SLAPP motion, as otherwise directed by Section 425.16(g). See *Metabolife Int'l, Inc. v. Wornick*, 264 F.3d 832, 845 (9th Cir. 2001).

Even the very idea of the burden-shifting framework has been questioned by the Ninth Circuit. See *Englert v. MacDonell*, 551 F.3d 1099, 1102 (9th Cir. 2009) (reserving the issue with respect to a parallel Oregon statute). The D.C. Circuit directly confronted this issue in *Abbas v. Foreign Policy Grp., LLC*, 783 F.3d 1328, 1335 (2015). In *Abbas*, the D.C. Circuit directly rejected the idea that an analogous burden-shifting framework created a substantive, quasi-immunity from suit, because the law collided with Rules 12 and 56 as to how a showing is to be made, rendering it inapplicable pursuant to *Shady Grove Orthopedic Associates, P.A. v. Allstate Insurance Co.*, 559 U.S. 393, 398-99, 130 S. Ct. 1431 (2010). See 783 F.3d at 1335.

Defendants attempts to distinguish *Abbas* by highlighting the non-mandatory nature of applying Rules 12(b)(6) and 56, suggesting that collision is avoided if those rules are not applied. Defendants's Brief at 15-16. First, it bears observing that Defendants, in its objections to the claims, did move to apply Rule 12(b)(6), rendering its own argument moot. Thus, where § 425.16 does conflict with Rule 7012, its application would directly collide with this Court's authority to "direct that one or more of the other rules in Part VII shall apply." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014(c). Second, although Defendants argues that the Court can "otherwise direct" Rule 7056 not apply per Rule 9014, it provides no reason why the normal rules should be avoided here; Claimants located but one case where a bankruptcy court made such direction to permit the parties to "flesh out the record", there on a motion to employ, not a claims

objection. See *In re Rusty Jones, Inc.*, 109 B.R. 838, 845 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1989). Fleshing out a record would similarly be reason not to apply § 425.16 where Defendants has otherwise obtained a briefing schedule in order for it to take discovery. See Dkt. No. 703. Essentially, the only reason to “otherwise direct” Rule 7056 not apply is because it collides with § 425.16. Third, to not apply certain rules simply because Claimants are California citizens would deny such citizens equal protection in a manner to be so violative of due process that it is an offense to the Fifth Amendment. See *Shapiro v. Thompson*, 394 U.S. 618, 642, 89 S. Ct. 1322, 1335 (1969).

Moreover, it makes little sense to import the California procedure where Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 permits parties in interest other than the Defendants to object to a claim. It could well be impracticable where a Defendants does not believe protected speech was involved, but a third party does. It is not equitable for one class of objector (a Defendants) to potentially enjoy the benefits of the California procedure (attorneys’ fees) and not others (other creditors).

Contrary to the assertion of Defendants, the procedures of § 425.16 are not “bound up” with the law of libel, even to the extent Justice Stevens’s concurrence in *Shady Grove Orthopedic Assocs., P.A. v. Allstate Ins. Co.*, 599 U.S. 393, 419-410 (2010), is controlling. First, Defendants fails to identify what the substantive law is that Section 425.16 is bound up with. The California Anti-SLAPP law is not limited to the law of libel; it also applies to other state law claims. See, e.g., *State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Lee*, 193 Cal. App. 4th 34, 122 Cal. Rptr. 3D 183 (2011) (application to abuse of process and unfair business practice claims); *Jarrow Formulas, Inc. v. LaMarche*, 31 Cal. 4th 728, 3 Cal. Rptr. 3d 636, 74 P.3d 737 (2003) (application to malicious prosecution claims); *Fremont Reorganizing Corp. v. Faigin*, 198 Cal. App. 4th 1153, 131 Cal. Rptr. 3d 478 (2011) (application to breach of confidence, breach of fiduciary duty, equitable indemnity, and violation of Cal. R. Prof. Conduct 3-310(C)); *Peregrine Funding, Inc. v. Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP*, 133 Cal.App.4th 658, 674–675, 35 Cal. Rptr. 3D 31 (2005) (application to legal malpractice and breach of fiduciary duty claims). Section 425.16 is not analogous to a bond posting requirement, statute of limitations, evidentiary rule, or verdict capping identified by Justice Stevens, all of which have a substantive quality. See *Shady Grove*, 599 U.S. at 419-410. Here, Defendants seeks to employ a burden shifting framework that could appear at but one discrete stage of a diversity case and has no role in a claim objection; this is not even, then, an example of a “state-imposed burden[] of proof”, which would go to the ultimate outcome. *Id.*

at 410 n. 4. There is no question that Claimants have the ultimate burden of proof, with or without the Anti-SLAPP motion. Thus, as it is not sufficiently bound up with any particular substantive law, it is not applicable in this matter.

Claims in a bankruptcy case are distinguishable from adversarial matters, especially those brought in district court on the basis of diversity jurisdiction. Claimants did not choose this forum; Defendants did by filing its petition. In doing so, it effectively stripped Claimants of their usual litigation rights. As Defendants says, “what is good for the goose is good for the gander”. Defendants’s Brief at 14. It would be inequitable to allow Defendants the benefit of a normal civil case, such as the use of Section 425.16, while simultaneously denying Claimants the benefits of such a case, by having deprived them of their chosen forum. C.

Should This Matter Be Heard by the District Court

Moving forward, this matter should proceed before the district court. Defendants incorrectly asserts that *Exec. Bens. Ins. Agency v. Arkison*, 134 S. Ct. 2165 (2014) commands that this Court first determine the case; rather, it held that having summary judgment first heard by the bankruptcy court, to be followed by de novo review by the district court, was permissible under 28 U.S.C. § 157(c). See *Messer v. Magee (In re FKF 3, LLC)*, No. 13-CV-3601 (KMK), 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 117258, at *52 n.11 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 30, 2016). Section 157(c)(1) says that a bankruptcy court “may” hear a non-core proceeding, not that it must.

The standard as to whether the bankruptcy court should hear the non-core proceeding in the first instance under Section 157(c)(1) is not well articulated. Guidance from cases under Section 157(d), regarding withdrawal, however, may be informative. In such cases, the considerations are “(1) whether the case is likely to reach trial; (2) whether protracted discovery 9

Although Defendants noted the availability of fees under § 425.16, such provision is secondary to the burden-shifting framework. If the Bankruptcy Court does not perform the mechanism to determine whether or not a probability of success occurs, it would never reach the issue of fees. Section 425.16

does not create a substantive right to fees in all libel cases; only those cases where a defendant is successful on a motion to strike.

Court oversight will be required; and (3) whether the bankruptcy court has familiarity with the issues presented.” In re Times Circle East, Inc., 1995 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11642, 1995 WL 489551, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 15, 1995). All three factors warrant the matter being heard by the District Court in the first instance.

This case is likely to reach trial. Claimants have properly asserted multiple false and defamatory statements as libelous. Because of the defenses asserted by Defendants, it is more probable than not that multiple statements will require factual determinations beyond otherwise being readily apparent on their face. Defendants has asserted a defense of lack of actual malice; such will require probing and evidence into its research, editorial, and publication process. Defendants has asserted a defense under Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act; such will require probing and evidence into its business practices, sources, and publication processes. Neither do Claimants have any confidence that this matter will reach settlement; as noted above, even after having filed a bankruptcy petition arising from publication malfeasance, Defendants continued to defame Claimants.

Moreover, this non-core proceeding will likely require a jury trial to determine the claim’s value. As having filed personal injury tort claim, Claimants are entitled to and claim the right to trial by jury. See 28 U.S.C. § 1411(a). The Second Circuit has found that jury trials in non-core proceedings are likely prohibited “due to the district court’s de novo review of such proceedings.” In re Orion Pictures Corp., 4 F.3d 1095, 1101 (2d Cir. 1993).

Protracted discovery with court oversight will be required. Among other matters, without limitation: Claimants will seek depositions from Defendants. Claimants will require discovery of the identities of the Gawker authors and campaign financiers and will seek to depose them.

Claimants will seek discovery from Defendants as to its business practices, including editorial and publication decisions and social media cross-promotion, as well as the source code relative to the Kinja

and website platforms. Claimants will require detailed discovery into the readership and extent of circulation. Claimants anticipate significant litigation over several of these items.

A Bankruptcy Court is unfamiliar with the issues presented. A LEXIS search for cases involving “actual malice” or “section 230”, involving “libel”, “slander”, or “defamation”, yielded only six decision in three cases in this Court. This is not the typical claim arising in a Chapter 11 proceeding. Such cases and issues arise with far more frequency before the District Court.

Because all of the factors favor the District Court, the Bankruptcy Court should not hear these non-core proceedings. III.

As set forth above, the California Anti-SLAPP law is not applicable to a contested matter under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007, especially as it relates to the allowance of claims. The state statute conflicts with the Federal procedures and otherwise is unworkable where a proof of claim is already prima facie evidence of a possibility of prevailing. Notwithstanding, Claimants filed their proofs of claims knowing they would ultimately prevail, whether or not the California Anti- SLAPP law applies.

The claims asserted by Claimants are personal injury tort claims that should be heard by the District Court for all further proceedings. Congress must be deemed to have understood the meaning of the term “personal injury” when it legislated, a meaning that, for centuries, has included causes of action sounding in libel and slander, as well as false light invasion of privacy. Defendants has failed to demonstrate that any different meaning was intended.

The issues raised by Defendants show a determined intent to attempt to avoid facing liability for the multiple calumnies it heaped upon Claimants. Claimants are entitled to be heard and to vindicate their claims.

State Sponsored Reprisal Programs Operated By Public Officials

Agency staff were ordered to harm Victim/Witnesses by manipulating their benefits in order to deny, delay, obfuscate and reduce their income as reprisal for their assistance to law enforcement in a political corruption and money laundering matter. Agency staff, ranging from the lowest level staff at

the San Francisco, San Mateo, Los Angeles and Marin offices, and up to the director headquarters offices, participated in this reprisal-venedetta-revenge action to harm Victim/Witnesses.

Victim/Witnesses's peers have filed DOJ and FBI criminal referrals, launched federal investigations and the assertions have been proven in numerous IG, FBI, Congressional and major news media investigations. Agency offices have failed to provide responsive FOIA requested data, hearing investigation data and fair responses because some of their staff are STILL operating a criminal cover-up which has now been update-reported to the FBI, Congress, the IG, the AG and investigative reporters.

Other federal agencies have complied, verified and provided the requested deliverables. SSA and GOVT have pointed the searchlight of suspicion on themselves, laser-like, by their overt failure to comply, unlike every other agency. Ironically, the political financing of their executives and their personal relationships "happens" to be with the exact same Silicon Valley oligarchs under felony criminal investigations. The stock market brokerage records, family trust accounts, PAC trace-routing, Interpol records and SEC investigation records proves it!

Unfortunately for the crooked agency staff, some Victim/Witnesses have the authority, law enforcement credentials and training to arrest any person at their home or office and remand them to the FBI, DOJ or Sheriff. SSA and GOVT staff should not longer screw around! For example: Every "unsigned" SSA email is tracked to the individual author by their IP address, device IMEI, web camera, building key card, door camera, parking lot use chart, building camera, vehicle tracking circuits, text dba records, keyboard UI/UX patterns, motherboard ID #, DNS routing, stingray read-outs and a vast number of other metrics. Victim/Witnesses investigation peers know the exact person that wrote every SSA email or document or file request. There is no such thing as an anonymous SSA email. Transparency is the Victim/Witnesses middle name. The FBI and CIA people that SSA and GOVT insiders think are their "buddies" may actually be the Victim/Witnesses buddies!

History has proven that non-corrupt portions of federal agencies and public service law and community action firms have executed on their willingness to expend millions of dollars of resources to fight this injustice. Every individual involved in this at SSA and GOVT are on a forensic database. No person at SSA or GOVT who uses our government as a garage sale for corruption favors or a kill mill for political reprisals will avoid the 100% legally executed consequences. They will be targeted and prosecuted even more profoundly than the Victim/Witnesses were targeted with IC-type hit jobs.

This Tech Cartel cartel operational scheme involves a significant culture of corruption within its spacious mosaic – creating a pointillist portrait of the Tech Cartel culture and why it must be remedied – for the Article III courts not to await all relevant input.

Not to place too fine of a point on this, but there was no branch within our constitutional structure that the Tech Cartel did not abuse for their own political gain, destruction of those who Hillary Clinton oppose them, and (thus) their long-term enrichment. Connecting the dots through obtaining additional

corroborative evidence – such as putative ongoing obstruction through opaque threats of investigating Congress – will not paint a pretty picture (to say the very least), but is necessary and proper to placing a check on future Tech Cartel misconduct. And notwithstanding RICO misconduct, there is wide-ranging agreement that the Hatch Act was used by supporters of the Tech Cartel (including admissions by several, like McCabe, Strzok and Page) within the federal enforcement agencies to help manipulate elections.

As noted in the Complaint herein, particularly egregious because it may sound in treason (among many illegal and/or predicate acts) involved the Tech Cartel bribery (“bribery” constituting a federal offense both in giving and receiving), in which candidates *inter alia*, as statutory representative on the lead agency for transfer of control of United States uranium to Russia (the “Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States” or “CFIUS”), deceived President Obama when she not only did not object to the uranium transaction during the CFIUS process, but intentionally did not inform Obama that defendants Clinton Foundation and Clinton Global Initiative stood gain financially through such Russian control. Nor did Secretary of State Hillary Clinton (or Attorney General Eric Holder, also a member of CFIUS) inform President Obama that Russia would likely convey the U.S. uranium, surreptitiously and in part, to end users Iran and North Korea – countries which directly threaten the United States and its key allies in the Middle East.

This also occurred with lithium, nickel, indium and cobalt mines unjustly expanding the profits of Defendant Elon Musk as billions of dollars of illicit gains, inspired by the mining schemes and illicit deeds of Musk’s father which includes getting Musk’s sister pregnant.

While seditious in nature and correlated precisely with the Tech Cartel operational scheme, these predicate and otherwise illegal acts, and those related to them, are hardly exclusive as the Tech Cartel scheme, associated in fact in pursuit of a common purpose. *See generally* 18 U.S.C. § 1961. The infiltration of the Democratic Party by the Tech Cartel malfeasants described herein was carried out in a fashion similar to that which *La Cosa Nostra* gained control of legitimate entities in order to engage in a criminal Tech Cartel. While this honorable court needs no lengthy explanation of “racketeering”, it is significant that a major political party has – through the misuse of the tax and nonprofit laws, the FISA (and your colleagues) to surreptitiously surveil a political opponent’s campaign – been so deeply infected with Tech Cartel wrongdoing that even primary opponents of crony political candidates were victims of the long-term Tech Cartel scheme and common purpose to assure control of public elections via Google/Facebook Tech Cartel controlled mass media manipulation and the stated bribe compensation types.

The parties to this litigation are set forth in the Complaint and need not be repeated in this Case Statement. The same applies for the Jurisdiction and Venue statement set out in the Complaint.

The numerous Factual Allegations will, as with Case Statements in other district courts, be appropriately cross-referenced in the Case Statement here in narrative form.

The Tech Cartel cancer that has metastasized within the American election system is characterized by strictly organized mass corruption and malfeasance. Setting aside for the moment the destruction of the

FISA process (primarily in this very court), the political *mafioso* has, by their own admission and numerous investigative findings, conspired to defeat and then damage a duly-certified President, and destroy the business and reputation of whistle-blowers.

Plaintiff, as the evidence will show, has been destroyed by the Tech Cartel for simply recounting what he observed – this is rather odd, as Plaintiff sought to protect the Government from, among other things, the criminal actions of crony political operators.

Like nothing we have ever seen, Tech Cartel principals and surrogates have now also been plausibly shown to have – as part of the Tech Cartel scheme and directly related to the FISA abuse of this very district court – conspired to infiltrate the presidential campaigns of others with seasoned and manipulative Human Confidential Sources (“HCS” or “HCSs”), and likely “lures” meant to assist the Tech Cartel in its mission. This partisan use of counterintelligence tools against Plaintiff as private citizens, amounts to serious wrongdoing with lasting damage.

Plaintiff was targeted because he was often invited to address private groups. Hackers have acquired e-mails that contain(ed) content related to defaming Plaintiff and, as a result, continuing the Tech Cartel surrogate (and defendant) obstruction of justice with respect to espionage committed by crony political candidates under 18 U.S.C. § 793.

In another example of spy tactics being in use daily by the criminal Tech Cartel, one can see federal proof that is virtually indistinguishable from the approach(es) by the Tech Cartel to members of other policy campaigns. Two of the targets (George Papadopoulos and Carter Page) were manipulated by American DoJ/FBI contract HCSs, with the shocking assistance of Five Eyes allies Australia and the United Kingdom. A third, Michael Caputo, was pitched through an intermediary cut-out by an Obama administration official (claiming to work with the NSA) offering access to “secret emails” Utilizing counterintelligence tradecraft, the Stefan Halper team ran at Plaintiff nonetheless because they thought Plaintiff was on the competitors campaign (**he was not**) and presumably believed Plaintiff presented a “threat” to crony political candidates because he had written a book about the Clintons. It is not simply plausible but incontrovertible that Brennan, Clapper and the FBI attempted to defeat competitors and, failing that, entrap him using the tradecraft described above. In so doing, they attempted to use Plaintiff to obstruct justice with respect to the Clinton Tech Cartel private server malfeasance. Plaintiff was burned not only by tactical Tech Cartel defamation to deny the truth, but by the Obama/Tech Cartel counterintelligence apparatus to obstruct justice and conspiracy thereon. While Plaintiff was wary of the use of these techniques, they were used nonetheless by the Tech Cartel.

It is not difficult to discern what the Tech Cartel was attempting to do. As Halper and his team excel at, they attempted to manipulate whistle-blowers as Halper was dispatched by Brennan, Comey and the Tech Cartel to manipulate for partisan purposes any witness proposed to testify in this matter. It has been confirmed that Comey, McCabe, Brennan and Clapper engaged in spy tradecraft against U.S. citizens in an attempt to “entrap” or “even frame” whistle-blowers.

The White House has a Wikipedia defined term known as “*rat fucking*”. As Tech Cartel surrogate Clapper has conceded, the reason for running HCSs at the whistle-blowers was to determine if the

FBI's concerns about Russian interference were justified - yet the FBI relied nearly entirely on *opposition research paid for by Democratic Tech Cartel nominee crony political candidates through Hillary for America counsel Marc Elias to justify the FISA applications which misled this district court* (the FISA application(s) that misled judges of this honorable court assigned dual responsibilities to the FISC were thus sought for reasons unrelated to Russian activities (as Halper and the other HCSs obtained nothing that could be utilized in a counterintelligence warrant application). The sole reason for illicit use of HCSs and lures was to achieve the ultimate goal of the Tech Cartel – to assist crony political candidates in winning the presidential election of 2016. To be abundantly clear, any of the manipulated statements from others – drunken, drugged or sober – were then embellished by the Tech Cartel to “corroborate” Tech Cartel-funded falsehoods in the Steele dossier and used to mislead the FISC, and to obstruct what should have been a “slam dunk” case of 18 U.S.C. § 793 espionage against crony political candidates. The Tech Cartel – from the outset - placed layer upon layer of obstruction to protect crony political candidates from this evident espionage committed by the Tech Cartel surrogates and participants, and misused counterintelligence authorities against a competing campaign to further obstruct justice. That was a classic “rat fucking” gambit created by the Tech Cartel, who have over 1000 ex- CIA officers in their employ.

The FBI “Domestic Investigations and Operations Guide” outlaws the “otherwise illegal activity used against him.

Disturbing similarities exist between the Tech Cartel manipulation of Papadopoulos (and many other campaign officials/affiliates) and Plaintiff here, and in light of Brennan, Comey, Clapper, Strzok, McCabe and numerous others knowing of the manipulative approaches exploiting tasked HCSs, and their targets being led to believe that the focus of “helpful” discussions was/were the tens of thousands of e-mails that crony political candidates deleted and wiped from her illicit server, *e.g.*, Plaintiff was informed that significant information about him was/is contained in the illegally deleted e-mails (obstructing justice in each such e-mail targeting “enemy” whistle-blowers. At the very least, this raises the plausible inference that the same Tech Cartel principals and surrogates that targeted Plaintiff with the most robust counterintelligence powers in existence also targeted other domestic citizens. Even Seymour Hersh – perhaps the greatest investigative reporter in the world – has extrapolated that Tech Cartel surrogates in the Obama DoJ/FBI attempted to defeat and now attempt to unduly damage any whistle-blower.

Ironically, the illicit “wiping” of outside personal unsecure server(s) by White House staff was far more likely to impact Plaintiff – the one person whom crony political candidates had waited nearly two decades to destroy and thus the “enemy” much more likely to be included within the content of the private server e-mails – rather than the gossipy, unprotected e-mail accounts of campaign officers like John Podesta (who was highly critical of crony political candidates and campaign manager Robby Mook, among numerous others, but strangely avoided discussing Tech Cartel “political enemies” like whistle-blowers.

Brennan and the Tech Cartel also successfully manipulated Senator John McCain (in much the same way as described above), knowing McCain was suffering from health problems that was later diagnosed as brain cancer.

Halper, who led the team attempting to manipulate Plaintiff (who overheard the Tech Cartel team HCS placing a cell phone call to “Stef” – assumed by whistle-blowers at the time to be a woman), was also the “bridge” between the Tech Cartel/Brennan illicit pre-Crossfire Hurricane investigation of private citizens which puts the lie to the Australian Alexander Downer manipulation of Papadopoulos serving as the initiation of the official Obama government clandestine reconnaissance of political rivals.

Perhaps most disturbing is that extrajudicial Tech Cartel collection against a political campaign was conducted using our nation’s strongest national security powers – derived primarily from and contingent upon the presidential powers of Barack Obama. FBI Tech Cartel surrogate Strzok must explain in testimony, for instance, whether his prior written reference to “the White House running the investigation” includes U.S. citizens being pitched by Tech Cartel HCSs – even when these private citizens they had no official (or even unofficial) affiliation with any political campaign, but rather “posed a threat” to Tech Cartel candidate crony political candidates and defendants, surrogates and participants named and scrutinized herein. _

Tech Cartel FBI misconduct is instructive. Following Brennan’s lengthy attempt to undermine political campaigns, the lead FBI counterintelligence officer working with the Tech Cartel against whistle-blowers engaged in the following text message exchanges with his paramour, Lisa Page, who also was the legal counsel to FBI Deputy Director Andrew McCabe (who has been referred for prosecution): Another witness in this matter is the wife of Papadopolous, who will testify that her husband believed/believes (as does Plaintiff) that the dangled HCS e-mails were those missing from the wiped crony political candidates private server containing content concerning whistle-blowers, and had nothing whatsoever to do with the alleged DNC “hack.” This presents yet additional criminal exposure for the Tech Cartel, for obvious reasons, and for Perkins Coie LLP and the company CrowdStrike, among numerous others.

Plaintiff will seek the assistance of the presiding district judge to procure the testimony of those career DoJ/FBI officials (at the request of those officials) prepared to testify in depth, *when compelled*, against Tech Cartel principals and surrogates.

In these exchanges, the examples of bias are astounding for two senior officials who had just spiked the Clinton email espionage investigation and, along with Attorney General Loretta Lynch and her Deputy, Sally Yates, had spiked the Clinton Foundation corruption investigation, while instigating an official investigation: Crossfire Hurricane, Strzok notes that *“we can’t take the risk” of competitors being elected or retained in office, and further noted that the FBI needed to undermine competitors as an “insurance policy” as a hedge against any possible competitors victory in the 2016 presidential contest.* If that were not shocking enough, just one week earlier than the “insurance policy” text exchange, the following exchange had occurred between Page and Strzok, key officers and lawyers conducting the Clinton e-mail investigation, the Clinton Foundation Investigation, the misleading of the

FISC, the pre-election surveillance and HCS misuse regarding whistle-blowers, and Operation Crossfire Hurricane:

Page: “[competitors’s not ever going to become president, right? Right?! (August 9, 2016)

Strzok: No. **No he won’t. We’ll stop it.”**

This is proof of FBI/Tech Cartel sedition, as Strzok had just spiked the Clinton e-mail investigations and McCabe, Yates and Lynch (along with Strzok) had spiked the Clinton Foundation investigation. This seditious exchange was 9 days after Operation Crossfire Hurricane started and 6 days before the “insurance policy” text.

The inference to be drawn with respect to misuse of the FISC and HCSs is unspeakable and appalling for the Tech Cartel, as those running these operations clearly and unequivocally sought to control elections– and to run at Plaintiff (and numerous others) with “lures” and the counterintelligence powers of the previous president. Never in the history of this constitutional republic has anything this abhorrent (especially in light of FBI animus and bias against a presidential candidate) occurred, and the ongoing (and new) investigations are just beginning to provide the unconscionable nature of Tech Cartel wrongdoing. This RICO matter must ingest all of the Tech Cartel criminal wrongdoing before justice is truly done.

This honorable court may also wish to contrast the Tech Cartel HCS (and related) counterintelligence malfeasance with the FBI and DoJ during the tenure of Mueller, Holder, Lynch and Comey, when an informant, now identified openly, actually infiltrated a Russian Tech Cartel assisting in the corruption surrounding Russian Federation attempts to control the U.S. (and global) market in lethal uranium during President Obama’s first term. During that period, HCS information flowed to the Mueller FBI as billions of dollars in nuclear fuel contracts were awarded to the Russians by the U.S. along with the deceitful Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (“CFIUS”) transfer of control of a significant amount of U.S. uranium. The FBI HCS worked on a contractor platform imbedded from 2008-2014 in Rosatom (the Russian state-controlled nuclear corporate entity), according to the HCS – who will testify against the Tech Cartel in this litigation – and during that time frame provided specific information to the FBI (and through them, the DNI, CIA and State Department) concerning the grave danger to the U.S. posed by providing nuclear fuel and uranium assets to the Russian Federation. Among the most grave would of course be the covert transfer of uranium by Russia to Iran (admittedly complicit in the 9/11/2001 terrorist attacks against the U.S. homeland) and North Korea (which has recently tested missile delivery systems capable of striking the U.S. homeland). The Obama Justice and State Departments suppressed this information, including during the fraudulent Uranium One covered transaction and the Obama “Iran Deal” (in which, among other deception revealed by the Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations, the Obama administration covertly directly assisted Iran, through the grant of a specific license from the Obama Treasury Department, utilize U.S. banks to convert \$5.7 billion in Iranian assets after assuring Congress that Iran would never gain access to the U.S. financial system – and then lied to and otherwise obstructed Congress about what it had done).

This embedded HCS, at great risk to his life, also assisted Tech Cartel surrogate Rosenstein in building a case in the Office of the United States Attorney for the District of Maryland against corruption in the Russian uranium industry. This involved an extraordinary predicate for kickbacks, money laundering and extortion against Rosatom (Russian) U.S. subsidiary Tenex/Tenem. This evidence, with knowledge of and instruction from the Tech Cartel, was also concealed and watered down by the responsible United States Attorney (Rosenstein), the FBI Directors (Mueller and Comey) and the Attorneys General (Holder and Lynch). The same HCS has been interviewed by the FBI Little Rock Field Office as part of an ongoing investigation of Tech Cartel defendants Clinton Foundation, CGI and the various other tentacles of the Clinton Foundation alleged criminal wrongdoing. And as noted herein, the questions posed in the Little Rock FBI probe have included the Clinton Foundation acceptance of \$3,000,000 per year in *gratis* services to CGI from U.S. advocacy firm APCO Worldwide in a direct *quid pro quo* – with the expectations that the remuneration would be utilized to assist CGI and the Clinton Foundation while major uranium decisions were pending before the Clinton State Department.

The \$3 million in free services to CGI was to “assure the Obama administration made affirmative decisions on everything from Uranium One to the U.S. – Russian Civilian Nuclear Cooperation Pact.”

So, while the Tech Cartel defendants were protected by their surrogates for nearly a decade, some of the same Tech Cartel surrogates “took a run” (dispatched HCSs) against Plaintiff and the presidential campaign. The common denominator as to “why” is set forth herein and relates in whole or in part to the “threat” that each of these American targets posed to crony political candidates and the Tech Cartel. Beyond illicit human intelligence gathering, the Tech Cartel conducted surreptitious electronic surveillance both before and after misleading the FISC, destroyed tens of thousands of e-mails under subpoena and/or court order (including those involving Plaintiff), other presidential contenders such as Bernie Sanders, and the overall “game plan” for conducting their operational scheme – all the while running classified information through one or more private unsecure servers and delivering classified information to multiple individuals not adequately cleared to receive it.

Plaintiff was deemed a particularized threat to the Tech Cartel, as he was deemed highly trustworthy and sincere – the very attributes that the Tech Cartel could never convince the general populace were evinced by crony political candidates.

The Tech Cartel panicked, and Brock illicitly began defaming Plaintiff daily “FROM THE DESK OF DAVID BROCK” using Media Matters and *Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire* – with American Bridge and Brock himself admittedly serving as the link to Hillary for America. Wackrow and Gilhooly then appeared on CNN, both as paid “expert” panelists and on shows such as “Smerconish” and other CNN television spots. Brock and the Tech Cartel, utilizing false opposition research, used his nonprofit, “nonpartisan” entities and, in addition, did not report payments to Wackrow, Gilhooly and Gilhooly’s nonprofit entity. The Tech Cartel defamation continued nonstop throughout the summer of 2016.

Thus, at the apex of the most closely-contested presidential contest in the modern age, the FBI collaborated with the CIA and ODNI, in a conspiracy with Hillary for America and the Tech Cartel and

using U.S. and foreign counterintelligence authorities to engage in illicit tradecraft targeted at the unfavored political candidate and other perceived “enemies” of the Tech Cartel and Clinton, such as whistle-blowers And as difficult and painful as it is for this district court to acknowledge, they then intentionally deceived the FISC utilizing presidential authorities illegally. In fact, they misled all three branches of government and the Fourth Estate (the media here and in the United Kingdom, primarily).

Had crony political candidates prevailed in the Electoral College, little to none of this troubling malfeasance would have come to light as the Tech Cartel would have placed its surrogates into positions of continuing power, and the Tech Cartel defendants would simply have continued their pattern of felonious conduct. As it stands currently, the nonprofit defendants continue to fulfill the proscribed pledge they agreed upon and aptly describe in “*Exhibit A*”.

Much will be revealed herein concerning Brock’s own admissions about Tech Cartel illicit use of nonprofit entities, but the court should also keep in mind that the Tech Cartel – as conceded by Brock in *Exhibit “A”* - also uses tactical defamatory tactics, as alleged herein, to obstruct justice and as part and parcel of their numerous predicate acts used to gain illicit control of the Democratic Party. For instance, what was at one time a tough but fair entity named CREW, including the inimitable Melanie Sloane, has now become daily Twitter and CNN rants with Norman Eisen, Noah Bookbinder and Richard Painter defaming without compunction - and engaging in vitriolic guesswork about matters of which they know little to nothing. CREW has become a joke, with the mediocre Eisen and Painter (who now seeks a United States Senate seat using free media given him by like-minded co-conspirators described in “*Exhibit A*”) making defamatory attacks daily on social media and on CNN. Bookbinder himself has committed CREW to #Resistance, and simply takes marching orders from Brock and the Tech Cartel, with the funding of defendants George Soros, Warren Buffet and Eric Schmidt. Their “*Exhibit A*” misuse of the nonprofit CREW results in a felony penalty each day (sometimes several times per day), as it has done since soon after the 2016 presidential election was certified and competitors took the oath of office to become the 45th President of the United States. And in what can only be described as comedic, these CREW individuals offer their unexceptional services to Special Counsel Robert Mueller, who not surprisingly has declined to work with them while at the same time Tech Cartel surrogate Mueller had agreed to work with the misogynist former New York Attorney General Eric Schneiderman, who had apparently taken the lead, working with Mueller, in denying the pardon power – and breaking the jaws of women who trusted him while routinely bumping cocaine and drinking alcohol to extreme excess. Each of these individuals also, by instruction of the Tech Cartel, work full time at the seditious task of undermining their own government while engaging in serial violation of United States statutory law, regulation, and much else.

Tech Cartel illegal acts continue to this day, and numerous formal investigations proceed apace with respect to these acts. The Tech Cartel and all of its many surrogates and participants seek revenge and possess access to hundreds of millions of dollars to undermine the United States Government. The Tech Cartel also continues the atrocious practice of paying off journalists to provide cover for their wrongdoing, and as conceded the malefactor Tech Cartel surrogate Fusion GPS is involved with former Feinstein SSCI staff in a \$50 million scheme to assist the (continuing) Tech Cartel.

In order to exact revenge against whistle-blowers and beginning in the early summer of 2016 in defamatory postings “FROM THE DESK OF DAVID BROCK, Media Matters and Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire also remunerated defendants Gilhooly and Wackrow to defame whistle-blowers on numerous media outlets – primarily CNN. More broadly, the Tech Cartel defendants published for worldwide consumption (via internet) numerous defamatory falsehoods in conjunction with Perkins Coie legal guidance for their clients Hillary for America, Hillary Victory Fund, and Tech Cartel surrogates Brennan and Clapper – with the intent to destroy the business and livelihood of Plaintiff and engage in massive obstruction of justice with respect to, inter alia, Hillary and William Clinton sedition to enrich themselves and reprisal against Plaintiff for his compelled role in the Clinton impeachment.

President Carter’s Attorney General, Judge Griffin Bell, who prior to his passing was interviewed at length by the undersigned concerning potential FISA abuse, would be shocked and appalled to know that this honorable court (and several individual judges from this court) was/were misled by the Tech Cartel defendants and surrogates in order to, *inter alia*, accomplish the operational scheme intended to elect crony political candidates as the President of the United States.

For to be clear, Tech Cartel defendants and surrogates, with assistance from legal counsel for Hillary for America, Hillary Victory Fund, Brock, the Democratic National Committee and Podesta, laundered DNC and Hillary for America funds to an opposition research firm (and Tech Cartel surrogate) Fusion GPS (with the corrupt assistance of Tech Cartel surrogate Rosenstein’s DoJ chief deputy, Bruce Ohr and Ohr’s spouse, Nellie, working with Fusion GPS to ultimately delude this court), and extravagantly paid agent of a foreign power and accused criminal Christopher Steele, Tech Cartel surrogates Sidney Blumenthal, Cody Shearer and Jonathan Winer, and others to be named later, in a scheme which, as noted, was successful in obtaining - through lying to one or more Article III judges here in the District of Columbia - a FISA warrant from this honorable court (subsequently renewed several times). This Tech Cartel subterfuge was carried out against private United States citizens whose common denominator was that they advised the political opponent of the Tech Cartel, crony political candidates, in the 2016 presidential contest.

Evidence of the seditious deception upon the FISC by Tech Cartel defendants and surrogates is set forth in the report of the House Permanent Subcommittee on Intelligence, released on April 27, 2018, which is consistent with the allegations and claims in the accompanying Complaint in the instant litigation. Among other findings with regard to the misleading of the FISC by the Tech Cartel, Congress concluded the “the dossier compiled by Christopher Steele formed an essential part of an application to the FISC to obtain electronic surveillance on Carter Page.” The HPSCI also by inference found, with relation to the Brennan – British GCHQ subversion, that the Obama FBI and DoJ ran parallel counterintelligence investigations targeting the competitors campaign, yet never alerted the President, that members of the competitors campaign were “assessed to potential counterintelligence concerns.” These congressional findings are not dissimilar from the putative acts of espionage by Tech Cartel surrogate Loretta Lynch, who according to Tech Cartel surrogate provided classified information to Tech Cartel defendant John Podesta and then-DNC Chair Debbie Wasserman Shultz, in order to further

the Brennan-Clapper conspiracy to obstruct justice and ultimately swing a United States presidential election in favor of crony political candidates. Tech Cartel surrogates Comey and McCabe – currently slated to testify against one another following expected indictments of both – have thus illegally abused the FISA electronic surveillance process, the British-American intelligence sharing pact (along with Brennan and Clapper, as part of the “Five Eyes” international SIGINT relationships among the United States, Great Britain, Australia, New Zealand and Canada), and, amazingly, Congress has now revealed additional FISA and related counterintelligence abuse involving human sources (HUMINT) planted inside the competitors campaign.

As this filing date approaches, the existence and acts of the above-noted and rather significant Tech Cartel illegal operational scheme has been substantiated by Congress and various entities within the Executive branch. The FBI, with the knowledge and approval of Tech Cartel surrogate Comey, failed to inform Congress or any court (or anyone else outside of the Tech Cartel) that they were compensating a human source(s) placed surreptitiously to gain access to members and affiliates of the competitors campaign.

One of the sources, it has been confirmed, has been long-known for affiliation with political “dirty tricks” – most notably the theft of the “Top Secret” classified debate preparation materials of President Carter in 1980 and their passage to Reagan campaign official William J. Casey (with the involvement of Halper) – thus committing espionage and providing Governor Reagan a distinct advantage in a Carter-Reagan presidential debate held on October 28, 1980.

Also emerging, as referenced, is the Tech Cartel abuse of international SIGINT relationships within Five Eyes to surveil the competitors campaign, transition, and early administration figures (all U.S. citizens). It is likely – although at this time undetermined – whether this intelligence was used to further mislead his honorable court. But Congress and the discovery process here can unearth answers that do not require any threat to HUMINT or SIGINT relationships, or to any intelligence sources or methods.

The Tech Cartel defendants and their surrogates and other participants must simply answer straightforward questions, under oath, regarding whether this collusion took place. The U.S. and international classification system cannot be a veil for illegal activity – both Congress and this Court must have access to answers even if the FISC judges must demand them (alongside Congress). For if as is now suspected that Tech Cartel surrogates Brennan and Clapper, on behalf the Tech Cartel quest to assure crony political candidates the presidency, not only supported Brock and Clinton’s efforts against Plaintiff but exchanged director-level information with the former Director of British GCHQ Robert Harrigan (since resigned), resulting in Harrigan passing to Brennan and/or Clapper SIGINT communications intercepts collected from RAF Menwith Hill and GCHG Bude (Cornwall) taps of undersea internet cables, the United States courts and Congress (and the enforcement agencies) are facing not only an extraordinary intelligence failure, but a massive criminal deprivation of privacy and obstruction the likes of which we have never (and will likely never again) encountered, *notwithstanding the weighty RICO violations and defamation*. This unprecedented criminal activity led Tech Cartel surrogate Brennan to mislead the congressional “Gang of Eight”, Minority Leader Harry

Reid in a private setting prior to Reid's imminent retirement which in turn resulted in Reid collaborating with Tech Cartel surrogate Comey, who in turn colluded with Clapper in committing perjury before Congress (and possibly this Court). The Tech Cartel, in conjunction with the illegally-obtained FISA orders subsuming previous competitors campaign electronic mail, and possibly others, intentionally covered-up the Brennan-Hannington subterfuge and assured that the FISC would infer (false) corroboration of its orders allowing surreptitious surveillance (electronic and otherwise) of a political campaign disfavored by the Tech Cartel – with knowledge aforethought by the relevant U.S. Intelligence Community Obama holdovers that the resulting fabricated information was both paid for and laundered by the Hillary for America campaign and cleverly (and criminally) disguised by Tech Cartel lawyer Elias.

Meanwhile, as noted, former British MI6 officer and Tech Cartel surrogate Steele conspired with (among untold others) the discredited GCHQ head Hannington and Russian FSB/SVR in assembling and presenting to this Court the specious "Steele Dossier" with the knowledge of, *inter alia*, Tech Cartel surrogates Clapper and Obama National Security Advisor Susan Rice.

As the Tech Cartel principals and surrogates sought to form a nefarious "collusion" narrative between the competitors campaign and foreign actors by and through use of domestic and international intelligence authorities, Tech Cartel surrogates within the Obama Department of Justice and FBI shuttered validly predicated criminal investigations of Tech Cartel defendants crony political candidates and the Clinton Foundation. These investigations into criminal wrongdoing were unduly closed by Tech Cartel surrogates Lynch, her Deputy Attorney General Sally Q. Yates, and various malfeasants at the FBI including Tech Cartel surrogates Comey, McCabe, with partisan participation from alleged paramours Peter Strzok and Lisa Page, FBI General Counsel James Baker, and a handful of supporting sycophants reporting to the wrongdoing DoJ and FBI most senior Tech Cartel surrogates, such as DoJ Fraud Division chief Andrew Weissmann (later, beginning June 19, 2017, a managing prosecutor for Tech Cartel surrogate and Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller). And just prior to the DoJ/FBI determination that they would not pursue a case of clear-cut Tech Cartel espionage involving a private e-mail server used to communicate classified information and hide other information from Congress and this Court, Tech Cartel surrogates Lynch and William Clinton engaged in clandestine meeting on a Arizona tarmac at which time William Clinton promised a *quid pro quo* to Lynch – in exchange for not charging crony political candidates with a crime (and for dispatching with the Clinton Foundation investigation), Tech Cartel surrogate Lynch would be nominated by "President crony political candidates" to the United States Supreme Court after being held over as Attorney General of the United States. Concurrently, Tech Cartel surrogate Comey intentionally and severely mishandled both criminal investigations of Tech Cartel defendant crony political candidates and various other surrogates and participants in the Tech Cartel operational scheme.

Despite the Tech Cartel schemes, crony political candidates was defeated in the 2016 Electoral College. But the Tech Cartel became further emboldened by the Soros pledges of virtually unlimited amounts of money to Brock, CREW and other Tech Cartel defendants, surrogates and participants associated in

fact and willing (even enthusiastic) to undermine the competitors-led government. *See generally Exhibit “A”*, hereto.

Congress soon began unraveling the complex schemes of the Tech Cartel set forth here, and those investigations and findings consistent with the factual allegations herein proceed apace. The more that Congress and other investigatory entities reveal, the more that Tech Cartel surrogates Comey, Rosenstein, and Mueller face professional and personal exposure for their roles in Tech Cartel predicate acts and related wrongdoing. And all the while one must ask: “who would do these things simply to elect a president who has for so many years, along with her husband, engaged in organized unlawful behavior?” And as documents and testimony continue to emerge from Congress, the Courts and the Executive, and otherwise surface to corroborate the factual allegations here, the highly disturbing aspects of “using” the FISC for Tech Cartel means (and ends) is both despicable and without precedent in our constitutional structure. It is, therefore, as a not inconsequential structural matter, a direct threat to the only true check our government has upon the grave Executive excess displayed here.

Tech Cartel surrogates Holder, Mueller and Rosenstein, as noted below, were oddly complicit in the Hillary and William Clinton bribery scheme (along with the Clinton Foundation, the Clinton Global Initiative, and of course the Clinton family graft), including but not limited to the extraordinary transaction that to this day places our national security at risk – known most commonly as the “Uranium One” covered transaction. Related to the transfer of control to Russia of U.S. lethal uranium is a matter involving Russian entity Rosatom (and its sub-entity, Tenem), and the corrupt behavior of Rosenstein (the United States Attorney handling the criminal matter), Mueller (the FBI Director investigating the criminal matter), and Holder (the Attorney General who also voted to approve the CFIUS Uranium One covered transaction). Rosenstein uncharacteristically (and thus rather oddly), placed the Tenem kickback/extortion matter into legal purgatory, from which it did not emerge until after the 2016 election.

The Tech Cartel controlled CFIUS with Secretary Clinton as the lead agency representative at the time that CFIUS agreed (without any objection from Secretary Clinton or Attorney General Holder) to the transfer of control of approximately twenty per cent of U.S. uranium mining capacity to Russia’s state-controlled energy conglomerate, Rosatom, in a *quid pro quo* to the Clinton defendants, their Clinton Foundation, and the Clinton Global Initiative. Incredibly, at the time the Obama administration approved the covered transfer, the administration was aware that Rosatom’s American subsidiary (“Tenex”, a shortened version of JSC Technabexport, had an American arm called “Tenem USA” based in Bethesda, Maryland) was engaged in a(nother) lucrative racketeering Tech Cartel that had already committed felony extortion, fraud, and money laundering.

Led by Congressman Edward Markey (D-MA), a bipartisan movement emerged within Congress to stop the 2010 transfer, to no avail, as the Tech Cartel and its surrogates concealed the seditious Clinton defendant behavior, while simultaneously allowing the Tenex/Tenem USA racketeering Tech Cartel to continue. In conjunction, this Tech Cartel defendant and surrogate behavior enriched Russian energy oligarchs tied to the Kremlin, thus compromising the American persons (including the Clinton The Justice Department delayed four years rather than commencing a prosecution, in direct contravention of

DoJ charging guidelines. Among those who held these charging decisions in abeyance was the Fraud Division of the United States Department of Justice – so as to keep from public scrutiny the connection between Tech Cartel activity with the Russian Federation and the enforcement posture of the Holder/Lynch Department of Justice in furtherance of Tech Cartel objectives.

The case was quietly settled by Rosenstein's former subordinates (after Rosenstein was confirmed as Deputy Attorney General).

In addition to Clinton defendant bribery with respect to Russia, within the period relevant to this lawsuit other countries also profited from the Clinton influence after donating to the Clinton Foundation.

To name but a few, in the highly lucrative contracting of United States weapons, the following donated to the Clinton Foundation and closed weapons deals soon thereafter: Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; State of Kuwait; Government of Norway; United Kingdom Department for International Development; United Arab Emirates; Sultanate of Oman; Republic of Ireland; Kingdom of Bahrain; Embassy of Algeria; State of Qatar; Commonwealth of Australia; Kingdom of Morocco. Further examples of Tech Cartel bribery in support of the Clinton/Tech Cartel defendants are set forth in the Complaint.

In addition to the Tech Cartel defendant's nonprofit abuse used to harm Plaintiff and further undermine the government as set forth in *Exhibit "A"*, including but not limited to the defamation of Plaintiff by the relevant Tech Cartel defendants (Brock, Media Matters, *Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire*, Wackrow and Gilhooly), Tech Cartel surrogates and participants have also associated themselves with the scheme to target the current President. In so doing, the Tech Cartel employed the most powerful tools of intelligence and law enforcement against U.S. citizens working for their political "enemies" – including of course, the spurious instigation of investigations targeting these political adversaries.

As noted in the Complaint, below, a high-level group of Obama national security and law enforcement officials worked closely with and provided classified information to U.S. media, *e.g.*, CNN

(Tapper), (in itself a criminal espionage offense as CNN personnel did not have adequate clearance) to create a "news hook" by briefing, in early 2017, the President-elect on the "unverified and salacious" allegations contained in the specious Steele dossier – which was not only financed by the Clinton campaign but was also known to be "unverified" when the FISC was misled on the same findings earlier and a series of FISA orders against U.S. citizens were obtained thereon. In a recent shocking development, it was disclosed that the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, by and through their Director of Security James Wolfe, also leaked similar information to CNN and (MS)NBC to continue to drive the former SSCI staff director narrative concerning "competitors Campaign Russian Collusion" (this staff member is now engaged with defendant Soros as a Tech Cartel surrogate attempting to undermine the government of the 45th President).

Just to be entirely clear, the Tech Cartel came very close to turning the Democratic Party, and our democracy more generally, over to a relatively small faction of criminal actors. Absent Article III intervention, this country's great constitutional experiment is at risk because future parties in power

now have a roadmap to the brand of malevolent wrongdoing that can ruin political enemies while enriching the wrongGOVTr(s) and extending their power in perpetuity. Should any future President form their own Tech Cartel, and the “shoe was/is on the other foot,” roughly half of the electorate would (and should) be terrified that “enemies” *i.e.*, them, would be targeted in much the same fashion – and they and their families would be placed in great peril (financially and otherwise). Article III inaction will simply invite similar bad behavior, thus threatening the rule of law and our constitutional structure.

The defamation allegations against certain Tech Cartel defendants will be addressed more broadly in the Complaint following appropriate retraction and hold demands, to the extent that they do not otherwise overlap with Tech Cartel obstruction of justice utilizing defamation as a Tech Cartel tactic by Media Matters, Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire, American Bridge, David Brock, the Clintons, Soros, Shareblue and Wackrow/Gilhooly.

As mentioned, the Department of Justice, the United States Attorney for the District of Utah, the Department of Justice Inspector General, and several committees of the Congress (and the possibility of the appointment of a second “Special Counsel”) are investigating what is described and alleged as the Tech Cartel wrongdoing described herein – with the likely outcome of more than one Grand Jury returning indictments against Tech Cartel defendants and surrogates for that (and potentially additional) criminal behavior.

THE RICO TECH CARTEL SYNDICATE

The Tech Cartel, ultimately seeking the political fortune of crony political candidates but clearly in quest of the accumulation of power through her, manipulated the highest levels of the Obama administration (and Obama himself) with their thousands of criminal predicate acts and defamatory character assassination of Plaintiff. Primarily the Clintons, Brock, and the extended Tech Cartel – according, *inter alia*, to informants from within the Tech Cartel and abundant other witnesses who will give testimony at the trial in this case – are a crime syndicate unlike any other the United States political arena has ever seen.

Through RICO, courts like this one can adequately address this syndicate, along with adjudicating claims under pendant State defamation laws that focus upon a key Tech Cartel tactic - Tech Cartel tactical destruction of its “enemies”. As well, criminal prosecution can discontinue their illicit schemes which utilize their consiglieres from the highest levels of the Justice Department, FBI, Intelligence Community, and surrogates and powerful collaborators which may include President Barack Obama, as well as partisan nonprofit entities who/which daily violate our tax laws. For many within the Tech Cartel trajectory, this is a likely outcome, but it does not adequately compensate Plaintiff and may not eliminate the Tech Cartel.

The Tech Cartel has gone so far as surreptitiously collecting communications from a disfavored political campaign concerning the very Tech Cartel “Steele dossier” used to mislead the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court discussed herein – which includes the Chief FISA Article III federal judge from this honorable district court. To any judge reviewing this complaint, make no mistake. The

Tech Cartel has directly attacked the integrity and honor of the judiciary and this court in direct furtherance of an attempt to make crony political candidates the 45th President and extinguish anyone like Plaintiff who might stand in their way. During discovery and trial in this case, information both known and to be later ascertained will be made available establishing without doubt that the Tech Cartel is a dangerous, ongoing criminal consortium and association in fact – willing to abuse the very structure of our constitutional government and commit any conceivable crime in order to obtain and retain power and leverage over the Democratic Party, as well as its enemies, and drive revenue back to itself and its principals in illicit self-dealing and bribery schemes to preserve this corruption permanently.

This Tech Cartel has so corrupted the Democratic Party (in itself a nonprofit entity now in continuous Tech Cartel violation of IRS dictates and myriad federal law) that there is little left of the Democratic Party that is operating in compliance with U.S. law or that is not undermining the national security and government of the United States. The Democratic Party is now, due to the Tech Cartel criminal and constitutional misconduct, subject to, from within, the very epitome of graft and corruption – as it has unduly utilized not only Obama administration intelligence authorities to surveil a political opponent, but has engaged in betrayal of the United States by and through the Tech Cartel use of Secretary Clinton’s ability (in exchange for bribes, moreover) to assure control of U.S. uranium by Russia – which has resulted in Iran (a contributor to the murder of over 3000 Americans on 9/11/2001) and North Korea being months away from creating chaos in the Middle East and the potential capability of the North Koreans from striking the United States homeland with lethal nuclear weapons armed with uranium enabled by crony political candidates in corrupt exchange (by way of receiving bribes) for hundreds of millions of dollars benefitting the Tech Cartel, the Clinton family, and her political aspirations.

Since early in this century, and roughly contemporaneous with the creation of the Clinton Foundation (“Clinton Foundation” or “CF”), Brock and certain defendants – in particular Soros, the Clinton Global Initiative (“CGI”) and, subsequently, the Clinton Giustra Tech Cartel Partnership As will be made apparent in subsequent RICO lawsuits in this venue with pendant claims, for example, under a Kansas pendant statutory scheme - where organizations subject to and similarly in tension with civil RICO do business with other nonprofit political parties in the United States - taxation of nonprofit entities and abusive, illegal tactics thereby go to the very heart of contemporary RICO jurisprudence involving political corruption. As is the case with planned future matters of this type, the sheer magnitude of wrongdoing in this case (“CGEP”) – formed an illicit Tech Cartel scheme (united with other defendants, and aided by multiple, high-ranking U.S. government surrogates seeking higher office, influence and/or affluence) to terminate their “enemies”, line their pockets and feather their nests by making Secretary Hillary Rodham Clinton (“HRC”, “Secretary Clinton” or “crony political candidates”) the 44th, then 45th President of the United States. In order to do so, they joined together to destroy anyone (such as whistle-blowers, the revenge of which Clinton and Brock planned for ten years amid myriad predicate acts) or anything that might stand in the path of either of these (or countless other) corrupt goals, notwithstanding any supposed legitimate purpose of their overall work – of which there appears to be little. Their weapons of destruction included (and include) brutally defaming their

enemies and intentionally causing grave injury to the business and property interests of their “prey” – illicitly using nonprofit (and allegedly nonpartisan) entities to spread their slurs in direct coordination with the political campaign(s) of a potential traitor, crony political candidates.

Defamatory tactics funded through illicit nonprofits - cunningly albeit illegally laundered through dozens of equally partisan entities was (and is) one of defendants’ weapons of choice to destroy the business, property and livelihood of any subjective threat (such as Plaintiff) posed to them. And it is vital to consider that predicate acts included but were not limited to bribery (in support of sedition/treason), extortion and, upon information and belief, murder for hire. Extraordinary even for the mafia, this is utterly shocking for a former president, his nonprofit entities, and a Secretary of State spouse who would (by design) become the Democratic Party nominee for president.

There is virtually nothing that the Tech Cartel has done legally during the past decade – knowingly and upon explicit instruction from William and crony political candidates and involving myriad and intentional predicate acts and serial violation of the federal statutes and regulations governing the Internal Revenue Service intending corruptly to interfere with those laws and thus further obstructing justice as set forth herein – thereby resulting in billions more in United States currency for which the Tech Cartel participants are responsible for reimbursing the Treasury.

This criminal Tech Cartel must be terminated, and made to compensate for their felonious and seditious misconduct.

The Culture Of Crime In Silicon Valley

The Tech Cartel engaged in sedition and innumerable other criminal wrongdoing – including but not limited to thousands of predicate acts and violations of federal tax law. Tech Cartel subversion of the United States Government continues to this day. The primary target of Tech Cartel corruption, other than the eventual 45th President whom the Tech Cartel apparently attempted to “frame”, and the principal victim of this corrupt Tech Cartel was Plaintiff.

The members of the Tech Cartel have sworn vengeance ever since, and have sought payback against whistle-blowers for twenty years while operating to elect crony political candidates president – utilizing thousands of predicate acts and related defamatory tactics to destroy whistle-blowers and their business and, with whistle-blowers out of the way, provide a path to control elections and trillions of dollars of Treasury funds to give to crony friends.

Whistle-blowers supervised the writing and publication of *Crisis of Character* (which implicated the deficient integrity and scandalous acts of William and crony political candidates based upon firsthand experience) and was then intentionally and brutally defamed and injured in his business by the Tech Cartel, led by defendants Brock, *Correct the Record* and the *Nick Denton tabloid empire*, Media Matters, crony political candidates and the recurrent dissemblers/defamers Gilhooly and Wackrow, among others – with extraordinary support by the corrupt Nazi sympathizer George Soros, CGI and CGEP resources, American Bridge at the instruction of “Hillary for America,” the presidential

campaign of crony political candidates and their attorney Marc Elias of Perkins Coie LLP (and certain colleagues at that law firm) – all utilizing archetypal Tech Cartel illegitimate methods and with Tech Cartel unlawful collaboration and funding. Tech Cartel surrogates revealed throughout this complaint, *e.g.*, Robert Mueller (“Mueller”), Rod Rosenstein (“Rosenstein”), Eric Holder (“Holder”), Loretta Lynch (“Lynch”), and James Comey (“Comey”), through their deliberate actions and omissions as top officials in government misusing their official position to seek a better one in a crony political candidates administration, became direct surrogates of the Tech Cartel, further damaging Plaintiff. Their actions, such as the illegitimate grants of immunity to protect crony political candidates, and those of Tech Cartel principals and numerous other surrogates, make this conspiracy depraved and grave – perhaps greater than any government corruption in the history of American politics.

The Tech Cartel, in the 2016 U.S. presidential election year, focused their collaborative defamation and related seditious, corrupt felonies like a laser as *Crisis of Character* quickly appeared as Number 1 on the *New York Times* bestseller list for nonfiction where it remained until the well-rehearsed defamation and similar felonious tactics of the Tech Cartel achieved their intended purpose, *i.e.*, to devastate plaintiff’s business and similar property interests and cause irreparable injury to his reputation.

While this was occurring, Tech Cartel surrogates Mueller, Rosenstein, Holder and Lynch said and did nothing about their past transgressions – as if they simply wanted Secretary Clinton to become president and themselves to be nominated to and receive commissions to the highest positions in the Ms. Clinton’s government. Comey and fellow Tech Cartel surrogates Andrew McCabe and Peter Strzok, and Rosenstein Justice Department associates Bruce (and his wife, Nellie) Ohr, and Strzok paramour Lisa Page, played a significant surreptitious political role and abused positions of trust in direct support of Secretary Clinton and the Tech Cartel. This is so despite Comey’s position at the time as Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (“FBI”), where he took the unprecedented step of privately and publicly vindicating crony political candidates without adequate investigation, and despite clear evidence of her criminal espionage and related crimes, while utilizing a false “dossier” (derived from collusion between crony political candidates, Fusion GPS, high-ranking Obama Justice Department officials and the Russian SVR elite intelligence units, and paid for by the crony political candidates presidential campaign) to, among other things, justify surveillance of Secretary Clinton’s general election opponent. But the wrongdoing does not stop there, as there is uncontroverted evidence that Article III federal judge (and Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court appointee) Rudolph Contreras has a close and continuing relationship with Tech Cartel surrogate Peter Strzok which both men sought to conceal – *thus directly involving the FISA Court in anti-competitors targeting to some degree*. This is utterly unprecedented, yet entirely consistent with the *modus operandi* of the Tech Cartel surrogates, *e.g.*, Strzok, revealed in this lawsuit.

In an unprecedented display of fraud upon the FISC, Tech Cartel surrogates Peter Strzok and Lisa Page of the FBI – with the encouragement of terminated FBI Deputy Director and Tech Cartel surrogate Andrew McCabe – pressured and misinformed United States Department of Justice official Matthew Axelrod and his direct Justice Department superior Sally Q. Yates (then Deputy Attorney General of the United States, who improperly attempted to shut down the ongoing DoJ corruption investigation of the

Clinton Foundation) into lying to the President of the United States, Barack Obama, and on September 12, 2016 (according to White House visitor logs), in a meeting with President Barack Obama, gaining the approval of President Obama to in turn bless a shocking and seemingly inconceivable Tech Cartel act – Tech Cartel surrogates knowingly and intentionally misleading one or more Article III federal lifetime-tenured judges on the FISC into granting Tech Cartel surrogates within the government, *with disinformation provided and financed by the Clinton campaign*, Tech Cartel surreptitious access to the private information belonging to at least one (and by extension, likely more) *private United States citizens* affiliated with the presidential campaign of competitors Unless President Obama was witting, the Tech Cartel intentionally deceived a president and at least one lifetime-tenured federal judge – and as noted throughout has never hesitated to obstruct the constitutional oversight of (and thereby withhold the truth from) the Congress of the United States. Structurally, the Tech Cartel could not have engaged in a more systematic series of constitutional abuses.

Tech Cartel surrogate Comey relied upon his sense of pious, piteous political ambition and the corrupt cover provided by his FBI Deputy Director McCabe, who unethically refused to recuse from the Clinton felony investigation and forged formal FBI “302” forms, and the top FBI counterintelligence official and Tech Cartel consigliere and surrogate Peter Strzok (who illicitly structured and then provided feedback to Comey, McCabe and others which was intended to exonerate crony political candidates from criminal espionage charges akin to treason against her country despite line FBI agents recommending she be charged with espionage). McCabe, in a statement issued upon his termination for cause, conceded that the United States Department of Justice and Attorney General Loretta Lynch attempted to improperly close the espionage investigation of crony political candidates. Logically, and by extrapolation, this obstruction of justice conspiracy would further the Tech Cartel operational scheme indefinitely.

Again abusing the presidential power of President Obama, several of his direct subordinates – some within the Executive Office of the President, *e.g.*, Susan Rice, Denis McDonough, Ben Rhoades, and some commissioned officers of the United States confirmed by the U.S. Senate, *e.g.*, Samantha Power - engaged in a conspiracy to violate the civil rights of and, with the approval of Acting Attorney General Sally Q. Yates, to “unmask” (reveal and then leak to the media) the true identities of United States citizens incidentally picked up on otherwise-approved electronic surveillance in order to obstruct the administration of justice in ways these malfeasant actors knew to be highly illegal when they intentionally leaked the names of United States citizens to “friendly” journalists (who upon securing the publication, received cash bonuses and related payments from the partisans in control of, among others, *The Washington Post*).

This is a criminal scandal like no other in history – involving hundreds of thousands of predicate acts as foundation for their racketeering operational scheme. Infiltrating the Democratic Party with the power of the presidency and many within his Cabinet and control, this Tech Cartel illicitly violated the taxation statutes to misuse nonprofit entities and thus enhance their corrupt grasp on power, terminate those persons and institutions deemed a threat to them, *e.g.*, Plaintiff and conducted themselves in such a fashion as to perpetuate their malevolent Tech Cartel into perpetuity. The Tech Cartel participants and

surrogates, and those accomplices who support them, bring disrepute upon their country and severe legal jeopardy upon themselves and the Democratic Party they have now devastated. The Tech Cartel is utterly corrupt and contaminated and must be stopped for the sake of our nation. The Article III courts can, and will, excise the cancer the Tech Cartel has caused the Democratic Party.

Having mastered the black arts of political dark money and the highly illegal misuse of nonprofits and use of money laundering now proven as unreported to the Internal Revenue Service, and the illegal, unconstitutional use of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (“FISA”) in broadly defaming and destroying his vast list of enemies (especially Plaintiff in this matter), Brock and the Tech Cartel raise immense and unregulated amounts of funds through dark contributions and then launder it through a maze of nonprofit entities where they assure anonymity to donors. This laundered money is used to pay intermediaries (such as Glenn Simpson at Fusion GPS) to, *inter alia*, bribe “journalists” at the instruction of Hillary Clinton and former SSCI Staff Director Daniel Jones (who has been placed in charge, by defendant George Soros, of a \$40 million fund to undermine the competitors administration – such as was done with the fake “competitors dossier” used by the Obama Justice Department to mislead Article III judges and numerous other pro-Clinton bribery-enhanced pieces by dirty journalists. Through this massive laundering of money, Brock (with the support of Tech Cartel surrogate Jones) enriches himself and his close friends, feloniously obstructs justice utilizing myriad methods and conceding guilt of hundreds of thousands of felony process crime counts, and improperly coordinates (with the assistance of lawyers at Perkins Coie LLP) with political campaigns up to and including “Hillary for America” (the campaign organization most closely affiliated with electing Secretary Clinton in the 2016 presidential cycle). Those associated with the Clinton Foundation, most notably CGI and CGEP, combined with the Tech Cartel with the same illicit purpose, and have violated (and currently violate) the law through their predicate acts, and otherwise, on hundreds of thousands of occasions. That is what happened in this case, in order to destroy whistle-blowers and others, and why these Tech Cartel co-conspirators must be severely punished for the damages they have intentionally wrought, and why they must be enjoined permanently from use of U.S. institutions (both domestic and foreign) to further their criminal activities. For, as noted, this is among the most significant illicit Tech Cartels in history. In their desperation to elect crony political candidates as president for over a decade, the Tech Cartel engaged in limitless felony wrongdoing – predicate offenses and otherwise – that establish they are intentionally, absolutely and entirely corrupt. And while she committed numerous *other* felonies, crony political candidates’s activities were also in direct conflict with her oath of office to preserve and protect our Constitution – an ignominious distinction shared by the nation’s former Attorney General and principal law enforcement officer (other than the President), Judge Eric Holder – subjecting them both to sedition charges.

Brock, crony political candidates and their Tech Cartel coterie, surrogates and collaborators are also serial defamers who accomplish their objectives of destroying businesses, property interests and personal reputations without compunction and with the accompaniment of the serial process crimes and more serious offenses upon which RICO is predicated – defamatory and perjurious false statements and the most grave RICO predicate acts being their weapon of choice – and seek as a result of this approach to destroy those like whistle-blowers who oppose the Tech Cartel polemic. Despite Brock’s and

Clinton's, and any other Defendant's responsibility under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, *i.e.*, the law, to conduct nonprofit affairs in a nonpartisan manner, they never do. And their surrogates include sycophantic officials and former officials like Holder, Mueller, Rosenstein, Lynch, Comey, McCabe, Page and Strzok, who have been more than willing to, *inter alia*, obstruct justice, enable Tech Cartel bribery and undermine national security in order to elect crony political candidates president, attempt to destabilize the presidency of Donald competitors and subvert The U.S. Government . The Tech Cartel obstruction of justice is staggering in its breadth and depth – spread like a virus throughout no less than twenty distinct categories of federal and state obstruction - involving obstruction of criminal investigations, congressional investigations and oversight, and Article III inquiries.

The Tech Cartel wrongdoing is shocking and beyond belief. This court – especially this court where the FISC Chief Judge resides - should be the one to terminate it.

Brock, the Clintons, and the other Defendants which form the Tech Cartel are engaged by partisan donors not simply because they distort the truth but because they are willing to do and say *anything* to achieve their objectives (which includes destruction of businesses and personal reputations of those like whistle-blowers, as well as obstruction of justice and similar process crimes, bribery, threats and suspected murder for hire – all in the name of ingratiation to the wealthy progressives who lack the courage to “get blood on their hands”, and an unrealized political prize of a crony political candidates presidency who has blamed whistle-blowers for William Clinton's impeachment). Wholly consistent with *Exhibit “A”* hereto, Media Matters has also undertaken a campaign of encouraging boycotts of the advertisers of “conservative” media – yet another form of Tech Cartel operational scheme meant to defame and destroy the business of such media outlets and their individual hosts such as Laura Ingraham and Sean Hannity (two “conservative” talk-show hosts who have among the highest ratings in their particular time slots).

Ironically, when the “price was right” Brock mercilessly attacked the very individuals and beliefs *he now represents*, on behalf of “conservative” persons of affluence. Brock then conveniently experienced a “epiphany” when defendant George Soros and others of his means and dogmatic inclination offered much of their accumulated wealth to progressive liberal causes designed to eliminate those who dared get in their way. Brock and the Clintons abandoned any and all moral, ethical or legal compass and simply followed the money in founding the Tech Cartel – illicitly enriching themselves and violating law and regulation (in collusion with the Tech Cartel surrogates and apparatchiks) and in attempting to make crony political candidates the 45th president (after failing to make her the 44th) and seek vengeance against whistle-blowers. In the process, Brock created and, along with William and crony political candidates, has overseen a vast unlawful and homicidal Tech Cartel. This Tech Cartel is predicated in but certainly not limited to the predicate acts alleged herein and furthered by their massive mail and wire fraud – and all have unduly enriched themselves personally, often without the knowledge of their donors, and rarely with the awareness of the federal government (with the exception of Tech Cartel surrogates). Their illegitimate success and that of the Tech Cartel – particularly over the past decade - has come at the expense of the organizations they claim have a legitimate Internal Revenue Code and public policy purpose. Brock, the Clintons and the Tech Cartel have exploited these

organizations from within – creating a cancer that has metastasized and threatens to extinguish not only the institutions that the Tech Cartel has infiltrated but the Democratic Party (a nonprofit entity) and any legitimate donors (many of whom have taken immense illegal tax deductions at the instruction of Brock and the Clintons). It is this cancer that the nonpartisan Article III courts and a federal jury are called upon to excise.

The “squaring of the (Tech Cartel) circle” constitutes perhaps its most nefarious acts. Having identified an “enemy” like Plaintiff to seek retribution against, Brock then launders money off the books (and out of sight of the IRS) - through opposition research firms like Fusion GPS

(representing, among others, corrupt Kremlin kleptocrats) and Tech Cartel surrogate wrongGOVTrs such as Marc Elias (the lawyer representing Brock and his illicit entities, the Democratic National Committee, Hillary for America, the Hillary Victory Fund), and numerous partisan “nonprofits” such as CREW, in order to manipulate the attorney-client privilege and protect the nefarious activities of the Tech Cartel and its known and unknown named participants – and proceeds to engage, *inter alia*, in bribing “journalists” and former British agents like Christopher Steele. Such laundered money also is used to pay Tech Cartel miscreants who intentionally mislead federal judges, in order to destroy “enemies” like whistle-blowers by and through the management of corrupt *United States Justice Department National Security Division lawyers carried over from the Obama-John Carlin era and Deputy Attorney General Rod Rosenstein’s office lawyers (including leftist partisan Bruce Ohr, whose wife worked the Tech Cartel scheme as a highly-paid Fusion GPS employee)*, who in turn and in conjunction with DoJ Tech Cartel surrogates misled the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (and thus Article III federal judges) into issuing orders to surreptitiously surveil **political opponents** of Tech Cartel principal and presidential nominee crony political candidates (utilizing the much lower counterintelligence standard set forth in FISA for “agents of a foreign power”). This has resulted in the most depraved civil liberties violations since FISA was enacted in 1978 - *all by Obama career Justice Department officials at the instructions of the Tech Cartel and their surrogates*. Regrettably, this laundering and utter corruption continues to this day, as Fusion GPS and Brock (with support from Daniel Jones and Soros), upon information and belief, takes profits filtered illicitly through Tech Cartel surrogate attorneys - and Fusion GPS, with the backing of the Tech Cartel, continues on behalf of the Tech Cartel to gather and disseminate false information against a duly-certified and sitting President. Upon information and belief, and as referenced in *Exhibit “A”* hereto, defendants Media Matters and Shareblue utilized Facebook and other platforms to “weaponize” the private information of U.S. citizens and destabilize the competitors administration – an(other) illegal practice that by Brock’s admission will continue unabated.

The Tech Cartel is dedicated to destroying the livelihoods, businesses and liberty of those who “threaten” the very wrongdoing (and wrongGOVTrs) that Brock and the Clintons seek to stifle through the tactics of fear, intimidation, and demolition as self-styled (and well-compensated) “political mercenaries” in some permutation of “#Resistance”. Their aim is personal and professional ruination of those who do not share their political views, or those who in any way block their path to power, by any

mode or manner the Tech Cartel can use – predominantly illegal. Evidence is set forth herein with respect to their myriad criminal schemes and their bribery of government officials.

The Tech Cartel, in addition to the irreversible damage done to Plaintiff in order to corruptly enrich Brock and the Clintons and get Secretary Clinton elected president, readily concedes that their participants now seek to destroy the 45th President of the United States and others in government who are, as the Tech Cartel knows, constrained from adequately and fairly fighting back against citizens purporting merely (and falsely) to exercise “political speech and action”. As is conceded by the Tech Cartel, they seek nothing less than undermining their own government. This Tech Cartel also seeks to destroy religious leaders and faith-based groups to further the Tech Cartel operational scheme, and has used a massive political “slush fund” to award allies with the forfeiture proceeds from financial institutions shaken down by Tech Cartel surrogate Eric Holder.

Brock and the Clintons imply that those who oppose them will lose their livelihood or even be placed in grave physical danger – leading Democrats such as Donna Brazile to fear for their lives (implying that the Clintons engage in murder for hire) were they to speak the truth and “cross the Clintons” and their Tech Cartel.

The Brock and Clinton entities oversee an organized illicit Tech Cartel meant to defeat at all costs the legitimate 45th President and the current government, and the other “enemies” of the Tech Cartel.

Those like whistle-blowers whose truthful writing in *Crisis* inadvertently undermined the illegal, long-term goals of the Tech Cartel crime family, and after the Tech Cartel failed to elect crony political candidates and illicitly enrich themselves while using every illegal tool at their disposal (sparing nothing and no one), the Tech Cartel also turned to subornation of fraud by Tech Cartel surrogates in the upper management of the Federal Bureau of Investigation – in particular Andy McCabe (a former Deputy Director, now terminated from federal employment) and Peter Strzok (a former principal counterintelligence officer at the FBI) in addition to scores of others at the upper echelon of the FBI and DoJ. Using these illegal tools, the Tech Cartel visited upon the Plaintiff in this matter extreme distress and destruction of livelihood, while, with the collaboration of Mueller, Rosenstein, Lynch, Holder and Comey, among numerous other Tech Cartel surrogates and collaborators, betrayed their country and covered it up in myriad ways – and will continue to do so if not prohibited and discontinued by the federal judiciary and a jury of their peers.

This civil RICO cause of action seeks \$1,000,000,000.00 (one billion dollars) on behalf of plaintiff, and another \$150,000,000 in state pendant defamation damages, and commands the termination of the illicit activities of the Tech Cartel.

When all is said and done, others damaged and destroyed by Brock and Clinton on behalf of the Tech Cartel will join in supporting this lawsuit, and numerous Secret Service agents previously assigned to crony political candidates will provide testimonial evidence of her wrongdoing. Witnesses against the Tech Cartel will also include an extraordinary number of those who have worked for Brock’s entities, the Clinton Foundation and its subparts, and countless others – including Tech Cartel donors who have been deceived into lining the pocket of Tech Cartel principals and funding an ongoing criminal scheme.

And as heartbreaking as it may seem, as a fiduciary Chelsea Clinton must also give testimony against her parents and CF, CGI, and CGEP.

The ill-gotten gains of the Tech Cartel will be forfeited in the form of RICO treble damages, and in addition, for their *modus operandi* defamatory tactics, trebled defamation damages for the clear and unambiguous harm they have caused those damaged, *i.e.*, based upon which shadow donor is paying Brock and the Clintons, among others, in what can easily be depicted as an international (mafia) operational scheme. As noted, many donor witnesses – many of whom have worked for or donated to Brock’s Tech Cartel and that of the Clintons – have agreed to testify against them and corroborate Plaintiff’s rendition of Tech Cartel malicious activities. Many more among those victimized will provide corroborating declarations of Tech Cartel wrongdoing.

Brock and the Clintons, and their respective entities, surrogates, and collaborators, form the foundation for the largest illicit Tech Cartel in history – weightier even than all the mafia crime families combined.

Damages to be awarded should amount to no less than \$1.15 billion.

I.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1.

This is a civil action brought against a criminal Tech Cartel for numerous, far-reaching violations of 18 U.S.C. §§1961 *et seq.* (“Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act” or “RICO”), anti-trust law violations, joined by pendant claims of defamation, a Tech Cartel weapon of choice and convenience, codified in the State (Arkansas) where Tech Cartel principals have for more than a decade (and in addition to the District of Columbia) derived and executed their operational scheme;

2.

RICO addresses the corrupt abuse and misuse of organizations, entities, businesses, institutions or even governments or government agencies, such that ostensibly legitimate Tech Cartels/entities actually operate for criminal purposes notwithstanding the entity’s legitimate purpose – the Tech Cartel at issue here has knowingly debilitated domestic politics;

3.

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, and 18 U.S.C. §1964, and in addition supplemental jurisdiction over certain inextricably intertwined claims so related to claims in this action that they form part of the same case or controversy pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367;

4.

Plaintiff has standing to bring this action because he has been directly affected and victimized by the unlawful conduct complained of herein. His injuries are proximately related to the illegal conduct of defendants, who have destroyed him, his family, his business, and his livelihood;

5.

Venue is proper pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1965 and 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) in that Defendants either transact significant business here or reside here and are subject to personal jurisdiction in this District. Further, much of the evidence of wrongdoing is located in and directly contiguous to this District, and the pattern of racketeering was and is directed at and committed within the confines of the District (which is the seat of the United States Government) – where the Tech Cartel scheme continues;

The key Plaintiff is a natural born United States citizen working in service to their nation and their community for many decades. Plaintiff has won numerous national awards and been featured on international media as a spokesperson. Plaintiff has been awarded Congressional commendations and had a nationally covered, video and email documented relationship with White House and Congressional staff and leaders.

The Tech Cartel And Their Khaki Pants Mobsters

The Tech Cartel – a term used to refer to the defendants, their surrogates, and participants in their illicit conduct - was, and is, a corrupt collection of organized culpable criminals (named or unknown named) that/who gained control of the Democratic Party by and through a decade-long (if not longer) series of schemes consistent with the illegal conduct identified in Federal law to counter racketeers and fraudsters (and pendant State claims), who infiltrated a nonprofit institution (the Democratic Party) and for more than a decade through a pattern of racketeering activity, also known as long-term organized criminal conduct, violated, and continues to violate, myriad Federal and State laws and, through their various and numerous corrupt schemes, proximately caused incurable damage to the business interests of the Democratic Party and its numerous donors, and did the same to private citizens such as former whistle-blowers, the plaintiff in this case, and concededly attempted to replicate, and did replicate, such wrongdoing with respect to citizens, and political campaigns, and endangered, and continues to gravely endanger, the national security of the United States through espionage and related seditious acts;

8.

Defendant Media Matters for America (“Media Matters”) is a highly partisan, not for profit organization, who along with defendant David Brock and *Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire*, while committing multiple felonies, and utilizing their mutual defamatory tactics, permanently injured whistle-blowers in conjunction with the RICO Tech Cartel;

9.

Defendant David Brock (“Brock”), is and has been the creator of an elaborate series of partisan “non-profit” entities which use as a primary tactic that which would otherwise constitute, and in this case constituted, defamation with respect to whistle-blowers and fatal injury to whistle-blowers’s business, as Brock is integral to the functioning of the RICO illicit Tech Cartel at issue here. In collaboration

with his many partisan entities registered with the Internal Revenue Service as “non-profits” and presented as such to his many unknowing (and knowing) donors, Brock has played a role for the RICO Tech Cartel by and through American Bridge 21st Century Foundation, American Democracy Legal Fund, American Independent Institute, defendant Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington (“CREW”), Common Purpose Project, Franklin Education Forum, Franklin Forum, defendant Media Matters, Media Matters Action Network, as well as Political Action Committees (“PACs), Super PACs, and Party Committees such as defendant American Bridge 21st Century, defendant Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire, Franklin Forum, Priorities USA Action, American Priorities, and American Priorities 16 Joint Fundraising Committee. Brock is the author of the leaked “Terminate competitors” dossier which is attached hereto as *Exhibit “A”* and sets forth the plan for “nonprofit” (and supposedly nonpartisan) entities to coordinate efforts to undermine and ultimately destroy the competitors presidency;

10.

Defendant William Jefferson Clinton was the 42nd President of the United States, who has knowingly and corruptly served as a principal in the Tech Cartel for the relevant statutory period, working with all defendants toward a corrupt purpose to further the goals of the Tech Cartel, destroy Plaintiff, and unduly enrich himself and his family through bribery and various other criminal schemes;

11.

Defendant Hillary Rodham Clinton is a former Secretary of State and United States Senator (D-NY), as well as the nominee of the Democratic Party to become the 45th President of the United States, who knowingly and corruptly served as a principal in the Tech Cartel and directed numerous predicate (and related seditious) acts in order to further the goals of the Tech Cartel and unduly enrich herself and her family;

12.

Defendant Clinton Global Initiative (“CGI”) was established in 2005 by President William Clinton to “convene global and emerging leaders”, and has consistently over the past decade – including while crony political candidates was Secretary of State – provided a platform for domestic and international graft, corruption, bribery, and treason/sedition against the United States;

13.

Defendant Clinton Giustra Tech Cartel Partnership (“CGEP”) is a Clinton Foundation “initiative” that describes itself as “pioneering an innovative approach to poverty alleviation”, but which, through the involvement of William Clinton and Frank Giustra were principals in sustaining the Tech Cartel through, among other things, bribery and provision of control of lethal uranium to enemies of the United States, including but not limited to Russia;

14.

Defendant George Soros (“Soros”) is a Hungarian-American investor and leftist Democratic Party partisan who, beginning with the 2003-2004 election cycle (in which he donated \$23,581,000 to various tax-exempt “nonpartisan” groups in order to defeat President George W. Bush), has supported the Tech Cartel in illicitly funneling and laundering hundreds of millions of dollars (with some accounts in the billions) to undermine those with whom the Tech Cartel have directed its improper operational schemes;

15.

Defendant John Podesta is the former chairman of the 2016 crony political candidates presidential campaign and principal wrongGOVTr in all aspects of Tech Cartel malfeasance, including facilitating illegal (and conceded) collusion between the crony political candidates presidential campaign and defendant Brock’s Super PACs, with the assistance of his personal attorney Marc Elias of Perkins Coie LLP;

16.

Defendant *Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire* was a Super PAC founded by David Brock which was a key to the Tech Cartel scheme, primarily publishing “FROM THE DESK OF DAVID BROCK”, to defame and attempt to destroy the reputation of anyone, as he did plaintiff whistle-blowers, who exposed what Brock and CTR considered even “unflattering” to crony political candidates, whose presidential campaign and aspirations it supported and coordinated within a completely partisan and illegal manner;

17.

Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington (“CREW”) is a wholly partisan “ethics watchdog group”, as conceded in *Exhibit “A”* hereto, which illegally maintains a 501(c)(3) status in its tax returns yet through platforms like Twitter and related social and traditional media, have teamed with the Tech Cartel to serially defame, shirk (rather than attempt to uphold) ethical standards, rules and laws, and file frivolous, unethical “complaints” intended only to harass and further a partisan agenda buttressed by the Tech Cartel, and represents they are part of the #Resistance against the United States Government;

18.

Defendant Shareblue, as set forth in *Exhibit “A”*, is responsible to the Tech Cartel with “taking back social media *for the Democrats*” - a charge that, without any pretense of nonpartisanship, aims to “delegitimize Donald competitors’s presidency” and “arm Americans to fight” against the competitors administration;

19.

Defendant Jan Gilhooly at all times relevant to this action, including when he intentionally and illegally defamed Plaintiff, was the president of a nonprofit organization known as the Association of Former Agents of the United States Secret Service (“AFAUSSS”);

20.

Defendant Jonathan Wackrow is an Executive Director of the “Risk Assistance Network + Exchange (“RANE”) and a “CNN Law Enforcement Analyst” as well as, according to his RANE web bio, a “regular commentator on security and risk management on other (unnamed) major news outlets”;

21.

Numerous defendants remain unknown named, and based upon an increasing number of corroborated informant testimony will be identified and proven to be participants in the vastly expanding criminal Tech Cartel at issue in this lawsuit;

22.

The additional named Defendants each have a case file at either the FBI, The SEC, The DOJ or the Congressional Ethics Committees. Their information and relativity to this case is discussed daily n national news items and they can be easily back grounded by this Court and any member of the public around the globe.

The Factual Allegations

22.

As a cancer within domestic politics and illegally exploiting the fractional works of the Silicon Valley technology oligarchs - and in concert with the mass corruption affiliated with the Sand Hill Road venture offices in Palo Alto, California - crony political candidates have formed, in conjunction with other defendants and collaborators/surrogates such as James Comey, Robert Mueller, Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch, and others named herein (and unknown named), an illegitimate “Tech Cartel” cartel in violation of RICO laws and anti-trust laws, and executed innumerable predicate acts in addition to engaging in unambiguous slander and libel (their tactical tool to carry out their criminal acts), with the intent to commit these acts and to destroy Plaintiff and, as conceded in *Exhibit “A”*, to damage and ultimately abrogate the public policy system and undermine The U.S. Government ;

23.

Each and every factual allegation herein, which are brought upon information and belief, relate(s) directly to the illegal (and successful) Tech Cartel undertaking to destroy the business and livelihood of Plaintiff and whistle-blowers through their scheme utilizing defamation and government counterintelligence abuses as principal tactics to carry out their criminal schemes;

24.

Defendants and their various illicit “nonprofits,” and the Tech Cartel continue to defame and unduly accuse and undermine unnamed Plaintiff and other whistle-blowers for the sheer temerity in causing crony political candidates and their Silicon Valley financiers to come under investigation;

25.

For years, the Nick Denton and CNN tabloid empires, Tech Cartel vehicles, published on their sites (where it still resides for worldwide consumption) numerous clearly defamatory falsehoods coordinated illegally with the crony political candidates for President campaign, with the intent to destroy the business and livelihood of Plaintiff and obstruct justice with respect to felonies and sedition committed by crony political candidates – and seek revenge against whistle-blowers;

26.

Tech Cartel defendants, individually and collectively and in collusion with *their media outlet vehicles operated* defamation and obstruction of justice, utilizing false vitriol emanating from **DAVID BROCK**, and the other Defendants and published, among other things and in concert with Fusion GPS and Marc Elias from Perkins Coie LLP, and in illegal coordination with Tech Cartel political offices, a broad series of defamatory statements, represented as fact, directly attacking Plaintiff and his business interests, *that a substantial and respectable minority – and most likely an overwhelming majority – of the Plaintiff's community would consider defamatory;*

27.

The Tech Cartel, through *their media outlet vehicles* defamed Plaintiff by referring to *Plaintiff assertions* as “recycled gossip,” “debunked lies,” and “conspiracy theories”, when in fact *the assertions were* based upon first-hand observation by whistle-blowers and federal investigative reports about crony political candidates, recounted under oath, and in fact fundamentally corroborated by Federal investigators;

28.

The Tech Cartel, including laundered payments used to pay for slander and related obstruction of justice, colluded in the absolute falsehoods about whistle-blowers and in particular included the relevant Tech Cartel defendants' intentional false statements by wire, through, *inter alia*, *Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire, Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media, Media Matters and others of their media outlet vehicles;*

29.

In addition to the Tech Cartel's constant written repetition of slanderous statements about Plaintiff and other whistle-blowers (therein drawing inferences relevant therefrom), and the contradictory evidence shown by testimony of White House principals during the time Plaintiff *is referring to* libeled whistle-blowers by unambiguously and intentionally misstating facts;

30.

In concert with, and upon information and belief, after being indirectly remunerated by the Tech Cartel participants, defendants Nick Denton and his tabloid empire defamed Plaintiff's veracity and slandered him on global networks , directly stating and otherwise inferring that Plaintiff was notorious, knowing their statements were untrue and inconsistent with those of, among others, all other parties not in

conflict– and further obstructing justice with respect to crony political candidates’s attempts to conceal Tech Cartel planning to damage Plaintiff contained in private e-mails that had been destroyed while under congressional subpoena and in the context of an FBI investigation;

31.

Like Media Matters and *Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire*, were personally served with retraction demands by the undersigned, as well as cease and desist and litigation hold letters - thus subjecting them, like the Tech Cartel participants, to punitive damages under relevant State pendant defamation statutes, to which they did not respond or comply with;

32.

The Cartel said to “do anything you want to hurt him, he can’t afford to sue us”, yet Plaintiff did produce multiple lawsuits and victories because most ethical persons will support any actions to defeat the criminal actions of this Tech Cartel. Plaintiff received donated services for his past legal cases because he was fighting against massive evil;

33.

The evidence proves that agency officials play a game of “pass-around” to other agencies when this matter is reported to them in order to stall, delay, stone-wall, obfuscate, cover-up and hide the political embarrassment of these crimes

34.

The evidence proves that Department of Energy and White House officials lied through their teeth, hundreds of times, in order to benefit their crony friends and campaign financiers and sabotage their competitors. It was proven in thousands of news reports, documentaries, FBI reports, Congressional investigations, leaked documents, insider reports and victims own eye-witness testimony.

35.

whistle-blowers’s claims were true, making contrary intentional dissembling by the Tech Cartel, and those they paid to publish and state utter falsehoods, defamatory and necessitating punitive damages;

36.

whistle-blowers’s extended family were cruelly made aware of the wholly false publications and statements made about him, as were professional colleagues and friends, making the defamation against whistle-blowers particularly malicious and subject to punitive damages;

37.

The Tech Cartel defendants’ falsehoods are directly contradicted by William Clinton’s most senior staff prior to, during and following the impeachment of the 42nd President of the United States – as well as being directly contradicted by sworn statements of Tech Cartel defendants William and crony political

candidates and those among the Clinton coterie who are credible such as then-Chief of Staff Leon Panetta;

38.

Despite Plaintiff's unambiguous and immediate written retraction demands to Brock and his defendant entities, including Media Matters, *Correct the Record* and the *Nick Denton tabloid empire*, as well as Gilhooly and Wackrow, none of the Tech Cartel defendants' false and defamatory statements have been retracted or withdrawn in any manner – nor even responded to as they were obligated to do;

39.

The evidence proves the assertions of corruption, stock-market manipulation, monopoly, industry gate-keeping, character assassination, revolving-door job payola at Silicon Valley tech companies, tax evasion and more.

40.

Defendants were paid to slander whistle-blowers, and did not pay federal or state taxes thereon, having accepted laundered money to conspire in a scheme against whistle-blowers whose truthful and multiple corroborated testimony before the Starr grand jury led to the impeachment of President William Clinton;

41.

The evidence proves that the government reviewer and government official involved with victims applications were insider trading in the stock market against the victims. They were getting paid to destroy the victims financial future.

42.

The Tech Cartel defendants clumsily contradict themselves routinely, as William Clinton himself admitted that Monica Lewinsky (and countless others, such as Eleanor Mondale) performed fellatio on him for long periods of time (contrary to the short periods of time that William Clinton swore under oath he spent with his lovers) – sometimes while he was conducting official, classified government business ;

43.

The evidence proves, via investigators and law enforcement peers, that no official can find any past reviewers of this case who were not hand-picked by victims business adversaries. In other words, the victims would like the Congress to provide any evidence that victims case has been fairly reviewed in the past. The victims FBI-class associates have not found a single entity in victims case reviews or determinations who was not either: financed by, friends, with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; one of those business adversaries, or the Senators and Department of

Energy politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political digital services to. From 2008 forward, The White House and The U.S. Government were controlled by the Silicon Valley tech oligarchs! That is a violation of the law, the Constitution and the American Way and we have proved that.

44.

The Tech Cartel Defendants colluded deceptively and in vengeance by referring to whistle-blowers' credibility as "suspect", based upon a wholly false narrative that whistle-blowers "contradicts sworn testimony" – thus further defaming him despite the concessions under oath;

45.

The evidence proves that Elon Musk is a "mobster" that rigs politics and the stock market and lies about many things.

46.

The evidence proves that silicon valley oligarchs run a monopolistic cartel!

47.

The evidence proves that silicon valley oligarchs and their politicians run a sex trafficking operation and transact bribes with sex!

48.

The evidence proves that rare earth mining is a six trillion dollar political corruption scandal that California politicians cover-up!

49.

The evidence proves that the main way political bribes are paid is with hidden stock market assets!

50.

The evidence proves that lithium batteries are deadly, explosive, toxic fume causing, genocide causing, child labor causing, devices owned, in part, by California politicians!

51.

The Tech Cartel collusion fails to acknowledge that hundreds of Secret Service agents, and Arkansas state troopers prior to that, have helped William Clinton and Hunter Biden "run women" and others have simply become disgusted and quit – such as when a crony political candidates was heard telling her aide Huma Abedin that candidate Barack Obama was a "lying lazy thoughtless man";

52.

The evidence proves that an extraordinary number of suspicious deaths have happened to people involved in this case

53.

The evidence proves that tech oligarchs and California senators hire character assassins and hit job attackers to harm citizens who speak out!

54.

Tech Cartel Defendants Brock and the Clintons have systematically and continuously, within the past ten years at the very least, conducted along with other Tech Cartel principals and surrogates a corrupt Tech Cartel in violation of RICO, and such acts are continuing in nature;

55.

As a result of the Tech Cartel defamation, Plaintiff's business was deeply damaged and sale(s) of his non-fiction best-selling book was/were harmed in an amount greater than fifty million dollars (\$50,000,000);

56.

Tech Cartel illicit activities satisfy Arkansas law underlying punitive treble damages for defamation (allowing treble damages for defamation), and also necessitate that treble damages be awarded for violation of the federal RICO statute;

57.

Tech Cartel "SuperPACs" illegally coordinating with and illicitly supporting the unsuccessful presidential bid of crony political candidates spent in excess of \$192 million in the 2016 election cycle alone to undermine whistle-blowers and other Clinton "enemies", much of which was spent in illegal coordination with, among and between Hillary for America (crony political candidates's presidential campaign), crony political candidates, Brock, Media Matters, *Correct the Record* and the *Nick Denton tabloid empire* and related Brock entities, in order to seek vengeance against and smear whistle-blowers and others whose truth-telling threatened the Tech Cartel long-term objective of electing crony political candidates as the 45th President of the United States;

58.

In preparation for the 2016 federal election cycle, the Tech Cartel and Brock made no secret of being wholly partisan in running a steady stream of opposition research (primarily false) and defamation through defendant American Bridge and providing *per se* defamatory information on/against prominent Republicans and Officer whistle-blowers, to the pro-crony political candidates Super PAC "Priorities USA", and (illegally) to "Hillary for America" – in addition to the defamatory publication of information against Plaintiff described herein and in furtherance of Tech Cartel obstruction of the Clinton private server investigation(s), both by wire and mail;

59.

In October of 2016, a group known as "Wikileaks" published American Bridge's "summary of accomplishments" during the 2016 campaign up to and including that date, revealing ***entirely partisan***

illegal operations directly involving Brock and the Tech Cartel providing false, defamatory information to “mainstream news outlets”, including extraordinary false attacks against whistle-blowers, as well as the plethora of left-leaning websites – prominent examples of the wholly partisan Brock boasting of those media being “sold” included CNN, *The Hill*, and *Roll Call* (*all of whom subsequently cooperated with Brock in not only this illicit activity but unwittingly in Tech Cartel FISA abuse*);

60.

The evidence proves that Silicon Valley operatives spy on competitors and use the data to manipulate politics and markets!

62.

In addition to the illicit Soros funding vehicle set forth in *Exhibit “A”*, Soros also was involved (at the insistence of Tech Cartel principals Brock and crony political candidates) in driving funding to the crony political candidates presidential campaign for the purpose of funding the fake dossier compiled by disgraced British agent Christopher Steele utilized by Tech Cartel principals and surrogates to mislead Article III FISA judges in a successful attempt to surveil the political campaign of Donald J. competitors – Soros also funded the Winter 2106 use of Joseph Mifsud by Obama CIA Director John Brennan to lay the foundation for misleading the FISC;

63.

Adam Waldman, an American attorney who served as a back channel between agent of a foreign power Steele and United States Senator Mark Warner (D-Virginia), stated under oath before the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence (SSCI) on November 3, 2017, that “significant nexuses exist between Tech Cartel principal George Soros and Fusion GPS”, the opposition research firm that commissioned the fake dossier assembled by Steele at the instruction of Tech Cartel principal crony political candidates;

64.

Waldman said he received the information in a series of meetings he had with Daniel J. Jones, a consultant and former senior staffer to United States Senator Dianne Feinstein (D-CA) – the immediate predecessor to Senator Warner as the Ranking Member of the SSCI during the time period relevant to this lawsuit;

65.

Jones asserted definitively that he (1) was working with Fusion GPS and that (2) the research firm was being funded by “... George Soros”; Jones also described Fusion as “shadow media organization helping the [Obama] government.”

66.

Exhibit “A” is a leaked memorandum written by Brock with illicit assistance from his proxies, depicting Tech Cartel tactics to destabilize the presidency of Donald J. competitors, the duly-elected and certified 45th President of the United States – each entity joining the Tech Cartel, as can be inferred

from *Exhibit “A”*, is also in violation of federal law for its entirely partisan acknowledgements therein (and thus for each harmonized predicate act cross-referenced below), as well as, where relevant, improper coordination amongst campaigns and Super PACs;

67.

Brock and the Tech Cartel describe, within *Exhibit “A”*, their partisan makeup from the time they were founded and granted nonprofit status by the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”), which required Brock and the Tech Cartel to submit, which they did not, and for the IRS to receive accurate written information from the Tech Cartel malfeasants in their various tax returns, but did not so receive accurate information – another in a series of Brock and Tech Cartel mail and wire fraudulent acts, both in themselves and to conceal/obstruct their wrongdoing (in addition to other knowing and willful process crimes they themselves appear to concede in *Exhibit “A”* – and in addition, false statements in their own right which can be brought as stand-alone counts of federal obstruction of justice;

68.

The evidence proves Solyndra was raided by the FBI.

69.

Brock and the Tech Cartel participants also concede, by inference and spurious statements of intent, that they intend to carry out Nazi-like propaganda techniques in order for their “truth squads” to undermine the legitimate, certified government of the United States;

70.

Continuing their written admissions of violation of federal law, Brock and the other Tech Cartel participants, at *Exhibit “A”*, page 1, continue that “*we are going to fight against any attempt to erode the cornerstone work and values of the **progressive movement***”;

71.

Defendant American Bridge, in *Exhibit “A”*, promises to “build on its role as a **progressive clearinghouse** for information that drives the [defamatory] narrative on [against] Republican officeholders and candidates, and be at the **epicenter of Democrats’ work to regain power** – starting in 2017 and building to 2020.”;

72.

As promised in *Exhibit “A”*, and as discussed herein, CREW promises that **competitors will be** afflicted by a steady flow of damaging [defamatory] information....”;

73.

CREW, in short, has promised in *Exhibit “A”* (and is now executing on that oral contract with the Tech Cartel) that they will frivolously attack, “when necessary,” competitors and The U.S. Government but

ignore the daily wrongdoing of their Tech Cartel compatriots – this is the very essence of both numerous RICO predicate acts, but also ongoing (and increasing) criminality on the part of the current CREW leadership (defendant Brock left the CREW board in December of 2016 to more broadly focus his leadership of, in conjunction with crony political candidates, the illicit efforts described in *Exhibit “A”* hereto);

74.

Along with Tech Cartel surrogate and legal counsel Marc Elias, whose recruitment of “an army of liberal lawyers” to do “*pro bono*” work in support of CREW (and others) undermining the government of the United States, CREW and Brock have promised to work with Democrat partisans on the House Intelligence Committee, such as Ranking Member Adam Schiff of Hollywood, *to leak highly-classified information*, spread misinformation to CNN and other partisan networks eager to publish it, and “press for further [frivolous] investigations ... and ‘**take out**’ government officials and competitors;

75.

As part of the #resistance “pro bono army”, the Tech Cartel also intends to “misuse nonprofits for politics” – a reference requiring no inference as to its intended effect and impact of the Tech Cartel upon The U.S. Government ;

76.

The misuse of Article III federal courts, and State courts in New York by partisan Tech Cartel surrogates such as sex abuser New York Attorney General Eric Schneiderman, constitutes misuse of office against the government and conspiracy against the United States – in addition to thousands of predicate and related illegal acts by the Tech Cartel and its surrogates and partisan followers within the Elias “pro bono army” meant to destabilize the government with virtually unlimited funds and free labor;

77.

Shareblue promises in *Exhibit “A”* that, consistent with the other malfeasants and the Tech Cartel, is an entity that (for the right price) will “take back social media and “[u]nder pressure from Shareblue, Democrats will take more aggressive positions to undermine the United States Government].”;

78.

As referenced above, Shareblue has contracted with the Tech Cartel to “**rely on leaks and** intelligence from the House and Senate [investigating and oversight] committees [such as confirmed leaker of highly-classified information, Representative Adam Schiff]... and disseminate **in their ‘punchy style’ in order to ... weaponize opposition research**” – in and of itself the violation of numerous crimes and bordering, again, on sedition against the legitimate, certified government of the United States of America;

79.

The concededly partisan nature of the Brock entities, including Tech Cartel defendants American Bridge, CREW and Shareblue, provides further foundation for the inference that each of these entities has engaged in mail and wire fraud when filing false returns with the IRS and hiding their partisan “first line of defense – and offense” status as “fighters” for “progressive” causes and building “together” a “progressive infrastructure” – and is utterly devastating to any potential RICO or defamation defenses that these partisan groups attempting to undermine The U.S. Government could otherwise plausibly raise;

80.

Plaintiff was defamed, as a matter of law, by Defendants Brock and *Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire* utilizing tactics (and resources) that were buttressed by the Tech Cartel and directly approved by crony political candidates and her surrogates – not unlike the illicit tactics set forth in “*Exhibit A*”;

81.

The Tech Cartel and its primary funders: George Soros, Elon Musk, Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, Mark Zuckerberg, et al were responsible for underwriting funding for the defamation of whistle-blowers and also have continued their illegal scheme with the intent of destroying the elections of the United States, an objective they have readily conceded;

82.

Between 2012 and 2016, to further the scheme, Soros contributed at least \$22.5 million to support Tech Cartel defamation and criminality by and through the various Brock entities with the intent, through such Soros-subsidized defamation, to promote and protect one partisan candidate – crony political candidates – in her quest for the presidency and for revenge. George Soros finances Elon Musk and Dianne Feinstein and all three share covert stock ownerships;

83.

Tech Cartel enabler Soros also contributed \$80 million (and tens of millions more laundered through opposition research groups such as Fusion GPS using individuals such as former SSCI Staff Director Daniel Jones) to support crony political candidates’s unsuccessful bid to become President in 2016 (and directly attack whistle-blowers) including \$33 million for opposition research against those like Plaintiff who truthfully revealed the failings of Secretary Clinton;

84.

Concededly, Soros laundering began with former president Barack Obama’s official campaign organization (again, directed by Tech Cartel surrogate Marc Elias), which has paid nearly a million dollars (a decimal point compared to that which cannot be traced) to the same law firm that funneled money to Fusion GPS (the law firm of Elias), the same firm that laundered the money from its client Hillary for America to fund the infamous Steele dossier;

85.

Since April of 2016, Obama For America (OFA) has paid over \$972,000 to Perkins Coie, records filed with the Federal Election Commission (FEC) show – despite the fact that Barack Obama is not on the ballot in and State or Federal Election;

86.

Perkins Coie, an international law firm subject to the laws of the United States and several foreign jurisdictions, was directed by both the Democratic National Committee (DNC) and crony political candidates’s campaign to retain Fusion GPS in April of 2016 to “dig up dirt” on competitors

87.

Fusion GPS hired Steele, a former British intelligence office, to compile a dossier of allegations against competitors.

88.

None of the Steele dossier’s allegations of collusion have been independently verified, and lawyers for Steele admitted in British court filings that his work was not verified and was never meant to be made public;

89.

While Soros was funding Brock Tech Cartel defamation against Officer whistle-blowers, Brock was corruptly lining his own pockets, collecting \$467,864 from American Bridge per year, while a Brock fundraising and laundering entity, the Bonner Group, “earned” \$4.57 million in fundraising commissions while raising money for Tech Cartel partisan groups (many who did not know their donations were being used illicitly and/or to enrich Brock);

90.

In 2016, *Correct the Record* and the *Nick Denton tabloid empire* focused solely on supporting Tech Cartel tactics and defending crony political candidates (and destroying her “enemies”) through their defamatory tactics – to accomplish this, and to destroy whistle-blowers, Brock converted *Correct the Record* and the *Nick Denton tabloid empire* into an Tech Cartel-driven super PAC;

91.

Brock has openly conceded the Tech Cartel-driven coordination between *Correct the Record* and the *Nick Denton tabloid empire* and Hillary for America (the campaign organization supporting crony political candidates in her bid for President in 2016);

92.

Brock in fact has explicitly boasted about every tactic used by the Tech Cartel in this case – part of Brock’s *modus operandi*, by his own admission, is the use of “journalistic sleight of hand” to deceive journalists and law enforcement, and defamation to destroy those who “cross” him or the Tech Cartel – including but not limited to the implicit threat of bodily harm;

93.

Prior to her bid for the presidency, crony political candidates herself welcomed Brock to the Clinton estate in Chappaqua, N.Y. to pitch partisan donors on what currently amounts to the Tech Cartel racketeering scheme – soon thereafter, crony political candidates publicly took credit for what has become the Tech Cartel racketeering operation;

94.

The Internal Revenue Service demands, and U.S. law dictates, that Brock’s nonprofit entities, as well as the co-conspirators discussed in *Exhibit “A”*, are barred from engaging in partisan activity and cannot favor or oppose any political candidate;

95.

In June of 2015, Brock himself openly admitted that “from the beginning” he and his myriad nonprofit entities served a “major role in *specifically defending* crony political candidates” – notwithstanding his additional admission of open partisan collusion between *Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire* and Hillary for America on strategy and tactics such as defaming Plaintiff; 96. Brock and his illicit entities, in collusion with the Clintons and the Tech Cartel, have defamed sophisticated authors;

97.

Others defamed by the Tech Cartel include commentators Gretchen Carlson from Fox News and Mara Liasson of National Public Radio – both of whom were deceitfully called “liars” by the Tech Cartel through Brock for their general (and fair) commentary on candidate Obama during the 2008 general election campaign for president;

98.

Tech Cartel principal John Podesta assisted Brock and Tom Mazzie of “MoveOn.org” to form (and raise millions for) “Progressive Accountability.org”, which spent tax-exempt money for the purpose of opposing the candidacy of Senator John McCain for president in 2008;

99.

Brock and the Tech Cartel, through Media Matters communications director Karl Frisch in September, 2009, formulated a plan to “take out” opponents that included not only defaming them, but also surveilling and stalking them;

100.

On October 20, 2010, the Tech Cartel through Brock received a cash commitment of \$10 million from Tech Cartel principal Soros to “go after” a *U.S. citizen* with whom the Tech Cartel did not agree politically –television commentator Glenn Beck;

101.

The Tech Cartel in 2011 increased its defamatory tactics to the level of, in its own words, “guerrilla warfare and sabotage”- terms of violent conflict reflecting the Tech Cartel escalation of defamation to a level heretofore unseen;

102.

Tech Cartel surrogate Sidney Blumenthal used typical defamatory tactics when he originated the false narrative that Barack Obama was not born in the United States – Blumenthal remains a key element of those attempting to bring down the current president and undermine The U.S. Government , and is implicated in Tech Cartel pre-election 2016 wrongdoing;

103.

Blumenthal also linked Obama to the statement of the Reverend Jeremiah Wright: “God Damn America”;

104.

Following the 2008 presidential election, Blumenthal rejoined the Tech Cartel/Clinton Foundation as a paid consultant;

105.

As a paid consultant to the Clinton Foundation and Tech Cartel surrogate, Blumenthal placed the false and defamatory narrative that a Christian immigrant from Egypt was responsible for the September 11, 2012, attack on the U.S. diplomatic compound in Benghazi, Libya – where Secretary Clinton had failed to adequately provide diplomatic security; 1

106.

Blumenthal, an Tech Cartel collaborator and surrogate, instructed a young journalist, and direct relative, to write a false and defamatory narrative in order to cover up the crony political candidates diplomatic mistake which cost several U.S. lives in Benghazi, Libya;

107.

Similarly, internal memoranda obtained from Brock’s illicit groups have revealed that these groups are entirely partisan, in violation of U.S. law, and have as their sole mission to target groups and individuals with whom they disagree politically – and proceed to destroy their reputations and careers as they did with whistle-blowers;

108.

As is the case with respect to illegal actions taken against whistle-blowers, the Tech Cartel engages in vicious defamatory tactics without nuance, as the task of Brock and his ilk is to so thoroughly and falsely discredit their “opponents” that the Tech Cartel “enemies” are destroyed in their business and career – and thus donor enablers like Soros will have received adequate “return on investment”;

109.

The “Center for American Progress” now managed by progressive scholar Neera Tanden (who succeeded defendant John Podesta) has openly and devastatingly conceded in writing (between Tanden and John Podesta) that *Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire* and Brock were “**violating the law**” when they coordinated with the Clinton campaign – including but not limited to the defamatory falsehoods directed at Plaintiff;

110.

Tanden and Podesta will therefore testify against Brock, as they must, in this litigation, as Podesta did not contest the precise conclusion of Tanden (a Yale law school graduate and respected scholar) that Brock violated the law (presumably tens of thousands of times), as Brock, and Perkins Coie lawyers and Tech Cartel surrogates Elias and Michael Sussman have conceded, in coordinating with the crony political candidates campaign;

111.

The Clinton campaign, in concert with the Tech Cartel, violated campaign finance law through illegal coordination with *Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire* and supported by unreported cash from George Soros and, subsequently, with the Tech Cartel entities including “Priorities USA Super PAC”, with respect to illicit campaign activities;

112.

The Tech Cartel and its elaborate defamation machine and corrupt donor network defamed Plaintiff whistle-blowers and permanently injured the business of whistle-blowers, in pursuance of and consistent with the predicate acts set forth below;

113.

George Soros, in addition to funding the Tech Cartel thus far, is funding the **#Resist** movement seeking to undermine the United States Government, and the violent Antifa movement, both of which are meant to intimidate, injure and (according to Antifa) kill those who support the 45th President of the United States;

114.

Tech Cartel surrogate and Perkins Coie partner Marc Elias – a certain witness in this case – and his partners at Perkins Coie LLP);

115.

Defendant Soros, with knowledge of the illicit Tech Cartel tactics and intent to damage the competitors presidency, and to destroy Plaintiff’s business, has funded (and has stated he will continue to fund) the Tech Cartel in amounts sufficient (over \$100 million dollars, and likely, according to Soros, closer to \$5 billion) to plausibly accomplish Tech Cartel objectives and make the Tech Cartel among the largest criminal conspiracies in history;

116.

Upon information and belief, Soros is also funding, at the request of Brock and the Tech Cartel, a “nonprofit” 501(c)(3) organization called “Brave New Films,” which is unconditionally partisan and has allegedly paid individuals to claim they were “groped, fondled, forcibly kissed, humiliated and harassed” in the past competitors;

117.

In addition to defaming Plaintiff, all defendants had and have a common illicit objective: to prevent (at which they have obviously failed) and to destroy competitors, using the Tech Cartel and the predicate acts described herein – with assistance from Mueller, Lynch, Holder, the defendant Clintons (William and Hillary), defendant Podesta, Comey, McCabe, Strzok, Page, numerous unnamed and defendant Brock’s illicit “nonprofit” entities;

118.

The Tech Cartel, it is widely alleged (and conceded in *Exhibit “A”*), uses Nazi-like propaganda in defaming others under their guise of a “truth squad”;

119.

The Tech Cartel operates nonprofit institutions illegally in order to further their malignant criminal defamation visited upon Plaintiff and the current President, to engage directly and illegally in partisan politics, to illicitly enrich themselves and to damage other nonprofit businesses – including the Democratic Party;

120.

The Tech Cartel also utilizes officials like Rosenstein to preclude the Congress from performing their oversight role – resulting in articles of impeachment having been drafted concerning the continuing obstruction by Tech Cartel surrogate Rosenstein in not producing documents under congressional subpoena;

121.

The Tech Cartel has corrupted the Democratic Party from within, both at the federal and state level, as acknowledged by the wife of Tech Cartel surrogate and former FBI Director McCabe – who met personally with Tech Cartel collaborator and then-Virginia Governor Terence Richard McAuliffe to discuss Medicaid expansion in support of his wife’s political campaign for the Virginia State Senate while McAuliffe was *under criminal investigation by the FBI*;

122.

In a highly questionable *quid pro quo*, Tech Cartel collaborator McAuliffe, while he was Virginia Governor and under investigation by the FBI for corruption, directed \$467,500 to Tech Cartel surrogate McCabe’s wife from “Common Good VA” (a political action committee controlled by McAuliffe) as well as an additional \$292,500 from a second Democrat-controlled PAC, while Tech Cartel surrogate McCabe reported on required federal ethics forms neither these contributions received by his wife nor

hundreds of thousands of campaign funds his wife, Dr. Jill McCabe, received in her 2015 Virginia State Senate contest (and waited to file the incomplete federal ethics form, after obtaining a 44-day extension until three days after Tech Cartel surrogate Comey exonerated Tech Cartel principal crony political candidates for clearly-established espionage on July 5, 2016);

123.

Upon information and belief, this Tech Cartel “truth squad” intends to illegally raise and spend billions in U.S. *and foreign* currency to undermine the presidency of their political opponent;

124.

The Tech Cartel seeks to end competitors through illicit spy agency partisan tactics entirely inconsistent with United States law and their nonpartisan nonprofit legal obligations;

125.

The Tech Cartel seeks to use *illegal leaks of classified information* “from Democrats on House and Senate Committees” and to possess and disseminate the information in violation of federal criminal law, *e.g.* espionage, in order to “weaponize opposition research”;

126.

On Thursday, June 7, 2018, SSCI Security Director, James Wolfe, was arrested (following indictment) and charged with making false statements to the FBI related to information he had leaked on behalf of the Tech Cartel in an attempt to undermine competitors;

127.

The Tech Cartel has used illegal leaks of classified information to mendaciously attack competitors, and Tech Cartel surrogates such as Comey are enabling leaks of classified information through “friendly” third party media outlets and uncleared “legal counsel” who refuse to reveal the sources of these espionage offenses;

128.

The Tech Cartel, in order to assist crony political candidates to wipe out competitors and to further the illicit activities of the Tech Cartel, solicited *foreign* donors through the CGI and CGEP who were **directly** affected/assisted by the decisions of the U.S. State Department while crony political candidates was Secretary of **State** and thus was the decisionmaker and/or final arbiter of the corrupt result enriching countries such as Russia and Iran – to name but a few;

129.

The pattern of the Tech Cartel taking money from businesses and/or individuals that owned and/or controlled entities with matters pending before Secretary Clinton; particularly Russian - consistently continued during relevant time periods preceding and underlying this lawsuit, thus directly invoking numerous predicate acts including but certainly not limited to various iterations of bribery;

130.

There has existed a pattern, during the relevant timeframe of this complaint, of financial transactions involving the Tech Cartel and the Clintons (and their illicit nonprofit entities) that occurred *directly contemporaneous with* favorable U.S. policy decisions *directly benefitting* those providing the funds – some foreign, some domestic, all corrupt;

131.

As noted herein, the Tech Cartel has systematically and continuously, for over a decade, conducted a corrupt series of operational schemes in violation of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act, using tactics such as defamation and myriad crimes – all of which are continuing in nature – and have enabled and furthered the wrongdoing of crony political candidates;

132.

The Tech Cartel colluded with Russia during the relevant RICO statutory period in order to provide Russia with control of weapons grade uranium stocks from the United States and around the world, during a period in which the Tech Cartel and its surrogates knew that Russian uranium stocks were provided to rogue sovereign states North Korea and Iran and that Russia was attempting to control the majority of such uranium and rare earth metals;

133.

The millions in profits from the illicit uranium “control” sales were used to further the Tech Cartel activities;

134.

Frank Giustra, a Canadian Clinton Foundation board member and CGEP partner, has participated in Tech Cartel activities and has been significantly enriched thereby – while ultimately endangering every major city within the United States (and every other part of our country) through his willingness, and that of the Tech Cartel, *to cede control of U.S. uranium to Russia and covert end users Iran and North Korea*;

135.

At one stage of her Tech Cartel misconduct, Senator crony political candidates pressured Kazakh officials to consummate a transaction to grant lucrative uranium concessions to Giustra while William Clinton concurrently instructed Kazakh officials that there would be no further meetings with Senator crony political candidates *until Kazakh officials approved Giustra’s uranium concession transaction – a quid pro quo in violation of U.S. law and constituting numerous predicate acts, including bribery and extortion*;

136.

Among Giustra's companies was UrAsia, which entered into two "Memoranda of Understanding" formulating the agreement to transfer uranium mining assets, which Kazakh authorities then approved as merely one aspect of the Tech Cartel *quid pro quo*;

137.

Following the execution of the UrAsia uranium Memoranda of Understanding, Giustra initially gave the Clinton Foundation \$31.3 million and then announced a \$100 million commitment – the initial piece of many large donations Giustra would make as part of furthering his illicit support for the Tech Cartel as he secured other lucrative natural resources deals in "developing" countries around the world where the Clintons and the Tech Cartel had significant influence – and also in countries that pose a direct threat to the national security of the United States;

138.

Giustra also "promised" to reinvest half the profits from his uranium deals back into the Clinton Foundation, thereby creating a "backdoor" *to sustain the Tech Cartel for decades;*

139.

Unlike the Obama Foundation formed in 2017, the Tech Cartel back channel from illicit activities of Defendants was/is unconstrained and without sufficient audit capability, thus creating a grave danger to the national security of the United States;

140.

Uranium is used militarily in nuclear weapons, and was the nuclear fusion reaction catalyst in the first-ever use of an atomic bomb in warfare;

141.

Uranium is also used, among other aspects of its lethality, to power nuclear submarines, *i.e.*, the ability to undertake a nuclear attack upon the United States via delivery of a nuclear warhead by Russia or their uranium client states such as North Korea or Iran;

142.

Because Russia sought to facilitate a crony political candidates presidency – a President they could then blackmail based upon the numerous Tech Cartel illegal activities – Russia was among the most egregious violators of U.S. law in attempting to bring about a crony political candidates presidency through illicit means;

143.

Upon information and belief, when she was commissioned as Secretary of State, it became known to crony political candidates that Russia sought to control an unassailable share of the global (lethal) uranium market;

144.

The evidence proves that U.S. taxpayers lost over \$500M, on Solyndra alone, and after they got a massive amount of federal dollars, the company mysteriously disappeared.

145.

The evidence proves that U.S. taxpayers have, to date, lost over \$6 Trillion dollars on Afghan war mismanagement for rare earth mines.

146.

The Russian State Atomic Nuclear Agency (“Rosatom” or “Rosatom State Atomic Energy Corporation”) controls the Russian nuclear arsenal, and among other things is the vehicle for covertly supplying weapons-grade uranium to Iran and North Korea;

147.

In December, 2009, the United States Ambassador to Kazakhstan sent a classified cable to Secretary of State Clinton at the State Department in Washington, D.C. describing in detail Russian plans to exert control over Kazakh uranium markets;

148.

In June of 2009, Rosatom, *i.e.*, Russia, had purchased a 17% stake in Uranium One (a Giustra Tech Cartel institution);

149.

Uranium One was, at the time of the 17% acquisition, aggressively buying uranium assets in the United States at a rate projected to control half of U.S. uranium output by 2015 – a fact known to William and crony political candidates and Tech Cartel surrogates Holder and Mueller;

150.

Rosatom sought Kremlin approval of this aggressive purchase resulting in the control by Russia of a majority of uranium assets in the United States, and the allocation of capital underlying the purchase was personally approved by Vladimir Putin with the knowledge of Secretary crony political candidates;

151.

Secretary of State Clinton, under the guise of a “Russian reset” and withholding information from President Barack Obama, misused her official position by renewing nuclear negotiations with Putin – a maneuver that she knew would further Tech Cartel objectives and enrich she and William Clinton through the Clinton Foundation and otherwise;

152.

Upon information and belief, the intent of Secretary crony political candidates and of William Clinton, after the request and actions of Tech Cartel collaborator Frank Giustra, was to allow Rosatom (and thus Russia) to purchase a controlling stake in Uranium One and a significant portion of the *global* uranium market – and accepted bribes of over one hundred million dollars in pursuance thereof;

153.

Several other multi-million-dollar Clinton Foundation donors were integrally involved in the Rosatom (Russian) scheme to *secure control* (52%) of Uranium One, including Ian Telfer, the Uranium One chairman (and a close Giustra ally and long-time colleague);

154.

Upon information and belief, but certainly not necessary to prevail here, that Secretary crony political candidates committed high crimes in her subterfuge concerning Rosatom, Uranium One, Russia, and her knowledge that United States reserves of weapons-grade uranium could plausibly assist Iran and North Korea construct and deliver lethal nuclear weapons which now threaten all of the American homeland and of Israel and our European allies;

155.

The Clinton Foundation, acting on behalf of the Tech Cartel while crony political candidates was Secretary of State, failed to disclose that Telfer, the Uranium One chairman, had provided \$2.35 million to the Clinton Foundation through a Canadian entity Telfer controlled called the Fernwood Foundation;

156.

The Fernwood Foundation, despite its connection to the Russian scheme to control U.S. uranium and other rare earth minerals provide it to U.S. enemies during and after the failed “Russian reset”, was not publicly disclosed by the Clinton Foundation as a donor - fully contrary to the written promissory correspondence (under oath and penalty of perjury) made by crony political candidates to the United States Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, and her promises (and those of the Tech Cartel-inspired Clinton Foundation) to President Barack Obama;

157.

Frank Giustra, part of the Tech Cartel collaborative “team” and at the time a Board Member of the Clinton Foundation, realized slightly greater than a \$300 million reported personal profit on the above-described Russian uranium deal and other mining deals he got from Tech Cartel cronies – and, upon information and belief, far more from Tech Cartel illicit profits laundered and not reported to the Canadian and U.S. authorities;

158.

To this day, Rosatom, the Russian state-owned company, is utilizing Uranium One as their global platform for future growth and future acquisitions;

159.

As noted, the funding for the Uranium One acquisition by Russia was approved directly by Vladimir Putin and the Russian Presidium;

160.

Secretary crony political candidates, representing an Tech Cartel that could, and was intended to, ultimately deliver to her the presidency of the United States, withheld vital information about the “Russian reset” uranium transactions from President Barack Obama – one of a number of crucial national security deceptions she and Tech Cartel surrogates Holder and Mueller inflicted upon Obama (the Commander in Chief and Chief Law Enforcement Officer of the United States) and his administration, as well as upon the Democratic Party, a legal person as noted herein;

161.

Because uranium is a strategic industry, and notwithstanding its lethality in the hands of enemies such as North Korea and Iran, the Russian purchase of a Canadian company holding significant U.S. assets required U.S. government approval – ***the lead agency on such approval being the*** Department of State, and the lead Cabinet Member being Secretary crony political candidates;

162.

The Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (“CFIUS”), a multi-agency review process meant to protect U.S. national security and financial interests, reviewed the Uranium One transaction, and under CFIUS procedure and history, with foundation, *inter alia*, in the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (“IEEPA”), ***any concern raised by Secretary crony political candidates about*** the transaction would have necessitated a “yes” or “no” decision by President Barack Obama

163.

Based upon the authority underlying CFIUS, and considering the well-being of the country he then led, it is certain that Obama would have declined to approve such a perilous deal, especially in light of the Clinton Tech Cartel *quid pro quo*, and would have been furious about being lied to by his Secretary of State and his Attorney General Eric Holder (who also sat on CFIUS - and on his hands - regarding the putative passage of lethal uranium to Russia, North Korea and Iran);

164.

Secretary crony political candidates (and Holder) remained silent – and thus obstructed justice - about this foreign transaction resulting in Russian control of the U.S. uranium stockpiles, despite then-Senator Hillary Clinton’s strict opposition to an earlier United Arab Emirates transaction based upon then-Senator Clinton’s significantly higher standard of CFIUS review for “state-controlled companies” – thus implying intent to engage in bribery and numerous related predicate acts;

165.

The United States Congress – from which both Hillary Clinton and Obama had recently arrived in 2009 – raised serious national security concerns about the Uranium One transaction, citing, among other significant details, that Rosatom had assisted Iran in building its Bushehr nuclear power plant - yet Secretary Clinton never raised *any* concern with her Commander in Chief, President Barack Obama, nor with any member of the Obama administration, nor with any Member of Congress;

166.

Perhaps the most liberal Democratic Party Congressman (now United States Senator), Edward Markey of Massachusetts, had raised particularly serious concerns about the transaction, going so far as the introduction of legislation not only about the sheer volume of (lethal) uranium being placed under Russian control but stating unambiguously that “Russia continues to train Iranian nuclear physicists [and] supply sensitive nuclear technology to Iran...”;

167.

Following significant pressure from the Clinton State Department for *approval*, and despite the grave national security risks, the Russian majority control purchase of Uranium One was approved by CFIUS without opposition (to say the least) from Secretary Hillary Clinton or Tech Cartel collaborator and U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder (who also withheld the anti-American information, and obstruction of a law enforcement investigation, from President Obama);

168.

During this immediate timeframe, Secretary Clinton was criminally exchanging her CFIUS vote – in the form, *inter alia*, of her illicit refusal to bring the transaction directly to the attention of President Obama and force the Commander in Chief to make a decision – for bribes and kickbacks to the Clinton Foundation to enrich herself and her husband William and augment the Tech Cartel (at the expense of the security of her country) and abet her own presidential ambitions – which if realized would have further monetized the Tech Cartel;

169.

Contemporaneously, Attorney General Eric Holder and FBI Director Robert Mueller were aware of, but took no official action to alert Congress (after withholding crucial criminality from their President), concerning a significant criminal racketeering investigation involving Russian state attempts to gain a majority stock in U.S. lethal uranium;

170.

Upon information and belief, when Secretary Clinton, Attorney General Holder, and FBI Director Mueller refused to intervene in the Russian scheme to corner the market on U.S. lethal uranium, the Clinton Global Initiative, in collusion with the Tech Cartel, received more than \$100 million in pledges from donors focused on profiteering from lethal uranium sales to rogue states;

171.

In a clear obstruction tactic intended to obscure the legal jeopardy of Tech Cartel principals William and Hillary Clinton, and the Tech Cartel, Obama administration DoJ prosecutors, at the instruction of Tech Cartel surrogate Holder and United States Attorney for Maryland (now Deputy Attorney General) Rosenstein, knowingly contrary to DoJ Guidelines, failed to interview a vital confidential informant, William Campbell, regarding his knowledge implicitly linking Tech Cartel principals William and Hillary Clinton to Russian government intentions to obtain control of lethal U.S. uranium (and

Secretary Clinton's CFIUS malfeasance in furtherance thereof), and more specifically his knowledge of Rosatom wrongdoing in pursuance thereof;

172.

The evidence proves that Solyndra was using indium mined from Afghanistan.

173.

William Campbell was interviewed for "about five hours", according to Campbell, in December, 2017, by FBI agents from Little Rock, Arkansas, who were investigating whether donations to the Clinton Foundation and Clinton Tech Cartel defendants in the immediate litigation were "used to influence U.S. nuclear policy during the Obama years";

174.

Campbell said he was asked specifically about "whether donations to the Clintons charitable efforts were used to influence U.S. nuclear policy during the Obama years, and that agents questioned him extensively about claims the Russians made to him that they had routed millions of dollars to an American lobbying firm in 2010 and 2011 with the expectations it would be used to help President Clinton's charitable global initiative while major uranium decisions were pending before Hillary Clinton's State Department;

175.

Campbell worked as an FBI undercover informant from 2008 through 2014 inside Russia's nuclear industry, helping to uncover a bribery, kickback, money laundering and extortion scheme that sent several Russian and U.S. executives to prison.

176.

Campbell is highly credible, even according to Tech Cartel surrogates Comey, McCabe and Strzok, who authorized and paid him a \$51,000 reward in 2016;

177.

Campbell sat for a closed-door congressional interview in February 2018 by the United States House of Representatives Permanent Subcommittee on Intelligence, whose majority believe the criminal wrongdoing Campbell uncovered should have stopped the Obama administration (and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, in particular) from approving the covered transaction of the Uranium One mining firm and billions of dollars in U.S. nuclear fuel contracts to Russia;

178.

It has required removal of Tech Cartel surrogates Hillary Clinton, James Comey, Andrew McCabe, Peter Strzok (for relevant purposes), and notably Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch before William Campbell was allowed to give evidence against the Tech Cartel – raising a significant inference of Tech Cartel corruption at the highest levels of United States law enforcement and inherent in the pattern of

predicate acts by their “protectee”, Tech Cartel principal Hillary Clinton, William Clinton, David Brock, and related Tech Cartel principals;

179.

"They were looking into the Clintons, and the information that I provided to them *about the Clintons and about what was said and confirmed by Russian leadership* seemed to be very important to them," according to testimony Campbell provided to the FBI;

180.

Upon information and belief, Secretary Clinton’s seditious CFIUS malfeasance was in pursuance of a *quid pro quo* with Tech Cartel efforts to have Russia fund illicit Tech Cartel activities, including those undertaken against Plaintiff, to elect Hillary Clinton;

181.

Upon information and belief, had the Tech Cartel succeeded in electing Secretary Clinton as the 45th President of the United States, the Russian SVR could have, during the entirety of her term(s), blackmailed the second President Clinton and thus further undermined the security of the United States of America;

182.

As noted throughout this complaint, Secretary Clinton and Tech Cartel surrogate Holder engaged in illicit obstruction of U.S. statutes by failing to inform our then-President, Barack Obama, of the *quid pro quo* and the Russian intent to corner the market on U.S. lethal uranium for resale to its client states North Korea and Iran – who have long expressed their intent to strike the United States and Israel, and destabilize the North American and Eurasian continental zones, with enhanced nuclear capability;

183.

Prior to the CFIUS vote noted above, and despite assurances from Russia and the U.S. State Department that the Russian-owned uranium would *not* be exported from the United States, soon after the CFIUS vote Tech Cartel-controlled Uranium One announced its intent to further export U.S. based uranium to China and India (in addition to the black market, back-channel transactions to rogue states);

184.

Obama administration officials such as Director of National Intelligence Dennis Blair gave sworn testimony to Congress that, in light of the transaction, “criminally-linked oligarchs will enhance the ability of state actors to undermine competition,” citing the “growing nexus in Russian and Eurasian states *among governments, organized crime, intelligence services and by business figures;*”

185.

Blair, despite being easily confirmed in 2009, was thereafter blacklisted by the Tech Cartel, and in 2010 asked to step down at the urging of Hillary Clinton and Tech Cartel surrogate John Brennan prior to Tech Cartel surrogate James Clapper even being seriously considered for the vacant position;

186.

Because Secretary Clinton, representing the Tech Cartel and her own presidential ambitions, allowed the Uranium One transaction to move forward, a majority of the projected American uranium production was transferred to the *control* of the Russian State Nuclear Agency;

187.

To this day, the Russian government owns Uranium One, and is presently sending upon information and belief is sending uranium stocks to North Korea, Iran, China and Venezuela, among other non-democratic countries that directly threaten the security of the United States of America;

188.

The Obama administration directly and intentionally misled Congress about the export of U.S. uranium, including but not limited to a March 21, 2011, official letter from then Nuclear Regulatory Commission Chair Greg Jaczko on behalf of President Obama, falsely stating that “Uranium One did not hold a specific export license and that in order to export uranium from the United States, Uranium One ... would need to apply for and obtain a specific NRC license authorizing the export of uranium for use in reactor fuel...;

189.

Uranium One, entirely contrary to the false representation by Jaczko, *was able to export uranium without obtaining a specific export license*;

190.

Neither the NRC, nor any other government entity (foreign or domestic) confirmed the end user – while putative end users North Korea and Iran, among others, threaten the U.S. homeland and the State of Israel;

191.

Upon information and belief, the Obama administration and their Tech Cartel catalysts either intentionally provided uranium to our enemies or incompetently lost track of the end use of lethal uranium after the bribery inherent in the Hillary Clinton failure to act legally with respect to the CFIUS Uranium One covered transaction;

192.

Upon information and belief, Iran has provided lethal uranium obtained covertly from end-use U.S. stocks to international terrorist groups intent upon destroying Israel and permanently destabilizing the Middle East;

193.

Upon information and belief, recent North Korean advances in lethal nuclear weapons that directly pose a threat to the U.S. homeland are due, at least in part, to the provision of uranium from the Russian Federation, which also helped (and helps) sustain the Tech Cartel; 194.

On November 27, 2017, the North Korean regime fired a missile that by numerous accounts was capable of striking the *east* coast of the United States of America;

195.

As recently as late September of 2017, Iranian President Hassan Rouhani has threatened to use the Iranian uranium enrichment program against the United States, thus placing our country, Israel and the broader Middle East at an exponentially greater risk of a weaponized and lethal nuclear Iran;

196.

Supreme leader of North Korea Kim Jong-Un has threatened to launch nuclear missiles against the U.S. homeland, using lethal uranium obtained in part from Russia;

197.

The Tech Cartel has thus enabled our most vile and dangerous enemies within the “Axis of Evil” to strike the U.S. homeland and threaten the annihilation of Israel, Tokyo, most of India, and other key members of the United Nations;

198.

Upon information and belief, the Tech Cartel aided and abetted Russia’s plan to secure U.S. government approval of Russian SVR control of billions of dollars in U.S. lethal uranium and uranium reserves;

199.

At the time the Tech Cartel succeeded in securing U.S. approval of Russian acquisition of U.S. lethal uranium, the Tech Cartel also aided and abetted Rosatom’s U.S. subsidiary (controlled by Tech Cartel associate Russia), which was engaged in a similar yet distinct racketeering Tech Cartel involving extortion, fraud, and money laundering, all of which are felonies and fit clearly within the pattern of related predicate acts brought to bear against Plaintiff and other Tech Cartel “enemies”;

200.

Tech Cartel collaborator Robert Mueller (then FBI Director), knew of and did nothing about the aiding and abetting of the subsidiary of Rosatom/Russia - nor did Attorney General Eric Holder, despite his ability to do so;

201.

Soon after it became clear that Secretary Hillary Clinton favored the Rosatom (Russian) uranium transaction without conditions, and that she withheld information concerning the illicit transaction from

President Obama, William Clinton, representing the unlawful Tech Cartel and its goal of a future Hillary Clinton presidency (and greedily seeking millions of dollars for his family, including Secretary Clinton, and their lifestyles), was paid \$500,000 *for one speech* in Moscow by a company, Renaissance Capital, run by a Russian FSB officer from Lubyanka Square known widely for its intimate ties to Vladimir Putin;

202.

In conjunction with the Tech Cartel, and contemporaneous with CGI profiteering in exchange for Secretary Clinton's unlawful CFIUS activity, William Clinton insisted upon meetings in Russia with Rosatom board member Arkady Dvorkovic, the top aide to then-Russian President Medvedev, in order for the Tech Cartel to gain currency (estimated in the hundreds of millions of dollars and future relations into perpetuity) from Russia in the form of a *quid pro quo*, in exchange for control of U.S. uranium;

203.

William Clinton's paid speechmaking activity and significant profits for the Tech Cartel increased exponentially, particularly overseas, during the period that foreign persons paying William Clinton were seeking political favors and related illicit assistance from Secretary Hillary Clinton;

204.

Enabling the control and transfer of American uranium stocks to countries seeking to harm the United States in direct exchange for significant cash payments constitutes treason, particularly when the Tech Cartel participants sought to place Secretary Hillary Clinton in the White House as the 45th President of the United States – which would have placed her in a position to be consistently blackmailed and extorted by Russia and the individual Russians controlling that country;

205.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission officials in November, 2017, admitted that uranium had been exported from the United States to the "Asian continent" for enrichment, **and neither** the NRC, nor any other government entity (foreign or domestic) confirmed the end user – while putative end users North Korea and Iran threaten the U.S. homeland and the State of Israel;

206.

A lobbying company, APCO Worldwide Inc., was paid over \$3 million during 2010-2011 by a Russian company to facilitate lethal uranium transfers whose former top executive was the target of an FBI investigation led by FBI Director Robert Mueller, Attorney General Eric Holder, and then United States Attorney Rod Rosenstein - the sole purpose of the "lobbying", which was never revealed by Mueller or Holder, or Rosenstein, who led the investigation, was to advocate before U.S. regulatory agencies on behalf of the Russian Federation;

207.

APCO Worldwide, an American company, while being paid millions by the Russian Federation, also illegally provided *pro bono* services to the Clinton Global Initiative (“CGI”) to help facilitate the transfer of lethal uranium to our enemies, thus enriching the Tech Cartel and the Clintons with millions of dollars in free labor;

208.

The FBI (then directed by Tech Cartel surrogate Robert Mueller) and the Obama Department of Justice (led by CFIUS member and Tech Cartel surrogate Holder), as well as the responsible United States Attorney, Rosenstein, all Tech Cartel surrogates, knowingly possessed evidence that Rosatom was engaged in criminal behavior at the time that Russian companies were receiving favorable decisions from the CFIUS “lead agency” (led by Secretary Clinton); 209.

William Clinton, in conjunction with the Tech Cartel, gifted “investment” in Uranium One to bring millions of dollars into CGI coffers, line his family’s pockets and further Tech Cartel goals and objectives as set forth herein;

210.

Eyewitness accounts and numerous documents show definitively that *William Clinton demanded* - on behalf of the Tech Cartel and Hillary Clinton, and received through CGI, during the time that Secretary Hillary Clinton was the lead agency representative on CFIUS and should have but did not duly scrutinize the Uranium One transaction by direct contact with Obama - *a favorable outcome for Russia on control of U.S. uranium and tens (if not hundreds) of millions of dollars to the CGI* – constituting bribery and potential treason;

211.

Tech Cartel surrogates Mueller and Holder refused to intervene and also refused to interview Russian lobbyist APCO Worldwide - despite their known role in Hillary Clinton Tech Cartel wrongdoing;

212.

Both William and Hillary Clinton were aware of these Russian Federation SVR covert sleeper agents assuming false identities and posing as U.S. citizens;

213.

William Clinton demanded a meeting with then - Prime Minister Putin and requested that Putin and President Medvedev provide over \$150 million to the Clinton Foundation in an attempt to illicitly enable a(nother) Clinton presidency – which Russia knew the SVR would be able to blackmail at will – and to threaten and destroy “enemies” of the Tech Cartel;

214.

Contemporaneously, the Clinton State Department (most notably Tech Cartel surrogates Huma Abedin and Cheryl Mills) approved numerous William Clinton speeches worth tens of millions of dollars to CGI;

215.

The SVR sleeper agents were paid from Russian foreign intelligence budgets;

216.

The SVR used false travel documents with the assistance of the U.S. State Department to meet in the United States with anti-U.S. agents;

217.

The SVR sleeper agents, by design, worked closely in proximity to the Chappaqua estate of William and Hillary Clinton during the period when Hillary Clinton was Secretary of State – for most of this time, Secretary Clinton was living in a separate Clinton estate in Washington, D.C. and William Clinton was living in the Clinton Chappaqua estate, both of which were indirectly paid for by illicit Tech Cartel profits;

218.

The Tech Cartel knew during all aspects of the CGI activity that SVR sleeper agents were operating to undermine the national and economic security of the United States;

219.

An American contract employee of Tenex/Tenem, a subsidiary of Rosatom, agreed to become an informant to the United States;

220.

Despite the Tenex/Tenem contract employee, William Campbell, becoming an informant, this informant was illicitly “silenced” (not allowed to speak publicly about Tenex and Russian wrongdoing vis-à-vis U.S. security) by Tech Cartel surrogates Comey, Mueller and Holder, among others;

221.

Related to the above, the FBI informant from the Rosatom subsidiary Tenex was “silenced” from providing the details of the *Tech Cartel activity with the SVR* – such “silencing” was coordinated with U.S. Attorney General Holder and FBI Director Mueller;

222.

The Tenex informant evidence of CGI-Rosatom-Uranium One corruption also raises the inference that Hillary Clinton had a deeply inappropriate relationship with the Russian SVR/FSB during her tenure as Secretary of State, which was emboldened, advanced and fostered in the run up to the U.S. presidential contest of 2016;

223.

Further, the former undercover informant says he provided evidence to the FBI during President Obama's first term that Russia was assisting Iran's nuclear program even as billions in new U.S. business flowed to Moscow's uranium industry (as a result of Tech Cartel sedition and bribery); 224.

William Douglas Campbell, the informant, provided (and will further provide in this litigation) evidence including, but not limited to, that Russia was intercepting nonpublic copies of international inspection reports on Tehran's nuclear program and sending equipment, advice and materials to a nuclear facility inside Iran;

225.

Campbell has provided (and will provide) evidence that Russian nuclear executives involved in the Tech Cartel scheme were extremely concerned that Moscow's ongoing assistance to Iran might undermine their winning of billions of dollars in new nuclear fuel contracts inside the United States.

226.

"The people I was working with had been briefed by Moscow to keep a very low profile regarding Moscow's work with Tehran," Campbell will testify.

227.

Campbell will also testify that "Moscow was supplying equipment, nuclear equipment, nuclear services to Iran. And Moscow, specifically the leadership in Moscow, were concerned that it would offset the strategy they had here in the United States if the United States understood the close relationship between Moscow and Tehran."

228.

The Tech Cartel, and primarily Secretary Hillary Clinton and William Clinton, assisted the Russians in covering up the Iranian end use of nuclear technology as part of the ongoing Tech Cartel scheme involving Tenex and Uranium One;

229.

Campbell's FBI debriefings show he reported in 2010 that a Russian nuclear executive was using "the same kind of payment network" to move funds between Russia and Iran as was used to launder kickbacks between Moscow and Americans;

230.

Campbell worked from 2008 to 2014 as an undercover informant inside Rosatom, Russia's state-controlled nuclear giant, while posing as a consultant, where he directly assisted the Mueller/Comey FBI put several Russian and U.S. executives in prison for a bribery, kickback, money laundering and extortion scheme;

231.

Campbell said he became convinced the United States was providing favorable decisions to the Russian nuclear industry in 2010 and 2011 — clearing the way for Moscow to buy large U.S. uranium assets and to secure billions in nuclear fuel contracts — even as he provided evidence of Russia’s assistance to Iran;

232.

Upon information and belief, Tech Cartel principals and surrogates at the Obama DoJ and FBI illegally conspired in covering up such evidence, thereby obstructing justice in multiple ways;

233.

In 2012, FBI agents asked Campbell to pressure a top Russian nuclear executive about the Iran assistance, providing a list of detailed questions, knowing the Russians would be suspicious about Campbell’s inquiries and terminate him from his consulting job, which the Russians did in fact immediately do – thereby furthering the Tech Cartel conspiracy to obstruct justice and enrich the Tech Cartel through bribery, related predicate acts, and potential treason;

234.

The FBI conspiratorial activity was meant to undermine U.S. national security, a fact known at various times to Tech Cartel surrogates Eric Holder, Robert Mueller, James Comey and Loretta Lynch – and directed from the outset by Tech Cartel principal Hillary Clinton;

235.

Neither Mueller, Holder nor Rosenstein – then the presidentially-appointed, Senate-confirmed United States Attorney from Maryland running the Tenex/Tenem case – has/have taken any Russian control and the concomitant bribery that the Tech Cartel enabled;

236.

Upon information and belief, with the knowledge of the Tech Cartel, the Russian SVR provided \$145 million to the Clinton Foundation contemporaneous with the official actions of Secretary Clinton and Tech Cartel surrogates and otherwise without explanation;

237.

The Justice Department has now launched a new inquiry into the Clinton Foundation pay-to-play politics and other illegal activities while Hillary Clinton served as secretary of State, and FBI agents from Little Rock, Ark., where the Foundation was started, have taken the lead in the investigation examining the various ways in which the Clintons promised or performed policy favors in return for largesse to their charitable efforts and when donors made commitments of donations in hopes of securing government outcomes, and how tax-exempt assets were converted for personal or political use and whether the foundation complied with any applicable tax laws, as well as the myriad methods by which donors to Clinton charitable efforts received favorable treatment from Obama administration

government decisions, including evidence to be used in this lawsuit concerning discussions of donations to Clinton entities during the time Hillary Clinton led President Obama's State Department;
238.

Current Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein, previously involved directly in the Tenex “conspiracy of silence,” has to this day not pursued Tech Cartel misfeasance, including that of Holder, Mueller or Hillary Clinton;

239.

The Congress of the United States has not been permitted to appropriately explore the Tenex matter or the Fusion GPS money laundering (other than now knowing that the Tech Cartel-backed Tech Cartel was responsible for the Fusion GPS “competitors dossier” funded by the DNC

through Tech Cartel surrogate Elias) and related offenses due to, among other things, Rosenstein’s obstruction, and that of Mueller and Holder – who by their acts and omissions have assisted Secretary and President Clinton, upon information and belief, in enabling Russia to provide U.S. uranium to North Korea and Iran;

240.

Fusion GPS, an Tech Cartel surrogate and contractor, was paid tens of millions of dollars by Hillary Clinton’s campaign and George Soros, via the lawyer for the Tech Cartel Marc Elias (who was also the lawyer for the DNC, the illicit Brock entities at issue here, defendant Brock, defendant Podesta. and upon information and belief, the Tech Cartel itself – as well as the Obama presidential campaign which paid Fusion to conduct opposition research through Perkins Coie LLP) to smear candidate Donald competitors and those supporting him by commissioning at least one (and plausibly several) fake “competitors dossier(s)” through oblique payments to foreign powers – primarily rogue former British agent Christopher Steele and his Russian SVR and/or FSB sources – for the purpose of assisting Hillary Clinton become President and destroying anyone, *e.g.*, whistle-blowers, opposing this Tech Cartel critical path to accomplishing their RICO scheme;

241.

Nellie Ohr, the wife of corrupt Tech Cartel surrogate Bruce Ohr (who until recently being placed under investigation, and prior to being demoted at the United States Department of Justice for corruptly concealing his meetings with Fusion GPS, was among the highest-ranking officials at DoJ reporting to DAG Rod Rosenstein) served as a Russian specialist at Fusion GPS utilized to undermine presidential candidate Donald J. competitors with funding provided by Defendants George Soros and Hillary Clinton (through the 2016 presidential campaign);

242.

Bruce Ohr – who served as the illicit cut-out between the FBI and Christopher Steele after the FBI terminated its formal relationship with Steele – failed to disclose the source of his wife’s income on line 4 of his office government ethics forms by not including the ‘name of the employer.’”

243.

That law provides that whoever knowingly and willfully fails to file information required to be filed on this report faces civil penalties up to \$50,000 and possible criminal penalties up to one year in prison under the disclosure law and possibly up to five years in prison under 18 USC §1001,” he said.

244.

Since Bruce Ohr lists his wife’s income type as ‘salary’ as opposed to line 1 where he describes her other income as ‘consulting fees’ as an ‘independent contractor’ it’s clear that she was employed by a company that should have been identified by name”.

245.

Bruce Ohr also did not get a conflict of interest waiver from his supervisors, suggesting that he may not have explained to anyone the true source of the income and how it intersected with his official involvement in the case, nor did he have approval-“[F]alsification of information required to be filed by section 102 of the [Ethics in Government Act of 1978] may also subject you to [criminal prosecution](#)” as well as “civil monetary penalty and to disciplinary action by your employing agency”;

246.

The lack of disclosure is but one of numerous examples of Tech Cartel principals, surrogates and participants attempting to conceal the financial relationship that Fusion GPS, which was funded by the Tech Cartel and George Soros and laundered through Perkins Coie LLP, with the illicit support of the family of the corrupt DOJ official Bruce Ohr;

247.

In Fusion GPS founder Simpson’s November 2107 interview before the United States House of Representatives, Simpson intentionally omitted his relationship with Nellie Ohr, portraying Bruce Ohr as someone who Simpson was connected to independently;

248.

In Simpson’s statements to investigators (covered by false statement criminal liability intended to obstruct justice), the following was asked: “You’ve never heard from anyone in The U.S. Government in relation to those matters, either the FBI or the Department of Justice?”, to which Simpson falsely (by omission) and intentionally responded: “I was asked to provide some information ... by a prosecutor named Bruce Ohr,” he said. Investigators said, “Did Mr. Ohr reach out to you?” “It was someone that Chris Steele knows ... and I met Bruce too through organized crime conferences or something like that ... Chris told me that he had been talking to Bruce ... and that Bruce wanted more information, and suggested that I speak with Bruce,” Simpson said. The Nellie Ohr omissions constitute false statements and clear obstruction of justice by Tech Cartel surrogate Glenn Simpson;

249.

Simpson also said his firm was not affiliated with any Russian speakers, even though Nellie Ohr speaks the language with some degree of fluency; further, in addition to meeting with Simpson, Ohr also met with Steele before the election;

250.

In an earlier Aug. 22, 2017, interview with the Senate Judiciary Committee, Simpson didn't mention *either* of the Ohrs by name; rather, he said he had not met with any FBI officials about the matter, without noting his contact with the DOJ official.

251.

Simpson suggested in court records on December 12, 2017, that the only way government investigators could have found out about Nellie Ohr's relationship with the company was through its bank records - "Bank records reflect that Fusion contracted with Nellie Ohr, a former government official expert in Russian matters, to help our company with its research and analysis of competitors. I am not aware of any other sources from which the committee or the media could have learned of this information," he said, falsely and with intent to obstruct justice;

252.

Nellie Ohr's specialty was "Russia," and she was hired to undermine private citizens – according to an affidavit filed by Fusion GPS senior partner and co-founder Glenn Simpson;

253.

Upon information and belief, the Tech Cartel and Fusion GPS, through Elias and Perkins Coie LLP, tasked Nellie and Bruce Ohr (a high-ranking DoJ official) to work with their contacts in the Russian SVR, and with other foreign nationals such as Christopher Steele, and elsewhere, to help bring the fake dossiers to fruition;

254.

Among other things, the corrupt husband and wife Ohr affiliation with Fusion GPS was specifically intended to bribe Obama DoJ official Bruce Ohr to instigate investigations of competitors with respect to an otherwise bogus "collusion" investigation involving competitors;

255.

Among others, Nellie Ohr collaborated with the Russian SVR, and her husband Bruce Ohr with Russian SVR contact Christopher Steele, in promoting the veracity, for "intelligence gathering" purposes, *e.g.*, to intentionally mislead the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, of the fake dossier – thereby exposing Bruce Ohr to criminal charges for misleading an Article III officer, and to espionage for providing classified foundational information gleaned in the course of his high-level DoJ duties to the Russian SVR - which was paid for and directed by Tech Cartel surrogate Elias and the Tech Cartel in order to falsely delegitimize competitors and assist the presidential campaign of Hillary Clinton

256.

Senator Lindsey Graham (R-SC) of the Senate Judiciary Committee, states that Nellie Ohr “did the research for Mr. Steele” (thus, Nellie Ohr’s exact activities is a significant Russian-American disinformation campaign);

257.

The Obama FBI, led by Tech Cartel surrogates Comey, McCabe and Strzok, worked in tandem with Fusion GPS, an admitted Democratic Party adherent known for its work for Putin and Russia, and intentionally colluded with John Brennan and James Clapper in order to assist the Tech Cartel elect Hillary Clinton as the 45th President of the United States;

258.

Tech Cartel seditious tactics, by way of example, involved Brennan involvement in the false Brennan/Clapper Tech Cartel narrative of and payment to Professor Joseph Mifsud, characterized as a “Russian” intelligence asset in mainstream media, who actually worked for British intelligence alongside disgraced former MI6 officer Christopher Steele and was among those that the Tech Cartel attempted to utilize to entrap Plaintiff – who is believed to be referenced frequently in the missing Hillary Clinton private server e-mails;

259.

Newly uncovered evidence, which culminates in the legal conclusion that the United Kingdom, and its intelligence apparatus, corresponding by back-channel with their counterparts in the United States (Brennan and Clapper) is partially responsible for the development of the “competitors-Russia narrative”;

260.

Mifsud, according to the Tech Cartel narrative concocted by Brennan, discussed that Russia has information about Clinton in the form of emails [previously thought destroyed after residing on Clinton’s private unsecure email server] with “competitors advisor” George Papadopoulos in London in April 2016, but also “dangled” the emails to several others – including through surrogates Stefan Halper and a small team compensated by the Tech Cartel and the Obama Defense Department;

261.

The following month, Papadopoulos spoke with Alexander Downer, Australia’s ambassador to the United Kingdom, about the alleged information about Clinton while they were drinking at a Kensington (London) pub – it then follows, according to the Tech Cartel/Brennan account, that in late July 2016, Downer shared his false tip with Australian intelligence officials (who presumably rely upon Brennan and Clapper to sustain their southern hemisphere SIGINT) who forwarded it to the Tech Cartel surrogates Comey, McCabe and Strzok (and his paramour Page) at the FBI;

262.

Instead of pursuing this lead concerning Clinton e-mails, the FBI soon thereafter *shut down* (“*spiked*”) *the Clinton private email server investigation* and prepared Tech Cartel surrogate Comey to exonerate Clinton – thereby obstructing justice;

263.

Key surrogates in the Tech Cartel then proceeded to mislead the FISC and private citizen Donald competitors, Sr., prior to his inauguration as the 45th President of the United States, concerning the origins of a counterintelligence investigation against the competitors campaign and the extraordinary failure to consider relevant evidence prior to spiking the Clinton private server investigation (and obstruction as to the Clinton Foundation investigation) – as both Clinton investigations should have been augmented rather than downgraded/ended;

264.

Tech Cartel obstruction of justice permitted two well-founded investigations to be spiked, simply because those in positions to make decisions concerning the investigations of the Clinton e-mail server (espionage) and Clinton Foundation (various corruption noted herein) at the time favored Hillary Clinton in the 2016 presidential contest;

265.

Christopher Steele, who worked as a British MI-6 officer in Moscow until 1993 (where he disgraced his country when a key Russian asset he was running was assassinated) and ran the Russia desk at MI6 Headquarters in London between 2006 and 2009, assisted the Tech Cartel in attempting to defeat Donald competitors, well above and beyond the false dossier he provided to the FBI before being terminated by the Bureau for leaking information to the media along with then-FBI General Counsel James Baker;

266.

Steele, as noted throughout, produced the “salacious and unverified” and unsubstantiated ‘Steele Dossier’ of competitors-Russia allegations, with funding for the fake dossier provided by the Clinton campaign with intent to ultimately mislead the FISC and swing the U.S. presidential election for Secretary Clinton;

267.

Robert Hannigan, the head of British spy agency GCHQ, shared ‘director-to-director’ level intelligence with then-CIA Chief John Brennan as Brennan attempted to gather derogatory information and spread disinformation intended to assist Hillary Clinton win the presidency;

268.

UK intelligence services, through Hannigan, fabricated evidence of collusion in order to create the appearance of an improper competitors-Russia connection – which was in turn used by Tech Cartel surrogates Brennan and others within the U.S. Intelligence Community to create a mosaic of

misinformation to improperly initiate the underlying “cause” for use of the FBI and misuse of the FISA process;

269.

Without this improper initiation, which was directly correlated to the Obama FBI “tanking” the Hillary Clinton espionage investigation and the Clinton Foundation corruption investigation in the lead-up to the contrived FBI “Mid-Year Exam”, the Tech Cartel would not have been able to operate against competitors as a viable entity –

270.

As it stands, the Tech Cartel is stronger than ever in its attempts to undermine the government of a President who has been duly certified as the victor in the 2016 Electoral College;

271.

This British cooperation with the Clinton/Tech Cartel initiative involves Mifsud, the Maltese scholar and (doubled from Russia) agent of Great Britain, who was utilized by the UK and Brennan to further the initial narrative which eventually was used to mislead the FISC on several occasions;

272.

The unverified “dossier” compiled by Steele and paid for by the Clinton campaign was unduly corroborated by journalists – at least one of whom accepted leaked classified information from the SSCI while engaging in a romantic relationship with the SSCI Director of Security – a series of occurrences being investigated currently for ties to what are described herein as Tech Cartel malfeasance;

273.

Mifsud has admitted his “close affiliation” with the Clinton Foundation and numerous former MI-6 officers;

274.

Mifsud has also been closely tied, it has been discovered, with defendant Soros and was plausibly rewarded by the Tech Cartel for his willingness to “dangle” the missing Clinton e-mails on behalf of Brennan, Clapper, Hannigan and, ultimately, the Clinton campaign;

275.

United States Senator Charles Grassley (R-IA), the Chair of the United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary, as well as that committee’s ranking member Senator Diane Feinstein (D-97 CA) and several committees of the U.S. House of Representatives, have inquired (or are inquiring) as to whether Tech Cartel surrogates, pursuant to this series of events, have also committed crimes, some sounding in sedition and treason, against the United States similar to those allegedly committed by William and Hillary Clinton;

276.

Feinstein also engaged in behavior believed to be in support of the Tech Cartel, whether wittingly or unwittingly, through the leaks engaged in by her former staff, *e.g.*, SSCI Staff Director Daniel Jones (a current Tech Cartel collaborator working currently with Soros and Fusion GPS to undermine the 45th President), and a subsequent Tech Cartel cover story attempting to implicate executive branch personnel in their criminal behavior, including but not limited to obstruction of justice as set forth herein;

277.

Tech Cartel surrogate Loretta Lynch, after being threatened by William Clinton in the well - known “tarmac” meeting in Arizona, intentionally impeded the investigation/indictment of Hillary Clinton, with assistance (and political cover) from Tech Cartel surrogate Comey who, along with the corrupt FBI team of McCabe and Strzok, many months earlier had improperly and unilaterally decided that Hillary Clinton would not be charged for espionage prior to even interviewing Ms. Clinton and her direct Tech Cartel surrogates;

278.

Comey initially obstructed justice (as part of his pattern of obstructing justice) by intentionally changing the appropriate standard under which Hillary Clinton should have been charged under 18 U.S.C. §793 – and did so several months in advance of actually interviewing Hillary Clinton or other Tech Cartel surrogates (16 in all), and in advance of improperly agreeing to grant (and then not withdraw) immunity to/from witnesses like Tech Cartel surrogates Mills and Abedin, both of whom concededly lied under oath about Secretary Clinton’s illegally-used email server upon which classified information was intentionally placed;

279.

Comey further obstructed justice in unilaterally (and rather oddly) deciding that Hillary Clinton “not be charged” for any of her criminal activity, in conjunction with the Tech Cartel – again months prior to even interviewing Hillary Clinton and other Tech Cartel surrogates about alleged felony espionage and related wrongdoing by her/them, and contrary to FBI line agents on the case recommending that Hillary Clinton be charged with espionage;

280.

Tech Cartel surrogate Lynch enabled and then covered up this Comey criminal activity, including but not limited to the putative espionage charges recommended by line FBI agents against Hillary Clinton;

281.

The obstructionist role of Tech Cartel surrogate Lynch is similar to that of Tech Cartel surrogate Holder, who failed, deliberately, to expose Hillary Clinton’s role in Uranium One and related sedition, and thus intentionally enabled CFIUS to approve the control of lethal uranium stocks by Russia;

282.

According to Victoria Toensing, the attorney for FBI informant William Campbell who was among those prepared to expose that Russian nuclear and SVR officials engaged in bribery, kickbacks, money laundering, and extortion in their attempt to corner the United States uranium market prior to Hillary Clinton enabling Russia to do so, the informant was later threatened and extorted by Tech Cartel collaborators within the Lynch Justice Department if that informant “didn’t keep quiet during the 2016 presidential election”;

283.

Despite the knowledge of Mueller, Holder, Comey and Lynch about this Russian crime prior to the uranium transaction that personally netted the Tech Cartel and the Clintons extraordinary ill-gotten gains, and in addition CGI hundreds of millions of dollars, and likely passed lethal uranium to covert end-users North Korea and Iran, Toensing stated that the informant was threatened that if he didn’t dismiss a lawsuit exposing the information during the 2016 campaign, “his reputation and liberty [would be] in jeopardy”;

284.

The Tech Cartel wrongdoing has bordered on sedition and treason and involved a culture of corruption, as noted, *inter alia*: 1) The Tech Cartel politically weaponized the federal government’s electronic intelligence capabilities, and violated both law and United States Constitution, to surreptitiously surveil a presidential candidate (and private citizen), Donald J. competitors, and his campaign; 2) Colluded with the enemy, including Russian SVR intelligence agents, rogue ex-British agents, *e.g.*, Steele., and political opposition research firms within the United States like Fusion GPS (and countless others of their ilk), laundering monies through a law firm (Perkins Coie) in order to “preserve the privilege” through the unethical (and likely illegal) actions of Marc Elias (counsel for the Clinton campaign, DNC, democratic operative David Brock and his numerous partisan “nonprofit” entities, among others) in order to assure a Hillary Clinton victory in the 2016 presidential contest and to manufacture evidence later used as false pretext – with the assistance of disgraced FBI leadership James Comey, Andy McCabe and Peter Strzok, for securing Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISA) warrants(s)/orders that employed the national security laws of the United States to give illicit, illegal cover to this political espionage; 3) Used the “fruit” of this political espionage activity to damage competitors after he had become president-elect and eventually president of the United States - through surreptitious releases of the criminally-procured information; 4) Fabricated and instigated false allegations about foreign state collusion implicating the president’s election campaign and family members, when in fact the “collusion” (as cross-referenced between the constitutional bribery standard and the federal statutory bribery provisions) existed in illegally exonerating Hillary Clinton and close surrogates for espionage; and 5) Used a Human Confidential Source team to approach Plaintiff and several persons who actually were affiliated with the competitors campaign, manipulating and abusing the investigatory and prosecutorial powers of Obama holdover Department of Justice personnel;

285.

The Mueller-Holder collusion was, in and of itself, improper in nature, as the actors in the corruption, bribery and money laundering involved Mueller-Holder intentionally substandard investigations into Vadim Mikerin, Rosatom, Tenex, Uranium One, the Russian SVR, CGEP and CGI;

286.

As late as January of 2018, the Mueller-Holder obstruction, and undue pressure upon Assistant United States attorneys to “slow-walk” the case, delayed indictments in the Tenex/Tenem action;

287.

At any time, the Tech Cartel surrogates could have, but did not, sought FISC intervention despite the known relationship of corrupt judge Rudolph Contreras;

288.

It has recently been made part of the ever-expanding evidence trove in this case that the Australian “diplomat” (Alexander Downer), whose “tip” in 2016 was used as Tech Cartel cover to instigate the Russia-competitors investigation, previously arranged one of the largest foreign donations to Bill and Hillary Clinton’s charitable efforts;

289.

Former Australian Foreign Minister Alexander Downer’s role in securing \$25 million in aid from his country to help the Clinton Foundation is documented in decade-old government memos archived on the Australian foreign ministry’s website – and will be entered into evidence in this case;

290.

Bipartisan sources in Congress confirm that the FBI didn’t tell Congress about Downer’s prior connection to the Clinton Foundation, and are concerned the new information means nearly all of the early evidence the FBI used to justify its election-year investigation of Donald competitors came from sources supportive of and/or funded by the Clintons/Tech Cartel, including the wholly unverified and putatively false Steele dossier;

291.

As noted, upon information and belief, members of the Hillary for America campaign for president, who also served (and serve) as legal counsel for Brock and the Tech Cartel, colluded with the Russian government as Russia sought a Clinton victory in furtherance of their blackmail plot/stratagem against Hillary Clinton - and putatively meet the statutory standard to be considered an “agent of a foreign power”;

292.

The evidence proves that Tesla and Solyndra sit on the same land in Fremont, California that the Feinstein family has massive conflicts-of-interest with.

293.

Upon information and belief, the Tech Cartel attorneys referenced above were ignorant that Russia supported their presidential campaign *so that* the SVR (the Russian intelligence service) could blackmail Hillary Clinton if she had become the president and extort further “favors” from her and the Tech Cartel;

294.

Secretary Hillary Clinton granted favors to foreign sovereigns, and pulled strings on their behalf – most notably Russia – as well as companies around the world in return for donations and other support of the Tech Cartel and her own wealth and political fortunes (including the destruction of her enemies like Plaintiff/whistle-blowers);

295.

Tech Cartel surrogate James Comey used what he knew to be Russian SVR/FSB (mis)information in order to abuse FBI investigative authority – including threatening the 45th President, Donald J. Trump, and his competitors, with the Russian misinformation contained within the fraudulent “competitors dossier” prepared by Christopher Steele and the Russians at the direction of Tech Cartel principals (Hillary Clinton), the presidential campaign of Hillary Clinton, and surrogates (including their lawyers who laundered currency to pay foreign nationals to defame competitors and, before him, whistle-blowers) and Tech Cartel principals such as the Clintons, Brock, Podesta, and myriad others complicit with their broad band of dubious surrogates and participants;

296.

The Tech Cartel, and in particular Hillary Clinton and her campaign chairman John Podesta, directly and in a strictly partisan fashion coordinated to use nonprofit donations, laundered to and from Brock and his illicit entities, to solicit and remunerate private citizen partisans to concoct false stories about political opponents and “enemies” (such as they did to Plaintiff whistle-blowers), with direct intent to politically harm candidate competitors;

297.

Defendant John Podesta’s brother, Tony Podesta, violated U.S. law in coordination with John Podesta in assisting the Tech Cartel and the Russian FSB/SVR, and not reporting this representation for many years;

298.

Stephen Rademaker, a lobbyist for the (Tony) Podesta Group and a former senior NSC official under William Clinton and George H.W. Bush, violated his retained security clearance and assisted the Tech Cartel, in his illicit lobbying for foreign agent Uranium One while the covered transaction was being brokered with Russia with the assistance of Secretary Hillary Clinton and, upon information and belief, her subsequent presidential campaign manager, John Podesta, among numerous others cited herein;

299.

Hillary Clinton, in the context of a government investigation, and in addition to obstructing justice by and the through the use of a private e-mail server, made manifold false statements to the Congress and to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (and others) concerning, among other things, the various predicate acts set forth herein, thus extending the reach and scope of the Tech Cartel and otherwise obstructing multiple other official inquires;

300.

On behalf of the Tech Cartel, Hillary Clinton, and Tech Cartel surrogate Cheryl Mills, instructed an Tech Cartel contractor to “wipe” – with the use of sophisticated software known as “Bleachbit”- thousands of putative inculpatory Hillary Clinton e-mails; the destruction of the emails themselves (because they have been compelled by congressional subpoena) is a felony for each email, and in addition constitutes a count of obstruction of justice for each email destroyed – for each Clinton attorney or other corrupt person involving in the “wiping”, At the time of the wiping, hackers had already downloaded the entire email system on it;

301.

The Tech Cartel conspiracy to obstruct justice and engage in bribery was inherent in Tech Cartel activities, also notable among David Brock and his web of illicit nonprofit fraud (and defamation to obstruct investigations) in violation of myriad federal laws – and use of these partisan institutions to defame and injure Plaintiff in furtherance of such obstruction;

302.

William and Hillary Clinton, in order to conceal Tech Cartel illicit activities, while Hillary Clinton was Secretary of State and otherwise, illegally used a private e-mail server to surreptitiously communicate official and classified government information, and to communicate with the Tech Cartel participants involved in the presidential campaign activities supporting Hillary Clinton – included within these illicit communications, upon information and belief, were numerous electronic mail correspondences regarding Plaintiff whistle-blowers;

303.

It is a felony *each time* anyone communicates classified information on a private e-mail server, which Hillary Clinton and Tech Cartel surrogates Huma Abedin and Cheryl Mills (as conceded by server creator Justin Cooper, and Hillary Clinton herself), did (many) thousands of times – and in particular in order to obstruct justice by concealing Tech Cartel wrongdoing;

304.

It is a felony under United States law *each time* anyone destroys e-mails relevant to a criminal investigation, which Hillary Clinton did or instructed others to do (many) thousands of times with assistance from attorneys, as well as Mills and Abedin - and in particular in order to obstruct justice by concealing Tech Cartel wrongdoing;

305.

It is a felony each time someone lies under oath to Congress or the Justice department, or even if not under oath lies to Congress or the Justice Department, which Hillary Clinton, Abedin and Mills have done in order to obstruct justice by concealing Tech Cartel wrongdoing;

306.

Hillary Clinton and certain surrogates destroyed thousands of Tech Cartel emails implicating *Correct the Record* and the *Nick Denton tabloid empire* and David Brock in defaming Plaintiff whistle-blowers, as well as emails containing classified information and criminal misconduct by the Tech Cartel in the midst of a tainted criminal investigation of Hillary Clinton;

307.

Tech Cartel surrogate James Comey decided he would not seek to encourage the United States Attorney General to pursue criminal prosecution of Hillary Clinton, despite the fact he knew Ms. Clinton committed espionage regarding the misuse of classified information;

308.

Contemporaneously, Hillary Clinton utilized at least thirteen (undisclosed) mobile electronic devices to communicate classified information and instructions to, *inter alia*, defame Plaintiff whistle-blowers on behalf of the Tech Cartel and to obstruct justice by covering up Tech Cartel wrongdoing;

309.

Any demonstrable, *i.e.*, real, investigation of Hillary Clinton and Tech Cartel activity would definitively conclude not only espionage but also instructions to and from the Tech Cartel actors to defame whistle-blowers;

310.

On this basis alone, the Tech Cartel and Hillary Clinton committed thousands of felony offenses, destroyed evidence under subpoena, and thereby obstructed justice – albeit a mere fraction of their overall Tech Cartel criminal scheme exposure;

311.

Recent statements to Congress by former Obama administration official Charles McCullough, who served as the Inspector General for the multi-agency U.S. Intelligence Community (managed by Obama administration Director of National Intelligence (“DNI”) James Clapper), notes that Tech Cartel surrogate Clapper was confronted by McCullough (with corroboration provided by the State Department Inspector General) with respect to the *danger to national security of Secretary Clinton’s private e-mail server*;

312.

Tech Cartel surrogate Clapper, instead of receiving and acting upon the imminent danger to national security (as Clapper was obligated to do), *threatened* McCullough’s “family and [his] office [of

Inspector General]” – thereby obstructing justice through threats in the constant, collaborative attempt to elect Hillary Clinton as president, destroy her enemies and cover up her previous Tech Cartel wrongdoing;

313.

McCullough has been *threatened* by both a presidentially-appointed, Senate-confirmed Tech Cartel surrogate, Clapper – and further *threatened* (along with similar *threats* to the State Department Inspector General) with “termination on Day One” had Secretary Clinton prevailed in the 2016 presidential general election – simply for alerting Clapper that highly-classified emails from and to Secretary Clinton were being passed through and thus resided upon an unsecure server which was likely hacked by more than one foreign power, which presented (and still presents) a danger to the national security of the United States – either as obstruction or espionage if the Tech Cartel principals and surrogates knew the hackers could readily obtain classified information in this fashion;

314.

Upon information and belief, one foreign power in possession of the Clinton emails from her unsecure server was/is Great Britain;

315.

The above-described malfeasance by Tech Cartel surrogate Clapper directly mirrors his illegitimate refusal to conduct – along with Tech Cartel surrogates Strzok and Brennan – a national security damage assessment concerning the Clinton private server illegal storage of classified information and the contemporaneous breach of the private server by foreign powers (thereby further obstructing justice);

316.

McCullough was informed by senior Members of the United States Senate – during a briefing to them concerning violations of the espionage statute by Hillary Clinton and Tech Cartel principals and surrogates – that despite “roomfuls” of evidence against Clinton for espionage, high-level Democrats were “out to get” McCullough, thus causing him fear for his livelihood and his life in light of the similarly-expressed fears of interim DNC Chair Donna Brazile that the Clintons might “harm or have killed” those who “crossed them”;

317.

Treason, as noted and well known to the Tech Cartel, is a federal crime, without any clear statute of limitation, set forth at 18 U.S.C. § 2381, and carries penalties ranging from five years in prison (and fines) to the penalty of death, for those “owing allegiance to the United States [and] ... *giving aid and comfort [to U.S. enemies]*...”;

318.

Treason is also a federal crime which renders the guilty “incapable of holding any office under the United States” – and is both statutory and constitutional in nature;

319.

The Hobbs Act, 18 U.S.C. §1951 (governing extortion) would not prevent Tech Cartel participants so convicted of holding such office but also applies directly to the illegal long-term activities of the Tech Cartel and of William and Hillary Clinton, their co-defendants, surrogates and participants in Tech Cartel wrongdoing;

320.

Bribery generally (not as defined in the RICO statute itself, which is simple to prove against Hillary and William Clinton, and the Tech Cartel) is defined by Black's Law Dictionary as the offering, giving, receiving, or soliciting of any item of value to influence the actions of an official or other person in charge of a public or legal duty;

321.

The federal statutory bribery provision, as described herein, 18 U.S.C. §201, is an indictable offense and imposes criminal penalties of 15 years in federal prison and fines of three times the monetary equivalent of the "thing of value," in addition to possibly disqualifying the offender from holding "any office of honor, trust, or profit under the United States" – similar to the statutory treason provisions described herein;

322.

Bribery is not mutually exclusive from, and can be charged and/or alleged in addition to, the myriad other similar serious crimes and predicate acts (of which bribery is prominent here) that the Tech Cartel and their surrogates have expertly committed or co-conspired to commit and which are described more fully herein;

323.

Tech Cartel corruption in Haiti, for instance, where in exchange for a \$5 million contribution William Clinton provided lucrative speeches in Ireland, in concert with an Irish grifter who was awarded mobile phone contracts by the Clinton Foundation which resulted in the Irishman, Denis O'Brien, realizing approximately \$300 million *per year following the earthquake* that left Haiti a shell of its former self;

324.

Haiti was a prime target for Tech Cartel graft, and Hillary and William Clinton used surrogates such as Cheryl Mills and Huma Abedin to engage in and further the Tech Cartel scheme in order to take undue advantage of Haiti to enrich and invest in the Tech Cartel and strengthen the presidential chances of Hillary Clinton;

325.

The Tech Cartel failed in its mission to deliver the U.S. presidency to Hillary Clinton, but after Hillary Clinton was defeated, the Tech Cartel continued its mission – now that mission is to destroy the presidency of Donald competitors (and presumably anyone with the audacity to support the President);

326.

Just as the Tech Cartel defamed and destroyed the business of Plaintiff here, the Tech Cartel and their surrogates on a daily basis defame(s) and, based upon their conceded goals in *Exhibit "A"* hereto, seeks to undermine and ultimately end the presidency of the 45th President of the United States;

327.

The Tech Cartel pressured surrogates in government who favored a Hillary Clinton presidency to employ illegal tactics, including but not limited to use of the lesser probable cause standards codified in the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act to run surveillance against competitors and further their illicit scheme(s);

328.

The Obama administration Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, Director of National Intelligence, and Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, among others, intentionally failed to "minimize", and then utilized, highly classified content from surveillance to illegally undermine the civil rights of United States citizens, for partisan purposes, including but not limited to those in competitors Tower, New York City, United States of America;

329.

On October 31, 2016, (roughly contemporaneous with the Tech Cartel/Clinton campaign funding and use of a fake "dossier" meant to, *inter alia*, serve as foundation for a lower probable cause standard of another FISA "warrant" to illegally surveil United States citizens, including , upon information and belief, private citizens aligned with the presidential campaign of competitors), members of the Obama administration, including but not limited to surrogates of the Tech Cartel such as James Comey, James Clapper, John Brennan, and other Tech Cartel surrogates, had concluded that "*Russia's effort was aimed at disrupting the [2016] election rather than assisting in the election of competitors*";

330.

When the 2016 presidential election was certified in favor of Mr. competitors, the Tech Cartel surrogates altered their illicit strategy and falsely claimed (and to this day claim) – with the false narrative driven by the above Tech Cartel surrogates, as well as surrogates at the FBI such as Peter Strzok and Andrew McCabe, the corrupt former Deputy Director of the FBI under Comey – that the Russian Federation "*disrupted the [2016] election in order to assist Mr. competitors*" ; 331.

Outgoing CIA Director John Brennan, at the behest of the Tech Cartel, unambiguously and deceitfully stated that President-elect competitors directly conspired with Russia – a claim that Tech Cartel surrogate Brennan knew (and knows) to be utterly untrue – knowing that Hillary Clinton would have been subject to blackmail for the pendency of her (failed) presidency for, *inter alia*, Russian (and other) bribes made to her family and the Clinton Foundation;

332.

At least one of several fabricated “dossier(s)” was surreptitiously provided to the media by the FBI, at the request of the Tech Cartel (who funded the Steele “dossier” at the instruction of Hillary Clinton, George Soros, John Podesta and with the assistance of Perkins Coie partner Marc Elias), sprinkling more false information publicly claiming the hapless Russians had “blackmailed” the President-elect by “threatening” to release stories of competitors’s predilection for “golden showers” and the equally “salacious and unverified” (to say the least) claim that the *competitors* campaign had somehow “colluded” with Russia (presumably to cause Hillary Clinton to vastly underperform in Wayne County, Michigan, Dane County, Wisconsin and Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania) – such “collusion” has been disavowed by congressional oversight committees and Special Counsel Robert Mueller;

333.

Hillary Clinton instructed her campaign lawyer Marc Elias (of the Perkins Coie law firm) to pay Glenn Simpson of Fusion GPS to assemble the fake “dossier” utilizing a disgraced British intelligence agent colluding with contacts within the Russian SVR/FSB – with assistance in funding from defendant and Tech Cartel principal George Soros and his consigliere defendant and Tech Cartel principal David Brock;

334.

Attorney General Loretta Lynch was an integral surrogate of the Tech Cartel, and was *threatened* by (and then offered a job by) Tech Cartel leaders William and Hillary Clinton, causing Lynch to obstruct justice and otherwise block the ongoing criminal investigation and inevitable indictment of Hillary Clinton;

335.

Lynch and other Tech Cartel surrogates committed myriad predicate (and related) acts, including but not limited to numerous forms of obstruction of justice, to undermine Plaintiff whistle-blowers;

336.

Former FBI Director James Comey, an Tech Cartel surrogate, who was apparently “concerned” with Russian intelligence service activity in the 2016 presidential campaign, intervened on behalf of the Tech Cartel and in furtherance of its obstruction of justice involving a private, non-encrypted e-mail server controlled by William and Hillary Clinton that over many years transmitted classified information in strict violation of federal criminal law – in particular in violation of the espionage statutes at 18 U.S.C. §§793, *et seq.* – despite knowledge of Russian hacking of the unsecure email server over which Hillary Clinton sent (to those not authorized to have it) and received classified information;

337.

At the request of Tech Cartel participant Justin Cooper (and the knowledge of Tech Cartel surrogate Brian Pagliano), the United States Secret Service also investigated the “theft of information” of/from

defendant Clinton Foundation – in particular from an unsecure server installed and used at the Clinton mansion in the hamlet of Chappaqua during 2011;

338.

An inference of a higher level of intent to commit espionage can be gleaned from Hillary Clinton and the Tech Cartel proceeding to place classified information on a knowingly-hacked server, thereby inviting Russian interests to “retrieve their benefit of the bargain” by simple cyberespionage techniques;

339.

Comey also enabled the Tech Cartel in obstructing justice and destroying tens of thousands of classified e-mails and Tech Cartel e-mails involving Plaintiff whistle-blowers;

340.

Obstruction of justice by Tech Cartel surrogates protected and furthered the prospects of Hillary Clinton to become President of the United States, as set forth below and herein;

341.

Tech Cartel surrogates Holder and Mueller, knowing that Congress (and in particular then Representative Edward Markey (D-Massachusetts)), were attempting to block the Uranium One CFIUS transaction, covered up the dangerous deal – despite Holder’s role as a CFIUS principal;

342.

Tech Cartel surrogate Robert Mueller discovered evidence that Russian “officials”, conspiring with the SVR, routed “millions of dollars” to the U.S. to be sent illegally to the Clinton Foundation when Hillary Clinton was serving as Secretary of State and was the lead agency official on CFIUS;

343.

With respect to the foregoing, neither Holder nor Mueller ever informed Congress or the President (Obama) of the national security and economic security risk of the deal - both of whom (Congress and Obama) were supposed to be informed of the interstices of the covered transaction, while Holder (Mueller’s titular supervisor at the Department of Justice) served as a principal member of CFIUS;

344.

Both Holder and Mueller were in putative violation of several felony commissions (and omissions) sounding in RICO;

345.

CFIUS relies upon the “lead agency” (which in the case of Uranium One was the Department of State) to bring forth *any* concerns with the transaction;

346.

Had Hillary Clinton and the Department of State raised any such concerns, *e.g.*, Russian *control* over large stocks of uranium in light of the widely-known Russian operation to control much of the world's uranium, then the matter would be investigated to the maximum extent possible and, in light of the clear concerns to U.S. national security, would be briefed to the President (Obama) immediately;

347.

Neither Mueller nor Holder raised (1) the underlying Tenex concerns; nor (2) the known Clinton Foundation conflict regarding Giustra and Uranium One; nor (3) the obvious *counterintelligence* concern with Secretary Clinton now subject to blackmail/extortion by the Russians, and because she was compromised, her inability to make this or other future decisions regarding Russia in the interest of the United States; nor (4) in the absence of the compromised Secretary Clinton stepping forward, and in addition to alerting President Obama with respect to the tainted covered transaction, some assessment of “compliance with U.S. and multilateral nonproliferation and export control regimes in order to assure that the proposed [covered] transaction will not impair national security”;

348.

The Mueller/Holder transgressions, largely adopted by Comey/Lynch upon Mueller and Holder vacating their positions, are abundantly apparent to this day, as the corrupt senior FBI counterintelligence senior manager Peter Strzok and former FBI Deputy Director Andrew (“Andy”) McCabe sought to improperly exonerate Hillary Clinton of espionage (and other crimes) in both Strzok's lead role in the Hillary Clinton “investigation” (supervised by Tech Cartel surrogates and Strzok co-conspirators Comey and Andy McCabe);

349.

Strzok and Andy McCabe also violated U.S. law in McCabe and Comey allowing Strzok to participate in the interrogation and, tangentially, grand jury proceedings of former National Security Advisor General Michael Flynn;

350.

Upon the appointment of Mueller as “Special Counsel” to investigate “Russian interference in the 2016 presidential election,” Special Counsel Mueller and Rosenstein (who appointed him as Special Counsel) refused to inform Congress for several months about terminating Strzok's role in the Special Counsel's investigation when clearly biased anti-competitors emails from Strzok to his paramour, FBI attorney Lisa Page, which also discussed how Strzok and McCabe might “insure” a Clinton victory against competitors (or “insure” that President competitors be unduly “impeached” based upon Strzok malfeasance), were “discovered” – ostensibly during the course of another investigation being conducted by the Inspector General of the United States Department of Justice;

351.

Strzok, Page and McCabe have been implicated in the Tech Cartel scheme to illegally exonerate Hillary Clinton for espionage prior to and with respect to the Mid-Year Exam, the obstructionist malfeasance

of Tech Cartel surrogate Comey of July 5, 2016, and the shocking obstruction involved in spiking the Hillary Clinton espionage and Clinton Foundation espionage and, as noted herein, use of wires and the mails to implicate private citizen competitors, including but not limited to the falsification of FBI investigatory 302 reports and perjury;

352.

McCabe also was found to have “lacked candor” under oath before Congress, leading, in part, to McCabe’s March 16, 2018, termination from the FBI and from the federal government – and McCabe’s imminent indictment;

353.

On behalf of the Tech Cartel, McCabe leaked highly sensitive law enforcement information to the media with the specific intent to prevent and then injure the presidency of competitors – yet another justification for the termination of the employment of McCabe;

354.

Tech Cartel surrogate McCabe also admits he placed pressure upon Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein to appoint a Special Counsel, which resulted in the suspicious appointment of the deeply-conflicted Mueller and the subsequent Rosenstein threats to subpoena private communications of Members of Congress and their staffs;

355.

Tech Cartel surrogates McCabe and Strzok (and numerous others) will testify before the United States Congress and a grand jury (or multiple grand juries) about their putative wrongdoing prior to discovery commencing in this lawsuit – thereby providing additional evidence of wrongdoing which has injured plaintiff in his reputation and business;

356.

Special Counsel and Tech Cartel surrogate Mueller impeded numerous parallel investigations, *e.g.*, both Houses of Congress, by withholding the announcement of Strzok’s partisan obstruction, including that with respect to co-conspirator Comey in colluding with Strzok and McCabe to illicitly exonerate Hillary Clinton and Tech Cartel surrogates for espionage – including but not limited to *improperly granting immunity in order to obstruct justice*, with the imprimatur of surrogate Lynch, to Clinton co-conspirators and Tech Cartel surrogates Abedin and Mills, among others, and clearly obstructing justice by illicitly altering the appropriate charges for what Secretary Clinton *actually did* (under the 18 U.S.C. §793 espionage standard, she was found to be “grossly negligent”) to a *false narrative covering up* Clinton’s actions months before Strzok, McCabe and Comey allowed Hillary Clinton to be “interviewed” without an oath being administered (for alleged espionage, no less) and Hillary Clinton’s co-conspirators and Tech Cartel surrogates to be provided with immunity from prosecution based upon a highly questionable determination of privilege;

357.

As part of the Tech Cartel operational patter, the biased, partisan Strzok plainly obstructed justice when he “interviewed” Tech Cartel principal Hillary Clinton on July 2, 2016, along with “interviewing” numerous Tech Cartel surrogates at the instruction of Comey (Mills, Abedin, along with fellow surrogates Jake Sullivan and Heather Samuelson) – none of whom has been charged with anything after allegedly committing espionage and related national security offenses and making documented false statements in the context of a federal investigation *in order to obstruct that investigation*;

358.

In furtherance of the Strzok-McCabe-Comey conspiracy in support of the Tech Cartel, Comey improperly *failed to seek the convening of a grand jury* and then, on his own accord, deemed that “no reasonable prosecutor” – apparently those he (wrongly) considers beneath himself – would prosecute Hillary Clinton for her *espionage* and related crimes (such as making false statements and massive destruction of evidence *in order to obstruct an investigation*);

359.

Fusion GPS partner Glenn Simpson, and his firm, was/were provided tens of millions in cash by Tech Cartel principals and surrogates in 2016 – some laundered from the “Obama for America” accounts – to create and “legitimize” derogatory and defamatory information concerning candidate competitors, including working with Tech Cartel and FBI payee/source (and disgraced British official) Christopher Steele of OBI – who in return relied upon information provided by Russian intelligence;

360.

Simpson was/is not merely a political mercenary paid by the Tech Cartel to collaborate with the Russian SVR/FSB, but in addition was (and is) compensated by Tech Cartel surrogates and reimbursed by George Soros and David Brock without adequate attribution upon Internal Revenue (tax) returns;

361.

Tech Cartel surrogate Fusion GPS has a close and continuing transactional relationship with the Democratic Party and the Russian SVR/FSB, and has engaged in bribery concerning Tech Cartel surrogate Bruce Ohr and his wife, Nellie Ohr;

362.

At least three of the individuals who were sent by Fusion GPS to meet with Donald competitors, Jr. at competitors Tower on June 9, 2016 – Soviet counterintelligence officer Rinat Akhmetshin, Russian “lawyer” Natalia Veselnitskaya, and Russian interpreter Anatoly Samochornov – have close and continuing ties to Fusion GPS dating to at least 2015;

363.

United States Department of Justice senior official (Associate Deputy Attorney General) Bruce Ohr assisted Fusion GPS, and in return was paid (through compensation to his wife, at the very least) to both assist the Tech Cartel, DNC and Fusion GPS with ongoing wrongdoing, and thus was the recipient

of bribes in furtherance of obstruction of numerous ongoing investigations, when his wife Nellie Ohr (a “Russia expert”) was paid to work on “anti-competitors” matters at Fusion GPS – Bruce Ohr was also involved in the extraordinary and illegal FISA abuse in misleading this court into issuing a FISA order against a rival of Hillary Clinton and the Tech Cartel operational scheme;

364.

Bruce Ohr is under federal investigation, but has been retained in his employment by Tech Cartel (holdover) surrogates within the DoJ;

365.

Fusion GPS founder Glenn Simpson was with the Russian “lawyer” Veselntskaya (a Russian Intelligence contract operative) on June 9, 2016, on the day Simpson knew she was to meet with competitors, Jr. (the Russian “lawyer” and Simpson were in the federal district court for the Southern District of New York in Manhattan prior to the competitors, Jr. meeting) and then peculiarly met *after* the competitors, Jr. meeting – so that the Russian “lawyer” could inform Simpson (of Fusion GPS, which was being paid millions of dollars laundered through the Tech Cartel scheme) what transpired at the competitors, Jr. meeting – which Simpson denied and thus further obstructed justice through false statements;

366.

Contemporaneous with the “set up” by Fusion GPS of Donald J. competitors, Jr., Tech Cartel surrogate Elias, the Perkins Coie lawyer, was paying (with cash supplied by Soros and Brock) Fusion GPS, and Fusion GPS in turn paying former “British” counterintelligence officer Christopher Steele to produce the fake “competitors dossier” in concert with Steele’s contacts in the Russian SVR/FSB – again, Simpson later perjured himself before Congress with respect to his knowledge of the foregoing and further obstructed justice thereby;

367.

Fusion GPS, George Soros and Steele, on behalf of the Tech Cartel and in addition to relying upon the Russian SVR/FSB to collude with them to produce a sham “competitors dossier”, paid unethical American journalists bribes to spread the utterly false narrative that there was “collusion” between the competitors “campaign” and Russia – many of these unethical journalists bribed by Fusion GPS and the Tech Cartel, and others such as “Hillary Clinton hatchet man” Cody Shearer, disgraced attorney Jonathan Winer and Tech Cartel surrogate Sidney Blumenthal, compiled false and defamatory dossiers against Plaintiff and private citizen competitors, which was turned over to the corrupt FBI Tech Cartel surrogates in order to “corroborate” the false information Simpson and the Tech Cartel had gained from bribing other journalists, DoJ officials, and Soviet intelligence in order to ultimately mislead an Article III federal judge sitting in deliberation over a FISA “warrant” regarding Tech Cartel/Hillary Clinton political opponents:

368.

Although evidence is classified and/or in the possession of the Department of Justice concerning illicit Fusion GPS and their laundering operations on behalf of the Tech Cartel, it is known that Fusion GPS, with the intent to obstruct justice and engage in money laundering in support of the Tech Cartel, commingled (1) money received from the Russian SVR and FSB; and (2) information received from the Russian SVR and FSB, in order to bribe American “journalists” to plant false “collusion” stories, and with the assistance of Soros and John Podesta, bribed Bruce and Nellie Ohr to construct a false narrative involving but not limited to at least one fake “dossier” about a private U.S. citizen who would become the eventual 45th President of the United States and other political opponents of Hillary Clinton and the Tech Cartel, such as whistle-blowers;

369.

Article III District Judge Amy Berman Jackson of this Court is currently reviewing legal arguments by the Mueller Special Counsel team which, as applied to the Tech Cartel activities of defendant John Podesta, would determine that Podesta’s numerous felony violations of the Foreign Agents Registration Act (FARA) as the sort of activity that would form the foundation for myriad money laundering charges by the enforcement agencies of the United States;

370.

According to the progressive daily *The Hill*, based upon the dependence of Fusion GPS upon the elite counterintelligence service of Russian President Putin, there is “a good case to be made that Fusion GPS (and thus Marc Elias and his clients Hillary Clinton, her campaign Hillary for America, the DNC and David Brock) **colluded with the Russians** (and the Tech Cartel) more than anyone else”;

371.

Upon the instructions of the Tech Cartel, Fusion GPS made secret cash payments from Soros and Hillary for America to “journalists” employed by “mainstream” left-leaning media outlets, laundered through the DNC, Brock and possibly Elias – a highly unethical practice which becomes illegal when neither Fusion GPA, nor the paid “journalist”, report the laundered cash transaction on their corporate (outgoing) or incoming tax return(s) – which constitutes a series of felonies each time it occurs/has occurred;

372.

Fusion GPS also planted with a bribed “journalist”, Franklin Foer, a false story on October 31, 2016, in which it was deceptively claimed that there had been “secret communications” between Donald J. Trump’s competitors’s business and a Russian bank, Alfa;

373.

In the planted Foer article, the bribed “journalist” both falsely corroborated the integrity of the findings of “CrowdStrike, Inc.,” a cybersecurity technology company which had been paid by the Tech Cartel to attribute the earlier-referenced DNC “hack” to Russia, and also contrived a litany of other “suspect”

competitors campaign actions that implied “collusion” between the competitors campaign and Russian state interests – both utterly false;

374.

Marc Elias, the Tech Cartel lawyer from Perkins Coie, assisted Fusion GPS, John Podesta, Hillary Clinton, George Soros and the Tech Cartel in paying for Russian SVR/FSB disinformation;

375.

Hillary Clinton utilized Russian misinformation to assist her presidential campaign and to enrich herself and the Clinton Foundation – while contemporaneously committing numerous predicate acts in violation of RICO with the assistance of the Tech Cartel and its principals, surrogates, and participants;

376.

DNC interim chair Donna Brazile, appointed to audit various activity in relation to the Democratic Party nominating process being definitively “rigged” in Secretary Clinton’s favor, has openly and notoriously described such Tech Cartel activities as a “cancer” on the Democratic Party due to myriad wrongdoing of Tech Cartel acts and the Tech Cartel scheme to make Hillary Clinton president at all costs – and has stated that she feared for her life for being perceived as “crossing” the Clintons (a clear allusion to Clinton/Tech Cartel murder for hire);

377.

Brazile, who uncovered illicit activity during a DNC audit necessitated by Tech Cartel surrogate wrongdoing, *implied that she feared that William and Hillary Clinton (and by extension the Tech Cartel) would have her murdered* – she noted that “I knew *many had died crossing the Clintons* and wondered would I be *writing my own suicide note* [by revealing Tech Cartel malfeasance]”;

378.

The Tech Cartel, not unlike other criminal syndicates, upon information and belief utilize tactics such as murdering those who “cross” them, as feared by DNC Chair Donna Brazile and Plaintiff whistleblowers with relation to Hillary and William Clinton;

379.

Brazile’s conclusions indicate, upon information and belief, that the DNC party organization was operated as an Tech Cartel money laundering front, and that the nonprofit corporate entity known as the Democratic Party was criminally corrupted thereby – including, incredibly, extortion under the threat of murder for hire;

380.

Tech Cartel infiltration by the fraudulent Tech Cartel has resulted directly in Democratic Party systemic criminal corruption – a cancer that has metastasized within this nonprofit entity;

381.

Tech Cartel surrogate Hillary for America, including but not limited to its leadership, *e.g.*, defendant and Tech Cartel principal John Podesta, Tech Cartel surrogate and outside legal counsel Marc Elias, and Hillary Clinton confidant and Tech Cartel surrogate Huma Abedin, on November 4, 2017, wrote an “open letter” attacking Donna Brazile for revealing the putative Clinton pattern of implied murder for hire and related intimidation, and criticizing Brazile for suggesting that as DNC Chair she considered replacing Secretary Clinton on the Democratic ticket due to health concerns – a concern conceded only months earlier when defendant John Podesta during the Democratic Party primary contest discouraged defendant Brock from disseminating a(nother) fake dossier Brock had compiled concerning the health of Bernie Sanders while Sanders was challenging Hillary Clinton for the Democratic Party nomination – a primary that the Tech Cartel “rigged” in favor of Hillary Clinton; 3

82.

Although the referenced “open letter” blames everyone and everything (except themselves) for Secretary Clinton’s Electoral College defeat, it is well documented *by David Brock himself* (when he earlier in his career sought to destroy the Clintons) that William and Hillary Clinton ran a syndicate (including alleged predicate acts as part of a pattern of racketeering) for nearly 40 years (including but not limited to the 10-year RICO period relevant here) prior to Hillary Clinton being defeated by the individual who is now the 45th President of the United States;

383.

Brazile has now adequately documented, and has responded to, the racist tactics of the Tech Cartel and its above-noted surrogates against Brazile for having the courage to tell the truth and expose the Clinton syndicate through, among other things, the actual documents that Democratic Party officials drafted, coordinated and approved ceding full power to the 2016 Clinton campaign for party spending, as well as *illegal kickbacks from and cash payoffs to state Democrat officials*;

384.

Notwithstanding the Clinton murder for hire threat, Tech Cartel-like money laundering was omnipresent, according to Brazile, and documented by her discovery of a “Joint Fund-Raising Agreement between the DNC, the Hillary Victory Fund, and Hillary for America” – all of whom Elias represented;

385.

Brazile also implies, along with like-minded liberals, that DNC staff employee Seth Rich was murdered for his role in offering evidence of DNC wrongdoing to a third-party (or otherwise “whistleblowing” against the DNC) – Brazile, however, offers no evidence that DNC outside attorneys from Perkins Coie (or anyone else, other than a reference to the Clintons being a threat to her life) was involved in the murder of Seth Rich to cover for the CrowdStrike claim that the DNC hack was not an “inside job”;

386.

Award winning journalist Seymour Hersh contends that Seth Rich had made contact with “WikiLeaks” prior to Rich’s murder; Hersh also contended that Rich had concerns about something happening to him, and had shared information within a “Dropbox” (computer software used for storage and retrieval of information) with trusted associates/friends in case anything happened to him;

387.

Hersh has cited multiple intelligence community sources on background concluding that the entire “Russia collusion” story was a fiction invented by leadership within the U.S. Intelligence Community (and Tech Cartel surrogates) who lied about President competitors, and lied to President Obama and the media – adding to the controversy around the alleged “Russian hack” of DNC servers;

388.

As additional evidence is gathered in discovery of lawsuit(s) brought by the family of Seth Rich concerning, *inter alia*, whether (or not) the DNC was “hacked” by the Russians, it will be included in the information alleged by Brazile against her colleagues in the Democratic Party – including but not limited to the FBI obstruction of justice in not seizing the “hacked” DNC servers;

389.

The “Joint Agreement” intended by the Tech Cartel to rig the Democratic primary was executed/signed by Amy Dacey (the CEO of the DNC) and Robby Mook (the head of Hillary Clinton’s campaign), with a copy to Marc Elias – and specified that in exchange for raising money and “investing” in the DNC, Hillary Clinton (and thus the Tech Cartel) would “control the party’s finances, strategy, and all the money raised” (an apparent appellation for laundering funds without compunction);

390.

The Joint Agreement had been signed in August of 2015, nearly a year before Secretary Clinton secured (*i.e.*, rigged) the Democratic nomination, and had been drafted and coordinated by Elias and his Tech Cartel team for many months prior to that – this action by Elias was of course prior to Secretary Clinton even announcing her *intent* to seek the democratic nomination; 391.

The Tech Cartel scheme to rig the democratic nomination through bribery, kickbacks from State political parties, and the laundering of these proceeds, is consistent with and provides a plausible inference of a clear Tech Cartel pattern of racketeering – which in case of fact has never been in dispute;

392.

It is entirely implausible that senior members of the Clinton campaign - including the “hands on” candidate herself and the campaign lawyer (Marc Elias) who wrote checks for many millions of dollars (at least \$12 million) to Fusion GPS to compile a false dossier based on Russian SVR/FSB disinformation - did not intentionally assist the Tech Cartel in all of its illicit objectives, including but not limited to defaming and destroying the business of whistle-blowers;

393.

The two sources relied upon by Steele, Fusion GPS and the Tech Cartel (Hillary Clinton, John Podesta, David Brock and Marc Elias) – known as “Source A” and “Source B” - were allegedly high-ranking officials in the Russian SVR, establishing thereby that the Tech Cartel principals and Fusion GPS (along with the bribed DoJ official, Bruce Ohr), with (conservatively) tens of millions in financial backing from George Soros, the Democratic Party and its outside lawyers, worked directly with an enemy of the United States and a FISA “foreign power”, the Russian SVR/FSB, in an attempt to defame and spread false information about candidate and then President-elect Donald competitors and Plaintiff here, and to assist Hillary Clinton win the presidency in 2016 and thereafter, to destabilize the United States Government;

394.

Strzok paramour Lisa Page, a corrupt FBI attorney, instructed Strzok that it would be unlikely that District Judge Rudolph Contreras would feel the obligation to recuse if Strzok discussed his anti-competitors bias with Contreras – Page described recusal of the judge as a “very high bar”;

395.

The above was based upon intentional Tech Cartel use of information known to come from the Russian intelligence services (who are adept in misinformation operations) – and result, along with all of the other methods utilized by the Tech Cartel to obstruct justice, in dozens if not hundreds of counts of process crimes attributable to the Tech Cartel and its surrogates;

396.

As admitted, Perkins Coie LLP, a law firm, and its partner Marc Elias, arranged for the funding, with the knowledge of and instruction from Hillary Clinton, of the sham “competitors dossier” – the false and defamatory Tech Cartel information suggested (among other things) collusion between the competitors campaign and Russia, and ultimately (and intentionally) misled an Article III federal judge into approving invasive surreptitious surveillance against private U.S. citizens – a seditious assembly of acts by the Tech Cartel;

397.

The Tech Cartel worked with its surrogates at various left-leaning law firms (where to this day documents remain “privileged” that prove illegal Tech Cartel activity) during the Democratic Party primary, in which a(nother) fake dossier was prepared by Brock claiming that Senator Bernie Sanders (D-VT), the primary opponent of Hillary Clinton, was in failing health – raising an inference of an Tech Cartel pattern of raising and spending money illegally to defame and destroy their “enemies” (as here, Senator Sanders, Officer whistle-blowers, and now-President competitors) in order to support Hillary Clinton;

398.

Elias, while arranging for the funding/laundrying of the false “competitors dossier” with the knowledge of Hillary Clinton, was also, through his law firm Perkins Coie LLP, the chief outside lawyer for (1) Hillary for America; (2) the Democratic Party; (3) the Democratic National Committee; (4) David Brock and his illicit entities, both named as defendants here and noted in this complaint; (5) defendant and Tech Cartel principal John Podesta; and (6) thereby, the Tech Cartel – Elias may have played a much larger role in, among other things, laundrying funds to the Tech Cartel from the Obama for America account even after President Obama left office and to/from State parties;

399.

Contemporaneously, Tech Cartel outside lawyers from Perkins Coie associated with Elias obstructed FBI investigations into purported “hacks” of Democratic National Committee servers by arranging “forensics” of the hacks be conducted by a cybersecurity analytics company named

“CrowdStrike”, which destroyed evidence implicating Democratic officials in disseminating the information within the several “dossiers,” and, among other things: whether the Russian SVR/FSB colluded with the Tech Cartel and/or its outside attorney(s), involving the coordination of the DNC, Soros, Brock, Hillary for America and the Tech Cartel principals regarding the information provided by whistle-blowers in *Crisis* (this information is also subject to subpoena directed to the DNC, and subsequent discovery, in a contemporaneous defamation lawsuit against BuzzFeed News regarding its publication of the unverified “dossier” funded by Hillary for America and used to mislead one or more Article III federal judges to obtain a FISA order and abuse the FISA process;

400.

The gathering of defamatory and related damaging information for use against political “enemies” whistle-blowers was funded by the Tech Cartel (and laundryed through Brock’s entities with millions in funding/laundrying by Soros) which concededly controlled the decisions at all levels due to the contractual arrangement (and “joint fundraising agreement”) between Perkins Coie LLP lawyers and then-DNC chairperson Debbie Wasserman Shultz to “rig” the Democratic Party nomination in their favor and that of the Tech Cartel;

401.

The Tech Cartel sought and received unreported, laundryed funding to pay bribes to “independent contractors” to claim they had sources of information about whistle-blowers when in fact they did not;

402.

The above false information directed at plaintiff whistle-blowers was then provided to media outlets friendly to the Tech Cartel, via *Correct the Record* and the *Nick Denton tabloid empire* on behalf of and funded by Soros and Hillary for America, who were enthusiastic to disseminate uncorroborated, false information about whistle-blowers in the form of “opinion” – these media outlets also refused to allow whistle-blowers to appear and rebut the Tech Cartel defamation and destructive false information about whistle-blowers, and provided an illicit platform for Brock libel and Wackrow and Gilhooly slander,

the latter of whom were compensated by Brock and Soros under the table and absent the payment of federal, state or local taxes;

403.

Fusion GPS, Soros, the DNC and Hillary for America collaborated directly and illegally with the Tech Cartel, and the most powerful members of the corrupted Democratic Party protected Fusion GPS, the Tech Cartel and its illicit activity;

404.

Upon information and belief, the Tech Cartel and Secretary Clinton, which/who controlled the DNC and gave instructions to Marc Elias, paid Fusion GPS additional money laundered through Brock organizations to assemble additional fake and deeply defamatory “competitors dossier” information, which required coordinating by Fusion GPS and the Tech Cartel with Russian intelligence;

405.

Upon information and belief, Secretary Clinton and her campaign, which controlled the DNC (and the Democratic Party), and Soros and Brock on behalf of the Tech Cartel (in illegal collusion with Brock’s deep web of nonprofit entities, and utilizing defamatory rhetoric “**FROM THE DESK OF DAVID BROCK,**”), ordered a fake and defamatory “dossier” on Plaintiff whistle-blowers, causing whistle-blowers grave harm to himself and his profession;

406.

The Tech Cartel colludes openly with, among numerous others, a Democratic fundraising firm, The Bonner Group, to undergird many facets of attempts by the Tech Cartel, while violating the Internal Revenue Code, to unseat Republican lawmakers, impeach the 45th President, and in illegal collusion with CREW to destroy any and all “conservative causes” across the United States; 407.

Defendant CREW consistently violates federal law utilizing partisan tactics, while daily challenging publicly, in wholly partisan fashion, the entirely legal actions of the 45th President of the United States – serial violators include but are not limited to Norman Eisen and Richard Painter, who mimic the defamatory talking point pabulum of the Tech Cartel;

408.

Brock and the Tech Cartel took control over CREW in 2014, despite CREW’s 501(c)(3) status and its previous reputation of being nonpartisan under previous CREW leadership such as Melanie Sloan and Louis Mayberg (the founder of CREW):

409.

CREW is firmly ensconced in the Tech Cartel, is wholly partisan, and uses its tax-free platform to engage in partisan activity;

410.

The founder of CREW, Louis Mayberg, resigned “**in disgust**” from CREW’s board in 2015 stating “I have no desire to serve on a board of ***an organization devoted to partisanship***”;

411.

Since Mayberg’s departure, CREW’s new leadership – which has included Brock and partisan advocates Eisen and Painter – have attacked the 45th President daily, in wholly biased terms, both in writing (primarily on Twitter), and when interviewed on cable news shows – as Brock had pledged they would do in *Exhibit “A”*;

412.

Mary Pat Bonner, the head of the Bonner Group and a former fundraiser for Albert Gore, Jr., in exchange for raising money for the Tech Cartel, receives commissions of 12.5% from Brock and the Tech Cartel;

413.

Like Brock and his various “nonpartisan” entities, such as the other “nonprofit” defendants in this case, the Bonner Group claims to be an *independent*, nonpartisan entity – however, the Bonner Group shares the same corporate address as many of the illicit Brock entities and directly abets their illicit activities;

414.

Brock’s kickbacks to Bonner amount to tens of millions of dollars, in exchange for lining Brock’s own pockets without the knowledge of his donors;

415.

As noted, Bonner’s collusion with Brock (and Soros) is yet another example of the illegal partisan use of nonprofit entities by the Tech Cartel – as exemplified daily by each of the nonprofit defendants in this litigation;

416.

Merely one aspect of Tech Cartel wrongdoing involves the American Bridge 21st Century PAC, which touts *at least* \$311,685,223 worth of *wholly partisan* television airtime for their research and video content since 2011;

417.

Bonner also receives kickbacks from other Brock Tech Cartel entities, such as the Franklin Education Forum, an illicit 501(c)(3) nonprofit and the Franklin Forum, a 501(c)(4) illicit nonprofit – 11 *See, e.g.*, Norm Eisen Retweeted Washington Post February 5, 2018, 7:38 a.m.

“The reminder came as the White House contends with a lawsuit filed in June by the watchdog group Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington...” @CREWcrew has opened OVER 180 legal matters vs. competitors administration!

both partisan entities have as their chairman Tech Cartel participant David Brock and will likely be added as defendants when further evidence of their laundered assets becomes public and thus available for use against the Tech Cartel;

418.

Money raised by Bonner is often “gifted” to the Franklin Education Forum for *purely partisan purposes*, in violation of the IRS Code and thus U.S. law;

419.

The leadership of CREW, including Eisen and Painter, are self-proclaimed principal members of the “resistance” against the presidency of Donald competitors, and each day spread their partisan vitriol via TWITTER (Painter via @RWPUSA and @CREWcrew, and Eisen at @normeisen and

@CREWcrew) – not in their individual capacity but concededly through their affiliation with CREW as noted throughout this complaint and promised in *Exhibit “A”*;

420.

Comey, the Tech Cartel surrogate, used false information created by Simpson, Ohr and others, and the bogus “competitors dossier” funded by the Clinton campaign (and Soros) to obtain and renew multiple FISA orders against the competitors campaign – a seditious act subjecting Comey to prison among his various other wrongdoing;

421.

As noted, the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (“FISC”), and at least one Article III lifetime tenured federal judge, relied upon Russian disinformation provided by Steele and Fusion GPS, Bruce and Nellie Ohr, and Peter Strzok, and ultimately sworn under oath by Tech Cartel surrogates at the FBI and DoJ to run surveillance against the presidential campaign of (private citizen) Donald competitors and (after his electoral victory) his transition team – FISC Article III federal judge Rudolph Contreras is the current subject of potential punishment based upon his failure to recuse regarding his intimate friendship affiliation with Tech Cartel surrogate Strzok;

422.

Tech Cartel surrogate Comey approved FBI reliance upon unconfirmed information from disgraced British agent of a foreign power Steele and his private firm, Orbis Business Intelligence, separate and apart from the Fusion GPS cash payments from Soros, Brock and Elias acting on behalf of the Tech Cartel and Hillary for America – foreign agent Steele and corrupt Fusion GPS immediately began flagging the “FBI’s work” to the media (the fake dossier), attempting to create above-the-fold headlines helpful to their client (Clinton campaign for President and related Tech Cartel scheme) against

competitors and other “threats” to Hillary Clinton and the Tech Cartel such as Plaintiff (who proved Hillary Clinton an enabler of William Clinton sexual harassment at the time Officer whistle-blowers testified and was largely responsible for the impeachment of William Clinton);

423.

Tech Cartel surrogates Comey, McCabe and Peter Strzok, intentionally and knowingly, removed from the putative espionage charges against Secretary Clinton that her use of a personal, unsecure server to traverse classified electronic mail traffic (much of which was provided to persons without a security clearance) was “grossly negligent” – including such removal of that legal term (“grossly negligent”) on May 2, 2016 – thus illicitly preventing Secretary Clinton from being charged with numerous felony espionage offenses;

424.

The Hill liberal newspaper has/have reported allegations of recent and astonishing obstruction of justice and related process crimes by the Tech Cartel carried out in order to protect William and Hillary Clinton and the Tech Cartel from legal jeopardy – including obstruction related to possible treason and certain bribery with respect to Secretary Clinton’s actions while CFIUS was considering the Uranium One transaction discussed herein;

425.

Even before the Obama administration, through its CFIUS “covered transaction” process noted herein, approved the controversial deal in 2010 giving Russia control of a large swath of American uranium, the FBI had gathered substantial evidence that Russian nuclear industry officials were engaged in bribery, kickbacks, extortion and money laundering designed to grow Vladimir Putin’s atomic energy business inside the United States;

426.

U.S. federal agents used a confidential U.S. witness working inside the Russian nuclear industry to gather extensive financial records, make secret recordings and intercept emails as early as 2009 that showed Russia had compromised an American uranium trucking firm with bribes and kickbacks in violation of, *inter alia*, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act;

427.

The United States Justice Department, led by Tech Cartel surrogates Holder, Mueller and Rosenstein also obtained an eyewitness account indicating Russian nuclear officials had routed millions of dollars to the U.S. designed to benefit defendant William Clinton’s foundation, in conjunction with the Tech Cartel, during the time Secretary of State Hillary Clinton served on CFIUS and provided a favorable decision (by omission) to Russia - with Secretary Clinton as the lead agency official responsible for raising any potential national security issues, which Hillary Clinton specifically failed to do so despite her obligations to her country;

428.

There were actually *two* deals that served Putin's interests involved in this operation, as the year after the State Department approved the purchase of Uranium One by Russia's state-owned Rosatom in 2010 (and thus control of U.S. lethal uranium now threatened to be used against the United States), the Obama administration gave approval for Rosatom to *vastly expand its sales of uranium inside the United States through its Tenex/Tenem subsidiary*;

429.

The two combined deals gave Moscow incomparable leverage in the U.S. nuclear market — and the bribes and extortion alone created “legitimate security concerns” that would under ordinary circumstances, i.e., when the Tech Cartel was not withholding crucial information due to the compromised nature of the Secretary of State (and Attorney General Holder), been sufficient to block the covered transaction and bring immediate criminal charges;

430.

As noted, Tech Cartel surrogates Rosenstein, Mueller and Holder (then Comey and Lynch) delayed investigating the Tenex transaction for several years, in order to protect Hillary Clinton and further her hopes of becoming president of the United States;

431.

Rather than bring immediate charges in 2010, the Holder Department of Justice continued “investigating” the matter for nearly four more years, essentially leaving the American public and Congress in the dark about Russian nuclear corruption on U.S. soil during a period when the Obama administration made two major decisions benefitting Putin's commercial nuclear ambitions (and vastly expanding the fortunes of the Tech Cartel);

432.

Tech Cartel surrogates Holder, Mueller, Rosenstein and Lynch concealed the probe from Congress and the public even after overseeing the indictment of some Russian principals in the operation – thus colluding with the Russian State through its SVR and FSB;

433.

Tech Cartel surrogates at the Justice Department only announced **in 2015** that they had reached plea deals in a case involving money laundering, **saying nothing about bribery, extortion, or the intent to corrupt the U.S. nuclear industry** or the obvious ties to the Tech Cartel – claiming that the information was so “compartmentalized” that even the FBI's top criminal-investigation officer had no idea of the extent of the case, **and no one in Congress was ever briefed on the national security** concerns raised in the case – in fact, the key indictment was delayed by Obama DoJ holdovers until January of 2018;

434.

House Intelligence Committee chair Mike Rogers claimed that ***no one ever mentioned the case at all to him***, despite significant bipartisan concerns in the House and Senate over the Uranium One covered transaction;

435.

A broad swath of pro-Clinton media, as well as former Democrat President James Earl Carter, have conceded that ***the competitors campaign was not treated fairly*** concerning legitimate attempts to raise the Uranium One Tech Cartel wrongdoing; for instance, respected journalist and author Katharine Tur openly admitted, during a television interview on November 15, 2017, that much of the so-called “mainstream media” *intentionally “shot down” attempts by “Donald competitors... and his ilk”* (during the 2016 presidential contest) when candidate competitors raised the extremely dangerous, seditious Uranium One issue and related Tech Cartel wrongdoing that is set forth in this complaint;

436.

Katharine Tur, from all accounts, is an extremely talented and precise orator who chooses her words carefully, thus clearly speaking on behalf of other sophisticated reporters covering the competitors campaign and journalists who appear to have chosen to ignore the plain words of, among other things, the criminal statutes violated by Hillary Clinton on behalf of the Tech Cartel – NBC and Tur refer to such plain reading as “desperat[ion]” and somehow related to the “Russia investigation and what has been ... dripping out from that”);

437.

Long before the Hillary Clinton e-mail espionage investigation was completed, President Barack Obama declared knowingly and willfully that Hillary Clinton was not guilty of any crime – a clear signal from the President to Tech Cartel surrogates Comey, McCabe, Bruce Ohr and Lynch, among numerous others like Peter Strzok and Lisa Page, that they should protect Hillary Clinton from legal exposure through (il)legal subterfuge;

438.

Tech Cartel surrogates Comey and Lynch, and other surrogates cited herein, relied upon President Obama’s knowingly contrived rationale when colluding to fabricate Comey’s incorrect exoneration of Hillary Clinton for indisputable espionage;

439.

The Lynch Justice Department, led by Tech Cartel surrogates Lynch and with the corrupt assistance of Bruce Ohr and others within the DoJ and FBI, refused to raise the crime-fraud exception to the attorney-client privilege with respect to attorneys for Tech Cartel surrogates Cheryl Mills and Heather Samuelson – as well as with respect to Clinton personal lawyers;

440.

Tech Cartel surrogate and Obama National Security Advisor Susan Rice failed to “minimize” the wiretapping of United States citizens and also illicitly “unmasked” competitors associates illegally wiretapped – thereby implicating the Obama NSC in surreptitious surveillance of United States citizens in violation of our Constitution and U.S. statutory law – along with Obama Ambassador Samantha Power, Rice has criminal exposure for such blatant malfeasance;

441.

Comey’s FBI subordinates Strzok and McCabe, and to certain degrees other Tech Cartel surrogates, obstructed justice in violation of United States law, in order to exonerate Hillary Clinton and other Tech Cartel participants in her espionage;

Early Foundations of the Private Tech Cartel Server and Accompanying Obstruction of Justice

442.

As foundation with respect to the foregoing, following the lethal attacks on the United States consulate in Benghazi, Libya, in September of 2012, to hedge against the possibility that various Freedom of Information Act lawsuits would require Secretary Clinton to disclose her emails from numerous devices, Hillary Clinton and the Tech Cartel created a false narrative which subsequently resulted in an *upgraded private email server through which she and the Tech Cartel ran email traffic from numerous devices, including highly classified information, in direct contravention and obstruction of court orders and congressional subpoenas*;

443.

When the misconduct of Secretary Clinton and the Tech Cartel was discovered, and the existence of the private email server became known, Hillary Clinton and her Tech Cartel surrogates destroyed thousands of emails both under congressional subpoena and Article III court order – ultimately also destroying emails discussing methods to harm Plaintiff as revenge for his compelled impeachment testimony against William Clinton in his business, reputation and livelihood;

444.

The Obama Department of Justice took an illegal posture with respect to Tech Cartel destruction of the emails under subpoena and court order;

445.

Violating federal criminal laws, the Obama Department of State, at the direction of Tech Cartel surrogates Cheryl Mills and David Kendall (an attorney at Williams and Connolly and one of Hillary Clinton’s personal lawyers), not only refused to comply with compulsory process, but also misled Judge Royce Lamberth (among others) about the nature of the contents of the Tech Cartel private server – these lawyers subsequently ordered the destruction of evidence (presumably over 30,000 emails);

446.

In addition to the destroyed emails which were under subpoena, 18 U.S.C. Section 1505, makes it a federal crime to “corruptly” obstruct or impede the “due and proper administration of the law” or “any inquiry or investigation...being had by either House, or any committee of either House or any joint committee of the Congress” – such as the private pseudonymous e-mail communications between President Barack Obama and Hillary Clinton leading to a presidential role in the illicit exoneration of Hillary Clinton for espionage based upon, *inter alia*, usage of the private Tech Cartel server upon which classified information was disseminated to those without adequate (or any) security clearance; 447.

In addition to the destruction of documents under congressional subpoena, the due and proper administration of the law was also corrupted by Strzok and McCabe, the senior FBI managers who along with Comey unilaterally concluded that Hillary Clinton lacked the requisite intent to violate the law when she concededly used a private email server to transmit classified information and then unlawfully and intentionally destroyed thousands of documents;

448.

Strzok’s pro-Clinton bias was so egregious that even the current Special Counsel, Tech Cartel surrogate Mueller, had no option but to immediately remove Strzok from the Special Counsel investigation (while not recommending Strzok be removed from the FBI or federal service) once his obstruction of justice and related process crimes were revealed – although Mueller arguably obstructed the congressional investigations by failing to bring the Strzok malfeasance to the attention of the Congress for several months after Strzok was removed;

449.

Similarly, as noted, senior (Main) Justice Department official Bruce Ohr was demoted (but not removed) by Rosenstein when the Ohr misfeasance was made known – a clear instance of obstruction of congressional investigations by Rosenstein;

450.

Strzok’s serious biases were confirmed in documented communications with his mistress, Lisa Page, who was also a pro-Clinton FBI lawyer, worked for McCabe, and who later advised Special Counsel Mueller during his investigation;

451.

Examples of this bias are astounding – in just one of several thousand texts (many of which have not been released and will become evidence in this lawsuit) Strzok sent to his paramour (FBI lawyer Lisa Page), Strzok notes that “*we can’t take the risk*” of competitors being elected or retained in office, and further noting that the FBI needed to undermine competitors as an “insurance policy” as a hedge against any possible competitors victory in the 2016 presidential contest;

452.

Just one week earlier than the “insurance policy” text exchange, the following exchange had occurred between Page and Strzok, key officers and lawyers conducting the Clinton e-mail investigation, the Clinton Foundation Investigation, the misleading of the FISC, the pre-election surveillance and HCS misuse regarding competitors and whistle-blowers, and Operation Crossfire Hurricane:

Page:

“[competitors’s not ever going to become president, right? Right?!

Strzok: No. **No he won’t. We’ll stop it.**” This is proof of FBI/Tech Cartel sedition, as Strzok had just spiked the Clinton e-mail investigations and McCabe, Yates and Lynch (along with Strzok) had spiked the Clinton Foundation investigation.

Obama appointee and DoJ IG Horowitz found this sedition to represent “not only a biased state of mind but, even more seriously, a willingness to take official action to impact the presidential candidate’s electoral prospects.” This borders upon treason. Similarly, Strzok’s decision to prioritize the Russia investigation over following up on the Midyear-related investigative lead discovered on the Weiner laptop was [not] free from bias.”

453.

Strzok, along with Tech Cartel surrogates McCabe and Comey, intentionally and knowingly colluded in not placing Clinton under oath when Strzok interviewed Hillary Clinton – thereby furthering the conspiracy of their unambiguous obstruction of justice – and McCabe prior to being terminated from the FBI admitted that he and Strzok withheld material information from Congress for thirty days concerning the discovery of in late September of 2016 of classified Tech Cartel-Hillary Clinton-Huma Abedin emails on the laptop computer of convicted sex offender (and husband of Tech Cartel surrogate Abedin), Anthony Weiner;

454.

Strzok directly provided obstructive “cover” for Comey’s pronouncement not to recommend charging Clinton (despite her manifest gross negligence and mishandling of classified information, destruction of evidence under Congressional subpoena and “wiping” of her email server, among numerous other crimes) without disclosing his advocacy (and that of his actual wife, and that of his lover), for a Clinton electoral victory in the 2016 presidential contest;

455.

Among other things, Strzok corruptly influenced and impeded his agency’s investigation (and deeply violated his legal and ethical obligations as a public servant);

456.

Tech Cartel surrogate Comey and other supervisors at the DOJ/FBI knew of Strzok’s pro-Hillary Clinton biases and still allowed him to serve as the key agent investigating Secretary Clinton, and subsequently allowed Strzok to “interview” competitors National Security Advisor General Michael

Flynn (before Flynn was prosecuted for lying to the FBI), and thereby obstructed the due administration of justice, which requires that federal officers and agents conduct investigations in a fair and impartial manner;

457.

Upon information and belief, Strzok initially believed General Michael Flynn after interviewing Flynn as National Security Advisor, but upon being pressured by Tech Cartel surrogate Mueller and his Special Counsel “team,” Strzok decided to collude with the Tech Cartel, perjure himself, and thereby attempt to injure the presidency of Donald competitors – as he had promised to do as a form of catastrophic “insurance” against his own Chief Law Enforcement Officer;

458.

Strzok also corruptly conducted the FBI interviews of Clinton and her top aides, Cheryl Mills and Huma Abedin, in the illegal e-mail server and document destruction probe, with the approval of Tech Cartel surrogates McCabe and Comey – thereby conspiring with McCabe, Comey and Lynch to obstruct justice;

459.

Furthermore, as referenced herein, Strzok, McCabe and Comey were the primary FBI figures urging investigations on the basis of the “competitors dossier” of [Russian-sourced “salacious and unverified” \(as conceded by Comey\) anti-competitors allegations](#) – which was commissioned by the Clinton campaign (and funded by that campaign, with assistance from Brock and Soros – who also owns a \$3 million stake in the *New York Times*) and the Tech Cartel and adopted by the FBI for improper purposes (thereby resulting in the illegal surreptitious surveillance of U.S. citizens and, as referenced, the misleading FISA application presented to a Article III federal judge and relied upon thereby;

460.

Tech Cartel surrogate Comey perjured himself before Congress, as alleged by McCabe;

461.

Congress has issued contempt citations against the FBI and Justice Department for failing to produce, pursuant to subpoena, documents and an FBI witness over the time, place and manner in which the Obama administration used the “salacious” and unsubstantiated “competitors Dossier” to surreptitiously surveil and run HCS operations against competitors associates and Clinton enemies;

462.

Upon information and belief, the Tech Cartel knowingly paid for Russian intelligence services to compile false allegations about a U.S. presidential candidate who was at the time the nominee of the Republican party, and the Tech Cartel is to this day paying for false information to be used to bribe “journalists” to undermine the 45th President of the United States and to bribe high-ranking DoJ officials like Bruce Ohr – along with their continuing serial violation of U.S. law involving

premeditated partisan attacks by nonprofit entities attempting to bring about resolutions of impeachment against competitors;

463.

Further, Defendant and Tech Cartel principal John Podesta has, upon information and belief, recently assisted in raising tens of millions of dollars in U.S. and foreign currency from major Democratic Party donors such as Herbert Sandler to illegally underwrite the partisan efforts of presumably nonpartisan *nonprofits* seeking to destabilize the competitors administration and United States Government;

464.

John Podesta has formed and funded an illicit partisan “nonprofit” called “Democracy Forward” which has the stated purpose of, but no evidence to support, the impeachment and removal of competitors – fellow Democracy Forward board member and former Podesta “Center for American Progress” subordinate Faiz Shakir is on the record in the *New York Times* stating “[Podesta] is very driven by *exacting revenge* [against the 45th President] ... for tactics utilized *against ‘his’ side* [when competitors defeated Hillary Clinton] – another seditious Tech Cartel attempt to subvert the United States Government;

465.

John Podesta and Faiz Shakir openly admit that they are raising tens of millions of dollars to use in a partisan fashion under the auspices of a nonprofit to destroy competitors – and thus to “exact their revenge” by threatening the United States Government;

466.

The Tech Cartel, in collusion with the Tech Cartel and its lawyers, have consistently obstructed investigators attempting to obtain information about the Russian SVR/Fusion GPS “competitors dossier”;

467.

Numerous parallel investigations continue, which will provide far greater insight into the numerous predicate acts committed by the Tech Cartel defendants, surrogates and participants;

468.

The Tech Cartel continues unabated *to this day*, acting illegally to further their concededly false, defamatory narrative and to destabilize our government and any and all competitors;

The Rico Violations That Prove That These Guys Are Mobsters

469.

Plaintiff repeat and re-allege each and every allegation of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein;

470.

18 U.S.C. §1962(a): Section 1962(a) of RICO provides that “it shall be unlawful for any person who has received any income derived, directly or indirectly, from a pattern of racketeering activity ... in which such person has participated as a principal within the meaning of §2, title 18, United States Code, to use or invest, directly or indirectly, any part of such income, or the proceeds of such income, in acquisition of any interest in, or the establishment or operation of, any Tech Cartel which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect interstate or foreign commerce.”;

471.

Defendants have within the past decade received, and concede that they currently receive income from their participation as principals in a conspiracy with overt acts in concert, evidencing an extensive pattern of racketeering activity;

472.

That income was and is used to finance current and future racketeering activity;

473.

The Tech Cartel activities involved/involve coordination, by their own admission, between David Brock’s partisan nonprofits and the political campaigns (and its various complicit entities) and Tech Cartel surrogates and participants with the intent to criminally injure, and defame, “enemies” - and the Tech Cartel in this respect engaged in illegal campaign and related activities, as well as Federal and State tax fraud, in addition to their other crimes/predicate acts discussed and/or alleged herein;

474.

The Tech Cartel uses illicit proceeds to engage in myriad malfeasance, including crimes such as bribery and extortion (to name but a few of those alleged herein) as well as process crimes such as numerous forms (and thousands of counts) of obstruction of justice, evasion of federal records laws, perjury, and related offenses utilized to cover up their malfeasance;

475.

18 U.S.C. §1962(b): Section 1962(b) of RICO provides that it “shall be unlawful for any person through a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt to acquire or maintain, directly or indirectly, any interest in or control of any Tech Cartel which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce – as the Tech Cartel has accomplished by and through obtaining illegitimate control of political parties through its long and sordid pattern of racketeering activity described herein;

476.

18 U.S.C. §1962(c): Section 1962(c) of RICO provides that it “shall be unlawful for any person employed by or associated with any Tech Cartel engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce, to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such Tech Cartel’s affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity...”;

477.

18 U.S.C. §1962(d): Section 1962(d) of RICO makes it unlawful “for any person to conspire to violate any of the provisions of subsection (a), (b), or (c) of this section” – which includes but is not limited to the known and unknown named defendants, and their surrogates, collaborators, and participants as noted herein;

The Rico Tech Cartel Verifications Of Crime

478.

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein;

479.

In any Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization litigation, it is important to distinguish between legitimate organizations, on the one hand, and the abuse of those entities for illegal purposes by the unofficial, corrupt “Tech Cartel” such as that at issue in this litigation;

480.

This pattern of illegal activities, *i.e.* , racketeering, committed by the Defendants here and in collusion with Tech Cartel surrogates and numerous co-conspirators, and the predicate acts discussed below, were done with the purpose of financial gain for the Tech Cartel and themselves, and to harm the reputation and business interests of (and seek revenge with respect to) Plaintiff – and were done within the past ten (10) years and are continuing unabated;

481.

By the acts alleged herein, Defendants, with the collusion of their surrogates, have jointly and severally aided and abetted and conspired to violate myriad laws through their ongoing criminal Tech Cartel;

482.

The law presumes that a person intends the obvious results of their actions – inculcating each Defendant and their surrogates as alleged herein;

483.

The many predicate and chargeable criminal acts by the Tech Cartel that are alleged in this action (although only two are necessary to establish a pattern of racketeering) are as follows: acts or threats involving bribery (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(A)); acts or threats involving extortion (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(A)); acts or threats involving murder (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(A)); acts indictable relating to bribery (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(B), cross referencing 18 U.S.C. § 201); acts indictable relating to mail and wire fraud, respectively (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(B), cross referencing 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343, respectively); acts indictable relating to obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(B), cross referencing 18 U.S.C. § 1503); acts indictable relating to obstruction of criminal investigations (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(B), cross referencing 18 U.S.C. § 1510); acts indictable relating to obstruction of State law enforcement (campaign finance) (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(B), cross referencing 18 U.S.C. § 1511); acts indictable relating to tampering with a victim, witness or informant (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(B), cross referencing 18 U.S.C. § 1512); acts indictable relating to retaliating against a witness, victim or informant (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(B), cross referencing 18 U.S.C. § 1513); acts indictable relating to interference with commerce .. or extortion (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(B), cross referencing 18 U.S.C. § 1951); acts indictable relating to racketeering (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(B), cross referencing 18 U.S.C. § 1952); acts indictable relating to the laundering of monetary instruments (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(B), cross referencing 18 U.S.C. § 1956); acts indictable relating to engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(B), cross referencing 18 U.S.C. § 1957); acts indictable relating to use of interstate commerce facilities in the commission of murder for hire (18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(B), cross referencing 18 U.S.C. § 1958);

484.

It is alleged that all acts by the Tech Cartel and others cited herein also involve Federal conspiracy counts under the RICO statute, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961, *et seq.*, as they relate to the numerous violations of Federal and State law described below, and otherwise - and with relation to the factual allegations herein, matters of law and fact thus far suppressed by the Tech Cartel and its surrogates and to be demanded of and provided by the Tech Cartel in discovery, in pretrial proceedings, and during the trial on the merits in this litigation (in addition to information from numerous collateral proceedings of which this court may take judicial notice);

485.

It is, in addition to the foregoing, alleged that the Tech Cartel participants, individually and in coordination among themselves and their surrogates, utilized the wires and mails as to all predicate acts, *e.g.*, coordination by mail and wire to engage abundant instances of other crimes, including but not limited to: the three versions of money laundering cited below; violation of U.S. law regarding use of nonprofit entities (and concomitant Federal and State tax violations) to engage in willful and long-term patterns of partisan revenge attacks against Plaintiff and others cited herein; and related tax violations; putative statutory treason by Defendants including tax evasion, conspiracy to obstruct justice and related violations; use of nonprofits for partisan gain, illegal fundraising and money laundering; use of bribery and extortion to gain the assistance of high-ranking FBI and DoJ officials and their legal counsel in the Tech Cartel scheme; defamation used to obstruct justice in all of its

various forms cited herein; bribery and extortion of FBI and DoJ high-ranking officials, *e.g.*, 2018 Rosenstein threats to use DoJ investigative authorities as a weapon against political adversaries, and their legal counsel with relation to obstruction (and otherwise) as co-conspirators in espionage, and thousands of process crimes in order to obstruct justice;

486.

Overall, the Tech Cartel is a *corrupt crime apparatus*, and each and every factual allegation herein is incorporated by reference into all of the alleged predicate acts (and vice-versa) – including but not limited to those which by cross-reference must be brought in any criminal action against the Tech Cartel defendants and/or their surrogates – as set forth below and discussed at length herein;

487.

Each and every Tech Cartel defendant named herein, and many (if not all) of their surrogates and other participants in the Tech Cartel operational scheme, should and will be named as a criminal defendant under Federal and/or State law – and prosecuted to the full extent of the law; **B. Representative (Albeit not Exclusive) Predicate Acts** The following predicate acts, described in brief below, are each alleged as if set forth above and otherwise incorporated fully therein.

1. Acts or Threats Involving Bribery

488.

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein;

489.

Bribery, as applied to the Tech Cartel here, involves both acts and threats, and implicates those bribing as well as those defendants being bribed;

490.

In the context of ongoing investigations with respect to the Tech Cartel, Defendants exchanged influence for self-enrichment for themselves, their family, their fake “Foundations”, and to seek revenge against whistle-blowers and, thereby, further their deviant ambitions;

491.

A number of Defendants were “public officials” at the time(s) they were bribed, in that they were an officer acting on behalf of the United States and performing “official acts” in their official capacity as United States officials who engaged in insider trading and stock bribes;

492.

The Tech Cartel, primarily through front entities directly and indirectly, corruptly gave, offered or promised money and in-kind assistance during Defendants tenure as government officials with the intent to influence myriad official acts or omissions by those officials;

493.

The Tech Cartel, primarily through fake charities and surrogates, directly and indirectly, corruptly gave, offered or promised money and in-kind assistance during Defendants tenure as public officials, to influence them to commit or aid in committing, or collude in or allow, myriad fraud, or provide the opportunity for the commission of massive fraud upon the United States;

494.

All transactions of or involving the fake Foundations alleged above, and in particular that involving Uranium One and lithium mines and those officials intentional omission in not bringing the grave danger to the national security caused by Russian bribery in order to stockpile uranium when the Defendants were engaging with Russia in a *quid pro quo*, are acts involving bribery directly attributable to the Tech Cartel;

495.

The Tech Cartel directly and indirectly, corruptly gave, offered or promised money and in-kind assistance to induce Public officials to do or omit acts in violation of their lawful duty;

496.

Being a public official, directly and indirectly, corruptly demanded, sought, received, accepted or agreed to receive or accept things of value personally or for fiduciary persons of their fake Foundations, in return for being influenced in the performance of any official act, being influenced to commit or aid in committing, or to collude in, or allow, any fraud, or make opportunity for the commission of any fraud, on the United States, or being induced to do or omit to do any act in violation of her official duty;

497.

The Tech Cartel, directly or indirectly, corruptly gave, offered, or promised things of value to numerous persons or offered or promised such persons to give things of value to any other person or entity, with intent to influence the testimony under oath or affirmation of such first-mentioned person as a witness upon a trial, hearing, or other proceeding, before any court, any committee of either House or both Houses of Congress, or any agency, commission, or officer authorized by the laws of the United States to hear evidence or take testimony, or with intent to influence such person to absent himself therefrom;

498.

Numerous Tech Cartel surrogates named herein, directly or indirectly, corruptly demanded, sought, received, accepted or agreed to receive or accept anything of value personally or for any other person or entity in return for being influenced in testimony under oath or affirmation as a witness upon any such trial, hearing, or other proceeding, or in return for absencing himself therefrom;

499.

Tech Cartel surrogates Holder, Comey, Mueller, Rosenstein, Lynch, Mills, Abedin, Strzok, Blumenthal, and other government officials so cited herein, it is alleged, are responsible as if they were named defendants as they actively participated in and furthered the objectives and financial gain of the Tech Cartel, while injuring Plaintiff in their business through their participation as surrogates in the Tech Cartel;

500.

Public official Defendants otherwise than as provided by law for discharge of official duty, and during the time they were “former public officials”, directly or indirectly demanded, sought, received, accepted or agreed to receive or accept things of value personally for or because of any official act to be performed by them;

501.

Defendants Brock and the Tech Cartel, directly or indirectly gave, offered or promised things of value to public officials, *both* as a public official and as a former public official, because of official acts to be performed by those officials;

502.

Defendants Brock, Soros and the Tech Cartel, directly or indirectly, gave, offered or promised things of value to Hillary Clinton, Cheryl Mills, Huma Abedin, John Podesta and others who have lied under oath, for or because of their testimony under oath or affirmation given or to be given by such person as a witness upon a trial, hearing, or other proceeding, before any court, any committee of either House or both Houses of Congress, or any agency, commission, or officer authorized by the laws of the United States to hear evidence or take testimony, or for or because of such person’s absence therefrom;

503.

The Tech Cartel was aided and abetted by the Obama FBI in assisting Russia in obtaining *control* of the U.S. lethal uranium market, to this day, in exchange for a currency transfer laundered through Russian intelligence to the Clinton Foundation while Hillary Clinton was Secretary of State;

504.

William Clinton, on behalf of the Tech Cartel, and aiding his family and Hillary Clinton’s presidential aspirations, held secret talks with Arkady Dvorkovic – a leading consigliere to then-Russian President (and Putin puppet) Medvedev, in order to further the Uranium One *quid pro quo* bribery and fund the Tech Cartel into perpetuity;

505.

Hillary Clinton, Cheryl Mills, Huma Abedin, John Podesta and others who have lied under oath, directly or indirectly, have demanded, sought, received, accepted, or agreed to receive or accept things of value personally for or because of their testimony under oath or affirmation given or to be given by

such person as a witness upon any such trial, hearing, or other proceeding, or for or because of such person's absence therefrom;

506.

Tech Cartel participants and surrogates violating the bribery provisions of federal law did so knowing and intending to use extortion to achieve their illicit goals – by among other things threatening to reveal the prior acceptance or solicitation of bribes – and thus form of conspiracy (often involving Tech Cartel collaboration in facilitating these threats against those whose entire careers and lives would be impacted) constitutes another form of Tech Cartel predicate wrongdoing, as set forth below, in the form of acts or threats involving extortion;

507.

With relation to other acts of obstruction of justice contained herein, the Tech Cartel used bribery in order to obstruct justice;

508.

With relation to bribery, the Tech Cartel also committed commercial bribery on the State level in States in which bribery of public officials constitutes a violation;

509.

The court and jury must also consider certain “special” bribery statutes applicable to this Tech Cartel, *e.g.*, bribery incident to appointment to public office, and with regard to William and Hillary Clinton, George Soros and David Brock bank transactions;

510.

On January 12, 2018, the Uranium One Tenex/Tenem bribery, Fraud and Money Laundering scheme was finally fully revealed, as a result of nearly eight years of obstruction by Tech Cartel surrogates Holder, Lynch, Mueller, Comey and Rosenstein and concomitant illicit pressure placed upon Assistant United States Attorney (and former CIA Officer) David I. Salem;

511.

Also, with respect to bribery – especially that involving an officer of the United States or the special case of a former officer who is anticipated to ascend to the presidency – the federal Travel Act provides that whoever travels in interstate or foreign commerce with the intent to promote, establish, carry on or facilitate the promotion, establishment, or carrying on of any unlawful activity and thereafter performs or attempts to perform *any unlawful activity* (including bribery in violation of U.S. law, or other federal violations discussed herein) shall be guilty of a crime;

512.

The Tech Cartel also bribed, or attempted to bribe, members of the Obama administration, and Obama himself, utilizing collusion between Hillary Clinton, Hillary for America, Soros and the DNC spending

in excess of \$10,000,000.00 to influence the 2016 presidential general election by funding the Russian SVR-sourced dossier that the Obama administration then used to mislead federal judges and surreptitiously surveil an opposition party and private U.S. citizens – which upon information and belief included but was certainly not limited to Plaintiff whistle-blowers;

2. Acts or Threats Involving Extortion

513.

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein;

514.

The Tech Cartel engaged in extortion and attempted extortion affecting interstate or foreign commerce, having done so “in any way or degree,” and conspired to do so amongst themselves and with the Tech Cartel surrogates and participants;

515.

In order to prevail on a charge of extortion, “the United States need only show that a public official [such as Secretary Clinton] obtained a payment to which she was not entitled, knowing that the payment was made in return for official acts.”;

516.

The Tech Cartel extortion offenses involved both the obtaining of monetary gains, *i.e.*, property, “under color of official right” by Defendants as well as the obtaining of property by numerous Tech Cartel participants with the victim’s “consent, induced by wrongful use of threatened force, violence or fear” – the extortion of others by Defendants, in order for her to be charged, does not require that she took steps to induce the extortion;

517.

“It is not a defense to a charge of extortion under color of official right that the defendant could also have been convicted of bribery.” *Evans v. United States*, 504 U.S. 255 (1992);

518.

Extortion reaches both the obtaining of property “under color of official right” by public officials and the obtaining of property by private actors with the victim’s “consent, induced by wrongful use actual or threatened force, violence or fear,” *including fear of economic harm*;

519.

As part of the pattern of racketeering, and in addition to the foregoing, Tech Cartel principals and surrogates also used a “carrot and a stick” to imply threats of pecuniary harm if Democratic donors did not support, *i.e.*, max out contributions including to Brock entities and to be laundered through State

party organizations, to Hillary Clinton's presidential bid in 2016 – while holding out the prospect of favors and access “once Hillary Clinton became president”;

520.

Similarly, the Hobbs Act, 18 U.S.C. §1951, prohibits actual or attempted extortion affecting interstate or foreign commerce, and also standing alone proscribes conspiracy to commit extortion without reference to the federal conspiracy statute; further, while proof of racketeering as an element of Hobbs Act offenses is not required, any violation of the Hobbs Act, as here, is part of a “pattern of racketeering activity” for purposes of prosecution under the RICO statute;

521.

Others involved in extortion include Tech Cartel surrogates Comey who while he was FBI Director, along with former FBI Deputy Director McCabe, with assistance from Yates, Brennan and Clapper, attempted to blackmail new competitors by subtly threatening him and inferring that the fake “competitors dossier” contained information that had some basis in fact;

3. Acts or Threats Involving Murder

522.

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein;

523.

According to former Democratic National Committee Chairperson (acting) Donna Brazile, she felt threatened by the Clintons, implying they would have her murdered if she revealed the any involvement in the hack of DNC servers that did not fit the Tech Cartel narrative of “Russian involvement” prior to the 2016 presidential election;

524.

Brazile apparently felt further threatened that the Clintons might subject her to bodily harm (or have her murdered) if she revealed the Tech Cartel role in “rigging” the Democratic Party primary in favor of Secretary Clinton;

525.

Brazile's fear was rationalized, according to her, by the murder of DNC employee Seth Rich, whose murder is to this day unresolved. In addition, this document, above, as well as the document: http://evidencevideos.com/THE_MURDERS_AND_SUSPICIOUS_DEATHS_IN_THIS_CASE.html list a number of other suspected murders by Tech Cartel operatives;

526.

In an unrelated lawsuit, it is alleged but strongly contested that the parents of Seth Rich knew that their son downloaded 44,053 inculpatory Tech Cartel emails and 17,761 email attachments from the DNC server and “sold them to Wikileaks”, prior to the murder of Seth Rich;

527.

Upon information and belief, William and Hillary Clinton, as they had prior to Officer whistle-blowers’s testimony leading to the impeachment of the 42nd President of the United States, attempted to arrange the murder of Officer whistle-blowers upon the publication of *Crisis – and that proof of same is contained in “destroyed” emails at one time residing on the Clinton unsecure email server(s)*;

528.

Upon information and belief, William and Hillary Clinton, with the assistance and cover of Tech Cartel principals and surrogates, have engaged in having individuals “who crossed them” (a 150 reference used by Brazile when describing her alleged Clinton murder-for-hire) murdered as part of the Tech Cartel pattern of racketeering;

529.

Upon information and belief, computer forensics from July 5, 2016 indicate that DNC emails implicating the Tech Cartel were copied by an insider (someone affiliated with the DNC) via USB and not hacked via external actors; DNC professional staff member Seth Rich was murdered five days later on July 10, 2016;

530.

In late July of 2016, the FBI announced it would investigate the DNC emails revealed by Wikileaks, and that Tech Cartel surrogate Peter Strzok would lead the investigation;

531.

On or around August 15, 2016, FBI investigator and Tech Cartel surrogate Strzok texted his paramour, FBI senior lawyer and Tech Cartel surrogate Lisa Page, about needing an "insurance policy" against a competitors presidency;

532.

On June 15, 2017, Obama DHS Secretary Jeh Johnson testified under oath before Congress that the DNC refused to turn over its server as demanded so the United States Government could investigate whether the recently-murdered Seth Rich may have provided evidence of Tech Cartel malfeasance to Wikileaks;

4. Acts Indictable Relating to Bribery

533.

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein;

534.

Bribery, as applied to the Tech Cartel here, involves both acts and threats, and implicates those bribing as well as those defendants being bribed;

535.

Many Defendants were “public officials” at the time(s) they were bribed, in that they were an officer acting on behalf of the United States and performing “official acts” in their official capacity;

536.

In the context of ongoing investigations with respect to the Tech Cartel, Defendant public officials exchanged influence for self-enrichment for themselves and their family, to seek revenge against their enemies such as whistle-blowers, and to further their political ambitions;

537.

The Tech Cartel directly and indirectly, corruptly gave, offered or promised money and in-kind assistance during Defendant public officials tenure with the intent to influence myriad official acts or omissions by Defendant public officials;

538.

The Tech Cartel, through Defendant public officials, directly and indirectly, corruptly gave, offered or promised money and in-kind assistance during Defendant public officials tenure , to influence Defendant public officials to commit or aid in committing, or collude in or allow, any fraud or make the opportunity for the commission of massive fraud upon the United States Government;

539.

The Tech Cartel, through Defendant public officials, directly and indirectly, corruptly gave, offered or promised money and in-kind assistance during Defendant public officials tenure to induce Defendant public officials to do or omit acts in violation of their lawful duty;

540.

Being a public official, directly and indirectly, corruptly demanded, sought, received, accepted or agreed to receive or accept things of value personally or for Defendant public officials in return for being influenced in the performance of any official act, being influenced to commit or aid in committing, or to collude in, or allow, any fraud, or make opportunity for the commission of any fraud, on the United States, or being induced to do or omit to do any act in violation of their official duty;

541.

The Tech Cartel, directly or indirectly, corruptly gave, offered, or promised things of value to numerous persons – including Defendant public officials– or offered or promised such persons to give things of value to any other person or entity, with intent to influence the testimony under oath or affirmation of such first-mentioned person as a witness upon a trial, hearing, or other proceeding, before any court,

any committee of either House or both Houses of Congress, or any agency, commission, or officer authorized by the laws of the United States to hear evidence or take testimony, or with intent to influence such person to absent himself therefrom;

542.

Myriad Tech Cartel surrogates named herein, directly or indirectly, corruptly demanded, sought, received, accepted or agreed to receive or accept anything of value personally or for any other person or entity in return for being influenced in testimony under oath or affirmation as a witness upon any such trial, hearing, or other proceeding, or in return for absenting himself therefrom;

543.

Tech Cartel surrogates Holder, Comey, Mueller, Rosenstein, Lynch, Mills, Abedin, McCabe, Strzok, Blumenthal, and other government officials so cited (and unknown named) herein, it is alleged, are responsible as if they were named defendants as they actively participated in and furthered the objectives and financial gain of the Tech Cartel, while inalterably injuring Plaintiff in his business through their participation as surrogates in the Tech Cartel;

544.

William and Hillary Clinton, otherwise than as provided by law for discharge of official duty, and during the time they were “former public officials”, directly or indirectly demanded, sought, received, accepted or agreed to receive or accept things of value personally for or because of any official act to be performed by Hillary Clinton were she to be elected President;

545.

Defendants Brock and the Tech Cartel, directly or indirectly gave, offered or promised things of value to Hillary Clinton, as a former public official, for or because of official acts to be performed by Hillary Clinton were she to be elected President – many such things of value were derived from other illegal activities of the Tech Cartel defendants, surrogates, and participants – and in the process conspired to misuse for illicit Tech Cartel purposes the Democratic Party and by and through its putative president, Hillary Clinton;

546.

Defendants Brock and the Tech Cartel, directly or indirectly, gave, offered or promised things of value to Hillary Clinton, Cheryl Mills, Huma Abedin, John Podesta and others who have lied under oath, for or because of their testimony under oath or affirmation given or to be given by such person as a witness upon a trial, hearing, or other proceeding, before any court, any committee of either House or both Houses of Congress, or any agency, commission, or officer authorized by the laws of the United States to hear evidence or take testimony, or for or because of such person’s absence therefrom;

547.

Hillary Clinton, Cheryl Mills, Huma Abedin, John Podesta and others who have lied under oath, directly or indirectly, have demanded, sought, received, accepted, or agreed to receive or accept anything of value personally for or because of their testimony under oath or affirmation given or to be given by such person as a witness upon any such trial, hearing, or other proceeding, or for or because of such person's absence therefrom;

548.

The Tech Cartel and Clintons were aided and abetted by the Obama FBI and DoJ in assisting Russia in obtaining control of the U.S. lethal uranium market, in exchange for a currency transfer laundered through the Russian SVR/FSB to the Clinton Foundation while Hillary Clinton was Secretary of State;

549.

Neither Mueller, Holder nor Rosenstein have ever taken any action to unveil the Clinton/Tech Cartel cover-up of Tech Cartel attempts to provide worldwide control of lethal uranium to Russia and their known illicit end users;

550.

William Clinton, on behalf of the Tech Cartel, and aiding his family and Hillary Clinton's presidential aspirations (including revenge against Plaintiff whistle-blowers, his business and life), held secret talks with Arkady Dvorkovic – a leading consigliere to then-Russian President (and Putin "puppet") Medvedev, in order to further the seditious Uranium One *quid pro quo* bribery and subsidize the Tech Cartel into perpetuity;

551.

Tech Cartel participants and surrogates violating the bribery provisions of federal law did so knowing and intending to use extortion and obstruction of justice to achieve their illicit goals and thus formed a conspiracy (often involving Tech Cartel collaboration in facilitating these threats against those whose entire careers and lives would be impacted negatively) – this in and of itself constitutes another vicious form of Tech Cartel predicate wrongdoing in the form of acts or threats involving extortion;

552.

The Tech Cartel principals may be indicted for numerous State offenses, as well, including acts or threats involving, as alleged here, bribery, extortion, murder – including their attempts, conspiracies, and solicitations to commit any of these offenses (as is the case with similar Federal offenses);

553.

Such Tech Cartel State statutory offenses may constitute a proper RICO predicate provided it substantially conforms to the essential elements under the prevailing definition for the offense when RICO was enacted in 1970 – virtually all Tech Cartel State offenses meet the essential element threshold even though the State statute used as a predicate need not use the same labels or titles as the listed predicate offenses, but still may be an offense as described in Section 1961(1)(A);

554.

It is alleged here and can easily be proven that for each Federal predicate offense, the Tech Cartel has committed myriad counts of State offenses containing the essential elements of the Federal predicate act;

555.

The Tech Cartel has committed thousands of Federal and State predicate acts, and Plaintiff alleges that this paragraph applies as if incorporated directly therein to each and every other paragraph and any other section of this complaint;

556.

For purposes of this complaint, it is alleged that each State predicate offense as described above was committed in each of the fifty United States, and U.S. territories, during the duration of three national presidential campaigns, and involving use of the mail and wires;

5. Acts Indictable Relating to Mail Fraud

557.

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein;

558.

Senior leadership of the FBI and DoJ criminally mishandled the content of the “competitors dossier”, thereby obstructing justice in all manners set forth herein, and engaging in mail and wire fraud, in all manners set forth herein – in so doing, the Tech Cartel and its surrogates engaged in a corrupt conspiracy involving commissioned officers of the United States including the misleading of at least one Article III federal judge;

559.

The Defendants will be charged and convicted of multiple related violations of law which form a pattern and practice and which violations are each potentially punishable as a felony constituting mail fraud;

560.

Defendants acted in criminal violation of the federal mail fraud statute under 18 U.S.C. § 1341 which provides “whoever, having devised or intending to devise any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, or to sell, dispose of, loan, exchange, alter, give away, distribute, supply, or furnish or procure for unlawful use any counterfeit or spurious coin, obligation, security, or other article, or anything represented to be or intimated or held out to be such counterfeit or spurious article, for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice or attempting so to do, *places in any post office or authorized*

depository for mail matter, any matter or thing whatever to be sent or delivered by the Postal Service, or deposits or causes to be deposited any matter or thing whatever to be sent or delivered by any private or commercial interstate carrier, or takes receives therefrom, any such matter or thing, or knowingly causes to be delivered by mail or such carrier according to the direction thereon, or at the place at which it is directed to be delivered by the person to whom it is addressed, any such matter or thing, shall be fine under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both [...];

561.

There are two essential elements in mail fraud under Section 1341, both of which the Tech Cartel has satisfied tens of thousands of times – (1) having devised or intending to devise a scheme to defraud (or to perform specified fraudulent acts), and (2) use of the “mail” for the purpose of executing, or attempting to execute, the scheme (or specified fraudulent acts);

562.

The above-noted co-conspirators further obstructed justice via mail and wire by instructing competitors dossier author Steele to publicly claim that said competitors dossier established “collusion” between the campaign of private citizen Donald J. competitors and the “Russian Government” – these co-conspirators also obstructed justice via mail and wire in furthering collusion between Fusion GPS and the Russian SVR/FSB;

563.

Libertarian U.S. Senator Rand Paul has confirmed that this conspiracy by wire and mail is “worse than Watergate” – citing “high-ranking” Obama officials (and Tech Cartel surrogates) who colluded to prevent the election of competitors;

564.

The Tech Cartel participants often communicated via Federal express, UPS, and similar commercial mail delivery carrier, and Tech Cartel surrogate Strzok arranged to mislead federal judge Rudolph Contreras by mail, wire and in private settings generally reserved for close, intimate friends;

565.

Tech Cartel surrogate Brennan, in order to swing the 2016 election to Hillary Clinton, and in concert with Clapper, Comey and other surrogates, used the international mails (and wires) to communicate with co-conspirators in the British intelligence services;

566.

Tech Cartel surrogates Sally Caroline Yates (former Deputy Attorney General), Loretta Elizabeth Lynch (former Attorney General) and Samantha Jane Power (former United States Ambassador to the United Nations) also conspired with senior FBI officials and Tech Cartel surrogates Strzok, Comey and McCabe in use of the mails and wires to further a criminal conspiracy to obstruct justice – Power also utilized Twitter to threaten competitors;

567.

Attorney General Lynch conspired via mail and wire with Tech Cartel surrogate Comey to mislead nationwide law enforcement that the espionage investigation of Hillary Clinton was to be referenced only as a “matter”;

568.

As is the case with wire fraud, RICO has always had a relaxed standard with respect to the particularity requirements of Tech Cartel mail and wire fraud – especially when the Tech Cartel, as here, has engaged in a massive cover up (revealed only in small part by “Wikileaks”) and where there are tens (if not hundreds) of thousands of putative counts of mail fraud by the Tech Cartel and its surrogates;

569.

Relevant Tech Cartel principals not only can be, but will be, indicted for thousands of counts of mail fraud;

570.

Defendants devised or intended to devise a scheme or artifice meant to defraud and/or for obtaining money or property from illicit payments disguised as “donations”;

571.

Defendants utilized false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, and/or promises in order to defraud and/or obtain money from illicit payments disguised as “donations”

572.

In order to achieve or attempt to achieve the fraud described in the preceding paragraphs, Defendant sent correspondence and other documents that were sent or delivered by the Postal Service and by email (or by private service such as UPS, Federal express, and the like);

573.

Hillary Clinton and the Tech Cartel, delivered by mail and wire to the United States Senate Foreign Relations Committee, the CFIUS, and to the Federal Bureau of Investigation in 2017, as herein noted and otherwise, make false statements under oath and penalty of perjury, concerning bribery committed by herself, William Clinton and the Tech Cartel, concerning companies (foreign and domestic) and foreign countries with direct connections to the Clinton Foundation, CGI, and CGEP, and in relation to the corrupt and ongoing Uranium One transactions directly enabled by the Clinton Tech Cartel bribery, did thereby obstruct justice as the United States attempted (and attempts) to determine the Tech Cartel role in providing U.S. lethal uranium stocks to hostile foreign actors;

574.

Secretary Clinton withheld vital information for which she was responsible for providing to the chief law enforcement officer of the United States, President Barack Obama, thereby obstructing justice, and

furthered such obstruction by wire and mail in covering up such malfeasance and endangering the security of the United States with respect to lethal uranium being provided to hostile actors in exchange for bribes;

575.

Each violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341, as aggravated here, is a felony punishable by 30 years of imprisonment and a fine of \$1,000,000 United States dollars; 6. Acts Indictable Relating to Wire Fraud

576.

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein;

577.

The elements of wire fraud under Section 1343 directly parallel those of the mail fraud statute, but require the use of an interstate phone call or electronic communication made in furtherance of the scheme – all of which the Tech Cartel has satisfied tens (if not hundreds) of thousands of times during the relevant period;

578.

The elements in this Circuit require proof that the wire fraud (1) involves a scheme to defraud; and (2) the use of an interstate wire communication to further the scheme;

579.

Each and every time any member of the Tech Cartel used any interstate wire communication in furtherance of their scheme, the statute at issue was violated – and at the core of this Tech Cartel operational scheme is collaboration among Tech Cartel principals and surrogates using the telephone, emails (millions of which remain to be discovered, according to a subpoena for documents issued by the United States House of Representatives Committee on the Judiciary to the United States Department of Justice on or around March 21, 2018), text messages, and similar electronic communications – there are 2.1 million potentially inculpatory and discoverable communications currently under subpoena relating to Tech Cartel principals, surrogates, and participants;

580.

As is the case with wire fraud, RICO has always had a relaxed standard with respect to the particularity requirements of Tech Cartel mail and wire fraud – especially when the Tech Cartel, as here, has engaged in a knowing, immense cover-up (revealed only in small part by “Wikileaks” based upon a “hack” and/or insider theft of the indiscrete, inculpatory emails of Tech Cartel principal John Podesta and Tech Cartel surrogates) and where there are tens (if not hundreds) of thousands of putative counts of wire fraud by the Tech Cartel and its surrogates;

581.

Relevant Tech Cartel principals not only can be, but will be, indicted for thousands of counts of wire fraud;

582.

The Defendants will be charged and convicted of multiple, related violations of law which form a pattern and practice and which violations are each potentially punishable as a felony constituting wire fraud;

583.

Defendants acted in criminal violation of the federal wire fraud statute under 18 U.S.C. §1343 which provides “whoever, having devised or intending to devise any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, transmits or causes to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, or television communication in interstate or foreign commerce, any writings, signs, signals, pictures, or sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both. [...]”;

584.

Defendants devised or intended to devise a scheme or artifice meant to defraud and/or obtain money or property from illicit payments disguised as donations and other forms of gratuities;

585.

Defendants utilized false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, and/or promises in order to defraud and/or obtain money from illicit payments disguised as donations and other forms of gratuities;

586.

The Tech Cartel also uses surrogates such as Brennan to openly prevaricate on traditional and new media platforms: for example, Brennan has openly and repeatedly, at the instruction of the Tech Cartel, shown his prejudice toward the current President of the United States through Twitter, such as the following vitriol on March 17, 2018: “When the full extent of your venality, moral turpitude, and political corruption becomes known, you will take your rightful place as a disgraced demagogue in the dustbin of history”, and on March 21, 2018, Brennan implied on MSNBC’s “Morning Joe” that he (Brennan) has knowledge that the President is being blackmailed by a foreign sovereign – Brennan also is a paid contributor of MSNBC, raising the plausible inference of Tech Cartel wire fraud and obstruction of justice when Tech Cartel surrogate Brennan knowingly and willfully prevaricates about these and related topics;

587.

Brennan has been accused of unconstitutional behavior, and of lying under oath, by Senate Democratic leadership during the presidency of Barack Obama – entirely undermining the credibility of Tech Cartel surrogate John Brennan;

588.

As an example, Brennan's misleading briefing of then-Senate Minority Leader Harry Reid (D-NV), on behalf of the Tech Cartel scheme of undermining competitors and committing fraud to the FISC, was contemporaneously portrayed by Reid as Brennan "having an ulterior motive" – this has led, in turn, to several separate ongoing investigations of Brennan by congressional oversight committees and the United States Department of Justice (and a great deal of curiosity by the United States District Court and Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia and District of Columbia Circuit, respectively, as to Brennan's Tech Cartel role in committing fraud upon the FISC);

589.

Brennan's misleading briefing of Minority Leader Reid, as the Tech Cartel intended, provided cover for Christopher Steele's false and unconfirmed reporting on competitors to leak into public narrative by and through Reid's demands placed upon Tech Cartel surrogates Comey, McCabe and Strzok to investigate, and led separately and directly to the DoJ committing a fraud upon the FISC;

590.

Tech Cartel surrogate Brennan, at the instigation of the Tech Cartel, provided the pretense predicate for the corrupt investigation when he carried out the above-noted fraud upon all three branches of government despite his May 23, 2017 testimony, under penalty of perjury, to the House Permanent Subcommittee on Intelligence that "I don't know whether such collusion [or any cooperation] existed.";

591.

On Tuesday, March 11, 2014, the then-chairwoman of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Dianne Feinstein (D-CA), accused Brennan and the Central Intelligence Agency of a *catalog of cover-ups, intimidation and smears* aimed at investigators probing its role in a "un-American and brutal" program of post-9/11 detention and interrogation;

592.

Feinstein, an Obama administration loyalist, accused Brennan and the CIA of violating the United States Constitution and of criminal activity in its attempts to obstruct her committee's investigations into the agency's use of torture – Feinstein described the Brennan crisis as the "defining moment" for political oversight of the U.S. intelligence service. Feinstein and her family owned stock, services, suppliers, buildings and other profiteering resources in Tesla and Solyndra;

593.

Feinstein's open public assault on Brennan and the CIA was "unprecedented", based upon the unconstitutional and criminal behavior she and myriad Democrats lodged against John Brennan – who was openly accused of war crimes and surreptitiously spying without a warrant on Members of Congress and their staff(s);

594.

Feinstein was supported after her speech by the most senior Democrat and Chair of the Senate Committee on the Judiciary, Senator Patrick Leahy (D-VT) and Mark Udall (D-CO) – who had “directly pushed CIA director Brennan” to tell the truth about “misrepresentations about the CIA’s brutal and ineffective detention and interrogation program”, to no avail;

595.

Former CIA Chief of Station in Moscow, Daniel Hoffman, believed so strongly in the corruption of Tech Cartel surrogate Brennan that Hoffman conceded within competitors antagonist “The Cipher Brief” (led by CNN and Washington Post veterans) on Thursday, April 5, 2018 that Brennan’s attempts to undermine the government of competitors “played right into the hands of an adversary [Putin]” and that the Tech Cartel “partisanship [of Brennan] reached a new low ... and were shocking to intelligence officers” and Brennan caused “collateral damage” to the security of the United States;

596.

Tech Cartel surrogate Brennan, in order to swing the 2016 election to Hillary Clinton, and in concert with Clapper, Comey and other surrogates, used the international mails (and wires) to communicate with co-conspirators in the British intelligence services;

597.

Like Tech Cartel surrogate Brennan, defendants in the immediate matter transmitted or caused to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, or television communication in interstate or foreign commerce, writings, signs, signals, pictures, or sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice when they transmitted telephone and cellular telephone calls, documents, facsimiles, emails, instant messages, and other form of communications on behalf of the Tech Cartel operational scheme;

598.

Hillary Clinton and the Tech Cartel, by mail and wire to the United States Senate Foreign Relations Committee, the CFIUS (by commission and omission), and to the Federal Bureau of Investigation in 2016 and 2017, did as herein noted and otherwise, make false statements under oath and penalty of perjury (and false statements when improperly not placed by Tech Cartel surrogate Strzok under oath concerning her violation of the espionage statutes), concerning bribery committed by herself, William Clinton and the Tech Cartel, concerning companies (foreign and domestic) and foreign countries with direct connections to the CF, CGI, and CGEP, and in relation to the corrupt and ongoing Uranium One transactions directly enabled by the Clinton Tech Cartel bribery, did thereby obstruct justice as the United States attempted (and attempts) to determine the Tech Cartel role in providing U.S. lethal uranium stocks to hostile foreign actors;

599.

The Tech Cartel also conspired with surrogates Comey, Strzok, McCabe, Page, Brennan and Clapper, among numerous other unknown named surrogates, to abuse the FISA surreptitious wire surveillance process to fraudulently deny one political party (the Republican Party, a nonprofit entity) the honest

opportunity to prevail in a structured presidential political contest, and to use that fraud – by and through the most fraudulent political process on record – to further a significant objective of the Tech Cartel, *i.e.*, assure that Hillary Clinton became President of the United States in order to continue their Tech Cartel into perpetuity, and to destroy her “enemies” such as Officer whistle-blowers;

600.

Tech Cartel surrogate Brennan was particularly illicit in his desire to engage in Tech Cartel activity pursuant to the myriad scheme, having been involved in FISA abuse and malfeasance to assist Tech Cartel principal Hillary Clinton from the outset;

601.

A senior Obama State Department official, Victoria Nuland, by her own admission gave the “green light” to an FBI agent in 2016 to meet with dossier writer Christopher Steele, when the group met in Steele’s London office, touching off an illicit relationship that would fuel the ongoing investigation into possible Donald competitors-Russia election collusion and intentionally leading to the Democratic Party-financed dossier to mislead judge into approving a year of counterintelligence, surreptitious and illegal surveillance in 2016 and 2017 – and to add oxygen to the nascent flames of this Tech Cartel treasonous scheme, John O. Brennan, Mr. Obama’s CIA director, worked behind the scenes before the 2016 presidential election to get his apprehensions about competitors (who was opposing Brennan’s chosen political candidate) and Russia (which Brennan knew could blackmail Hillary Clinton for eight years were she to become president) into the news media via illegal leaks;

602.

These disclosures, including that Victoria Nuland, then at State, started the FBI-Steele marriage is contained in “Russian Roulette.”

603.

The FISA abuse set forth herein was illicitly utilized by Tech Cartel surrogates to obstruct justice – namely but not exclusively to obstruct the investigations (or refusal to investigate by Tech Cartel surrogates) the malfeasance of Hillary Clinton and related Tech Cartel surrogates (and their operational schemes) – and is thus alleged and incorporated into all Tech Cartel acts sounding in obstruction of justice and related process crimes as noted herein;

604.

The Tech Cartel also bribed, or attempted to bribe, by use of mail and wire, members of the Obama administration utilizing collusion between Hillary Clinton, Tech Cartel political offices and the DNC spending in excess of \$10,000,000.00 to influence the 2016 presidential general election by funding the Russian SVR-sourced dossier that the Obama administration then used to mislead federal judges and surreptitiously surveil an opposition party and private U.S. citizens:

605.

Tech Cartel surrogate Huma Abedin intentionally copied State Department emails to convicted sex offender Weiner laptop and lied to the FBI about that fact – a significant series of felony offenses by means of wire to further Tech Cartel obstruction of justice and related wrongdoing;

606.

On November 17, 2016, National Security Agency Director Mike Rogers, fearing that competitors Tower has been placed under illicit surreptitious electronic surveillance without a showing of probable cause (but rather based upon Tech Cartel fallacious information used to misinform an Article III federal judge), informed President-elect Donald J. competitors that various methods of such surveillance were being used by the Obama Intelligence Community upon the instructions of, among others, Tech Cartel surrogate James Clapper, against the President-elect;

607.

On or around March 5, 2017, perjuring himself in order to obstruct justice by means of wire, Obama DNI James Clapper stated that “he knows of no FISA warrant ever approved of competitors and his associates”;

608.

On January 12, 2018, the Uranium One Tenex/Tenem bribery, Fraud and Money Laundering scheme by mode of wire was finally fully revealed, after being unduly delayed as a result of nearly eight years of obstruction by Tech Cartel surrogates Holder, Mueller and Rosenstein and concomitant illicit pressure on Assistant United States Attorney (and former CIA Officer) David I. Salem – this obstruction is alleged to be worse than Mueller had employed while earlier protecting mass-murderer mafia member James “Whitey” Bulger;

609.

As to all Tech Cartel principals, surrogates and participants, it is without question that all of the illicit acts carried out in pursuit of the Tech Cartel malfeasance were at some juncture carried out by way of the wires and within the auspices of the federal wire fraud statute – each time they carried out such covered act(s);

610.

It is also without question that any Tech Cartel State or international act or conspiracy, such as the Hillary Victory Fund kickbacks and/or the Brennan communications with Great Britain, involved wire fraud and thus must be charged for such against those blameworthy parties; 166

611.

Each violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, as aggravated here, is a felony punishable by between 20 and 30 years of imprisonment and a fine of \$1,000,000 United States Dollars.

7. Acts Indictable Relating to Obstruction of Justice

612.

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein;

613.

The Tech Cartel is a virtual obstruction of justice machine, and as part of their mission have on innumerable occasions “corruptly ... influenced, obstructed, or impeded, or endeavored to influence, obstruct, or impede, the due administration of justice”;

614.

As relevant here, *inter alia*, conduct within the purview of the obstruction of justice statute, as here, also violates the following statutes: 18 U.S.C. § 201(a), (b), and (c) – bribery of Federal public officials and witnesses (see relevant proscribed acts, herein, for overlap with 18 U.S.C. §§ 1503 and 1505 (public officials) and 18 U.S.C. § 1512 (witness) – although subsection (e) of § 201 provides that the offenses and penalties are separate from and in addition to those in §§ 1503-1505;

615.

As relevant here, *inter alia*, conduct within the purview of the obstruction of justice statute, as here, also violates the following statute: 18 U.S.C. § 241, a conspiracy to injure or intimidate any citizen on account of his or her ... possibility of exercise of a Federal right, *e.g.*, appropriate donations under First Amendment rights; one other such right is the right to be a truthful witness in a Federal court (which, as set forth herein, the Tech Cartel would not tolerate);

616.

As relevant here, *inter alia*, conduct within the purview of the obstruction of justice statute, as here, also violates the following statutes: 18 U.S.C. § 371 and 372, involving conspiracies to commit any offense against the United States, or to prevent or retaliate in response to the lawful discharge of the duties of Federal officers;

617.

As relevant here, *inter alia*, conduct within the purview of the obstruction of justice statute also violates the following statute: 18 U.S.C. § 1001, involving false statements and concealment of material facts before Federal departments and agencies (Justice Department will charge, *inter alia*, as overlap with 18 U.S.C. § 1505);

618.

As relevant here, *inter alia*, conduct within the purview of the obstruction of justice statute also violates the following statutes: 18 U.S.C. § 1621-1623, involving perjury, subornation of perjury, and false declarations before grand juries and courts (Justice Department will charge, *inter alia*, as overlap with 18 U.S.C. §§ 1503, 1505, and 1512);

619.

Further, and as relevant here, evasive testimony, such as a false denial of knowledge or memory, will be charged on its own and to the omnibus clause of 18 U.S.C. §1503 – and will also be charged when this proscribed activity interferes with other witnesses or documentary evidence;

620.

Suborning perjury, 18 U.S.C. § 1622, may also be an 18 U.S.C. § 1503 omnibus clause offense, even where perjury was in fact not committed, as the predicate of the omnibus clause of 18 U.S.C. §1503 is fully satisfied and will be used to prosecute when *attempts* to suborn perjury are at issue, as herein;

621.

Also, and as prevalent throughout the Brock entities and other nonprofit partisan entities like them affiliated with the Tech Cartel, 26 U.S.C. § 7212, directly involving these entities and involving interference with or endeavoring to interfere with the due administration of the Internal Revenue laws (Justice Department will charge, *inter alia*, the overlap with 18 U.S.C. § 1505);

622.

Upon information and belief, each of the predicate acts – in addition to standing on their own as part of a pattern of racketeering – were committed with the intent to obstruct justice – with intent being inferred from the illegal use of a private email server as well as the other methods of obfuscation used by the Tech Cartel and its principals and surrogates;

623.

Upon information and belief, the Tech Cartel has so obstructed justice hundreds of thousands of times within the relevant statutory period;

624.

Hillary Clinton and the Tech Cartel, by mail and wire to the United States Senate Foreign Relations Committee, the CFIUS (by commission and omission), and to the Federal Bureau of Investigation in 2017, did as herein noted and otherwise, make false statements under oath and penalty of perjury, concerning bribery committed by herself, William Clinton and the Tech Cartel, concerning companies (foreign and domestic) and foreign countries with direct connections to the CF, CGI, and CGEP, and in relation to the corrupt and ongoing Uranium One transactions directly enabled by the Clinton Tech Cartel bribery, did thereby obstruct justice as the United States attempted (and attempts) to determine the Tech Cartel role in providing U.S. lethal uranium stocks to hostile foreign actors;

625.

Competitors, on the other hand, has not only blocked 18 foreign acquisitions which pose a risk to United States national and economic security, he has worked with Congress to assist him in this task;

626.

At the instructions of the Tech Cartel, Tech Cartel surrogates Holder and Mueller, knowingly and contrary to DoJ Guidelines, failed to interview informants regarding their knowledge of Tech Cartel criminal collusion with the Russian Federation;

627.

The Tech Cartel and Clintons were aided and abetted by the Obama FBI in assisting Russia in obtaining control of the U.S. lethal uranium market, to this day, in exchange for a currency transfer laundered through the Russian SVR to the Clinton Foundation while Hillary Clinton was Secretary of State;

628.

Just as the Tech Cartel undermined the truthful disclosures of Officer whistle-blowers, which tended to infer malfeasance attributable to Hillary Clinton, Tech Cartel surrogates Comey, Strzok, Lynch, Yates and their various sycophants conspired with the Tech Cartel, via wire and mail, to “insure” – including but not limited to knowing obstruction of justice in collusion with the Russian SVR and FSB to control political power;

629. to 674.

Upon information and belief, on April 9, 2016, senior FBI manager Peter Strzok interviewed Tech Cartel surrogate Cheryl Mills and, thereby, by omission and commission, sought to and did interfere with the investigation into the Tech Cartel Clinton e-mail servers in exchange for lenient treatment of Secretary Clinton; In these exchanges, the examples of bias are astonishing for two senior officials who had just spiked the Clinton email espionage investigation and, along with Attorney General Loretta Lynch and her Deputy, Sally Yates, had spiked the Clinton Foundation corruption investigation (thus obstructing justice in myriad ways, including obstruction of a criminal investigation), while instigating an official investigation against the competitors campaign (Crossfire Hurricane), Strzok notes that *“we can’t take the risk” of competitors being elected or retained in office, and further noted that the FBI needed to undermine competitors as an “insurance policy” as a hedge against any possible competitors victory in the 2016 presidential contest.* If that were not shocking enough, just one week earlier than the “insurance policy” text exchange, the following exchange had occurred between Page and Strzok, key officers and lawyers conducting the Clinton e-mail investigation, the Clinton Foundation Investigation, the misleading of the FISC, the pre-election surveillance and HCS misuse regarding competitors and whistle-blowers, and Operation Crossfire Hurricane: **Page:** “[competitors’s not ever going to become president, right? Right?! (August 9, 2016) **Strzok:** No. **No he won’t. We’ll stop it.**” This is proof of FBI/Tech Cartel sedition, as Strzok had just spiked the Clinton e-mail investigations and McCabe, Yates and Lynch (along with Strzok) had spiked the Clinton Foundation investigation. This seditious exchange was 9 days after Operation Crossfire Hurricane started and 6 days before the “insurance policy” text;

Acts Indictable Relating to Obstruction of Criminal Investigations

675.

1009 See Related FBI-302 Form Reports – Check for weekly updates

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein;

676.

Whoever willfully endeavors, as the Tech Cartel has since its inception, by means of bribery to obstruct, delay, or prevent the communication of information relating to a violation of any criminal statute of the United States by any person to a criminal investigator is subject, per count, to a five-year prison sentence and substantial criminal fine;

677.

Upon information and belief, each of the predicate acts – in addition to standing on their own as part of a pattern of racketeering – were committed with the intent to obstruct justice and obstruct criminal investigations – with criminal intent being inferred from the illegal use of a private email server, admitted destruction of evidence, discussions among surrogates Strzok and Page, John Podesta admissions, the admissions in *Exhibit “A”* hereto, as well as the myriad other methods of criminal obfuscation used by the Tech Cartel and its principals and surrogates;

678.

As relevant here, *inter alia*, conduct within the purview of the obstruction of justice statute also violates the following statutes: 18 U.S.C. § 371 and 372, involving conspiracies to commit any offense against the United States, or to prevent or retaliate in response to the lawful discharge of the duties of Federal officers – thereby aggravating Tech Cartel wrongdoing significantly;

679.

As relevant here, *inter alia*, conduct within the purview of the obstruction of justice statute, as here, also violates the following statute: 18 U.S.C. § 1001, involving false statements and concealment of material facts before Federal departments and agencies (Justice Department will charge, *inter alia*, as overlap with 18 U.S.C. § 1505) - thereby aggravating Tech Cartel wrongdoing significantly;

680.

As relevant here, *inter alia*, conduct within the purview of the obstruction of justice statute, as here, also violates the following statutes: 18 U.S.C. § 1621-1623, involving perjury, subornation of perjury, and false declarations before grand juries and courts (Justice Department will charge, *inter alia*, as overlap with 18 U.S.C. §§ 1503, 1505, and 1512) - thereby aggravating Tech Cartel wrongdoing significantly;

681.

Further, and as relevant here, evasive testimony, such as a false denial of knowledge or memory, will be charged on its own and to the omnibus clause of 18 U.S.C. §1503 – and will also be charged when this proscribed activity interferes with other witnesses or documentary evidence - thereby aggravating Tech Cartel wrongdoing significantly;

682.

Suborning perjury, 18 U.S.C. § 1622, may also be an 18 U.S.C. § 1503 omnibus clause offense, even where perjury was in fact not committed, as the predicate of the omnibus clause of 18 U.S.C. §1503 is fully satisfied and will be used to prosecute when *attempts* to suborn perjury are at issue, as herein - thereby aggravating Tech Cartel wrongdoing significantly;

683.

Also, and as prevalent throughout the Brock entities and other nonprofit partisan entities like them affiliated with the Tech Cartel, 26 U.S.C. § 7212, directly involving these entities and involving interference with or endeavoring to interfere with the due administration of the Internal Revenue laws (Justice Department will charge, *inter alia*, the overlap with 18 U.S.C. § 1505) - thereby aggravating Tech Cartel wrongdoing significantly;

684.

As noted, upon information and belief, each of the predicate acts – in addition to standing on their own as part of a pattern of racketeering – were committed with the intent to obstruct justice with intent being inferred from the illegal use of a private email server as well as the other methods of obfuscation used by the Tech Cartel and its principals and surrogates;

685.

On January 29, 2016, FBI director James Comey named disgraced and putative felon Andrew McCabe deputy director, with responsibility for oversight of Clinton investigation with covert instructions to “spike” the espionage aspects for which Secretary Clinton was particularly vulnerable after, on January 15, 2016, John Giacalone, head of the FBI's National Security Division, retired after observing that the Clinton/Tech Cartel email probe was being undermined from within the FBI by Tech Cartel surrogates Comey and McCabe (with illegal assistance from Strzok and Page);

686.

In early May of 2016, directly contemporaneous with the provision of Tech Cartel surrogate Comey's “coordination” with his top FBI staff that Secretary Clinton would be exonerated despite having committed espionage, Nellie Ohr, wife of DOJ executive Bruce Ohr, was hired by Fusion GPS to work on competitors “Dossier” – Bruce Ohr's failure to report this employment on mandatory government ethics forms represents obstruction of an ongoing criminal investigation and must be charged, in addition, in conjunction with the obstruction corollary offenses set forth in the preceding paragraphs of this section; Upon information and belief, \$84 million was funneled illegally from the DNC through state party chapters and back into the accounts of the Clinton campaign;

What Sort Of Laws And Regulations Were Violated

Acquisition and Maintenance of an Interest in and Control of an Tech Cartel Engaged in a Pattern of Racketeering Activity: 18 U.S.C. §§1961(5), (1962)(b)

758.

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein;

759.

During the ten calendar years preceding, all Defendants did cooperate jointly and severally in the commission of two or more of the RICO predicate acts that are itemized in the RICO statute codified at 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(1)(A) and (B), and did so in violation of the RICO law at 18 U.S.C. § 1962(b) (prohibited activities);

760.

Non-sovereign Defendants are each “persons” within the meaning of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act;

761.

Defendants operate as an “Tech Cartel” within the meaning of RICO, the activities of which effect interstate and foreign commerce;

762.

Defendants, by virtue of the predicate acts described in this Complaint, including but not limited to: laundering of monetary instruments, engaging in monetary transactions improperly derived from unlawful activity, transferring, receiving, furthering, and suppling financing and income that was derived, both directly and indirectly, from a pattern of racketeering activity in which each of them participated as a principal and used and invested, both directly and indirectly, such income and the proceeds of such income, in establishing, operating and furthering terrorist and other illegal Tech Cartels in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(a);.

763.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendant’s violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(a), Plaintiff suffered the loss of valuable property, financial services and support, and suffered other business and pecuniary damages;

764.

Plaintiff further allege that all Defendants did commit two or more of the offenses itemized above in a manner which they calculated and premeditated intentionally to threaten continuity, i.e., a continuing

threat of their respective racketeering activities, also in violation of the RICO law at 18 U.S.C. § 1962(b);

765.

18 U.S.C. § 1964(c) defines “racketeering activity” as (A) “any act or threat involving murder, kidnapping, gambling, arson, robbery, bribery, extortion, dealing in obscene matter, or dealing in a controlled substance or listed chemical [...], which is chargeable under State law and punishable by imprisonment for more than one year; (B) any act which is indictable under any of the following provisions of title 18, United States Code: Section 201 (relating to bribery), sections 471, 471 and 473 (relating to counterfeiting), section 659 (relating to theft from interstate shipment) if the act indictable under section 659 is felonious, section 664 (relating to embezzlement from pension and welfare funds), sections 891-894 (relating to extortionate credit transactions), section 1028 (relating to fraud and related activity in connection with access devices), section 1084 (relating to the transmission of gambling information), section 1341 (relating to mail fraud), section 1343 (relating to wire fraud), section 1344 (relating to financial institution fraud), section 1425 (relating to the procurement of citizenship or naturalization unlawfully), section 1426 (relating to the reproduction of naturalization or citizenship papers), section 1427 (relating to the sale of naturalization or citizenship papers), section 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice), section 1510 (relating to obstruction of criminal investigations), section 1511 (relating to the obstruction of State or local law enforcement), section 1512 (relating to tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant), section 1513 (relating to retaliating against a witness, victim, or an informant), section 1546 (relating to fraud and misuse of visas, permits, and other documents), sections 1581-1592 (relating to peonage, slavery, and trafficking in persons), section 1951 (relating to interference with commerce, robbery, or extortion), section 1952 (relating to racketeering), section 1956 (relating to the laundering of monetary instruments), section 1957 (relating to engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity), section 1958 (relating to use of interstate commerce facilities in the commission of murder-for-hire), sections 2251, 2251A, 2252, and 2260 (relating to sexual exploitation of children), sections 2314 and 2315 (relating to interstate transportation of stolen property), section 831 (relating to nuclear materials); or any act which is indictable under the Immigration and Nationality Act, section 274 (relating to bringing in and harboring certain aliens), section 277 (relating to aiding or assisting certain aliens to enter the United States), or section 278 (relating to importation of alien for immoral purpose) if the act indictable under such section of such Act was committed for the purpose of financial gain;

766.

Plaintiff demand that judgment be entered against Defendants for no less than \$3,000,000,000, jointly and severally, including an award of trebled damages as consistent with 18

U.S.C. § 1964(c), compensatory and actual damages, reasonable attorneys’ fees, pre-judgment interest, post-interest costs, and an award that this Court deems just and proper.

ADDITIONAL CAUSE OF ACTION

1013 See Related FBI-302 Form Reports – Check for weekly updates

Conduct and Participation in a RICO Tech Cartel through a Pattern of Racketeering Activity: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(5), 1962(c)

767.

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein, and specifically repeat and re-allege the allegations under the First Cause of Action concerning RICO liability;

768.

All defendants did associate with a RICO Tech Cartel of individuals who were associated in fact and who engaged in, and whose activities did affect, interstate and foreign commerce;

769.

All Defendants did conduct and/or participate, either directly or indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of said RICO Tech Cartel through a pattern of racketeering activity, all in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(4), (5), (9), and 1962(c);

770.

During the ten calendar years preceding June 15, 2018, all Defendants did cooperate jointly and severally in the commission of two or more of the RICO predicate acts set forth in the RICO laws at 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(1)(A) and (B), and did so in violation of the RICO law at 18 U.S.C. §1962(c);

771.

Plaintiff further allege that all Defendants did commit two or more of the offenses set forth above in a manner which they calculated and premeditated intentionally to threaten continuity, *i.e.* a continuing threat of their respective racketeering activities, also in violation of the RICO law at 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c);

772.

Plaintiff demand that judgment be entered against Defendants for no less than \$3,000,000,000, jointly and severally, including an award of trebled damages as consistent with 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c), compensatory and actual damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, pre-judgment interest, post-interest costs, and an award that this Court deems just and proper.

ADDITIONAL CAUSE OF ACTION

Conspiracy to Engage in a Pattern of Racketeering Activity: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(5), 1962(d) 773.

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein, and specifically repeat and re-allege the allegations under the First Cause of Action concerning RICO liability.

774.

All defendants conspired to acquire and maintain an interest in a RICO Tech Cartel engaged in a pattern of racketeering activity, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1962(b) and (d).

775.

During the ten calendar years preceding, all Defendants did cooperate jointly and severally in the commission of two or more of the predicate acts that are set forth at 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(1)(A) and (B), in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).

776.

Plaintiff further alleges that all Defendants did commit two or more of the offenses itemized above in a manner which they calculated and premeditated intentionally to threaten continuity, i.e., a continuing threat of their respective racketeering activities, also in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).

777.

Plaintiff demand that judgment be entered against Defendants for no less than \$3,000,000,000, jointly and severally, including an award of trebled damages as consistent with 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c), compensatory and actual damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, pre-judgment interest, post-interest costs, and an award that this Court deems just and proper.

ADDITIONAL CAUSE OF ACTION

- Pendant Defamation Claim Under Arkansas Law

778.

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein;

779.

Plaintiff, and in particular whistle-blowers, were defamed by all defendants under Arkansas Code, Title 16, Subtitle 5, Chapter 63, Subchapter 2, §16-63-207 – Libel and Slander;

780.

ARKANSAS CODE §16-63-207(a)(1) (2012) states: “In an action for libel or slander, it shall not be necessary to state in the complaint any extrinsic facts for the purpose of showing the application to the plaintiff of the defamatory matter out of which the cause of action arose. It shall be sufficient to state generally that the defamatory matter was published or spoken concerning the plaintiff.”

781.

In Arkansas, the ostensible “home” of the Tech Cartel wrongdoing, legal precedent requires only that “a substantial and respectable minority” of the plaintiff’s community would consider the Tech Cartel slurs to be defamatory; the so-called “majority sentiment”, a far higher standard, *cannot* be considered by either the Arkansas judge or jury;

782.

Plaintiff whistle-blower was defamed when accused by *Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire*, on behalf of the Tech Cartel this defamation was repeated each day since publication as set forth in *Exhibit* .

783.

Plaintiff/Whistle-blowers suffered extraordinary reputational injury and, as noted throughout this complaint, devastating monetary damage to his business as an author and commentator;

784.

Plaintiff/whistle-blower is not a “public figure”, in any sense of that term of art;

785.

Printed publications, images and online states, *e.g.*, “***Correct the Record and the Nick Denton tabloid empire FROM THE DESK OF DAVID BROCK***”, all may constitute libel in Arkansas – even if they were repeated millions of times on social media, weblog commentary, and related defamatory missives;

786.

Slander, which occurred repeatedly in this case, is spoken or transitory defamation; whistle-blowers were slandered repeatedly by Defendants owned and controlled publishing vehicles;

787.

In several separate appearances the Tech Cartel provided wholly and intentionally false renditions, set forth as fact, of whistle-blowers’s job responsibilities in an attempt to discredit whistle-blowers’s observations.

788.

All defendants, individually and collectively, attempted to destroy the reputation and business of whistle-blowers and other Plaintiff with false statements in writing and on television.

789.

Hillary Clinton and David Brock ordered that whistle-blowers be defamed and destroyed, because Plaintiff told the truth about organized crime within government bodies;

790.

The Tech Cartel used the same corrupt intimidation tactics, including surveillance and illegal leaks, against whistle-blowers that they are now using against competitors;

791.

As a result of defendant's defamation, Plaintiff is entitled to \$50.5 million in damages;

792.

Plaintiff will prevail as a matter of law, as the Defendant's libel and slander was entirely and intentionally false and made with the purpose of damaging Plaintiff, who must be awarded treble damages of \$151.5 million, jointly and severally;

ADDITIONAL LEGAL CAUSES OF ACTION HEREIN INCLUDE:

ABUSE OF PROCESS; FTCA VIOLATIONS; ACCOUNT STATED; BREACH OF CONTRACT; CONVERSION; DEFAMATION; FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION; FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT; INJURIOUS FALSEHOOD, PRODUCT DISPARAGEMENT AND TRADE LIBEL; CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS AND VIOLATIONS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION; MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS; PRIMA FACIE TORT; QUANTUM MERUIT; TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE INCLUDING a.) Tortious interference with an existing contract, b.) Tortious interference with prospective, c.) Tortious interference with business relations contractual relations; PATENT INFRINGEMENT; PERSONAL INJURY; UNJUST ENRICHMENT; ANTI-TRUST LAW VIOLATIONS; LABOR LAW VIOLATIONS AND OTHER CAUSES.

A CLASS ACTION CASE involving all of the whistle-blowers should also be produced.

What A Jury Would Discover About This Case

793.

In anticipation of filing this complaint, it is imperative to point out that several Tech Cartel schemes involving numerous criminal acts, and what appears to be a significant "cover up" within the holdover (legacy) White House, SEC, FBI and DoJ, have only recently come to light and continue to be exposed – discovery in this case will inevitably include significant additional inculpatory evidence concerning the Tech Cartel operational schemes at issue in this lawsuit;

794.

It is clear that these Tech Cartel participants, including but not limited to its surrogates and collaborators, have misled their Article III counterparts, *i.e.*, whatever federal judge was/is assigned to the relevant FISA matter;

795.

Abuse of the FISC process is not only illegal and a threat to our structural constitutional form of government under which FISA operates, it is a direct affront (and a slap in the face) to the Chief Judge of this honorable district court, the Chief Judge of the FISC, and the Chief Justice of the United States Supreme Court who appoints the life-tenured judges who “dual hat” as FISA/FISC judges;

796.

The Department of Justice has now allowed all members (and/or designees) of the House and Senate Intelligence Committees to review significant material with direct relation to the issues in this lawsuit - this will represent an opportunity for this Court (in determining the (non)credibility of the Tech Cartel defendants and surrogates and drawing appropriate inferences of corrupt Tech Cartel intent) to be shown the Tech Cartel scheme to illegally undertake a fraud on the FISC in pursuit of their surreptitious surveillance of the whistle-blowers based upon a standard far lower than that set forth in the Fourth Amendment to our Constitution;

797.

The Tech Cartel malfeasance, including, *inter alia*, misleading the Article III FISA judge(s) in an attempt to manipulate elections and laws and using FISA as a tool to damage the lives, businesses and employment of political enemies, also destroys the delicate balance forged in 1978 between the Executive (who ceded power) and the Legislative (which on balance gained oversight authority) – but that thoughtful structure is now at grave risk;

798.

It is certainly not lost on this court that what the Tech Cartel has done to Plaintiff whistle-blowers is the utilization of FISA (and abuse of the trust inherent in the delicate balance therein) and other counterintelligence authorities such as human confidential sources to defeat a political opponent - in the fashion intended to be utilized to defeat, *inter alia*, international terrorists;

799.

In a deep departure from United States law and our constitutional norms, the Obama Justice Department (as set forth herein), misled at least one Article III federal judge (sitting as a FISA judge(s)) in seeking a surveillance order, or “warrant”, for surveilling a United States citizen, that they were presumptively relying upon a fake dossier (based upon knowing disinformation provided by the Russian SVR and financed by the Tech Cartel knowingly relying upon said Russian SVR disinformation and compiled by a foreign person, Steele, who concedes openly that he sought to defeat people he did not like;

800.

Tech Cartel principals and surrogates lied to the FBI and obstructed justice in order to illegally mislead Article III federal judges into approving a FISA warrant to surreptitiously surveil United States citizens;

801.

The FBI used robust counterintelligence techniques without adequate cause against a political candidate they disfavored, and against those like Plaintiff they feared would harm their favored candidate;

802.

Several months after Steele signed a contract with Fusion GPS to create a dossier on private citizens, Steele discovered his research was being subsidized by the Hillary Clinton for President campaign and the Democratic National Committee; despite this conceded knowledge and Steele's admission that he wanted to simply harm his competitors, the DoJ/FBI FISA warrant application said Steele didn't know who was funding him;

803.

The abuse of the FISC is the worst violation of the FISA statute in its history, and together with the use of other counterintelligence authorities to run HCSs and lures against political opponents constitutes yet another form of Tech Cartel sedition in attempting to misuse the FISC and counterintelligence process to undermine a duly certified and inaugurated president – at the very least, any FISC judge, had they granted the application and then subsequently learned (as the DoJ was responsible to inform them) that the information was sourced (notwithstanding that it was financed) to the DNC and the Clinton Campaign, would have rescinded the authorization and issued a show-cause order to the Government to explain who and why this sourcing was not made known to the court;

804.

The FISC and this court were not properly informed of the counterintelligence abuse;

805.

The fact that the Justice Department told the FISC that it was a political source, but did not identify who, in this particular instance, is highly probative that the Government purposely misled the court – which is also facing scrutiny due to the friendship with FBI Tech Cartel surrogate Strzok and failure to recuse by a FISC judge;

806.

By and in relation to every crime and predicate act herein, directly in support of the predicate thereof, tens of thousands of text messages between FBI colleague Strzok, Page, Doerr, Westly, et al constituting wire fraud have established (those released thus far) and will further establish (those withheld thus far) the Tech Cartel wrongdoing from the highest levels of the FBI and DoJ

807.

On or around the time of the filing of this complaint, the Department of Justice Inspector General will issue one of several ongoing reports relevant to related RICO matters, in addition to the testimony that Strzok and Page will be required to provide about their discussions that were not captured in the tens of

thousands of probative text message exchanges – in short, there is much more to come establishing the depth and breadth of the Tech Cartel, and more specific dates, names and clear intentions of preventing an electoral outcome and, failing that, removing a duly-certified President and undermining their own government;

808.

The DoJ Inspector General has within its possession and upon the issuance of its report addressing the Strzok-Page wrongdoing (and the Hillary Clinton e-mail espionage), will release evidence further proving the Tech Cartel wrongdoing set forth herein;

809.

Although espionage, bribery and sedition (among many others) may be inherent in the Tech Cartel, the use of the DoJ, FBI, NSA (who must run, maintain, and otherwise “weaponize” the electronic surveillance), the wrongdoing committed by the Tech Cartel and its surrogates and collaborators in this case is immeasurable in its danger and simply without comparison in the annals of counterintelligence abuse to destroy political enemies – pre- or post-FISA;

810.

By way of recent example, defendant John Podesta, working with the Tech Cartel and the Center for American Progress (a nonprofit partisan entity he founded), is engaged in an intentional disinformation campaign meant to discredit current investigators in Congress and further undermine The U.S. Government using taxpayer funds – primarily through a wholly partisan CAP undertaking known as the “Moscow Project”;

811.

The “Moscow Project,” in turn, coordinates its work with Tech Cartel surrogate Jones and the group of mega-funders led by defendant Soros, who have engaged Tech Cartel surrogate Fusion GPS to further undermine The U.S. Government ;

812.

The Center for American Progress, as noted a wholly partisan “non-profit organization” founded by former White House Chief of Staff John Podesta, has for over five years been lobbying (without registering such lobbying under FARA) on behalf of foreign governments;

813.

In addition to espionage and sedition, the Tech Cartel utilized prototypical crime syndicate tactics such as attempting to tamper with the “Special Counsel” investigation of Tech Cartel surrogate Robert S. Mueller;

814.

On Tech Cartel instructions, Tech Cartel surrogates Strzok and Page have also destroyed the capability of certain DoJ/FBI hard drives containing inculpatory evidence against the Tech Cartel;

815.

Without the knowledge of their current Director, the FBI on behalf of the Tech Cartel provided materially false statements to the Senate Judiciary Committee, based in turn upon illegal false statements provided to the FBI by Steele which the FBI knew to be false – and the referral of Steele for prosecution (corroborating the allegations of the HPSCI concerning what is described herein as Tech Cartel wrongdoing) further implicates the FBI as Steele’s handler (knowing of his Tech Cartel political offices funding);

816.

In a statement provided on January 23, 2018, by United States Senator Ronald Johnson (R-WI), the Chairman of the Senate Homeland Security and Government Affairs Committee, Senator Johnson revealed that Tech Cartel surrogates Strzok and Page conspired routinely directly after the 2016 election with a group of Clinton/Tech Cartel loyalists within the DoJ/FBI to undermine the competitors presidency, and were planning yet another cover-up of their criminal activity involving inculpatory text messages between them – joining the principals of the Tech Cartel set forth in *Exhibit “A”* hereto with the DoJ/FBI participants affiliated with the Ohr Tech Cartel surrogates, Fusion GPS, and numerous named unknowns who have - if the accusation by Senator Johnson is confirmed following (yet another) investigation - chosen to undermine their own government and expose themselves to continuing criminal liability in conjunction with the Tech Cartel;

817.

The Chairman of the United States House Permanent Subcommittee on Intelligence (“HPSCI”), after conducting a lengthy investigation of Tech Cartel tactics, stated that

““We have a clear [Clinton] link to Russia — you have a campaign who hired a law firm, who hired Fusion GPS, who hired a foreign agent, who then got information from the Russians on the other campaign” ...“.... the counterintelligence investigation should have been opened up against the Hillary [Clinton] campaign when they got ahold of the dossier. But that didn't happen, either”;

818.

The Chairman of HPSCI, Devin Nunes (R-CA), also is on record as concluding the massive FISA and other (HCS) counterintelligence abuse of the responsible Tech Cartel actors noted herein;

819.

As Congress and the Executive Branch continues to expose Tech Cartel crimes, Members of the HPSCI and the Senate Committee on the Judiciary have also uncovered the 2016 involvement of the Obama Department of State in assisting the Tech Cartel in attempting to swing the election for Hillary Clinton – according to these Members, Tech Cartel surrogates Sidney Blumenthal, Cody Shearer and State Department official Jonathan Winer engaged in analogous Tech Cartel tactics in assembling yet another

anti-competitors “dossier” using Fusion GPS to “[pay] Steele to put together the dossier and [instructing] him what to [include]”;

820.

Brennan, Clapper and other Tech Cartel surrogates must also answer under oath – during the pendency of this litigation and before a putative Grand Jury – concerning, *inter alia*, their role in the inexcusable collusion with Hannigan, investigatory abuse prior to and after Operation Crossfire Hurricane, FISA abuse, and HCS abuse while they attempted to deliver the presidency to Hillary Clinton;

821.

On March 29, 2018, the Attorney General of the United States announced he had assigned the Department of Justice Inspector General to “investigate alleged violations of criminal and civil laws by Department [of Justice] employees, including actions taken by former employees after they have left government service – that role of the DoJ IG has grown exponentially and has resulted in criminal referrals of several of the most senior DoJ/FBI officials for prosecution;

822.

As part of the March 29th announcement by the Attorney General of the United States, Attorney General Sessions emphasized that he has assigned the United States Attorney for the District of Utah, John Huber (originally commissioned by the Obama administration but renominated by President Trump in 2017 for an additional four-year term) to both investigate (concomitant and consistent with, *inter alia*, the DoJ IG and Congress) wrongdoing by those actions undertaken by the Tech Cartel and described herein, and the DoJ IG will, if/when the IG “finds evidence of criminal wrongdoing, [the DoJ IG] may refer that criminal malfeasance to Huber (or another United States attorney) who can then convene a Grand Jury or take other appropriate actions”;

823.

Because the findings of current and numerous parallel investigations, including but not limited to the ongoing matter with relation to United States Attorney Huber and the DoJ IG, it is anticipated that another significant trove of evidence will be available in the near future for consolidation into and use during this lawsuit;

824.

It is also anticipated that, if significant wrongdoing with respect to the Obama Justice Department and FBI is uncovered, it will be referred for prosecution and either a(nother) Special Counsel will be appointed or another United States Attorney assigned with broad scope (including “investigating of the (Mueller) investigators” – thereby providing additional evidence for the instant cause of action;

825.

It is not only plausible but conclusive that the defamatory attacks against Plaintiff whistle-blowers were inextricably intertwined with the counterintelligence abuses brought to bear against those who were considered “enemies” of the Tech Cartel;

826.

What began as an Tech Cartel operational scheme to control the Oval Office has now been discovered to be – based not only upon information and belief but informants’ testimony to Congress and the Department of Justice – the destruction of Tech Cartel “enemy” whistle-blowers and a constitutional crisis created by Tech Cartel surrogates among high-level Obama administration FBI and DoJ officials;

827.

It is incumbent upon this (and any other) Article III court to end the Tech Cartel operational scheme that has destroyed any professional prospects for Plaintiff and the whistle-blowers and, working together, carried out their operational scheme in secret to destabilize the United States Government and the elections of the United States – even going so far as to instruct Strzok to initiate within the FBI “an *investigation leading to impeachment*” just prior to Strzok being placed in the upper echelon of the Mueller Special Counsel team – despite Strzok’s admission that the Mueller Special Counsel investigation involved pursuing a sitting President and numerous other United States citizens when nothing of particular consequence is at issue, *i.e.*, “no big there” (May 19, 2017 text message from Strzok to Page);

828.

The Tech Cartel was, and is, a dangerous organized criminal association – threatening both our nation and those individuals who, in the words of Donna Lease Brazile, dare to “cross them”;

829.

To Plaintiff , who apparently has “crossed” the Tech Cartel, life is quite perilous and precarious – we ask this honorable court to intervene and provide the ability, even if the jury does not return all that is asked, to prevent the Tech Cartel, as they are wont, from further attacks on Plaintiff.

830.

Plaintiff was exposed to nuclear materials and toxins either purposely or negligently while working as an employee/contractor with the United States Department of Energy.

831.

White House and Department of Energy Executive staff, in reprisal, ordered a “hit-job” on Plaintiff and other whistle-blowers. White House and Department of Energy Executive staff financed and managed those attacks through circuitous communications routes which were exposed after Russian, Chinese and Iranian state-sponsored hackers hacked most of Washington, DC government server networks;

832.

According to www.usinventor.org most of Defendants Tech Cartel technologies were based on stolen technologies for which Defendants never paid fees, licenses or profit sharing royalties;

833.

Plaintiff technologies obsoleted most of Defendants competing technologies and thus Defendants also violated anti-trust laws by engaging in attacks and business interference in order to prevent Plaintiff from competing with the Tech Cartel cartel. For example, Tech Cartel financier and core beneficiary Kleiner Perkins placed one of their staff as a “mole” inside of one of Plaintiff companies with orders to “disrupt and sabotage” Plaintiff business. FBI and private investigators uncovered the ruse;

834.

The “*Elon Musk Addenda*” Document details over 30 felony criminal assertions against Tech Cartel member Elon Musk;

835.

Congressional bosses Harry Reid, Dianne Feinstein, Kamala Harris, Nancy Pelosi, Barbara Boxer and other famous names have had their assets, finances and stock market accounts electronically tracked directly back to the crimes detailed in this disclosure, the operators of those crimes, the public policy tactics to enable those crimes and the insider trading of those crimes;

836.

Tech Cartel boss Tom Steyer placed his partner M. Sullivan as a head officer at USAID. USAID promoted the harvesting of Afghanistan for “trillions of dollars of rare earth mining” to Silicon Valley oligarchs as payola for funding and search engine rigging the first Obama White House campaign. USAID leaked documents and National Archives Documents prove this as fact. Contracts with Silicon Valley’s McKinsey Consulting (the promoters of the Opium and Fentanyl crisis) to flood Washington, DC with fake “white papers” promoting this Afghan war, also prove this.

837.

Senior officers at Covington And Burling and at Perkins Coie both told Plaintiff that they “have total control over who gets into the Oval Office, who gets appointed and who gets government funding...”

838.

Emails by the most famous members of the Tech Cartel have been leaked showing that the Enterprise modeled itself after the “Italian Mafia” and admired organized crime behavior, up to, and including dressing up as notorious mobsters and having their own speak-easy meetings at places like Jeffrey Epstein’s Manhattan building.

839.

The evidence proves that Tesla was using lithium mined from Afghanistan.

840.

The evidence proves that Ener1 was using lithium mined from Afghanistan. After receiving a massive amount of federal dollars, the company mysteriously disappeared.

841.

The evidence proves that Senator Dianne Feinstein lobbied for government funds to be given to Tesla and Solyndra. Her family held HR, land contract, construction, stock and other upside assets in both of those companies.

842.

The evidence proves that Fisker was using lithium mined from Afghanistan. After receiving a massive amount of federal dollars, the company mysteriously disappeared.

843.

The evidence proves that Abound Solar was using indium mined from Afghanistan. After receiving a massive amount of federal dollars, the company mysteriously disappeared.

844.

The evidence proves that The U.S. Secretary of Energy had personal, financial and political relationships with each of the companies who were given federal cash that he controlled. He sabotaged every single other Victim/Witnesses, who, coincidentally, were the competitors to his friends who he awarded the taxpayer cash to.

845.

This evidence, and the associated hard drives and witness testimony, will stand up as sworn, certified, warranted testimony in any federal jury trial, grand jury hearing, RICO Racketeering corruption trial and/or live televised Congressional hearing. Given equally resourced, and financed, legal support and proper security protection, many hundreds of person's are willing to swear and warrant to the veracity of these assertions.

846.

The evidence proves that New York State, California State and Washington, DC elected officials, in particular U.S. Senators, did criminalize the domestic public policy system in order to acquire personal profits and monopolize industry markets for themselves and their friends.

847.

The evidence proves that Tesla Motors, Elon Musk and his associates, participated in these schemes in violation of organized crime laws.

1025 See Related FBI-302 Form Reports – Check for weekly updates

848.

The evidence proves that the investment firms of Goldman Sachs; Kliener Perkins; Draper Fisher Jurevetson; GreyLock Capital; and other venture firms participated in these schemes in violation of organized crime laws.

849.

The evidence proves that U.S. Senators Reid, Feinstein, Harris, Boxer, Pelosi, and other Senators, participated in these schemes in violation of organized crime laws.

850.

The evidence proves that U.S. Attorney Generals Holder, Harris, Schniederman, and other Attorney Generals, participated in these schemes in violation of organized crime laws.

851.

The evidence proves that certain senior law enforcement officials received full and complete crime reports and law violations disclosures about these crimes and stalled investigations, covered-up the crimes and tampered with evidence in order to protect their political friends and profit monetarily.

852.

The evidence proves that A "Silicon Valley PayPal Mafia" does exist and they do conspire to break the law and manipulate Democracy.

853.

The evidence proves that the members of this technology cartel "Mafia" group are selected for their social, physical and family similarities which include the tendency to engage in sociopath behavior, rape, sex abuse and sex-extortion, misogyny, tax evasion, money laundering, real estate fraud, racism, bribery, patent theft and other deviant behavior.

854.

The evidence proves that Google's VC's and executives, who are part of this cartel, plan and manually run election manipulation programs, privacy abuse, search engine rigging and militaristic information manipulation for personal profiteering at the expense of the public.

855.

The evidence proves that the Obama Administration used the U.S. Department of Energy as a campaign financier payola slush fund.

856.

The evidence proves that U.S. elected political officials hire and manage third party services to run reprisal campaigns against taxpayers and that those character assassination providers include: IN-Q-Tel, Think Progress, Black Cube, Podesta Group, EDS, Stratfor, Fusion GPS, IN-Q-Tel, Media Matters, Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media, Syd Blumenthal, and other attack services which are illicitly compensated with laundered taxpayer resources.

857.

The evidence proves that the bribes and profiteering conduits for this scam are ignored by compromised FEC bosses and include: Dark Money fronts; family trust floats; shell corporation layering; insider trading in tech companies; revolving door jobs at Netflix, Google, etc; prostitutes; sports suites; political campaign search engine rigging, bot attacks and other illicit payola.

858.

The evidence proves that a mobster-like cartel of men operate a racketeering operation out of Silicon Valley that manipulates elections, news and taxpayer funding policies.

859.

The evidence proves that this cartel is comprised of sick, megalomaniac, sexually addicted and abusive men who protect each other with billions of dollars of cover-ups involving the bribery of Senators with insider trading stock and covert campaign financing.

860.

The evidence proves that this cartel receives hundreds of billions of dollars of profits from their crimes and this causes them to stoop to murders, extortion, black-lists, funding blockades and other crimes, in order to gather their ill-gotten gains.

861.

The evidence proves that the investors of Google, Facebook, Twitter, Amazon, Ebay, Netflix and a related set of Silicon Valley monopolies conspire in these efforts to manipulate the stock market, lie to advertisers and bias all digital news and information, globally, to push their selfish ideologies.

862.

The evidence proves that Senators Pelosi, Feinstein, Boxer, Harris, Reid have an active criminal participation in, and benefit from, these efforts and that they, in fact, along with Steven Chu had illicit dealings with Russian and Chinese financiers and they are paid with insider trading stock, revolving door jobs, and other covert payola.

863.

The evidence proves that Google, Facebook and Twitter have rigged and manipulated U.S. elections since Barack Obama was elected.

864.

The evidence proves that the U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Department of Justice were used as an illicit slush-fund by the Obama Administration to pay campaign financiers and to sabotage their competitors.

865.

The evidence proves that government officials hired and/or financed and/or directed deadly economic and character assassination hit jobs against those who reported these crimes using attack services from IN-Q-Tel, Gawker Media, Jalopnik, Gizmodo Media, K2 Intelligence, WikiStrat, Podesta Group, Fusion GPS, Google, YouTube, Alphabet, Facebook, Twitter, Think Progress, Media Matters, Black Cube, Mossad, Correct The Record, Stratfor, ShareBlue, Wikileaks, Cambridge Analytica, etc; the owners of whom have been proven to have accepted compensation for such hatchet job services.

867.

The evidence proves that the “The PayPal Mafia” is an actual Cosa Nostra like operation that exploits sex cults, prostitutes, gay rent boys and market rigging as illicitly as the old Chicago “Mob”. Charges such as: [Facebook Hit With New Antitrust Probes](#) are becoming regular legal actions. As all other nations find the Silicon Valley tech companies to be a pack of crooks running The U.S. Government .

868.

The evidence proves that Tesla Motors is a criminal Dark Money front that “cooks the books”, lies about safety issues and runs sabotage campaigns through Musk’s massive use of Russian bots, trolls, stock shells and his covert manipulations with Google’s Larry Page and Eric Schmidt.

869.

The evidence, particularly that maintained by the U.S. Attorney's office under Mr. Anderson in San Francisco, shows that San Francisco City Hall is rife with a standardized system of political corruption based on bribery, payola and stock market exchanges.

870.

The evidence proves that internet media companies financed by Sand Hill Road, Palo Alto venture funding firms collude to enforce a strategic censorship plan designed to promote their friends and attack and harm their competitors in a manner which fully violates each and every anti-trust/anti-monopoly law in the United States and that 90% of the California politicians own stock in those companies and those politicians protect those companies from regulation and law enforcement.

871.

Defendants were in whole, and in part, motivated by power and money needed to buy private jets, mansions, parties, prostitutes and rent boys; and drugs. Defendants used a false facade of “saving polar bears”, “protecting whales” and other emotional dog-whistle voter psychological trigger marketing to hide their crimes. Defendants used the good works of Plaintiff and other decent whistle-blowers to create a “smoke-screen” to cause The U.S. Government to assist in defrauding Plaintiff out of money, time and resources. Defendants owned the “solution” companies to climate change and housing problems so that all monies provided by the government to those companies, as coordinated by The Tech Cartel’s owned public officials, went to Defendants, and never to their competitors, in a RICO Law and Anti-trust Law-violating manner. For example: Elon Musk used these RICO Law and Anti-trust Law-violating tactics to become the largest “government mooch” in history, destroy all of his competitors via funding blockades and get a 1000% advantage over any other company based on government-funded exclusive quid pro quo.

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all claims and issues so triable.

Congress Took Massive Bribes from Big Tech...

... Just As They Considered Anti-Trust Legislation Against Big Tech

- [Catherine Salgado](#)



As the US Congress considered legislation which could “reshape” the Big Tech industry in recent months, [the biggest tech companies in America gave very large donations](#) to key Democrat Party lawmakers.

The tech industry was opposed to antitrust legislation, which would, if passed into law, make it “easier for regulators to break up tech giants.” Besides the huge donations, tech companies “lobbied Senate leaders to prioritize a bill to provide \$52 billion toward U.S. semiconductor manufacturing.”

Senate Majority Leader Charles Schumer (D-NY), according to April-June Federal Election Commission filings, received the largest donations from the tech companies’ executives. Over two dozen executives from Microsoft gave over \$116,000 combined to the Schumer campaign in June. Among these executives, 13 gave the maximum legally allowed donation, \$5,800. Two of the max-out

donors were Microsoft President Brad Smith and also Fred Humphries, who leads Microsoft's Washington lobbying team.

A Microsoft spokesperson has insisted, "These were contributions made by executives in their personal capacity."

Schumer will be running for reelection in 2022 and is currently leading the legislative efforts of Democrats while "simultaneously raising big money to ward off potential primary challengers." Between April and June, Schumer raised a record of over \$11.5 million. According to The Hill:

"Google executives and its PAC donated nearly \$92,000 to Schumer's campaign in the second quarter of 2021. Cisco Systems executives gave nearly \$100,000. Apple executives gave \$28,000. Executives at Microsoft, Cisco and Apple previously had not made large donations to Schumer through the first three months of the year."

Senator Patty Murray (D-Wash.), who also received many donations from tech executives, is running for reelection in 2022 as well and is the number three Democrat in the Senate. Murray was given about \$67,000 in total from the Amazon PAC and Amazon employees and about \$48,000 from Microsoft.

Amazon lobbied the Senate, successfully, to pass a bipartisan bill "to bolster U.S. competitiveness with China" without requiring the collection and verification of third-party sellers' information by online retailers. The Semiconductors in America Coalition was formed in May by Amazon, Microsoft, Apple, Google, and Cisco to make sure that billions for semiconductor manufacturing were included in this same bill and that other industries, for instance carmakers, did not receive priority for the production of semiconductors.

"Federal investments in semiconductor technology will help ensure more of the chips America needs are produced on U.S. soil and accessible to the many critical sectors of the U.S. economy that depend on them, benefiting American workers, businesses, and consumers," the tech coalition commented after the bill was passed.

Defeating antitrust legislation is still the top priority of the tech giants. Last month, a number of antitrust bills were advanced by the House Judiciary Committee and the chair of the Senate Judiciary subcommittee on antitrust, Senator Amy Klobuchar (D-Minn.), has stated that similar legislation will be introduced into the Senate.

The most aggressive of the proposed antitrust measures were voted against by Representatives Eric Swalwell, Zoe Lofgren, and Lou Correa, all Democrats from California. According to filings, Swalwell's campaign had received donations from Apple and Facebook-owned Instagram executives only the day before the bill was marked up by committee. Lofgren received donations in May and June from tech executives, including Timothy Powderly, Apple's director of government affairs, who gave Lofgren \$5,000.

Powderly wrote a letter to members of the House Judiciary Committee in June to express opposition to antitrust legislation. "We are concerned that many provisions of the recent package of antitrust reform

legislation would create a race to the bottom for security and privacy, while also undermining innovation and competition,” he wrote.

“Two Republicans joined most Democrats in supporting a measure that could allow regulators to break up tech giants. GOP lawmakers and some tech firms have accused Democrats of wording antitrust legislation in a way that would exempt Microsoft from scrutiny. Microsoft, which reported lobbying on antitrust issues, has said it did not seek to be excluded from the bills.”

Highlight Graphics

EVERY FEW MINUTES SOMEONE IS MURDERED FOR LESS THAN 50 DOLLARS

Afghanistan: The Saudi Arabia of Lithium?

Lithium, which is from mobile phone nation's economy.

By JAMES RISEN
Published June 13, 2010

WASHINGTON — The United States has discovered nearly \$1 trillion in untapped mineral deposits in Afghanistan, far beyond any previously known reserves and enough to fundamentally alter the

As of late February, the U.S. held Ener1 Group, a British Virgin Islands company whose "indirect beneficial owner" is Boris Zingarevich, a Russian businessman. Zingarevich has close ties to Russian President Dmitry Medvedev and Prime Minister Vladimir Putin.

U.S. Identifies Vast Mineral Riches in Afghanistan

The New Oil: Afghanistans Lithium Mines worth Trillions of Dollars

Posted: March 15, 2011 by All Articles | Posts: Make Bribes Media Omission: US Foreign Policy
Tags: afghanistan, china, cobalt, assessments, copper, foreign investments, gaza, gold, karzai, uranium, minerals, mines, mining, war

Investing in Lithium Mining Stocks **Green power corrupts**

How To Profit from the Lithium Boom

By Brian Hicks
Policy, October 30th, 2009

Editor's Note:

While Western Lithium remains a commodity — and possibly a third opportunity to double if not triple

Goldman Sachs culture 'toxic'? Letter confirms suspicions about Wall Street.

A123 lithium-ion battery maker bankruptcy fuels criticism of President Barack Obama's alternativ

Energy & Genius

The Saudi Arabia of Lithium

Brendan I. Koerner, 10:30:08, 08:00 PM EST
Forbes Magazine dated November 24, 2008

The gas engine made petroleum the world's biggest commodity. The electric car could do the same for the third element on the

Ener1 Wants to Win Lithium Ion Battery Race

RUSSIAN OWNED

Goldman Sachs discloses ownership in Taliban Lithium Corp.

The problem with lithium

David Booth, National Post

chloride ring SCM creating the illiquid ultra-arid sale

Lithium ion battery manufacturer Ener1 (NYSE: ENR) could become the country's first lithium ion battery producer if it wins a \$400M Department of Energy loan. 1,300 jobs, Jan 2010


WORLD'S MINING COMPANIES COVET AFGHAN RICHES

By JAMES RISEN Published: June 17, 2010
http://www.nytimes.com/2010/06/17/world/asia/17minco.html

A few high-risk investors are sufficiently intrigued by the country's potential to take an early look. JP Morgan, for instance, has just sent a team of mining experts to Afghanistan to examine possible

USGS **USAID**

Preliminary Assessment of Non-Fuel Mineral Resources of Afghanistan, 2007



THIS IS THE "PITCH BOOK" THAT USAID USED TO SELL AN AFGHAN WAR TO THE AMERICAN VENTURE CAPITAL OLIGARCHS FOR THEIR CELL PHONE AND TESLA BATTERY DEALS!

WHAT DO YOU THINK CRAZY POLITICIANS AND BILLIONAIRE OLIGARCHS WOULD DO TO STEAL SIX TRILLION DOLLARS

MIRROR LINK FOLDERS WITH THE REPORTS (look for the file called "MEMORANDUM_FOR_THE_U_S_ATTORNEY_GENERAL.pdf):

<http://nationalnewsnetwork.net/public/>

<http://silicon-valley-mobsters.com/public/>

<http://focus-book.com/public/>

<http://www.federal-report.com/public/>

<http://american-corruption.com/public/>

<http://webco22.com/public/public>

THE TECH CARTEL RICO RACKETEERING CASE FILES

[BOOKS AND GOVERNMENT REPORTS LINKS ABOUT THE CASE](#)

[*Mirror 2*](#) [*Mirror 3*](#)

[PRESS CLIPPING LINKS ABOUT THE CASE \(PDF AND HTML\)](#)

[*Mirror 2*](#) [*Mirror 3*](#)

[NEWS VIDEOS AND DOCUMENTARY VIDEO LINKS ABOUT THE CASE](#)

[*Mirror 2*](#) [*Mirror 3*](#)

[INTERNET MEMES ABOUT THE CASE](#)

[*More Memes Mirror 2*](#) [*More Memes Mirror 3*](#)

WEBSITES ABOUT THE CASE:

1035 See Related FBI-302 Form Reports – Check for weekly updates

<http://www.majestic111.com>

Previous Case Evidence Court Sets: <http://www.pacer.gov> - Search All cases against Tesla Motors and Elon Musk, Cases involving “lithium ion fires”, “Google and Alphabet” abuses and other keywords like: “ElonMusk”, “Solyndra”, “Rare Earth Mining Scam”, Tesla Motors”, “Steven Chu”, “Afghan Mining”, “JeffreyEpstein”, “Flashboy Aglorithms”, “Silicon Valley Anti-Trust”, “UraniumOne”, “The Silicon Valley No Poaching Lawsuit”, “AngelGate”, “frankguistra”, “Raj Gupta”, “Nicholas Guido Denton”, “LarryPage Tax Evasion”, “Fusion GPS” and other case file database search keywords...

<https://www.thecreepylines.com>

<https://www.icij.org>

<https://stopelonfromfailingagain.com>

<http://vcracket.weebly.com>

<https://www.transparency.org>

<https://www.judicialwatch.org>

<https://wikileaks.org>

<https://causeofaction.org>

<https://fusion4freedom.com/about-gcf/>

<http://peterschweizer.com/>

<http://globalinitiative.net>

<https://fusion4freedom.com/the-green-corruption-files-archive/>

<https://propublica.org>

<https://www.allsides.com/unbiased-balanced-news>

<http://wearethenewmedia.com>

http://ec.europa.eu/anti_fraud/index_en.html

<http://gopacnetwork.org/>

<http://www.iaaca.org/News/>

<http://www.interpol.int/Crime-areas/Corruption/Corruption>

<http://www.icac.nsw.gov.au/>

<http://www.traceinternational.org/>

<http://www.oge.gov/>

<https://ogc.commerce.gov/>

<https://anticorruptionact.org/>

<http://www.anticorruptionintl.org/>

<https://represent.us/>

http://www.giaccentre.org/dealing_with_corruption.php

<http://www.acfe.com/>

<https://www.oas.org/juridico/english/FightCur.html>

<https://www.opus.com/international-anti-corruption-day-businesses/>

<https://www.opengovpartnership.org/theme/anti-corruption>

<https://www.ethicalsystems.org/content/corruption>

<https://sunlightfoundation.com/>

<http://www.googletransparencyproject.org/>

<http://xyzcase.weebly.com>

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Angelgate>

<https://www.opensecrets.org/>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-Tech_Employee_Antitrust_Litigation

<http://www.projectveritasaction.com>

[Catch and Kill](#) By Ronan Farrow,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catch_and_Kill:_Lies,_Spies,_and_a_Conspiracy_to_Protect_Predators

[Permanent Record](#) By Edward Snowden, <https://www.amazon.com/Permanent-Record-Edward-Snowden/dp/1250237238>

[Brotopia](#) By Emily Chang, <http://brotopiabook.com/>

[Throw Them All Out](#) By Peter Schweizer, <http://peterschweizer.com/books/throw-them-all-out/>

[The Circle](#) By David Eggers, <https://archive.org/details/circle00dave>

[World Without Mind](https://www.amazon.com/World-Without-Mind-Existential-Threat/dp/1101981113) By Franklin Foer, <https://www.amazon.com/World-Without-Mind-Existential-Threat/dp/1101981113>

[A Journey into the Savage Heart of Silicon Valley](https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/35684687-live-work-work-work-die) By Corey Pein, <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/35684687-live-work-work-work-die>

[Disrupted](https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/26030703-disrupted) By Dan Lyons, <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/26030703-disrupted>

[Chaos Monkeys](https://www.antonio-garcia-martinez.com/chaos-monkeys/) By Antonio García Martínez, <https://www.antonio-garcia-martinez.com/chaos-monkeys/>

[The Creepy Line](https://www.thecreepylines.com) By Matthew Taylor, <https://www.thecreepylines.com>

[The Cleantech Crash](https://www.cbsnews.com/news/cleantech-crash-60-minutes/) By Leslie Stahl, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/cleantech-crash-60-minutes/>

[Congress: Trading stock](https://www.cbsnews.com/news/congress-trading-stock-on-inside-information/) By Steve Kroft, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/congress-trading-stock-on-inside-information/>

[Steven Chu Should Lose His Job Over The Solyndra Scandal](#)

[U.S. Department of Energy Corruption - Home](#)

[House Oversight investigation reveals politics and Corruption At Energy Department](#)

[POGO letter to GOVT Secretary Steven Chu](#)

[Cartel Suspects Proven by Emails, Testimony](#)

[More Tesla Motors Corruption Charges](#)

[http://the-resume.org/GOOGLE FACEBOOK NETFLIX LINKEDIN COLLUDE 1.2.pdf](http://the-resume.org/GOOGLE_FACEBOOK_NETFLIX_LINKEDIN_COLLUDE_1.2.pdf)

[http://american-corruption.com/public/
THE SICK WORLD OF THE GOOGLE EXECUTIVES.pdf](http://american-corruption.com/public/THE_SICK_WORLD_OF_THE_GOOGLE_EXECUTIVES.pdf)

[http://lifebooks.net/
HOW OUR BAY AREA FBI AGENT ALMOST FOILED THE STEELE DOSSIER SCAM.ht
ml](http://lifebooks.net/HOW_OUR_BAY_AREA_FBI_AGENT_ALMOST_FOILED_THE_STEELE_DOSSIER_SCAM.html)

[http://lifebooks.net/TECH OLIGARCH AND SENATOR SEX CULT.html](http://lifebooks.net/TECH_OLIGARCH_AND_SENATOR_SEX_CULT.html)

[http://lifebooks.net/6 trillion.png](http://lifebooks.net/6_trillion.png)

[http://lifebooks.net/The_Dirty_Core_Of_The_Corrupt_Stimulus Cash.html](http://lifebooks.net/The_Dirty_Core_Of_The_Corrupt_Stimulus_Cash.html)

http://lifebooks.net/CROOKS_MUSK_FEINSTEIN.png

[http://evidencevideos.com/The Sick Dystopian Reality of Silicon Valley Big Tech.html](http://evidencevideos.com/The_Sick_Dystopian_Reality_of_Silicon_Valley_Big_Tech.html)

[http://evidencevideos.com/THE MURDERS AND SUSPICIOUS DEATHS IN THIS CASE.html](http://evidencevideos.com/THE_MURDERS_AND_SUSPICIOUS_DEATHS_IN_THIS_CASE.html)

[http://corruption-fighter.com/The Secret History of the Shadow Campaign.html](http://corruption-fighter.com/The_Secret_History_of_the_Shadow_Campaign.html)

[http://american-corruption.com/public/HOW THEY KILLED THE ELECTRIC CAR.pdf](http://american-corruption.com/public/HOW_THEY_KILLED_THE_ELECTRIC_CAR.pdf)

[http://american-corruption.com/public/THE CORRUPTION DISRUPTION MANUAL.pdf](http://american-corruption.com/public/THE_CORRUPTION_DISRUPTION_MANUAL.pdf)

http://case-xyz2020a.com/THE_CORRUPTION_DISRUPTION_MANUAL.pdf

http://nationalnewsnetwork.net/public/THE_CORRUPTION_DISRUPTION_MANUAL.pdf

http://silicon-valley-mobsters.com/public/THE_CORRUPTION_DISRUPTION_MANUAL.pdf

http://silicon-valley-mobsters.com/public/THE_CRIMES_AND_LIES_OF_ELON_MUSK.pdf

http://case-xyz2020a.com/THE_CRIMES_AND_LIES_OF_ELON_MUSK.pdf

'Fair Use', SLAPP Protections, Public Domain, Freedom-of-the-Press, Creative Commons, Reddit/Voat Wiki, First Amendment, EU GDPR, Wikipedia and other protective rights apply.

NOTES:

POLITICAL CORRUPTION

Most politicians, today, go into politics in order to scam money via bribes and payola. Their corruption is easy to prove with a simple spread-sheet, but the corrupt Senators have done everything they can to keep you from seeing that spread-sheet. If you saw the spread-sheet that shows all of the covert family bank accounts, fake trust funds, shell companies and stock market accounts for Dianne Feinstein, Harry Reid, Nancy Pelosi, etc.; you could easily see how they only get a salary of \$190K per year but put hundreds of millions of dirty dollars in their accounts. That *[Dark Money](#)* all traces back to special interest groups that tell them what laws to make and what laws to stop from moving forward. Forensic accountants at the GAO, FBI or SEC can pop out the latest update on any given day....**BUT**, These Senators have "rules" that delay investigations against themselves. Also, as we all saw from the Comey case: Corrupt Senators can even tell the head of the FBI who to NOT investigate!

[The 2008 and 2021 "Stimulus Funds"](#) were just scams cooked up by politicians for them to skim stock market pump-and-dump profits off of and grab personal payola.

[The Silicon Valley Cartel](#) began in California. Elite arrogant asshole dynasties send their kids to Stanford University. Stanford puts the kids in asshole frat houses to train them to get away with rape and run monopolies. When they graduate they either go up the hill to Sandhill Road in Palo Alto and start an insider venture capital clone operation or they get their frat friends on Sandhill Road to

racketeer-fund their start-up or political campaign using money scammed from your parents pension funds.

They only work with their frat buddies and insiders in a tribal '[old boys club](#)' manner. They steal all the technology and markets they want because they control all of the tech lawyers and politicians via bribes and revolving doors. Most of the money in Stanford University bank accounts is from Foreign and Hollywood oligarchs delivered as bribes meant to get their snot-nosed brats into Stanford. Stanford bosses keep all of this covered-up and covertly fund political campaigns to grease the wheels of political corruption. They then sexually extort some Stanford interns in [Rosewood Hotel](#) rooms, get the most 'trophy wife' ones pregnant, and start the cycle all over again. Alas, Stanford is the training ground for abuse, corruption and 'Bro-Crime'.

In all these corruption cases, [these corrupt people](#) took the same steps to conceal their assets. They each took the money in secret ways. The main ways are 1.) via Dark Money stealth political funds; 2.) bribes paid via insider trading (notice that most of these crooks marry an investment banker to run their dirty schemes) and 3.) getting government contracts, loans and grants for their friends that they get kick-backs from. They then had their dirty law firms and CPA's shove the money into their standardized covert financial structure to hide it. They use family members to run the operation. Their dirty family members and lawyer/CPA teams cover up their tracks. They then have intermediaries spend the money to get them islands, yachts, mansions, hookers, Tesla's, etc.

The 2008 and 2021 "[stimulus funds](#)" are quid pro quo scams to pay off political insiders. We reported these crimes (with FBI-class evidence) to every law enforcement agency in writing (FBI, DOJ, SEC, IG, FTC, OSC, FEC, etc.). apparently, citizens are ignored if the crimes involve politicians and their billionaires. the cover-ups are off-the-charts! these mobsters (Google, Tesla, Facebook, LinkedIn, Netflix, In-q-tel, Kleiner Perkins, Greylock, etc; acting in a coordinated, collusion-based, operation) are paying billions of dollars of political bribes (...via cash, real estate, sex trafficking, pacs, search engine rigging, crony jobs, biased censoring, campaign funds, stock market manipulations, ukrainian money laundering, etc.) to delay justice and blockade our, and your, rights! There can be no question about the fact that Google, Facebook, Netflix, Tesla and the Silicon Valley Cartel control the Obama and Biden White House. For example: Elon Musk ordered the politicians who are his bitches to sabotage his competitors. He gave those political insiders: cash, stock in his cartel, revolving-door jobs, campaign funding, sex workers, off-shore money laundering, and other quid pro quo. Eric Schmidt, Larry Page, Mark Zuckerberg, and the rest of the tech mob, all worked together on these crimes.

[The hacks and leaks of their documents](#) prove it. Government agency bosses are covering up these crimes to protect their jobs and their corrupt stock market holdings. Musk and Goldman Sachs have gotten over FIFTEEN BILLION DOLLARS of free taxpayer cash and monopolized exclusives to pay for [Musk's drugs, sex parties and mansions](#). This is one of the largest violations of anti-trust laws in history. The politicians and agencies that are supposed to stop him are getting money from him. [Musk](#) exists entirely from quid pro quo bribes! Musk's banks, including Deutsche Bank, have had to pay more than \$100 million to settle charges related to violating anti-bribery laws and engaging in money

laundering. While the Musk-controlled media bloviate on the god-like image of Musk, he and his buddies are just crooks and mobsters. It is now almost impossible for the public to reach any media source that is not controlled by the Silicon Valley Cartel via synchronized talking points. Politicians who say that their only motivation is to "save" the helpless billionaire Elon Musk and his unicorns: 1.) sabotage any companies who are not making Tesla's, 2.) have sex with Tesla lobbyists and bankers, 3.) own the stock in Tesla Motors, 4.) are venture capital partners in Kleiner Perkins (ie: Gore) and Greylock capital insiders, 5.) trade revolving door jobs with the Elon Musk cartel, 6.) gave [Musk](#) over \$15B of free taxpayer money, 7.) get campaign financing from [Musk](#), 8.) order government agencies to freeze funding for [Musk](#)'s competitors, 9.) and engage in a vast number of other corruption and anti-trust crimes.

U.S. Senators, Agency Heads and Congress are bribed by Google intermediaries with: Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures stock and stock warrants which is never reported to the FEC; Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures search engine rigging and shadow-banning which is never reported to the FEC; Free rent; Male and female prostitutes; Cars; Dinners; Party Financing; Sports Event Tickets; Political campaign printing and mailing services "Donations"; Secret PAC Financing; Jobs in Corporations in Silicon Valley For The Family Members of Those Who Take Bribes And Those Who Take Bribes; "Consulting" contracts from McKinsey as fronted pay-off gigs; Overpriced "Speaking Engagements" which are really just pay-offs conduited for donors; Private jet rides and use of Government fuel depots (ie: Google handed out NASA jet fuel to staff); Real Estate; Fake mortgages; The use of Cayman, Boca Des Tores, Swiss and related money-laundering accounts; The use of HSBC, Wells Fargo, Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank money laundering accounts and covert stock accounts; Free spam and bulk mailing services owned by Silicon Valley corporations; Use of high tech law firms such as Perkins Coie, Wilson Sonsini, MoFo, Covington & Burling, etc. to conduit bribes to officials. This will never end unless the public demands laws to prevent all politicians, and their families, from owning ANY stock market stocks or securities!

MUSK AND TESLA:

The Musk empire has paid more bribes to politicians than almost any other modern entity. That is why no full investigation of the Musk scams has ever been completed. Google's Eric Schmidt and Larry Page have a bromance relationship with Musk and use the global resources of Alphabet to hide any negative news about Musk assets. The 2008 Department of Energy Cleantech Crash proves that a federal agency was used as just one big slush-fund to pay-off political campaign financiers, operate insider-trading stocks and sabotage those financiers competitors using taxpayer-financed resources! We have used private investigator, FBI resources and deep AI research to reveal that all government staff working on our application were getting quid-pro-quo...they were on the take. (Can anyone point out to us EVEN ONE person who was in the GOVT/White House loop who was not working for, invested in, getting a future job from or other wise conflicted?) What do you do when The U.S. Government convinces you to invest millions of dollars, and your life, into one of their projects. Then their project

turns out to be a scam where they had covertly hard-wired the upside to a couple of Senator's and their campaign financier friends. The fix was in and the game was rigged to use a government program as a slush-fund for friends-with-benefits. We, and the public, got defrauded.

Now the damages must be paid for, one way, or another. Every one of the insiders who did get government funding got it in the exact same size and order as their covert political campaign funding and stock market bribes to the deciders. Chamath Palihapitiya and other Silicon Valley insiders have now exposed the fact that Greylock, Kleiner, etc. are just a VC Ponzi Scheme! in this whole mess. Musk used crooked Senators to get his funds. Those Senators and government agency bosses were financed by, friends with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with, making profits by consulting for, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; one of those business adversaries, or the Senators and politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political search engine manipulation services to. Elon Musk is notorious for getting Department of Energy money by bribing public officials and placing his friends: Steven Chu, Matt Rogers, Steve Westly, Steve Spinner's 'special friend', etc. on the staff of The U.S. Government and in the White House. We have FBI-class records, financial tracking, emails, stock market relay records and other forensic data that proves it. We can swear, warrant, certify and prove these assertions in front of Congress in a live Congressional hearing or Civil Jury trial, given non-compromised legal backing.

If you think you have bribed the same number of Senators, bought a President and taken over most of The U.S. Government like Musk did... go for it! In this day and age, with every citizen able to track every public figure, with FBI-quality databases, on their home computers, it might be a crash-and-burn but you are welcome to try. [Lithium metals, and other rare earth mining materials, are monopolized by Elon Musk and his Silicon Valley Cartel, in rare-earth corrupt mining scams.](#) [Lithium's widespread use in cars is hindered by a challenging obstacle: upon multiple charge-discharge](#) Musk and Panasonic have known this since 2007 (They are "dumping" the batteries via Tesla) and have paid U.S. Senators, who own stock in Tesla, to cover it up.

The lithium fires and toxic vapors are a major safety concern because they have killed, poisoned and injured too many citizens. Musk gets away with his scams because he pays U.S. Senators bribes with stocks in his corporations and has a thousand crooked Goldman Sachs investment bankers selling his hair-brained schemes to your parents pension funds. Tesla is known as "the official car of douchebags". The safety defect cover-ups on the Tesla are extreme. Musk's narcissistic trophy-wife mom and his extremist father (who got his young sister pregnant) are thought to be the cause of Musk's racism and sociopath behaviors. He is the #1 crony capitalist government mooch in America and has received billions of dollars of your tax money to help him buy his mansions, starlets and sex parties. His cars and rockets blow up, his tunnels are unsafe, his satellites spy on consumers and his brain chip company tortures small animals. He swiped all of his technology from someone else and has never come up with his own inventions. Space-X is just a domestic spying company. Don't buy Musk's bullshit about Space-X doing any good deeds. Everything Space-X launches is to spy on the poor folks on the ground

and monitor their internet. Also, Musk's Neuralink company tortures small animals to try out Musk's pseudo-science mind-reading chips.

GOOGLE:

THE INVESTIGATIONS OF THE CORRUPTION AT GOOGLE: Google exists to mass manipulate populations and run stock market scams for Google's owners. From INSIDE Google, our team saw Google manipulate the entire internet to hype up Larry Page's "boyfriend": Elon Musk and Tesla, which Google execs owned a portion of, while sabotaging Tesla's competitors. Google illicitly and illegally timed these manipulations with stock market pump-and-dump efforts to exploit insider trading. That is a felony violation of RICO, Antitrust and other laws. Every single thing that Google does is contrived to harm a competitor, a politician, an employee whistle-blower or some other business adversary. There are no "bugs", "operator errors", "server anomalies" or other media "accidents" at Google. Everything Google does is contrived, at a psychological warfare kind of level, to change a social perception. Google must show its software to FBI, SEC, FTC and our search engine optimisation experts to prove that they did not engage in these crimes. The fact is: We can prove they did the crimes and FBI experts can help us prove it! Google is known as the "Nazi's of the Internet". In a case unfolding in Britain over whether Google wrongly demoted price comparison rival Foundem from its search results in favour of paid-for adverts, Google must now decide which it values more: the algorithms that rank its search results, or its stance that manually fiddling with those results to promote its own paid-for products over rivals' sites doesn't break competition laws. The integrity of Google's ranking processes relies upon all webmasters or website owners having the same degree of access to information about Google's ranking... This will no longer be the case if information of this kind is made available to some individuals offering commercial services to assist companies to improve their Search ranking. Google is a criminal operation.

It's executives have been publicly exposed as participants in horrific sex scandals, money laundering, political bribery and racism. It is time for the bought and paid shill politicians to stop protecting them! - Google spies on competitors and steals their technology - Google runs tens of millions of dollars of defamation attacks against competitors - Google hides all media and news coverage for competitors of Larry Page's boyfriend: Elon Musk - Google lies to the public about what they really do with the public's data - Google promotes illegal immigration in order to get cheap labor and control votes - Google runs VC funding back-lists against start-ups that are competitive - Google bribes thousands of politicians - Google is a criminal RICO-violating monopoly - Google rigs the stock market with Flash-boy, Pump/Dump and Microblast SEC violating computer tricks - Google pays bribes to politicians in Google and YouTube stock - Google manipulates who gets to see what web-sites, globally, for competitor black-lists - Google has a "no poaching" Silicon Valley jobs blacklist - Google bosses sexually abuse women and young boys - Google bosses run sex trafficking operations in the Epstein and NXVIUM cults - Google bosses control the NVCA financing cartel over start-ups - Google has placed the majority of the corporate staff in at least one White House - Google controls national elections for anti-competitive purposes - The company "Polyhop", in the HOUSE OF CARDS tv show,

does all the crimes that Google actually does in reality - Google's law firms, like Wilson Sonsini, are corrupt conduits for payola and political conduit-relays - Google bribes some politicians with revolving door jobs - Google is primarily responsible for destroying the Bay Area Housing opportunities.

Google runs DDoS attacks on competitors by massively crawling their sites - Google boss Andy Rubin runs a sex slave farm according to his own family - Google boss Eric Schmidt was a philandering sex-penthouse owner according to vast news articles - Google executives hire so many hookers that one of them, Mr. Hayes, was killed by his hooker - Google executives sexually abuse so many women that the women staff of Google walked out one day - In the 2009 White House, you could not swing a cat without hitting a Google insider - Google has paid covert bribes, PAC funds, real estate and search rigging payola to every CA Senator - Google has paid bribes, through its lobby fronts, to halt FBI, SEC, FEC and FTC investigations of Google crimes - Google was funded by the CIA, via In-Q-Tel, a so called "501 c3 charity" which was caught with tons of cocaine - Google gets millions of dollars of taxpayer cash for spying on Americans inside the USA - Google's map service was a spy system paid for by taxpayers money that Google now profits off of - Nancy Pelosi and Dianne Feinstein have promised to "protect" Google because their families profit off Google stocks.

Payment receipts prove that Google and Gawker/Gizmodo exchanged cash and staff for Character Assassination attacks - Google VC's and bosses have spent \$30M+ rigging the U.S. Patent Office to protect Google and harm Google competitors - Google bribed it's lawyer into position as head of the U.S. Patent office in order to have her protect Google - To rig insider stock trades, Google hides negative Tesla stories and pumps positive Tesla stories on "push days" - Google and Elon Musk Co-own, co-invest and co-market stocks covertly while running anti-trust schemes - Google rarely likes, or hires, black employees per federal and news media investigations - Google hired most of the Washington, DC K Street lobby firms and told them to "do what ever they could" - The film: "Miss Sloane" depicts only 2% of the illicit lobbying tactics Google employs daily - Demands for an FTC and FBI raid of Google, for criminal activity, securities law and election felonies have been filed - Google's David Drummond had his Woodside, CA Quail Road house bugged revealing sex and financial misdeeds.

Google, and it's Cartel (Alphabet, Youtube, and hundreds of other shell-company facades) are a criminal organization engaged in felony-class crimes. Google's bosses bribe politicians, regulators and law enforcement officials to hold off prosecution. At Google: Kent Walker, Andy Rubin, Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, Sergy Brin, Jared Cohen, Yasmin Green, David Drummond and Ian Fette are so enmeshed in sex scandals, election manipulation, and White House bribes that it is hard to comprehend how they can get any legitimate work done. Google executives came from most of the fraternity houses involved in the college rape scandals. Google sells covert character assassination services to politicians and fellow oligarchs. Youtube/Google/Alphabet/Deep State are all the same entity. They conspire to hide news about their corruption and they control most of the internet.

THE TECH CARTEL:

The Silicon Valley tech Cartel (AKA: "Paypal Mafia", "Deep State") is evil because these racist, ageist, sex-trafficking, money-laundering, elitist, politician bribing, tax evaders: steal any technology they desire; They manufactured the CLEANTECH CRASH as shown in the 60 MINUTES episode of the same name; they run a prostitution ring and sexually extort young women and interns in Silicon Valley; they are 'rape culture' take-what-they-want misogynists, ageists and racists as their history of abuses has proven; their Palo Alto Cartel operates AngelGate-type collusion and stock market insider trading schemes that harm independent business and the public; their Cartel ran the "no poaching" CEO ring which was class-action sued by DOJ and tech workers; 90% of their divorce court files reveal horrific abuses and sex trafficking; They have an army of lobbyists that pay cash, stock market and revolving door bribes to U.S. Senators; They can even evade FBI & SEC investigations; They hire women to act as 'trophy wives' and 'beards'; they have lobbyists rig the U.S. Patent Office in order to block inventor patent rights because they are using stolen technologies; they have been caught on video and recordings beating, kicking and harming women hundreds of times; They have bought up all of the Tier-One tech law firms and order them to black-list, and never help, those who seek equal tech rights; they collude to abuse your privacy and make databases on the public for political control; they have to cheat to compete because they are only good with spread sheets instead of innovation; They run black-lists, character assassination attacks, collusion and other anti-trust violating acts in violation of RICO laws.

Silicon Valley has become the largest assemblage of douche-bags and yuppie frat boy criminals in human history. Theranos is not the exception, it is the standard. Tesla, Google, Theranos, Wework, Facebook are lies backed by famous political insiders to protect their insider trading and covered-up by fake news operators. They are also fronts to fund political campaigns via the ill-gotten profits from their endeavors. When the bad guys, and their lap-dog politicians, attack you because your products are better than theirs they are proving that they are frat boy scumbags, from Stanford and Yale, that operate in a little pack, like dogs! Their Sandhill Road operation should be raided by the FBI! The best thing that could come from the COVID pandemic is that they all are forced into bankruptcy! When your Senator holds stock market shares in companies that exist to profit on the backs of consumers, via corruption, then it is impossible for that Senator to ever do anything but be corrupt! We have reported this in writing to winklerm@sec.gov, sanfrancisco@sec.gov and 30+ other federal officers but have yet to see our whistle-blower rewards...or any action! Do you wonder how big politician insider stock trading is? Take a look at how many TRILLIONS of dollars pass through the stock markets annually and then look at the reported, AND UNREPORTED, securities holdings of famous U.S. Senators and government agency staff. That is what Seth Rich and the people in the "In Memory Of" section, below, were disclosing.

These are massive crimes! The perpetrators operate a massive and abusive national sex cult. The perverts in the SandHill Road Venture Capital offices, located between Highway 280 down to Santa Cruz Avenue on Sand Hill Road in Menlo Park, California, are the main perpetrators of this global cartel. Their executives at Google, Facebook, Netflix, Linkedin, Twitter, and their related holdings, comprise the rest. The Harvey Weinstein and Ed Buck sex scandals are well known. These men's sex cult actions have been widely covered in the news individually in the Joe Lonsdale rape case, The Kleiner Perkins Ellen Pao sex abuse lawsuit, The Eric Schmidt sex penthouse stories, The Jeffrey

Epstein case, The Google Forrest Hayes hooker murder case, The Andy Rubin sex slave case, The Sergey Brin 3-way sex romp scandal, The British Hydrant investigation, The Elon Musk Steve Jurvetson billionaire sex parties scandals, The NXIVM sexual slave cases, The Michael Goguen anal sex slave trial, The Tom Perkins Hooker Parties and thousands of other cases and federal divorce court filings. This group of people have proven themselves, over and over, to be sociopath control freaks not fit for participation in public commerce, public policy or media control. The Four Seasons Hotel and Rosewood Hotels in Silicon Valley are estimated to engage in over \$30,000.00 of high-end escort sex trafficking per day, a portion of it managed by Eastern Bloc Mafia operators. The Elon Musk sex perversions are the tip of the iceberg.

At least 10 Ukrainian escorts fly in and out of SFO and SJO airports every week for these Cartel members. Google boss David Drummond engaged in horrible philandering sexual violations of his wife yet Google covers up every story about it on the web. Google's Eric Schmidt is under massive investigation. You hear about the female victims of this sex cult but you rarely hear about the young male victims. One of their vast numbers of prostitutes is quoted as saying that the girls and boys are paid "not just for sex but for the oligarch's endless need to feel that they can control anyone for any reason...". Multiple attorney general's controlled by their cartel, ie: Eric Schneiderman and Eliot Spitzer, are involved in these sex rings. These are the main influencers of a national political party and they are all involved in horrific sex perversions and abuses! All Silicon Valley tech cartel media companies work together to censor all news and information in order to hide coverage of their dirty deeds and to only put politicians in office that will give the Cartel political payola, kick-backs and insider stock pumps.

The Smedley Butler "Business Plot" from the past is not unlike the coup that Google and clan tried. See details in Emily Chang's book: BROTOPIA; Roan Farrow's book: CATCH & KILL; Edward Snowden's Book: PERMANENT RECORD; Peter Schwetzer's book: THROW THEM ALL OUT; Dave Eggers book: THE CIRCLE; Franklin Foer's book: WORLD WITHOUT MIND; Corey Pein's book: JOURNEY INTO THE SAVAGE HEART OF SILICON VALLEY; Dan Lyon's book: DISRUPTED; Antonio Martinez book: CHAOS MONKEYS. The Angelgate, Epstein, No-Poaching DOJ Class Action, and hundreds of other cases, prove that they meet, conspire, collude and blacklist in felony violation of anti-trust and RICO Racketeering laws. - 90% of these guys came from 100% of the fraternity houses involved in the recent college rape cases.

THE HIRED CHARACTER ASSASSINS AND KILLING THE WHISTLE-BLOWERS

POLITICAL KILL ORDERS AND STATE-SPONSORED CHARACTER ASSASSINATIONS - How A Modern Character Assassination and Political "Kill Order" Is Executed By the Silicon Valley Oligarchs and their total control of propaganda media. Patrick George At Jalopnik attacks outsiders under contract with Elon Musk and the DNC. Silicon Valley campaign finance oligarchs hire him to run hatchet jobs on innocent outsiders and then Gawker-Gizmodo-Jalopnik uses their financial partnership with the DNC's Google to push the character assassination articles to the top of Google web

products and searches. Patrick George, Adrian Covert, John Hermann and Nick Cook are the sexually degenerate cabin boys that report to boy-loving sleaze-tabloid oligarch Nick Denton. They created the Fake News crisis in the media by flooding the internet with defamation posts and reprisal hatchet job articles designed to damage political enemies of the Socialists. They coordinate a large number of the character assassination efforts at Gawker, Gizmodo, Jalopnik, CNN, New York Times and other propaganda outlets. These Millennial boys are "Media Rapists" and should be treated as abusers. - How and why did a Donald Trump stripper-date named "Stormy" or an Elon Musk sex party or a Kavanaugh drinking incident or the Moonves and Weinstein indiscretions suddenly hit the news at about the same time in news history? - In addition to actual murder, Politicians and Silicon Valley Oligarchs hire operatives to end people's lives in other creative ways. - It is all part of the modern trend in vendetta, revenge and political payback when a Senator or a tech oligarch issues a "kill order" on an opponent.

The client does not like to get their hands dirty so the actual social hit job is performed by companies such as: IN-Q-Tel - (DNC); Gawker Media - (DNC); Jalopnik - (DNC); Gizmodo Media - (DNC); K2 Intelligence - (DNC); WikiStrat - (DNC); Podesta Group - (DNC); Fusion GPS - (DNC/GOP); Google - (DNC); YouTube - (DNC); Alphabet - (DNC); Facebook - (DNC); Twitter - (DNC); Think Progress - (DNC); Media Matters - (DNC); Black Cube - (DNC); Mossad - (DNC); Correct The Record - (DNC); Sand Line - (DNC/GOP); Blackwater - (DNC/GOP); Undercover Global Ltd (DNC/GOP) Stratfor - (DNC/GOP); ShareBlue - (DNC); Wikileaks (DNC/GOP); Cambridge Analytica - (DNC/GOP); Sid Blumenthal- (DNC); David Brock - (DNC); PR Firm Sunshine Sachs (DNC); Covington and Burling - (DNC), Buzzfeed - (DNC) Perkins Coie - (DNC); Wilson Sonsini - (DNC) and hundreds of others... These are the people and companies that except cash, revolving door jobs, political appointments, insider trading stock in Silicon Valley tech companies, prostitutes and real estate in exchange for destroying the lives of others. - These attackers deserve to be punished for the rest of their lives for taking away the lives of others in exchange for cash. Any company who is corrupt enough to hire any of these assassins should be forced out of business. These attack services are responsible for 90% of the "Fake News" problem in the world because they are the authors of most fake news. Congress must act to make these kinds of companies illegal! - These digital assassination services offer hit-jobs, character assassinations and economic reprisal programs to famous billionaires and corrupt politicians who are seeking revenge, retribution and vendetta executions. - In the case of reporters getting targeted for attacks, President Donald Trump has been accused by the liberal corporate media of whipping up a hateful frenzy against the press. But while CNN's Jim Acosta grandstands against Trump, real journalists are still reeling from the draconian extrajudicial measures that Barack Obama and his administration used to target them for exposing truth. - This secretive targeting occurred while Obama speechwriter and hate-filled ANTIFA supporter Ben Rhodes was running "Operation Echo Chamber," which reportedly continues, in which he fed information to willing corporate media scribes. "They literally know nothing," Rhodes said of the twentysomething journalists he easily manipulated. - The Freedom of the Press Foundation's Trevor Timm published documents showing how former attorney general Eric Holder changed the rules to more effectively intimidate and surveil members of the press. - Timm writes: "Today, we are revealing—for the first time—the Justice Department's rules for targeting journalists with secret FISA court orders. The documents were obtained as part of a Freedom of

Information Act lawsuit brought by Freedom of the Press Foundation and Knight First Amendment Institute at Columbia University.”

Obama is also clearly linked to the plot to obtain fraudulent FISA warrants on President Trump’s team, as evidenced by Peter Strzok and Lisa Page’s texts confirming that Obama was overseeing their fly-by-night operation. - Larry Schweikart reported for Big League Politics: For months pundits and researchers have been pondering the mystery of the FISA approval that led to the illegal and historically titanic scandals to ever hit The U.S. Government . Some have argued that Assistant Attorney General Rod Rosenstein knew the FISA was bogus when he extended it. Others have wondered if Special Counsel Robert Mueller knew about the fraudulent basis of the FISA when he used it, in part, to indict Michael Flynn. Other still, that Mueller was fooled by the FBI. This is what President Trump calls “SPYGATE”. - It may well be that the surveillance that was conducted began with UK intelligence services and then was fed back to the White House of Barack Obama. Here’s the kicker: President Barack Obama did not need a FISA warrant to authorize spying/electronic surveillance on Trump because Obama all along had legal authorization to by-pass the normal court vetting process. According to 50 U.S. Code 1802, the “Electronic Surveillance Authorization” () “Foreign intelligence in relation to a US person (Trump or his associates) is information that’s necessary for the US to protect against attack, hostile acts, sabotage, . . . as well as other clandestine activities by a foreign power . . . OR . . . information relevant to national defense/security of the US, or the conduct of foreign affairs of the U.S.” Such an authorization by Obama required certification by Attorney General Loretta Lynch that must be logged with the FISC court. (“The [AG]+ shall immediately transmit under seal to the court [FISC] a copy of his certification.”) - In short, the DOJ has this. If we are correct, a copy of that certification is currently under seal at least with the DOJ and the FISC. - This is what they are hiding. - However, the Act requires the AG to keep the Select Committee on Intelligence and the Senate Committee on Intelligence informed of these authorizations and unmaskings therein. See 1803 (a) (1) (C) If indeed this is what happened, did Lynch report—or only selectively report—to the committees in a way that excluded non-friendlies? Can you see why Adam Schiff, Mark Warner, and their ilk are terrified? - These are the playbook tactics that Senators and tech oligarchs most often use to destroy the lives of their political and business enemies: - Government agency bosses sometimes solicit the target victims with false promises of future loans, contracts or grants from their agency and cause the target victims to expend millions of dollars and years of their time for projects which those government bosses had covertly promised to their friends. They use the target victims as a “smokescreen” to cover their illegal government slush-funds for the victims competitors and personal enemies. By using this tactic, the attackers can drain the target victims funds and force them into an economic disaster in plain view of everyone without the government bosses fearing any reprisal for their scam.-

Every match.com, okcupid.com, Plenty Of Fish, Seeking Arrangements and all other IAC-owned, or similar, dating sites (IAC is managed by Hillary Clinton's daughter) have had their profiles, texts, and inter-member communications, since those companies were started, hacked or purchased. The attack service providers use Palantir and In-Q-Tel financed data analysis software to analyze every activity in those dating services in order to find honey-trap, blackmail, sextortion and social conflict exploitation

opportunities. If you had a bad date with someone, that someone will be hunted down and convinced to help harm, #metoo or "rape charge" the intended target. All dates involve a search for sex, so the likelihood that a sexual disappointment experience will exist in each persons dating history is high. Searching every past dating email and text of a subject is quite easy with modern software and hacking techniques. A synthetically amplified, PR-agency optimized sex scandal can destroy any target. Your dating experiences from the 70's or 80's will come back to haunt you decades later. Most dates involve drinking alcohol and taking drugs. If you were unattractive or had bad sexual skills your bad date will be called "date rape", "drugging your date for sex" and related twisted narratives that are designed to shame you, the target. If you try to get a date in the future, your potential date will be contacted by a third party who will slander and libel you to make sure your potential first date gets cancelled. Your social life will, essentially, end. Every photo on every dating site is cross checked with every other photo on the internet in order to cull your Facebook, LinkedIn, Snapchat and other social media together to create a total psychological manipulation profile data file on you. A single photo on a dating site can be cross searched on every mugshot archive, photo album and corporate database in the worth within minutes using modern super-computers. Your sex life will be on public record in a flash.- Social Security, SSI, SDI, Disability and other earned benefits are stone-walled. Applications of targets are "lost". Files in the application process "disappeared". Lois Lerner hard drive "incidents" are operated in order to seek to hide information and run cover-ups. - Government officials and tech oligarchs contact members of the National Venture Capital association (NVCA) and created national "black-lists" to blockade target victims from ever receiving investor funding. This was also confirmed in a widely published disclosure by Tesla Motors Daryl Siry and in published testimony. If Silicon Valley political campaign finance oligarchs black-list you (see the "AngelGate" Scandal and the "High Tech No Poaching Class Action Lawsuit" cases) you will never get investor funding again. - FOIA requests are hidden, frozen, stone-walled, delayed, lied about and only partially responded to in order to seek to hide information and run cover-ups.- State and federal employees will play an endless game of Catch-22 by arbitrarily determining that deadlines had passed that they, the government officials, had stonewalled and obfuscated applications for, in order to force these deadlines that they set, to appear to be missed. This can bankrupt a target victim.- Some Victims found themselves strangely poisoned, not unlike the Alexander Litvenko case. Heavy metals and toxic materials were found right after their work with The U.S. Government weapons and energy facilities. Many wonder if these "targets" were intentionally exposed to toxins in retribution for their testimony.

The federal MSDS documents clearly show that a number of these people were exposed to deadly compounds and radiations, via GOVT, without being provided with proper HazMat suits which GOVT officials knew were required. - Victims employers are called, and faxed, and ordered to fire target victims from their places of employment, in the middle of the day, with no notice, as a retribution tactic. - On orders from Obama White House officials, DNC-financed Google, YouTube, Gawker Media and Gizmodo Media produce attack articles and defamation videos. Google locks this attack media on the internet on the top line, of the front page of all Google searches for a decade in front of 7.5 billion people, around the world. This attack-type uses over \$40 million dollars in server farms, production costs and internet rigging. The forensic data acquired from tracking some of these attacks

proves that Google rigs attacks against individuals on the internet and that all of Google's "impressions" are manually controlled by Google's executives who are also the main financiers and policy directors of the Obama Administration. This data was provided to the European Union for its ongoing prosecution of Google's political manipulation of public perceptions. - Victims HR and employment records, on recruiting and hiring databases, are embedded with negative keywords in order to prevent the victim targets from ever gaining future employment. - Gary D. Conley, Seth Rich, Rajeev Motwani and many other whistle-blowers in these matters, turned up dead under strange circumstances. It is very possible that some of these attack services, operated by former CIA operatives, even offer discrete murder-for-sale services using high-tech assassination tools that make murders look like heart attacks and brain failures. - Disability and VA complaint hearings and benefits are frozen, delayed, denied or subjected to lost records and "missing hard drives" as in the Lois Lerner case.- Paypal (A DNC-biased operation) and other on-line payments for on-line sales are de-platformed, delayed, hidden, or re-directed in order to terminate income potential for target victims who competed with the attackers interests and holdings.- DNS redirection, "website spoofing" sends target victims websites to dead ends where no sales orders or customer inquiries actually get back to the target.

These internet revenue activity manipulations are conducted using Google and Amazon servers. All commercial storefronts and on-line sales attempts by target victims, will have had their sites hidden, or search engine de-linked by a massively resourced facility located in Virginia, Texas or Palo Alto, California in order to terminate revenue potentials for the target victims.- Over 50,000 trolls, shells, botnets and synth-blog deployments are deployed to place defamatory statements and disinformation about victims in front of 7.5 billion people around the world on the internet in order to seek to damage their federal testimony credibility by a massively resourced facility. - Campaign finance dirty tricks contractors are hired by campaign financiers to attack the friends and family members of the target victim in order to create low morale for the target victims psyche and motivation.- Are you getting weird headaches and hearing a "buzzing sound" in your head? The U.S. Government has now acknowledged that the Cuban, Chinese and other embassy "sonic attacks" are from a known microwave beam weapon. Any one of the technical departments of the attack services listed at the top of this article can build such a biological harassment weapon. It can be aimed at the target victims office, bedroom or vehicle and, within a week, have caused biological and emotional damage using a weapon that has no visible track of trajectory. It is designed to make the target victim think they are "going crazy" or "hearing sounds in their head".- In one case covert political partner: Google, transferred large sums of cash to dirty tricks contractors and then manually locked the media portion of the attacks into the top lines of the top pages of all Google searches globally, for years, with hidden embedded codes in the links and web-pages which multiplied the attacks on Victims by many magnitudes.- Covert Cartel financier: Google, placed Google's lawyer: Michelle Lee, in charge of the U.S. Patent Office and she, in turn, stacked all of the U.S. Patent Office IPR and ALICE review boards and offices with Google-supporting employees in order to rig the U.S. Patent Office to protect Google from being prosecuted for the vast patent thefts that Google engages in. Google has hundreds of patent lawsuits for technology theft and a number of those lawsuits refer to Google's operations as "Racketeering", "Monopolistic Cartel" and "Government Coup-like" behaviors. Thousands of articles and investigations detail the fact

that Google, “essentially” ran the Obama White House and provided over 80% of the key White House staff. A conflict-of-interest unlike any in American history. Google’s investors personally told Victim/Witnesses they would “kill him”.

Google and the Obama Administration were “the same entity”. Victim/Witnesses testified in the review that got Michelle Lee terminated and uncovered a tactical political and social warfare group inside Google who were financed by Federal and State funds. - Honeytraps and moles were employed by the attackers. In this tactic, people who covertly worked for the attackers were employed to approach the “target” in order to spy on and misdirect the subject. - Gawker Media, Gizmodo Media, Snopes, SPLC and other hired media assassins will be retained to produce "hatchet job" character assassination articles about you. Then those articles will be faxed, mailed and emailed to your employer and investors with a note saying: "You don't want to have anything to do with this person, do you..?" in order to get you fired from your job and get your loans or financing pulled. The attackers will use their round one attack media, that they authored, to create a round two second wave attack designed to end your life via economic warfare.- Mortgage and rental applications will have had red flags added to them in databases to prevent the targets from getting homes or apartments.- Krebs On Security, Wired, Ars Technica, The Wall Street Journal and most major IT publications have reported that hundreds of spy "back-doors" have been found on every Intel, AMD, Apple, Xfinity, Cisco, Microsoft, Juniper Networks motherboard, chip-set and hardware component set. This means that any kid with the "key" code can open any computer, server, router, cloud-network or other network connected device and read every file, photo, video, your calendar and email on your devices at any time from any location on Earth.


The key codes have been released to every hacker community in the world for over ten years. There is now no government, corporate or personal data that can't be hacked, even data from decades ago. Every single one of your darkest secrets can be in the hands of your enemy within 60 minutes, or less. Important meetings you had planned with potential investors, employers, clients, dates, suppliers and others will suddenly get cancelled at the last minute. They will get cancelled because your enemies are reading your calendar remotely and covertly sending slander information to those you had hoped to engage with in order to sabotage your life. Nothing you have ever typed on a computer or Smartphone is safe. it WILL be acquired and it WILL be used against you. - McCarthy-Era "Black-lists" are created and employed against target victims who competed with Obama Administration executives and their campaign financiers to prevent them from getting funding and future employment. - [Obama Administration](#) targets were very carefully placed in a position of not being able to get jobs, unemployment benefits, disability benefits or acquire any possible sources of income. The retribution tactics were audacious, overt..and quite illegal. - There are thousands of additional Dirty Tricks tactics being used by these Attack Services yet Congress refuses to pass laws out-lawing such attack services. The cost of an attack on a person ranges from \$150,000.00 to over \$50,000,000.00. While a Silicon Valley billionaire can afford to launch counter-measures to these attacks, any regular taxpayer will be utterly destroyed, and incapable of fighting back, against even the smallest version of one of these "kill orders".

A number of modern office shootings are the results of these attacks against an individual who has lost everything because of the attack and has no options left. - Federal law enforcement, the United States Congress and the highest level investigators in the U.S., and abroad, have documented (per the “FISA Memo”, Congressional Reports and federal employee testimony) and proven the fact that the Obama Administration regularly engaged in the operation of retribution, vendetta and reprisal campaigns known as “hit-jobs” against domestic natural born U.S. citizen domestic taxpayers. The Federal Court, in at least one previous court case, has ruled that Victim/Witnesses, in this particular matter, were the victims and target of a number of these attacks designed to inflict permanent medical, emotional, character assassination, brand negation, economic and career damage. The FBI has been asked to interview John Cook, Nicholas Guido Denton, Adrian Covert, Patrick George, Ian Fette and John Hermann of Gawker/Gizmodo re: their financing, payola and hit-job attacks on third parties.

ADRIAN COVERT SELLS HIS SERVICES AS A HIRED MEDIA CHARACTER ASSASSIN

Search

Home Profile Find Friends Account




Wall

Info


Questions (1)

Friends

Friends (255)

 Jake Ravani
UCSC

 Michael Herford
Santa Clara

 Samuel Kerswill
CA College of the

Adrian Covert [Add as Friend](#)

"Hired Character-Assassin" at The Internet Lives in San Francisco, California Studied Literary Journalism at University of California, Irvine From Santa Rosa, California

Education and Work

Employers

-  **The Internet**
"Hired Character-Assassin"
I get paid to say/write irresponsible things. It's the best alternative to an inconsequential life of leisure.
-  **MOG with Daniel Lannon**
Associate Music Editor · Berkeley, California
Still considered employable. Definitely a miracle. (CORRECTION: Was considered employable. Still a miracle, however.)
-  **gizmodo**
Reporter
It involves gadgets...I think.
-  **Slash Your Trash Industries**
Space Assassin
Fail.

College

-  **University of California, Irvine**
Class of 2007 · Literary Journalism · English

[Send Message](#)

Sponsored [Create an Ad](#)

One bee. One whiskey.



See what happens as the Jack Daniel's Tennessee Honey bee completes its mission. Drink Responsibly. JD Distillery, Whiskey Specialty.


Where was the Tennessee Honey Bee born?

- A bar
- A black beehive
- A bottle of Jack Daniel's

29,533 people like Jack Daniel's Tennessee Honey.

ONE CORNER OF THE ORGANIZED CRIME PAYOLA, ATTACK SERVICES & MONEY LAUNDERING RING. THIS IS JUST 10% OF THE CORRUPTION NETWORK

THESE EFFORTS INCLUDE MASS PUBLIC INFORMATION COLLUSION FOR ELECTION MANIPULATIONS



DATA VERIFIED BY FBI, SEC, INTERPOL, CIA, FORENSIC RESEARCHERS



Invoice

Google Inc.
1600 Amphitheatre Parkway
Mountain View, CA 94043
USA
Federal Tax ID: 77-0650581

Bill to

John Price
Gawker Media LLC
210 Elizabeth Street
4th Floor
New York, NY 10012
United States

Details

Invoice number: 3360441914
Due date: Jun 30, 2016
Issue date: May 31, 2016
Payment terms: Net 30
Billing ID: 2015-2441-6653
Billing Account Number: 1014-4696-2407-2922

DFP

Account: XFP
Order name: 4246

May 1, 2016 - May 31, 2016

Account ID: 4246

Description	Rate	Billable Units	Amount (\$)
Advertising Standard	0.0200 CPM	1,840,137,730 Impressions	62,325.23
Advertising Vast Video 3rd Party Hosted	0.1600 CPM	286,662 Impressions	37.39
Advertising Vast Redirect with Linear	0.1500 CPM	13,176,225 Impressions	1,976.43
Advertising DFP Audience First Party Segments	0.1000 CPM	18,836,588 Impressions	1,883.58
Advertising DFP Audience Third Party Segments	-	-	1,350.55
Subtotal in USD:			67,603.25
Tax (0%):			0.00
Amount due in USD:			67,603.25

Bill to: Gawker Media LLC
Billing ID: 2015-2441-6653
Invoice number: 3360441914

Invoice number must be provided together with payment.

If you are paying for multiple invoices, please provide all invoice numbers in full and separated by " / " with a space before and after.

To pay by wire transfer, send to:

Account holder name:
Bank: Wells Fargo Bank, Palo Alto, CA
94321-0150
ABA #: 121000248
Account #: 4121100648

To pay by check, mail to:

Google Inc.
Dept. 33654
P.O. Box 39000
San Francisco, CA 94139
USA

Amount due in USD:	\$67,603.25
Due date:	Jun 30, 2016

For questions about this invoice please email collections-us@google.com

U.S. House of Representatives
Committee on Oversight and Government Reform




The Department of Energy's Dicastrous Management of Loan Guarantor Program

STAFF REPORT
U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
112TH CONGRESS
March 28, 2012

THE U.S. CONGRESS REPORTED:


"After conducting a substantial review of the Department of Energy's (DOE) loan guarantee program, it is clear that the significant losses absorbed by taxpayers as a result of Solyndra's collapse is just the beginning. The investigation conducted by the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform has uncovered numerous examples of dysfunction, negligence and mismanagement by DOE officials, raising troubling questions about the leadership at DOE and how it has administered its loan guarantee programs. ... DOE has overseen a process wrought with misdirection, changing and expanding requirements, unexplained delays, gross mischaracterizations, and a never-ending cycle of excuses. Not only does it appear that DOE purposely directed taxpayer funds at a failing enterprise, DOE's action robbed taxpayers of genuine investment toward renewable energy."

THE CYCLE OF UNLIMITED CORPORATE MONEY IN POLITICS



**HOW THE FEDS
THINK THE
SCHEME WORKED**

This set of extraordinary profit "coincidences" applies to no other set of entities on Earth. It had to be an organized crime set-up rigged as a massive kickback program.



 **KYLE SMITH**

Google controls what we buy, the news we read – and Obama’s policies

By Kyle Smith Nov 18, 2014 | 1:30pm



Photo: AP/Wide World

 **more from KYLE SMITH**

Samuel Alito's gutting of the Affordable Care Act is a total step back

Deputy Secretary of Education DeVos's welcome to New York is a long walk

The Red Sox's strategy to win the World Series is a total step back

Kerry Conroy's plan to take the independence of the SEC

It's 2010. The New England Patriots, winners of six straight Super Bowls, are having yet another routine meeting with the Commissioner's Office.

Deputy NFL Commissioner Tim Brady and his chief of staff, Rob Gronkowski, OK a rule change that forbids the Patriots for illegally taping other teams and deflating football over the preceding years. Meanwhile, members of the Patriots continue to happily contribute funding for the commissioner's new 41-room castle in Turks and Caicos, and Bill Belichick agrees to continue coaching the commissioner's 12-year-old son in Pop Warner football.

Would that bother anyone? Because the above is pretty much going on today, only the team is called Google and the commissioner is the president of the United States.



Figure 12: Feinstein's family works for, owns and controls Tesla And Solyndra profits

Who Wins the White House “Green Energy” Gamble?



Since the 2009 “Stimulus”, the Department of Energy has spent more than \$15 billion on 26 “green energy” projects.

Many are risky bets made with your hard-earned tax dollars. So let’s see who is really winning President Obama’s green energy gamble...



\$90.6 MILLION
taxpayer-funded loan

Created **10** permanent jobs



Xcel buys electricity from Cogentrix

Cogentrix required to use Amonix solar panels



Profit from selling electricity to American consumers


Profits boosted by taxpayer-subsidized interest rate

Profit from selling solar panels



Oversight.House.Gov

SEE THIS BOOK AS EVIDENCE MATTER:



Musk/Feinstein: A Love Bonded In Crime

FREMONT CALIFORNIA REAL ESTATE SCAM

Her Husband CBRE Commercial Real Estate Senator

TESLA

SOLYNDRA

TESLA: THE OFFICER OF DOUGH

SENATORS DROP LIKE FLIES IN CORRUPTION ARRESTS

FBI

Senator Yee: ARRESTED


Senator Melendez: ARRESTED

Senator Feinstein: Next?


Senator ARRESTED

Tesla opens its Model S electric car factory

TESLA



THE TESLA TAX MONEY SCAM



TESLA AND FEINSTEIN INFLUENCE AND BRIBE REGULATORS TO HIDE AND COVER-UP TESLA'S HUGE NUMBER OF SAFETY ISSUES AND FACTORY DEFECTS!

The Washington Post

Tesla Model S Plaid erupts in flames and briefly traps owner after electronic doors fail, attorneys say

Paul Sabella - The New York Times

Like Comment Retweet

Like Comment Retweet

A Tesla Model S Plaid erupted in flames as the owner was driving down the road on Tuesday, attorneys for the man said, briefly trapping him in the car after the electronically activated doors would not open.



References, Proof And Bibliography

Evidence Package #1 – <http://www.majestic111.com>

Reprisal List Of State Sponsored Attacks Against Plaintiffs

Damages Calculation Metrics Based On Court And Case Precedents

Related Court Cases List

Disabling Conditions Caused By The Attacks And Frauds

Plaintiffs Character Witness Evidence, Credentials And Work History

Plaintiffs Law Enforcement, Sting Ops And IC Career Credentials

Feature film named “**Omerta**” (provided as web link)

Congressional report on GOVT LGP program corruption

<https://amgreatness.com/2020/09/14/the-billionaire-backers-of-the-insurrection/>

GAO report set on mismanagement of GOVT funds by GOVT – Rusco

Bright Automotive public letter

<https://projects.propublica.org/graphics/afghan>

Past litigation goals, public disclosure wins and accomplishments

<https://www.oann.com/author-lee-smith-says-president-trumps-enemies-have-launched-a-permanent-coup/>

<http://www.pacer.gov>

<https://www.thecreepylines.com>

<https://www.icij.org>

<https://stopelonfromfailingagain.com>

<http://vcracket.weebly.com>

<https://www.transparency.org>

<https://www.judicialwatch.org>

<https://wikileaks.org>

<https://causeofaction.org>

<https://fusion4freedom.com/about-gcf/>

<http://peterschweizer.com/>

<http://globalinitiative.net>

<https://fusion4freedom.com/the-green-corruption-files-archive/>

<https://propublica.org>

<https://www.allsides.com/unbiased-balanced-news>

<http://wearethenewmedia.com>

http://ec.europa.eu/anti_fraud/index_en.html

<http://gopacnetwork.org/>

<http://www.iaaca.org/News/>

<http://www.interpol.int/Crime-areas/Corruption/Corruption>

<http://www.icac.nsw.gov.au/>

<http://www.traceinternational.org/>

<http://www.oge.gov/>

<https://ogc.commerce.gov/>

<https://anticorruptionact.org/>

<http://www.anticorruptionintl.org/>

<https://represent.us/>

http://www.giaccentre.org/dealing_with_corruption.php

<http://www.acfe.com/>

<https://www.oas.org/juridico/english/FightCur.html>

<https://www.opus.com/international-anti-corruption-day-businesses/>

<https://www.opengovpartnership.org/theme/anti-corruption>

<https://www.ethicalsystems.org/content/corruption>

<https://sunlightfoundation.com/>

<http://www.googletransparencyproject.org/>

<http://xyzcase.weebly.com>

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Angelgate>

<https://www.opensecrets.org/>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-Tech_Employee_Antitrust_Litigation

<http://www.projectveritasaction.com>

[Catch and Kill](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catch_and_Kill) By Ronan Farrow,

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catch_and_Kill: Lies, Spies, and a Conspiracy to Protect Predators](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catch_and_Kill)

[Permanent Record](https://www.amazon.com/Permanent-Record-Edward-Snowden/dp/1250237238) By Edward Snowden, <https://www.amazon.com/Permanent-Record-Edward-Snowden/dp/1250237238>

Brotopia *By Emily Chang*, <http://brotopiabook.com/>

Throw Them All Out *By Peter Schweizer*, <http://peterschweizer.com/books/throw-them-all-out/>

The Circle *By David Eggers*, <https://archive.org/details/circle00dave>

World Without Mind *By Franklin Foer*, <https://www.amazon.com/World-Without-Mind-Existential-Threat/dp/1101981113>

A Journey into the Savage Heart of Silicon Valley *By Corey Pein*,
<https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/35684687-live-work-work-work-die>

Disrupted *By Dan Lyons*, <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/26030703-disrupted>

Chaos Monkeys *By Antonio García Martínez*, <https://www.antonio Garciamartinez.com/chaos-monkeys/>

The Creepy Line *By Matthew Taylor*, <https://www.thecreepylines.com/>

The Cleantech Crash *By Leslie Stahl*, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/cleantech-crash-60-minutes/>

Congress: Trading stock *By Steve Kroft*, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/congress-trading-stock-on-inside-information/>

FBI form 302 reports on this case (
<https://content.next.westlaw.com/Document/I5a46ff877ecc11e8a5b3e3d9e23d7429/View/FullText.html?contextData=%28sc.Default%29>)

The Solyndra case FBI files (<https://www.judicialwatch.org/corruption-chronicles/fed-audit-confirms-govt-corruption-in-solyndra-deal/>)

Case # 11-CV-2509 (<https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/judges/koh-lucy-h-lhk/in-re-high-tech-employee-antitrust-litigation/>)

Task force case # xyz2020a (<http://www.case-xyz2020a.com/>)

The DOJ and FTC [high tech](#) cases:

<https://www.nytimes.com/2020/09/03/us/politics/google-antitrust-justice-department.html>

<https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/press-releases/2019/02/ftcs-bureau-competition-launches-task-force-monitor-technology>

Case # [20-03664](https://www.insurancejournal.com/app/uploads/2020/06/brown-v-google.pdf) (<https://www.insurancejournal.com/app/uploads/2020/06/brown-v-google.pdf>)

Case # [1:12-CV-00774-mms](https://thehill.com/blogs/congress-blog/the-administration/250109-a-case-study-in-pay-to-play-cronyism) and related cases and fbi/ftc/sec/fec case sub-set reports (<https://thehill.com/blogs/congress-blog/the-administration/250109-a-case-study-in-pay-to-play-cronyism>)

[OMERTA FINAL.m4v](http://american-corruption.com/OMERTA%20FINAL.m4v) (<http://american-corruption.com/OMERTA%20FINAL.m4v>)

<https://vimeo.com/452233943>

http://testimony111.com/public/OMERTA_FINAL.m4v

DELETED – The Book: <http://deletedbook.com/>

[Proof-1: One Set Of Evidence Proving The Assertions](http://www.webco22.com/proof) (<http://www.webco22.com/proof>)

[Proof-2: A Different Evidence Set Repository](http://newsplus007.com/) (<http://newsplus007.com/>)

[Reports: Documents Provided To Law Enforcement And Regulatory Agencies](http://testimony111.com/) (<http://testimony111.com/>)

[Videos: Broadcast News Video Evidence Proving The Assertions](http://newsplus007.com/VIDEOS/) (<http://newsplus007.com/VIDEOS/>)

[Photos, Memes And News Clippings About The Incident](http://newsplus007.com/VIDEOS/) (<http://newsplus007.com/VIDEOS/>)

[Submitted To Congressional Investigators](http://newsplus007.com/secrets/) (<http://newsplus007.com/secrets/>)

[More News Videos Proving The Assertions Of Organized Crime And Corruption](http://evidencevideos.com/) (<http://evidencevideos.com/>)

[The Perps - Who Ran These Crimes? THESE ARE THEIR NAMES!](https://newsplus007.com/public/Key_Perpetrators_List_A_For_FBI_And_Investigation_Task_Force.pdf) (https://newsplus007.com/public/Key_Perpetrators_List_A_For_FBI_And_Investigation_Task_Force.pdf)

[Inside The Google Empire](https://www.thecreepyline.com/) (<https://www.thecreepyline.com/>)

[How Silicon Valley Rips Off Inventors](https://www.usinventor.org/) (<https://www.usinventor.org/>)

[Meet Some Of Plaintiffs Investigators](http://www.ICIJ.org/) (<http://www.ICIJ.org/>)

[The Mobsters of Tech](https://vcracket.weebly.com/) (<https://vcracket.weebly.com/>)

[Investigating Political Corruption](http://testimony111.com/) (<http://testimony111.com/>)

[Who does Your Senator Hire To Put Hit Jobs On Political Opposition?](http://AMERICAN-CORRUPTION.COM/) (<http://AMERICAN-CORRUPTION.COM/>)

[Reports On The Corruption](http://AMERICAN-CORRUPTION.COM/) (<http://AMERICAN-CORRUPTION.COM/>)

[HOW TO END POLITICAL CORRUPTION FOREVER](http://american-corruption.com/HOW%20THE%20PUBLIC%20IS%20ENDING%20POLITICAL%20CORRUPTION%20FOREVER%202.2.pdf) (<http://american-corruption.com/HOW%20THE%20PUBLIC%20IS%20ENDING%20POLITICAL%20CORRUPTION%20FOREVER%202.2.pdf>)

NSA surveillance archives per each perpetrator mentioned herein

FBI surveillance archives per each perpetrator mentioned herein

FSB surveillance archives per each perpetrator mentioned herein

Aalberts, Robert J. & Marianne M. Jennings. 1999. The Ethics of Slotting: Is this Bribery, Facilitation Marketing or Just Plain Competition? Journal of Business Ethics

Aaronson, S.A. 2011. Limited Partnership: Business, Government, Civil Society and the Public in the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative. Public Administration & Development

Aaronson, Susan Ariel & M. Rodwan Abouharb. 2014. "Corruption, Conflicts of Interest and the WTO", in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach

Aaronson, Susan Ariel. 2014. does the WTO Help Nations Clean Up? The WTO as an Anticorruption Counterweight. World Trade Review (forthcoming).

Abbink, Klaus & Danila Serra. 2012. "Anticorruption Policies: Lessons from the Lab," in Danila Serra & Leonard Wantchekon, eds., New Advances in experimental Research on Corruption (Emerald Books)

Abbink, Klaus & Heike Hennig-Schmidt. 2006. Neutral versus Loaded Instructions in a Bribery Game. experimental Economics

Abbink, Klaus & K. Wu. 2013. Reward Self-Reporting to Deter Corruption: An experiment on Mitigating Collusive Bribery. Monash University, Discussion Paper

Abbink, Klaus & Matthew Ellman. 2010. The Donor Problem: An experimental Analysis of Beneficiary Empowerment. Journal of Development Studies

Abbink, Klaus, Bernd Irlenbusch & Elke Renner. 2002. An experimental Bribery Game, Journal of Law, Economics & Organization

Abbink, Klaus, Utteeyo Dasgupta, Lata Ghanghadaran & Tarun Jain. 2014. Letting the Briber Go Free: An experiment on Mitigating Harassment Bribes. Journal of Public Economics 111

Abbink, Klaus. 2004. Staff Rotation as an Anticorruption Policy: An experimental Study. European Journal of Political Economy

Abbink, Klaus. 2005. "Fair Salaries and the Moral Costs of Corruption," in Kokinov & Boicho eds., Advances in Cognitive Economics (Sofia: NBU Press).

Abbink, Klaus. 2006. "Laboratory experiments on Corruption," in Susan Rose-Ackerman ed. *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruptions*

Abbott, Kenneth W. & Duncan Snidal. 2002. "International Action on Bribery and Corruption: Why the Dog Didn't Bark in the WTO", in Daniel M. Kennedy & James D.

Southwick eds., *The Political Economy of International Trade Law*.

Abbott, Kenneth W. & Duncan Snidal. 2002. *Values and Interests: International*

Legalization in the Fight against Corruption. *Journal of Legal Studies* 31(S1)

Abbott, Kenneth W. 2001. *Rule Making in the WTO: Lessons from the Case of Bribery and Corruption*. *Journal of International Economic Law* 4(2)

Abbott, Kenneth W. 2009. "Fight Against Corruption," in *Max Planck Encyclopedia of International Law*.

Abdalla, H.F., A.S. Maghrabi & B.G. Raggad. 1998. *Assessing the Perceptions of Human Resource Managers Toward Nepotism: A Cross-Cultural Study*. *International Journal of Manpower*

Abdallah, Sherief, Rasha Sayed, Iyad Rahwan, Brad L. LeVeck, Manuel Cebrian, Alex Rutherford & James H. Fowler. 2014. *Corruption Drives the Emergence of Civil Society*.

Journal of the Royal Society

Abdulai, A.G. 2009. *Political Will in Combating Corruption in Developing and Transition Countries: A Comparative Study of Singapore, Hong Kong and Ghana*.

Journal of Financial Crime 4

Abed, George T. & Hamid R. Davoodi. 2000. *Corruption, Structural Reforms, and Economic Performance in the Transition Economies*. IMF Working Paper No. 00/132.

Abed, George T. & Sanjeev Gupta. 2002. *Governance, Corruption, and Economic Performance*. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.

Abidin, I.S.Z., M.D. Jantan., N.M. Satar & M. Haseeb. 2014. *Trade Linkages between Malaysia and the OIC Member Countries: Empirical Evidence Based on a Gravity Model*. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*

Abiona, I.A. & O. Savage. 2014. *Influence of Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission on Female Civil Servants in Oyo State, Nigeria*. *Public Policy & Administration Research*

Abrams, Norman, Sara Sun Beale & Susan Riva Klein. 2010 *Federal Criminal Law and Its Enforcement* (5th edition).

Abrams, Norman. 2011. *The Distance Imperative: A Different Way of Thinking about Public Official Corruption Investigations/Prosecutions and the Federal Role*. *Loyola University Chicago Law Journal* 42

Abrate, G., F. Erbetta, G. Fraquelli & D. Vannoni. 2015. *The Cost of Corruption in the Italian Solid Waste Industry*. *Industrial & Corporate Change*

Abtidon, A.H. 2015. *The Impact of Financial Accountability on Reducing Corruption in Mogadishu Somalia*. *Academic Research International*

Abu, N., M. Karim & M. Aziz. 2015. *Corruption, Political Instability and Economic Development in the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS): Is There a Causal Relationship?* *Contemporary Economics*

Abu, N., M. Karim & M. Aziz. 2015. *Low Savings Rates in the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS): The Role of Corruption*. *Journal of Economic Cooperation and Development*

Abu-Shanab, Emad A., Yousra A. Harb & Suhaib Y. Al-Zoubi. 2013. *E-Government as an Anti-Corruption Tool: Citizens Perceptions*. *International Journal of Electronic Governance*

Acar, Muhittin & Ugur Emek. 2009. "Preventing Corruption in Turkey: Issues, Instruments, and Institutions", in Gong, T. & S.K. Ma eds., *Preventing Corruption in Asia: Institutional Design and Policy Capacity* (London: Routledge)

Acconcia, Antonio & Claudia Cantabene. 2008. A Big Push To Deter Corruption: Evidence from Italy. *Giornale degli Economisti*

Acconcia, Antonio, Giovanni Immordino, Salvatore Piccolo & Patrick Rey. 2009.

Accomplice-Witnesses, Organized Crime and Corruption: Theory and Evidence from Italy. (CSEF Working Paper No. 232)

Acconcia, Antonio, Marcello D'Amato & Ricardo Martina. 2003. Corruption and Tax Evasion with Competitive Bribes. (CSEF Working Paper No. 112).

Acconcia, Antonio, Marcello D'Amato & Riccardo Martina. 2010. Tax Evasion and Corruption: Endogenous Deterrence and the Perverse Effects of Fines. *Studi Economici* 101

Acemoglu, D., J. Robinson & T. Verdier. 2004. Kleptocracy and Divide-and-Rule: A Model of Personal Rule. *Journal of the European Economic Association*

Acemoglu, Daron & Thierry Verdier. 1998. Property Rights, Corruption and the Allocation of Talent: A General Equilibrium Approach. *The Economic Journal* 108

Acemoglu, Daron, & Thierry Verdier. 2000. The Choice between Market Failures and Corruption. *American Economic Review*.

Acemoglu, Daron. 1995. Reward Structure and the Allocation of Talent. *European Economic Review* 39

Acharya, Avidit, John E. Roemer & Rohini Somanathan. 2015. Caste, Corruption and Political Competition in India. *Research in Economics*

Acht, M., T.O. Mahmoud & R. Thiele. 2015. Corrupt Governments Do Not Receive More State-to-State Aid: Governance and the Delivery of Foreign Aid Through Non-State Actors. *Journal of Development Economics*

Achua, J.K. 2011. Anti-Corruption in Public Procurement in Nigeria: Challenges and Competency Strategies. *Journal of Public Procurement*

Ackerman, John M. 2014. Rethinking the International Anti-Corruption Agenda: Civil Society, Human Rights and Democracy. *American University International Law Review*

Adam, A., M.D. Delis & P. Kammass. 2014. Fiscal Decentralization and Public Sector Efficiency: Evidence from OECD Countries. *Economics of Governance*

ADB/OECD Anti-Corruption Initiative for Asia and the Pacific. 2007. Mutual Legal Assistance, Extradition and Recovery of Proceeds of Corruption in Asia and the Pacific.

Adebanwi, Wale & Ebenezer Obadare. 2011. When Corruption Fights Back: Democracy and Elite Interest in Nigeria's Anti-Corruption War. *Journal of Modern African Studies*

Ades, Alberto & Rafael Di Tella. 1997. The New Economics of Corruption: A Survey and Some New Results. *Political Studies*

Ades, Alberto & Rafael Di Tella. 1997. National Champions and Corruption: Some Unpleasant Interventionist Arithmetic. *Economic Journal*

Ades, Alberto & Rafael Di Tella. 1999. Rents, Competition, and Corruption. *American Economic Review*

Adeyeye, Adefolake O. 2012. *Corporate Social Responsibility of Multinational Corporations in Developing Countries: Perspectives on Anti-Corruption* (Cambridge University Press).

Adsera, Alicia, Carles Boix & Mark Payne. 2003. Are You Being Served? Political Accountability and Quality of Government. *Journal of Law, Economics & Organization*

Adut, Ari. 2004. Scandal as Norm Entrepreneurship Strategy: Corruption and the French Investigating Magistrates. *Theory and Society*

Afonso, A., L. Schuknecht & V. Tanzi. 2005. Public Sector Efficiency: An International Comparison. *Public Choice*

Afridi, Farzana. 2008. Can Community Monitoring Improve the Accountability of Public Officials? *Economic & Political Weekly*

Agbibo, Daneil E. 2014. Under-Development in Practice: Nigeria and the Enduring Problem of Corruption. *Development in Practice*

Agbibo, Daniel E. 2010. The Corruption-Underdevelopment Nexus in Africa: Which Way Nigeria? *Journal of Social, Political & Economic Studies*

Agbibo, Daniel E. 2011. Leaders or Leeches: Corruption and the Cycle of Dysfunction in Nigeria. *Loyola Journal of Social Sciences*

Agbibo, Daniel E. 2012. Between Corruption and Development: The Political Economy of State Robbery in Nigeria. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Agbibo, Daniel E. 2012. Serving the Few, Starving the Many: How Corruption Underdevelops Nigeria and How There Is an Alternative Perspective to Corruption Cleanups. *Africa Today*

Agbibo, Daniel E. 2013. Corruption and Economic Crime in Nigeria: Social and Economic Perspectives. *African Security Review*

Agbibo, Daniel E. 2015. Protectors or Predators? The Embedded Problem of Police Corruption and Deviance in Nigeria. *Administration & Society*

Agbibo, Daniel. 2013. One Step Forward, Two Steps Back: The Political Culture of Corruption and Cleanups in Nigeria. *CEU Political Science Journal*

Agur, C. 2015. Second-Order Networks, Gambling, and Corruption on Indian Mobile Phone Networks. *Media, Culture & Society* 37(5): 768-.

Ahlin, Christian R. & Jiaren Pang. 2008. Are Financial Development and Corruption Control Substitutes in Promoting Growth? *Journal of Development Economics*

Ahlin, Christian R. & Pinaki Bose. 2007. Bribery, Inefficiency, and Bureaucratic Delay.

Journal of Development Economics

Ahlin, Christoher. 2005. Effects and (In)Tractability of Decentralized Corruption. (Working paper).

Ahmad Kai, A.H., R. Haniffa, M. Hudaib & M.N.A. Karim. 2015. Neopatrimonialism, Crony Capitalists and the Production of Corruption in Malaysia. Accounting Forum.

Ahmad, N. & O.T. Brookins. 2004. On Corruption and Countervailing Actions in Three South Asian Nations. Journal of Policy Reform

Ahmad, N. 2002. Corruption and Government Regulations: An Empirical Analysis.

Bangladesh Development Studies

Ahmadi, Cyavash Nasir. 2012. Regulating the Regulators: A Solution to Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Woes. Journal of International Business & Law

Ahmed, A., S.A. Lodhi & M. Ahmad. 2015. Using Split-Questionnaire Design: An Empirical Analysis. Pakistan Journal of Statistics

Ahmed, Naved 2001. Corruption Perceptions Indices: A Comparative Analysis. Pakistan Development Review

Ahmed, R. & Q.M. Ahmed. 2012. Petty Corruption in the Police Department: A Case Study of Slum Areas of Karachi. Developing Country Studies

Ahmen, Samiul Parvez & G.M. Wali Ullah. 2014. Global Corruption Hoax: Politicization of the Concept of Corruption and the Issues of Corruption Measurement Indices. Journal Economics & Sustainable Development

Ahrend, Rudiger. 2002. Press Freedom, Human Capital, and Corruption. DELTA Working Paper 11.

Aidt, T.S. & M. Gassebner. 2007. Do Autocratic States Trade Less? Working paper.

Aidt, Toke S. & Jayasri Dutta. 2008. Policy Compromises: Corruption and Regulation in a Democracy. Economics and Politics

Aidt, Toke S. 2003. Economic Analysis of Corruption: A Survey. Economic Journal 113: F632-F652.

Aidt, Toke S. 2009. Corruption, Institutions, and Economic Development. Oxford Review of Economic Policy

Aidt, Toke S. 2011. "Corruption and Sustainable Development", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Tina Soreide eds., The International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption, Vol. 2 (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Aidt, Toke S. 2011. The Causes of Corruption. CESifo DICE Report

Aidt, Toke, Jayasri Dutta & Vania Sena. 2008. Governance Regimes, Corruption and Growth: Theory and Evidence. Journal of Comparative Economics

Ajayi, Konyin. 1997. On the Trail of a Spectre—Destabilisation of Developing and Transitional Economies: A Case Study of Corruption in Nigeria. Dickinson Journal of International Law

Akbar, Y. & V. Vujic. 2014. explaining Corruption: The Role of National Culture and its Implications for International Management. Cross Cultural Management

Akca, Hasim, Ahmet Yilmaz Ata & Coskun Karaca. 2012. Inflation and Corruption Relationship: Evidence from Panel Data in Developed and Developing Countries.

International Journal of Economics & Financial Issues

Akcay, Selcuk. 2006. Corruption and Human Development. Cato Journal

Akhter, Syed H. 2004. Is Globalization What It's Cracked Up to Be? Economic Freedom, Corruption, and Human Development. Journal of World Business

Akinboade, O.A. 2014. Regulation, SMEs' Growth and Performance in Cameroon's Central and Littoral Provinces' Manufacturing and Retail Sectors. *African Development Review*

Aklin, M., P. Bayer, S. Harish & J. Urpelainen. 2014. Who Blames Corruption for the Poor Enforcement of Environmental Laws? Survey Evidence from Brazil. *Environmental Economics & Policy Studies*

Aklin, M., P. Bayer, S.P. Harish & J. Urpelainen. 2015. Quantifying Slum Electrification in India and explaining Local Variation. *Energy*

Ala'i, P. 2014. Civil Consequences of Corruption in International Commercial Contracts.

American Journal of Comparative Law 62: .

Ala'i, Padideh. 2000. The Legacy of Geographical Morality and Colonialism: A Historical Assessment of the Current Crusade Against Corruption. *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*

Ala'i, Padideh. 2009. The WTO and the Anti-Corruption Movement. *Loyola University Chicago International Law Review*

Alam, M. Shahid. 1989. Anatomy of Corruption: An Approach to the Political Economy of Underdevelopment. *American Journal of Economics and Sociology* 48(4): 441-456.

Alam, M. Shahid. 1990. Some Economic Costs of Corruption in LDCs. *Journal of Development Studies*

Alam, M. Shahid. 1995. A Theory of Limits on Corruption and Some Applications.

Kyklos 48:

Alarcon Lopez, Paola. 2014. Constitutional Design and Political Agency Problems: The Case of Colombia. *Con-Texto: Revista de Derecho y Economia*

Alatas, Vivi, Abhijit Banerjee, Rema Hanna, Benjamin A. Olken, Ririn Purnamasari & Matthew Wai-Poi. 2013. does Elite Capture Matter? Local Elites and Targeted Welfare Programs in Indonesia. Working paper.

Alatas, Vivi, Lisa Cameron, Ananish Chaudhuri, Nisvan Erkal & Lata Gangadharan.

2009. Subject Pool Effects in a Corruption experiment: A Comparison of Indonesian Public Servants and Indonesian Students. *experimental Economics*

Alatas, Vivi, Lisa Cameron, Ananish Chaudhuri, Nisvan Erkal & Lata Gangadharan.

2009. Gender, Culture, and Corruption: Insights from an experimental Analysis. *Southern Economic Journal*

Aldashev, Gani. 2015. Voter Turnout and Political Rents. *Journal of Public Economic Theory*

Alderman, Richard. 2013. B20 Task Force on Improving Transparency and Anti-Corruption: Development of a Preliminary Study on Possible Regulatory Developments to Enhance the Private Sector Role in the Fight Against Corruption in a Global Business Context.

Aldrighi, Dante Mendes. 2011. "Risks of Wrongdoing in Public Companies and Ways to Cope with Them: The Case of Brazil", in *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption*, Vol. II. (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Aleksynka, M. & O. Havrylchyk. 2013. FDI from the South: The Role of Institutional Distance and Natural Resources. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Alemu, Aye Mengistu. 2012. Effects of Corruption on FDI Inflow in Asian Economies.

Seoul Journal of Economics

Alence, Rod. 2004. Political Institutions and Developmental Governance in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Modern African Studies*

Alesina, Alberto & Beatrice Weder. 2002. Do Corrupt Governments Receive Less Foreign Aid? American Economic Review

Alesina, Alberto & George-Marios Angeletos. 2005. Corruption, Inequality, and Fairness. Journal of Monetary Economics

Alesina, Alberto & George-Marios Angeletos. 2005. Fairness and Redistribution.

American Economic Review

Alesina, Alberto & Romain Wacziarg. 1998. Openness, Country Size, and Government.

Journal of Public Economics

Alesina, Alberto, Arnaud Devleeschauwer, William Easterly, Sergio Kurlat & Romain Wacziarg. 2003. Fractionalization. Journal of Economic Growth

Aleston, Lee J. & Andres A. Gallo. 2010. Electoral Fraud, the Rise of Peron and Demise of Checks and Balances in Argentina. explorations in Economic History

Alexander, C.R. & M.A. Cohen. 2015. The Evolution of Corporate Criminal Settlements: An Empirical Perspective on Non-Prosecution, Deferred Prosecution, and Plea Agreements. American Criminal Law Review

Alexeev, Michael & Luba Habodaszova. 2012. Decentralization, Corruption, and the Shadow Economy. Public Finance & Management

Alexeev, Michael & Robert Conrad. 2009. The Elusive Curse of Oil. Review of Economics & Statistics

Alexeev, Michael & Robert Conrad. 2011. The Natural Resource Curse and Economic Transition. Economic Systems

Alexeev, Michael & Yunah Song. 2013. Corruption and Product Market Competition: An Empirical Investigation. Journal of Development Economics

Alfano, M.R. & A.L. Baraldi. 2015. Is There an Optimal Level of Political Competition in Terms of Economic Growth? Evidence from Italy? *European Journal of Law & Economics*

Alford, John, Holly Teeters, Daniel S. Ward & Rick K. Wilson. 1994. Overdraft: The Political Cost of Congressional Malfeasance. *Journal of Politics*

Alford, Roger P. 2012. A Broken Windows Theory of International Corruption. *Ohio State Law Journal*

Alhassan-Alolo, N. 2007. Gender and Corruption: Testing the New Consensus. *Public Administration & Development*

Ali, Abdiweli M. & Hodan Said Isse. 2003. Determinants of Economic Corruption: A Cross-Country Comparison. *Cato Journal*

Alldrige, Peter. 2012. The U.K. Bribery Act: “The Caffeinated Younger Sibling of the FCPA”. *Ohio State Law Journal*

Alldrige, Peter. 2014. Tax Avoidance, Tax Evasion, Money Laundering and the Problem of “Offshore”. Working paper.

Alldrige, Peter. 2015. “Tax Avoidance, Tax Evasion, Money Laundering and the Problem of ‘Offshore’”, in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul Lagunes eds., *Greed, Corruption, and the Modern State*

Allen, N. & S. Birch. 2011. Political Conduct and Misconduct: Probing Public Opinion. *Parliamentary Affairs*

Allen, N. 2015. From Patronage Machine to Partisan Melee: Subnational Competition and the Evolution of the Indonesian Party System. *Pacific Affairs*

Allen, N.W. 2014. From Patronage Machine to Partisan Melee: Subnational Corruption and the Evolution of the Indonesian Party System. *Pacific Affairs*

Allen, N.W. 2015. Clientalism and the Personal Vote in Indonesia. *Electoral Studies*

Al-Mahayreh, M. & M. Abedel-Qader. 2015. Identifying the Impact of Administrative Transparency on the Administrative Corruption: A Study on the Employees of Grand Amman Municipality. *Advances in Management & Applied Economics*

Al-Marhubi, F.A. 2000. Corruption and Inflation. *Economics Letters*

Almond, Michael A. & Scott D. Syfert. 1997. Beyond Compliance: Corruption, Corporate Responsibility and Ethical Standards in the New Global Economy. *N.C. J. Int'l L. & Com. Reg*

Alolo, Amawu Alhassan. 2007. "Fighting Public Sector Corruption in Ghana: does Gender Matter?", in Sarah Bracking ed., *Corruption and Development: The Anti-Corruption Campaigns* (Palgrave Macmillan)

Alon, A. & A. Hageman. 2013. The Impact of Corruption on Firm Tax Compliance in Transition Economies: Whom Do You Trust? *Journal of Business Ethics*

Al-Sadig, Ali. 2009. The Effects of Corruption on FDI Inflows. *Cato Journal*

Alt, James E. & David Dryer Lassen. 2003. The Political Economy of Institutions and Corruption in American States, *Journal of Theoretical Politics* 15:341-65.

Alt, James E. & David Dryer Lassen. 2008. Political and Judicial Checks on Corruption: Evidence from American State Governments. *Economics & Politics*

Alt, James E. & David Dryer Lassen. 2014. Enforcement and Public Corruption: Evidence from the American States. *Journal of Law, Economics & Organization*

Altamirano, Giorleny D. 2007. "The Impact of the Inter-American Convention against

Corruption," *The University of Miami Inter-American Law Review*

Altunbas, Y. & J. Thornton. 2012. Fiscal Decentralization and Governance. *Public Finance Review*

Amacher, G.S. 2006. Editorial: Corruption: A Challenge for Economists Interested in Forest Policy Design. *Journal of Forest Economics*

Ameen, Ali Abdulbaqi & Kamsuriah Ahmad. 2013. A Conceptual Framework of Financial Information Systems to Reduce Corruption. *Journal of Theoretical & Applied Information Technology*

Ameyaw, E.F. & A.P.C. Chan. 2015. Evaluating Key Risk Factors for PPP Water Projects in Ghana: A Delphi Study. *Journal of Facilities Management*

Amir, R. & C. Burr. 2015. Corruption and Socially Optimal Entry. *Journal of Public Economics*

Amone, Marco & Leandro S. Borlini. 2014. Corruption: Economic Analysis and International Law .

Amore, Mario Daniele & Morten Bennesen. 2013. The Value of Local Political Connections in a Low-Corruption Environment. *Journal of Financial Economics* (forthcoming).

Amundsen, Inge. 2013. “‘Pay Up and Off You Go!’: Buying Political Positions in Bangladesh”, in Soreide, Tina & Aled Williams eds., *Corruption, Grabbing and Development*

Anand, Vikas, Blake E. Ashforth & Mahendra Joshi. 2005. Business as Usual: The Acceptance and Perpetuation of Corruption in Organizations. *Academy of Management Executive*

Anbarci, N., M. Escaleras & C. Register. 2006. Traffic Fatalities and Public Sector Corruption. *Kyklos*.

Anbarci, Nejat, Monica Escaleras & Charles A. Register. 2009. The Ill Effects of Public Sector Corruption in the Water and Sanitation Sector. *Land Economics*

Anders, G. 2015. The Normativity of Numbers in Practice: Technologies of Counting, Accounting and Auditing in Malawi’s Civil Service Reform. *Social Anthropology*

Andersen, J.J. & M.L. Ross. 2014. The Big Oil Change: A Closer Look at the Haber-Menaldo Analysis. *Comparative Political Studies*

Andersen, J.J. & S. Aslaksen. 2008. Constitutions and the Resource Curse. *Journal of Development Economics*

Andersen, Jorgen Juel, Niels Johannesen, David Dreyer Lassen & Elena Paltseva. 2014.

Petro Rents, Political Institutions, and Hidden Wealth: Evidence from Offshore Bank Accounts. Working paper.

Andersen, Thomas Barnebeck, Jeanet Bentzen, Carl-Johan Dalgaard & Pablo Seleya.

2011. does the Internet Reduce Corruption? Evidence from U.S. States and Across Countries. *World Bank Economic Review* 25(3): 387-417.

Andersen, Thomas Barnebeck. 2009. E-Government as an Anti-Corruption Strategy.

Information Economics & Policy

Anderson, B.B. 2015. Corrupting Activities and Economic Development. *World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management & Sustainable Development*

Anderson, Christopher J. & Yuliya V. Tverdova. 2003. Corruption, Political Allegiances,

and Attitudes toward Government in Contemporary Democracies. *American Journal of Political Science*

Anderson, James & Douglas Marcouiller. 2002. Insecurity and the Pattern of Trade: An Empirical Investigation. *Review of Economics & Statistics*

Anderson, James & Douglas Marcouiller. 2005. Anarchy and Autarky: Endogenous Predation as a Barrier to Trade. *International Economic Review*

Anderson, James, Daniel Kaufmann & Francesca Recanatini. 2003. Service Delivery, Poverty and Corruption—Common Threads from Diagnostic Surveys. Working paper.

Anderson, Robert, William Kovacic & Anna Caroline Miller. 2011. "Ensuring Integrity and Competition in Public Procurement Markets: A Dual Challenge for Good Governance", in Sue Arrowsmith & Robert Anderson eds., *The WTO Regime on Government Procurement: Challenge and Reform*. Cambridge University Press.

Andersson, Staffan & Frank Anechiarico. 2015. "The Political Economy of Conflicts of Interest in an Era of Public-Private Governance", in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge)

Andersson, Steffan & Paul M. Heywood. 2009. *The Politics of Perception: Use and Abuse of Transparency International's Approach to Measuring Corruption*. Political Studies

Andersson, Steffan & Paul M. Heywood. 2010. "Anti-Corruption as a Risk to Democracy: On the Unintended Consequences of International Anti-Corruption Campaigns", in L. de Sousa et al. eds., *Governments, NGOs and Anti-Corruption: The New Integrity Warriors*. Routledge.

Andreas, Peter. 1998. *The Political Economy of Narco-Corruption in Mexico*. Current History.

Andrei, T., S. Stancu, M. Nedelcu & A. Matei. 2009. *Econometric Models Used for the Corruption Analysis*. Economic Computation & Economic Cybernetics Studies & Research

Andrei, Tudorel, Ani Matei & Bogdan Oancea. 2009. *Simultaneous Equation Models Used in the Study of Some Issues Related to the Corruption and Performance of Services in the Public Health System*. Theoretical & Applied Economics

Andrei, Tudorel, Ani Matei, Stelian Stancu & Bogdan Oancea. 2009. *Some Notes About Decentralization Process Implications on Public Administration Corruption in Romania*.

Prague Economic Papers 2009

Andreula, Nicolo, Alberto Chong & Jorge Guillen. 2009. *Institutional Quality and Fiscal Transparency*. IDB Working Paper #IDP-WP-125.

Andrews, Josephine T. & Gabriella R. Montinola. 2004. *Veto Players and the Rule of Law in Emerging Democracies*. *Comparative Political Studies* 37(1): 55-87.

Andrews, Matthew & Anwar Shah. 2005. "Towards Citizen-Centered Local Budgets in Developing Countries," in Anwar Shah ed., *Public expenditure Analysis* (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

Andrianova, Svetlana & Nicolas Melissas. 2009. *Corruption, Extortion, and the Boundaries of the Law*, *Journal of Law, Economics & Organization*

Andrianova, Svetlana. 2001. *Corruption and Reputation*. *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*

Andrianova, Svetlana. 2007. *On Corruption and Institutions in Decentralised Economies*.

B.E. Journal of Theoretical Economics

Androphy, B. 2015. *Understanding the Limited Authority of Ethics Commissions*. *Public Administration Review*

Anduiza, Eva, Aina Gallego & Jordi Munoz. 2013. *Turning a Blind Eye: experimental Evidence of Partisan Bias in Attitudes Toward Corruption*. *Comparative Political Studies*

Andvig, J. 2005. "experimental Economics and Corruption: A Survey of Budding Research", in *Transparency International, Global Corruption Report* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press)

Andvig, Jens Chr. & Odd-Helge Fjeldstad. 2008. *Crime, Poverty and Police Corruption in Non-Rich Countries* (Oslo: Norwegian Institute of International Affairs NUPI Working Paper no. 738)

Andvig, Jens Christopher & Karl Ove Moene. 1990. *How Corruption May Corrupt*.

Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization

Andvig, Jens Christopher et al. 2002. *Corruption*. (Oslo, NUPI).

Andvig, Jens Christopher. & Boyko Todorov. 2011. *Anti-Corruption in Public Procurement* (Sofia, Bulgaria: Center for the Study of Democracy).

Andvig, Jens Christopher. & Gbewopo Attila. 2010. Crime, Police Corruption and Development: Evidence from Victimization Data. (Norwegian Institute of International Affairs (NUPI) Working Paper No. 772).

Andvig, Jens Christopher. 1991. The Economics of Corruption: A Survey. *Studi Economici*

Andvig, Jens Christopher. 2005. A House of Straw, Sticks or Bricks? Some Notes on Corruption Empirics. NUPI Working Paper No. 678.

Andvig, Jens Christopher. 2006. Corruption and Fast Change. *World Development*

Andvig, Jens Christopher. 2008. Corruption and Armed Conflicts: Some Stirring Around in the Governance Soup. *Economics Discussion Papers*, No. 2008-3, Kiel Institute for the World Economy.

Andvig, Jens Christopher. 2010. Corruption and Conflict: Contrasting Logics of Collective Action. *Comparative Social Research*

Anechiarico, Frank & James B. Jacobs. 1994. Visions of Corruption Control and the Evolution of American Public Administration, *Public Administration Review*

Anechiarico, Frank & James B. Jacobs. 1996. The Pursuit of Absolute Integrity: How Corruption Control Makes Government Ineffective.

Anechiarico, Frank. 1999. End-Runs and Hairy Eyeballs: The Costs of Corruption Control in Market Democracies. *Connecticut Journal of International Law*

Anechiarico, Frank. 2006. Prosecution as Corruption Control: Paradigms of Public Integrity in Context. *52 Wayne L. Rev.* 1415

Ang, Yuen Yuen & Nan Jia. 2013. Perverse Complementarity: Political Connections & the Use of Courts Among Private Firms in China. *Journal of Politics* (forthcoming).

Ang, Yuen Yuen. 2013. Authoritarian Restraints on Online Activism Revisited: Why 'I-Paid-A-Bribe' Worked in India but Failed in China. *Comparative Politics*

Ang, Yuen Yuen. 2014. Authoritarian Restraints on Online Activism Revisited: Why 'I-Paid-A-Bribe' Worked in India but Failed in China. *American University International Law Review*

Angeles, Luuis & Kyriakos C. Neanidis. 2015. The Persistent Effect of Colonialism on Corruption. *Economica* 82(326): 319-349.

Anik, A.R., S. Bauer & M.J. Alam. 2013. Why Farm Households Have Differences in Corruption experience? Evidence from Bangladesh. *Agricultural Economics*

Anik, Asif Reza & Siedfried Bauer. 2013. Impact of Corruption on Farm Production and Profit. *Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences*

Anik, Asif Reza & Siegfried Bauer. 2014. Household Income and Relationships with Different Power Entities as Determinants of Corruption. *Contemporary Economics*

Anokhin, Sergey & W.A. 2014. Corruption and the Ethical Context of Country-Level Entrepreneurship. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Venturing*

Anokhin, Sergey & William S. Schulze. 2009. Entrepreneurship, Innovation, and Corruption. 2009. *Journal of Business Venturing*

Anoruo, E. & H. Braha. 2005. Corruption and Economic Growth: The African experience. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*

Ansolabehere, Stephen, Alan Gerber & James M. Snyder, Jr. 2001. "Corruption and the Growth of Campaign Spending," in Gereald Lubenow ed., *A User's Guide to Campaign Finance Reform* (Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield)

Ansolabehere, Stephen, John de Figueiredo & James M. Snyder. 2003. Why Is There So Little Money in U.S. Politics? *Journal of Economic Perspectives*

Ansolabehere, Stephen. 2007. The Scope of Corruption: Lessons from Comparative Campaign Finance Disclosure. *Election Law Journal*

Anson, J., O. Cadot & M. Olarreaga. 2006. Tariff Evasion and Customs Corruption: does Pre-Shipment Inspection Help? *Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy*

Anthonsen, Mette, Asa Lofgren, Klas Nilsson & Joakim Westerlund. 2012. Effects of Rent Dependency on Quality of Government. *Economics of Governance*

Antoci, A. & P.L. Sacco. 1995. A Public Contracting Game with Corruption. *Journal of Economics*.

Antonikova, Nika A. 2015. Private Sector Corruption in International Trade: The Need for Heightened Reporting and a Private Right of Action in the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Brigham Young University International Law & Management Review*

Apaza, Carmen R. 2009. Measuring Governance and Corruption through the Worldwide Governance Indicators: Critiques, Responses, and Ongoing Scholarly Discussion. *PS: Political Science & Politics*

Apergis, Nicholas, Oguzhan C. Dincer & James E. Payne. 2010. The Relationship Between Corruption and Income Inequality in U.S. States: Evidence from a Panel Cointegration and Error Correction Model. *Public Choice*

Apergis, Nicholas, Oguzhan C. Dincer & James E. Payne. 2012. Live Free or Bribe: On the Causal Dynamics between Economic Freedom and Corruption in U.S. States.

European Journal of Political Economy.

Apollini, Andrea. 2013. Public Procurement and Corruption in Africa: A Literature Review. *Rivista di Politica Economica* 2:

Apollonio, Dorie, Bruce E. Cain & Lee Drutman. 2008. Access and Lobbying: Looking Beyond the Corruption Paradigm. *Hastings Constitutional Law Quarterly*

Appiah, Seth Christopher Yaw, Kingdom Ametepe & Jonathan Mensah Dapaah. 2014.

Systemic Barriers to the Fight against Corruption by Anti-Corruption Institutions in Ghana. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Economics & Management Sciences*

Arafa, Mohamed A. 2011. Battling Corruption within a Corporate Social Responsibility Strategy. *Indiana International & Comparative Law Review*

Arafa, Mohamed A. 2014. "Corporate Social Responsibility and the Fight Against Corruption: Towards the Concept of CSR in Egypt after the January Revolution" in *Corporate Social Responsibility in Comparative Perspective* (Council on International Law & Politics)

Arbogast, S.V. 2007. *Revisiting Corporate Corruption: Lessons in Practical Ethics from the Enron Wreckage*. New York: Scrivener.

Arendt, F. & F. Marquart. 2015. Corrupt Politicians? Media Priming Effects on Overtly expressed Stereotypes Toward Politicians. *Communications*

Arezki, R. & F. van der Ploeg. 2008. Can the Natural Resource Curse Be Turned Into a Blessing? The Role of Trade Policies and Institutions. *IMF Working Paper 07/55*.

Arezki, Rabah & Markus Brueckner. 2011. Oil Rents, Corruption, and State Stability: Evidence from Panel Data Regressions. *European Economic Review*.

Arezki, Rabah & Thorvaldur Gylfason. 2013. Resource Rents, Democracy, Corruption, and Conflict: Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of African Economies*

Argandona, A. 2003. Private-to-Private Corruption. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Argandona, A. 2005. Corruption and Companies: The Use of Facilitating Payments.

Journal of Business Ethics 60

Argandona, A. 2006. The United Nations Convention Against Corruption and its Impact on International Companies. *University of Navarra Business School Working Paper No. 656*.

Arikan, G. Guslun. 2004. Fiscal Decentralization: A Remedy for Corruption?

International Tax & Public Finance

Arin, K. Peren et al. 2011. Why Are Corrupt Countries Less Successful in Consolidating Their Budgets? *Journal of Public Economics*

Ariyabuddhiphongs, V. & C. Hongladarom. 2014. Bribe Taking Acceptability and Bribe Payment Among Thai Organizational Employees: The Mediating Effect of Reciprocity Obligation. *International Perspectives in Psychology: Research, Practice, Consultation*

Arlen, Jennifer. 1994. The Potentially Perverse Effects of Corporate Criminal Liability.

Journal of Legal Studies.

Armantier, O. & A. Boly. 2014. On the Effects of Incentive Framing on Bribery: Evidence from an experiment in Burkina Faso. *Economics of Governance*

Armantier, Olivier & Amadou Boly. 2008. Can Corruption Be Studied in the Lab?

Comparing a Field and a Lab experiment. CIRANO Working Papers 2008s-26.

Armantier, Olivier & Amadou Boly. 2011. A Controlled Field experiment on Corruption.

European Economic Review

Armantier, Olivier & Amadou Boly. 2013. Comparing Corruption in the Lab and in the Field in Burkina Faso and in Canada. *Economic Journal*

Armon, Jeremy. 2007. Aid, Politics and Development: A Donor Perspective.

Development Policy Review

Armstrong, Robert W. 1992. An Empirical Investigation of International Marketing Ethics: Problems Encountered by Australian Firms. *J. Bus. Ethics*

Arndt, Christiane & C. Oman. 2005. *Uses and Abuses of Governance Indicators* (OECD: Paris).

Arnold, Jason Ross. 2012. *Political Awareness, Corruption Perceptions and Democratic Accountability in Latin America*. Acta Politica

Arnold, Peri E. 2003. "Democracy and Corruption in the 19th Century United States: Parties 'Spoils' and Political Participation", in Seppo Tiihonen ed., *The History of Corruption in Central Government* (Oxford: IOS Press).

Arnone, Marco & Eleni Iliopoulos. 2007. *The Cost of Corruption: Economic, Institutional and Social Effects*. Vita e Pensiero, Milano.

Arona, D. 1993. *Conceptualising the Context and Contextualising the Concept: Corruption Reconsidered*. Indian Journal of Public Administration 39: 1-19.

Arozamena, Leandro & Frederico Weinschelbaum. 2009. *The Effect of Corruption on Bidding Behavior in First-Price Auctions*. European Economic Review

Arriola, Leonardo R. 2011. *Patronage Circulation and Party System Fragmentation in Africa*. Working paper.

Arvin, M. & B. Lew. 2014. *Does Income Matter in the Happiness-Corruption Relationship?* Journal of Economic Studies

Asare, Bossman E. 2012. *Unitarism and Presidentialism: Political Institutions and Corruption in Public Management in Ghana*. Journal of Public Administration & Policy Research.

Ashcroft, John & John Ratcliffe. 2012. *The Recent and Unusual Evolution of an Expanding FCPA*. 2012. Notre Dame Journal of Law, Ethics & Public Policy

Ashe, Daniel Patrick. 2005. *The Lengthening Anti-Bribery Lasso of the United States: The Recent Extraterritorial Application of the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act*.

Fordham Law Review

Asher, Sam & Paul Novosad. 2014. Dirty Politics: Natural Resource Wealth and Politics in India. Working paper.

Ashforth, Blake E. & Vikas Anand. 2003. The Normalization of Corruption in Organizations. Research in Organizational Behavior

Ashkanasay, N.M., C.A. Windsor & L.K. Trevino. 2006. Bad Apples in Bad Barrels Revisited: Cognitive Moral Development, Just World Beliefs, Rewards, and Ethical Decision Making. Business Ethics Quarterly

Asia Foundation. 2008. The Cost of Moving Goods: Road Transportation, Regulations and Charges in Indonesia.

Asian African Legal Consultative Organization. 2005. Combating Corruption: A Legal Analysis.

Asian Development Bank & Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development.

2006. Anti-Corruption Policies in Asia and the Pacific: Progress in Legal and Institutional Reform in 24 Countries (Manila: Asian Development Bank).

Asian Development Bank. 2007. Anticorruption and Integrity: Policies and Strategies.

Asiedu, E. 2006. Foreign Direct Investment in Africa: The Role of Natural Resources, Market Size, Government Policy, Institutions and Political Stability. World Economy

Asiedu, Elizabeth & James A. Freeman. 2009. The Effect of Corruption on Investment Growth: Evidence from Firms in Latin America, Sub-Saharan Africa, and Transition Economies. Review of Development Economics

Aslaksen, Silje. 2007. Corruption and Oil: Evidence from Panel Data. Working paper.

Aslund, A. 2014. Oligarchs, Corruption, and European Integration. Journal of Democracy

Asongu, Simplice A. & Jacinta Nwachukwu. 2015. A Good Turn Deserves Another: Political Stability, Corruption and Corruption-Control. Working paper.

Asongu, Simplice A. & Jellal Mohamed. 2013. On the Channels of Foreign Aid to Corruption. Economics Bulletin

Asongu, Simplice A. & Oasis Kodila-Tedika. 2013. Crime and Conflicts in Africa: Consequences of Corruption? European Economic Letters

Asongu, Simplice A. 2011. Law, Democracy and Quality of Government in Africa. Working paper.

Asongu, Simplice A. 2012. Fighting Corruption in Africa: Do Existing Corruption-Control Levels Matter? International Journal of Development Issues

Asongu, Simplice A. 2012. Fighting Corruption with Cultural Dynamics: When Legal-Origins, Religious-Influences and Existing Corruption-Control Levels Matter. Working paper.

Asongu, Simplice A. 2012. Government Quality Determinants of Stock Market Performance in African Countries. Journal of African Business.

Asongu, Simplice A. 2012. On the Effect of Foreign Aid on Corruption. Economics Bulletin

Asongu, Simplice A. 2013. Fighting Corruption when Existing Corruption-Control Levels Count: What Do Wealth-Effects Tell Us in Africa? Institutions & Economic

Asongu, Simplice A. 2014. Globalization, (Fighting) Corruption and Development: How Are These Phenomena Linearly and Nonlinearly Related in Wealth Effects? Journal of Economic Studies

Asongu, Simplice A. 2015. Institutional Benchmarking of Foreign Aid Effectiveness in Africa. International Journal of Social Economics

Asongu, Simplice. 2014. Fighting African Corruption when Existing Corruption-Control Levels Matter in a Dynamic Cultural Setting. International Journal of Social Economics

Asquer, R. 2012. Why Do Citizens of Democracies Tolerate Corruption? Preliminary Evidence from the World Values Survey. Working paper.

Asquer, Raffaele. 2014. Media Coverage of Corruption and Incumbent Renomination in Italian Parliamentary Elections. Working paper.

Assiotis, A. & K. Sylwester. 2014. Do the Effects of Corruption Upon Growth Differ between Democracies and Autocracies? Review of Development Economics

Assiotis, Andreas. 2012. Corruption and Income. Economics Bulletin

Asthana, Anand N. 2012. Decentralisation and Corruption Revisited: Evidence from a Natural experiment. Public Administration & Development

Aterido, Reyes, Mary Hallward-Driemeier & Carmen Pages. 2007. Investment Climate and Employment Growth: The Impact of Access to Finance, Corruption and Regulations Across Firms. Inter-American Development Bank, Research Department Publication 4559.

Athanas, William. 2010. When Doing Business Internationally Becomes a Crime: Assisting Clients in Understanding and Complying with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Alabama Lawyer

Athanasouli, Daphne & Antoine Goujard. 2015. Corruption and Management Practices: Firm Level Evidence. Journal of Comparative Economics (forthcoming).

Athanasouli, Daphne, Antoine Goujard & Pantelis Sklias. 2012. Corruption and Firm Performance: Evidence from Greek Firms. International Journal of Economic Sciences & Applied Research.

Atkinson, Michael M. 2011. Discrepancies in Perceptions of Corruption, or Why Is Canada So Corrupt? Political Science Quarterly

Attila, Gbewopo. 2009. Individual Attitudes toward Anti-Corruption Policies in Sub-Saharan Africa: Microeconomic Evidence. Economics Bulletin

Attila, Gbewopo. 2011. Corruption and Quality of Public Institutions: Evidence from Generalized Method of Moment. (Unpublished working paper).

Attila, Gbewopo. 2011. Corruption, Taxation and Economic Growth: Theory and Evidence. (Unpublished working paper).

Attila, Gbewopo. 2011. How Do African Populations Perceive Corruption: Microeconomic Evidence from Afrobarometer Data in Twelve Countries. CERDI-CNRA, UMR 5687.

Attila, Joseph. 2008. Is Corruption Contagious? An Econometric Analysis. (Norwegian Institute of International Affairs (NUPI) Working Paper No. 742).

Attila, Joseph. 2013. Globalization and Corruption: New Evidence. Oil, Gas & Energy Quarterly

Au, Upton. 2014. Toward a Reconceived Legislative Intent Behind the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: The Public-Safety Rationale for Prohibiting Bribery Abroad. Brooklyn Law Review

Auriol, E., T. Flochel & S. Straub. 2011. Public Procurement and Rent-Seeking: The Case of Paraguay. Working paper.

Auriol, Emmanuelle & Aymeric Blanc. 2009. Capture and Corruption in Public Utilities: The Cases of Water and Electricity in Sub-Saharan Africa. Utilities Policy

Auriol, Emmanuelle & Stephane Straub. 2011. "Privatization of Rent-Generating Industries and Corruption", in International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption, Vol. II. (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Auriol, Emmanuelle. 2006. Corruption in Procurement and Public Purchase.

International Journal of Industrial Organization

Auriol, Emmanuelle. 2014. Capture for the Rich, Extortion for the Poor. Working paper.

Ausland, Aaron & Alfonso Tolmos. 2005. Focus on Corruption: How to Secure the Aims of Decentralization in Peru by Improving Good Governance at the Regional Level.

Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University.

Avelino, George, Ciro Biderman & Marcos Felipe Mendes Lopes. 2012. Measuring Corruption: What Have We Learned? Working paper.

Avery, Michael K. 2008. Whose Rights? Why States Should Set the Parameters for Federal Honest Services Mail and Wire Fraud Prosecutions. Boston College Law Review

Ayodeji, Gafar Idowu. 2015. Assessing the Strategies of the Defunct Kenya's Anti-Corruption Commission (KACC): Lessons for the Ethics and Anti-Corruption Commission (EACC). Working paper.

Ayres, Ian. 1997. The Twin Faces of Judicial Corruption: Extortion and Bribery. Denver University Law Review

Ayres, Margaret, Jeffrey Clark, Alan Gourley & Alexandra Wrage. 2009. Anti-Corruption. International Lawyer

Ayyagari, M., A. Demircuc-Kunt & V. Maksimovic. 2014. Bribe Payments and Innovation in Developing Countries: Are Innovating Firms Disproportionately Affected?

Journal of Financial & Quantitative Analysis

Azam, M. & C. Emirullah. 2014. The Role of Governance in Economic Development.

International Journal of Social Economics

Azfar, Omar & Peter Murrell. 2009. Identifying Reticent Respondents: Assessing the Quality of Survey Data on Corruption and Values. Economic Development & Cultural Change

Azfar, Omar & Tugrul Gurgur. 2008. does Corruption Affect Health Outcomes in the Philippines? Economics of Governance

Azfar, Omar & William Robert Nelson Jr. 2007. Transparency, Wages, and the Separation of Powers: An experimental Analysis of Corruption. Public Choice

Azfar, Omar, Jeffrey A. Livingston & Patrick Meagher. 2007. “Decentralization in Uganda”, in P. Bardhan & D. Mukherjee eds. Decentralisation and Local Governance in Developing Countries: A Comparative Perspective (New Delhi: Oxford University Press).

Azfar, Omar, Young Lee & Anand Swamy. 2001. The Causes and Consequences of

Corruption, Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, Vol. 573, Culture and Development: International Perspectives

Azfar, Omar. 2005. “Corruption and the Delivery of Health and Education Services”, in Bertram Spector ed. Fighting Corruption in Developing Countries: Strategies and Analysis (Bloomfield, CT: Kumarian Press)

Babatunde, J.O. 2014. Corruption and Public Exclusion: A Serious Challenge to Effective Public Policy on Health. International Journal of Behavioural & Healthcare Research

Babeiya, Edwin. 2011. Electoral Corruption and the Politics of Elections Financing in Tanzania. Journal of Politics & Law

Bac, Mehmet & Parimal Kanti Bag. 2006. Beneficial Collusion in Corruption Control: The Case of Nonmonetary Penalties. Journal of Development Economic

Bac, Mehmet. 1996. Corruption and Supervision Costs in Hierarchies. Journal of Comparative Economics

Bac, Mehmet. 1996. Corruption, Supervision, and the Structure of Hierarchies, Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization

Bac, Mehmet. 1998. The Scope, Timing, and Type of Corruption. International Review of Law & Economics 18: 101-120.

Bac, Mehmet. 2001. Corruption, Connections and Transparency: does a Better Screen Imply a Better Scene? Public Choice

Bachmann, Reinhard, Nicole Gillespie & Richard Priem. 2015. Repairing Trust in an Organization After Integrity Violations: The Ambivalence of Organizational Rule Adjustments. Organization Studies

Bacio-Terracino, Julio. 2008. Corruption as a Violation of Human Rights. International Council on Human Rights Policy.

Bacio-Terracino, Julio. 2010. Linking Corruption and Human Rights. American Society of International Law Proceedings

Back, Hanna & Axel Hadenius. 2008. Democracy and State Capacity: exploring a J-Shaped Relationship. Governance

Badinger, Harald & Elisabeth Nindl. 2014. Globalisation and Corruption, Revisited. The World Economy

Badun, Marijana, Vedrana Pribicevic & Milan Deskar-Skrbic. 2014. Government Size and Efficiency as Constraints to Economic Growth: Comparing Croatia with Other European Countries. Post-Communist Economies

Baer, Miriam Hechler. 2009. Governing Corporate Compliance. Boston College Law Review

Bag, Parimal Kanti. 1997. Controlling Corruption in Hierarchies. Journal of Comparative Economics

Bagashka, Tanya. 2014. Unpacking Corruption: The Effect of Veto Players on State Capture and Bureaucratic Corruption. Political Research Quarterly

Bagenholm, Andreas & Nicholas Charron. 2014. Do Politics in Europe Benefit from Politicising Corruption? West European Politics

Bagenholm, Andreas. 2009. Politicizing Corruption: The Electoral Impact of Anti-Corruption Discourse in Europe, 1983-2007. QoG Working Paper Series 2009: 10 (The Quality of Government Institute, University of Gothenburg)

Bagenholm, Andreas. 2013. The Electoral Fate and Policy Impact of “Anti-Corruption Parties” in Central and Eastern Europe. Human Affairs

Bagenholm, Andreas. 2013. Throwing the Rascals Out? The Electoral Effects of Corruption Allegations and Corruption Scandals in Europe, 1981-2011. Crime, Law & Social Change

Bagenholm, Andreas. 2014. does Politicization of Corruption Affect Voter Turnout? Working paper.

Bagenholm, Andreas. 2014. Standing By Your (Wo)Man? How Voter Ideology Impacts Party Loyalty in the Face of Corruption Scandals. Working paper.

Bah, E. & L. Fang. 2015. Impact of the Business Environment on Output and Productivity in Africa. Journal of Development Economics

Bahmani-Oskooee, M. & G.G. Goswami. 2005. The Impact of Corruption on the Black Market Premium. Southern Economic Journal

Bahmani-Oskooee, Mohsen & Abm Nasir. 2002. Corruption, Law and Order, Bureaucracy, and Real Exchange Rates. Economic Development & Cultural Change

Bahn, Charles. 1975. The Psychology of Police Corruption: Socialization of the Corrupt. Police Journal

Bai, B., X. Liu & Y. Kou. 2014. Belief in a Just World Lowers Perceived Intention of Corruption: The Mediating Role of Perceived Punishment. Plos One

Bai, C.-E. & S.-J. Wei. 2001. The Quality of Bureaucracy and Capital Account Policies. World Bank Working Paper 2575.

Bai, Jie, Seema Jayachandran, Edmund J. Malesky & Benjamin Olken. 2013. does Economic Growth Reduce Corruption? Theory and Evidence from Vietnam. Working paper.

Bailard, C.S. 2009. Mobile Phone Diffusion and Corruption in Africa. Political Communication Bailes, R. 2006. Facilitation Payments: Culturally Acceptable or Unacceptably Corrupt? Business Ethics: A European Review

Bailey, John & Pablo Paras. 2006. Perceptions and Attitudes about Corruption and Democracy in Mexico. *Mexican Studies*

Bailey, John. 2006. Corruption and Democratic Governability in Latin America: Issues of Types, Arenas, Perceptions, and Linkages. Working Paper.

Bajolle, Julie. 2006. The Origins and Motivations of the Current Emphasis on Corruption: The Case of Transparency International. Working paper.

Baker, J. & S. Milne. 2015. Dirty Money States: Illicit Economies and the State in Southeast Asia. *Critical Asian Studies*

Baker, J. 2015. The Rhizome State: Democratizing Indonesia's Off-Budget Economy. *Critical Asian Studies*

Baker, Mark B. 1993. Private Codes of Corporate Conduct: Should the Fox Guard the Henhouse? *U. Miami Inter-Am. L.*

Baklouti, N. & Y. Boujelbene. 2015. exploring the Relationship between Democracy, Corruption and Economic Growth in MENA Countries. *Acta Universitatis Danubis Oeconomica*

Baksi, Soham, Pinaki Bose & Manish Pandey. 2009. The Impact of Liberalization on Bureaucratic Corruption. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*

Balabanova, D. & M. Mckee. 2002. Understanding Informal Payments in Health Care: The Example of Bulgaria. *Health Policy*

Balafoutas, Loukas. 2011. Public Beliefs and Corruption in a Repeated Psychological Game. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*

Balan, Manuel. 2011. Corruption by Denunciation: The Political Dynamics of Corruption Scandals in Argentina and Chile. *Comparative Politics*

Baland, Jean-Marie & Patrick Francois. 2000. Rent-Seeking and Resource Booms. *Journal of Development Economics*

Balaniuk, Remis, Pierre Bessiere, Emmanuel Mazer & Paulo Roberto Cobbe. 2013. Corruption Risk Analysis Using Semi-Supervised Naïve Bayes Classifiers. *International Journal of Reasoning-Based Intelligent Systems*

Bandaranayake, B. 2014. Fraud and Corruption Control at Education System Level: A Case Study of the Victorian Department of Education and Early Childhood Development in Australia. *Journal of Cases in Education Leadership*

Bandiera, Oriana, Andrea Prat & Tommaso Valletti. 2009. Active and Passive Waste in Government Spending: Evidence from a Policy experiment. *American Economic Review*

Banducci, S.A. & J.A. Karp. 1994. Electoral Consequences of Scandal and Reapportionment in the 1992 Elections. *American Politics Quarterly*

Bandura, A. 1999. Moral Disengagement in the Perpetration of Inhumanities. *Personality & Social Psychology Review*

Bandura, A. 2002. Selective Moral Disengagement in the Exercise of Moral Agency. *Journal of Moral Education*

Bandyopadhyay, Subhayu & Suryadipta Roy. 2007. Corruption and Trade Protection: Evidence from Panel Data (Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, Working Paper 2007-022A).

Banerjee Abhijit 1997. A Theory of Misgovernance. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*

Banerjee, Abhijit & Esther Duflo. 2006. Addressing Absence. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*

Banerjee, Abhijit V. & Rohini Pande. 2012. Parochial Politics: Ethnic Preferences and Politician Corruption (working paper).

Banerjee, Abhijit, Donald Green, Jennifer Green & Rohini Pande. 2012. Can Voters Be Primed To Choose Better Legislators? experimental Evidence from Rural India. Working paper.

Banerjee, Abhijit, Donald P. Green, Jeffrey McManus & Rohini Pande. 2014. Are Poor Voters Indifferent to Whether Elected Leaders are Criminal or Corrupt? A Vignette experiment in Rural India
Political Communication

Banerjee, Abhijit, Esther Duflo & Rachel Glennerster. 2008. Putting a Band-Aid on a Corpse: Incentives for Nurses in the Indian Public Health Care System. *Journal of the European Economic Association*

Banerjee, Abhijit, Rema Hanna & Sendhil Mullainathan. 2013. "Corruption" in Robert Gibbons & John Roberts eds., *Handbook of Organizational Economics* (Princeton: Princeton University Press), pp. 1110-Banerjee, Abhijit, Rema Hanna, Jordan Kyle, Benjamin A. Olken & Sudarno Sumarto.

2015. The Power of Transparency: Information, Identification Cards and Food Subsidy Programs in Indonesia. Working paper.

Banerjee, Abhijit, Rukmini Banerji, Esther Duflo, Rachel Glennerster & Stuti Khemani. 2010. Pitfalls of Participatory Programs: Evidence from a Randomized Evaluation in Education in India. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*

Banerjee, Abhijit, Selvan Kumar, Rohini Pande & Felix Su. 2011. Do Informed Voters Make Better Choices? experimental Evidence from Urban India. Working paper.

Banerjee, Ritwik, Tushi Baul & Tanya Rosenblat. 2015. On Self Selection of the Corrupt into the Public Sector. *Economics Letters*

Banerjee, Ritwik. 2015. On the Interpretation of Bribery in a Laboratory Corruption Game: Moral Frames and Social Norms. *experimental Economics* (forthcoming).

Banfield, Edward. 1975. Corruption as a feature of government organization. *Journal of Law and Economics*

Bantekas, Ilias. 2006. Corruption as an International Crime and Crime Against Humanity. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*

Banuri, Shaheryar & Catherine C. Eckel. 2012. Nepotism in Traditionalist Societies: US versus Pakistan. Working paper.

Banuri, Shaheryar, Rachel T.A. Croson, Reuben Kline & Catherine C. Eckel. 2008.

Towards an Improved Methodology in Analyzing Corruption: Insights from Citizen Responses to Corrupt Practices Across Countries. Working Paper.

Banuri, Sheheryar & Catherine C. Eckel. 2012. Cracking Down on Bribery. Working Paper.

Banuri, Sheheryar & Catherine C. Eckel. 2012. experiments in Culture and Corruption: A Review. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 6064.

Banuri, Sheheryar & Catherine C. Eckel. 2012. On the Effects of Culture on Punishment of Bribery: US v. Pakistan. Working Paper.

Banuri, Sheheryar & Philip E. Keefer. 2012. Pro-Social Behavior Where We Least expect It? The Selection and Socialization of Intrinsically-Motivated Government (Tax!) Officials. Working Paper.

Banuri, Sheheryar, Catherine C. Eckel & Rick K. Wilson. 2012. Deconstructing Nepotism. Working Paper.

Baraldi, A. Laura. 2011. Effects of Electoral Rules, Political Competition and Corruption on the Size and Composition of Government Consumption Spending: An Italian Regional Analysis. The B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy 8(1).

Barassi, M. & Y. Zhou. 2012. The Effect of Corruption on FDI: A Parametric and Non-Parametric Analysis. European Journal of Political Economy

Barber, S., F. Bonnet & H. Bekedam. 2004. Formalizing Under-the-Table Payments to Control Out-of-Pocket Hospital expenditures in Cambodia. Health Policy & Planning

Barbier, Edward B., Richard Damania & Daniel Leonard. 2005. Corruption, Trade, and Resource Conversion. Journal of Environmental Economics & Management

Bardhan, Pranab & Dilip Mookherjee. 2000. Capture and Governance at the Local and National Levels. *American Economic Review*.

Bardhan, Pranab & Dilip Mookherjee. 2005. Decentralizing Antipoverty Program Delivery in Developing Countries. *Journal of Public Economics*

Bardhan, Pranab & Dilip Mookherjee. 2006a. "Decentralization, Corruption, and Government Accountability: An Overview," in Susan Rose-Ackerman ed. *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption*. Edward Elgar Publishing

Bardhan, Pranab & Dilip Mookherjee. 2006b. Decentralisation and Accountability in Infrastructure Delivery in Developing Countries. *Economic Journal*

Bardhan, Pranab. 1997. Corruption and Development: A Review of the Issues. *Journal of Economic Literature*

Bardhan, Pranab. 2002. Decentralization of Governance and Development. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*

Bardhan, Pranab. 2006. The Economist's Approach to the Problem of Corruption, 34 *World Development*

Bardhan, Pranab. 2015. Corruption and Development Policy (Drawing Upon the Recent Indian Debate). *Journal of Public Economic Theory*

Barnett, K. 2015. Distributive Justice and Proprietary Remedies Over Bribes. *Legal Studies*

Barone, G. & S. Mocetti. 2014. Natural Disasters, Growth and Institutions: A Tale of Two Earthquakes. *Journal of Urban Economics*

Barone, Guglielmo & Gaia Narciso. 2015. Organized Crime and Business Subsidies: Where does the Money Go? *Journal of Urban Economics*.

Barr, Abigail & Danila Serra. 2009. The Effect of Externalities and Framing on Bribery in a Petty Corruption experiment. *experimental Economics*

Barr, Abigail & Danila Serra. 2010. Corruption and Culture: An experimental Analysis. *Journal of Public Economics*

Barr, Abigail, Frederica Mugisha, Pieter Serneels & Andrew Zeitlin. 2012. Information and Collective Action in Community-Based Monitoring of Schools: Field and Lab experimental Evidence from Uganda. Working paper.

Barr, Abigail, Magnus Lindelow & Pieter Serneels. 2009. Corruption in Public Service Delivery: An experimental Analysis. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*

Barreto, R.A. & J. Alm. 2003. Corruption, Optimal Taxation, and Growth. *Public Finance Review*

Barreto, Raul A. 2000. Endogenous Corruption in a Neoclassical Growth Model. *European Economic Review*.

Barron, R.A. 2014. Some Current Developments under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Securities Regulation Law Journal*

Barta, James A. & Julia Chapman. 2012. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *American Criminal Law Review*

Barth, J., C. Lin, P. Lin & F. Song. 2009. Corruption in Bank Lending to Firms: Cross-Country Micro Evidence on the Beneficial Role of Competition and Information Sharing. *Journal of Financial Economics*

Bartle, S., C. Chamberlain & B. Wohlberg. 2014. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *American Criminal Law Review*

Basabe-Serrano, S. 2015. Informal Institutions and Judicial Independence in Paraguay, 1954-2011. *Law & Policy*

Basheka, B.C. & B.C. Mubangizi. 2012. Citizen-Driven Approaches in Fighting Corruption: A Comparative Analysis of Uganda's and South Africa's Local Government Systems. *Journal of Public Administration*

Basheka, B.C. 2014. The Nature and Forms of Public Procurement Corruption in Uganda's Local Government Systems: Implications for Good Governance Debate. *International Journal of Procurement Management*

Basheka, Benon C., Pross N. Oluca & Godfrey Mugurusi. 2015. Citizen-Driven Approaches for Combating Public Procurement Corruption in Uganda's Local Government Systems: An Empirical Survey. *International Journal of Logistics Systems & Management*.

Basinger, Scott J. 2013. Scandals and Congressional Elections in the Post-Watergate Era. *Political Research Quarterly*

Bastida, Francisco & Bernadino Benito. 2007. Central Government Budget Practices and Transparency: An International Comparison. *Public Administration*

Basu, G. 2014. Concealment, Corruption, and Evasion: A Transaction Cost and Case Analysis of Illicit Supply Chain Activity. *Journal of Transportation Security*

Basu, Karna, Kaushik Basu & Tito Cordella. 2014. Asymmetric Punishment as an Instrument of Corruption Control. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 6933*.

Basu, Kaushik, Sudipto Bhattacharya & Ajit Mishra. 1992. Notes on Bribery and the Control of Corruption. *Journal of Public Economics*

Basu, Kaushik, Tamara McGavock & Boyang Zhang. 2013. When Competition Corrupts: A Theoretical Analysis of Market Structure and the Incidence of Corruption. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 6596*.

Basu, Kaushik. 2012. "Why, for a Certain Class of Bribes, the Act of Giving a Bribe Should Be Considered Legal", in S. Kochhar ed., *Policy-Making for Indian Planning* (Academic Foundation).

Batabyal, A. & S. Yoo. 2007. Corruption, Bribery, and Wait Times in the Public Allocation of Goods in Developing Countries. *Review of Development Economics*

Batabyal, S. & A. Chowdhury. 2015. Curbing Corruption, Financial Development and Income Inequality. *Progress in Development Studies*

Batalla, E.V.C. 2015. Treading the Straight and Righteous Path: Curbing Corruption in the Philippines. Asian Education & Development Studies

Batalla, Eric C. 2001. "De-Institutionalizing Corruption in the Philippines", in Antonio C.

Pedro, Jr., ed., Combating Corruption in East Asia (Manila: Yuchengo Center, De La Salle University)

Bates, R.H., A. Greif & S. Singh. 2002. Organizing Violence. Journal of Conflict Resolution

Batory, Agnes. 2010. Post-Accession Malaise? EU Conditionality, Domestic Politics and Anti-Corruption Policy in Hungary. Global Crime

Batory, Agnes. 2012. Political Cycles and Organisational Life Cycles: Delegation to Anti-Corruption Agencies in Central Europe. Governance

Batory, Agnes. 2012. Why Do Anti-Corruption Laws Fail in Central Eastern Europe? A Target Compliance Perspective. Regulation & Governance

Batra, G., D. Kaufmann & A.H.W. Stone. 2003. Investment Climate around the World: Voices of the Firms in the World Business Environment Survey. Washington, D.C.: World Bank/IBRD.

Batzilis, Dimitris. 2014. Bribing Abroad. Working paper.

Batzilis, Dimitris. 2015. "Bribing Abroad", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul Lagunes eds., Greed, Corruption, and the Modern State

Bauer, Monika, Nicholas Charron & Nagmeh Nasirtuosi. 2013. does Corruption Cause Aid Fatigue? International Studies Quarterly

Bauhr, Monika & Marcia Grimes. 2014. Indignation or Resignation: The Implications of Transparency for Societal Accountability. Governance

Bauhr, Monika & Naghmeh Nasiritousi. 2011. Why Pay Bribes? Collective Action and Anticorruption Efforts. QoG Working Paper

Bauhr, Monika & Naghmeh Nasiritousi. 2012. Resisting Transparency: Corruption, Legitimacy, and the Quality of Global Environmental Policies. Governmental Environmental Politics

Baumol, W, 1990. Entrepreneurship: Productive, Unproductive, and Destructive. Journal of Political Economy

Baxamusa, Mufaddal & Abu M. Jalal. 2014. The Effects of Corruption on Capital Structure: When does It Matter? Journal of Developing Areas

Baxter, Andrew T. 1982. Federal Discretion in the Prosecution of Local Political Corruption. Pepperdine Law Review

Bayar, G. 2011. Causes of Corruption: Dynamic Panel Analysis of Some Post-Soviet Countries and East Asian Countries. Journal of Applied Business Research

Bayar, G. 2014. Spurious Middlemen in Corrupt Transactions. Economics

Bayar, Güzin. 2005. The Role of Intermediaries in Corruption. Public Choice

Bayley, D. & R. Perito. 2011. Police Corruption: What Past Scandals Teach about Current Challenges (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Institute of Peace).

Bazerman, Max & Francesca Gino. 2012. Behavioral Ethics: Toward a Deeper Understanding of Moral Judgment and Dishonesty. Annual Review of Law & Social Science

Beale, Kenneth D. & Paolo Esposito. 2009. Emergent International Attitudes Towards Bribery, Corruption and Money Laundering. Arbitration

Beale, Sara Sun. 2000. Comparing the Scope of the Federal Government's Authority to Prosecute Federal Corruption and State and Local Corruption: Some Surprising Conclusions and a Proposal. Hastings Law Journal

Beaman, Lori, Esther Duflo, Rohini Pande & Petia Topalova. 2011. Political Reservation and Substantive Representation: Evidence from Indian Village Councils. India Policy Forum

Bean, Bruce Winfield. 2010. Hyperbole, Hypocrisy, and Hubris in the Aid-Corruption Dialogue. Georgetown Journal of International Law

Bearse, P., G. Glomm & E. Janeba. 2000. Why Poor Countries Rely Mostly On Redistribution In-Kind. Journal of Public Economics

Beasley, M. 2015. Dysfunctional Equivalence: Why the OECD Antibribery Convention Provides Insufficient Guidance in the Era of Multinational Corporations. George Washington International Law Review

Beaulieu, E. 2014. From Voter ID to Party ID: How Political Parties Affect Perceptions of Election Fraud in the U.S. Electoral Studies

Beber, Bernd & Alexandra Scacco. 2012. What the Numbers Say: A Digit-Based Test for Election Fraud Using New Data from Nigeria. Political Analysis

Beblavy, M. 2009. Conditions for Effective Large-Scale Anticorruption Efforts and the Role of External Actors: What does the Slovak experience Tell Us? Public Administration & Development

Beck, Adrian & Ruth Lee. 2002. Attitudes to Corruption Amongst Russian Police Officers and Trainees. Crime, Law & Social Change

Beck, Paul J. & Michael W. Maher. 1985. A Comparison of Bribery and Bidding in Thin Markets. Economic Letters

Beck, Paul J. & Michael W. Maher. 1989. Competition, Regulation and Bribery. Managerial & Decision Economics

Beck, Paul J., Michael W. Maher & Adrian E. Tschoegl. 1991. The Impact of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act on US exports. Managerial and Decision Economics.

Beck, T., A. Demircuc-Kunt & V. Maksimovic. 2005. Financial and Legal Constraints to Firm Growth: does Size Matter? *Journal of Finance*

Beck, Thorsten & Luc Laeven. 2006. Institution Building and Growth in Transition Economies. *Journal of Economic Growth*

Becker, Gary S. & George J. Stigler. 1974. Law enforcement, malfeasance and compensation of enforcers. *Journal of Legal Studies*

Becker, Gary. 1968. Crime and Punishment: An economic approach. *Journal of Political Economy*

Becker, Sascha O., Peter H. Egger & Tobias Seidel. 2009. Common Political Culture: Evidence on Regional Corruption Contagion. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Beekman, G., E. Bulte & E. Nillesen. 2014. Corruption, Investments and Contributions to Public Goods: experimental Evidence from Rural Liberia. *Journal of Public Economics*

Beesley, Celeste. 2015. Globalization and Corruption in Post-Soviet Countries: Perverse Effects of Economic Openness. *Eurasian Geography & Economics* (forthcoming).

Beets, S. Douglas. 2005. Understanding the Demand-Side Issues of International Corruption. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Belli, P. G. Gotsadze & H. Shahriari. 2004. Out-of-Pocket and Informal Payments in Health Sector: Evidence from Georgia. *Health Policy*

Bello, A.O. 2014. Gift-Giving, Anti-Bribery Laws and the Nigerian Constitution: Matters Arising. *Journal of African Law*

Bello, A.O. 2014. United Nations and African Union Conventions on Corruption and Anti-Corruption Legislation in Nigeria: A Comparative Analysis. *African Journal of International & Comparative Law*

Belloni, R. & F. Strazzari. 2014. Corruption in Post-Conflict Bosnia-Herzegovina and Kosovo: A Deal Among Friends. *Third World Quarterly*

Bellver, Ana & Daniel Kaufmann. 2005. Transparenting Transparency: Initial Empirics and Policy Applications. MRPA Paper No. 8188.

Beltran, A. 2015. does Corruption Increase or Decrease Employment in Firms? Applied Economics Letters 1-4.

Benabou, Roland & Jean Tirole. 2004. Willpower and Personal Rules. Journal of Political Economy

Benabou, Roland & Jean Tirole. 2011. Identity, Morals, and Taboos: Beliefs as Assets. Quarterly Journal of Economics

Bendahan, S., C. Zehnder, F.P. Pralong & J. Antonakis. 2015. Leader Corruption Depends on Power and Testosterone. Leadership Quarterly

Benedetti, Mariangela. 2014. "How Multilateral Development Banks Invest Corruption in Their Funded Projects", in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach

Benito, B., M. Guillamon & F. Bastida. 2015. Determinants of Urban Political Corruption in Local Governments. Crime, Law & Social Change

Benjamin, S., R. Bhuvaneshwari, P. Rajan & Manjunatha. 2007. Bhoomi "E-Governance," or, an Anti-Politics Machine Necessary to Globalize Bangalore. Working paper.

Benk, Serkan & Birol Karakurt. 2010. Revenue Administrations and Corruption: Motivations, Opportunities and Fighting Methods. Business & Economics Research Journal

Bennedsen, Morten, Sven E. Feldmann & David Dreyer Lassen. 2009. Strong Firms Lobby, Weak Firms Bribe: A Survey-Based Analysis of the Demand for Influence and Corruption. (unpublished manuscript)

Bennedsen, Morten, Sven E. Feldmann & David Dreyer Lassen. 2011. Lobbying and Bribes – A Survey-Based Analysis of the Demand for Influence and Corruption. CESifo Working Paper Series No. 3496.

Benson, Bruce L. & John Baden. 1985. The Political Economy of Government Corruption: The Logic of Underground Government. *Journal of Legal Studies*

Benson, Bruce L. 1988. Corruption in Law Enforcement: One Consequence of the Tragedy of the Commons Arising with Public Allocation Processes. *International Review of Law & Economics*

Bentzen, Jeanet Sinding. 2012. How Bad Is Corruption? Cross-Country Evidence of the Impact of Corruption on Economic Prosperity. *Review of Development Economics*

BenYishay, A. & P. Grosjean. 2014. Initial Endowments and Economic Reform in 27 Post-Socialist Countries. *Journal of Comparative Economics*

Berenbeim, Ronald E. 2000. Company Programs for Resisting Corrupt Practices: A Global Study.

Berg, S.V., L. Jiang & C. Lin. 2012. Regulation and Corporate Corruption: New Evidence from the Telecom Sector. *Journal of Comparative Economics*

Berger, Paul, Erin W. Sheehy, Kenya K. Davis & Bruce E. Yannett. 2007. Is That a Bribe? *International Financial Law Review*

Bergh, Andreas, Gunther Fink & Richard Ohrvall. 2012. Public Sector Size and Corruption: Evidence from 290 Swedish Municipalities. IFN Working Paper 938.

Berkman, U. 1992. Bureaucracy and Bribery: a Conceptual Framework. *International Journal of Public Administration*

Berkowitz, Daniel & Wei Li. 2000. Tax Rights in Transition Economies: A Tragedy of the Commons? *Journal of Public Economics*

Berman, E.M. 2015. HRM in Development: Lessons and Frontiers. *Public Administration & Development*

Bernardi, Richard, Michael Witek & Michael Melton. 2009. A Four Country Study of the Association between Bribery and Unethical Actions. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Bernheim, B.D. & N. Kartik. 2014. Candidates, Character, and Corruption. *American Economic Journal-Microeconomics*

Berry, Bryan R. 2001. Donkeys, Elephants, and Barney Fife: Are Deputy Sheriffs Policymakers Subject to Patronage Termination? *Missouri Law Review*

Bertot, John C., Paul T. Jaegerd & Justin M. Grimes. 2010. Using ICTs to Create a Culture of Transparency: E-Government and Social Media as Openness and Anti-Corruption Tools for Societies. *Government Information Quarterly*

Bertrand, M. & S. Mullainathan. 2001. Do People Mean What They Say? Implications for Subjective Survey Data. *American Economic Review*

Bertrand, Marianne, Simeon Djankov, Rema Hanna & Sendhil Mullainathan. 2007. Obtaining a Driver's License in India: An experimental Approach to Studying Corruption. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*

Besley, Timothy & Andrea Prat. 2006. Handcuffs for the Grabbing Hand? Media Capture and Government Accountability. *American Economic Review*

Besley, Timothy & Robin Burgess. 2001. Political Agency, Government Responsiveness and the Role of the Media. *European Economic Review*

Besley, Timothy & Robin Burgess. 2002. The Political Economy of Government Responsiveness: Theory and Evidence from India. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*

Besley, Timothy J. & John McLaren. 1993. Taxes and Bribery: The Role of Wage Incentives. *Economic Journal*

Besley, Timothy, Rohini Pande & Vijayendra Rao. 2012. Just Rewards? Local Politics and Public Resource Allocation in South India. *World Bank Economic Review*

Bethencourt, Carlos & Fernando Perera-Tallo. 2015. Declining Predation During Development: A Feedback Process. *Economica*

Beyerle, Shaazka. 2014. *Curtailing Corruption: People Power for Accountability and Justice*. (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner).

Bhagwati, Jagdish N. 1982. *Directly Unproductive, Profit-Seeking (DUP) Activities*.

Journal of Political Economy.

Bhargava, Vinay & Emil Bolongaita. 2004. *Challenging Corruption in Asia: Case Studies and a Framework for Action* (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

Bhatnagar, Subhash C. & Nupur Singh. 2010. *Assessing the Impact of E-Government: A Study of Projects in India*. *Information Technologies & International Development*

Bhatnagar, Subhash. 2013. "E-Government: A Potent Tool to Fight Corruption," in Samuel Paul ed., *Fighting Corruption: The Way Forward* (Bangalore, India: Public Affairs Center).

Bhattacharyya, S. & R. Hodler. 2015. *Media Freedom and Democracy in the Fight Against Corruption*. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Bhattacharyya, Sambit & Roland Hodler. 2010. *Natural Resources, Democracy and Corruption*. *European Economic Review*

Bhaumik, Sumon Kumar & R. Dimova. 2014. *Good and Bad Institutions: Is the Debate Over? Cross-Country Firm-Level Evidence from the Textile Industry*. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*

Bhavnani, Rikhil R. 2012. *Using Asset Disclosures to Study Politicians' Rents: An Application to India*. Working paper.

Bhojwani, Rashna. 2012. *Deterring Global Bribery: Where Public and Private Enforcement Collide*. *Columbia Law Review*

Bhuiyan, S. 2010. *E-Government in Kazakhstan: Challenges and Its Role to Development*. *Public Organization Review*

Bialos, Jeffrey P. & Gregory Husisian. 1997. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: Coping with Corruption in Transitional Economies.

Bicchieri, Christina & Erte Xiao. 2009. Do the Right Thing: But Only If Others Do So. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*

Bicchieri, Christina & John Duffy. 1997. Corruption Cycles. *Political Studies*

Bicchieri, Cristina & Carlo Rovelli. 1995. Evolution and Revolution: The Dynamics of Corruption. *Rationality & Society*

Biddulph, R. 2014. Can Elite Corruption Be a Legitimate Machiavellian Tool in an Unruly World? The Case of Post-Conflict Cambodia. *Third World Quarterly*

Bidhya, B. 2004. Thaksin's Model of Government Reform: Prime Ministerialisation Through "A Country is my Company" Approach. *Asian Journal of Political Science*

Bigge, David M. & Kiera S. Gans. 2013. The Potential for Arbitrators to Refer Suspicions of Corruption to Domestic Authorities. *Transnational Dispute Management*

Billger, Sherrilyn M. & Rajeev K. Goel. 2009. Do Existing Corruption Levels Matter in Controlling Corruption?: Cross-Country Quantile Regression Estimates. *Journal of Development Economics*

Bilotkach, V. 2006. A Tax Evasion-Bribery Game: experimental Evidence from Ukraine. *European Journal of Comparative Economics*

Birch, S. & N. Allen. 2015. Judging Politicians: The Role of Political Attentiveness in Shaping How People Evaluate the Ethical Behaviour of Their Leaders. *European Journal of Political Research*

Birch, S. 2007. Electoral Systems and the Manipulation of Elections. *Comparative Political Studies*

Bird, Richard M., Jorge Martinez-Vazquez & Benno Torgler. 2008. Tax Effort in Developing Countries and High Income Countries: The Impact of Corruption, Voice and Accountability. *Economic Analysis & Policy*

Birney, Mayling. 2014. Decentralization and Veiled Corruption under China's Rule of Mandates. World Development.

Bishara, Norman & David Hess. 2014. "Human Rights and a Corporation's Duty to Combat Corruption" in Robert Bird, Daniel Cahoy & Jamie Prekert, eds. Bridging the Gap Between Business and Human Rights (forthcoming).

Bishara, Norman D. & Cindy A. Schipani. 2009. Strengthening the Ties that Bind: Preventing Corruption in the Executive Suite. Journal of Business Ethics

Bishara, Norman D. & David W. Hess. 2014. "Will an FCPA Compliance Defense Improve Compliance with the FCPA?: An exploration of the Issues" in Philip Nichols, ed. Corruption, Business Law, and Business Ethics (University of Chicago Press) (forthcoming).

Bishara, Norman D. 2011. Governance and Corruption Constraints in the Middle East: Overcoming the Business Ethics Glass Ceiling. American Business Law Journal

Bishop, Doak. 2010. Toward a More Flexible Approach to the International Legal Consequences of Corruption. ICSID Review

Bixby, Michael B. 2010. The Lion Awakens: The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act—1977

to 2010. San Diego International Law Journal

Bjorkelo, Brita. 2013. Workplace Bullying after Whistleblowing: Future Research and Implications. Journal of Management Psychology

Bjorkman, Martina & Jakob Svensson. 2009. Power to the People: Evidence From a Randomized Field experiment on Community-Based Monitoring in Uganda, Quarterly Journal of Economics

Bjorkman, Martina & Jakob Svensson. 2010. When Is Community-Based Monitoring Effective? Evidence from a Randomized experiment in Primary Health in Uganda.

Journal of the European Economic Association

Bjorkman, Martina. 2006. does Money Matter for Student Performance? Evidence from a Grant Program in Uganda. IGIER Working Paper No. 326.

Bjorkman-Nyqvist, Martina, Damien de Walque & Jakob Svensson. 2013. Information Is Power: experimental Evidence of the Long Run Impact of Community Based Monitoring. Working paper.

Bjornskov, Christian & Andreas Freytag. 2010. An Offer You Can't Refuse: Murdering Journalists as an Enforcement Mechanism of Corrupt Deals. Working paper.

Bjornskov, Christian. 2003. Corruption and Social Capital. Working Paper 03-13, Aarhus School of Business.

Bjornskov, Christian. 2011. Combating Corruption: On the Interplay between Institutional Quality and Social Trust. Journal of Law & Economics 54(1): 135-159.

Bjornskov, Christian. 2012. Can Bribes Buy Protection Against International Competition? Review of World Economics

Bjorvatn, Kjetil & Tina Soreide. 2005. Corruption and Privatization. European Journal of Political Economy

Bjorvatn, Kjetil & Tina Soreide. 2014. Corruption and Competition for Resources.

International Tax & Public Finance

Bjorvatn, Kjetil, Gaure Torsvik & Bertil Tungodden. 2005. How Middle-Men Can Undermine Anti-Corruption Reforms. CMI Working Papers WP 2005: 1.

Bjorvatn, Kjetil, Mohammad Reza Farzanegan & Friedrich Schneider. 2012. Resource Curse and Power Balance: Evidence from Oil-Rich Countries. World Development

Black, B.S., R.H. Kraakman & A. Tarassova. 2000. Russian Privatization and Corporate Governance: What Went Wrong? Stanford Law Review

Black, Barbara. 2012. The SEC and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: Fighting Global Corruption Is Not Part of the SEC's Mission. *Ohio State Law Journal*

Blackburn, Keith & Gonzalo F. Forgues-Puccio. 2007. Distribution and Development in a Model of Misgovernance. *European Economic Review*

Blackburn, Keith & Gonzalo F. Forgues-Puccio. 2009. Why Is Corruption Less Harmful in Some Countries Than in Others? *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*

Blackburn, Keith & Gonzalo F. Forgues-Puccio. 2010. Financial Liberalization, Bureaucratic Corruption and Economic Development. *Journal of International Money and Finance*

Blackburn, Keith & Jonathan Powell. 2011. Corruption, Inflation and Growth. *Economics Letters*

Blackburn, Keith, Kyriakos C. Neanidis & M. Emranul Haque. 2008. Corruption, Seigniorage and Growth: Theory and Evidence. CESifo Working Paper 2354.

Blackburn, Keith, Niloy Bose & M. Emranul Haque. 2006. The Incidence and Persistence of Corruption in Economic Development. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*

Blackburn, Keith, Niloy Bose & M. Emranul Haque. 2010. Endogenous Corruption in Economic Development. *Journal of Economic Studies*

Blackburn, Keith, Niloy Bose & M. Emranul Haque. 2011. Public expenditures, Bureaucratic Corruption and Economic Development. *The Manchester School*

Blackburn, Keith. & R. Sarmah. 2009. Corruption, Development and Demography. *Economics of Governance*

Blake, Charles H. & Christopher G. Martin. 2006. The Dynamics of Political Corruption: Re-Examining the Influence of Democracy. *Democratization*

Blanchard, Olivier & Andrei Shleifer. 2001. Federalism with and without Political Centralization: China versus Russia. *IMF Staff Papers*

Blanco, Luisa R., Jeffrey B. Nugent & Kelsey J. O'Connor. 2015. Oil Curse and Institutional Changes: Which Institutions Are Most Vulnerable to the Curse and Under What Circumstances? *Contemporary Economic Policy*

Bland, G. 2014. Measuring Subnational Government Corruption in the Developing World. *Public Integrity*

Bliss, Christopher & Rafael Di Tella. 1997. does Competition Kill Corruption? *Journal of Political Economy*

Bloom, Ben. 2014. Criminalizing Kleptocracy? The ICC as a Viable Tool in the Fight Against Grand Corruption. *American University International Law Review*

Blount, J. & S. Markel. 2012. The End of the Internal Compliance World as We Know It, Or an Enhancement of the Effectiveness of Securities Law Enforcement? Bounty Hunting Under the Dodd-Frank Act's Whistleblower Provisions. *Fordham Journal of Corporate & Financial Law*

Blume, L. & S. Voigt. 2011. does Organizational Design of Supreme Audit Institutions Matter? A Cross-Country Assessment. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Blumkin, Tomer & Mark Gradstein. 2002. Transparency Gloves for Grabbing Hands? Politics and (Mis)Governance. CEPR Discussion Paper No. 3668.

Blundo, G. & J.-P. Olivier de Sardan. 2006. "Why Should We Study Everyday Corruption and How Should We Go About It?", in G. Blundo & J.-P. Olivier de Sardan eds., *Everyday Corruption and the State: Citizens and Public Officials in Africa*. Zed Books.

Blundo, G. 2007. "Hidden Acts, Open Talks: How Anthropology Can 'Observe' and Describe Corruption", in M. Nuijten & G. Anders eds., *Corruption and the Secret of Law: A Legal Anthropological Perspective*. Ashgate.

Blundo, G. et al. 2006. *Everyday corruption and the state*. Translated by Susan Cox. New York: Zed Books.

Blyschak, P. 2014. Corporate Liability for Foreign Corrupt Practices under Canadian Law. *McGill Law Journal*

Blyschak, P. 2014. Corporate Liability for Foreign Corrupt Practices under Canadian Law. McGill Law Journal

Bobkova, N. & H. Egbert. 2013. Corruption Investigated in the Lab: A Survey of the experimental Literature. International Journal of Latest Trends in Finance & Economic Sciences

Bobonis, Gustavo J. & Luis R. Camara Fuertes. 2015. Challenging Corrupt Politicians? Audits, Electoral Selection, and Accountability in Municipal Elections. Working paper.

Bobonis, Gustavo J., Luis R. Camara Fuertes & Rainer Schwabe. 2013. Monitoring Corruptible Politicians. Working paper.

Boehm, Frederic & Juanita Olaya. 2006. Corruption in Public Contracting Auctions: The Role of Transparency in Bidding Processes. Annals of Public and Cooperative Economics

Boehm, Frederic, Juanita Olaya & J. Polanco. 2005. "Privatization and Corruption," in E.U. von Weizsacker, O. Young & M. Finger eds., Limits to Privatization: How to Avoid Too Much of a Good Thing (London: Earthscan).

Boehm, Frederic. 2008. Risks and Challenges of Corruption in Developing Countries' Infrastructure. Network Industries Quarterly

Boehm, Frederic. 2009. Anti-Corruption in Regulation – A Safeguard for Infrastructure Reforms. Journal of Competition and Regulation in Network Industries

Boehm, Frederic. 2011. "Is There an Anti-Corruption Agenda in Regulation? Insights from Colombian and Zambian Water Regulation," in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Tina Soreide eds., International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption II (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Boerner, Kira & Christa Hainz. 2009. The Political Economy of Corruption and the Role of Economic Opportunities. Economics of Transition

Boersma, Martine. 2012. Corruption: A Violation of Human Rights and a Crime under International Law? (Intersentia, School of Human Rights Research, Vol. 56).

Bohara, Alok K., Neil J. Mitchell & Carl F. Mittendorff. 2004. Compound Democracy and the Control of Corruption: A Cross-Country Investigation. *Policy Studies Journal*

Boles, J. 2014. Examining the Lax Treatment of Commercial Bribery in the United States: A Prescription for Reform. *American Business Law Journal*

Bologna, Jamie & Amanda Ross. 2015. Corruption and Entrepreneurship: Evidence from Brazilian Municipalities. Working paper.

Bologna, Jamie. 2014. Is the Internet an Effective Mechanism for Reducing Corruption experience? Evidence from a Cross-Section of Countries. *Applied Economics Letters*

Bologna, Jamie. 2014. The Effect of Informal Employment and Corruption on Income Levels in Brazil. Working paper.

Bologna, Jamie. 2015. Contagious Corruption, Informal Employment, and Income: Evidence from Brazilian Municipalities. Working paper.

Bologna, Jamie. 2015. Corruption: The Good, the Bad, and the Uncertain. Working paper.

Bolongaita, Emil P. 2010. An Exception to the Rule? Why Indonesia's Anti-Corruption Commission Succeeds Where Others Don't – A Comparison with the Philippines Ombudsman. Anti-Corruption Research Centre U4, Bergen (Norway).

Bolongaita, Emil. 2005. Controlling Corruption in Post-Conflict Societies. Kroc Institute Occasional Paper #26.

Boly, Amadou. 2011. On the Incentive Effects of Monitoring: Evidence from the Lab and the Field. *experimental Economics*

Bonaglia, Federico, Jorge Braga de Macedo & Maurizio Bussolo. 2001. How Globalisation Improves Governance. *OECD Working Paper No. 181*.

Bond, Philip. 2008. Persistent Court Corruption, *Economic Journal*

Bonga, Wellington Garikai. 2014. An Empirical Investigation of the Nature of Corruption in Zimbabwe. Working paper.

Bonneau, Jacqueline L. 2011. Combating Foreign Bribery: Legislative Reform in the United Kingdom and Prospects for Increased Global Enforcement. *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law*

Bonnet, Celine, Pierre Dubois, David Matrimort & Stephene Straub. 2009. Empirical Evidence on Satisfaction with Privatization in Latin America: Welfare Effects and Beliefs. IDEI Working Papers 566, Institut d'Economie Industrielle (IDEI), Toulouse.

Bonobis, Gustavo J., Luis R. Camara-Fuertes & Rainer Schwabe. 2015. Monitoring Corruptible Politicians. Working paper.

Bonstead, C. 2014. Removing the FCPA Facilitation Payments Exception: Enforcement Tools for a Cleaner Business as Usual. *Houston Journal of International Law*

Booth, D. & F. Golooba-Mutebi. 2012. Developmental Patrimonialism? The Case of Rwanda. *African Affairs*

Borcan, O., M. Lindahl & A. Mitrut. 2014. The Impact of an unexpected Wage Cut on Corruption: Evidence from a “Xeroxed” Exam. *Journal of Public Economics*

Border, K. & J. Sobel. 1987. Samurai Accountant: A Theory of Auditing and Plunder. *Review of Economic Studies*

Borisova, E.A. 2014. An Analysis of the Mechanisms of the Social Control of Corruption in the Higher Education System. *Russian Education & Society*

Borzel, T.A. & V. Hullen. 2014. State-Building and the European Union’s Fight Against Corruption in the Southern Caucasus: Why Legitimacy Matters. *Governance*

Borzel, Tanja A. & Yasemini Pamuk. 2011. Pathologies of Europeanization: Fighting Corruption in the Southern Caucasus. Working paper.

Borzel, Tanja A., Andreas Stahn & Yasemin Pamuk. 2010. The European Union and the Fight Against Corruption in Its Near Abroad: Can It Make a Difference? *Global Crime*

Boschini, Anne D., Jan Pettersson & Jesper Roine. 2007. Resource Curse or Not: A Question of Appropriability. *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*

Boschini, Anne D., Jan Pettersson & Jesper Roine. 2013. The Resource Curse and Its Potential Reversal. *World Development*

Bose, G. 2004. Bureaucratic Delays and Bribe Taking. *Journal of Economic Behaviour and Organisation*

Bose, Gautam & Shubhashis Gangopadhyay. 2009. Intermediation in Corruption Markets. *Indian Growth & Development Review*

Bose, Gautam. 2010. Aspects of Bureaucratic Corruption. Working Paper.

Bose, Niloy, Salvatore Capasso & Antu Panini Murshid. 2008. Threshold Effects of Corruption: Theory and Evidence. *World Development*

Bose, Pinaki & Luciana Echazu. 2007. "Corruption with Heterogeneous Enforcement Agents in the Shadow Economy," 163 *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*

Bose, Pinaki. 1995. Industrial Licensing, Bribery and Allocation Efficiency. *Bull. Econ.Res.*

Boswell, Nancy Zucker. 1996. Combating Corruption: Are International Institutions. Doing Their Job? Proceedings of the Annual Meeting (American Society of International Law)

Boswell, Nancy Zucker. 1996. Combating Corruption: Focus on Latin America. *Southwestern Journal of Law & Trade in the Americas*

Boswell, Nancy Zucker. 1997. An Emerging Consensus on Controlling Corruption. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law*

Boswell, Nancy Zucker. 1999. The Law, expectations, and Reality in the Marketplace: The Problems of and Responses to Corruption. *Law & Pol’y Int’l Bus.*

Bota-Avram, C. 2014. Good Governance and Doing Business: Evidence from a Cross-Country Survey. *Transylvanian Law Review of Administrative Sciences*

Botero, Sandra, Rodrigo Castro Cornejo, Laura Gamboa, Nara Pavao & David W.

Nickerson. 2015. Says Who? An experiment on Allegations of Corruption and Credibility of Sources. *Political Research Quarterly*

Boucher, A.J., W.J. Burch, M. Midyette, S. Rose & J. Terry. 2007. Mapping and Fighting Corruption in War Torn States. *Stimson Center Report No. 61.*

Boutros, Andrew S. & T. Markus Funk. 2012. “Carbon Copy” Prosecutions: A Growing Anticorruption Phenomenon in a Shrinking World. *University of Chicago Legal Forum.*

Bowers, John et al. 2007. *Whistleblowing: Law and Practice*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Bowler, Shaun & Jeffrey A. Karp. 2004. Politicians, Scandals, and Trust in Government Political Behavior.

Bowles, Roger & Nuno Garoupa. 1997. Casual Police Corruption and the Economics of Crime. *International Review of Law and Economics*

Bowman, Cynthia Grant. 1991. “We Don’t Want Anybody Anybody Sent”: The Death of Patronage Hiring in Chicago. *Northwestern University Law Review*

Bowser, Donald. 2001. “Corruption, Trust, and the Danger to Democratisation in the Former Soviet Union,” in David Lovell, ed. *The Transition: Essays on Post-Communism* (London: Ashgate Publishers).

Boyd, Bruce M. 2005. Governmental Corruption in China: Application of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Santa Clara Journal of International Law*

Boylan, Richard T. & Cheryl X. Long. 2003. Measuring Public Corruption in the American States: A Survey of State House Reporters

Boylan, Scott F. 1996. Organized Crime and Corruption in Russia: Implications for U.S. and International Law. Fordham Int'l L.J.

Bracking, Sarah. 2007. "Political Development and Corruption: Why 'Right Here, Right Now!'", in Sarah Bracking ed., Corruption and Development: The Anti-Corruption Campaigns (Palgrave Macmillan)

Bracking, Sarah. 2015. "Corruption and Development: The Mutable Edges of Morality in Modern Markets", in Paul M. Heywood ed., The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption (New York: Routledge)

Brademas, John & Fritz Heimann. 1998. Tackling International Corruption: No Longer Taboo. Foreign Affairs. 77(Sept-Oct)

Braguinsky, S. & S. Mityakov. 2015. Foreign Corporations and the Culture of Transparency: Evidence from Russian Administrative Data. Journal of Financial Economics 117(1)

Braguinsky, Serguey, Sergey Mityakov & Andrey Liscovich. 2014. Direct Estimation of Hidden Earnings: Evidence from Russian Administrative Data. Journal of Law & Economics

Braguinsky, Serguey. 1996. Corruption and Schumpeterian Growth in Different Economic Environments. Contemporary Economic Policy 14(3)

Braithwaite, John & Peter Drahos. 2000. Global Business Regulation.

Braithwaite, John. 1982. Enforced Self-Regulation: A New Strategy for Corporate Crime Control. Michigan Law Review

Branstetter, Jeffrey. 2005. Darleen Druyun: An Evolving Case Study of Corruption, Power, and Procurement. Public Contract Law Journal

Bratsis, P. 2014. Political Corruption in the Age of Transnational Capitalism. Historical Materialism

Braun, Miguel & R. Di Tella. 2004. Inflation, Inflation Variability, and Corruption. *Economics & Politics*

Brautigam, D. & S. Knack. 2004. Foreign Aid, Institutions and Governance in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Economic Development & Cultural Change*

Bray, J. 2005. “The Use of Intermediaries and Other Alternatives to Bribery”, in J.G.

Lambsdorff, M. Taube & M. Schramm eds., *The New Institutional Economics of Corruption* (Routledge).

Bray, J. 2006. “Agents, Consultants and Joint-Venture Partners in International Business Transactions”, in B. Errath ed., *Business Against Corruption: Case Stories and Examples* (New York: United Nations Global Compact Office),

Breen, M. & R. Gillanders. 2015. Political Trust, Corruption, and the Ratings of the IMF and the World Bank. *International Interactions*

Brew, P. & J. Moberg. 2006. “The Power of Joining Forces – the Case for Collective Action in Fighting Corruption”, in B. Errath ed., *Business Against Corruption: Case Stories and Examples* (New York: United Nations Global Compact Office)

Brewer, Gene A., Tujin Choi & Richard M. Walker. 2007. Accountability, Corruption and Government Effectiveness in Asia: An exploration of World Bank Governance Indicators. *International Public Management Review*

Brewster, Rachel. 2014. The Domestic and International Enforcement of the O.E.C.D. Anti-Bribery Convention. *Chicago Journal of International Law*

Brianzoni, S., R. Coppier & E. Michetti. 2015. Multiple Equilibria in a Discrete Time Growth Model with Corruption in Public Procurement. *Quality & Quantity*

Bridges, Michael. 1993. Release the Gags: The Hatch Act and Current Legislative Reform—Another Voice for Reform. *Capital University Law Review*

Brief, Arthur P., R.T. Buttram & J.M. Dukerich. 2001. "Collective Corruption in the Corporate World: Toward a Process Model", in Marlene E. Turner ed., *Groups at Work: Theory and Research* (Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum)

Briffault, Richard M. 2001. *Nixon v. Shrink Missouri Government PAC: The Beginning of the End of the Buckley Era?* *Minnesota Law Review*

Brinkerhoff, D.W. & A.A. Goldsmith. 2005. *Institutional Dualism and International Development: A Revisionist Interpretation of Good Governance.* *Administration & Society*

Brinkerhoff, D.W. 2010. *Unpacking the Concept of Political Will to Confront Corruption.* Bergen: Chr. Michelsen Institute.

Brinkerhoff, Derick W. 2000. *Assessing Political Will for Anti-Corruption Efforts: An Analytic Framework.* *Public Administration & Development*

Broadhurst, R. & P. Wang. 2014. *After the Bo Xilai Trial: does Corruption Threaten China's Future? Survival*

Broadman, Harry G. & Francesca Recanatini. 2000. *Seeds of Corruption: Do Market Institutions Matter?* World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 2368.

Broadman, Harry G. & Francesca Recanatini. 2001. *Seeds of Corruption: Do Market Institutions Matter?* MOST: Economic Policy in Transitional Economies

Broadman, Harry G. & Francesca Recanatini. 2002. *Corruption and Policy: Back to the Roots.* *Journal of Policy Reform*

Brody, R.G., J.M. Coulter & S. Lin. 1999. *The Effect of National Culture on Whistle-Blowing Perceptions.* *Teaching Business Ethics*

Brollo, Fernanda & Ugo Troiano. 2015. *What Happens When a Woman Wins an Election? Evidence from Close Races in Brazil.* Working paper.

Brollo, Fernanda, Tommaso Nannicini, Roberto Perotti & Guido Tabellini. 2013. The Political Resource Curse. *American Economic Review*

Brollo, Fernanda. 2011. Why Do Voters Punish Corrupt Politicians? Evidence from the Brazilian Anti-Corruption Program. Working paper.

Brooks, Allen R. 2010. A Corporate Catch-22: How Deferred and Non-Prosecution Agreements Impede the Full Development of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Journal of Law, Economics & Policy* 7

Brooks, Arthur. 2002. does Civil Society Stop the Downward Spiral of Bad Government or Speed It Up? *Nonprofit & Voluntary Sector Quarterly*

Brophy, S. 2008. Mexico: Cartels, Corruption and Cocaine: A Profile of the Gulf Cartel. *Global Crime*

Brown, A.J. 2006. "What Are We Trying to Measure? Reviewing the Basics of Corruption Definition," in Charles Sampford, Arthur Shacklock, Carmel Connors & Fredrik Galtung eds., *Measuring Corruption* (Aldershot: Ashgate)

Brown, D.J., J.S. Earle & S. Gehlbach. 2009. Helping Hand or Grabbing Hand? State Bureaucracy and Privatization Effectiveness. *American Political Science Review*

Brown, David S., Michael Touchton & Andrew Whitford. 2011. Political Polarization as a Constraint on Corruption: A Cross-National Comparison. *World Development*

Brown, Ed & Jonathan Cloke. 2004. Neoliberal Reforms, Governance and Corruption in the South: Assessing the International Anti-Corruption Crusade. *Antipode*

Brown, Ed, Jonathan Cloke & Jose Luis Rocha. 2007. "Governance, Neoliberalism and Corruption in Nicaragua", in Sarah Bracking ed., *Corruption and Development: The Anti-Corruption Campaigns* (Palgrave Macmillan)

Brown, George D. 1997. Should Federalism Shield Corruption?—Mail Fraud, State Law and Post-Lopez Analysis. *Cornell Law Review*

Brown, George D. 1998. Stealth Statute—Corruption, the Spending Power, and the Rise of 18 U.S.C. §666. *Notre Dame Law Review*

Brown, George D. 1998. The Gratuities Offense and the RICO Approach to Independent Counsel Jurisdiction. *Georgetown Law Journal* 86

Brown, George D. 1999. The Ethics Backlash and the Independent Counsel Statute. *Rutgers Law Review*

Brown, George D. 2000. Putting Watergate Behind Us—Salinas, Sun-Diamond, and Two Views of the Anticorruption Model. *74 Tul. L. Rev.* 747

Brown, George D. 2003. New Federalism's Unanswered Question: Who Should Prosecute State and Local Officials for Political Corruption? *60 Wash. & Lee L. Rev.* 417

Brown, George D. 2004. Carte Blanche: Federal Prosecution of State and Local Officials After Sabri. *Catholic University Law Review*

Brown, George. 2006. The Gratuities Debate and Campaign Reform: How Strong Is the Link? *Wayne Law Review*

Brown, H. Lowell. 1998. Parent-Subsidiary Liability Under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Baylor Law Review*

Brown, H. Lowell. 1999. The Extraterritorial Reach of The U.S. Government's Campaign Against International Bribery. *Hastings Int'l & Comp. L. Rev.*

Brown, H. Lowell. 2001. Extraterritorial Jurisdiction Under the 1998 Amendments to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: does the Government's Reach Now Exceed Its Grasp? *North Carolina Journal of International Law & Commercial Regulation*

Brown, J. & M. Loosemore. 2015. Behavioural Factors Influencing Corruption in the Australian Construction Industry. *Engineering, Construction & Architectural Management*

Brown, M., L.K. Trevino & D. Harrison. 2005. Ethical Leadership: A Social Learning Perspective for Construct Development and Testing. *Organizational Behavior & Human Decision Processes*

Brown, Rajeswary Ampalavanar. 2006. Indonesian Corporations, Cronyism, and Corruption. *Modern Asian Studies*

Bruckner, Till. 2011. Accountability in International Aid – The Case of Georgia. Unpublished PhD thesis.

Brueckner, Jan K. 2000. Fiscal Decentralization in Developing Countries: The Effects of Local Corruption and Tax Evasion. *Annals of Economics & Finance*

Bruhn, M. 2008. License to Sell: The Effect of Business Registration Reform on Entrepreneurial Activity in Mexico. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper Series 4538.

Brunetti, A., G. Kisunko & B. Weder. 1997. Institutional Obstacles to Doing Business: Region-by-Region Results from a Worldwide Survey of the Private Sector. World Bank PRD Working Paper 1759.

Brunetti, Aymo & Beatrice Weder. 2003. A Free Press Is Bad News for Corruption. *Journal of Public Economics*

Brunetti, Aymo, Gregory Kisunko & Beatrice Weder. 1998. Credibility of Rules and Economic Growth: Evidence from a Worldwide Survey of the Private Sector. *World Bank Economic Review*

Brunnscheiler, C.N. & E.H. Bulte. 2008. Linking Natural Resources to Slow Growth and More Conflict. *Science*

Brunnschweiler, Christa N. & Erwin H. Bulte. 2008. The Resource Curse Revisited and Revised: A Tale of Paradoxes and Red Herrings. *Journal of Environmental Economics & Management*

Brunnschweiler, Christa N. 2008. Cursing the Blessings? Natural Resource Abundance, Institutions, and Economic Growth. *World Development*

Brusco, V., M. Nazareno & Susan C. Stokes. 2004. Vote Buying in Argentina. *Latin American Research Review*

Buccirossi, Paolo & Giancarlo Spagnolo. 2006. Leniency Policies and Illegal Transactions. *Journal of Public Economics*

Buchner, Susanne, Andreas Freytag, Luis G. Gonzalez & Werner Guth. 2008. Bribery and Public Procurement: An experimental Study. *Public Choice*

Budak, J. & E. Rajh. 2014. Corruption as an Obstacle for Doing Business in the Western Balkans: A Business Sector Perspective. *International Small Business Journal*

Budak, J. & M. Vizek. 2015. The Corruption Mark-Up: Is Corruption Cost Incorporated in the Prices of Goods and Services in Emerging and Developed Countries? *Post-Communist Economies*

Budak, J. & R.K. Goel. 2004. Transition, Governance and Corruption. *Economia*

Budak, J. 2007. Corruption in Croatia: Perceptions Rise, Problems Remain. *Croatian Economic Survey*

Budhram, T. 2015. Intelligence-Led Policing: A Proactive Approach to Combating Corruption. *SA Crime Quarterly*

Buehler, Michael. 2008. Shari'a By-Laws in Indonesian Districts: An Indication for Changing Patterns of Power Accumulation and Political Corruption. *Southeast Asia Research*

Buehler, Michael. 2011. Indonesia's Law on Public Services: Changing State-Society Relations or Continuing Politics as Usual? *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*

Buehn, A. & F. Schneider. 2012. Corruption and the Shadow Economy: Like Oil and Vinegar, Like Water and Fire? *International Tax & Public Finance*

Buehn, A. & F. Schneider. 2012. Shadow Economies Around the World: Novel Insights, Accepted Knowledge, and New Estimates. *International Tax & Public Finance*

Bujko, Matthias, Christian Fischer, Tim Krieger & Daniel Meierrieks. 2014. How Institutions Shape Land Deals: The Role of Corruption. Working paper.

Bukovansky, Mlada. 2002. Corruption Is Bad: Normative Dimensions of the Anti-Corruption Movement. Working Paper 2002/5, Department of International Relations, Australian National University.

Bukovansky, Mlada. 2006. The Hollowness of Anti-Corruption Discourse, Review of International Political Economy

Bulkan, J. 2014. Forest Grabbing through Forest Concession Practices: The Case of Guyana. Journal of Sustainable Forestry

Bull, Martin J. & James L. Newell. 1997. New Avenues in the Study of Political Corruption. Crime, Law & Social Change

Bulte, Erwin H., Richard Damania & Robert T. Deacon. 2005. Resource Intensity, Institutions and Development. World Development

Buquet, Daniel & Rafael Pineiro. 2014. Corruption and Governance Improvement in Uruguay. Working paper.

Burger, Ethan S. & Mary Holland. 2008. Law as Politics: The Russian Procuracy and its Investigative Committee. Columbia Journal of Eastern European

Burger, Ethan S. & Mary S. Holland. 2006. Why the Private Sector Is Likely to Lead the Next Stage in the Global Fight Against Corruption. Fordham International Law Journal

Burgess, R., M. Hansen, B.A. Olken, P. Potapov & S. Sieber. 2012. The Political Economy of Deforestation in the Tropics. Quarterly Journal of Economics

Burguet, Roberto & Yeon-Koo Che. 2004. Competitive Procurement with Corruption. RAND Journal of Economics

Burke, Thomas F. 1997. The Concept of Corruption in Campaign Finance Law. Constitutional Commentary

Burton, R. 2005. "Improving Integrity in Public Procurement: The Role of Transparency and Accountability", in *Fighting Corruption and Promoting Integrity in Public Procurement* (OECD Publishing)

Buscaglia, Edgardo et al. 2005. *Undermining the Foundations of Organized Crime and Public Sector Corruption* (Hoover Institution on War, Revolution & Peace, Essays in Public Policy Series No. 114).

Buscaglia, Edgardo. 1997. *Corruption and Judicial Reform in Latin America*. *Policy Studies Journal*

Buscaglia, Edgardo. 2001. *An Analysis of Judicial Corruption and Its Causes: An Objective Governing-Based Approach*. *International Review of Law & Economics*

Buscaglia, Edgardo. 2011. "On Best and Not So Good Practices for Addressing High-Level Corruption Worldwide: An Empirical Assessment", in *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption*, Vol. II. (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Bushey, A.J. 2015. *Second Generation Rule of Law and Anti-Corruption Programming Abroad: Comparing Existing U.S. Government and International Best Practices*. *Houston Journal of International Law*

Busse, H. & C. Hefeker. 2007. *Political Risk, Institutions and Foreign Direct Investment*. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Busse, M. & S. Groning. 2013. *The Resource Curse Revisited: Governance and Natural Resources*. *Public Choice*

Bussell, Jennifer. 2010. *Why Get Technical? Corruption and the Politics of Public Service Reform in the Indian States*. *Comparative Political Studies*

Bussell, Jennifer. 2011. *explaining Cross-National Variation in Government Technology Adoption*. *International Studies Quarterly*

Bussell, Jennifer. 2012. *Corruption and Reform in India: Public Services in the Digital Age* (Cambridge University Press).

Bussell, Jennifer. 2012. E-Governance and Corruption in the States: Can Technology Serve the Aam Aadmi? *Economic & Political Weekly*.

Bussell, Jennifer. 2013. explaining Corruption: Electoral Competition and Varieties of Rent-Seeking in India. Working paper.

Bussell, Jennifer. 2014. Varieties of Corruption: The Organization of Rent-Sharing in India. Working paper.

Bussell, Jennifer. 2015. "Typologies of Corruption: A Pragmatic Approach", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul Lagunes eds., *Greed, Corruption, and the Modern State*

Bussen, T.J. 2015. Midnight in the Garden of Ne Bis in Idem: The New Urgency for an International Enforcement Mechanism. *Cardozo Journal of International & Comparative Law*

Butt, S. & S.A. Schutte. 2014. Assessing Judicial Performance in Indonesia: The Court for Corruption Crimes. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Butt, Simon. 2009. "Unlawfulness" and Corruption Under Indonesian Law. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*

Butt, Simon. 2009. Indonesia's Anti-Corruption Drive and the Constitutional Court. *Comparative Law Journal*.

Butt, Simon. 2011. Anti-Corruption Reform in Indonesia: An Obituary? *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*.

Butte, Erwin & Richard Damania. 2008. Resources for Sale: Corruption, Democracy and the Natural Resource Curse. *The B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy* 8(1).

Button, M., C. Lewis, D. Shepherd & G. Brooks. 2015. Fraud in Overseas Aid and the Challenge of Measurement. *Journal of Financial Crime*

Byington, J. & J. McGee. 2015. M&A Due Diligence: How To Uncover Corruption. *Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance*

Byrne, E.F. 2014. Toward Enforceable Bans on Illicit Business: From Moral Relativism to Human Rights. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Byrne, Elaine, Anne-Katrin Arnold & Fumiko Nagano. 2010. *Building Public Support for Anti-Corruption Efforts*. (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

Byun, C.C. & E.J. Hollander. 2015. explaining the Intensity of the Arab Spring. *DOMES: Digest of Middle East Studies*

Cabelkova, Inna & Jan Hanousek. 2004. The Power of Negative Thinking: Corruption, Perception and Willingness to Bribe in Ukraine. *Applied Economics*

Cabelkova, Inna. 2001. Perceptions of Corruption in Ukraine: Are They Correct? CERGE-EI Working Paper No. 176.

Cabelkova, Inna. 2009. Entry Restrictions, Corruption and Extortion in the Context of Transition. CERGE-EI Working Paper No. 172.

Cadot, Oliver. 1987. Corruption as a Gamble. *Journal of Public Economic*

Caeyers, Bet & Stefan Dercon. 2012. Political Connections and Social Network in Targeted Transfer Programmes: Evidence from Rural Ethiopia. *Economic Development & Cultural Change*

Cai, H., H. Fang & L.C. Xu. 2011. Eat, Drink, Firms, Government: An Investigation of Corruption from the Entertainment and Travel Costs of Chinese Firms. *Journal of Law & Economics*

Cai, Hongbin & Daniel Treisman. 2004. State Corroding Federalism. *Journal of Public Economics*

Cai, Hongbin & Daniel Treisman. 2005. does Competition for Capital Discipline Governments? Decentralization, Globalization, and Public Policy. *American Economic Review*

Caiden, Gerald & Naomi Caiden. 1977. Administrative Corruption. *Public Administration Review*

Caiden, Gerald E. 1988. Toward a General Theory of Official Corruption. *Asian Journal of Public Administration*

Caiden, Gerald E. 2004. A Cautionary Tale: Ten Major Flaws in Combating Corruption. *Southwestern Journal of Law & Trade in the Americas*

Caillieer, J. 2010. Citizen Trust, Political Corruption, and Voting Behavior: Connecting the Dots. *Politics & Policy*

Cain, Bruce E. 2014. Is “Dependence Corruption” the Solution to America’s Campaign Finance Problems? *California Law Review*.

Cain, Daylian M., George Loewenstein & Don A. Moore. 2005. The Dirt on Coming Clean: Perverse Effects of Disclosing Conflicts of Interest. *Journal of Legal Studies*

Callahan, W. 2005. Social Capital and Corruption: Vote Buying and the Politics of Reform in Thailand. *Perspectives on Politics*.

Callahan, W.A. 2005. The Discourse of Vote Buying and Political Reform in Thailand. *Pacific Affairs*

Callen, M. & J.D. Long. 2015. Institutional Corruption and Election Fraud: Evidence from a Field experiment in Afghanistan. *American Economic Review*

Callen, M., S. Gulzar, A. Hasanain & Y. Khan. 2013. The Political Economy of Public Employee Absence. Working paper.

Callister, Debra J. 1999. Corrupt and Illegal Activities in the Forest Sector: Current Understandings and Implications for the World Bank. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.

Calomiris, Charles W., Raymond Fisman & Yongxiang Wang. 2010. Profiting from Government Stakes in a Command Economy: Evidence from Chinese Asset Sales. *Journal of Financial Economics*

Camacho, Adriana & Emily Conover. 2011. Manipulation of Social Program Eligibility. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*

Camaj, Lindita. 2013. The Media's Role in Fighting Corruption: Media Effects on Governmental Accountability. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*

Camara-Fuertes, Luis R. & Gustavo Bonobis. 2015. Challenging Corrupt Politicians? Audits, Challengers Entry, and Electoral Accountability in Municipal Elections. Working paper.

Camerer, Marianne. 2006. Measuring Public Integrity. *Journal of Democracy*

Cameron, Lisa et al. 2009. Propensities to Engage in and Punish Corrupt Behavior: experimental Evidence from Australia, India, Indonesia and Singapore. *Journal of Public Economics*

Cammarosano, Louis. 1989. Application of the First Amendment to Political Patronage Employment Decisions. *Fordham Law Review*

Camp, E., A. Dixit & S. Stokes. 2014. Catalyst or Cause? Legislation and the Demise of Machine Politics in Britain and the United States. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*

Campante, Filipe R. & Quoc-Anh Do. 2014. Isolated Capital Cities, Accountability, and Corruption: Evidence from US States. *American Economic Review*

Campante, Filipe R., Davin Chor & Quoc-Anh Do. 2009. Instability and the Incentives for Corruption. *Economics & Politics*

Campbell, Jamie-Lee & Anja Goritz. 2014. Culture Corrupts! A Qualitative Study of Organizational Culture in Corrupt Organizations. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Campbell, Stuart Vincent. 2013. Perception Is Not Reality: The FCPA, Brazil, and the Mismeasurement of Corruption. *Minnesota Journal of International Law*

Campos, J. Edgardo, Donald Lien & Sanjay Pradhan. 1999. The Impact of Corruption on Investment: Predictability Matters. *World Development*

Campos, J.E. & V. Bhargava. 2007. "Introduction: Tackling a Social Pandemic", in J.E.

Campos & S. Pradhan eds., *The Many Faces of Corruption: Tracking Vulnerabilities at the Sector Level* (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

Campos, J.E., S. Pradhan & F. Recanatini. 2007. "Conclusion: Where to Next", in J.E.

Campos & S. Pradhan eds., *The Many Faces of Corruption: Tracking Vulnerabilities at the Sector Level* (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

Campos, Jose Edgardo & Joel S. Hellman. 2005. "Governance Gone Local: does Decentralization Improve Accountability?", in Roland White & Paul Smoke eds., *East Asia Decentralizes: Making Local Government Work in Asia* (Washington, D.C.: World Bank)

Campos, Nauro F. & Francesco Giovannoni. 2007. *Lobbying, Corruption and Political Influence*. Public Choice

Campos, Nauro F., Ralitzia Dimova & Ahmad Saleh. 2010. *Whither Corruption? A Quantitative Survey of the Literature on Corruption and Growth*. IZA Discussion Paper No. 5334.

Cantu, F. & O. Garcia-Ponce. 2015. *Partisan Losers' Effects: Perceptions of Electoral Integrity in Mexico*. Electoral Studies

Cantu, F. 2014. *Identifying Irregularities in Mexican Local Elections*. American Journal of Political Science.

Cantu, Francisco & Sebastian M. Singh. 2011. *Fraudulent Democracy? An Analysis of Argentina's Infamous Decade Using Supervised Machine Learning*. Political Analysis

Carbonara, Emanuela. 2000. *Corruption and Decentralisation*. Working paper.

Carden, Art & Lisa L. Verdon. 2010. *When Is Corruption a Substitute for Economic Freedom?* Law & Development Review

Carey, John M. & Matthew Soberg Shugart. 1995. *Incentives to Cultivate a Personal Vote: A Rank Ordering of Electoral Formulas*. Electoral Studies

Carlberg, Christopher K. 2003. A Truly Level Playing Field for International Business: Improving the OECD Convention on Combating Bribery Using Clear Standards. *Boston College International & Comparative Law Review*

Carlin, R.E., G.J. Love & C. Martinez Gallardo. 2015. Cushioning the Fall: Scandals, Economic Conditions, and Executive Approval. *Political Behavior*

Carothers, Thomas. 2007. A Quarter-Century of Promoting Democracy. *Journal of Democracy*

Carpenter, S. 2015. Avoid an Investigation: Automate FCPA Compliance. *Criminal Justice*

Carr, Indira & David Lewis. 2010. Combating Corruption through Employment Law and Whistleblower Protection. *Industrial Law Journal*

Carr, Indira & Miriam Goldby. 2011. Recovering the Proceeds of Corruption: UNCAC and Anti-Money Laundering Standards. *Journal of Business Law*

Carr, Indira & Opi Outhwaite. 2008. The OECD Anti-Bribery Convention Ten Years On. *Manchester Journal of International Economic Law*

Carr, Indira & Opi Outhwaite. 2009. Corruption and Business Integrity: Law, Policy and Company Practices. *Manchester Journal of International Economic Law*

Carr, Indira & Opi Outhwaite. 2011. The Role of Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) in Combating Corruption: Theory and Practice. *Suffolk University Law Review*

Carr, Indira & Robert Jago. 2014. Petty Corruption, Development and Information Technology as an Antidote. *Round Table*

Carr, Indira. 2006. The United Nations Convention on Corruption: Improving the Quality of Life of Millions in the World? *Manchester Journal of International Economic Law*

Carr, Indira. 2007. Corruption in Africa: Is the African Union Convention on Combating Corruption the Answer? *Journal of Business Law* 2007

Carr, Indira. 2009. Corruption, the Southern African Development Community Anti-Corruption Protocol and the Principal-Agent-Client Model. *International Journal of Law in Context*

Carrillo, Juan D. 2000. Corruption in Hierarchies. *Annales d'Economie et de Statistique*

Carrillo, Juan D. 2000. Grafts, Bribes and the Practice of Corruption. *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy*.

Carrington, Paul D. 2010. Enforcing International Corrupt Practices Law. *Michigan Journal of International Law*

Carrington, Paul D. 2010. The International Movement to Deter Corruption: Should China Join? *US-China Law Review*

Carrington, Paul D. 2012. Qui Tam: Is False Claims Law a Model for International Law? *University of Chicago Legal Forum*.

Carrington, Paul D. 2013. "Private Enforcement of International Law", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D. Carrington eds., *Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role?* (Durham: Carolina Academic Press),.

Carrington, Paul. 2007. Law and Transnational Corruption: The Need for Lincoln's Law Abroad. *Law & Contemporary Problems*

Carson, L.D. 2014. Deterring Corruption: Beyond Rational Choice Theory. Working paper.

Carson, Lindsey D. & Mariana Mota Prado. 2014. Mapping Corruption and its Institutional Determinants in Brazil. IRIBA Working Paper #8.

Carter, C.R. 2000. Precursors of Unethical Behavior in Global Supplier Management. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*

Cartier-Bresson, Jean. 1997. Corruption Networks, Transaction Security and Illegal Social Exchange. *Political Studies*

Carvajal, Raul. 1999. Large-Scale Corruption: Definition, Causes, and Cures. Systemic Practice & Action Research

Caseley, Jonathan. 2004. Public Sector Reform and Corruption: CARD Façade in Andhra Pradesh. Economic & Political Weekly .

Caselli, Francesco & Guy Michaels. 2013. Do Oil Windfalls Improve Living Standards? Evidence from Brazil. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics

Caselli, Francesco & Massimo Morelli. 2004. Bad Politicians. Journal of Public Economics

Caselli, Francesco & Tom Cunningham. 2009. Leader Behavior and the Natural Resource Curse. Oxford Economic Papers

Caspary, G. 2012. Practical Steps To Help Countries Overcome the Resource Curse: The Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative. Global Governance

Cassin, S. 2014. The Best Offense is a Good Defense: How the Adoption of an FCPA Compliance Defense Could Decrease Foreign Bribery. Houston Journal of International Law

Castillo, Marco, Ragan Petrie, Maximo Torero & Angelino Viceisza. 2014. Lost in the Mail: A Field experiment on Crime. Economic Inquiry

Castro, M., C. Guccio & I. Rizzo. 2014. An Assessment of the Waste Effects of Corruption on Infrastructure Provision. International Tax & Public Finance

Castro, Massimo Finocchiaro, Calogero Guccio & Ilde Rizzo. 2014. An Assessment of the Waste Effects of Corruption on Infrastructure Provision. International Tax & Public Finance

Castro, Massimo Frinocchiaro. 2011. To Bribe or Not to Bribe: An experimental Analysis of Corruption. DSSGES Working Paper.

Catterburg, Gabriela & Alejandro Moreno. 2005. The Individual Bases of Political Trust: Trends in New and Established Democracies. International Journal of Public Opinion Research

Caulkins, Jonathan et al. 2014. A Dynamic Analysis of Schelling's Binary Corruption Model: A Competitive Equilibrium Approach. *Journal of Optimization Theory & Applications*

Cava, Anita. 2011. Quid Pro Quo is "So Yesterday": Restoring Honest Services Fraud After Skilling and Black. *U.C. Davis Business Law Journal*

Cavanagh, C. 2012. Unready for REDD+? Lessons from Corruption in Ugandan Conservation Areas. Bergen: CMI.

Cavill, S. & M. Sohail. 2007. Accountability Arrangements to Combat Corruption: Literature Review. Water, Engineering and Development Centre, Londonborough University.

Cavoli, T. & J.K. Wilson. 2015. Corruption, Central Bank (In)dependence and Optimal Monetary Policy in a Simple Model. *Journal of Policy Modeling*

Celarier, Michelle. 1997. Privatization: A Case Study in Corruption. *Journal of International Affairs*

Celentani, Marco & Juan-Jose Ganuza. 1999. Corruption and the Hadleyburg Effect. Working Paper.

Celentani, Marco & Juan-Jose Ganuza. 2002 Corruption and Competition and Procurement. *European Economic Review*

Celentani, Marco & Juan-Jose Ganuza. 2002. Organized vs. Competitive Corruption.

Annals of Operations Research

Celentani, Marco, Juan-Jose Ganunza & Thierry Verdier. 2005. Corruption and Competition in Procurement Auctions. *Rand Journal of Economics*

Celentani, Marcos, Juan Jose Ganuza & Jose Luis Peydro. 2004. Combating Corruption in International Business Transactions. *Economica*

Cerqueti, R. & R. Coppier. 2009. Tax Revenues, Fiscal Corruption and "Shame" Costs. *Economic Modelling*

Cerqueti, R. & R. Coppier. 2015. Corruptibility and Tax Evasion. *European Journal of Law & Economics*

Cerqueti, R., R. Cooper & G. Piga. 2012. Corruption, Growth and Ethnic Fractionalization: A Theoretical Model. *Journal of Economics*

Chadda, M. 2004. "India: Between Majesty and Modernity", in R.A. Johnson ed., *The Struggle Against Corruption* (New York: Palgrave Macmillan)

Chadhuri, S. & K. Dastidar. 2014. Corruption, Efficiency Wage and Union Leadership. *Pacific Economic Review*

Chaffee, Eric C. 2012. The Role of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and Other Transnational Anti-Corruption Laws in Preventing or Lessening Future Financial Crises. *Ohio State Law Journal*

Chafuen, Alejandro A. & Eugenio Guzman. 2000. "Economic Freedom and Corruption", in Gerald P. O'Driscoll, Jr., Kim R. Holmes & Melanie Kirkpatrick eds., *2000 Index of Economic Freedom* (Heritage Foundation)

Chaikin, David & Jason C. Sharman. 2009. *Corruption and Money Laundering: A Symbiotic Relationship*.

Chaikin, David. 2005. Policy and Legal Obstacles in Recovering Dictators' Plunder. *Bond Law Review*

Chaikin, David. 2008. Commercial Corruption and Money Laundering: A Preliminary Analysis. *Journal of Financial Crime*

Chaikin, David. 2012. "Corrupt Practices Involving Offshore Financial Centers", in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., *Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption* ,

Chalaby, Jean K. 2004. Scandal and the Rise of Investigative Reporting in France. *American Behavioral Scientist*

Chalmers, I. & B. Setiyono. 2012. The Struggle Against Corruption During the Democratic Transition: Theorising the Emerging Role of CSOs. *Development & Society*

Chan, Hon S. & Jie Gao. 2009. "Preventing Corruption Through Performance Measurement: The Case of China", in Gong, T. & S.K. Ma eds., *Preventing Corruption in Asia: Institutional Design and Policy Capacity* (London: Routledge),

Chand, Sheetal K. & Karl O. Moene. 1997. Controlling Fiscal Corruption. *World Development*

Chanda, Parthapratim. 2004. The Effectiveness of the World Bank's Anti-Corruption Efforts: Current Legal and Structural Obstacles and Uncertainties. *Denver Journal of International Law & Policy*.

Chander, Parkash & Louis Wilde. 1992. Corruption in Tax Administration. *Journal of Public Economic*

Chandler, J. & J. Graham. 2010. Relationship-Oriented Cultures, Corruption, and International Marketing Success. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Chang, Eric & Miriam A. Golden. 2010. Sources of Corruption in Authoritarian Regimes. *Social Science Quarterly*

Chang, Eric C.C. 2005. Electoral Incentives for Political Corruption under Open-List

Proportional Representation. *Journal of Politics*

Chang, Eric C.C. & Miriam A. Golden. 2007. Electoral Systems, District Magnitude and Corruption. *British Journal of Political Science*

Chang, Eric C.C. & Yun-han Chu. 2006. Corruption and Trust: Exceptionalism in Asian Democracies? *Journal of Politics*

Chang, Eric C.C., Miriam A. Golden & Seth J. Hill. 2010. Legislative Malfeasance and Political Accountability. *World Politics*

Chang, Juin-Jen, C.C. Lai & C. C. Yang. 2000. Casual Police Corruption and the Economics of Crime: Further Results. *International Review of Law & Economics*

52. Chang, Juin-Jen, Huei-Chung Lu & Hsueh-Fang Tsai. 2015. Corruption, Growth, and Increasing Returns to Production Specialization. *International Journal of Economic Theory*

Chang, S. 2015. The Effects of Trade Liberalization on Environmental Degradation. *Quality & Quantity*

Chanley, V.J., L. Sullivan, M.H. Gonzales & M.B. Kovera. 1994. Lust and Avarice in Politics: Damage Control by Four Politicians Accused of Wrongdoing (or, Politics as Usual). *American Politics Quarterly*

Charap, Joshua & Christian Harm. 1999. Institutionalized Corruption and the Kleptocratic State. IMF Working Paper No. 99/91.

Charap, Joshua & Christian Harm. 2000. "Institutionalized Corruption and the Kleptocratic State," in Claude Menard, ed. *Institutions, Contracts, and Organizations: Perspectives from New Institutional Economics* (Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar).

Charkoff, A., K.A. Thomas, O.S. Hague & L. Young. 2015. An Indecent Proposal: The Dual Functions of Indirect Speech. *Cognitive Science*

Charkraborty, Shankha & Era Dabla-Norris. 2009. The Quality of Public Investment. IMF Working Paper No. 09/154.

Charles, Guy-Uriel E. 2014. Corruption Temptation. *California Law Review*

Charlton, Roger. 1990. exploring the Byways of African Political Corruption: Botswana and Deviant Case Analysis. *Corruption & Reform*

Charoensukmongol, Peerayuth & Murad Moqbel. 2014. does Investment in ICT Curb or Create More Corruption? A Cross-Country Analysis. *Public Organization Review*

Charron, Nicholas & Victor Lapuente. 2010. does Democracy Produce Quality of Government? *European Journal of Political Research*

Charron, Nicholas & Victor Lapuente. 2011. Which Dictators Produce Quality of Government? Studies in Comparative Political Development

Charron, Nicholas et al. 2010. Measuring the Quality of Government and Subnational Variation. Report for the European Commission Directorate-General Regional Policy Directorate.

Charron, Nicholas, L. Dijkstra & V. Lapuente. 2014. Regional Governance Matters: Quality of Government with European Union Member States. Regional Studies

Charron, Nicholas, L. Dijkstra & V. Lapuente. 2015. Mapping the Regional Divide in Europe: A Measure for Assessing Quality of Government in 206 European Regions. Social Indicators Research

Charron, Nicholas, Victor Lapuente & Bo Rothstein eds. 2013. Quality of Government and Corruption From a European Perspective: A Comparative Study of Good Government in EU Regions (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Charron, Nicholas. 2009. Government Quality and Vertical Power-Sharing in Fractionalized States. Publius: The Journal of Federalism

Charron, Nicholas. 2009. The Impact of Socio-Political Integration and Press Freedom on Corruption. Journal of Development Studies

Charron, Nicholas. 2010. The Correlates of Corruption in India: Analysis and Evidence from the States. Asian Journal of Political Science

Charron, Nicholas. 2011. Exploring the Impact of Foreign Aid on Corruption – Has the ‘Anti-Corruption’ Movement Been Effective? The Developing Economies

Charron, Nicholas. 2011. Party System, Electoral Systems and Constraints on Corruption. Electoral Studies

Charron, Nicholas. 2013. How Much Are Corruption Perceptions Explained by Actual Experience with Corruption? Working paper.

Charron, Nicholas. 2015. Do Corruption Measures Have a Perception Problem?

Assessing the Relationship Between experiences and Perceptions of Corruption Among Citizens and experts. *European Political Science Review* (forthcoming).

Charumilind, C., R. Kali & Y. Wiwattanakantang. 2006. Connected Lending: Thailand before the Financial Crisis. *Journal of Business*

Chassang, Sylvain & Gerard Padro I Miquel. 2014. Corruption, Intimidation, and Whistle-Blowing: A Theory of Inference from Unverifiable Reports. Working paper.

Chatterjee, Ishita & Ranjan Ray. 2012. does the Evidence on Corruption Depend on How It Is Measured? Results from a Cross-Country Study on Microdata Sets. *Applied Economics*.

Chatterjee, Shinjini. 2015. Dangerous Liaisons: Criminalization of “Relationship Hires” Under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*

Chaudhury, Nazmul, Jeffrey Hammer, Michael Kremer, Karthik Muralindharan & F. Halsey Rogers. 2006. Missing in Action: Teacher and Health Worker Absence in Developing Countries. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*

Chayes, I., L. Fergusson & J.A. Robinson. 2015. He Who Counts Elects: Economic Elites, Political Elites, and Electoral Fraud. *Economics & Politics*

Chayes, Sarah. 2015. *Thieves of State: Why Corruption Threatens Global Security* (New York: W.W. Norton & Co.).

Che, Yeon-Koo. 1995. Revolving Doors and Optimal Tolerance for Agency Collusion. *RAND Journal of Economics*.

Chen, C.W., J. Cullen & K. Parboteeah. 2015. Are Manager-Controlled Firms More Likely to Bribe than Shareholder-Controlled Firms: A Cross-Cultural Analysis. *Management & Organization Review*

Chen, Christine S. & Dominik Zaum. 2008. Introduction – Key Themes in Peacebuilding and Corruption. *International Peacekeeping*

Chen, K. 2000. Towards an Integrated Model of Corruption: Opportunities and Control in China. *International Journal of Public Administration*

Chen, Kang, Arye L. Hillman & Qingyang Gu. 2002. "From the Helping Hand to the Grabbing Hand: Fiscal Federalism and Corruption in China," in J. Wong & D. Lu eds., *China's Economy in the New Century: Structural Issues and Problems* (New Jersey: World Scientific).

Chen, Kang. 2004. Fiscal Centralization and the Form of Corruption in China. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Chen, M., B.N. Jeon, R. Wang & J. Wu. 2015. Corruption and Bank Risk-Taking: Evidence from Emerging Economies. *Emerging Markets Review*

Cheng, Jinhua. 2009. Police Corruption Control in Hong Kong and New York City: A Dilemma of Checks and Balances in Combating Corruption. *BYU Journal of Public Law*

Chereches, R.M., M.I. Ungureanu, P. Sandu & I.A. Rus. 2013. Defining Informal Payments in Healthcare: A Systematic Review. *Health Policy*

Chetwynd, E., F. Chetwynd & B. Spector. 2003. *Corruption and Poverty: A Review of Recent Literature*. (London: Management Systems International).

Cheung, Steven N.S. 1996. A Simplistic General Equilibrium Theory of Corruption. *Contemporary Economic Policy*

Cheung, Yan Leung, P. Raghavendra Rau & Aris Stouraitis. 2012. How Much Do Firms Pay as Bribes and What Benefits Do They Get? Evidence from Corruption Cases Worldwide. NBER Working Paper No. 17981.

Chimezule, Obinuchi. 2015. Strengthening Institutions in the Fight Against Corruption and Financial Crimes in Nigeria. Working paper.

Chiru, Mihail & Sergiu Gherghina. 2012. When Voter Loyalty Fails: Party Performance and Corruption in Bulgaria and Romania. *European Political Science Review*

Chiti, Edoardo. 2014. "Mismanagement by European Agencies: Concerns, Institutional Responses, and Lessons", in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., *Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach*

Cho, Wendy K. Tam & Brian J. Gaines. 2007. *Breaking the (Benford) Law: Statistical Fraud Detection in Campaign Finance*. IEEE Intelligent Systems

Cho, Wonbin & Matthew F. Kirwin. 2008. *A Vicious Circle of Corruption and Mistrust in Institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Micro-Level Analysis*. (Working Paper No. 71, Afrobarometer, Cape Town.)

Cho, Y.H. & B.-D. Choi. 2004. *E-Government to Combat Corruption: The Case of Seoul Metropolitan Government*. International Journal of Public Administration

Choe, Chongwoo, Ratbek Dzhumashev, Asadul Islam & Zakir H. Khan. 2013. *The Effect of Informal Networks on Corruption in Education: Evidence from the Household Survey Data in Bangladesh*. Journal of Development Studies

Choi, E.J. & J.S. Woo. 2012. *Political Corruption, Economy, and Citizens' Evaluation of Democracy in South Korea*. Contemporary Politics

Choi, Eunjung & Jongseok Woo. 2010. *Political Corruption, Economic Performance, and Electoral Outcomes: A Cross-National Analysis*. Contemporary Politics

Choi, J.W. 2009. *Institutional Structures and Effectiveness of Anticorruption Agencies: A Comparative Analysis of South Korea and Hong Kong*. Asian Journal of Political Science

Choi, Jay Pil & Marcel Thum. 2003. *The Dynamics of Corruption with the Ratchet Effect*. Journal of Public Economics

Choi, Jay Pil & Marcel Thum. 2004. *The Economics of Repeated Extortion*. Rand Journal of Economics

Choi, Jay Pil & Marcel Thum. 2005. *Corruption and the Shadow Economy*. International Economic Review

Choi, Jin-Wook. 2007. Governance, Structure, and Administrative Corruption in Japan: An Organizational Network Approach. *Public Administration Review*

Choi, Stephen J. & Keven E. Davis. 2014. Foreign Affairs and Enforcement of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*

Chomski, Cara. 2012. Campbell's Soup: Due Process Concerns in Applying the Federal Anti-Bribery Statute to Noncitizen Government Contractors Overseas. *University of Chicago Legal Forum* 2012

Chong, Alberto & Cesar Calderon. 2000. Institutional Quality and Income Distribution. *Economic Development & Cultural Change*

Chong, Alberto & Cesar Calderon. 2000. Institutional Quality and Poverty Measures in a Cross-Section of Countries. *Economics of Governance*

Chong, Alberto & Mark Gradstein. 2007. Inequality and Institutions. *Review of Economics & Statistics*

Chong, Alberto, Ana L. De La O, Dean Karlan & Leonard Wantchekon. 2015. does Corruption Information Inspire the Fight or Quash the Hope? A Field experiment in Mexico on Voter Turnout, Choice, and Party Identification. *Journal of Politics*

Chou, W. 2014. Restoring Integrity in American Business: A Broad Interpretation of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Wayne Law Review*

Chow, D.C.K. 2014. Three Major Risks under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act for U.S. Multinational Companies Doing Business in China. *Fordham International Law Journal*

Chow, Daniel C.K. 2014. Why China's Crackdown on Commercial Bribery Threatens Multinational Companies in China. *Arizona Journal of International and Comparative Law* (forthcoming).

Chow, Daniel. 2012. China Under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Wisconsin Law Review* 2012

Chow, Daniel. 2012. The Interplay Between China's Anti-Bribery Laws and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Ohio State Law Journal*

Chowdhury, Shyamal K. 2004. The Effect of Democracy and Press Freedom on Corruption: An Empirical Test. *Economics Letters*

Christensen, J. 2012. The Hidden Trillions: Secrecy, Corruption, and the Offshore Interface. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Christensen, R. & T.J. Schultz. 2014. Identifying Election Fraud Using Orphan and Low Propensity Voters. *American Politics Research*

Christensen, Zachary, Dustin Homer & Daniel Nielson. 2011. Dodging Adverse Selection: How Donor Type and Governance Condition Aid's Effects on School Enrollment. *World Development*

Christensen, Zachary, Richard Nielsen, Daniel Nielsen & Michael Tierney. 2011. Transparency Squared: The Effects of Aid Transparency on Recipients' Corruption Levels. Working paper.

Chu, C.Y. Cyrus. 1990. Income Tax Evasion with Venal Tax Officials – The Case of Developing Countries. *Public Finance*

Chua, Yvonne T. 1999. Robbed: An Investigation of Corruption in Philippine Education (Quezon City: Philippine Center for Investigative Journalism).

Cingano, Federico & Paolo Pinotti. 2013. Politicians at Work: The Private Returns and Social Costs of Political Connections. *Journal of the European Economic Association*

Ciocchini, F., E. Durbin & D. Ng. 2003. does Corruption Increase Emerging Market Bond Spreads? *Journal of Economics & Business*

Cisar, Ondrej. 2003. Strategies for Using Information Technology for Curbing Public Sector Corruption: The Case of the Czech Republic (CR). Open Society Institute, Budapest.

Claessens, S. et al. 2008. Political Connections and Preferential Access to Finance: The Role of Campaign Contributions. *Journal of Financial Economics*

Clare Cohen, J. 2002. Improving Transparency in Pharmaceutical Systems: Strengthening Critical Points against Corruption (Latin American and Caribbean Bank).

Clark, D. 1987. A Community Relations Approach to Corruption: The Case of Hong Kong. Corruption and Reform

Clark, Kathleen. 1996. Do We Have Enough Ethics in Government Yet?: An Answer from Fiduciary Theory. University of Illinois Law Review 1996

Clarke, G., K. Friesenbichler & M. Wong. 2015. Do Indirect Questions Reduce Lying About Corruption? Evidence from a Quasi-Field experiment. Comparative Economic Studies

Clarke, G.R. 2011. How Petty Is Petty Corruption? Evidence from Firm Surveys in Africa. World Development

Clarke, George R.G. & Lixin Colin Xu. 2004. Privatization, Competition, and Corruption: How Characteristics of Bribe Takers and Payers Affect Bribes to Utilities, Journal of Public Economics

Clarke, George R.G. 2012. What Do Managers Mean When They Say ‘Firms Like Theirs’ Pay Bribes? International Journal of Economics & Finance

Claros, A. 2015. Removing Impediments to Sustainable Economic Development: The Case of Corruption. Journal of International Commerce, Economics & Policy 6(1)

Clausen, Bianca, Aart Kraay & Peter Murrell. 2011. “does Respondent Reticence Affect the Results of Corruption Surveys? Evidence from the World Bank Enterprise Survey for Nigeria”, in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Tina Soreide eds., International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption, Vol. 2 .

Clausen, Bianca, Aart Kraay & Zsolt Nyiri. 2011. Corruption and Confidence in Public Institutions: Evidence from a Global Survey. World Bank Economic Review

Clausen, Michael. 2012. Corruption and Democracy in Brazil. *Brasiliana: Journal for Brazilian Studies*.

Cleveland, Daniel C. 2007. Once Again, It Is Time to “Speak More Clearly” About

§1346 and the Intangible Rights Doctrine in Mail and Wire Fraud. Northern Kentucky Law Review

Co, Edna Estifania A. Co. 2007. "Challenges to the Philippine Culture of Corruption", in Sarah Bracking ed., Corruption and Development: The Anti-Corruption Campaigns (Palgrave Macmillan)

Coates, John. 2015. Corporate Speech and the First Amendment: History, Data, and Implications. Working paper.

Cockcroft, Laurence. 2012. Global Corruption: Money, Power, and Ethics in the Modern World. (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press).

Coco, G. & R. Lagravinese. 2014. Cronyism and Education Performance. Economic Modelling

Coffee, John C., Jr. 1998. Modern Mail Fraud: The Restoration of the Public/Private Distinction. American Criminal Law Review.

Cohen, J.R., L.W. Pant & D.J. Sharp. 1996. A Methodological Note on Cross-Cultural Accounting Ethics Research. International Journal of Accounting

Cohen, Joel M. & Adam P. Wolf. 2010. Narrow, Don't Abolish, FCPA Facilitating Payments Exception. New York Law Journal

Cohen, Joel M., Michael P. Holland & Adam P. Wolf. 2008. Under the FCPA, Who Is a Foreign Official Anyway? Business Lawyer

Colares, Juscelino F. 2006. The Evolving Domestic and International Law Against Foreign Corruption: Some New and Old Dilemmas Facing the International Lawyers.

Washington University Global Studies Law Review

Colazingari, Silvia & Susan Rose-Ackerman. 1998. Corruption in a Paternalistic Democracy: Lessons from Latin American Countries. Political Science Quarterly

Colbran, Nicola. 2009. *Courage Under Fire: The First Five Years of the Indonesian Judicial Commission*. *Australian Journal of Asian Law*

Coldham, Simon. 1995. *Legal Responses to State Corruption in Commonwealth Africa*. *Journal of African Law*

Cole, Matthew A. 2007. *Corruption, Income and the Environment: An Empirical Analysis*. *Ecological Economics*

Cole, Shawn A. & Anh Tran. 2011. "Evidence from the Firm: A New Approach to Understanding Corruption," in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Tina Soreide eds. *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption (Volume II)*

Cole, W.M. 2015. *Institutionalizing a Global Anti-Corruption Regime: Perverse Effects on Country Outcomes, 1984-2012*. *International Journal of Comparative Sociology*

Collier, Michael W. 2002. *explaining Corruption: An Institutional Choice Approach*. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Collier, Michael W. 2005. *Political Corruption in the Caribbean Basin: Constructing a Theory to Combat Corruption* (New York: Routledge).

Collier, P. & D. Dollar. 2004. *Development Effectiveness: What Have We Learnt?* *Economic Journal*

Collier, P. 2006. *Is Aid Oil? An Analysis of Whether Africa Can Absorb More Aid*. *World Development*

Collier, Paul. 2000. *How to Reduce Corruption*. *African Development Review*

Collignon, P., P. Athukorala, S. Senanayake & F. Khan. 2015. *Antimicrobial Resistance: The Major Contribution of Poor Governance and Corruption to this Growing Problem*. *PLoS One*

Collins, J.D., K. Uhlenbruck & P. Rodriguez. 2009 *Why Firms Engage in Corruption: A Top Management Perspective*. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Colombo, Gherardo. 2006. Investigating and Prosecuting Large-Scale Corruption: The Italian experience. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*

Colon, R. & H.G. Bladuell. 2014. Auditors and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: Lessons from Latin America. *Accounting in Latin America (Research in Accounting in Emerging Economies)*

Comaroff, J.L. & J. Comaroff. 2004. Policing Culture, Cultural Policing: Law and Social Order in Postcolonial South Africa. *Law & Social Inquiry*

Compte, Olivier, Ariane Lambert-Mogiliansky & Thierry Verdier. 2005. Corruption and Competition in Procurement Auctions. *RAND Journal of Economics*.

Conover, K. 2014. Rethinking Anti-Corruption Reforms: The View from Ancient Athens. *Buffalo Law Review*

Conroy, Patrick & Graeme Honter. 2011. *Economic Analysis of Damages under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act*. New York: National Economic Research Associates.

Conry, Colleen A. 2010. Complying with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act in the Global Healthcare Industry. *Journal of Health & Life Sciences Law*

Contreras-Hermosilla, Arnoldo. 2002. *Law Compliance in the Forestry Sector: An Overview*. WBI Working Papers 28617, World Bank Institute, Washington, D.C.

Conway, Patrick & Xiaodong Wu. 2004. *Corruption and Unemployment*. Working paper.

Coogan, J., E. Lin Forder, J. Madir, N. Seiler & C. Wee. 2015. Combatting Fraud and Corruption in International Development. *Journal of Financial Crime*

Cooksey, Brian. 1998. *Corruption in Aid: How Much Is Acceptable? Governance*

Cooksey, Brian. 2002. *Can Aid Agencies Really Help Combat Corruption? Forum on Crime & Society*

Cooksey, Brian. 2010. "Trends in Corruption During the Mkapa Era – Who Wants to Know?", in Kjell Havnevik & Aida C. Isinika eds., *Tanzania in Transition: From Nyerere to Mkapa* (Dar es Salaam: Mkuki na Nyota Publishers).

Cooksey, Brian. 2012. *Aid, Governance and Corruption Control: A Critical Assessment*. Crime, Law & Social Change

Cooley, Alexander & Jason C. Sharman. 2015. *Blurring the Line Between Licit and Illicit: Transnational Corruption Networks in Central Asia and Beyond*. Central Asian Survey

Corbett, J. & R.N. Shiu. 2014. *Leadership Succession and the High Drama of Political Conduct: Corruption Stories from Samoa*. Pacific Affairs

Cordis, Adriana S. & Patrick L. Warren. 2014. *Sunshine as Disinfectant: The Effect of State Freedom of Information Act Laws on Public Corruption*. Journal of Public Economics

Cordis, Adriana S. 2014. *Corruption and the Composition of Public Spending in the United States*. Public Finance Review

Cordis, Adriana. 2009. *Judicial Checks on Corruption in the United States*. Economics of Governance

Cornelius, Wayne A. 2004. "Mobilizing Voting in the 2000 Elections: The Changing Efficacy of Vote Buying and Coercion in Mexican Electoral Politics", in Jorge I.

Dominguez & Chappell Lawson eds., *Mexico's Pivotal Democratic Elections: Candidates, Voters, and the Presidential Campaign of 2000* (Stanford: Stanford University Press).

Coronel, S. 2010. "Corruption and the Watchdog Role of the News Media", in P. Norris ed., *Public Sentinel: News Media and Governance Reform* (Washington, DC: World Bank)

Corr, Christopher F. & Judd Lawler. 1999. *Damned If You Do, Damned If You Don't? The OECD Convention and the Globalization of Anti-bribery Measures*. Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law

Correani, Luca. 2005. *Preferences, Development, and the Corruption Trap*. *Economica Politica*

Corrigan, Caitlin C. 2014. Breaking the Resource Curse: Transparency in the Natural Resource Sector and the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative. *Resources Policy*

Corstrange, Daniel. 2012. Vote Trafficking in Lebanon. *International Journal of Middle East Studies*

Cosenz, F. & G. Noto. 2014. A Dynamic Simulation Approach to Frame Drivers and Implications of Corruption Practices on Firm Performance. *European Management Review* 11(3-4)

Costa, L.D.A. & E.W. Mainardes. 2015. The Role of Corruption and Risk Aversion in Entrepreneurial Intentions. *Applied Economics Letters*.

Costa, Samia. 2012. Do Freedom of Information Laws Decrease Corruption? *Journal of Law, Economics & Organization*

Costas-Perez, Elena, Albert Sole-Olle & Pilar Sorribas-Navarro. 2012. Corruption Scandals, Voter Information, and Accountability. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Coviello, Decio & Mario Mariniello. 2014. Publicity Requirements in Public Procurement: Evidence from a Regression Discontinuity Design. *Journal of Public Economics*

Coviello, Decio & Stefano Gagliarducci. 2010. Building Political Collusion: Evidence from Procurement Auctions. *IZA Discussion Papers* 4939.

Covington, Joseph P., Thomas C. Newkirk & Jessica Tillipman. 2005. FCPA Enforcement in a Sarbanes-Oxley World. *Corporate Counselor* 20(3) (August, 2005).

Cowley, Edd & Sarah Smith. 2014. Motivation and Mission in the Public Sector: Evidence from the World Values Survey. *Theory & Decision*

Cox, Gary R. & J. Morgan Kousser. 1981. "Turnout and Rural Corruption: New York as a Test Case," *Am. J. Pol. Sci.*

Coxson, S.L. 2009. Assessment of Armenian Local Government Corruption Potential. *Public Administration & Development*

Crabtree, J. 2014. Funding Local Government: Use (and Abuse) of Peru's Canon System. *Bulletin of Latin American Research*

Cragg, A.W. 1998. Business, Globalization, and the Logic and Ethics of Corruption. *Int'l J.*

Cragg, Wesley & William Woof. 2002. The U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: A Study of Its Effectiveness. *Business & Society Review*

Crawford, B. 2015. The Freezing Assets of Corrupt Officials Act: A Critical Analysis. *Canadian Business Law Journal*

Cremades, Bernardo M. & David J.A. Cairns. 2003. Corruption, International Public Policy and the Duties of Arbitrators. *Dispute Resolution Journal*

Cremer, Georg. 2008. Corruption and Development Aid: Confronting the Challenges (Lynne Rienner).

Crites, D. Michael. 2012. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act at Thirty-Five: A Practitioner's Guide. *Ohio State Law Journal*

Crivellaro, Antonio. 2013. The Courses of Action Available to International Arbitrators to Address Issues of Bribery and Corruption. *Transnational Dispute Management*

Crook, Richard & James Manor. 2000. Democratic Decentralization. *World Bank Operations Evaluation Department Working Paper No. 11.*

Cruz, Cesi & Philip Keefer. 2013. The Organization of Political Parties and the Politics of Bureaucratic Reform. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 6686.*

Cruz, Cesi. 2012. Social Networks and Electoral Strategies in the Philippines. *Working paper.*

Cruz, J.M. 2015. Police Misconduct and Political Legitimacy in Central America. *Journal of Latin American Studies*

Cuervo-Cazurra, Alvaro. 2006. Who Cares About Corruption? *Journal of International Business Studies*.

Cuervo-Cazurra, Alvaro. 2008. Better the Devil You Don't Know: Types of Corruption and FDI in Transition Economies. *Journal of International Management* 14(1): 12-17.

Cuervo-Cazurra, Alvaro. 2008. The Effectiveness of Laws Against Bribery Abroad. *Journal of International Business Studies* 39: 634-651.

Çule, Monika & Murray Fulton. 2005. Some Implications of the Unofficial Economy-Bureaucratic Corruption Relationship in Transition Countries. *Economics Letters*

Cullen, J.B., K.P. Parboteeah & M. Hoegl. 2004. Cross-National Differences in Managers' Willingness to Justify Ethically Suspect Behaviors: A Test of Institutional Anomie Theory. *Academy of Management Journal*

Curini, L. 2015. The Conditional Ideological Inducement to Campaign on Character Valence Issues in Multiparty Systems: The Case of Corruption. *Comparative Political Studies* 48(2): 168-.

Curini, Luigi & Paolo Martelli. 2015. A Case of Valence Competition in Elections. *Party Politics*

Czap, Hans & Kanybek Nur-tegin. 2012. Government Positions for Sale: A Model of Grand Corruption. *Business & Politics*.

d'Agostino, Giorgio, John Paule Dunne & Luca Pieroni. 2012. Corruption, Military Spending and Growth. *Defense & Peace Economics*

D'Alterio, Elisa. 2014. "Global Integrity: National Administrations versus Global Regimes", in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., *Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach*

D'Souza, A. & D. Kaufmann. 2013. Who Bribes in Public Contracting and Why: Worldwide Evidence from Firms. *Economics of Governance*

D'Souza, Anna. 2011. The OECD Anti-Bribery Convention: Changing the Currents of Trade. *Journal of Development Economics*

Dabla-Norris, E. et al. 2012. Investing in Public Investment: An Index of Public Investment Efficiency. *Journal of Economic Growth*

Dabla-Norris, E., M. Gradstein & G. Inchauste. 2008. What Causes Firms to Hide Output? The Determinants of Informality. *Journal of Development Economics*

Dabla-Norris, Era & Elisabeth Paul. 2006. What Transparency Can Do When Incentives Fail: an Analysis of Rent Capture. IMF Working Paper No. 06/146.

Dabla-Norris, Era & Paul Wade. 2001. Rent Seeking and Endogenous Income Inequality. IMF Working Paper No. 01/15.

Dabla-Norris, Era & Scott Freeman. 2004. Enforcement of Property Rights and Underdevelopment. *Review of Development Economics*

Dabla-Norris, Era. 2000. A Game-Theoretic Analysis of Corruption in Bureaucracies. IMF Working Paper No. 00/106.

Dada Ojo, M.O. 2014. The Nigeria Police and the Search for Integrity in the Midst of Diverse Challenges: An Effective Police Management Approach. *International Journal of Police Science & Management*

Dadalauri, Nina. 2007. "Political Corruption in Georgia", in Sarah Bracking ed., *Corruption and Development: The Anti-Corruption Campaigns* (Palgrave Macmillan)

Dahlstrom, Carl, Johannes Lindvall & Bo Rothstein. 2013. Corruption, Bureaucratic Failure, and Social Policy Priorities. *Political Studies*

Dahlstrom, Carl, Victor Lapuente & Jan Teorell. 2012. The Merit of Meritocratization: Politics, Bureaucracy, and the Institutional Deterrents of Corruption. *Political Research Quarterly*

Dahlstrom, Carl. 2015. "Bureaucracy and Corruption" , in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge)

Dai, M., M. Sidiropoulos & E. Spyromitros. 2015. *Fiscal Policy, Institutional Quality and Central Bank Transparency*. Manchester School

Dakolias, Maria & Kim Thachuk. 2000. *Attacking Corruption in the Judiciary: A Critical Process in Judicial Reform*. *Wisconsin International Law Journal*

Dal Bo, Ernesto & Martin A. Rossi. 2007. *Corruption and Inefficiency: Theory and Evidence from Electric Utilities*. *Journal of Public Economics*

Dal Bo, Ernesto & Rafael Di Tella. 2003. *Capture by Threat*. *Journal of Political Economy*

Dal Bo, Ernesto, Pedro Dal Bo & Rafael Di Tella. 2006. "Plata o Plomo? ": Bribe and Punishment in a Theory of Political Influence. *American Political Science Review*

Dal Bo, Ernesto. 2007. *Bribing Voters*. *American Journal of Political Science*3.

Dalgaard, Carl-Johan & Ola Olsson. 2008. *Windfall Gains, Political Economy and Economic Development*. *Journal of African Economies* 17(Supp. 1)

Dalton, Marie M. 2006. *Efficiency v. Morality: The Codification of Cultural Norms in the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act*. *NYU Journal of Law & Business*

Daly, Conrad & Frank Fariello. 2012. *Transforming Through Transparency: Opening Up the Bank's Sanctions Regime*. *World Bank Legal Review*

Damania, Richard, Per G. Fredriksson & J.A. List. 2003. *Trade Liberalization, Corruption, and Environmental Policy Formation: Theory and Evidence*. *Journal of Environmental Economics & Management*

Damania, Richard, Per G. Fredriksson & Muthukumara Mani. 2004. *The Persistence of Corruption and Regulatory Compliance Failures: Theory and Evidence*. *Public Choice*

Damania, Richard. 2002. Environmental Controls with Corrupt Bureaucrats. *Environment & Development Economics*

Damarys, Canache & Micheal E. Allsion. 2005. Perceptions of Political Corruption in Latin American Democracies. *Latin American Politics & Society*,

Dane, E. & S. Sonenshein. 2015. On the Role of experience in Ethical Decision Making at Work: An Ethical expertise Perspective. *Organizational Psychology Review*

Danopoulos, Constantine Panos. 2014. The Cultural Roots of Corruption in Greece. *Mediterranean Quarterly*

Daoud, A. 2015. Quality of Governance, Corruption and Absolute Child Poverty in India. *Journal of South Asian Development*

Darabare, S.J. 2014. Electoral Corrupt Practice and Electoral Offences: An Analysis. *Journal of Legal Analysis & Research*

Darden, Keith. 2008. The Integrity of Corrupt States: Graft as an Informal Political Institution. *Politics & Society*

Darley, John M. 2004. The Cognitive and Social Psychology of Contagious Organizational Corruption. *Brooklyn Law Review*

Darley, W.K. 2012. Increasing Sub-Saharan Africa's Share of Foreign Direct Investment: Public Policy Challenges, Strategies, and Implications. *Journal of African Business*

Darr, Asaf. 2003. Gifting Practices and Interorganizational Relations: Constructing Obligation Networks in the Electronics Sector. *Sociological Forum*

Darrough, Masako N. 1999. Privatization and Corruption: Patronage vs. Spoils. *International Public Management Journal*

Darrough, Masako N. 2010. The FCPA and the OECD Convention: The Lessons from the U.S. experience. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Das, Anupam & Meaghan Marie Beatty Parry. 2011. Greasing or Sanding? GMM Estimation of the Corruption-Investment Relationship. *International Journal of Economics & Research*

Das, Jayoti & Cassandra DiRienzo. 2009. The Nonlinear Impact of Globalization on Corruption. *International Journal of Business & Finance Research*.

Das, Veena. 2015. Corruption and the Possibility of Life. *Contributions to Indian Sociology*

Dastidar, K.G. & D. Mukherjee. 2014. Corruption in Delegated Public Procurement Auctions. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Datu, T.T. & H.J. Nawawi. 2014. Implementation of Good Governance and Bureaucratic Reform in Indonesia. *International Journal of Academic Research*

David-Barrett, E. & K. Okamura. 2013. The Transparency Paradox: Why Corrupt Countries Join the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative. Working paper.

David-Barrett, E. 2015. Nolan's Legacy: Regulating Parliamentary Conduct in Democratising Europe. *Parliamentary Affairs*

Dauids, Cindy & Grant Schubert. 2012. "The Global Architecture of Foreign Bribery Control: Applying the OECD Bribery Convention", in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., *Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption*

Davidson, J.S. 2007. Politics-as-Usual on Trial: Anti-Corruption Campaigns in Indonesia. *Pacific Review*

Davidson, S. 1999. Okeahalam and Bah on Corruption: A Comment. *South African Journal of Economics*

Davies, Mark. 2000. "Ethics in Government and the Issue of Conflicts of Interest", in Yassin El-Ayouty, Kevin J. Ford & Mark Davies eds., *Government Ethics and Law Enforcement: Toward Global Guidelines* (New York: Praeger Publishers)

Davis, Charles L., Roderic Ai Camp & Kenneth M. Coleman. 2004. The Influence of Party Systems on Citizens' Perceptions of Corruption and Electoral Response in Latin America. *Comparative Political Studies*

Davis, Diane E. 2006. Undermining the Rule of Law: Democratization and the Dark Side of Police Reform in Mexico. *Latin American Politics & Society*

Davis, J.H. & J.A. Ruhe. 2003. Perceptions of Country Corruption: Antecedents and Outcomes. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Davis, Jennifer. 2003. Corruption in Public Service Delivery: experience from South Asia's Water and Sanitation Sector. *World Development*

Davis, Kevin E. 2002. Self-Interest and Altruism in the Deterrence of Transnational Bribery. *American Law & Economics Review*

Davis, Kevin E. 2010. "does the Globalization of Anti-Corruption Law Help Developing Countries?", in *International Economic Law, Globalization and Developing Countries* 283-306 (Julio Faundez & Celine Tan eds.)

Davis, Kevin E. 2012. The Prospects for Anti-Corruption Law: Optimists versus Skeptics. *Hague Journal on the Rule of Law*

Davis, Kevin E. 2012. Why does the United States Regulate Foreign Bribery: Moralism, Self-Interest, or Altruism? *NYU Annual Survey of American Law*

Davis, Kevin E. 2014. Regulation of Foreign Bribery: The FCPA Enforcement Model. Working paper.

Davis, Kevin E. 2015. "Regulation of Foreign Bribery: The FCPA Enforcement Model", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul Lagunes eds., *Greed, Corruption, and the Modern State*

Davis, Kevin E., Guillermo Jorge & Maira Rocha Machado. 2015. Transnational Anticorruption Law in Action: Cases from Argentina and Brazil. *Law & Social Inquiry*

Davis, Kevin. 2009. Civil Remedies for Corruption in Government Contracting: Zero Tolerance versus Proportional Liability. Working paper.

Davis, Kevin. 2013. “does the Globalization of Anti-Corruption Law Help Developing Countries?”, in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D. Carrington eds., *Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role?* (Durham: Carolina Academic Press)

Dawid, Herbert & Gustav Feichtinger. 1996. On the Persistence of Corruption. *Journal of Economics*

Dawson, H.J. 2014. Patronage from Below: Political Unrest in an Informal Settlement in South Africa. *African Affairs*

de Alencar, Carols Higino Rieiro & Ivo T. Gico, Jr. 2011. When Crime Pays: Measuring Judicial Performance Against Corruption in Brazil. *Law & Buisness Review of the Americas*

de Almeida, M.A. & B. Zagaris. 2015. Political Capture in the Petrobras Corruption Scandal: The Sad Tale of an Oil Giant. *Fletcher Forum of World Affairs*

De Beco, G. 2011. Monitoring Corruption from a Human Rights Perspective. *International Journal of Human Rights*

De Figueiredo, Miguel F.P., F. Daniel Hidalgo & Yuri Kasahara. 2011. When Do Voters Punish Corrupt Politicians? experimental Evidence from Brazil. Working Paper.

De George, Richard T. 1997 “Sullivan Type” Principles for U.S. Multinationals in Emerging Economies. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law*

De Graaf, Gjalt & L.W.J.C. Huberts. 2008. Portraying the Nature of Corruption Using an explorative Case Study Design. *Public Administration Review*

De Graaf, Gjalt, L. Huberts & J.M. Nelen. 2008. Is the Glass Half Full or Half Empty? Perceptions of the Scale and Nature of Corruption in the Netherlands. *Perspectives on European Politics & Society*

De Graaf, Gjalt, Patrick von Maravic & Pieter Wagenaar eds. 2010. *The Good Cause: Theoretical Perspectives on Corruption* (Farmington Hills: Budrich Publishing).

De Graaf, Gjalte. 2007. Causes of Corruption: Towards a Contextual Theory of Corruption. *Public Administration Quarterly*

de Groot, Henri, Gert-Jan Linders, Piet Rietveld & Uma Subramanian. 2004. The Institutional Determinants of Bilateral Trade Patterns. *Kyklos*

De Jaegere, Samuel. 2012. Principles for Anti-Corruption Agencies: A Game Changer. *Jindal Journal of Public Policy*

de Jong, Eelke & Christina Bogmans. 2011. Does Corruption Discourage International Trade? *European Journal of Political Economy*

de Jong, G., P.A. Tu & H. van Ees. 2015. The Impact of Personal Relationships on Bribery Incidence in Transition Economies. *European Management Review*

de Koker, L. & K. Harwood. 2015. Supplier Integrity Due Diligence in Public Procurement: Limiting the Criminal Risk to Australia. *Sydney Law Review*

de Koker, Louis. 2012. "Applying Anti-Money Laundering Laws to Fight Corruption", in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., *Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption*

de la Croix, David & Clara Delavallade. 2009. Growth, Public Investment and Corruption with Failing Institutions. *Economics of Governance*

De la Croix, David & Clara Delavallade. 2011. Democracy, Rule of Law, Corruption Incentives, and Growth. *Journal of Public Economic Theory*

de la Croix, David & Clara Delavallade. 2014. Why Corrupt Governments May Receive More Foreign Aid. *Oxford Economic Papers*

de Maria, W. 2005. Whistleblower Protection: Is Africa Ready? *Public Administration & Development*

De Maria, William. 2007. Does African Corruption Exist? *African Journal of Business Ethics*

De Maria, William. 2008. "The New War on African Corruption: Just Another Neo-Colonial Adventure?", in Prasad, A. ed., *Against the Grain: Advances in Postcolonial Organization Studies* (Copenhagen: Liber & Copenhagen Business School Press).

De Maria, William. 2008. *Cross Cultural Trespass: Assessing African Anti-Corruption Capacity*. International Journal of Cross Cultural Management

De Maria, William. 2008. *Measurements and Markets: Deconstructing the Corruption Perception Index*. International Journal of Public Sector Management

de Mello, L. 2004. *Can Fiscal Decentralization Strengthen Social Capital?* Public Finance Review

De Mello, Luiz E. & Matias Barenstein. 2001. *Fiscal Decentralization and Governance: A Cross-Country Analysis*. IMF Working Paper 01/71.

De Michele, Roberto. 2004. "The Follow-Up Mechanism of the Inter-American Convention Against Corruption: Is the Glass Half-Empty?", *Southwestern Journal of Law & Trade in the Americas*

de Michele, Roberto. 2013. "How Can International Financial Institutions Support Countries' Efforts to Prevent Corruption under International Treaties and Agreements?", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D. Carrington eds., *Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role?* (Durham: Carolina Academic Press)

De Rosa, Donato, Nishaal Gooroochurn & Holger Gorg. 2010. *Corruption and Productivity: Firm-Level Evidence from the BEEPS Survey*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 5348.

De Simone, Francesco & Bruce Zagaris. 2014. *Impact of Foreign Bribery Legislation on Developing Countries and the Role of Donor Agencies*. U4 Brief

De Simone, Francesco & Nils Taxell. 2014. *Donors and "Zero Tolerance for Corruption": From Principle to Practice*. U4 Brief 2014:2.

de Sousa, Luis & Marcelo Moriconi. 2013. *Why Voters Do Not Throw the Rascals Out? – A Conceptual Framework for Analysing Electoral Punishment of Corruption*. Crime, Law & Social Change

de Sousa, Luis, Peter Larmour & Barry Hindess eds. 2009. *Governments, NGOs and Anti-Corruption: The New Integrity Warriors* (London: Routledge).

de Sousa, Luis. 2002. *Hard Responses to Corruption: Penal Standards and the Repression of Corruption*. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

de Sousa, Luis. 2011. *Anti-Corruption Agencies: Between Empowerment and Irrelevance*. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

de Speville, Bertrand. 2010. *Overcoming Corruption: The Essentials*. BPR Publishers.

de Speville, Bertrand. 2010. *Anticorruption Commissions: The 'Hong Kong Model' Revisited*. *Asia-Pacific Review*

de Vaal, Albert & Wouter Ebben. 2011. *Institutions and the Relation between Corruption and Economic Growth*. *Review of Development Economics*

de Waal, A. 2014. *When Kleptocracy Becomes Insolvent: Brute Causes of the Civil War in South Sudan*. *African Affairs*

DeAses, Anne Janet. 2003. *Developing Countries: Increasing Transparency and Other Methods of Eliminating Corruption in the Public Procurement Process*. *Public Contract Law Journal*

DeBacker, Jason, Bradley T. Heim & Anh Tran. 2015. *Importing Corruption Culture from Overseas: Evidence from Corporate Tax Evasion in the United States*. *Journal of Financial Economics*

DeCelles, K.A., D.S. DeRue, J.D. Margolis & T.L. Ceranic. 2012. *Does Power Corrupt or Enable: When and Why Power Facilitates Self-Interested Behavior*. *Journal of Applied Psychology*

Dechenaux, Emmanuel & Andrew Samuel. 2014. *Announced vs. Surprise Inspections with Tipping Off*. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Dechenaux, Emmanuel & Andrew Samuel. 2012. *Preemptive Collusion, Hold-Up and Repeated Interactions*. *Economica*.

Deckert, Joseph, Mikhail Myagkov & Peter C. Ordeshook. 2011. Benford's Law and the Detection of Election Fraud. *Political Analysis*

Deflem, Mathieu. 1995. Corruption, Law, and Justice: A Conceptual Clarification. *Journal of Criminal Justice*

Dehn, J., R. Reinikka & J. Svensson. 2003. "Survey Tools for Assessing Performance in Service Delivery", in F. Bourguignon & L.A.P. da Silva eds., *The Impact of Economic Policies on Poverty and Income Distribution: Evaluation Techniques and Tools* (Washington, DC: World Bank & Oxford University Press).

Deininger, Klaus & Paul Mpuga. 2005. does Greater Accountability Improve the Quality of Public Service Delivery? Evidence from Uganda. *World Development*

Dekel, Eddie, Matthew O. Jackson & Asher Wolinsky. 2007. Vote Buying: General Elections. *Journal of Political Economy*

Del Monte, Alfredo & Erasmo Papagni. 2001. Public expenditure, Corruption, and Economic Growth: The Case of Italy. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Del Monte, Alfredo & Erasmo Papagni. 2007. The Determinants of Corruption in Italy: Regional Panel Data Analysis. *European Journal of Political Economy*

dela Rama, Marie. 2012. Corporate Governance and Corruption: Ethical Dilemmas of Asian Business Groups. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Delaney, Patrick X. 2007. Transnational Corruption: Regulation Across Borders. *Virginia Journal of International Law*

Delavallade, Clara. 2006. Corruption and Distribution of Public Spending in Developing Countries. *Journal of Economics and Finance*

Delavallade, Clara. 2012. What Drives Corruption? Evidence from North African Firms. *Journal of African Economics*

DeLeon, P. 1989. Public Policy Implications of Systemic Political Corruption. *Corruption & Reform*

Delgado, M.S., N. Mccloud & S.C. Kumbhakar. 2014. A Generalized Model of Corruption, Foreign Direct Investment, and Growth. *Journal of Macroeconomics*

Dell'Anno, Roberto & Desiree Teobaldelli. 2015. Keeping both Corruption and the Shadow Economy in Check: The Role of Decentralization. *International Tax & Public Finance*

Della Porta, Donatella & Alberto Vannucci. 1999. *Corrupt exchanges: Actors, and resources, mechanisms of political corruption*. New York: Walter de Gruyter, Inc.

Della Porta, Donatella & Alberto Vannucci. 1997. The Resources of Corruption: Some Reflections from the Italian Case. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Della Porta, Donatella & Alberto Vannucci. 2007. Corruption and Anti-Corruption: The Political Defeat of "Clean Hands" in Italy. *West European Politics*.

Della Porta, Donatella & Alberto Vanucci. 1997. The "Perverse Effects" of Political Corruption . *Political Studies*

Della Porta, Donatella. 1996. Actors in Corruption: Business Politicians in Italy. *International Social Science Journal*

Della Porta, Donatella. 2000. "Social Capital, Beliefs in Government, and Political Corruption," in Susan Pharr & Robert Putnam, eds. *Disaffected Democracies: What's Troubling the Trilateral Countries?* (Princeton: Princeton University Press)

Della Porta, Donatella. 2001. A Judges' Revolution? Political Corruption and the Judiciary in Italy. *European Journal of Political Research*

Della Porta, Donatella. 2004. Political Parties and Corruption: Ten Hypotheses on Five Vicious Circles. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Della Porta, Dontanella & Alberto Vannucci. 2012. *The Hidden Order of Corruption*. Farnham: Ashgate.

Delzangles, Hubert. 2014. "Regulatory Authorities and Conflicts of Interest", in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., *Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach*

Demas, Reagan R. 2008. *All Hands on Deck: Collaborative Global Strategies in the Battle Against Corruption and Human Trafficking in Africa*. University of St. Thomas Law Journal.

Demas, Reagan R. 2011. *Moment of Truth: Development in Sub-Saharan Africa and Critical Alternations needed in Application of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and Other Anti-Corruption Initiatives*. American University International Law Review

Demas, Regan R. 2014. *Biting the Hands that Feed: Corporate Charity and the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act*. American University International Law Review

Deming, S.H. 2014. *Canada's Corruption of Foreign Public Officials Act and Secret Commissions Offense*. American University International Law Review

Deming, Stuart H. 1999. *Foreign Corrupt Practices*. International Law

Deming, Stuart H. 2006. *The Potent and Broad-Ranging Implications of the Accounting and Record-Keeping Provisions of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act*. Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology

den Nieuwenboer, Niki A. & Muel Kaptein. 2008. *Spiraling Down into Corruption: A Dynamic Analysis of the Social Identity Processes That Cause Corruption in Organizations to Grow*. Journal of Business Ethics

Deng, X.M. et al. 2014. *Analysis of Fraud Risk in Public Construction Projects in China*. Public Money & Management

Denisova-Schmidt, E. & M. Huber. 2014. *Regional Differences in Perceived Corruption among Ukrainian Firms*. Eurasian Geography & Economics

Denolf, Bert. 2008. *The Impact of Corruption on Foreign Direct Investment*. Journal of World Investment & Trade

Department for International Development (UK). 2015. Why Corruption Matters: Understanding Causes, Effects and How to Address Them.

Depken, Craig A., II & Courtney L. Lafountain. 2006. Fiscal Consequences of Public Corruption: Empirical Evidence from State Bond Ratings, Public Choice

Desposato, Scott. 2012. Corruption and Electoral Rules. Working Paper.

Devadoss, S. & J. Luckstead. 2015. Can a Corrupt Ruling Party Win a Re-Election Through Vote Buying? Applied Economics 1-6.

Devarajan, S., S. Khemani & M. Walton. 2014. Can Civil Society Overcome Government Failure in Africa? World Bank Research Observer

Dewan, Torun & David P. Myatt. 2007. Scandal, Protection, and Recovery in the Cabinet. American Political Science Review.

Dewi, S. 2014. Balancing Privacy Rights and Legal Enforcement: Indonesian Practices. International Journal of Liability & Scientific Enquiry

Dey, Harendra K. 1989. The Genesis and Spread of Economic Corruption: A Microtheoretic Interpretation. World Development

Dhaliwal, Iqbal & Rema Hanna. 2013. Deal with the Devil: The Success and Limitations of Bureaucratic Reform in India. Working paper.

Dheera-aumpon, Siwapong. 2015. Can Shareholder Rights Protection Reduce Corruption in Lending? International Journal of Monetary Economics & Finance

Di John, Jonathan. 2011. Is There Really a Resource Curse? A Critical Survey of Theory and Evidence. Global Governance

Di Puccio, Lili. 2010. Anti-Corruption Interventions in Georgia. Global Crime

Di Pippo, Lili. 2014. The Construction of Success in Anti-Corruption Activity in Georgia. East European Politics

Di Tella, R. & R. MacCulloch. 2009. Why doesn't Capitalism Flow to Poor Countries? Brookings Papers on Economic Activity

Di Tella, R., J. Donna & R. MacCulloch. 2008. Crime and Beliefs: Evidence from Latin America. Economics Letters.

Di Tella, Rafael & Ernesto Schargrodsky. 2003. The Role of Wages and Auditing During a Crackdown on Corruption in the City of Buenos Aires. Journal of Law & Economics

Di Tella, Rafael & Federico Weinschelbaum. 2008. Choosing Agents and Monitoring Consumption: A Note on Wealth as a Corruption-Controlling Device. Economic Journal

Di Tella, Rafael & Ignacio Franceschelli. 2011. Government Advertising and Media Coverage of Corruption Scandals. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics

Di Tella, Raphael & William Savedoff. 2001. "Shining Light in Dark Corners," in Diagnosis Corruption: Fraud in Latin America's Public Hospitals (Washington, D.C.: Inter-American Development Bank).

Diaby, Aboubacar & Kevin Sylwester. 2014. Bureaucratic Competition and Public Corruption: Evidence from Transition Countries. European Journal of Political Economy

Diaby, Aboubacar & Kevin Sylwester. 2015. Corruption and Market Competition: Evidence from Post-Communist Countries. World Development

Diaz-Cayeros, Alberto, Beatriz Magaloni & Vidal Romero. 2015. "Caught in the Crossfire: The Geography of Extortion and Police Corruption in Mexico", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul Lagunes eds., Greed, Corruption, and the Modern State .

DiBiagio, Thomas M. 2000. Politics and the Criminal Process: Federal Public Corruption Prosecutions of Popular Public Officials Under the Honest Services Component of the Mail and Wire Fraud Statutes. Dickinson Law Review

Dick, Howard & Simon Butt. 2013. Is Indonesia as Corrupt as Most People Believe and Is It Getting Worse? Melbourne Law Schoole, CILIS Policy Paper.

Dicke, R.A., R.C. Henderickson & S. Kutz. 2014. NATO's Mafia Ally: The Strategic Consequences of Bulgarian Corruption. Comparative Strategy

Dickerson, Claire Moore. 1999. Political Corruption: Free-Flowing Opportunism. Connecticut Journal of International Law

Dietz, S., E. Neumayer & I. De Soysa. 2007. Corruption, the Resource Curse and Genuine Saving. Environment & Development Economics

diGenova, Joseph E. 1998. The Independent Counsel Act: A Good Time to End a Bad Idea. Georgetown Law Journal

Dillon, Amrita & Mandar Oak. 2015. Legalization of Bribe Giving When Bribe Type Is Endogenous. Journal of Public Economic Theory

Dillon, Amrita, Rebecca B. Morton & Jean-Robert Tyran. 2015. Corruption in Committees: An experimental Study of Information Aggregation Through Voting. Journal of Public Economic Theory

Dimant, E. & B. Hehenkamp. 2014. More Money More Problems? An experimental Investigation of the Impact of Wage Raises on Individual Corruptibility under Uncertainty. Working paper.

Dimant, Eugen, Tim Krieger & Daniel Meierrieks. 2013. The Effect of Corruption on Migration, 1985-2000. Applied Economics Letters

Dimant, Eugen, Tim Krieger & Margarete Redlin. 2013. A Crook Is a Crook ... But Is He Still a Crook Abroad? On the Effect of Immigration on Destination-Country Corruption. Working paper.

Dimant, Eugen. 2014. The Antecedents and Effects of Corruption – A Reassessment of Current (Empirical) Findings. MPRA Working Paper

Dimant, Eugen. 2014. The Nature of Corruption – An Interdisciplinary Perspective. Center for International Economics, Working Paper

Dimitrov, M. 2015. Internal Government Assessments of the Quality of Governance in China. Studies in Comparative International Development

Dimitrova-Grajzi, Valentina, Peter Grajzi & A. Joseph Guse. 2012. Trust, Perceptions of Corruption, and Demand for Regulation: Evidence from Post-Socialist Countries. Journal of Socio-Economics

Dimock, Michael A. & Gary C. Jacobson. 1995. Checks and Choices: The House Bank Scandal's Impact on Voters in 1992. Journal of Politics

Dincer, Oguzhan & Michael Johnston. 2015. Measuring Illegal and Legal Corruption in American States: Some Results from the Edmond J. Safra Center for Ethics Corruption in America Survey. Working paper.

Dincer, Oguzhan C. & Burak Gunalp. 2012. Corruption and Income Inequality in the United States. Contemporary Economic Policy

Dincer, Oguzhan C. & Michael Johnston. 2014. Corruption Issues in State and Local Politics: Is Political Culture a Deep Determinant? Working paper.

Dincer, Oguzhan C. 2008. Ethnic and Religious Diversity and Corruption. Economics Letters

Dincer, Oguzhan C., Christopher J. Ellis & Glen R. Waddell. 2010. Corruption, Decentralization and Yardstick Competition. Economics of Governance

Dininio, Phyllis & Robert W. Ortung. 2005. explaining Patterns of Corruption in the Russian Region. World Politics.

Dininio, Phyllis. 2005. "The Risks of Recorruption", in Bertram Spector ed. Fighting Corruption in Developing Countries: Strategies and Analysis (Bloomfield, CT: Kumarian Press)

Diokno, Benjamin E. 2012. Fiscal Decentralization after 20 Years: What Have We Learned? Where Do We Go from Here? *Philippine Review of Economics* 49(1):
Dion, M. 2011. Corruption, Fraud and Cybercrime as Dehumanizing Phenomena. *International Journal of Social Economics*

DiPietro, William R. & Michele S. Flint. 2012. Political Corruption, Economic Incentive, Educational Resource Input, and the Quality of Human Capital: A Panel Analysis Over 84 Twenty-Five Years for the Fifty U.S. States. *International Journal of Economics & Finance*

DiRienzo, C. & J. Das. 2015. Innovation and the Role of Corruption and Diversity: A Cross-Country Study. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*
DiRienzo, Cassandra E., Joyoti Das, Kathryn T. Cort & John Burbridge Jr. 2007.

Corruption and the Role of Information. *Journal of International Business Studies*

Disch, Arne, Endre Vigeland & Geir Sundet. 2009. Anti-Corruption Approaches: A Literature Review. *Sutdy* 2/2208. Oslo: Norad.

Dissou, Yazid & Tatsiana Yakautsava. 2012. Corruption, Growth, and Taxation. *Theoretical Economics Letters*

Ditton, Jason. 1977. Perks, Pilferage and the Fiddle: The Historical Structure of Invisible Wages. *Theory & Society*.

Dix, S., K. Hussmann & G. Walton. 2012. Risks of Corruption to State Legitimacy and Stability in Fragile Situations. *U4 Issue No. 3*.

Dixit, Avinash. 2014. How Business Community Institutions Can Help Fight Corruption. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 6954*.

Djankov, Simeon, Caralee McLiesh, Tatiana Nenova & Andrei Shleifer. 2003. Who Owns the Media? *Journal of Law & Economics*

Djankov, Simeon, Jose G. Montalvo & Marta Reynal-Querol. 2008. The Curse of Aid. *Journal of Economic Growth*

Djankov, Simeon, Rafael La Porta, Florencio Lopez-de-Silanes & Andrei Shleifer. 2010. Disclosure by Politicians. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*

Djankov, Simeon, Rafael La Porta, Florencio Lopez-de-Silanes & Andrei Shleifer. 2002. The Regulation of Entry. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*

Dobratz, B.A. & S. Whitfield. 1992. does Scandal Influence Voters' Party Preference? The Case of Greece During the Papandreou Era. *European Sociological Review*

Dobson, Carlyn & Antonio Rodriguez Andres. 2011. Is Corruption Really Bad for Inequality? Evidence from Latin America. *Journal of Development Studies*

Dobson, Stephen & Carlyn Ramlogan-Dobson. 2010. Is There a Trade-Off between Corruption and Inequality? Evidence from Latin America. *Economics Letters*

Dobson, Stephen & Carlyn Ramlogan-Dobson. 2012. Inequality, Corruption and the Informal Sector. *Economics Letters*

Dobson, Stephen & Carlyn Ramlogan-Dobson. 2012. Why Is Corruption Less Harmful to Inequality in Latin America? *World Development*.

Doh, J., P. Rodriguez, K. Uhlenbruck, J. Collins & L. Eden. 2003. Coping with Corruption in Foreign Markets. *Academy of Management Executive*

Doig, A. & D. Norris. 2012. Improving Anticorruption Agencies as Organisations. *Journal of Financial Crime*

Doig, A. & M. Tisne. 2009. A Candidate for Relegation? Corruption, Governance Approaches and the (Re)construction of Post-War States. *Public Administration & Development*

Doig, A. & S. McIvor. 1999. Corruption and its Control in the Developmental Context: An Analysis and Selective Review of the Literature. *Third World Quarterly*

Doig, A., D. Watt & R. Williams. 2006. Hands-On or Hands-Off? Anti-Corruption Agencies in Action, Donor expectations, and a Good Enough Reality. *Public Administration & Development*

Doig, Alan & Heather Marquette. 2005. Corruption and Democratisation: The Litmus Test of International Donor Agency Intentions? Futures

Doig, Alan & Robin Theobald, eds. 2000. Corruption and Democratization (London: Frank Cass).

Doig, Alan & Stephanie McIvor. 2003. The National Integrity System: Assessing Corruption and Reform. Public Administration & Development

Doig, Alan & Stephen Riley. 1998. "Corruption and Anti-Corruption Strategies: Issues and Case Studies from Developing Countries", in Corruption: Integrity Improvement Initiatives in Developing Countries.

Doig, Alan, David Watt & Robert Williams. 2007. Why Do Developing Country Anti-Corruption Commissions Fail to Deal with Corruption? Understanding the Three Dilemmas of Organisational Development, Performance expectations, and Donor and Government Cycles. Public Administration & Development

Doig, Alan, Stephanie McIvor & Robin Theobald. 2006. Using Corruption Indicators or Descriptors to Assess State Development: Numbers, Nuances and Moving Targets. International Review of Administrative Sciences

Doig, Alan. 1995. Good Government and Sustainable Anti-Corruption Strategies: A Role for Independent Anti-Corruption Agencies? Public Administration and Development

Doig, Alan. 1998. Dealing with Corruption: The Next Steps. Crime, Law & Social Change

Doig, Alan. 1999. In the State We Trust? Democratisation, Corruption and Development. Journal of Commonwealth & Comparative Politics

Doig, Alan. 2006. Dirty Hands and the Donors: Dealing with Corruption in a Post-Mugabe Zimbabwe. Political Quarterly

Doig, Alan. 2007. Not as Easy as it Sounds? Delivering the National Integrity System Approach in Practice: The Case Study of the National Anticorruption Programme in Lithuania. Public Administration Quarterly

Doig, Alan. 2014. Roadworks Ahead? Addressing Fraud, Corruption and Conflict of Interest in English Local Government. *Local Government Studies*

Dolan, Kathleen, Bruce McKeown & James M. Carlson. 1988. Popular Conceptions of Political Corruption: Implications for the Empirical Study of Political Ethics. *Corruption and Reform*

Dollar, David, Raymond Fisman & Roberta Gatti. 2001. Are Women Really the “Fairer” Sex? Corruption and Women in Government. *Journal of Economic Behavior*

Domfeh, Kwame Ameyaw & Justice Nyigmah Bawole. 2011. Muting the Whistleblower Through Retaliation in Selected African Countries. *Journal of Public Affairs*.

Domokos, L. 2015. Strengthening Integrity Against Corruption: The Integrity Project of the State Audit Office of Hungary. *International Journal of Government Auditing*

Donadelli, M., M. Fasan & B. Magnanelli. 2014. The Agency Problem, Financial Performance and Corruption: Country, Industry and Firm Level Perspectives. *European Management Review*

Donais, T. 2003. The Political Economy of Stalemate: Organised Crime, Corruption and Economic Development in Post-Dayton Bosnia. *Conflict, Security & Development*

Donaldson, T. & T.W. Dunfee. 1999. *Ties that Bind: A Social Contracts Approach to Business Ethics* (Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press).

Donchev, Dilyan & Gergely Ujhelyi. 2014. What Do Corruption Indices Measure? *Economics & Politics*

Dong, Bin & Benno Torgler. 2009. Corruption and Political Interest: Empirical Evidence at the Micro Level. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Economics*

Dong, Bin & Benno Torgler. 2009. Corruption, Social Norms and Political Interest. *Empirical Economics Letters*.

Dong, Bin & Benno Torgler. 2010. The Consequences of Corruption: Evidence from China. Working Paper.

Dong, Bin & Benno Torgler. 2011. Democracy, Property Rights, Income Equality, and Corruption. Working paper.

Dong, Bin & Benno Torgler. 2012. Corruption and Social Interaction: Evidence from China. Journal of Policy Modeling

Dong, Bin & Benno Torgler. 2013. Causes of Corruption: Evidence from China. China Economic Review

Dong, Bin, Uwe Dulleck & Benno Torgler. 2012. Conditional Corruption. Journal of Economic Psychology

Donohue, J. 2015. Combatting Fraud, Waste and Abuse: A More Proactive Approach is the Answer. Journal of Government Financial Management

Dormaels, Arne. 2014. Perceptions of Corruption in Flanders: Surveying Citizens and Police. A Study on the Influence of Occupational Differential Association on Perceptions of Corruption. Policing & Society

Dorotinsky, W. & S. Pradhan. 2007. “exploring Corruption in Public Financial Management” , in J.E. Campos & S. Pradhan eds., The Many Faces of Corruption: Tracking Vulnerabilities at the Sector Level (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

Dort, T., P. Meon & K. Sekkat. 2014. does Investment Spur Growth Everywhere? Not Where Institutions Are Weak. Kyklos

dos Santos, L.A. & P.M.T. da Costa. 2014. The Contribution of Lobby Regulation Initiatives in Addressing Political Corruption in Latin America. Journal of Public Affairs

Doty, James R. 2007. Toward a Reg FCPA: A Modest Proposal for Change in Administering the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Business Lawyer

Douoguih, K.C. 2005. does Mineral Resource Dependence Foster Corruption? Working paper.

Dowd, Raymond J. 1991. Civil RICO Misread: The Judicial Repeal of the 1988

Amendments to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Fordham International Law Journal .

Drabek, Z. & W. Payne. 2001. The Impact of Transparency on Foreign Direct Investment. WTO Working Paper ERAD-99-02.

Drackle, Dorle. 2005. “Where the Jeeps Come From: Narratives of Corruption in the Alentejo (Southern Portugal)”, in Dieter Haller & Chris Shore eds., *Corruption: Anthropological Perspectives* (Pluto Press).

Dreher, A. & T. Herzfeld. 2005. “The Economic Costs of Corruption: A Survey and New Evidence”, in F.N. De Luca ed., *Economic Corruption: Detection, Costs and Prevention* (Nova Science).

Dreher, A., P.G. Meon & F. Schneider. 2014. *The Devil Is in the Shadow. Do Institutions Affect Income and Productivity or Only Official Income and Official Productivity?* Public Choice

Dreher, Axel & Friedrich Schneider. 2010. *Corruption and the Shadow Economy: An Empirical Analysis*.

Dreher, Axel & Lars-H.R. Siemers. 2009. *The Intriguing Nexus Between Corruption and Capital Account Restrictions*.

Dreher, Axel & Martin Gassebner. 2013. *Greasing the Wheels? The Impact of Regulations and Corruption on Firm Entry*.

Dreher, Axel, Christos Kotsogiannis & Steve McCorrison. 2007. *Corruption Around the World: Evidence from a Structural Model*. Journal of Comparative Economics

Dreher, Axel, Christos Kotsogiannis & Steve McCorrison. 2009. *How Do Institutions Affect Corruption and the Shadow Economy?* International Tax & Public Finance

Drezner, Daniel W. & Nancy Hite-Rubin. 2014. does Military Power Attract (Corrupt) Foreign Investment? An Empirical Investigation. Working paper.

Drugov, Mikhail, John Hamman & Danila Serra. 2013. Intermediaries in Corruption: An experiment. *experimental Economics*

Drugov, Mikhail. 2010. Competition in Bureaucracy and Corruption. *Journal of Development Economics*

Drury, A. Cooper, Jonathan Kriekhaus & Michael Lusztig. 2006. Corruption, Democracy, and Economic Growth, *International Political Science Review*

Du, J. 2008. Corruption and Corporate Finance Patterns: An International Perspective. *Pacific Economic Review* 13: 183-208.

Dubois, Pascale H el ene & Aileen Elizabeth Nowlan. 2010. Global Administrative Law and the Legitimacy of Sanctions Regimes in International Law. *Yale Journal of International Law Online*

Dubois, Pascale H el ene et al. 2013. "Sanctions at the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank: Addressing Corruption and Fraud in Development Assistance", in Alessandra Del Debbio et al., eds., *Temas de Anticorrup ao & Compliance*

Dubois, Pascale Helene. 2012. Domestic and International Administrative Tools to Combat Fraud & Corruption: A Comparison of US Suspension and Debarment with the World Bank's Sanctions System. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*

Dubrovskiy, Vladimir. 2006. Towards Effective Anti-Corruption Strategies in Ukraine: Removing the Cornerstone without Toppling the Building. Centre for Social and Economic Research (Warsaw): *Studies & Analysis No. 322*.

Duckett, Jane. 2001. Bureaucrats in Business, Chinese Style: The Lessons of Market Reform and State Entrepreneurialism in the People's Republic of China. *World Development*

Dudas, Stefan & Nikolaos Tsolakidis. 2013. Host-State Counterclaims: A Remedy for Fraud or Corruption in Investment-Treaty Arbitration? *Transnational Dispute Management*

Dudley, L. & C. Montmarquette. 1987. Bureaucratic Corruption as a Constraint on Vote Choice.

Duflo, Esther & Petia Topalova. 2004. Underappreciated Service: Performance, Perceptions, and Women Leaders in India. Working paper.

Duflo, Esther, Michael Greenstone, Rohini Pande & Nicholas Ryan. 2013. Truth-Telling by Third-Party Auditors and the Response of Polluting Firms: experimental Evidence from India. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*

Duflo, Esther, Rema Hanna & Stephen P. Ryan. 2012. Incentives Work: Getting Teachers to Come to School. *American Economic Review*

Dufwenberg, Martin & Giancarlo Spagnolo. 2015. Legalizing Bribe Giving. *Economic Inquiry* 3.

Dugard, John. 2013. "Corruption: Is there a Need for a New Convention?", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D. Carrington eds., *Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role?* (Durham: Carolina Academic Press)

Duggan, M. & S. Levitt. 2002. Winning Isn't Everything: Corruption in Sumo Wrestling. *American Economic Review*

Dumas, L. J. Wedel. & G. Callman. 2010. *Confronting Corruption, Building Accountability: Lessons from the World of International Development Advising.* (New York: Palgrave).

Duncan, Christopher J. 2000. Comments on the 1998 Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Amendments: Moral Empiricism or Moral Imperialism? *Asian-Pacific Law & Policy Journal*

Duncan, Nick. 2006. "The Non-Perception Based Measurement of Corruption: A Review of Issues and Methods from a Policy Perspective", in Charles Sampford, Arthur Shacklock, Carmel Connors & Fredrik Galtung eds., *Measuring Corruption* (Ashgate)

Dunfee, Thomas W. & David Hess. 2001. Getting from Salbu to the "Tipping Point": The Role of Corporate Action Within a Portfolio of Anti-Corruption Strategies. *Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business*

Dusek, Libor, Andreas Ortman & Lizal Lubomir. 2005. Understanding Corruption and Corruptibility Through experiments. Prague Economic Papers

Dusha, E. 2015. Intermediated Corruption. International Economic Review.

Dussuyer, Inez, Stephen Mumford & Glenn Sullivan. 2012. “Reporting Corrupt Practices in the Public Interest: Innovative Approaches to Whistleblowing”, in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption

Dutt, Pushan & Daniel Traca. 2010. Corruption and Bilateral Trade Flows: Extortion or Evasion? Review of Economics & Statistics

Dutt, Pushan. 2009. Trade Protection and Bureaucratic Corruption: An Empirical Investigation. Canadian Journal of Economics

Dutta, Bhaskar & Poonam Gupta. 2012. How Indian Voters Respond to Candidates with Criminal Charges: Evidence from the 2009 Lok Sabha Elections. Working paper.

Dutta, I. & A. Mishra. 2004. Corruption and Competition in the Presence of Inequality and Market Imperfections. Working paper.

Dutta, Nabamita, Saibal Kar & Sanjukta Roy. 2013. Corruption and Persistent Informality: An Empirical Investigation for India. International Review of Economics & Finance

Duvanova, Denissa. 2012. Bureaucratic Discretion and the Regulatory Burden: Business Environments Under Alternative Regulatory Regimes. British Journal of Political Science

Duvanova, Dinissa. 2014. Economic Regulations, Red Tape, and Bureaucratic Corruption in Post-Communist Economies. World Development

Dwivedi, O.P., D.S. Mishra & Meera Mishra. 2009. “Combating Corruption in India: Challenges and Approaches”, in Gong, T. & S.K. Ma eds., Preventing Corruption in Asia: Institutional Design and Policy Capacity (London: Routledge), Dwyer, L., K. Golden & S. Lehman. 2014. Public Corruption. American Criminal Law Review

Dye, K. 2007. "Corruption and Fraud Detection by Supreme Audit Institutions", in A. Shah ed., Performance Accountability and Combating Corruption (World Bank).

Dye, K.M. & R. Stapenhurst. 1998. Pillars of Integrity: The Importance of Supreme Audit Institutions in Curbing Corruption (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

Dza, Mawuko, Rod Gapp & Ron Fisher. 2015. Taking the Professionalism Out of the Profession: A Study of Procurement and Africa. International Journal of Procurement Management 8(3):.

Dzamtovska-Zdrvkovska, S., J. Denkova & A. Majhosev. 2014. Transparency v.

Corruption: The Case of Republic of Macedonia. Bulgarian Journal of Science & Education Policy

Dzhumashev, Ratbek. 2014. Corruption and Growth: The Role of Governance, Public Spending, and Economic Development. Economic Modelling

Dzhumashev, Ratbek. 2014. The Two-Way Relationship Between Government Spending and Corruption and its Effects on Economic Growth. Contemporary Economic Policy

Ear, Sopal. 2007. does Aid Dependence Worsen Governance? International Public Management Journal

Earle, B.H. & A. Cava. 2014. The Penumbra of the United States' Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: Brazil's Clean Companies Act and Implications for the Pharmaceutical Industry. Richmond Journal of Global Law & Business

Earle, Beverly & Anita Cava. 2008. Are Anti-Corruption Efforts Paying Off? International and National Measures in the Asia-Pacific Region and Their Impact on India and Multinational Corporations. University of Hawaii Law Review

Earle, Beverly. 1996. The United States' Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the OECD - Anti-Bribery Recommendations: When Moral Suasion Won't Work, Try the Money Argument. Dick. J. Int'l L.

Earle, Beverly. 2000. Bribery and Corruption in Eastern Europe, the Baltic States, and the Commonwealth of Independent States: What Is To Be Done? Cornell International Law Journal

Easterly, William & R. Levine. 1997. Africa's Growth Tragedy: Politics and Ethnic Divisions. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*

Eboe-Osuji, Chile. 2005. "Kleptocracy: A Desired Subject of International Criminal Law that Is in Dire Need of Prosecution by Universal Jurisdiction", in Evelyn A. Ankumah & Edward K. Kwakwa eds., *African Perspectives on International Criminal Justice*

Echazu, Luciana & Nuno Garoupa. 2010. Corruption and the Distortion of Law Enforcement Effort. *American Law & Economics Review*.

Echazu, Luciana & Pinaki Bose. 2008. Corruption, Centralization, and the Shadow Economy. *Southern Economic Journal*

Echazu, Luciana. 2010. Corruption and the Balance of Gender Power. *Review of Law & Economics*4.

Ecker, Alejandro, Konstantin Glinitzer & Thomas M. Meyer. 2015. Corruption Performance Voting and the Electoral Context. *European Political Science Review* (forthcoming).

Efobi, Uchenna. 2015. Politicians' Attributes and Institutional Quality in Africa: A Focus on Corruption. *Journal of Economic Issues*

Egger, Peter & Hannes Winner. 2005. Evidence on Corruption as an Incentive for Foreign Direct Investment. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Egger, Peter & Hannes Winner. 2007. How Corruption Influences Foreign Direct Investment: A Panel Data Study. *Economic Development & Cultural Change*

Eggers, Andrew & Arthur Spirling. 2013. Guarding the Guardians: Legislative Self-Policing and Electoral Corruption in Victorian Britain. *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*

Eggers, Andrew C. & Jens Hainmueller. 2009. MPs for Sale? Returns to Office in Postwar British Politics. *American Political Science Review*

Eggers, Andrew C. & Jens Hainmueller. 2013. Capitol Losses: The Mediocre Performance of Congressional Stock Portfolios. *Journal of Politics*

Eggers, Andrew. 2014. Partisanship and Electoral Accountability: Evidence from the UK expenses Scandal. *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*

Egorov, Georgy, Sergei Guriev & Konstantin Sonin. 2009. Why Resource-Poor Dictators Allow Freer Media: A Theory and Evidence from Panel Data. *American Political Science Review*

Ehrlich, Isaac & Francis T. Lui. 1999. Bureaucratic Corruption and Endogenous Economic Growth, *Journal of Political Economy*

Eicher, T., C. Garcia-Penalosa & T. Van Yperspele. 2009. Education, Corruption, and the Distribution of Income. *Journal of Economic Growth*

Eigen, Peter. 2002. Measuring and Combating Corruption. *Policy Reform*

Eigen, Peter. 2007. Fighting Corruption in a Global Economy: Transparency Initiatives in the Oil and Gas Industry. *Houston Journal of International*

Einhorn, Aaron. 2007. The Evolution and Endpoint of Responsibility: The FCPA, SOX, Socialist-Oriented Governments, Gratuitous Promises, and a Novel CSR Code. *Denver Journal of International Law & Policy*

Eisler, Jacob. 2011. The Unspoken Institutional Battle Over Anticorruption: Citizens United, Honest Services, and the Legislative-Judicial Divide. *First Amendment Law Review*

Elbadawi, I. & R. Soto. 2012. Resource Rents, Political Economy and Economic Growth. Working paper.

Elbahnasawy, Nasr G. & Charles F. Revier. 2012. The Determinants of Corruption: Cross-Country-Panel-Data Analysis. *The Developing Economies*

Elbahnasawy, Nasr G. 2014. E-Government, Internet Adoption, and Corruption: An Empirical Investigation. *World Development*

Eldreidge, Tull. 2013. Without Bounds: Navigating Corporate Compliance Through Enforcement of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Arkansas Law Review*

Eliason, Randall D. 2009. Surgery with a Meat Axe: Using Honest Services Fraud to Prosecute Federal Corruption. *Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology*

Eliat, Y. & C. Zinnes. 2002. The Shadow Economy in Transition Countries: Friend or Foe? A Policy Perspective. *World Development*

Elliot, Kimberly Ann, ed. 1997. *Corruption and the Global Economy*. Washington, D.C.: Institute for International Economics.

Elliott, Kimberly Ann. "Corruption as an International Policy Problem: Overview and Recommendations", in Elliott, Kimberly Ann ed., *Corruption and the Global Economy* (Institute for International Economics)

Elliott, Kimberly Ann. 1998. The Problem of Corruption: A Tale of Two Countries. *Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business*

Ellis, S. 2006. The Roots of African Corruption. *Current History*

Emerson, Patrick M. 2002. Corruption and Industrial Dualism in Less Developed Countries. *Journal of International Trade & Economic Development*

Emerson, Patrick M. 2006. Corruption, Competition and Democracy. *Journal of Development Economics*

Enderwick, Peter. 2005. What's Bad About Crony Capitalism? *Asian Business & Management*

Engel, Christopher, Sebastian J. Georg & Gaoneng Yu. 2013. Symmetric vs. Asymmetric Punishment Regimes for Bribery. Working paper.

Engle, Eric. 2010. I Get By With a Little Help From My Friends? Understanding the U.K. Anti-Bribery Statute, by Reference to the OECD Convention and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *International Lawyer*

Engvall, J. 2014. Why Are Public Offices Sold in Kyrgyzstan? *Post-Soviet Affairs*

Engvall, Johan. 2012. Against the Grain: How Georgia Fought Corruption and What It Means. Central Asia-Caucasus Institute & Silk Road Studies Program, Silk Road Paper.

Eniayejuni, A. & N.S. Evcan. 2015. Nigeria: Corruption Arising from Bad Leadership. *European Scientific Journal*

Enikolopov, Ruben & Ekaterina Zhuravskaya. 2007. Decentralization and Political Institutions. *Journal of Public Economics*

Enikolopov, Ruben, Maria Petrova & Konstantin Sonin. 2013. Social Media and Corruption. Working paper.

Ensor, T. 2004. Informal Payments for Health Care in Transition Economies. *Social Science & Medicine*.

Epperly, B. & T. Lee. 2015. Corruption and NGO Sustainability: A Panel Study of Post-Communist States. *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary & Nonprofit Organizations*

Erard, Brian & Jonathan Feinstein. 1994. Honesty and Evasion in the Tax Compliance Game. *Rand Journal of Economics*

Eren, T. & A. Jimenez. 2015. Institutional Quality Similarity, Corruption Distance and Inward FDI in Turkey. *Journal for East European Management Studies*

Erlingsson, Gissur O., Andreas Bergh & Mats Sjolín. 2008. Public Corruption in Swedish Municipalities: Trouble Looming on the Horizon? *Local Government Studies*

Ernst, Joshua. 2011. The Dodd-Frank Whistleblower Provision: Throwing Fuel on the Fire for Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Compliance. *Arizona State Law Journal*.

Erwin, P.M. 2010. Corporate Codes of Conduct: The Effects of Code Content and Quality on Ethical Performance. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Esarey, Justin & Gina Chirillo. 2013. “Fairer Sex” or Purity Myth? Corruption, Gender, and Institutional Context. *Politics & Gender*.

Escaleras, M., N. Anbarchi & C. Register. 2007. Public Sector Corruption and Major Earthquakes: A Potentially Deadly Interaction. *Public Choice*

Escaleras, Monica, Shu Lin & Charles Register. 2010. Freedom of Information Acts and Public Sector Corruption. *Public Choice*

Escobar-Lemmon, M. & A.D. Ross. 2014. does Decentralization Improve Perceptions of Accountability? Attitudinal Evidence from Colombia. *American Journal of Political Science*

Escresa, Laarni & Lucio Picci. 2013. A New Cross-National Measure of Corruption. Working paper.

Eskeand, Gunnar S. & Henrik Thiele. 1999. Corruption Under Moral Hazard. World Bank Research Working Paper 2204.

Espinosa-Ramirez, R. 2015. Corruption, Trade, and Institutional Reforms. *International Journal of Trade & Global Markets*

Estache, A., A. Goicoechea & L. Trujillo. 2006. Utilities Reform and Corruption in Developing Countries. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper

Estache, Antonio & Liam Wren-Lewis. 2011. “Anti-Corruption Policy in Theories of Sector Regulation”, in *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption*, Vol. II. (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Etzioni, Amitai. 1988. *Capital Corruption: The New Attack on American Democracy*.

Everett, Jeff, D. Neu & A.S. Rahman. 2007. Accounting and the Global Fight Against Corruption. *Accounting, Organizations & Society*

Everhart, S.S., J. Martinez-Vazquez & R.M. McNab. 2009. Corruption, Governance, Investment and Growth in Emerging Markets. *Applied Economics*

Evrenk, H. 2011. Why a Clean Politician Supports Dirty Politics: A Game-Theoretical explanation for the Persistence of Political Corruption. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*

Evrensel, Ayse Y. 2009. Differences in Bank Regulation: The Role of Governance and Corruption. *Journal of Economic Policy Reform*

Ewoh, A.I.E., A. Matei & L. Matei. 2013. Corruption, Public Integrity, and Globalization in South-Eastern European States: A Comparative Analysis. *Theoretical & Applied Economics*

Faber, Gerrit & Michiel Gerritse. 2012. Foreign Determinants of Local Institutions: Spatial Dependence and Openness. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Fabrizi, Simona & Steffen Lippert. 2012. Corruption and Public Display of Wealth. University of Otago Economics Discussion Papers No. 1202.

Faccio, Mara & David C. Parsley. 2009. Sudden Deaths: Taking Stock of Geographic Ties. *Journal of Financial & Quantitative Analysis*

Faccio, Mara, Ronald W. Masulis & John J. McConnell. 2006. Political Connections and Corporate Bailouts. *Journal of Finance*

Faccio, Mara. 2006. Politically Connected Firms. *American Economic Review*

Fackler, Tim & Tse-min Lin. 1995. Political Corruption and Presidential Elections, 1929-1992. *Journal of Politics*

Factor, R. & M. Kang. 2015. Corruption and Population Health Outcomes: An Analysis of Data from 133 Countries Using Structural Equation Modeling. *International Journal of Public Health*

Fadairo, O. & A. Ladele. 2014. Attitudes and Perception of Corrupt Practices among Public Officials in the Agricultural Sector in Southwestern Nigeria. *Developing Country Studies*

Fafchamps, Marcel & Julien Labonne. 2013. Do Politicians' Relatives Get Better Jobs? Evidence from Municipal Elections in the Philippines. Working paper.

Fahr, R. & B. Djawadi. 2013. The Impact of Risk Perception and Risk Attitudes on Corrupt Behavior: Evidence from a Petty Corruption experiment. IZA Discussion Paper No. 738.

Falascetti, Dino & Gary Miller. 2001. Constraining the Leviathan: Moral Hazard and Credible Commitment in Constitutional Design. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*

Falkingham, J. 2004. Poverty, Out-of-Pocket Payments and Access to Health Care: Evidence from Tajikistan. *Social Science & Medicine*.

Fallon, F. & B.J. Cooper. 2015. Corporate Culture and Greed—The Case of the Australian Wheat Board. *Australian Accounting Review*.

Fan, C. Simon, Chen Lin & Daniel Treisman. 2009. Political Decentralization and Corruption: Evidence from Around the World, *Journal of Public Economics*

Fan, J., F. Guan, Z. Li & Y. Yang. 2014. Relationship Networks and Earnings Informativeness: Evidence from Corruption Cases. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*

Fan, Joseph P.H., Oliver Meng Rui & Mengxin Zhao. 2008. Public Governance and Corporate Finance: Evidence from Corruption Cases. *Journal of Comparative Economics*

Fan, Joseph P.H., Zengquan Li & Yong George Yang. 2010. Relationship Networks and Earnings Informativeness: Evidence from Corruption Cases. Working paper.

Fanjul, Jose Armando. 2008. Corporate Corruption in Latin America: Acceptance, Bribery, Compliance, Denial, Economics, and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Penn State International Law Review*

Fantaye, Dawit Kiros. 2004. Fighting Corruption and Embezzlement in Third World Countries. *Journal of Criminal Law*

Fariello, Frank A., Jr. & Conrad C. Daly. 2013. Coordinating the Fight against Corruption among MDBs: The Past, Present, and Future of Sanctions. *George Washington International Law Review*

Farla, K. 2014. Determinants of Firms' Investment Behavior: A Multilevel Approach. *Applied Economics*

Faruq, H., M. Webb & D. Yi. 2013. Corruption, Bureaucracy and Firm Productivity in Africa. *Review of Development Economics*

Fasano, Dominique T. 2012. *United States v. Aguilar: District Court Attempts Clarification of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act by Further Defining "Foreign Official"*. *Tulane Journal of International & Comparative Law*

Faughman, Brian M. & Mitchell A. Seligson. 2015. "Corruption in Latin America: A View from the AmericasBarometer" , in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge)

Fay, Christopher. 1995. Political Sleaze in France: Forms and Issues. *Parliamentary Aff.*

Fazekas, M. & I. Toth. 2014. From Corruption to State Capture: A New Analytical Framework with Empirical Applications from Hungary. Working paper.

Fazekas, Mihaly, Istvan Janos Toth & Lawrence Peter King. 2013. *Anatomy of Grand Corruption: A Composite Corruption Risk Index Based on Objective Data*. CRCB Working Paper.

Fazekas, Mihaly, Jana Chvalkovska, Jiri Skuhrovec, Istvan Janos Toth & Lawrence Peter King. 2013. *Are EU Funds a Corruption Risk? The Impact of EU Funds on Grand Corruption in Central and Eastern Europe*. CRCB Working Paper

Fedran, J., B. Dobovsek & B. Azman. 2015. *Assessing the Preventive Anti-Corruption Efforts in Slovenia*. *Varstvoslovje*

Feichtinger, Gustav & Franz Wirl. 1994. *On the Stability and Potential Cyclicity of Corruption in Governments Subject to Popularity Constraints*. *Mathematical Social Sciences*.

Feichtinger, Gustav. 2000. On the Economics of Corruption and Illicit Drugs. Applications of Mathematics and Statistics in Economy

Fein, E. & J. Weibler. 2014. Cognitive Basis for Corruption and Attitudes towards Corruption in Organizations Viewed from a Structuralist Adult Developmental Meta-Perspective. Behavioral Development Bulletin

Fein, E. & J. Weibler. 2014. Review and Shortcomings of Literature on Corruption in Organizations in Offering a Multi-Faceted and Integrative Understanding of the Phenomenon. Behavioral Development Bulletin

Feliciano, Florention P. 2012. Random Reflections on the Bar, Corruption and the Practice of Law. Philippine Law Journal

Fell, Dafydd. 2005. Political and Media Liberalization and Political Corruption in Taiwan. China Quarterly

Felson, Marcus. 2012. "Corruption in the Broad Sweep of History", in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption ,

Fendo, Julie. 2000. Attacking the Tools of Corruption: The Foreign Money Laundering Deterrence and Anticorruption Act of 1999. Fordham International Law Journal 23: 1540-1576.

Fernandez-Vazquez, Pablo, Pablo Barbera & Gonzalo Rivero. 2013. Rooting Out Corruption or Rooting For Corruption? The Heterogeneous Electoral Consequences of Scandals. Working paper.

Ferraz, Claudio & Frederico Finan. 2008. exposing Corrupt Politicians: The Effects of Brazil's Publicly Released Audits on Electoral Outcomes. Quarterly Journal of Economics

Ferraz, Claudio & Frederico Finan. 2010. Motivating Politicians: The Impact of Monetary Incentives on Quality and Performance. (Working paper)

Ferraz, Claudio & Frederico Finan. 2011. Electoral Accountability and Corruption: Evidence from the Audits of Local Governments. American Economic Review

Ferraz, Claudio, Frederico Finan & Diana B. Moreira. 2012. Corrupting Learning: Evidence from Missing Federal Education Funds in Brazil. *Journal of Public Economics*

Ferreras, Leticia. 2013. Corruption and Foreign Education. *Journal of Politics & Society*

Ferreyra-Orozco, Gabriel. 2010. Understanding Corruption in a State Supreme Court in Mexico: An Ethnographic Approach. *Human Organization*

Field Jr., Alfred, Luis A. Sosa & Xiaodong Wu. 2006. Effects of Endogenous Bribes on Foreign Direct Investment. Working paper.

Figueroa, J.R. 2012. Justice System Institutions and Corruption Control: Evidence from Latin America. *Justice Systems Journal*

Finan, Frederico & Laura Schechter. 2012. Vote Buying and Reciprocity. *Econometrica*.

Findley, Michael G., Daniel L. Nielson & Jason Sharman. 2015. Causes of Noncompliance with International Law: A Field experiment on Anonymous Incorporation. *American Journal of Political Science*

Findley, Michael, Daniel Nielson & Jascon C. Sharman. 2013. Using Field experiments in International Relations: A Randomized Study on Anonymous Incorporation. *International Organization*

Findley, Michael, Daniel Nielson & Jason Sharman. 2014. *Global Shell Games: experiments in Transnational Relations, Crime, and Terrorism* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).

Finnie, B.W., L. Gibson & D. McNabb. 2006. Economic Development: Corruption, Complexity, Wealth, and a Triad of Strains. *Humanomics*

Fiodendji, K. & K. Evlo. 2015. Do Institutions' Quality Affect FDI Inflows in Sub-Saharan African Countries? *Journal of Applied Finance & Banking*

Fiorino, N., E. Galli & F. Padovano. 2015. How Long does It Take for Government Decentralization to Affect Corruption? *Economics of Governance*

Fiorino, Nadia, Emma Galli & Fabio Padovano. 2013. "Do Fiscal Decentralization and Government Fragmentation Affect Corruption in Different Ways? Evidence from a Panel Data Analysis", in S. Lago-Penas & J. Martinez-Vazquez eds., *The Challenge of Local Government Size: Theoretical Perspectives, International experience and Policy Reform* - Cheltenham, U.K.

Fiorino, Nadia, Emma Galli & Ilaria Petrarca. 2012. *Corruption and Growth: Evidence from the Italian Regions*. *European Journal of Government & Economics*

Firger, Daniel M. 2012. *Transparency and the Natural Resource Curse: Examining the New Extraterritorial Information Forcing Rules in the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform Act of 2010*. *Georgetown International Law Journal*

Fischer, Ronald, Mária Cristina Ferreira, Taciano Milfont & Ronaldo Pilati. 2014.

Culture of Corruption? The Effects of Priming Corruption Images in a High Corruption Context. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*

Fisher, Elizabeth. 2010. *Transparency and Administrative Law: A Critical Evaluation*. *Current Legal Problems*

Fisman, R. & R. Gatti. 2006. *Bargaining for Bribes: The Role of Institutions*. Discussion Paper 5712, Center for Economic Policy and Research.

Fisman, Raymond & Edward Miguel. 2007. *Corruption, Norms, and Legal Enforcement:*

Evidence from Diplomatic Parking Tickets. *Journal of Political Economy*

Fisman, Raymond & Edward Miguel. 2008. *Economic Gangsters: Corruption, Violence, and the Poverty of Nations*. Princeton University Press

Fisman, Raymond & Roberta Gatti. 2002a. *Decentralization and Corruption: Evidence from U.S. Federal Transfer Programs*.

Fisman, Raymond & Roberta Gatti. 2002b. *Decentralization and Corruption: Evidence Across Countries*. *Journal of Public Economic*

Fisman, Raymond & Shang-Jin Wei. 2009. The Smuggling of Art, and the Art of Smuggling: Uncovering the Illicit Trade in Cultural Property and Antiques. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*.

Fisman, Raymond & Wei, Shang-Jin. 2004. Tax Rates and Tax Evasion: Evidence from 'Missing Imports' in China. *Journal of Political Economy*

Fisman, Raymond & Yongxiang Wang. 2013. The Mortality Cost of Political Connections. Working paper.

Fisman, Raymond & Yongxiang Wang. 2015. Corruption in Chinese Privatizations. *Journal of Law, Economics & Organization*

Fisman, Raymond J. & Jakob Svensson. 2007. Are Corruption and Taxation Really Harmful to Growth? Firm Level Evidence. *Journal of Development Economics*

Fisman, Raymond J., David Fisman, Julia Galef, Rakesh Khurana & Yongxiang Wang. 2012. Estimating the Value of Connections to Vice President Cheney. *B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy, Advances*.

Fisman, Raymond, Florian Schulz & Vikrant Vig. 2014. The Private Returns to Public Office. *Journal of Political Economy*.

Fisman, Raymond. 2001. Estimating the Value of Political Connections. *Am. Econ.*

Fisman, Raymond. 2015. "Political Connections and Commerce – A Global Perspective", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul Lagunes eds., *Greed, Corruption, and the Modern State*

Fitzsimons, Vincent G. 2007. "Economic Models of Corruption", in Sarah Bracking ed., *Corruption and Development: The Anti-Corruption Campaigns* (Palgrave Macmillan).

Fjelde, H. & H. Hegre. 2014. Political Corruption and Institutional Instability. *Studies in Comparative Institutional Development*

Fjelde, H. 2006. Buying Peace? Oil Wealth, Corruption and Civil War 1984-1999. (Working paper).

Fjeldstad, Odd-Helge & Bertil Tungodden. 2003. Fiscal Corruption: A Vice or Virtue?: A Reply. World Development

Fjeldstad, Odd-Helge & Bertil Tungodden. 2003. Fiscal Corruption: A Vice or Virtue? World Development

Fjeldstad, Odd-Helge & Jan Isaksen. 2008. Anti-Corruption Reforms: Challenges, Effects and Limits of World Bank Support. (Washington, D.C. World Bank IEG Working Paper no. 7)

Fjeldstad, Odd-Helge, Ivar Kolstad & Knut Nygaard. 2006. Bribes, Taxes and Regulations: Business Constraints for Micro Enterprises in Tanzania. (Bergen: Chr. Michelsen Institute, CMI Working Paper WP 2006: 2)

Fjeldstad, Odd-Helge. 2001. Taxation, Coercion and Donors: Local Government Tax Enforcement in Tanzania. Journal of Modern African Studies

Fjeldstad, Odd-Helge. 2003. Fighting Fiscal Corruption: Lessons from the Tanzania Revenue Authority. Public Administration and Development

Fjeldstad, Odd-Helge. 2006. "Corruption in Tax Administration: Lessons from Institutional Reforms in Uganda" in Susan Rose-Ackerman ed. International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption

Fjeldstad, Odd-Helge. 2009. The Pursuit of Integrity in Customs: experiences from Sub-Saharan Africa. (Bergen: Chr. Michelsen Institute, CMI Working Paper WP 2009: 8) Flatters, Frank & W.

Bentley MacLeod. 1995. Administrative Corruption and Taxation. International Tax and Public Finance

Fleischer, David. 1997. Political Corruption in Brazil: The Delicate Connection with Campaign Finance. Crime, Law & Social Change .

Fletcher, Claire & Daniela Herrmann. 2012. The Internationalisation of Corruption. Farnham: Ashgate.

Flyvbjerg, Bent & Eamonn Molloy. 2011. "Delusion, Deception and Corruption in Major Infrastructure Projects: Causes, Consequences and Cures", in *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption*, Vol. II. (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Foellmi, R. & M. Oeschlin. 2007. Who Gains from Non-Collusive Corruption? *Journal of Development Economics*.

Fogel, K. 2006. Oligarchic Family Control, Social Economic Outcomes, and the Quality of Government. *Journal of International Business Studies*

Foley, Veronica & Catina Haynes. 2009. The FCPA and its Impact in Latin America. *Currents: International Trade Law Journal*

Folke, Olle, Shigeo Hirano & James M. Snyder, Jr.. 2011. Patronage and Elections in US States. *American Political Science Review*

Follett, G. 2015. Facilitating Payments: Facilitating Poverty? *Alternative Law Journal*

Folliot-Lalliot, Laurence. 2014. "Introduction to the World Bank's Policies in the Fight Against Corruption and Conflicts of Interest in Public Contacts", in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., *Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach*

Foltz, J.D. & D.W. Bromley. 2012. Highway Robbery: The Economics of Petty Corruption in West African Trucking. Working paper.

Fombad, Charles Manga. 1999. Curbing Corruption in Africa: Some Lessons from Botswana's experience. *International Social Science Journal*

Fontana, A. & P. Gomes Pereira. 2012. Using Money Laundering Investigations to Fight Corruption in Developing Countries: Domestic Obstacles and Strategies to Overcome Them. *U4 Issue Brief 2012:9* (Bergen: CMI).

Ford, Cristie & David Hess. 2009. Can Corporate Monitorships Improve Corporate Compliance? *Journal of Corporation Law*

Ford, Cristie L. & David Hess. 2011. Corporate Monitorships and New Governance Regulation: In Theory, In Practice, and In Its Regulatory Context. Law & Policy

Forrest, David & Wolfgang Maennig. 2015. "The Threat to Sports Governance from Betting-Related Corruption: Causes and Solution" , in Paul M. Heywood ed., The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption (New York: Routledge)

Fort, Timothy L. & James J. Noone. 2000. Gifts, Bribes, and Exchange: Relationships in Non-Market Economies and Lessons for Pax E-Commercia. Cornell International Law Journal

Fortin-Rittberger, J. 2014. The Role of Infrastructural and Coercive State Capacity in explaining Different Types of Electoral Fraud. Democratization

Fox, J. 1994. The Difficult Transition from Clientalism to Citizenship: Lessons from Mexico. World Politics

Fox, Jonathan. 2007. The Uncertain Relationship Between Transparency and Accountability. Development in Practice

Fox, William. 2009. Adjudicating Bribery and Corruption Issues in International Commercial Arbitration. Journal of Energy & Natural Resources Law 27(3): 487-502.

Francken, Nathalie, Bart Minten & Johan F.M. Swinnen. 2009. Media, Monitoring, and Capture of Public Funds: Evidence from Madagascar. World Development

Frank, Bjorn & Gunther G. Schulze. 2000. does Economics Make Citizens Corrupt? Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization

Frank, Bjorn, Johann Graf Lambsdorff & Frederic Boehm. 2011. Gender and Corruption: Lessons from Laboratory Corruption experiments. European Journal of Development Research

Frankel, Jeffrey. 2012. "The Natural Resource Curse: A Survey of Diagnoses and Some Prescriptions", in Rabah Arezki & Zhu Min eds., Commodity Price Volatility and Inclusive Growth in Low-Income Countries (Washington, D.C. : International Monetary Fund).

Franklin, Aaron. 2010. Targeted Tariff Preferences to Reduce Corruption in Developing States. *Georgetown Journal of International Law*

Fraser, Stephen A. 2012. Placing the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act on the Tracks in the Race for Amnesty. *Texas Law Review*

Frechette, Guillaume R. 2006. Panel Data Analysis of the Time-Varying Determinants of Corruption. CIRANO Working Paper

Frederickson, H. George & David G. Frederickson. 1995. Public Perceptions of Ethics in Government. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*

Fredriksson, A. 2014. Bureaucracy Intermediaries, Corruption and Red Tape. *Journal of Development Economics*

Fredriksson, P.G. & D.L. Millimet. 2007. Legislative Organization and Pollution Taxation. *Public Choice* .

Fredriksson, P.G., E. Neumayer & G. Ujhelyi. 2007. Kyoto Protocol Cooperation: does Government Corruption Facilitate Environmental Lobbying? *Public Choice*

Fredriksson, P.G., H.R.J. Vollebergh & E. Dijkgraaf. 2004. Corruption and Energy Efficiency in OECD Countries: Theory and Evidence. *Journal of Environmental Economics & Management*

Fredriksson, Per G. & Herman R. J. Vollebergh. 2009. Corruption, Federalism, and Policy Formation in the OECD: The Case of Energy Policy. *Public Choice*

Fredriksson, Per G. & Jakob Svensson. 2003. Political Instability, Corruption and Policy Formation: The Case of Environmental Policy. *Journal of Public Economic*

Fredriksson, Per G. et al. 2003. Bureaucratic Corruption, Environmental Policy and Inbound US FDI: Theory and Evidence. *Journal of Public Economics*

Freille, Sebastian, M. Emranul Haque & Richard Kneller. 2007. A Contribution to the Empirics of Press Freedom and Corruption. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Freille, Sebastian, M. Emranul Haque & Richard Kneller. 2008. Federalism, Decentralization, and Corruption. (working paper)

Freund, Caroline, Mary Hallward-Driemeier & Bob Rijkers. 2013. Predictably Protracted: Firm-Level Evidence on Corruption and Policy Implementation Time. Working paper.

Fried, Brian J., Paul Lagunes & Atheendar Venkataramani. 2010. Corruption and Inequality at the Crossroad: A Multimethod Study of Bribery and Discrimination in Latin America. *Latin American Research Review*

Friedman, Eric et al. 2000. Dodging the Grabbing Hand: The Determinants of Unofficial Activity in 69 Countries. *Journal of Public Economics*

Friedrich, Carl J. 1972. "Corruption Concepts in Historical Perspective," in Heidenheimer, Johnson & LeVine eds. *Political Corruption: A Handbook*.

Frischmann, Eva. 2010. Decentralization and Corruption: A Cross-Country Analysis.

Fritz, Verena. 2007. Democratisation and Corruption in Mongolia. *Public Administration & Development*

Fritzen, S. 2005. Beyond "Political Will": How Institutional Context Shapes the Implementation of Anti-Corruption Policies. *Policy & Society*

Fritzen, S.A., S. Serritzlew & G.T. Svendsen. 2014. Corruption, Trust and Their Public Sector Consequences: Introduction to the Special Issue. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research & Practice*

Fritzen, Scott A. & Shreya Basu. 2012. "From Information to Indicators: Monitoring Progress in the Fight Against Corruption in Multi-Project, Multi-Stakeholder Organizations", in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., *Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption*

Froomkin, Saul M. 2004. Money Laundering, Corruption, and the Proceeds of Crime — An International Reality Check. *Asper Review of International Business & Trade Law*

Frost, J. & S. Tischer. 2014. Unmasking Collective Corruption: The Dynamics of Corrupt Routines. *European Management Review*

Frye, T. & E. Zhuravskaya. 2000. Rackets, Regulation and the Rule of Law. *Journal of Law, Economics & Organization*.

Frye, T. 2002. Capture or Exchange? Business Lobbying in Russia. *Europe-Asia Studies*

Frye, T., O.J. Reuter & D. Szakonyi. 2014. Political Machines at Work: Voter Mobilization and Electoral Subversion in the Workplace. *World Politics*

Fu, Hualing. 2014. Drawing the Sword: Xi's New Anti-Corruption Campaign. Working paper.

Fu, Hualing. 2015. "Wielding the Sword: President Xi's New Anti-Corruption Campaign", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul Lagunes eds., *Greed, Corruption, and the Modern State*

Fujiwara, Thomas & Leonard Wantchekon. 2013. Can Informed Public Deliberation Overcome Clientalism? experimental Evidence from Benin. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*

Fukumi, A. & S. Nishijima. 2010. Institutional Quality and Foreign Direct Investment in Latin America and the Caribbean. *Applied Economics*

Funaki, Y. & B. Glencorse. 2014. Anti-Corruption or Accountability? International Efforts in Post-Conflict Liberia. *Third World Quarterly*

Funderburk, Charles. 2012. *Political Corruption in Comparative Perspective*. Farnham: Ashgate.

Fungacova, Z., A. Kochanova & L. Weill. 2015. does Money Buy Credit? Firm-Level Evidence on Bribery and Bank Debt. *World Development*

Funk, C.L. 1996. The Impact of Scandal on Candidate Evaluations: An experimental Test of the Role of Candidate Traits. *Political Behavior*

Fursova, V. & G. Simons. 2014. Social Problems of Modern Russian Higher Education: The Example of Corruption. *International Education Studies*

Gaballand, Gael & Jean Francois Marteau. 2013. “Rents Extraction in the Sub-Saharan Africa Port Sector”, in Soreide, Tina & Aled Willams eds., *Corruption, Grabbing and Development* .

Gadowska, Kaja. 2010. National and International Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Case of Poland. *Global Crime*.

Gaivoronskaya, Y. & T. Filonenko. 2014. Issues of Applying Anti-Corruption Legislation in Criminal Investigation and Court Trial in the Russian Federation. *Asian Social Science*

Gaivoronskaya, Y. & T. Filonenko. 2015. Corruption in Russia: Cause and Effect Relations. *Asian Social Science*

Galang, R.M.N. 2012. Victim or Victimizer: Firm Responses to Government Corruption. *Jornal of Management Studies*

Galeotti, G. & A. Merlo. 1994. Political Collusion and Corruption in a Representative Democracy. *Public Finance*

Galinato, G. & S. Galinato. 2010. The Effects of Corruption Control and Political Stability on the Environmental Kuznets Curve of Deforestation-Induced Carbon Dioxide Emissions. Working paper.

Gallego, Jorge. 2015. Self-Enforcing Clientalism. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*

Galtung, Fredrik. 1998. Criteria for Sustainable Corruption Control. *European Journal of Development Research*

Galtung, Fredrik. 2005. “Measuring the Immeasurable: Boundaries and Functions of (Macro) Corruption Indices,” in C. Sampford et al. eds., *Measuring Corruption* (London: Ashgate)

Gambetta, Diego. “Corruption: An Analytical Map”, in S. Kotkin & A. Sajo eds., *Political Corruption in Transition: A Sceptic’s Handbook* (Budapest: Central European University Press).

Gamboa-Cavazos, Mario, Vidal Garza-Cantu & Carlos E. Salinas. 2007. The Organization of Corruption: Political Horizons and Special Interests. Working paper.

Gani, Azmat & Michael D. Clemes. 2015. Natural Resource exports and Corruption.

International Advances in Economic Research

Gans-Morse, Jordan, Sebastian Mazzuca & Simeon Nichter. 2014. Varieties of Clientalism: Machine Politics during Elections. American Journal of Political Science

Gantz, David A. 1997. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: Professional and Ethical Challenges for Lawyers. Ariz. J. Int'l & Comp. L.

Gantz, David A. 1998. Globalizing Sanctions Against Foreign Bribery: The Emergence of a New International Legal Consensus. Nw. J. Int'l L. & Bus.

Ganuza, J.J. & E. Hauk. 2004. Economic Integration and Corruption. International Journal of Industrial Organization

Gao, L. & J. Stanyer. 2014. Hunting Corrupt Officials Online: The Human Flesh Search Engine and the Search for Justice in China. Information, Communication & Society

Gao, Q., Q. Ying & D. Luo. 2015. Hidden Income and Occupational Background: Evidence from Guangzhou. Journal of Contemporary China

Garcia, Judit. 2014. The Effects and Corruption on Seigniorage on Growth and Inflation. Working paper.

Garcia-Murillo, M. 2010. The Effect of Internet Access on Government Corruption. Electronic Government

Garcia-Quesada, M., F. Jimenez & M. Villoria. 2015. Can't Control/Won't Control: Opportunities and Deterrents for Local Urban Corruption in Lanzarote. Crime, Law & Social Change

Garcia-Rodriguez, J.L., F.J. Garcia-Rodriguez, C. Castilla-Gutierrez & S.A. Major. 2015. Oil, Power, and Poverty in Angola. *African Studies Review*

Gardiner, John A. 1993. *Defining Corruption*. *Corruption & Reform*

Garimella, Sai Ramani. 2014. Normative Structure for Criminalising Corruption – The South Asian experience. *International Journal of Human Rights & Constitutional Studies*

Garmaev, Y., D. Stepanenko & R. Stepanenko. 2015. Judgments of the European Court of Human Rights as a Source of Recommendations on Fight Against Corruption: Prospects for Interdisciplinary Research. *Asian Social Science*

Garmaise, Mark J. & Jun Liu. 2005. Corruption, Firm Governance, and the Cost of Capital. Working paper.

Garment, Suzanne. 1991. *Scandal: The Culture of Mistrust in American Politics*.

Garoupa, Nuno & Daniel Klerman. 2004. Corruption and the Optimal Use of Nonmonetary Sanctions. *International Review of Law & Economics*

Garoupa, Nuno & Daniel M. Klerman. 2010. Corruption and Private Law Enforcement: Theory and History. *Review of Law & Economic*

Garoupa, Nuno & Mohamed Jellal. 2007. Further Notes on Information, Corruption, and Optimal Law Enforcement. *European Journal of Law and Economics*

Garoupa, Nuno. 1999. Dishonesty and Libel Law: The Economics of the “Chilling” Effect. *Journal of Institutional & Theoretical Economics*

Garoupa, Nuno. 1999. The Economics of Political Dishonesty and Defamation. *International Review of Law & Economics*

Garrett, Brandon L. 2007. *Structural Reform Prosecution*. *Virginia Law Review*

Garrett, Brandon L. 2011. Globalized Corporate Prosecutions. Virginia Law Review

Gastanga, V.M., J.B. Nugent & B. Pashamova. 1998. Host Country Reforms and FDI Inflows: How Much Difference Do They Make? World Development

Gathii, James Thuo. 1999. Corruption and Donor Reforms: expanding the Promises and Possibilities of the Rule of Law as an Anti-Corruption Strategy in Kenya. Connecticut Journal of International Law

Gathii, James Thuo. 1999. Good Governance as a Counter Insurgency Agenda to Oppositional and Transformative Social Projects in International Law. Buffalo Human Rights Law Review

Gathii, James Thuo. 2009. Defining the Relationship between Corruption and Human Rights. University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Law

Gatti, Roberta, Stefano Paternostro & Jamele Rigolini. 2003. Individual Attitudes Toward Corruption: Do Social Effects Matter? World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 3122.

Gatti, Roberta. 1999. Corruption and Trade Tariffs, or a Case for Uniform Tariffs. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 2216.

Gatti, Roberta. 2004. explaining Corruption: Are Open Countries Less Corrupt? Journal of International Development

Gauthier, Bernard & Albert Zeufack. 2012. "Cameroon's Oil Wealth: Transparency Matters!", in B. Akitoby & S. Coorey eds., Oil Wealth in Central Africa: Policies for Inclusive Growth (Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund)

Gauthier, Bernard & Jonathan Goyette. 2014. Taxation and Corruption: Theory and Firm-Level Evidence from Uganda. Applied Economics 46(23):

Gauthier, Bernard & Jonathan Goyette. 2015. Fiscal Policy and Corruption. Social Choice & Welfare (forthcoming).

Gauthier, Bernard & Mark Gersovitz. 1997. Revenue Erosion Through Exemption and Evasion in Cameroon. Journal of Public Economics

Gauthier, Bernard & Ritva Reinikka. 2006. Shifting Tax Burdens through Evasion and Exemptions: An Empirical Investigation of Uganda. *Journal of African Economics*

Gauthier, Bernard & Waly Wane. 2008. Leakage of Public Resources in the Health Sector: An Empirical Investigation of Chad. *Journal of African Economics*

Gaventa, J. & R. McGee. 2013. The Impact of Transparency and Accountability Initiatives. *Development Policy Review*

Gaviria, Alejandro. 2002. Assessing the Effects of Corruption and Crime on Firm Performance: Evidence from Latin America. *Emerging Markets Review*

Gawey, John S. 2011. The Hobbs Leviathan: The Dangerous Breadth of the Hobbs Act and Other Corruption Statutes. *Notre Dame Law Review*

Gayed, Jeremy N. 2003. "Corruptly": Why Corrupt State of Mind Is an Essential Element for Hobbs Act Extortion Under Color of Official Right. *Notre Dame Law Review*

Gberie, Lansana. 2002. War and Peace in Sierra Leone: Diamonds, Corruption and the Lebanese Connection. Working paper.

Gebel, A.C. 2012. Human Nature and Morality in the Anti-Corruption Discourse of Transparency International. *Public Administration & Development*

Gebeye, Berihun Adugna. 2011. Rethinking International Anti-Corruption Conventions (Lambert Academic Publishing).

Gebeye, Berihun Adugna. 2012. Corruption and Human Rights: exploring the Relationships. Working Paper.

Geddes, Barbara & Artur Ribeiro Neto. 1992. Institutional Sources of Corruption in Brazil. *Third World Quarterly*

Geddes, Barbara. 1991. A Game-Theoretic Model of Reform in Latin American Democracies. *American Political Science Review*

Gehlbach, S. & A. Simpser. 2015. Electoral Manipulation as Bureaucratic Control. *American Journal of Political Science*.

Genaux, Maryvonne. 2004. Social Sciences and the Evolving Concept of Corruption. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Geng, H. & H. Hennig-Schmidt. 2011. Sensitivity to Corruption: An experimental Investigation in China. Working paper.

Gentzkow, Matthew, Edward L. Glaeser & Claudia Goldin. 2006. "The Rise of the Fourth Estate: How Newspapers Became Informative and Why It Mattered", in Edward L. Glaeser & Claudia Goldin eds., *Corruption and Reform: Lessons from America's Economic History* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press)

Georgarakos, D. & S. Furth. 2015. Household Repayment Behavior: The Role of Social Capital and Institutional, Political, and Religious Beliefs. *European Journal of Political Economy*

George, Barbara Crutchfield & Kathleen A. Lacey. 2000. A Coalition of Industrialized Nations, Developing Nations, Multilateral Development Banks, and Non-Governmental Organizations: A Pivotal Complement to Current Anti-Corruption Initiatives. *Cornell International Law Journal*

George, Barbara Crutchfield & Kathleen A. Lacey. 2006. Investigation of Halliburton Co./TSKJ's Nigerian Business Practices: Model for Analysis of the Current Anti-Corruption Environment on Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Enforcement. *Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology*

George, Barbara Crutchfield et al. 1999. On the Threshold of the Adoption of Global Antibribery Legislation: A Critical Analysis of Current Domestic and International Efforts Toward the Reduction of Business Corruption. *Vand. J. Transnat'l L. .*

George, Barbara Crutchfield, Kathleen A. Lacey & Jutta Birmele. 2000. The 1998 OECD Convention: An Impetus for Worldwide Changes in Attitudes Toward Corruption in Business Transactions. *American Business Law Journal*

George, Bruce & Simon Kimber. 2015. Reflections on Election Fraud and Its Persistence in Modern Democracy. American Anti-Corruption Institute White Paper.

Georgis, Pete J. 2012. Settling with Your Hands Tied: Why Judicial Intervention Is Needed to Curb an expanding Interpretation of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Golden Gate University Law Review

Gephart, Malte. 2012. Contested Meanings of Corruption: International and Local Narratives in the Case of Paraguay. Working paper.

Gephart, Malte. 2013. Convergence, Divergence and a Complex Interplay: Chile and the International and Transnational Anti-Corruption Campaign. Working paper.

Gerardino, Maria Paula, Stephan Litschig & Dina D. Pomeranz. 2014. Monitoring Public Procurement: Evidence from a Regression Discontinuity Design in Chile. Working paper.

Gerring, John & Strom C. Thacker. 2004. Political Institutions and Corruption: The Role of Unitarism and Parliamentarism. British Journal of Political Science 34: 295-330.

Gerring, John & Strom C. Thacker. 2005. Do Neoliberal Policies Deter Political Corruption? International Organization

Gest, Nathaniel & Alexandru Grigorescu. 2009. Interactions among Intergovernmental Organizations in the Anti-Corruption Realm. Review of International Organizations

Getz, Kathleen A. & R.J. Volkema. 2001. Culture, Perceived Corruption, and Economics. Business & Society

Getz, Kathleen A. 2006. The Effectiveness of Global Prohibition Regimes: Corruption and the Antibribery Convention. Business & Society

Gevurtz, Franklin A. 1987. Commercial Bribery and the Sherman Act: The Case for Per Se Illegality. U. Miami L. Rev.

Gevurtz, Franklin A. 1987. Using the Antitrust Laws to Combat Overseas Bribery by Foreign Companies: A Step to Even the Odds in International Trade. Virginia Journal of International Law

Ghalwash, T. 2014. Corruption and Economic Growth: Evidence from Egypt. *Modern Economy*

Ghazanfar, S.M. & Karen S. May. 2000. Third World Corruption: A Brief Survey of the Issues. *Journal of Social, Political & Economic Studies*

Ghosh, Sugata & Andros Gregoriou. 2010. Can Corruption Favour Growth via the Composition of Government Spending? *Economics Bulletin*

Ghosh, Sugata & Jaideep Roy. 2009. Motivation, expertise, and Bureaucratic Embezzlement: Is There a Link? Working paper.

Ghosh, Sugata & Kyriakos C. Neanidis. 2013. Corruption, Fiscal Policy, and Growth: A Unified Approach. Working paper.

Ghura, Dhaneshwar. 1998. Tax Revenue in Sub-Saharan Africa: Effects of Economic Policies and Corruption. IMF Working Paper No. 98/135.

Giannoccolo, P. & M. Lisciandra. 2014. Political Corruption and Minority Capture. Working paper.

Giavazzi, Francesco & Guido Tabellini. 2005. Economic and Political Liberalizations. *Journal of Monetary Economics*.

Gibelman, Margaret & Sheldon R. Gelman. 2004. A Loss of Credibility: Patterns of Wrongdoing Among Non-Governmental Organizations. *Voluntas*

Giglioli, Pier Paolo. 1996. Political Corruption and the Media: The Tangentopoli Affair. *International Social Science Journal*

Gilbert, Michael D. & Benjamin F. Aiken. 2014. Disclosure and Corruption. *Election Law Journal* (forthcoming).

Giliomee, H. 1998. South Africa's Emerging Dominant Party Regime. *Journal of Democracy*

Gillanders, R. 2014. Corruption and Infrastructure at the Country and Regional Level. *Journal of Development Studies*

Gillespie, Kate & Gwenn Okruhlik. 1991. The Political Dimensions of Corruption Cleanups: A Framework for Analysis. *Comparative Politics*

Gillespie, Kate. 1997. Middle East Responses to the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Cal. Mgmt.*

Gilson, L. 1997. The Lessons of the User Fee experience in Africa. *Health Policy & Planning*

Gingerich, Daneil W. 2009a. Corruption and Political Decay: Evidence from Bolivia. *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*.

Gingerich, Daniel W. 2009b. Ballot Structure, Political Corruption, and the Performance of Proportional Representation. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*

Gingerich, Daniel W. 2014. Yesterday's Heroes, Today's Villains: Ideology, Corruption, and Democratic Performance. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*

Gino, Francesca & Joshua D. Margolis. 2011. Bringing Ethincs Into Focus: How Regulatory Focus and Risk Preferences Influence (Un)ethical Behavior. *Organizational Behavior & Human Decision Processes*

Gino, Francesca & Lamar Pierce. 2009. The Abundance Effect: Unethical Behavior in the Presence of Wealth. *Organizational Behavior & Human Decision Processes*

Gino, Francesca & Max H. Bazerman. 2009. When Misconduct Goes Unnoticed: The Acceptability of Gradual Erosion in Others' Ethical Behavior. *Journal of experimental Social Psychology*.

Gino, Francesca, Jun Gu & Chen-Bo Zhong. 2009. Contagion or Restitution? When Bad Applies Can Motivate Ethical Behavior. *Journal of experimental Social Psychology*

Gino, Francesca, Shahar Ayal & Dan Ariely. 2009. Contagion and Differentiation in Unethical Behavior: The Effect of One Bad Apple on the Barrel. *Psychological Science*

Giovannoni, Francesco & Daniel J. Seidmann. 2014. Corruption and Power in Democracies. *Social Choice & Welfare*

Giraud, John P. 2005. Charitable Contributions and the FCPA: Schering-Plough and the Increasing Scope of SEC Enforcement. *Business Lawyer*

Giudice, Lauren. 2011. Regulating Corruption: Analyzing Uncertainty in Current Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Enforcement. *Boston University Law Review*

Givant, Norman. 1994. The Sword that Shields: The US Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Can Protect US Firms Doing Business in China. *China Business Review* (May-June) 29.

Glaeser, Edward L. & Claudia Goldin. 2006. *Corruption and Reform: Lessons from America's Economic History*. University of Chicago Press.

Glaeser, Edward L. & Raven E. Saks. 2006. Corruption in America. *Journal of Public Economics*

Glaeser, Edward L. 2004. Public Ownership in the American City, in A.E. Schwartz ed., *Urban Issues and Public Finance* (Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar)

Glaeser, Edward L., Rafael LaPorta, Florencio Lopez-de-Silanes & Andrei Shleifer. 2004. Do Institutions Cause Growth? *Journal of Economic Growth*

Glaeser, Edward, Jose Scheinkman & Andrei Shleifer. 2003. The Injustice of Inequality. *Journal of Monetary Economics*

Glass, Amy & Xiaodong Wu. 2004. Which Type of Foreign Direct Investment Is Most Hurt by Corruption? Working paper.

Gledhill, J. 2003. Old Economy, New Economy; Old Corruption, New Corruption. *Social Analysis*

Glewwe, P. et al. 2010. Teacher Incentives. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*

Global Witness. 2009. *Undue Diligence: How Banks Do Business with Corrupt Regimes* (London: Global Witness).

Gloppen, Siri. 2013. "Courts, Corruption and Judicial Independence", in Soreide, Tina & Aled Willams eds., *Corruption, Grabbing and Development* .

Godinez, J. & L. Liu. 2015. *Corruption, Distance, and FDI Flows into Latin America*. *International Business Review*

Godlee, F. 2015. *Medical Corruption in the UK*. *BMJ: British Medical Journal*.

Goel, Rajeev & U. Mazhar. 2015. *A Replication of "Corruption and Elections: An Empirical Study for a Cross-Section of Countries (Economics & Politics 2009)*. *Public Finance Review*

Goel, Rajeev K. & Daniel P. Rich. 1989. *On the Economic Incentive for Taking Bribes*. *Public Choice*

Goel, Rajeev K. & Iikka Korhonen. 2011. *exports and Cross-National Corruption: A Disaggregated Examination*. *Economic Systems*

Goel, Rajeev K. & J.W. Saunoris. 2014. *Global Corruption and the Shadow Economy: Spatial Aspects*. *Public Choice*.

Goel, Rajeev K. & Michael A. Nelson. 1998. *Corruption and Government Size: A Disaggregated Analysis*. *Public Choice*.

Goel, Rajeev K. & Michael A. Nelson. 2005. *Economic Freedom versus Political Freedom: Cross-Country Influences on Corruption*. *Australian Economic*

Goel, Rajeev K. & Michael A. Nelson. 2007. *Are Corrupt Acts Contagious?: Evidence from the United States*. *Journal of Policy Modeling*

Goel, Rajeev K. & Michael A. Nelson. 2010. *Causes of Corruption: History, Geography and Government*. *Journal of Policy Modeling*

Goel, Rajeev K. & Michael A. Nelson. 2011. Government Fragmentation versus Fiscal Decentralization and Corruption. Public Choice

Goel, Rajeev K. & Michael A. Nelson. 2011. Measures of Corruption and Determinants of U.S. Corruption. Economics of Governance

Goel, Rajeev K. & Michael A. Nelson. 2014. Whistleblower Laws and exposed Corruption in the United States. Applied Economics

Goel, Rajeev K. 2014. Insurance Fraud and Corruption in the United States. Applied Financial Economics

Goel, Rajeev K. 2014. PACKing a Punch: Political Action Committees and Corruption. Applied Economics

Goel, Rajeev K., J. Budak & E. Rajh. 2015. Private Sector Corruption and Effectiveness of Anti-Corruption Policies. Applied Economics Letters

Goel, Rajeev, Michael A. Nelson & M.A. Naretta. 2012. The Internet as an Indicator of Corruption Awareness. European Journal of Political Economy

Goerke, L. 2008. Bureaucratic Corruption and Profit Tax Evasion. Economics of Governance

Goetz, Anne-Marie. 2007. Political Cleaners: Women as the New Anti-Corruption Force? Development & Change

Gokcekus, O. & R. Mukherjee. 2002. Public Sector Corruption and Gender Perceptions of Public Officials from Six Developing and Transition Countries. (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

Gokcekus, O., E. Muchova & Z. Brincikova. 2015. Level and Quality of Openness and Corruption in ECA Countries. Applied Economics Letters.

Gokcekus, Omer & Jan Knorich. 2006. does Quality of Openness Affect Corruption? Economic Letters

Goldbarg, Andrea. 2000. *The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and Structural Corruption*. Boston University International Law Journal

Golden, Miriam & Eric C.C. Chang. 2001. *Competitive Corruption: Factional Conflict and Political Malfeasance in Postwar Italian Christian Democracy*. *World Politics*

Golden, Miriam & Lucio Picci. 2005. *Proposal for a New Measure of Corruption, Illustrated with Italian Data*. *Economics & Politics*

Golden, Miriam A. & Paasha Mahdavi. 2015. "The Institutional Components of Political Corruption", in Gandhi, Jennifer & Ruben Ruiz-Rufino eds., *Routledge Handbook of Comparative Political Institutions* (New York: Routledge Press).

Golden, Miriam A. 2003. *Electoral Connections: The Effects of the Personal Vote on Political Patronage, Bureaucracy and Legislation in Postwar Italy*. *British Journal of Political Science*

Golden, Miriam A. 2012. *Corruption in the Wealthy World*. *Brown Journal of World Affairs*

Goldman, E., J. Rocholl & J. So. 2013. *Politically Connected Boards of Directors and the Allocation of Procurement Contracts*. *Review of Finance*

Goldsmith, Arthur A. 1999. *Slapping the Grasping Hand: Correlates of Political Corruption in Emerging Markets*. *American Journal of Economics & Sociology*

Goldsmith, Arthur A. 1999. *Slapping the Grasping Hand: Correlates of Political Corruption in Emerging Markets*. *American Journal of Economics & Sociology*

Golumbic, Court E. & Jonathan P. Adams. 2011. *The "Dominant Influence" Test: The FCPA's "Instrumentality" and "Foreign Official" Requirements and the Investment Activity of Sovereign Wealth Funds*. *American Journal of Criminal Law*

Gong, T. & N. Zhou. 2015. *Corruption and Marketization: Formal and Informal Rules in Chinese Public Procurement*. *Regulation & Governance*

Gong, T. & Stephen Ma. 2012. *Preventing Corruption in Asia*. London: Taylor and Francis.

Gong, T. 2002. Dangerous Collusion: Corruption as a Collective Venture in Contemporary China. *Communist & Post-Communist Studies*.

Gong, T. 2015. Managing Government Integrity Under Hierarchy: Anti-Corruption Efforts in Local China. *Journal of Contemporary China*

Gong, Ting & Jianming Ren. 2013. Hard Rules and Soft Constraints: Regulating Conflict of Interest in China. *Journal of Contemporary China*

Gong, Ting & Shiru Wang. 2013. Indicators and Implications of Zero Tolerance of Corruption: The Case of Hong Kong. *Social Indicators Research*

Gong, Ting. 1993. Corruption and Reform in China: An Analysis of Unintended Consequences. *Crime, Law, and Social Change*

Gong, Ting. 2006. Corruption and Local Governance: The Double Identity of Chinese Local Governments in Market Reform. *Pacific Review*

Gong, Ting. 2009. "The Institutionalization of Party Discipline in China: Dynamics and Dilemmas", in Gong, T. & S.K. Ma eds., *Preventing Corruption in Asia: Institutional Design and Policy Capacity* (London: Routledge),

Gong, Ting. 2011. An "Institutional Turn" in Integrity Management in China. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*

Gonzales, M.H., M.B. Kovera, J.L. Sullivan & V. Chanley. 1995. Private Reactions to Public Transgressions: Predictors of Evaluative Responses to Allegations of Political Misconduct. *Personality & Social Psychology Bulletin*

Gonzalez, Alvaro, J. Ernesto Lopez-Cordova & Elio E. Valladares. 2007. The Incidence of Graft on Developing-Country Firms. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 4394*.

Gonzalez, J.A. & L.R. Perez Floriano. 2015. If You Can't Take the Heat: Cultural Beliefs About Questionable Conduct, Stigma, Punishment, and Withdrawal Among Mexican Police Officers. *Organizational Studies* 36(5): 665-687.

Gonzalez, Luis, Werner Guth & M. Vittoria Levati. 2007. Speeding Up Bureaucrats by Greasing Them – An experimental Study. *Homo Oeconomicus*

Gonzalez-Fernandez, M. & C. Gonzalez-Velasco. 2014. Shadow Economy, Corruption and Public Debt in Spain. *Journal of Policy Modeling*.

Gonzalez-Ocantos, Ezequiel, Chad Kiewiet de Jonge, Carlos Melendez, Javier Osorio & David Nickersen. 2012. Vote Buying and Social Desirability Bias: experimental Evidence from Nicaragua. *American Journal of Political Science*

Good, Kenneth. 1994. Corruption and Mismanagement in Botswana: A Best-Case Example? *Journal of Modern African Studies*

Goodhand, Jonathan. 2008. Corruption or Consolidating the Peace? The Drugs Economy and Post-Conflict Peacebuilding in Afghanistan. *International Peacekeeping* 15(3): 405-423.

Goodspeed, Timothy, Jorge Martinez-Vazquez & Li Zhang. 2011. Public Policies and FDI Location: Differences Between Developing and Developed Countries. *FinanzArchiv: Public Finance Analysis*

Gooroochurn, N. & H. Gorg. 2015. Corruption and Productivity: Firm-Level Evidence. *Jahrbucher Fur Nationalokonomie Und Statistik*

Gordon, Daniel I. 2014. “Protecting the Integrity of the U.S. Federal Procurement System: Conflict of Interest Rules and Aspects of the System that Help Reduce Corruption”, in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., *Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach*

Gordon, Kathryn & Maiko Miyake. 2001. Business Approaches to Combating Bribery: A Study of Codes of Conduct. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Gordon, R. & Li, W. 2009. Tax Structures in Developing Countries: Many Puzzles and a Possible explanation. *Journal of Public Economics*

Gordon, Sanford C. & Gregory A. Huber. 2009. The Political Economy of Prosecution. *Annual Review of Law & Social Science*

Gordon, Sanford C. 2009. Assessing Partisan Bias in Federal Public Corruption Prosecutions. American Political Science Review

Gorman, T.O. 2015. The Origins of the FCPA: Lessons for Effective Compliance and Enforcement. Securities Regulation Law Journal

Gorodnichenko, Yuriy & Klara Sabirianova Peter. 2007. Public Sector Pay and Corruption: Measuring Bribery from Micro Data. Journal of Public Economics

Gorta, Angela & Suzie Forell. 1995. Layers of Decision: Linking Social Definitions of Corruption and Willingness to Take Action. Crime, Law & Social Change

Gorta, Angela. 1998. Minimising Corruption: Applying Lessons from the Crime Prevention Literature. Crime, Law & Social Change

Gouda, Moamen & Sang-Min Park. 2015. Religious Loyalty and Acceptance of Corruption. Journal of Economics & Statistics

Goudie, A.W. & D. Stasavage. 1998. A Framework for the Analysis of Corruption. Crime, Law & Social Change

Goudie, Andrew W. & David Stasavage. 1999. Corruption: The Issues. OECD Development Centre Working Paper No. 122.

Gould, David J. & Jose A. Amaro-Reyes. 1983. The Effects of Corruption on Administrative Performance: Illustrations from Developing Countries. World Bank Staff Working Paper No. 580.

Goyette, J. 2014. The Determinants of the Size Distribution of Firms in Uganda. European Journal of Development Research

Graeff, P. & G. Mehlkop. 2003. The Impact of Economic Freedom on Corruption: Different Patterns for Rich and Poor Countries. European Journal of Political Economy

Graham, John L. 1984. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: A New Perspective. Journal of International Business Studies

Gramckow, Heike. 2011. Preventing Corruption in Prosecutors Offices: Understanding and Managing for Integrity. World Bank Justice & Development Working Papers 15.

Granovetter, Mark. 2007. "The Social Construction of Corruption", in Victor Nee & Richard Swedberg eds., *On Capitalism* (Stanford University Press),

Gratton, Gabriele. 2013. The Sound of Silence: Political Accountability and Libel Law. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Gray, C.W., J. Hellman & R. Ryterman. 2004. *Anticorruption in Transition 2: Corruption in Enterprise-State Interactions in Europe and Central Asia, 1999-2002*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.

Gray, H. 2015. *The Political Economy of Grand Corruption in Tanzania*. African Affairs

Gray, Larissa. 2012. "Recovering Corruptly Obtained Assets", in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., *Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption* ,

Graycar, A. & O. Monaghan. 2015. *Rich Country Corruption*. *International Journal of Public Administration*

Graycar, Adam & A. Sidebottom. 2012. *Corruption and Control: A Corruption Reduction Approach*. *Journal of Financial Crime*.

Graycar, Adam & Diego Villa. 2011. *The Loss of Governance Capacity through Corruption*. *Governance*

Graycar, Adam & Tim Prenzler. 2013. *Understanding and Preventing Corruption*. (Palgrave Pivot).

Graycar, Adam. 2015. *Corruption: Classification and Analysis*. *Policy & Society*

Gray-Molina, George, Ernesto Perez de Rada & Ernesto Yanez. 1999. *Transparency and Accountability in Bolivia: does Voice Matter?* IADB Working Paper

GRECO. 2011. Lessons Learnt from the Three Evaluation Rounds (2000-2010).

Green, A.J. 2012. United States v. Renzi: Reigning in the Speech or Debate Clause to Fight Corruption in Congress Post- Rayburn. *BYU Law Review*

Green, E. 2010. Patronage, District Creation, and Reform in Uganda. *Studies in Comparative International Development*

Green, P. & T. Ward. 2004. *State Crime: Governments, Violence and Corruption* (London: Pluto Press).

Green, Penny. 2005. Disaster by Design: Corruption, Construction and Catastrophe. *British Journal of Criminology*.

Green, S. 2005. “What’s Wrong with Bribery?”, in R. Duff & S. Green eds., *Defining Crimes: Essays on Special Part of the Criminal Law* (Oxford University Press).

Greenhill, Kelly M. 2009. “Kleptocratic Interdependence: Trafficking, Corruption, and the Marriage of Politics and Illicit Profits”, in Robert I. Rotberg ed., *Corruption, Global Security, and World Order*.

Gregory, R. 2015. Political Independence, Operational Impartiality, and the Effectiveness of Anti-Corruption Agencies. *Asian Education & Development Studies*

Gregory, Robert J. 1999. Social Capital Theory and Administrative Reform: Maintaining Ethical Probity in Public Service. *Public Administration Review*

Griffin, Lisa Kern. 2011. The Federal Common Law of Corruption. *North Carolina Law Review*

Grigoli, Francesco & Zachary Mills. 2011. Do High and Volatile Levels of Public Investment Suggest Misconduct? The Role of Institutional Quality. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 5735*.

Grigorescu, Alexandru. 2006. The Corruption Eruption in East-Central Europe: The Increased Salience of Corruption and the Role of Intergovernmental Organizations. *Eastern European Politics & Societies*

Grimes, M. 2008. The Conditions of Successful Civil Society Involvement in Combating Corruption: A Survey of Case Study Evidence. Working paper.

Grimes, Marcia & Lena Wangnerud. 2010. Curbing Corruption through Social Welfare Reform? The Effects of Mexico's Conditional Cash Transfer Program on Good Government. *American Review of Public Administration*

Grimes, Marcia. 2013. The Contingencies of Societal Accountability: Examining the Link Between Civil Society and Good Government. *Studies in Comparative International Development*

Grimm, Daniel J. 2010. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act in Merger and Acquisition Transactions: Successor Liability and Its Consequences. *NYU Journal of Law & Business*

Grodeland, Ase Berit. 2010. Elite Perceptions of Anti-Corruption Efforts in Ukraine. *Global Crime*

Groenendijk, Nico. 1997. A Principal-Agent Model of Corruption. *Crime, Law & Social Change*.

Gronlund, K. & M. Setala. 2011. In Honest Officials We Trust: Institutional Confidence in Europe. *American Review of Public Administration*.

Grooms, K. 2015. Enforcing the Clean Water Act: The Effect of State-Level Corruption on Compliance. *Journal of Environmental Economics & Management*

Groseclose, Timothy & Keith Krehbiel. 1994. Golden Parachutes, Rubber Checks, and Strategic Retirement from the 102d House. *American Journal of Political Science*

Grosfeld, I. & E. Zhuravskaya. 2015. Cultural vs. Economic Legacies of Empires: Evidence from the Partition of Poland. *Journal of Comparative Economics*

Gthnji, M. & F. Holmquist. 2012. Reform and Political Impunity in Kenya: Transparency without Accountability. *African Studies Review*

Gualiteri, Donato. 2013. A Helping Hand: The Influence of the 1997 OECD Convention in the Italian Fight Against Corruption. *International Journal of Public Law & Policy*

Guasch, J. Luis & Stephane Straub. 2009. Corruption and Concession Renegotiations: Evidence from the Water and Transport Sectors in Latin America. *Utilities Policy*

Guasch, J. Luis, Jean-Jacques Laffont & Stephane Straub. 2008. Renegotiation of Concession Contracts in Latin America: Evidence from the Water and Transport Sectors.

International Journal of Industrial Organization

Gueorguiev, Dimitar & Edmund Malesky. 2012. Foreign Investment and Bribery: A Firm-Level Analysis of Corruption in Vietnam. *Journal of Asian Economics*

Guerrero, Manuel Alejandro. 2008. On the Individual Decision to Commit Corruption: A Methodological Complement. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* .

Guetat, I. 2006. The Effects of Corruption on Growth Performance of the MENA Countries. *Journal of Economics and Finance* 30: 208-221.

Guiso, L., P. Sapienza & L. Zingales. 2009. Cultural Biases in Economic Exchange

Quarterly Journal of Economics.

Gulati, M. & M.Y. Rao. 2007. “Corruption in the Electricity Sector: A Pervasive Scourge”, in Campos, J. & S. Pradhan eds. *The Many Faces of Corruption: Tackling Vulnerabilities at the Sector Level* (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

Gundlach, Erich & Martin Paldam. 2009. The Transition of Corruption: From Poverty to Honesty. *Economics Letters*

Gunnar, H.K. 2015. Political Control and Perceptions of Corruption in Icelandic Local Government. *Stjornmal Og Stjornsysla*.

Guo, X. 2014. Controlling Corruption in the Party: China’s Central Discipline Inspection Commission. *China Quarterly* 219: 597-624.

Guo, Y. & S. Li. 2015. Anti-Corruption Measures in China: Suggestions for Reforms. Asian Education & Development Studies

Gupta, A. 2005. Narratives of Corruption: Anthropological and Fictional Accounts of the Indian State. Ethnography

Gupta, Akhil. 1995. Blurred Boundaries: The Discourse of Corruption, the Culture of Politics, and the Imagined State. American Ethnologist

Gupta, Akhil. 2005. "Narrating the State of Corruption", in Dieter Haller & Chris Shore eds., Corruption: Anthropological Perspectives (Pluto Press).

Gupta, Manash Ranjan & Sarbajit Chaudhuri. 1997. Formal Credit, Corruption and the Informal Credit Market in Agriculture: A Theoretical Analysis. Economica

Gupta, Sanjeev, Hamid Davoodi & Erwin Tiongson. 2000. Corruption and the Provision of Health Care and Education Services. IMF Working Paper No. 00/116.

Gupta, Sanjeev, Hamid R. Davoodi & Rosa Alonso-Terme. 2002. does Corruption Affect Income Inequality and Poverty? Economics of Governance

Gupta, Sanjeev, Luiz R. De Mello & Raju Sharan. 2001. Corruption and Military Spending. European Journal of Political Economy

Gurgur, Tugrul & Anwar Shah. 2005. Localization and Corruption: Panacea or Pandora's Box? World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 3486.

Guriev, Sergei. 2004. Red Tape and Corruption. Journal of Development Economics

Gutmann, Jerg 2015. Believe, But Verify? The Effect of Market Structure on Corruption in Religious Groups. Kyklos

Gutmann, Jerg, Fabio Padovano & Stefan Voigt. 2015. Perception vs. experience: explaining Differences in Corruption Measures Using Microdata. Working paper.

Gutterman, E. 2015. Easier Done than Said: Transnational Bribery, Norm Resonance, and the Origins of the US Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Foreign Policy Analysis*

Gutterman, Ellen. 2013. Easier Done Than Said: Transnational Bribery, Norm Resonance, and the Origins of the US Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Foreign Policy Analysis*.

Gutterman, Ellen. 2014. The Legitimacy of Transnational NGOs: Lessons from the experience of Transparency International in Germany and France. *Review of International Studies*

Güveneli, T. & R. Sanyal. 2012. Perception and Understanding of Bribery in International Business. *Ethics & Behavior*

Gyimah-Brempong, K. & S.M. De Camacho. 2006. Corruption, Growth, and Income Distribution: Are There Regional Differences? *Economics of Governance*

Gyimah-Brempong, K. 2001. Corruption, Economic Growth, and Income Inequality in Africa. *Economics & Governance*

Haber, S. & V. Menaldo. 2011. Do Natural Resources Fuel Authoritarianism? A Reappraisal of the Resource Curse. *American Political Science Review*

Habib, Mohsin & Leon Zurawicki. 2001. Country-Level Investments and the Effect of Corruption – Some Empirical Evidence. *International Business Review*

Habib, Mohsin & Leon Zurawicki. 2002. Corruption and Foreign Direct Investment. *Journal of International Business Studies*

Habib, Moshin & Leon Zurawicki. 2002. Corruption in the Context of International Business – Conceptual and Methodological Issues. *Journal of Transnational Management Development*

Hadamovsky, M. 2012. On the Relationship between Corruption and Migration. Working paper.

Hafner, O. 1998. The Role of Corruption in the Misappropriation of Tropical Forest Resources and in Tropical Forest Destruction. Working paper.

Hagenbuch, Stephen. 2012. Taming “Instrumentality”: The FCPA’s Legislative History Requires Proof of Government Control. University of Chicago Legal Forum

Hakkala, K., P. Norback & H. Svaleryd. 2002. Asymmetric Effects of Corruption on FDI: Evidence from Swedish Multinational Firms. Review of Economics & Statistics

Halim, Nafisa. 2008. Testing Alternative Theories of Bureaucratic Corruption in Less Developed Countries. Social Science Quarterly

Hall, D. 1999. Privatisation, Multinationals and Corruption. Development in Practice

Hall, Kath. 2013. Strategic Privatisation of Transnational Anti-Corruption Regulation. Australian Journal of Corporate Law

Hall, R.E. & C.I. Jones. 1999. Why Do Some Countries Produce So Much More Output Per Worker Than Others? Quarterly Journal of Economics

Hall, Richard L. & Robert P. van Houweling. 1995. Avarice and Ambition in Congress: Representatives’ Decisions to Run or Retire from the U.S. House. American Political Science Review

Hall, Thomas & Glenn Yago. 2000. Estimating the Cost of Opacity Using Sovereign Bond Spreads. Milken Institute Policy Brief No. 13.

Hall-Matthews, David. 2007. “Tickling Donors and Tackling Opponents: The Anti-Corruption Campaign in Malawi”, in Sarah Bracking ed., Corruption and Development: The Anti-Corruption Campaigns (Palgrave Macmillan).

Hallward-Driemeier, Mary & Lant Pritchett. 2011. How Business Is Done and the “Doing Business” Indicators: The Investment Climate when Firms Have Climate Control. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 5563.

Hallward-Driemeier, Mary. 2009. Who Survives? The Impact of Corruption, Competition and Property Rights Across Firms. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 5084.

Hamilton-Hart, Natasha. 2001. Anti-Corruption Strategies in Indonesia. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*

Hamra, Wayne. 2000. Bribery in International Business Transactions and the OECD Convention: Benefits and Limitations. *Business Economics*

Han Ping, Luke, Davin Chor, Quoc-Anh Do, & Karine Serfaty-de Medeiros. 2013. Corruption, Delays, and the Pattern of Trade. Working paper.

Hancock, Graham. 1989. *Lords of Poverty: The Power, Prestige, and Corruption of the International Aid Business* (Boston: Atlantic Monthly Press).

Hand, Jacqueline. 2005. Government Corruption and exploitation of Indigenous Peoples. *Santa Clara Journal of International Law*

Hanf, Matthieu, Astrid Van-Melle, Florence Fraisse, Amaury Roger, Bernard Carme & Mathieu Nacher. 2011. *Corruption Kills: Estimating the Global Impact of Corruption on Children Deaths*.

Hankiss, Elemer. 2002. "Games of Corruption: East Central Europe,

Kotkin & A. Sajo eds., *Political Corruption in Transition: A Sceptic's Handbook* (Budapest: Central European University Press).

Hanley, Sean & Allan Sikk. 2014. *Economy, Corruption or Floating Voters? explaining the Breakthroughs of Anti-Establishment Reform Parties in Eastern Europe*. Party Politics (forthcoming).

Hanlon, J. 2004. How Northern Donors Promote Corruption: Tales from the New Mozambique. *Corner House Briefing* 33.

Hanna, Rema & Shing-Yi Wang. 2013. Dishonesty and Selection into Public Service. NBER Working Paper No. 19649.

Hanna, Rema, Sarah Bishop, Sara Nadel, Gabe Scheffler & Katherine Durlacher. 2011.

The Effectiveness of Anti-Corruption Policy: What Has Worked, What Hasn't, and What We Don't Know—A Systematic Review. Technical Report. London: EPPI-Centre, Social Science Unit, Institute of Education, University of London.

Hanousek, J. & E. Kocenda. 2011. Public Investment and Fiscal Performance in the New EU Member States. *Fiscal Studies*

Hansberry, Heidi L. 2012. In Spite of Its Good Intentions, the Dodd-Frank Act Has Created an FCPA Monster. *Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology*

Hansen, Hans Krause. 2011. Managing Corruption Risks. *Review of International Political Economy*

Haque, M. Emranul & B. Hussain. 2013. Where does Education Go? The Role of Corruption. Working paper.

Haque, M. Emranul & K.C. Neanidis. 2009. Fiscal Transparency and Corruption. Working paper.

Haque, M. Emranul & Richard A. Kneller. 2009. Corruption Clubs: Endogenous Thresholds in Corruption and Development. *Economics of Governance*

Haque, M. Emranul & Richard Kneller. 2015. Why does Public Investment Fail To Raise Economic Growth?: The Role of Corruption. *The Manchester School*

Haque, M. Emranul. 2011. Endogenous Detection in a Simple Model of Corruption and Growth. *Global Journal of Finance & Economics*

Haque, M. Emranul. 2012. Unproductive Education in a Model of Corruption and Growth. Working paper.

Harding, J. 2014. Corruption or Guanxi? Differentiating between the Legitimate, Unethical, and Corrupt Activities of Chinese Government Officials. *Pacific Basin Law Journal*

Hardouin, Patrick. 2009. Banks, Governance and Public-Private Partnership in Preventing and Confronting Organized Crime, Corruption and Terrorism Financing. *Journal of Financial Crime*

Hardy, Peter D. 1995. The Emerging Role of the Quid Pro Quo Requirement for Public Corruption Prosecutions Under the Hobbs Act. *University of Michigan Journal of Law Reform*

Harm, Christian. 2005. Political Determinants of Corporate Governance: Corruption and Other Forms of Rent Seeking. (unpublished working paper)

Harms, Brian C. 2000. Holding Public Officials Accountable in the International Realm: A New Multi-Layered Strategy to Combat Corruption. *Cornell International Law Journal*

Harring, N. 2014. Corruption, Inequalities and the Perceived Effectiveness of Economic Pro-Environmental Policy Instruments: A European Cross-National Study. *Environmental Science & Policy*

Harring, Niklas. 2012. Understanding the Effects of Corruption and Political Trust on Willingness to Make Economic Sacrifices for Environmental Protection in a Cross-National Perspective. *Social Science Quarterly*

Harring, Niklas. 2013. Corruption, Inequalities and the Perceived Effectiveness of Economic Pro-Environmental Policy Instruments: A European Cross-National Study. *Environmental Science & Policy*.

Harrison, Elizabeth. 2006. Unpacking the Anti-Corruption Agenda: Dilemmas for Anthropologists. *Oxford Development Studies*

Harrison, Elizabeth. 2007. Corruption. *Development in Practice*

Harrison, Graham. 1999. Clean-Ups, Conditionality & Adjustment: Why Institutions Matter in Mozambique. *Review of African Political Economy*

Harrison, Graham. 1999. Corruption as “Boundary Politics”: The State, Democratization and Mozambique’s Unstable Liberalization. *Third World Quarterly*

Harrison, M. & B.Y. Kim. 2006. Plans, Prices, and Corruption: The Soviet Firm Under Partial Centralization, 1930 to 1990. *Journal of Economic History*

Harriss-White, Barbara & Gordon White. 1996. Corruption, Liberalization, and Democracy. *IDS Bulletin*

Harris-White, B. & G. White. 1996. Liberalization and New Forms of Corruption (Brighton: Institute of Development Studies).

Harsch, Ernest. 1993. Accumulators and Democrats: Challenging State Corruption in Africa. *Journal of Modern African Studies*

Harstad, Bard & Jakob Svensson. 2011. Bribes, Lobbying, and Development. *American Political Science Review*.

Hart, C.L. 2014. Money Laundering. *American Criminal Law Review* .

Hartman, Jennifer M. 1997. Government by Thieves: Revealing the Monsters Behind the Kleptocratic Masks. *Syracuse Journal of International Law & Commerce*.

Hasen, Richard L. 1993. An Enriched Economic Model of Political Patronage and Campaign Contributions: Reformulating Supreme Court Jurisprudence. *Cardozo Law Review*

Hasen, Richard L. 2004. Buckley Is Dead, Long Live Buckley: The New Campaign Finance Incoherence of *McConnell v. Federal Election Commission*. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*

Hasker, Kevin & Cagla Okten. 2008. Intermediaries and Corruption. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*

Hassaballa, H. 2015. The Effect of Corruption on Carbon Dioxide Emissions in the MENA Region. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*

Hassid, Jonathan & Jennifer N. Brass. 2015. Scandals, Media and Good Governance in China and Kenya. *Journal of Asian & African Studies*

Hasty, Jennifer. 2005. Sympathetic Magic/Contagious Corruption: Sociality, Democracy, and the Press in Ghana. *Public Culture*.

Hasty, Jennifer. 2005. The Pleasures of Corruption: Desire and Discipline in Ghanaian Political Culture. *Cultural Anthropology*.

Hatak, I., M. Fink & H. Frank. 2015. Business Freedom, Corruption and the Performance of Trusting Cooperation Partners: Empirical Findings from Six European Countries. *Review of Managerial Science*

Hatchard, John. 2012. Combating Corruption: Some Reflections on the Use of the Offence and the Tort of Misconduct/Misfeasance in Public Office. *Denning Law Journal*

Hatchard, John. 2014. Combating Corruption: Legal Approaches to Supporting Good Governance and Integrity in Africa

Haugeneder, Florian. 2009. Corruption in Investor-State Arbitration. *Journal of World Investment & Trade*

Hauk, Esther & Maria Saez-Marti. 2002. On the Cultural Transmission of Corruption. *Journal of Economic Theory*

Hauser, C. & J. Hogenacker. 2014. Do Firms Proactively Take Measures to Prevent Corruption in their International Operations? *European Management Review*

Hawthorne, Omar E. 2013. Transparency International's Corruption Perceptions Index: "Best Flawed" Measure on Corruption? Working paper.

He, Xin Frank. 2003. Sporadic Law Enforcement Campaigns as a Means of Social Control: A Case Study From a Rural-Urban Migrant Enclave in Beijing. *Columbia Journal of Asian Law*

He, Zhengke. 2000. Corruption and Anti-Corruption in Reform China. *Communist & Post-Communist Studies*

Healy, Paul & George Serafeim. 2014. An Analysis of Firms' Self-Reported Anticorruption Efforts. Harvard Business School Working Paper

Healy, Paul M. & Karthik Ramanna. 2013. When the Crowd Fights Corruption. *Harvard Business Review*:

Hearn, Rose Gill. 2012. "The Role of Education in Changing Corrupt Practices", in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., *Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption* ,

Hearn, Rose Gill. 2014. *Does Corruption Drive Government Spending?* *Public Administration Review*

Hebous, S. & V. Lipatov. 2014. *A Journey from a Corruption Port to a Tax Haven*. *Journal of Comparative Economics*

Hechanova, M.R.M., I. Melgar, P.Z. Falguera & M. Villaverde. 2014. *Organisational Culture and Workplace Corruption in Government Hospitals*. *Journal of Pacific Rim Psychology*

Heckelman, J.C. & B. Powell. 2010. *Corruption and the Institutional Environment for Growth*. *Comparative Economic Studies* 52: 351-378.

Heeks, R. 1999. *Information Technology and the Management of Corruption*. *Development in Practice*

Heeks, R. 2002. *Information Systems and Developing Countries: Failure, Success and Local Improvisations*. *The Information Society*

Heeks, Richard & Harald Mathisen. 2011. *Understanding Success and Failure of Anti-Corruption Initiatives*. U4 Brief (March 2011).

Heeks, Richard. 1998. *Information Technology and Public Sector Corruption*. Working paper.

Heeks, Richard. 2007. "Why Anti-Corruption Initiatives Fail: Technology Transfer and Contextual Collision", in Sarah Bracking ed., *Corruption and Development: The Anti-Corruption Campaigns* (Palgrave Macmillan)

Hefeker, Carsten. 2010. *Taxation, Corruption and the Exchange Rate Regime*. *Journal of Macroeconomics*

Heidenheimer, Arnold J. & Michael Johnston, eds., *Political Corruption: Concepts and Contexts*, 3rd edition (Transaction Publishers, 2009)

Heidenheimer, Arnold J. 1989. "Perspectives on the Perception of Corruption", in Heidenheimer, Arnold J., M. Johnston & V. Le Vine eds., *Political Corruption: A Handbook* (New Brunswick: Transaction)

Heidenheimer, Arnold J. 1996. *The Topography of Corruption: explorations in a Comparative Perspective*. *International Social Science Journal*

Heidenheimer, Arnold J. 2004. *Disjunctions Between Corruption and Democracy? A Qualitative exploration*. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Heifetz, David L. 2002. *Japan's Implementation of the OECD Anti-Bribery Convention: Weaker and Less Effective Than the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act*. *Pacific Rim Law & Policy Journal*

Heilbrunn, J. 2011. "Post-Conflict Reconstruction, Legitimacy and Anti-Corruption Commissions", in C. Cheng & D. Zaum eds., *Corruption and Post-Conflict Peacebuilding: Selling the Peace?* (Abingdon: Routledge).

Heilbrunn, John R. 2005. *Oil and Water? Elite Politicians and Corruption in France*. *Comparative Politics*

Heilbrunn, John. 1999. "Corruption, Democracy, and Reform in Benin," in Schedler, Andreas et al. *The Self-Restraining State: Power and Accountability in New Democracies*. Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers.

Heilbrunn, John. 2004. *Anticorruption Commissions: Panacea or Real Medicine to Fight Corruption?* World Bank Institute Working Paper 37234.

Heilman, Bruce, Ng'wazak Kamata & Laurean Ndumbaro. 2000. *Corruption, Politics, and Societal Values in Tanzania*. *Journal of Social Philosophy*

Heimann, Fritz F. 1994 *Should Foreign Bribery Be a Crime?*

Hein, M. 2015. *The Fight Against Government Corruption in Romania: Irreversible Results or Sisyphean Challenge?* *Europe-Asia Studies*

Heineman, Ben W., Jr. 2008. High Performance with High Integrity (Cambridge: Harvard Business Review Press).

Heinrich, Finn & Robin Hodess. 2012. “Measuring Corruption”, in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption ,

Helle, Svein-Erik & Lise Rakner. 2013. “‘Grabbing’ an Election: Abuse of State Resources in the 2011 Elections in Uganda”, in Soreide, Tina & Aled Williams eds., Corruption, Grabbing and Development .

Heller, N. 2009. “Defining and Measuring Corruption: Where Have We Come From, Where Are We Now, and What Matters for the Future?”, in R. Rotberg ed., Corruption, Global Security, and World Order (Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press).

Helliwell, J.F. & H.F. Huang. 2008. How’s Your Government? International Evidence Linking Good Government and Well-Being. British Journal of Political Science

Hellman, Joel & Daniel Kaufmann. 2001. Confronting the Challenges of State Capture in Transition Economies. Finance & Development

Hellman, Joel & Mark Schankermann. 2000. Intervention, Corruption and Capture: The Nexus between Enterprises and the State. Economics of Transition

Hellman, Joel S. 1998. Winners Take All: The Politics of Partial Reform in Postcommunist Transitions. World Politics.

Hellman, Joel S., Geraint Jones & Daniel Kaufmann. 2000. Seize the State, Seize the Day: State Capture and Influence in Transition Economies. Journal of Comparative Economics

Hellman, Joel S., Geraint Jones & Daniel Kaufmann. 2006. “Far from Home: Do Foreign Investors Import Higher Standards of Governance in Transition Economies?”, in Clarke, T. & M. Dela Rama eds., Corporate Governance and Globalization (New York: Sage)

Hellmann, Olli. 2014. explaining the Institutionalization of Political Corruption: The Effects of Colonial Rule and Decolonization. Working paper.

Helmer, Elena & Stuart H. Deming. 2011. Non-Governmental Organizations: Anticorruption Compliance Challenges and Risks. *International Lawyer*

Helmer, Elena. 2008. Corruption in International Commercial Arbitration: *Fiona Trust & Holding Corp. v. Privalov*. *International Arbitration News* .

Helmy, Heba E. 2013. The Impact of Corruption on FDI: Is MENA an Exception? *International Review of Applied Economics*

Henderson, J. Vernon & Ari Kuncoro. 2006. Corruption in Indonesia. NBER Working Paper 10674.

Henderson, J. Vernon & Ari Kuncoro. 2011. Corruption and Local Democratization in Indonesia: The Role of Islamic Parties. *Journal of Development Economics*

Hendrix, Steven E. 2005. New Approaches to Addressing Corruption in the Context of U.S. Foreign Assistance with Examples from Latin America and the Caribbean. *Southwestern Journal of Law & Trade in the Americas*

Henning, Patrick & Lee Radek. 2011. *The Prosecution and Defense of Public Corruption: The Law and Legal Strategies*. Oxford University Press.

Henning, Peter J. 2001. Public Corruption: A Comparative Analysis of International Corruption Conventions and United States Law. *Arizona Journal of International & Comparative Law*

Henning, Peter J. 2003. Federalism and the Federal Prosecution of State and Local Corruption, *Kentucky Law Journal*

Henning, Peter J. 2009. Corporate Criminal Liability and the Potential for Rehabilitation. *American Criminal Law Review*

Henning, Peter J. 2012. Be Careful What You Wish For: Thoughts on a Compliance Defense Under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Ohio State Law Journal*

Henning, Peter J. 2012. Making Sure “The Buck Stops Here”: Barring Executives for Corporate Violations. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*

Hepburn, J. 2014. In Accordance with Which Host State Laws? Restoring the “Defense” of Investor Illegality in Investment Arbitration. *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*

Hernandez, Teresa & Robert W. McGee. 2014. Ethical Attitudes Toward Taking a Bribe: A Study of Three Latin American Countries. Working paper.

Herrera, Ana Maria, Lebohang Lijane & Peter Rodriguez. 2007. Bribery and the Nature of Corruption. Unpublished manuscript, Michigan State University.

Herrera, J., M. Razafindrakoto & F. Roubaud. 2007. Governance, Democracy and Poverty Reduction: Lessons Drawn from Household Surveys in Sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America. *International Statistical Review*

Herrick, Rebekah L. 2000. Who Will Survive? An exploration of Factors Contributing to the Removal of Unethical House Members. *American Politics Research*

Herring, Cheryl Crumpton. 2001. 18 U.S.C. § 666: Is It a Blank Check to Federal Authorities Prosecuting State and Local Corruption? *52 Ala. L. Rev.*

Hertog, S. 2010. Defying the Resource Curse: explaining Successful State-Owned Enterprises in Rentier States. *World Politics* 62(2):

Herzfeld, Thomas & Christoph Weiss. 2003. Corruption and Legal (In)Effectiveness: An Empirical Investigation. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Herzfeld, Thomas & Christoph Weiss. 2007. Corruption Clubs: Empirical Evidence from Kernel Density Estimates. *Applied Economics*

Hess, David & Cristie L. Ford. 2008. Corporate Corruption and Reform Undertakings: A New Approach to an Old Problem. *Cornell International Law Journal*

Hess, David & Thomas W. Dunfee. 2000. Fighting Corruption: A Principled Approach; The C2 Principles (Combating Corruption), *Cornell International Law Journal*

Hess, David & Thomas W. Dunfee. 2003. "Taking Responsibility for Bribery: The Multinational Corporation's Role in Combating Corruption", in R. Sullivan ed., *Business and Human Rights: Dilemmas and Solutions* (Sheffield, UK: Greenleaf Publishing)

Hess, David. 2009. *Catalyzing Corporate Commitment to Combating Corruption*. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Hess, David. 2011. "Combating Corruption in International Business through Voluntary Governance Initiatives", in T. Hale & D. Held eds., *Transnational Governance Innovation* (Cambridge, UK: Polity Press)

Hess, David. 2012. *Combating Corruption through Corporate Transparency: Using Enforcement Discretion to Improve Disclosure*. *Minnesota Journal of International Law*

Hess, David. 2012. *Enhancing the Effectiveness of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Through Corporate Social Responsibility*. *Ohio State Law Journal*

Hessami, Z. 2014. *Political Corruption, Public Procurement, and Budget Composition: Theory and Evidence from OECD Countries*. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Hessami, Zohal, Claudio Thum & Silke Uebelmesser. 2012. *A Political Economy explanation for In-kind Redistribution: The Interplay of Corruption and Democracy*. Working Paper.

Hessami, Zohal. 2010. *Corruption and the Composition of Public expenditures: Evidence from OECD Countries*. MPRA Paper 25945.

Hessami, Zohal. 2011. *On the Link Between Government Ideology and Corruption in the Public Sector*. (unpublished manuscript)

Hetzer, W. 2008. *Corruption as Business Practice? Criminal Responsibility of Enterprises in the European Union*. ERA Forum

Heymann, Philip B. 1997. *Democracy and Corruption*. *Fordham International Law Journal*

Heymann, Philip B. Four Unresolved Questions About the Responsibilities of an Independent Counsel. *Georgetown Law Journal*

Heyneman, Stephen P. 2004. Education and Corruption. *International Journal of Educational Development*

Heyneman, Stephen P. 2007. Buying Your Way into Heaven: The Corruption of Education Systems in Global Perspective. *Perspectives on Global Issues*

Heyneman, Stephen P. 2011. The Corruption of Ethics in Higher Education. *International Higher Education*

Heyneman, Stephen P., Kathryn H. Anderson & Nazym Nuraliyeva. 2008. The Cost of Corruption in Higher Education. *Comparative Education Review*

Heywood, Paul M. & Jonathan Rose. 2014. “Close But No Cigar”: The Measurement of Corruption. *Journal of Public Policy*

Heywood, Paul M. & Jonathan Rose. 2014. “Close But No Cigar”: The Measurement of Corruption. *Journal of Public Policy*.

Heywood, Paul M. 2015. “Measuring Corruption: Perspectives, Critiques and Limits” , in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge)

Heywood, Paul. 1997. *Political Corruption: Problems and Perspectives*. *Political Studies*

Hibbs, Douglas & Violeta Piculescu. 2005. Institutions, Corruption and Tax Evasion in the Unofficial Economy. Working paper.

Hibbs, Douglas A., Jr. & Violeta Piculescu. 2010. Tax Toleration and Tax Compliance: How Government Affects the Propensity of Firms to Enter the Unofficial Economy. *American Journal of Political Science*

Hicken, Allen D. 2007. "How Do Rules and Institutions Encourage Vote Buying?", in Frederic Charles Schaffer ed., *Elections for Sale: The Causes and Consequences of Vote Buying* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner)

Hicken, Allen. 2011. *Clientalism*. *Annual Review of Political Science*

Hidalgo, F. Daniel & Simeon Nichter. 2014. *Voter Buying: Shaping the Electorate through Clientalism*. Working paper.

Hill, Kim Quaile. 2003. *Democratization and Corruption: Systematic Evidence from the American States*. *American Political Research*

Hillman, A.L. & E. Katz. 1987. *Hierarchical Structure and the Social Costs of Bribes and Transfers*. *Journal of Public Economics*

Hillman, Arye L. 2004. *Corruption and Public Finance: An IMF Perspective*. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Hills, L. 2014. *Universal Anti-Bribery Legislation Can Save International Business: A Comparison of the FCPA and UKBA in an Attempt to Create Universal Legislation to Combat Bribery Around the Globe*. Working paper.

Hills, Roderick M., Jr. 2005. *Corruption and Federalism: (When) Do Federal Criminal Prosecutions Improve Non-Federal Democracy? 6 Theoretical Inquiries L.*

Hilson, Gavin & Roy Maconachie. 2009. "Good Governance" and the Extractive Industries in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Mineral Processing & Extractive Metallurgy Review*

Hinchey, Bruce. 2011. *Punishing the Penitent: Disproportionate Fines in Recent FCPA Enforcements and Suggested Improvements*. *Public Contract Law Journal*

Hindess, Barry. 2001. "Good Government and Corruption" in Peter Larmour & Nick Wolanin, eds., *Corruption and Anti-Corruption* (Canberra: Asia Pacific Press)

Hindess, Barry. 2008. "International Anti-Corruption as a Program of Normalisation", in de Sousa, Luis, Peter Marmour & Barry Hindess eds., *Governments, NGOs and Anti-Corruption: The New Integrity Warriors* (London: Routledge).

Hindriks, Jean, Michael Keen & Abhinay Muthoo. 1999. *Corruption, Extortion and Evasion*. *Journal of Public Economics*

Hines, James R. 1995. *Forbidden Payment: Foreign Bribery and American Business After 1977*. NBER Working Paper No. 5266.

Hines, Nicole Y. 2007. *Cultural Due Diligence: The Lost Diligence that Must Be Found by U.S. Corporations Conducting M&A Deals in China to Prevent Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Violations*. *Duquesne Business Law Journal*

Hinks, Timothy & Artjoms Ivlevs. 2014. *Communist Party Membership and Bribe Paying in Transitional Economies*. Working paper.

Hite-Rubin, Nancy. 2015. "A Corruption, Military Procurement and FDI Nexus? ", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul Lagunes eds., *Greed, Corruption, and the Modern State* .

Hobbs, Nathaniel. 2005. *Corruption in World Bank Financed Projects: Why Bribery is a Tolerated Anathema*. *Development Studies Institute Working Paper*

Hodder, R. 2015. *What's Wrong with Patronage? Society*

Hodder, Rupert. 2009. *Political Interference in the Philippine Civil Service*. *Environment & Planning C: Government & Policy*

Hodess, Robin. 2013. "Civil Society and Nongovernmental Organizations as International Actors in Anti-Corruption Advocacy", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D.

Carrington eds., *Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role?* (Durham: Carolina Academic Press)

Hodge, Andrew, Sriram Shankar, D.S. Prasada Rao & Alan Duhs. 2011. exploring the Links Between Corruption and Growth. *Review of Development Economics*

Hodgkinson, P. 1997. *The Sociology of Corruption, Some Themes and Issues*. *Sociology*

Hodgson, G.M. 2006. Institutions, Recessions and Recovery in the Transitional Economies. *Journal of Economic Issues*

Hodgson, Geoffrey M. & Shuxia Jiang. 2007. The Economics of Corruption and the Corruption of Economics: An Institutionalist Perspective. *Journal of Economic Issues* 41: 1043-.

Hodler, Roland & Paul A. Raschky. 2014. Regional Favoritism. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*.

Hodler, Roland. 2006. The Curse of Natural Resources in Fractionalized Countries. *European Economic Review*

Hoff, Karla & Joseph E. Stiglitz. 2005. The Creation of the Rule of Law and the Legitimacy of Property Rights: The Political and Economic Consequences of a Corrupt Privatization. NBER Working Paper 11772.

Hofstede, Geert. 2001. *Culture's Consequences: Comparing Values, Behaviors, Institutions, and Organizations Across Nations* (2d ed.) (Beverly Hills, CA: Sage).

Hogarth, John. 1995. *Developments in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice: Bribery of Officials in Pursuit of Corporate Aims*. *Crim. L. F.*

Holcombe, R. & C. Boudreaux. 2015. *Regulation and Corruption*. *Public Choice* .

Holloway, Richard. 2001. *Corruption and Civil Society Organisations in Indonesia*. .

Holloway, Richard. 2010. *NGOs: Losing the Moral High Ground—Corruption and Misrepresentation*.

Hollyer, J.R., B.P. Rosendorff & J.R. Vreeland. 2014. *Measuring Transparency*. *Political Analysis*

Hollyer, James & Leonard Wantchekon. 2015. Corruption and Ideology in Autocracies. *Journal of Law, Economics & Organization*

Holm, John D. 2000. "Curbing Corruption through Democratic Accountability: Lessons from Botswana," in Kempe Ronald Hope Sr. & Bornwell C. Chikulo eds., *Corruption and Development in Africa* (London, Palgrave)

Holmberg, Soren & Bo Rothstein. 2011. Dying of Corruption. *Health Economics, Policy & Law*

Holmberg, Soren & Bo Rothstein. 2011. Quality of Government and Access to Safe Water. QoG Working Paper Series.

Holmberg, Soren, Bo Rothstein & N. Nasiritousi. 2009. Quality of Government: What You Get. *Annual Review of Political Science*.

Holmes, L. 2006. *Rotten States? Corruption, Post-Communism, and Neoliberalism* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press)

Holmes, L. 2007. *Terrorism, Organised Crime and Corruption: Networks and Linkages*. Oxford.

Holmes, L. 2015. Different Paths to Curbing Corruption: Lessons from Denmark, Finland, Hong Kong, New Zealand and Singapore. *Asian Journal of Political Science*

Holmes, Leslie. 1993. *The End of Communist Power: Anti-Corruption Campaigns and Legitimation Crisis*.

Holmes, Leslie. 1997. *Corruption and the Crisis of the Post-Communist State*. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Holmes, Leslie. 1999. *Corruption in Europe*. *Dialogue*

Holmes, Leslie. 2001. "Crime, Corruption and Politics: International and Transnational Factors", in J. Zielonka & A. Pravda eds., *Democratic Consolidation in Eastern Europe: International and Transnational Factors*, Vol. 2 (Oxford: Oxford University Press), pp.

Holmes, Leslie. 2003. "Political Corruption in Central and Eastern Europe", in M. Bull & J. Newell eds., *Corruption in Contemporary Politics* (London: Palgrave)

Holmes, Leslie. 2005. "Russian Corruption and State Weakness in Comparative Post-Communist Perspective", in A. Pravda ed., *Leading Russia: Putin in Perspective* (Oxford: Oxford University Press)

Holmes, Leslie. 2007. "The Corruption-Organized Crime Nexus in Central and Eastern Europe," in Leslie Holmes ed., *Terrorism, Organised Crime and Corruption*

Holmes, Leslie. 2008. "Political Corruption Scandals and Public Attitudes towards Party Financing: Poland and Germany Compared", in S. Eliaeson ed., *Building Civil Society and Democracy in New Europe* (Newcastle: Cambridge Scholars Publishing)

Holmes, Leslie. 2008. *Corruption and Organised Crime in Putin's Russia. Europe-Asian Studies*

Holmes, Leslie. 2012. "Corruption and Financial Crises", in Ralph Pettman ed., *Handbook on International Political Economy* (World Scientific Publishing)

Holmes, Leslie. 2012. "Transnational Crime, Corruption and Conflict in Russia and the Former USSR", in Matthew Sussex ed., *Conflict in the Former USSR* (Cambridge University Press)

Holmes, Leslie. 2012. *Corruption in Post-Soviet Russia. Global Change, Peace & Security*

Holmes, Leslie. 2013. *Postcommunist Transitions and Corruption: Mapping Patterns. Social Research*

Holmes, Leslie. 2013. *The Internationalisation of Corruption: Scale, Impact and Countermeasures. Crime, Law & Social Change* .

Holmes, Leslie. 2014. "Police Corruption from Many Perspectives", in Leslie Holmes ed., *Police Corruption: Essential Readings* .

Holmes, Leslie. 2015. *Combating Corruption in China: The Role of the State and Other Agencies in Comparative Perspective. Economic & Political Studies*

Holmes, Ronald D. 2007. “Combating Corruption in the Philippines: The Difficulty and Danger of Organizational Reform/Human Resource Development Initiatives”, in Ma Concepcion P. Alfiler & Eleanor E. Nicolas, eds., *Public Administration plus Governance: Assessing the Past, Addressing the Future*. (Quezon City: National College of Public Administration and Governance, University of the Philippines)

Holtzblatt, M. & N. Tschakert. 2014. Baker Hughes: Greasing the Wheels in Kazakhstan (FCPA Violations and Implementation of a Corporate Ethics and Anti-Corruption Compliance Program). *Journal of Accounting Education* 32(1): 36-60.

Hooghe, M. & E. Quintelier. 2014. Political Participation in European Countries: The Effect of Authoritarian Rule, Corruption, Lack of Good Governance and Economic Downturn. *Comparative European Politics*

Hope, K. 2000. “Corruption and Development in Africa”, in Hope, K. & B. Chikulo eds., *Corruption and Development in Africa: Lessons from Country Case Studies* (London: Macmillan).

Hope, K.R. 2014. *Kenya’s Corruption Problem: Causes and Consequences*. Commonwealth & Comparative Politics

Hopkin, Jonathan & Andres Rodriguez-Pose. 2007. “Grabbing Hand” or “Helping Hand”? Corruption and the Economic Role of the State. *Governance: An International Journal of Policy & Administration*

Hopkin, Jonathan. 1997. *Political Parties, Political Corruption, and the Economic Theory of Democracy*. Crime, Law & Social Change

Hopkin, Jonathan. 2002. States, Markets and Corruption: A Review of Some Recent Literature. *Review of International Political Economy* .

Hoppe, T. 2014. *The Case for Asset Declarations in the Judiciary: Identifying Illicit Enrichment and Conflicts of Interest*. U4 Brief (Bergen: Chr. Michelsen Institute).

Horowitz, Shale, Karla Hoff & Branko Milanovic. 2009. Government Turnover: Concepts, Measures and Applications. *European Journal of Political Research*

Hostetler, Courtney. 2011. Going From Bad to Good: Combating Corporate Corruption on World Bank-Funded Infrastructure Projects. *Yale Human Rights & Development Law Journal*

Hotchkiss, Carolyn. 1998. *The Sleeping Dog Stirs: New Signs of Life in Efforts to End Corruption in International Business*. J. Pub. Pol'y & Marketing

Hough, Dan. 2013. *Corruption, Anti-Corruption and Governance*. London: Palgrave.

Houillon, Gregory. 2014. "Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: Future Prospects on Lobbying", in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., *Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach*

Hoyland, B., K.O. Moene & F. Willumsen. 2012. *The Tyranny of International Index Rankings*. Journal of Development Economics

Hsieh, C.R. & Moretti, E. 2006. *Did Iraq Cheat the United Nations? Underpricing, Bribes, and the Oil for Food Program*. Quarterly Journal of Economics

Hu, Xinli. 2015. *Effectiveness of Information Technology in Reducing Corruption in China: A Validation of the DeLone and McLean Information Systems Success Model*. The Electronic Library

Huang, H. & Z. Li. 2015. *Procurement Auctions with Ex-Ante Endogenous Bribery*. Economic Modelling.

Huang, Peter H. & Ho-Mou Wu. 1994. *More Order without More Law: A Theory of Social Norms and Organizational Cultures*. Journal of Law, Economics & Organization

Huang, Yasheng. 2008. *Capitalism with Chinese Characteristics: Entrepreneurship and the State*.

Huang, Z., L. Liu, W. Zheng, X. Tan & X. Zhao. 2015. *Walking the Straight and Narrow: The Moderating Effect of Evaluation Apprehension on the Relationship Between Collectivism and Corruption*

Hubbard, Paul. 2007. *Putting the Power of Transparency in Context: Information's Role in Reducing Corruption in Uganda's Education Sector*. Center for Global Development Working Paper 136.

Huberts, Leo W.J.C. 1998. What Can Be Done Against Public Corruption and Fraud: expert Views on Strategies to Protect Public Integrity. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Huberts, Leo W.J.C. 2000. Anticorruption Strategies: The Hong Kong Model in International Context. *Public Integrity*

Huberts, Leo. 1995. Western Europe and Public Corruption: experts' Views on Attention, Extent and Strategies. *European Journal on Criminal Policy & Research*

Hughes, Alexander G. 2013. Drawing Sensible Borders for the Definition of "Foreign Official" Under the FCPA. *American Journal of Criminal Law*

Hunt, Jennifer & Sonia Laszlo. 2005. Bribery: Who Pays, Who Refuses, What Are the Payoffs? NBER Working Paper 11635.

Hunt, Jennifer & Sonia Laszlo. 2011. Is Bribery Really Regressive? Bribery's Costs, Benefits, and Mechanisms. *World Development*

Hunt, Jennifer. 2004. Trust and Bribery: The Role of the Quid Pro Quo and the Link with Crime. NBER Working Paper 10510.

Hunt, Jennifer. 2005. Why Are Some Public Officials More Corrupt Than Others? NBER Working Paper No. 11595.

Hunt, Jennifer. 2007. How Corruption Hits People When They Are Down. *Journal of Development Economics*

Hunt, Jennifer. 2010. Bribery in Health Care in Uganda. *Journal of Health Economics*

Hunt, Sinead. 2011. Refining Black Gold: The Dodd-Frank Act and Corruption in the Oil Industry. *UCLA Journal of International Law & Foreign Affairs*

Hunter, Sharifa G. 2011. A Comparative Analysis of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the U.K. Bribery Act, and the Practical Implications of Both on International Business. *ISLA Journal of International & Comparative Law*

Hurson, Daniel W. 2001. Mail Fraud, the Intangible Rights Doctrine, and the Infusion of State Law: A Bermuda Triangle of Sorts. *Houston Law Review*

Huskins, Priya Cherian. 2009. FCPA Prosecutions: Liability Trend to Watch. *Stanford Law Review*

Hussman, Karen. 2007. Anti-Corruption Policy Making in Practice: What Can Be Learned for Implementing Article 5 of UNCAC? Report of Six Country Case Studies: Georgia, Indonesia, Nicaragua, Pakistan, Tanzania and Zambia. U4 Report

Husmann, K. 2007. Anti-Corruption Policy Making in Practice: What Can Be Learned for Implementing Article 5 of UNCAC? Synthesis Report of Six Country Case Studies: Georgia, Indonesia, Nicaragua, Pakistan, Tanzania, and Zambia. (Bergen: CMI).

Husmann, K., H. Hechler & M. Penalillo. 2009. Institutional Arrangements for Corruption Prevention: Considerations for the Implementation of the United Nations Convention Against Corruption. U4 Issue

Husted, Bryan W. 1994. Honor Among Thieves: A Transaction-Cost Interpretation of Corruption in Third World Countries. *Business Ethics Quarterly*

Husted, Bryan W. 1999. Wealth, Culture, and Corruption. *Journal of International Business Studies*

Hutchcroft, Paul. 1997. The Politics of Privilege: Assessing the Impact of Rents, Corruption, and Clientalism on Third World Development. *Political Studies*

Huther, Jeff & Anwar Shah. 2000. Anti-Corruption Programs and Policies: A Framework for Evaluation. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper #2501.

Hwang, J. 2002. A Note on the Relationship Between Corruption and Government. *Journal of Development Economics*

Hwang, Michael S.C. & Kevin Lim. 2012. Corruption in Arbitration – Law and Reality. *Asian International Arbitration Journal*

Idakwoji, S.P. 2010. Leadership, Corruption and Development. 2010. *Canadian Social Science*

Ifeka, C. 2001. Playing Civil Society Tunes: Corruption and Misunderstanding Nigeria's "Real" Political Institutions. *Review of African Political Economy*

Iimi, A. 2007. Escaping from the Resource Curse: Evidence from Botswana and the Rest of the World. *IMF Staff Paper* 54(4).

Ikharehon, J.J. & N. Omoregie. 2015. Corruption and Poverty Challenges in Nigeria.

Indian Journal of Commerce & Management Studies

Imai, M. 2006. Mixing Family Business with Politics in Thailand. *Asian Economic Journal*

In'airat, Mohammad. 2014. Aid Allocation, Selectivity, and the Quality of Governance. *Journal of Economics, Finance & Administrative Science*

Infante, L. & M. Piazza. 2014. Political Connections and Preferential Lending at Local Level: Some Evidence from the Italian Credit Market. *Journal of Corporate Finance*

Ingle, Robert Ingle & Sandy McClure. 2008. *The Soprano State: New Jersey's Culture of Corruption*. New York: St. Martin's Press.

International Council on Human Rights Policy & Transparency International. 2009. *Corruption and Human Rights: Making the Connection*.

International Council on Human Rights Policy. 2010. *Integrating Human Rights in the Anti-Corruption Agenda: Challenges, Possibilities and Opportunities*.

Ionescu, Luminita. 2010. *The Relationship Between Government Effectiveness and Corruption*. *Economics, Management & Financial Markets*

Ionescu, Luminita. 2014. *The Adverse Effects of Corruption on Growth and Development*. *Economics, Management & Financial Markets*

Ionescu, Luminita. 2015. The Economics of Corruption in Professional Sport. *Economics, Management & Financial Markets*

Ionescu, Luminita. 2015. The Role of E-Government in Curbing the Corruption in Public Administration. *Economics, Management & Financial Markets*

Iossa, Elisabetta & David Martimort. 2011. Corruption in Public Private Partnerships. Working paper.

Iossa, Elisabetta & David Martimort. 2011. Post-Tender Corruption and Risk Allocation: Implications for Public-Private Partnerships. CEIS Research Paper 195, Tor Vargata University.

Iqbal, M. & J. Seo. 2008. E-Governance as an Anti-Corruption Tool: Korean Cases. *Korean Local Information*

Isaksson, A. 2015. Corruption Along Ethnic Lines: A Study of Individual Corruption experiences in 17 African Countries. *Journal of Development Studies*

Isham, Jonathan, Jr., Michael Woolcock, Lant Pritchett & Gwen Busby. 2005. The Varieties of Resource experience: Natural Resource export Structures and the Political Economy of Economic Growth. *World Bank Economic Review*.

Ishiguro, S. 2004. Collusion and Discrimination in Organizations. *Journal of Economic Theory*

Islam, M. 2014. Bribery and Corruption in Australian Local Councils. *Public Money & Management*

Islam, M., S. Haque, T. Dissanayake, P. Leung & K. Handley. 2015. Corporate Disclosure in Relation to Combating Corporate Bribery: A Case Study of Two Chinese Telecommunications Companies. *Australian Accounting Review*.

Islam, R. & C. Montenegro. 2002. What Determines the Quality of Institutions? Working paper.

Islam, Roumeen. 2006. does More Transparency Go Along With Better Governance? *Economics & Politics*

Ismail, A. & K. Rashid. 2014. Time Series Analysis of the Nexus Among Corruption, Political Instability and Judicial Inefficiency in Pakistan. *Quality & Quantity*

Issacharoff, Samuel. 2010. On Political Corruption. *Harvard Law Review*

Ivanov, Eduard et al. 2014. Legal Regulation of Combating Corruption: Report of the LSGL's Research Group. (Law Schools Global League Research Paper No. 2.) Ivanov, Kalin. 2007. "The Limits of a Global Campaign against Corruption", in Sarah Bracking ed., *Corruption and Development: The Anti-Corruption Campaigns* (Palgrave Macmillan)

Ivanov, Kalin. 2010. The 2007 Accession of Bulgaria and Romania: Ritual and Reality. *Global Crime*

Ivanyna, Maksym & Anwar Shah. 2011. Decentralization and Corruption: New Cross-Country Evidence. *Environment & Planning C: Government & Policy*

Ivanyna, Maksym, Alexandros Mournouras & Peter Rangazas. 2015. The Culture of Corruption, Tax Evasion, and Economic Growth. *Empirical Enquiry* (forthcoming).

Iversen, V. et al. 2006. High Value Forests, Hidden Economies and Elite Capture: Evidence from Forest User Groups in Nepal's Terai. *Ecological Economics*

Ivkovic, S.K. & T.O. Shelley. 2007. Police Integrity and the Czech Police Officers. *International Journal of Comparative & Applied Criminal Justice*

Ivkovic, S.K., C.B. Klockars, I. Cajner-Mraovic' & D. Ivanusec. 2002. Controlling Police Corruption: The Croatian Perspective. *Police Practice & Research*

Ivkovic, S.K., M. Haberfeld & R. Peacock. 2013. Rainless West: The Integrity Survey's Role in Agency Accountability. *Police Quarterly*

Ivkovich, S.K. & A. Sauerma. 2013. Curtailing the Code of Silence among the South African Police. *Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies & Management*

Ivlevs, Artjoms & T. Hinks. 2015. Bribing Behaviour and Sample Selection: Evidence from Post-Socialist Countries and Western Europe. *Jahrbucher Fur Nationalokonomie Und Statistik*

Ivlevs, Artjoms & Timothy Hinks. 2015. Bribing Behavior and Sample Selection: Evidence from Post-Socialist Countries and Western Europe. *Journal of Economics & Statistics*

Ivlevs, Artjoms & Timothy Hinks. 2015. Global Economic Crisis and Corruption. *Public Choice*

Ivlevs, Artjoms & Timothy Hinks. 2015. Global Economic Crisis and Corruption (correction notice). *Public Choice*

Ivory, Radha. 2013. The Right to a Fair Trial and International Cooperation in Criminal Matters: Article 6 EHCR and the Recovery of Assets in Grand Corruption Cases. *Utrecht Law Review*

Ivory, Radha. 2014. “Transparency and Opacity in the International Legal Framework Against Corruption”, in A. Castro, ed., *Buen Gobierno Y Derechos Humanos: Nuevas Perspectivas En El Derecho Publico*. Lima: Pontifica Universidad Catolica del Pero.

Ivory, Radha. 2014. *Corruption, Asset Recovery, and the Protection of Property in Public International Law: The Human Rights of Bad Guys*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Iwasaki, Ichiro & Taku Suzuki. 2007. Transition Strategy, Corporate exploitation, and State Capture: An Empirical Analysis of the Former Soviet States. *Communist and Post-Communist Studies*

Iwasaki, Ichiro & Taku Suzuki. 2012. The Determinants of Corruption in Transition Economies. *Economics Letters*

Iyanda, David O. 2012. *Corruption: Definitions, Theories and Concepts*. *Arabian Journal of Business & Management*

Iyer, Deepa. 2011. *Earning a Reputation for Independence: Ghana’s Commission on Human Rights and Administrative Justice, 1993-2003*. *Innovations for Successful Societies*, Princeton University.

Iyer, Deepa. 2013. *Inducing Honesty, Changing Norms: Government Ethics in Brazil, 1995-2004*. *Innovations for Successful Societies*, Princeton University.

Jaber-Lopez, Tarek, Aurora Garcia-Gallego, Pandelis Perakakis & Nikolaus Georgantzis. 2014. Physiological and Behavioral Patterns of Corruption. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience* (published online).

Jackson, G. et al. 2014. Grey Areas: Irresponsible Corporations and Reputational Dynamics. *Socio-Economic Review*

Jackson, J., M. Asif, B. Bradford & M. Zakaria Zakar. 2014. Corruption and Police Legitimacy in Lahore, Pakistan. *British Journal of Criminology* 54(6): 1067-1088.

Jackson, Michael & Rodney Smith. 1996. Inside Moves and Outside Views: An Australian Case Study of Elite and Public Perceptions of Political Corruption. *Governance: An International Journal of Policy and Administration*

Jackson, Rachel. 2012. *Blowing the Whistle on the Pay-to-Play Game: Campaign Financing Reform in New Jersey, 1998-2012*. Innovations for Successful Societies, Princeton University.

Jacobs, Leslie Gielow & Benjamin B. Wagner. 2007. Limits to the Independent Anti-Corruption Commission Model of Corruption Reform: Lessons from Indonesia. *Pacific McGeorge Global Business & Development Law Journal*

Jacobson, Gary C. & Michael Dimock. 1994. Checking Out: The Effects of Bank Overdrafts on the 1992 House Elections. *American Journal of Political Science*

Jacquemet, Nicolas. 2012. Corruption as Betrayal: experimental Evidence. Working paper.

Jagger, P. & G. Shively. 2015. Taxes and Bribes in Uganda. *Journal of Development Studies*

Jaimovich, E. & J.P. Rud. 2014. Excessive Public Employment and Rent-Seeking Traps.

Journal of Development Economics 106: 144-155.

Jain, Arvind K. 2001. Corruption: A Review. *Journal of Economic Surveys*

Jain, Arvind K. 2001. *The Political Economy of Corruption*. London: Routledge.

Jain, Arvind K. 2011. *Corruption: Theory, Evidence and Policy*. CESifo DICE Report

Jancsics, D. 2015. *Imperatives in Informal Organizational Resource Exchange in Central Europe*. *Journal of Eurasian Studies*

Jancsics, David & Istvan Javor. 2012. *Corrupt Governmental Networks*. *International Public Management Journal*

Jancsics, David. 2013. *Petty Corruption in Central and Eastern Europe: The Client's Perspective*. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Jancsics, David. 2014. *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Corruption*. *Sociology Compass*

Jancsics, David. 2015. "A Friend Gave Me a Phone Number" – Brokerage in Low-Level Corruption. *International Journal of Law, Crime & Justice*

Jancsics, David. 2015. *Imperatives in Informal Organizational Resource Exchange in Central Europe*. *Journal of Eurasian Studies*

Jang, H., J. Lee & J.C. Gibbs. 2015. *The Influence of the National Government on Confidence in the Police: A Focus on Corruption*. *Journal of Law, Crime & Justice*.

Jansen, Eirik Gjostein. 2013. "Don't Rock the Boat: Norway's Difficulties in Dealing with Corruption in Development Aid", in Soreide, Tina & Aled Williams eds., *Corruption, Grabbing and Development* .

Jaquemet, Nicolas. 2007. *Corruption as Betrayal: experimental Evidence on Corruption Under Delegation*. GATE Working Paper 2005-06.

Jara, Gabriela. 2013. *Following on the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: The Dynamic Shareholder Derivative Suit*. *Duke Law Journal*

Jarso, James Forole. 2010. The Media and the Anti-Corruption Crusade in Kenya: Weighing the Achievements, Challenges, and Prospects. *American University International Law Review*

Jatto, Lucky Biyce, Jr. 2010. Africa's Approach to the International War on Corruption: A Critical Appraisal of the African Union Convention on Preventing and Combating Corruption. *Asper Review of International Business & Trade Law*

Jauregui, Beatrice. 2014. Provisional Agency in India: Jugaad and Legitimation of Corruption. *American Ethnologist*.

Javor, Istvan & David Jancsics. 2013. The Role of Power in Organizational Corruption: An Empirical Study. *Administration & Society Online First*

Javorcik, B.S. & G. Narciso. 2008. Differentiated Products and Evasion of Import Tariffs. *Journal of International Economics*

Javorcik, Beata S. & Shang-Jin Wei. 2009. Corruption and Cross-Border Investment in Emerging Markets: Firm-Level Evidence. *Journal of International Money & Finance*

Jayawickrama, Nihal, Jeremy Pope & Oliver Stolpe. 2002. Legal Provisions to Facilitate the Gathering of Evidence in Corruption Cases: Easing the Burden of Proof. *Forum on Crime & Society*

Jelloun, Tahar Ben. 1996. *Corruption*. New Press.

Jenkins, Rob. 2007. India's Unlikely Democracy: Civil Society Versus Corruption. *Journal of Democracy*

Jensen, N. & C.-A. Png. 2011. Implementation of the FATF 40+9 Recommendations: A Perspective from Developing Countries. *Journal of Money Laundering Control*

Jensen, N. & L. Wantchekon. 2004. Resource Wealth and Political Regimes in Africa. *Comparative Political Studies*

Jensen, N. 2002. Economic Reform, State Capture, and International Investment in Transition Economies. *Journal of International Development*

Jensen, Nathan M. & Aminur Rahman. 2011. The Silence of Corruption: Identifying Underreporting of Business Corruption through Randomized Response Techniques. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper

Jensen, Nathan M. & Aminur Rahman. 2015. “The Silence of Corruption: Identifying Underreporting of Business Corruption Through Randomised Response Techniques”, , in Paul M. Heywood ed., The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption (New York: Routledge)

Jensen, Nathan M. & Edmund J. Malesky. 2015. does the OECD Anti-Bribery Convention Reduce Bribery? An Empirical Analysis Using the Unmatched Count Technique. Working paper.

Jensen, Nathan M., Quan Li & Aminur Rahman. 2010. Understanding Corruption and Firm Responses in Cross-National Firm-Level Surveys. Journal of International Business Studies

Jensen, P.S. & M.K. Justesen. 2014. Poverty and Vote Buying: Survey-Based Evidence from Africa. Electoral Studies

Jeong, Gyung-Ho. 2013. Personal Vote-Seeking Incentives of Legislators and Bureaucratic Corruption. Working Paper.

Jeong, Yujin & Robert J. Weiner. 2011. “Conflict and Corruption in International Trade: Who Helped Iraq Circumvent United Nations Sanctions”, in International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption, Vol. II. (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Jeong, Yujin & Robert J. Weiner. 2012. Who Bribes? Evidence from the United Nations’ Oil-for-Food Program. Strategic Management Journal 1363-1383.

Jerome, Afeikhena, Senyo Adjiboloso & Dipo Busari. 2005. Addressing Oil Related Corruption in Africa: Is the Push for Transparency Enough? Review of Human Factor Studies Special Edition

Jetter, M., A.M. Agudelo & A.R. Hassan. 2015. The Effect of Democracy on Corruption: Income Is Key. World Development (forthcoming).

Jeydel, Peter. 2012. Yoking the Bull: How to Make the FCPA Work for U.S. Business. Georgetown Journal of International Law

Jiang, T. & H. Nie. 2014. The Stained Chinese Miracle: Corruption, Regulation, and Firm Performance. *Economics Letters*

Jimenez, F. & M. Cainzos. 2003. "Political Corruption in Spain: Perceptions and Problems", in M.J. Bull & J.L. Newell eds., *Corruption in Contemporary Politics* (London: Macmillan-Palgrave).

Jimenez, Fernando & Miguel Cainzos. 2006. "How Far and Why Do Corruption Scandals Cost Votes?" in John Garrard & James L. Newell eds., *Scandals in Past and Contemporary Politics* (Manchester: Manchester University Press)

Jimenez, Fernando, Monica Garcia-quesada & Manuel Villoria. 2014. Integrity Systems, Values, and expectations: explaining Differences in the Extent of Corruption in Three Spanish Local Governments. *International Journal of Public Administration*

Jimenez, Luis F. 1998. The Inter-American Convention Against Corruption. *Am. Soc. Int'l L. Proceedings*

Jin, Jongsoon. 2005. Corruption and the Time Horizons of Politicians. Working paper.

Johnson, Chalmers. 1986. Tanaka Kakuei, Structural Corruption, and the Advent of Machine Politics in Japan. *Journal of Japanese Studies*

Johnson, Jesper & Deborah Hardoon. 2012. Why, When and How to Use the Global Corruption Barometer. U4 Brief 2012:5. Bergen, Norway: U4 Anti-Corruption Resource Center.

Johnsøn, Jesper & Phil Mason. 2013. The Proxy Challenge: Why Bespoke Proxy Indicators Can Help Solve the Anti-Corruption Measurement Problem. U4 Brief no. 6.

Johnson, Jesper & Tina Soreide. 2013. Methods for Learning What Works and Why in Anti-Corruption. U4 Issue 2013:8. Bergen, Norway: U4 Anti-Corruption Resource Center.

Johnson, Jesper, Hannes Hechler, Luis De Sousa & Harald Mathisen. 2011. How to Monitor and Evaluate Anti-Corruption Agencies: Guidelines for Agencies, Donors, and Evaluators. U4 Issue 2011:8. Bergen, Norway: U4 Anti-Corruption Resource Center.

Johnson, Jesper, Nils Taxell & Dominik Zaum. 2012. Mapping Evidence Gaps in Anti-Corruption: Assessing the State of the Operationally Relevant Evidence on Donors'

Actions and Approaches to Reducing Corruption. U4 Issue 2012:7. Bergen, Norway: U4 Anti-Corruption Resource Center.

Johnson, Jesper. 2012. Theories of Change in Anti-Corruption Work: A Tool for Programme Design and Evaluation (Bergen: Chr. Michelsen Institute, U4 Issue 2012: 6) Johnson, Jesper. 2014. Cost-Effectiveness and Cost-Benefit Analysis of Governance and Anti-Corruption Activities. U4 Issue no. 10.

Johnsøn, Jesper. 2015. "Corruption – New Strategies" in Barry A.K. Rider, ed., Handbook on International Financial Crimes Cheltenham:

Johnsøn, Jesper. 2015. Corruption and Stabilisation: Aid Agencies' Anti-corruption Strategies in Fragile States. (Edward Elgar, forthcoming).

Johnson, Joel W. 2015. Presidential Elections and Corruption Perceptions in Latin America. Journal of Politics in Latin America

Johnson, N.D., C.L. La Fountain & S. Yamarik. 2011. Corruption Is Bad for Growth (Even in the United States). Public Choice

Johnson, Noel, W. Ruger, J. Sorens & S. Yamarik. 2014. Corruption, Regulation, and Growth: An Empirical Study of the United States. Economics of Governance

Johnson, Omotunde E.G. 1975. An Economic Analysis of Corrupt Government, With Special Application to Less Developed Countries. Kyklos: Int'l Rev. for Soc. Sci.

Johnson, Ronald N. & Gary D. Libecap. 1995. Courts, a Protected Bureaucracy, and Reinventing Government. Arizona Law Review

Johnson, S., P. Boone, A. Breach & E. Friedman. 2000. Corporate Governance in the Asian Financial Crisis, 1997-1998. Journal of Financial Economics

Johnson, Simon & Todd Mitton. 2003. Cronyism and Capital Controls: Evidence from Malaysia. *Journal of Financial Economics*

Johnson, Simon, Daniel Kaufmann & Pablo Zoido-Lobatan. 1998. Regulatory Discretion and the Unofficial Economy. *American Economic Review (Papers and Proceedings)*

Johnson, Simon, Daniel Kaufmann, John McMillan & Christopher Woodruff. 2000. Why Do Firms Hide? Bribes and Unofficial Activity after Communism. *Journal of Public Economics*

Johnson, Vincent R. 1999. America's Preoccupation with Ethics in Government. *St. Mary's Law Journal*

Johnston, M. 2007. Understanding the Private Side of Corruption: New Kinds of Transparency, New Roles for Donors. U4 Anti-Corruption Centre Brief No. 6.

Johnston, M. 2015. Making Transparency Real? Accounting and Popular Participation in Corruption Control. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*

Johnston, Michael & Jesper Johnsen. 2014. Doing the Wrong Things for the Right Reasons?: "Do No Harm" as a Principle of Reform. U4 Brief no. 13.

Johnston, Michael & Sahr J. Kpundeh. 2004. Building a Clean Machine: Anti-Corruption Coalitions and Sustainable Reform. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 3466.

Johnston, Michael & Yufan Hao. 1995. China's Surge of Corruption. *Journal of Democracy*

Johnston, Michael ed. 2005. *Civil Society and Corruption: Mobilizing for Reform*. (Lanham: University Press of America).

Johnston, Michael. 1986. Right and Wrong in American Politics: Popular Conceptions of Corruption. *Polity*

Johnston, Michael. 1986. The Political Consequences of Corruption: A Reassessment. *Comparative Politics*

Johnston, Michael. 1991. Historical Conflict and the Rise of Standards. *Journal of Democracy*

Johnston, Michael. 1996. The Search for Definitions: The Vitality of Politics and the Issue of Corruption. *International Social Science Journal*

Johnston, Michael. 1997. *Political Corruption and Public Policy in America*. Westport: Praeger.

Johnston, Michael. 1998. Fighting Systemic Corruption: Social Foundations for Institutional Reform. *European Journal of Development Research*

Johnston, Michael. 1999. "A Brief History of Anticorruption Agencies," in Schedler, Andreas et al. *The Self-Restraining State: Power and Accountability in New Democracies*. Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers.

Johnston, Michael. 2001. "Measuring Corruption: Numbers Versus Knowledge Versus Understanding", in Arvind K. Jain ed., *The Political Economy of Corruption* (London: Routledge)

Johnston, Michael. 2005. *Political Parties and Democracy in Theoretical and Practical Perspectives*. Washington, D.C.: National Democratic Institute for International Development.

Johnston, Michael. 2006. *Syndromes of Corruption: Wealth, Power, and Democracy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Johnston, Michael. 2008. *Japan, Korea, the Philippines, China: Four Syndromes of Corruption*. Crime, Law & Social Change

Johnston, Michael. 2010. *Assessing Vulnerabilities to Corruption*. Public Integrity

Johnston, Michael. 2010. *Political and Social Foundations for Reform: Anti-Corruption Strategies for the Philippines*. Manila: Asian Institute of Management.

Johnston, Michael. 2015. "Reflection and Reassessment: The Emerging Agenda of Corruption Research", in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge)

Jon, Alan Doig & David Watt. 2001. Managing Anti-Corruption Agencies. Forum on Crime & Society

Jones, David S. 2009. Curbing Corruption in Government Procurement in Southeast Asia: Challenges and Constraints. Asian Journal of Political Science

Jordan, Jon. 2011. Recent Developments in the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the New UK Bribery Act: A Global Trend Towards Greater Accountability in the Prevention of Foreign Bribery. NYU Journal of Law & Business

Jordan, Jon. 2011. The Adequate Procedures Defense Under the UK Bribery Act: A British Idea for the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Stanford Journal of Law, Business & Finance

Jordan, Jon. 2011. The OECD's Call for an End to "Corrosive" Facilitation Payments and the International Focus on the Facilitation Payments Exception Under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. University of Pennsylvania Journal of Business Law.

Jordan, William Chester. 2009. Anti-Corruption Campaigns in Thirteenth-Century Europe. Journal of Medieval History

Jos, Philip H. 1993. Empirical Corruption Research: Beside the (Moral) Point?, Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory: J-PART

Joseph, Anthony A. 2008. Public Corruption: The Government's expansive View in Pursuit of Local and State Officials. Cumberland Law Review

Josephs, Hilary K. 2000. The Upright and the Low-Down: An Examination of Official Corruption in the United States and the People's Republic of China. Syracuse Journal of International Law & Commerce

Joutsen, Matti & Adam Graycar. 2012. When experts and Diplomats Agree: Negotiating Peer Review of the UN Convention Against Corruption. Global Governance

Joutsen, Matti. 2012. "The United Nations Convention Against Corruption", in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption

Judge, William Q., D. Brian McNatt & Weichu Xu. 2011. The Antecedents and Effects of National Corruption: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of World Business*

Jun, Daniel Y. 1996. Bribery Among the Korean Elite: Putting an End to a Cultural Ritual and Restoring Honor. *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*.

Jun, Daniel Y. 2000. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and Structural Corruption. *Boston University International Law Journal*

June, Raymond, Afroza Chowdhury, Nathaniel Heller & Jonathan Werve. 2008. A User's Guide to Measuring Corruption. (Oslo: UNDP Oslo Governance Center).

Justesen, Mogens K. & Christian Bjornskov. 2014. exploiting the Poor: Bureaucratic Corruption and Poverty in Africa. *World Development*

Justesen, Mogens K. 2014. exploiting the Poor: Bureaucratic Corruption and Poverty in Africa. *World Development*

Kacapyr, E. 2001. Business as Usual: Corruption and Business Activity. *Journal of Social, Political, and Economic Studies*

Kaczmarek, Sarah C. & Abraham L. Newman. 2011. The Long Arm of the Law: Extraterritoriality and the National Implementation of Foreign Bribery Legislation. *International Organization*

Kahan, Daniel M. 1998. Social Meaning and the Economic Analysis of Crime. *Journal of Legal Studies*

Kahana, Nava & Qijun Liu. 2010. Endemic Corruption. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Kahn, E.M. et al. 2001. Performance-Based Wages in Tax Collection: The Brazilian Tax Collection Reform and Its Effects. *Economic Journal*

Kaikati, Jack G., G.M. Sullivan, J.M. Virgo, T.R. Carr & K.S. Virgo. 2000. The Price of International Business Morality: Twenty Years Under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Kam, Christopher. 2007. "Four Lessons about Corruption from Victorian Britain."

Kaminski, A. 1997. Corruption Under the Post-Communist Transformation. *Policy Sociology Review*

Kaminski, Antoni. 1989. Coercion, Corruption, and Reform: State and Society in the Soviet-Type Socialist Regimes. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*

Kang, D.C. 2003. Transaction Costs and Crony Capitalism in East Asia. *Comparative Politics*.

Kang, David C. 2002. Bad Loans to Good Friends: Money Politics and the Developmental State in South Korea. *International Organization*

Kang, David C. 2002. *Crony Capitalism*. Cambridge University Press.

Kang, E.T. & B.D. Frey. 2014. The FCPA and the Financial Industry: Understanding and Mitigating Risk. *Journal of Taxation & Regulation of Financial Institutions* 27(5): 37-44.

Kanol, Direnc. 2015. Pluralism, Corporatism and Perception of Corruption. *Journal of Public Affairs*

Karahan, G., R.M. Coates & W.F. Shughart II. 2006. Corrupt Political Jurisdictions and Voter Participation. *Public Choice*

Karalashvili, Nona, Aart Kraay & Peter Murrell. 2015. Doing the Survey Two-Step: The Effects of Reticence on Estimates of Corruption in Two-Stage Survey Questions. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper* 7276.

Karhunen, Paivi & Riitta Kosonen. 2013. Strategic Responses of Foreign Subsidiaries to Host Country Corruption: The Case of Finnish Firms in Russia. *Critical Perspectives on International Business*

Karhunen, Paivi & Svetlana Ledyeva. 2012. Corruption Distance, Anti-Corruption Laws and International Ownership Strategies in Russia. *Journal of International Management*

Karim, Sabrina. 2011. Madame Officer. *Americas Quarterly* 5(3): Karklins, Rasma. 2002. Typology of Post-Communist Corruption. *Problems of Post-Communism*

Karklins, Rasma. 2005. *The System Made Me Do It: Corruption in Post-Communist Societies*. (Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe).

Karl, Terry Lynn. 1997. *The Paradox of Plenty: Oil Booms and Petro-States* (Berkeley, CA: University of California Press).

Karpaty, Patrik & Patrik Gustavsson Tingvall. 2015. *Service Offshoring and Corruption: Do Firms Escape Corrupt Countries?* *Journal of Industry Competition & Trade* (forthcoming).

Karpoff, Jonathan M., D. Scott Lee & Gerald S. Martin. 2014. *The Economics of Foreign Bribery: Evidence from FCPA Enforcement Actions*. Working paper.

Karpoff, Jonathan M., D. Scott Lee & Valaria P. Vondracik. 1999. *Defense Procurement Fraud, Penalties, and Contractor Influence*. *Journal of Political Economy*

Kartal, Mert & Jon Pevehouse. 2014. *International Institutions and the Rule of Law: The Case of National Corruption*. Working paper.

Kartal, Mert. 2014. *Accounting for the Bad Apples: The EU's Impact on National Corruption Before and After Accession*. *Journal of European Public Policy*

Kartal, Mert. 2014. *The EU's Impact on National Corruption in Central and Eastern Europe: A Qualitative Comparative Analysis*. Working paper.

Kartmer, Jennifer & Carolyn M. Warner. 2015. *Multi-Nationals and Corruption Systems: The Case of Siemens*. Working paper.

Kashem, M.B. 2005. "The Social Organization of Police Corruption: The Case of Bangladesh", in Rick Sarre, Dilip K. Das & H.J. Albrecht eds., *Policing Corruption: International Perspectives* (Lexington Books), pp. 237-246.

Kato, A. & T. Sato. 2015. *Greasing the Wheels? The Effect of Corruption in Regulated Manufacturing Sectors of India*. *Canadian Journal of Development Studies*.

Kato, Atsushi & Takahiro Sato. 2014. The Effect of Corruption on the Manufacturing Sector in India. *Economics of Governance*

Katou, A.A. 2014. The Relationship Between Corrupt Practices and Organisational Performance: An Empirical Investigation. *International Journal of Business Governance & Ethics*

Katsios, Stavros. 2006. The Shadow Economy and Corruption in Greece. *South-Eastern Europe Journal of Economics*

Kauder, B. & N. Potrafke. 2015. Just Hire Your Spouse! Evidence from a Political Scandal in Bavaria. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Kauder, Bjorn & Niklas Potrafke. 2014. Just Hire Your Spouse: Empirical Evidence from a New Type of Political Favoritism. Working paper.

Kaufmann, D. & A. Kraay. 2002. Growth without Governance. *Economia*

Kaufmann, D. & P. Vicente. 2011. Legal Corruption. *Economics & Politics*

Kaufmann, D. 2015. Corruption Matters. *Finance & Development*

Kaufmann, Daniel & Cheryl Grey. 1998. Corruption and Development, *Finance and Development*,

Kaufmann, Daniel & Paul Siegelbaum. 1997. Privatization and Corruption in Transition Economies. *Journal of International Affairs*

Kaufmann, Daniel & Shang-Jin Wei. 2000. “does ‘Grease Money’ Speed Up the Wheels of Commerce?” IMF Working Papers 00/64. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.

Kaufmann, Daniel, Aart Kraay & Massimo Mastruzzi. 2004. Governance Matters III: Governance Indicators for 1996, 1998, 2000, and 2002. *World Bank Economic Review*

Kaufmann, Daniel, Aart Kraay & Massimo Mastruzzi. 2006. "Measuring Governance Using Perceptions Data," in Susan Rose-Ackerman ed., *International Handbook of Economic Corruption* (Northampton, England: Edward Elgar)

Kaufmann, Daniel, Aart Kraay & Massimo Mastruzzi. 2007. *Growth and Governance: A Reply*. *Journal of Politics*

Kaufmann, Daniel, Aart Kraay & Massimo Mastruzzi. 2007. *The Worldwide Governance Indicators Project: Answering the Critics*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 4149.

Kaufmann, Daniel, Aart Kraay & Massimo Mastruzzi. 2010. *The Worldwide Governance Indicators: Methodology and Analytical Issues*. (Unpublished working paper).

Kaufmann, Daniel, Gil Mehrez & Tugrul Gurgur. 2002. *Voice or Public Sector Management? An Empirical Investigation of Determinants of Public Sector Performance Based on a Survey of Public Officials*. Working paper.

Kaufmann, Daniel, Judith Montoriol-Garriga & Francesca Recanatini. 2008. *How does Bribery Affect Public Service Delivery? Micro-Evidence from Service Users and Public Officials in Peru*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 4492.

Kaufmann, Daniel. 1997. *Corruption: The Facts*, *Foreign Policy*, No. 107 (Summer)

Kaufmann, Daniel. 1999. "Anticorruption Strategies: Starting Afresh? Unconventional Lessons from Comparative Analysis", in R. Staphenurst & S.J. Kpundeh eds., *Curbing Corruption: Towards a Model for Building National Integrity* (Washington, D.C.: World Bank)

Keefer, Philip & Razvan Vlaicu. 2008. *Democracy, Credibility, and Clientalism*. *Journal of Law, Economics & Organization*

Keefer, Philip & Stephen Knack. 2007. *Boondoggles, Rent-Seeking, and Political Checks and Balances: Public Investment Under Unaccountable Governments*. *Review of Economics & Statistics*

Keefer, Philip & Stuti Khemani. 2005. *Democracy, Public expenditures, and the Poor: Understanding Political Incentives for Providing Public Services*. World Bank Research Observer

Keefe, Philip & Stuti Khemani. 2011. Mass Media and Public Services: The Effects of Radio Access on Public Education in Benin. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 5559.

Keefe, Philip & Stuti Khemani. 2012. Do Informed Citizens Receive More or Pay More? The Impact of Radio on the Government Distribution of Public Health Benefits. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 5952.

Keefe, Philip. 2007. Clientalism, Credibility and the Policy Choices of Young Democracies. American Journal of Political Science

Keefe, Philip. 2011. Collective Action, Political Parties, and Pro-Development Public Policy. Asian Development Review

Keenan, Patrick J. 2009. Curse or Cure? China, Africa, and the Effects of Unconditioned Wealth. Berkeley Journal of International Law

Keig, D.L., L.E. Brouthers & V.B. Marshall. 2015. Formal and Informal Corruption Environments and Multinational Enterprise Social Irresponsibility. Journal of Management Studies

Keiser, R. 2015. Urban Regime Change: A Silver Lining for Scandals. Urban Affairs Review

Kelley, Thomas. 2012. Corruption as Institution among Small Businesses in Africa.

Florida Journal of International Law

Kelman, Steven. 2002. Remaking Federal Procurement. Public Contract Law Journal

Kelsall, T. 2011. Rethinking the Relationship between Neo-Patrimonialism and Economic Development in Africa. IDS Bulletin 42(2):

Kempf, R. 2015. Crafting Accountability Policy: Designing Offices of Inspector General. Policy & Society 34(2):.

Kennedy, David & Dan Danielsen. 2011. *Busting Bribery: Sustaining the Global Momentum of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act* (New York: Open Society Foundation).

Kennedy, David. 1999. The International Anti-Corruption Campaign. *Connecticut Journal of International Law*

Kennedy, R. & L. Tiede. 2013. Economic Development Assumptions and the Elusive Curse of Oil. *International Studies Quarterly*

Kenny, C. 2009. Measuring and Reducing Corruption in Infrastructure: Evidence from Transition and Developing Countries. *Journal of Development Studies*

Kenny, Charles & Maria Musatova. 2011. “‘Red Flags of Corruption’ in World Bank Projects: An Analysis of Infrastructure Projects”, in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Tina Soreide, eds., *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption*, Vol. II. (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Kenny, Charles & Tina Soreide. 2008. *Grand Corruption in Utilities*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 4805.

Kenny, Charles, Michael Klein & Monika Sztajerowska. 2011. A Trio of Perspectives on Corruption, Bias, Speed Money and “Grand Theft Infrastructure”. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 5889.

Kenny, Charles. 2006. Measuring and Reducing the Impact of Corruption in Infrastructure. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 4099.

Kenny, Charles. 2009. Is There an Anticorruption Agenda in Utilities? *Utilities Policy* 17(2): 156-165.

Kenny, P.D. 2015. The Origins of Patronage Politics: State Building, Centrifugalism, and Decolonization. *British Journal of Political Science*

Kessing, S.G., K.A. Konrad & C. Kotsogiannis. 2007. Foreign Direct Investment and the Dark Side of Decentralization. *Economic Policy*

Ketkar, K.W., A. Murtuza & S.L. Ketkar. 2005. Impact of Corruption on Foreign Direct Investment and Tax Revenues. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*

Khachatryan, E., S. Kube & B. Vollan. 2015. Mitigating Extortive Corruption?

experimental Evidence. *Jahrbucher Fur Nationalokonomie Und Statistik*

Khair, A., R. Haniffa, M. Hudaib & M. Adb Karim. 2015. Personalisation of Power, Neoliberalism and the Production of Corruption. *Accounting Forum*

Khakbaz-Poor, N. & S. Zarandi. 2015. The Effect of Electronic Government on the Administrative Corruption of Employees with an Emphasis on Administrative Violations.

International Review of Management & Business Research

Khalil, Fahad & Jacques Lawarrée. 2006. Incentives for Corruptible Auditors in the Absence of Commitment. *Journal of Industrial Economics*

Khalil, Fahad, Jacques Lawarree & Sungho Yun. 2009. Bribery versus Extortion: Allowing the Lesser of Two Evils. *RAND Journal of Economics*

Khan, Adnan Q., Asim I. Khwaja & Benjamin A. Olken. 2015. Tax Farming Redux: experimental Evidence on Performanc Pay for Tax Collectors. Working paper.

Khan, M. 2001. "The New Political Economy of Corruption", in B. Fine, C. Lapavistas & J. Pincus eds., *Development Policy in the Twenty-First Century: Beyond the Washington Consensus* (London: Routedledge).

Khan, M.H. & K.S. Jomo. 2000. *Rents, Rent-Seeking and Economic Development: Theory and Evidence in Asia* (New York: Cambridge University Press).

Khan, M.H. 2012. *Governance during Social Transformations: Challenges for Africa. New Political Economy*

Khan, Mohammad Mohabbat. 2003. Political and Administrative Corruption: Concepts, Comparative experiences and the Bangladesh Case. *Asian Affairs* 25(1): 5-33.

Khan, Mushtaq H. 1998. "The Role of Civil Society and Patron-Client Networks in the Analysis of Corruption", in *Corruption and Integrity Improvement Initiatives in Developing Countries* (New York: UNDP).

Khan, Mustaq H. 1996. The Efficiency Implications of Corruption. *Journal of International Development*

Khan, Mustaq H. 1998. Patron-Client Networks and the Economic Effects of Corruption in Asia. *European Journal of Development Research*

Khan, Mustaq H. 2004. "Corruption, Governance and Economic Development", in K.S.

Jomo & Ben Fine eds., *The New Development Economics* (London: Zed Press).

Khandekar, Aalok & Deepa S. Reddy. 2015. An Indian Summer: Corruption, Class, and the Lokpal Protests. *Journal of Consumer Culture*

Khandker, Abeer 2015. The Effect of Economic Freedom on Corruption: The Case of South Asian Countries. *International Journal of Economics & Business Research*

Khemani, Melissa. 2009. Anti-Corruption Commissions in the African State: Burying the Problem or Addressing the Issue? Working paper.

Khemani, Melissa. 2009. The Role of Anti-Corruption Commissions in Changing Cultural Attitudes toward Corruption and the Rule of Law. Working paper.

Khemani, Stuti, Phil Keefer, Rafael Martinez & Ritva Reinikka. 2007. does Delegation of Fiscal Policy to an Independent Agency Make a Difference? Evidence from Intergovernmental Transfers in India. *Journal of Development Economics*

Khemani, Stuti. 2007. "Can Information Campaigns Overcome Political Obstacles to Serving the Poor?" in Shanta Devearajan & Ingrid Widlund eds., *The Politics of Public Service Delivery in Democracies: Better Access for the Poor* (Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Sweden).

Khemani, Stuti. 2007. *Local Government Accountability for Health Service Delivery in Nigeria*. *Journal of African Economics*

Khemani, Stuti. 2010. *Political Capture of Decentralization: Vote-Buying through Grants-Financed Local Jurisdictions*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper WPS

Khemani, Stuti. 2013. *Buying Votes vs. Supplying Public Services: Political Incentives to Under-Invest in Pro-Poor Policies*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper WPS

Khera, R. 2011. *India's Public Distribution System: Utilisation and Impact*. *Journal of Development Studie*

Khera, Reetika. 2011. *Trends in Division of PDS Grain*. Working paper.

Khojastehpour, M. 2015. *How does Corporate Social Responsibility Avoid the Incidence of Corruption in International expansion?* *European Business Review*

Khumawala, S. & L. Ramchand. 2005. *Country Level Corruption and Frequency of Issue in the U.S. Market*. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*

Khwaja, Asim Ijaz & Atif Mian. 2011. *Rent Seeking and Corruption in Financial Markets*. *Annual Review of Economic*

Khwaja, Asim Ijaz. & Atif Mian. 2005. *Do Lenders Favor Politically Connected Firms? Rent Provision in an Emerging Financial Market*. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*

Khwaja, Asim Ijaz. 2009. *Can Good Projects Succeed in Bad Communities?* *Journal of Public Economics*

Killingsworth, J.R., N. Hossain, Y Hendrick-Wong, S.D. Thomas, A. Rahman & T. Begnum. 1999. *Unofficial Fees in Bangladesh: Price, Equity, and Institutional Issues*. *Health Policy & Planning*

Kim, C.K. 2007. A Cross-National Analysis of Global E-Government. *Public Organization Review*

Kim, Chon-Kyun. 2014. Anti-Corruption Initiatives and E-Government: A Cross-National Study. *Public Organization Review*

Kim, Hun Joon & J.C. Sharman. 2014. Accounts and Accountability: Corruption, Human Rights and Individual Accountability Norms. *International Organization*

Kim, Jong Bum. 2000. Korean Implementation of the OECD Bribery Convention: Implications for Global Efforts to Fight Corruption. *UCLA Pacific Basin Law Journal*

Kim, Joongi & Jong Bum Kim. 1999. Cultural Differences in the Crusade Against International Bribery: Rice-Cake expenses in Korea and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Pacific Rim Law & Policy Journal*

Kim, Pan Suk. 2007. Building National Integrity Through Corruption Eradication in South Korea. *International Public Management Review*

Kim, Seongcheol, Hyun Jeong Kim & Heejin Lee et al. 2009. An Institutional Analysis of an E-Government System for Anti-Corruption: The Case of OPEN. *Government Information Quarterly*

Kimbro, M.B. 2002. A Cross-Country Empirical Investigation of Corruption and Its Relationship to Economic, Cultural, and Monitoring Institutions: An Examination of the Role of Accounting and Financial Statements Quality. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance*

Kingston, Christopher. 2007. Parochial Corruption. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*

Kingston, Christopher. 2008. Social Structure and Cultures of Corruption. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*

Kinley, David. 2014. A New Human Right to Freedom from Corruption. *Sydney Law School Research Paper No. 14/12.*

Kinnear, James W. 1995. The Ethics of International Business: Foreign Policy and Economic Sanctions. Vital Speeches

Kiselev, Eugene. 2012. Bribe-Taking and Bureaucratic Competition: A Search Cost Model of Corruption. Working paper.

Kishore, A. & S. Chakrabarti. 2015. Is More Inclusive More Effective? The “New Style” Public Distribution System in India. Food Policy

Kis-Katos, Krisztina & Guenther G. Schulze. 2013. Corruption in Southeast Asia: A Survey of Recent Research. Asian-Pacific Economic Literature

Kisubi, M. 1999. Involving Civil Society in the Fight Against Corruption, in R. Stepenhurst & S.J. Kpundeh eds., *Curbing Corruption: Toward a Model for Building National Integrity* (Washington, D.C.: World Bank)

Kjaer, A. 2004. Old Brooms Can Sweep Too! An Overview of Rulers and Public Sector Reform in Uganda, Tanzania and Kenya. *Journal of Modern African Studies*

Kjellberg, Francesco. 1992. Corruption as an Analytical Problem: Some Notes on Research in Public Corruption. *Indian Journal of Social & Administrative Science*

Kjellberg, Francesco. 1995. Conflict of Interest, Corruption or (Simply) Scandals? The “Oslo Case” 1989-1991. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Klasnja, M. 2015. Corruption and the Incumbency Advantage: Theory and Evidence. *Journal of Politics*

Klasnja, Marko, Joshua Tucker & Kevin Deegan-Krause. 2012. *Pocketbook v. Sociotropic Corruption Voting.*

Klasnja, Marko. 2011. *Why Do Malfeasant Politicians Maintain Public Support? Testing the “Uninformed Voter” Argument.* (Working paper).

Klaw, Bruce W. 2012. A New Strategy for Preventing Bribery and Extortion in International Business Transactions. *Harvard Journal on Legislation*

Kleiman, Mark & Beau Kilmer. 2009. The Dynamics of Deterrence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*.

Klein Haarhuis, Carolien M. & Frans L. Leeuw. 2004. Fighting Governmental Corruption: The New World Bank Programme Evaluated. *Journal of International Development*.

Klein Haarhuis, Carolien M. & Rene Torenvlied. 2006. Dimensions and Alignments in the African Anti-Corruption Debate. *Acta Politica*

Klein Haarhuis, Carolien Maria. 2005. Promoting Anti-Corruption Reforms: Evaluating the Implementation of a World Bank Anti-Corruption Program in Seven African Countries

Klein, Charles B. 1999. What Exactly Is an Unlawful Gratuity After *United States v. Sun-Diamond Growers*? *George Washington Law Review*

Kleinberg, Jeffrey. 2014. The Dynamics of Corruptogenic Organizations. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*.

Kleven, Henrik J., Martin B. Knudsen, Claus T. Kreiner, Soren Pederkuris. 2010.

Unwilling or Unable to Cheat? Evidence from a Randomized Tax Audit experiment in Denmark. (working paper)

Klich, Agnieszka. 1996. Bribery in Economies in Transition: The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Stanford Journal of International Law*

Klitgaard, R., J. Fedderke & K. Akramov. 2005. "Choosing and Using Performance Criteria", in R. Klitgaard & P. Light eds., *High Performance Government: Structure, Leadership, Incentives* (Santa Monica, CA: Rand Corporation).

Klitgaard, Robert 1988. *Controlling Corruption*. Berkeley, Calif.: Univ. of California Press.

Klitgaard, Robert et al. 2000. *Corrupt Cities: A Practical Guide to Cure and Prevention*. Oakland: Institute for Contemporary Studies.

Klitgaard, Robert. 1997. *Cleaning Up and Invigorating the Civil Service*. Public Administration & Development

Klitgaard, Robert. 2006. *Subverting Corruption*. Global Crime

Klitgaard, Robert. 2011. *Fighting Corruption*. CESifo DICE Report

Klochko, M. & P. Ordeshook. 2003. *Corruption, Cooperation and Endogenous Time Discount Rates*. Public Choice

Klockars, C.B., S.K. Ivkovich, W.E. Harver & M.R. Haberfeld. 2005. *The Measurement of Police Integrity*. (Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice).

Klomp, Jeroen & Jakob de Haan. 2008. *Effects of Governance on Health: A Cross-National Analysis of 101 Countries*. *Kyklos*

Klugman, Jeffry. 1986. *The Psychology of Soviet Corruption, Indiscipline, and Resistance to Reform*. Political Psychology

Knack, Stephen & Aminur Rahman. 2007. *Donor Fragmentation and Bureaucratic Quality in Aid Recipients*. *Journal of Development Economics*

Knack, Stephen & Omar Azfar. 2003. *Trade Intensity, Country Size and Corruption*. *Economics of Governance*

Knack, Stephen. 2001. *Aid Dependence and the Quality of Governance: Cross-Country Empirical Tests*. *Southern Economic Journal*

Knack, Stephen. 2004. *Does Foreign Aid Promote Democracy?* *International Studies Quarterly* 48(1): 251-266.

Knack, Stephen. 2007. *Measuring Corruption: A Critique of Indicators in Eastern Europe and Central Asia*. *Journal of Public Policy*

Knack, Steven. 2009. Sovereign Rents and Quality of Tax Policy and Administration. *Journal of Comparative Economics*

Knox, Colin. 2009. Dealing with Sectoral Corruption in Bangladesh: Developing Citizen Involvement. *Public Administration & Development*

Ko, E., Y. Su & C. Yu. 2015. Sibling Rivalry among Anti-Corruption Agencies in Taiwan. *Asian Education & Development Studies*

Ko, Kilkon & Anaya Samajdar. 2010. Evaluation of International Corruption Indexes: Should We Believe Them or Not? *Social Science Journal*

Kobis, N. J. Prooijen, F. Righetti & A. Lange. 2015. “Who doesn’t?”—The Impact of Descriptive Norms on Corruption.

Koch, Rebecca. 2005. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: It’s Time to Cut Back the Grease and Add Some Guidance. *Boston College International & Comparative Law Review*

Kochan, Nick & Robin Goodyear. 2011. *Corruption: The Corporate Challenge*. London: Palgrave.

Kock, Ned & Lebrían Gaskins. 2014. The Mediating Role of Voice and Accountability in the Relationship between Internet Diffusion and Government Corruption in Latin America and Sub-Saharan Africa. *Information Technology for Development*

Koehler, Lucy. 2013. *Corruption as an Empty Signifier: Politics and Political Order in Africa*. Leiden: Koninklijke Brill.

Koehler, Mike. 2007. The Unique FCPA Compliance Challenges of Doing Business in China. *Wisconsin International Law Journal*

Koehler, Mike. 2010. The Façade of FCPA Enforcement. *Georgetown Journal of International Law*.

Koehler, Mike. 2010. The FCPA, Foreign Agents, and Lessons from the Halliburton Enforcement Action. *Ohio Northern University Law Review*

Koehler, Mike. 2010. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act in the Ultimate Year of its Decade of Resurgence. *Indiana Law Review*

Koehler, Mike. 2011. Big, Bold, and Bizarre: The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Enters a New Era. *University of Toledo Law Review*

Koehler, Mike. 2012. Revisiting a Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Compliance Defense. *Wisconsin Law Review* 2012

Koehler, Mike. 2012. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Under the Microscope. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of Business Law*

Koehler, Mike. 2012. The Story of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Ohio State Law Journal*

Koehler, Mike. 2013. An Examination of Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Issues. *Richmond Journal of Global Law & Business*.

Koehler, Mike. 2015. A Snapshot of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Santa Clara Journal of International Law*

Koehler, Mike. 2015. The Uncomfortable Truths and Double Standards of Bribery Enforcement. *Fordham Law Review* .

Koessler, F. & A. Lambert-Mogiliansky. 2014. Extortion and Political-Risk Insurance. *Journal of Public Economics*

Koessler, Frederic & Ariane Lambert-Mogiliansky. 2013. Committing to Transparency to Resist Corruption. *Journal of Development Economics*

Kofele-Kale, Ndiva. 1995. Patrimonicide: The International Economic Crime of Indigenous Spoliation. *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*

Kofele-Kale, Ndiva. 2000. The Right to a Corruption-Free Society as an Individual and Collective Human Right: Elevating Official Corruption to a Crime under International Law. *International Lawyer*.

Kofele-Kale, Ndiva. 2006. Change or the Illusion of Change: The War Against Official Corruption in Africa. *George Washington International Law Review*

Kofele-Kale, Ndiva. 2006. Presumed Guilty: Balancing Competing Rights and Interests in Combating Economic Crimes. *International Law* 40: 909-944.

Kolenda, Helena. 1990. One Party, Two Systems: Corruption in the People's Republic of China and Attempts to Control It. *Journal of Chinese Law*

Kolstad, Ivar & Arne Wiig. 2009. Is Transparency the Key to Reducing Corruption in Resource-Rich Countries? *World Development*

Kolstad, Ivar & Arne Wiig. 2011. does Democracy Reduce Corruption? CMI Working Paper 4.

Kolstad, Ivar & Odd-Helge Fjeldstad. 2006. Fiscal Decentralisation and Corruption – A Brief Overview of the Issues. (Bergen: Chr. Michelsen Institute, U4 Issue 2006: 3).

Kolstad, Ivar & Tina Soreide. 2009. Corruption in Natural Resource Management: Implications for Policy Makers. *Resources Policy*

Kolstad, Ivar. 2009. The Resource Curse: Which Institutions Matter? *Applied Economics Letters*

Komalasari, K. & D. Saripudin. 2015. Integration of Anti-Corruption Education in School's Activities. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*

Kong, D.T. 2014. An Economic-Genetic Theory of Corporate Corruption Across Cultures: An Interactive Effect of Wealth and the 5HTTLPR-SS/SL Frequency on Corporate Corruption Mediated by Cultural Endorsement of Self-Protective Leadership. *Personality & Individual Differences*

Konrad, K.A. & S. Skaperdas. 1997. Credible Threats in Extortion. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*

Konrad, K.A. & S. Skaperdas. 1998. Extortion. *Economica*

Konstantinidis, Iannis & Georgios Xezonakis. 2013. Sources of Tolerance towards Corrupted Politicians in Greece: The Role of Trade Offs and Individual Benefits. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Kornai, Janos. 2000. Hidden in an Envelope: Gratitude Payments to Medical Doctors in Hungary..

Kosack, Stephen & Jennifer Tobin. 2006. Funding Self-Sustaining Development: The Role of Aid, FDI and Government in Economic Success. *International Organization*

Kosals, L. & A. Maksimova. 2015. Informality, Crime and Corruption in Russia: A Review of Recent Literature. *Theoretical Criminology*

Kostadinova, Tatiana. 2009. Abstain or Rebel: Corruption Perceptions and Voting in East European Elections. *Politics & Policy*

Kotera, G., K. Okada & S. Samreth. 2012. Government Size, Democracy, and Corruption: An Empirical Investigation. *Economic Modelling*

Kotowski, M. & S. Rachmilevitch. 2014. Bribing in First-Price Auctions. *Games & Economic Behavior*

Kotz, H.D. & S. Mangiero. 2014. Avoiding FCPA Liability by Tightening Internal Controls: Considerations for Institutional Investors and Corporate Counsel. Working paper.

Kotzian, P. 2014. Good Governance and Norms of Citizenship: An Investigation into the System- and Individual-Level Determinants of Attachment to Civic Norms. *American Journal of Economics & Sociology*

Koyuncu, C. & R. Yilmaz. 2009. The Impact of Corruption on Deforestation: Cross-Country Evidence. *Journal of Developing Areas*

Kpundeh, S. 2004. "Process Interventions versus Structural Reforms: Institutionalizing Anticorruption Reforms in Africa," in *Building State Capacity in Africa: New Approaches*

Kpundeh, Sahr J. 1998. "Political Will in Fighting Corruption," in Sahr J. Kpundeh & Irene Hors eds., *Corruption and Integrity Improvement Initiatives in Developing Countries* (Paris: UNDP/OECD)

Kpundeh, Sahr John. 1995. *Politics and Corruption in Africa: A Case Study of Sierra Leone*.

Kraay, Aart & Peter Murrell. 2013. *Misunderestimating Corruption*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 6488.

Krajcova, Jana & Andreas Ortmann. 2008. *Testing Leniency Programs experimentally: The Impact of "Natural" Framing*. CERGE-EI Working Paper No. 372.

Krajcova, Jana. 2008. *Testing Leniency Programs experimentally: The Impact of a Change in Parameterization*. CERGE-EI Working Paper No. 370.

Krajcova, Jana. 2010. *On the Hidden Costs of Monitoring Corruption or Effort*. Working Paper.

Krambia-Kapardias, M. 2014. *Perception of Political Corruption as a Function of Legislation*. *Journal of Financial Crime*

Kramer, John. 1998. *The Politics of Corruption*. *Current History*

Krastev, Ivan & Gregory Ganev. 2003. *Do Uncorrupt Governments in Corrupt Countries Have Incentives to Launch Anticorruption Campaigns?* *East European Constitutional Review*

Krastev, Ivan. 2004. "The Missing Incentive: Corruption, Anticorruption, and Reelection", in Janos Kornai & Susan Rose-Ackerman eds., *Building a Trustworthy State in Transition*. (Palgrave Macmillan)

Krause, Stefen & Fabio Mendez. 2009. *Corruption and Elections: An Empirical Study for a Cross-Section of Countries*. *Economics & Politics*

Krawiec, Kimberly D. 2003. *Cosmetic Compliance and the Failure of Negotiated Governance*. *Washington University Law Quarterly* .

- Kreindler, Richard. 2011. "Legal Consequences of Corruption in International Investment Arbitration: An Old Challenge with New Answers", in Laurent Levy & Yves Derains eds., *Liber Amicorum en l'honneur de Serge Lazareff* (Paris: Editions Pedone)
- Kress, Laura E. 2009. How the Sarbanes-Oxley Act has Knocked the "SOX" of the DOJ and SEC and Kept the FCPA on Its Feet. *University of Pittsburg Journal of Technology, Law & Policy*
- Krever, Tor. 2007. Curbing Corruption? The Efficacy of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *North Carolina Journal of International Law & Commercial Regulation*
- Kriendler, Richard. 2010. "Corruption in International Investment Arbitration: Jurisdiction and the Unclean Hands Doctrine", in Kaj Holber et al. eds., *Between East and West: Essays in Honor of Ulf Franke*.
- Krishnan, S., T.S.H. Teo & V.K.G. Lim. 2013. Examining the Relationships Among e-Government Maturity, Corruption, Economic Prosperity and Environmental Degradation: A Cross-Country Analysis. *Information & Management*
- Kristiansen, Stein & Muhid Ramli. 2006. Buying an Income: The Market for Civil Service Positions in Indonesia. *Contemporary Southeast Asia: Journal of International & Strategic Affairs*
- Kristiansen, Stein & Pratikno. 2006. Decentralising Education in Indonesia. *International Journal of Educational Development*
- Krueger, Ann. 1974. The Political Economy of the Rent-Seeking Society. *American Economic Review*
- Kruk, M.S., G. Mbaruku, P.C. Rockers & S. Galea. 2008. User Fee Exemptions Are Not Enough: Out of Pocket Payments for "Free" Delivery Services in Rural Tanzania. *Tropical Medicine & International Health* .
- Kselman, Daniel. 2011. "Electoral Institutions and Political Corruption: Ballot Structure, Electoral Formula, and Graft", in Norman Schofield ed., *Political Economy of Institutions, Democracy, and Voting* (London: Springer)
- Kubiciel, Michael. 2009. Core Criminal Law Provisions in the United Nations Convention Against Corruption. *International Criminal Law Review*

Kugler, Maurice, Thierry Verdier & Yves Zenou. 2005. Organized Crime, Corruption and Punishment. *Journal of Public Economics*

Kukhianidze, Alexandre. 2009. Corruption and Organized Crime Before and After the Rose Revolution. *Central Asian Survey*

Kulkarni, Sagar A. 2013. Enforcing Anti-Corruption Measures Through International Investment Arbitration. *Transnational Dispute Management*

Kumar, C. Raj. 2003. Corruption and Human Rights: Promoting Transparency in Governance and the Fundamental Right to Corruption-Free Service in India. *Columbia Journal of Asian Law*

Kumar, C. Raj. 2004. Corruption in Japan—Institutionalizing the Right to Information, Transparency, and the Right to Corruption Free Governance. *New England Journal of International & Comparative Law*

Kumar, C. Raj. 2004. Human Rights Approaches of Corruption Control Mechanisms: Enhancing the Hong Kong experience of Corruption Prevention Strategies. *San Diego International Law Journal*

Kumar, C. Raj. 2005. Corruption, Human Rights, and Development: Sovereignty and State Capacity to Promote Good Governance. *American Society of International Law Proceedings*

Kumar, C. Raj. 2008. Corruption, Development and Good Governance: Challenges for Promoting Access to Justice in Asia. *Michigan State Journal of International Law*

Kumar, C. Raj. 2011. *Corruption and Human Rights in India: Comparative Perspectives on Transparency and Good Governance* (Oxford University Press).

Kumar, C. Raj. 2012. Challenges of Corruption and Good Governance: A Human Rights Perspective. *Jindal Journal of Public Policy*

Kumar, R. & M. Best. 2006. Impact and Sustainability of E-Government Services in Developing Countries: Lessons Learned from Tamil Nadu, India. *The Information Society*

Kumlin, Staffan & Peter Esaiasson. 2012. Scandal Fatigue? Scandal, Elections and Satisfaction with Democracy in Western Europe, 1977-2007. *British Journal of Political Science*.

Kuncoro, Ari. 2002. The New Laws of Decentralization and Corruption in Indonesia: Examination of Provincial and District Data. Working Paper.

Kuncoro, Ari. 2004. Bribery in Indonesia: Some Evidence from Micro-Level Data. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*

Kunicová, Jana & Susan Rose-Ackerman. 2005. Electoral Rules and Constitutional Structures as Constraints on Corruption. *British Journal of Political Science*

Kunicova, Jana. 2013. "The Role of the World Bank in Promoting Good Governance and Anti-Corruption Reforms: A View from the Europe and Central Asia Region", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D. Carrington eds., *Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role?* (Durham: Carolina Academic Press)

Kupatadze, A. 2015. Political Corruption in Eurasia: Understanding Collusion Between States, Organized Crime and Business. *Theoretical Criminology* 19(2): 198-215.

Kurer, Oskar. 1993. Clientelism, Corruption, and the Allocation of Resources. *Public Choice*

Kurer, Oskar. 2001. "Why Do Voters Support Corrupt Politicians?", in Arvind K. Jain ed., *The Political Economy of Corruption* (New York: Routledge)

Kurer, Oskar. 2005. *Corruption: An Alternative Approach to Definition and Measurement*. Political Studies .

Kurer, Oskar. 2015. "Definitions of Corruption" , in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge)

Kuris, Gabriel. 2012. "Inviting a Tiger into Your Home": Indonesia Creates an Anti-Corruption Commission with Teeth, 2002-2007. *Innovations for Successful Societies*, Princeton University.

Kuris, Gabriel. 2012. *Balancing Responsibilities: Evolution of Lithuania's Anti-Corruption Agency, 1997-2007*. *Innovations for Successful Societies*, Princeton University.

Kuris, Gabriel. 2012. *Holding the High Ground with Public Support: Indonesia's Anti-Corruption Commission Digs In, 2007-2011*. *Innovations for Successful Societies*, Princeton University.

Kuris, Gabriel. 2012. *Surmounting State Capture: Latvia's Anti-Corruption Agency Spurs Reforms, 2002-2011*. *Innovations for Successful Societies*, Princeton University.

Kuris, Gabriel. 2013 *Managing Corruption Risks: Botswana Builds an Anti-Graft Agency, 1994-2012*. *Innovations for Successful Societies*, Princeton University.

Kuris, Gabriel. 2013. *Cleaning House: Croatia Mops Up High-Level Corruption*. *Innovations for Successful Societies*, Princeton University.

Kuris, Gabriel. 2013. *From a Rocky Start to Regional Leadership: Mauritius's Anti-Corruption Agency, 2006-2012*. *Innovations for Successful Societies*, Princeton University.

Kuris, Gabriel. 2013. *Toothless but Forceful: Slovenia's Anti-Corruption Watchdog exposes Systemic Graft, 2004-2013*. *Innovations for Successful Societies*, Princeton University.

Kuris, Gabriel. 2014. *From Underdogs to Watchdogs: How Anti-Corruption Agencies Can Hold Off Potent Adversaries*. *Innovations for Successful Societies*, Princeton University.

Kuris, Gabriel. 2015. *Watchdogs or Guard Dogs: Do Anti-Corruption Agencies Need Strong Teeth?* *Policy & Society*

Kurland, Adam H. 1989. *The Guarantee Clause as a Basis for Federal Prosecutions of State and Local Officials*. *Southern California Law Review*

Kurtoglu Eskisar, G.M. & A. Komsuoglu. 2015. *A Critical Assessment of the Transformative Power of EU Reforms on Reducing Corruption in the Balkans*. *Southeast European & Black Sea Studies*.

Kurtz, Marcus J. & Andrew Schrank. 2007. *Growth and Governance: Models, Measures, and Mechanisms*. *Journal of Politics*

Kurtzman, Joel, Glenn Yago & Triphon Phumiwasana. 2004. The Global Costs of Opacity—Measuring Business and Investment Risk Worldwide. MIT Sloan Management Review

Kurzban, Robert. 2010. Why Everyone (Else) Is a Hypocrite: Evolution and the Modular Mind (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press).

Kutnjak-Ivkovic, Sanja. 2003. To Serve and Collect: Measuring Police Corruption. Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology

Kutnjak-Ivkovic, Sanja. 2005. Fallen Blue Knights: Controlling Police Corruption.

Kuziemko, Ilyana & Eric Werker. 2006. How Much Is a Seat on the Security Council Worth? Foreign Aid and Bribery at the United Nations. Journal of Political Economy

Kuzmina, O., N. Volchkova & T. Zueva. 2014. Foreign Direct Investment and Governance Quality in Russia. Journal of Comparative Economics

Kwok, Chuck C.Y. & Solomon Tadesse. 2006. The MNC as an Agent of Change for Host-Country Institutions: FDI and Corruption. Journal of International Business Studies

Kwon, I. 2014. Motivation, Discretion, and Corruption. Journal of Public Administration Research & Theory

Kyriacou, A.P. & O. Roca-Sagales. 2011. Fiscal Decentralization and Government Quality in the OECD. Economics Letters

La Porta, Rafael, Florencio Lopez-de-Silanes, Andrei Shleifer & Robert Vishny. 1999.

The Quality of Government. Journal of Law, Economics & Organization

Lacey, Kathleen A. & Barbara Crutchfield George. 1998. expansion of SEC Authority into Internal Corporate Governance: The Accounting Provisions of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (A Twentieth Anniversary Review). Journal of Transnational Law & Policy

Ladd, Alyssa. 2014. The “Catch-22” of Corporate Cooperation in Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Investigations. *Houston Law Review*

Laffont, Jean-Jacques & Jean Tirole. 1991. The Politics of Regulatory Decision Making: A Theory of Regulatory Capture. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*

Laffont, Jean-Jacques & Mathieu Meleu. 2001. Separation of Powers and Development. *Journal of Development Economics*

Laffont, Jean-Jacques & Tchetché N’Guessan. 1999. Competition and Corruption in an Agency Relationship. *Journal of Development Economics*

Laffont, J-J. & D. Martimort. 1999. Separation of Regulators Against Collusive Behavior. *RAND Journal of Economics*

Lagos, M. 2003. “Public Opinion of Corruption in Latin America”, in Transparency International, *Global Corruption Report 2002* (London: Profile Books)

Lagunes, Paul & Rongyao Huang. 2014. *Saving Gotham: Fighting Corruption in New York’s Property Tax System*. Working paper.

Lagunes, Paul & Rongyao Huang. 2015. “Saving Gotham: Fighting Corruption in New York City’s Property Tax System”, in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul Lagunes eds., *Greed, Corruption, and the Modern State*

Lahiri, S. & P. Raimondos-Moller. 2000. Lobbying by Ethnic Groups and Aid Allocation. *Economic Journal*

Lai, Alan. 2002. Building Public Confidence in Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Approach of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China. *Forum on Crime & Society*

Lalountas, Dionisios, George A. Manolas & Ioannis S. Vavouras. 2011. Corruption, Globalization and Development: How Are These Three Phenomena Related? *Journal of Policy Modeling*

Lambert-Mogiliansky, A. 2015. Social Accountability to Contain Corruption. *Journal of Development Economics*.

Lambert-Mogiliansky, Araine, Mukul Majumdar & Roy Radner. 2008. Petty Corruption: A Game-Theoretic Approach. *International Journal of Economic Theor*

Lambert-Mogiliansky, Ariane & Konstantin Sonin. 2006. Collusive Market Sharing and Corruption in Procurement. *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy*

Lambert-Mogiliansky, Ariane, Mukul Majumdar & Roy Radner. 2007. Strategic Analysis of Petty Corruption: Entrepreneurs and Bureaucrats. *Journal of Development Economics*

Lambert-Mogiliansky, Ariane, Mukul Majumdar & Roy Radner. 2009. Strategic Analysis of Petty Corruption with an Intermediary. *Review of Economic Design*

Lambert-Mogiliansky, Ariane. 2002. Why Firms Pay Occasional Bribes: The Connection Economy. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Lambert-Mogiliansky, Ariane. 2011. "Corruption and Collusion: Strategic Complements in Procurement", in *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption*, Vol. II.

Lambsdorf, Johann et al, eds. 2004. *Corruption and the New Institutional Economics*. New York: Routledge.

Lambsdorff, Johan Graf. 2012. "Behavioral and experimental Economics as a Guide to Anticorruption", in S. Serra & L. Wantchekon eds., *New Advances in experimental Research on Corruption*. *Research in experimental Economics*, Vol. 15.

Lambsdorff, Johan Graff. 2010. Deterrence and Constrained Enforcement – Alternative Regimes to Deal with Bribery. Working Paper.

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf & Bjorn Frank. 2010. Bribing versus Gift-Giving – An experiment. *Journal of Economic Psychology*

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf & Bjorn Frank. 2011. Corrupt Reciprocity – experimental Evidence on a Men’s Game. *International Review of Law & Economics*

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf & H. Fink. 2006 Combating Corruption in Columbia: Perceptions and Achievements. Working Paper.

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf & Mathias Nell. 2007. Fighting Corruption with Asymmetric Penalties and Leniency. Working Paper.

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 1998. An Empirical Investigation of Bribery in International Trade. *European Journal of Development Research*

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2001. How Precise Are Perceived Levels of Corruption? (Transparency International, Background Paper to the 2001 Corruption Perceptions Index).

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2002a. “What Nurtures Corrupt Deals? On the Role of Confidence and Transaction Costs”, in D. Della Porta & S. Rose-Ackerman eds., *Corrupt Exchanges* (Nomos Verlag; Baden Baden, Germany).

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2002b. Confidence and Illegal Transactions. *American Journal of Economics & Sociology*

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2002c. Corruption and Rent-Seeking. *Public Choice*.

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2002d. How Confidence Facilitates Illegal Transactions: An Empirical Approach. *American Journal of Economics & Sociology*

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2002e. Making Corrupt Deals: Contracting in the Shadow of the Law. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2003a. How Corruption Affects Persistent Capital Flows. *Economics of Governance*

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2003b. How Corruption Affects Productivity. *Kyklos*

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2006a. "Causes and Consequences of Corruption--What Do We Know from a Cross-Section of Countries?" in Susan Rose-Ackerman, ed., *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2006b. "Measuring Corruption – The Validity and Precision of Subjective Indicators (CPI)", in Charles Sampford, Arthur Shacklock, Carmel Connors & Fredrik Galtung eds., *Measuring Corruption* (Aldershot: Ashgate)

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2007. *The Institutional Economics of Corruption and Reform*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2009. "The Organization of Anti-Corruption: Getting Incentives Right," in Robert I. Rotberg ed., *Corruption, Global Security, and World Order* (Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution)

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2010. *Who Accepts Bribery? Evidence from a Global Household Survey*. Working Paper.

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2011. *Economic Approaches to Anticorruption*. CESifo DICE Report

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2013a. "Securing Investor Confidence or Fighting Corruption? How Intergovernmental Organizations May Reconcile Two Opposing Goals", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D. Carrington eds., *Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role?* (Durham: Carolina Academic Press)

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2013b. *Corrupt Intermediaries in International Business Transactions: Between Make, Buy and Reform*. *European Journal of Law & Economics*.

Lambsdorff, Johann Graf. 2015. "Behavioural and Institutional Economics as an Inspiration to Anti-Corruption: Some Counterintuitive Findings", in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge)

Lamm, Carolyn, Hansel T. Pham & Rahim Moloo. 2010. "Fraud and Corruption in International Arbitration", in Miguel Angel Fernandez-Ballesteros & David Arias eds., *Liber Amicorum Barnardo Cremades* (La Ley)

Lamoreaux, P.T., P.N. Michas & W.L. Schultz. 2015. Do Accounting and Audit Quality Affect World Bank Lending? *Accounting Review*

Lancaster, Thomas D. & Gabriella Montinola. 2001. Comparative Political Corruption: Issues of Operationalization and Measurement. *Studies in Comparative International Development* 36(3): 3-28.

Lancaster, Thomas D. & Gabriella R. Montinola. 1997. Toward a Methodology for the Comparative Study of Political Corruption. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Landell-Mills, Pierre. 2013. *Citizens Against Corruption: Report From the Front Line.*

Langbein, Laura & Stephen Knack. 2008. The Worldwide Governance Indicators and Tautology: Causally Related Separable Concepts, Indicators of a Common Cause, or Both? *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper* 4669.

Langevoort, Donald C. 2002. Monitoring: The Behavioral Economics of Corporate Compliance with Law. *Columbia Business Law Review*

LaPalombara, Joseph. 1994. Structural and Institutional Aspects of Corruption. *Social Research*

Lapshyna, I. 2014. Corruption as a Driver of Migration Aspirations: The Case of Ukraine. *Economics & Sociology*

Larcinese, Valentino & Indraneel Sircar. 2014. Crime and Punishment the British Way: Accountability Channels Following the MPs' expenses Scandal. *Working paper.*

Large, D. 2005. "Introductory Survey: Corruption and Reconstruction after War", in *Corruption and Post-Conflict Reconstruction: Breaking the Vicious Circle* (Paris: OECD).

Larmour, P. 2007. A Short Introduction to Corruption and Anti-Corruption. *CIES Working paper* 3.

Larmour, P. 2008. Corruption and the Concept of "Culture": Evidence from the Pacific Islands. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Larmour, P. 2009. "Corruption, Culture and Institutions: Evidence from the Pacific Islands", in Gong, T. & S.K. Ma eds., Preventing Corruption in Asia: Institutional Design and Policy Capacity (London: Routledge)

Larmour, Peter. 2012. Interpreting Corruption: Culture and Politics in the Pacific Islands (Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press).

Larrain, F. & J. Tavares. 2004. does Foreign Direct Investment Decrease Corruption? Latin American Journal of Economics

Larrain, Felipe & Jose Tavares. 2007. Can Openness Deter Corruption? The Role of Foreign Direct Investment. CEPR Discussion Papers 6488.

Larrain, Felipe & Jose Tavares. 2007. Can Openness Deter Corruption? The Role of Foreign Direct Investment. CEPR Discussion Papers 6488.

Larreguy, Horacio, John Marshall & James M. Snyder, Jr. 2014. Revealing Malfeasance: How Local Media Facilitates Electoral Sanctioning of Mayors in Mexico. Working paper.

Lascoumes, Pierre & Odette Tomescu-Hatto. 2008. French Ambiguities in Understandings of Corruption: Concurrent Definitions. Perspectives on European Politics & Society

Lascoumes, Pierre. 2014. "Condemning Corruption and Tolerating Conflicts of Interest: French 'Arrangements' Regarding Breaches of Integrity", in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach

Latham, M. 2015. "The City Has Been Wronged and Abused!": Institutional Corruption in the Eighteenth Century. Economic History Review 68(3): 1038-1061.

Latimer, Paul & A.J. Brown. 2008. Whistleblower Laws: International Best Practice. UNSW Law Journal

Lavallee, Emmanuelle, Mireille Razafindrakoto & Francois Roubaud. 2008. Corruption and Trust in Political Institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa. Afrobarometer Working Paper No. 102.

Lawal, Gbenga. 2007. Corruption and Development in Africa: Challenges for Political and Economic Change. *Humanity & Social Science Journal*

Lawson, Letitia. 2009. The Politics of Anticorruption Reform in Africa. *Journal of Modern African Studies*

Lazar, Sian. 2005. "Citizens Despite the State: Everyday Corruption and Local Politics in El Alto, Bolivia", in Dieter Haller & Chris Shore eds., *Corruption: Anthropological Perspectives* (Pluto Press).

Lazarova, Emiliya & Ilaria Mosca. 2007. does Governance Matter for Aggregate Health Capital? *Applied Economics Letters*.

Le Billon, P. 2014. Natural Resources and Corruption in Post-War Transitions: Matters of Trust. *Third World Quarterly*

Le Billon, Philippe. 2003. Buying Peace or Fueling War: The Role of Corruption in Armed Conflicts. *Journal of International Development*

Le Billon, Philippe. 2008. Corrupting Peace? Peacebuilding and Post-Conflict Corruption. *International Peacekeeping*.

Le Billon, Philippe. 2013. "Resource Grabs", in Soreide, Tina & Aled Willams eds., *Corruption, Grabbing and Development* .

Le Vine, Victor T. 1993. Administrative Corruption and Democratization in Africa: Aspects of the Theoretic Agenda. *Corruption and Reform*

Le, Quan V. 2006. Corruption and Capital Flight: An Empirical Assessment. *International Economic Journal*

Le, Van-Ha, Jakob de Haan & Erik Dietzenbacher. 2013. Do Higher Government Wages Reduce Corruption? Evidence Based on a Novel Dataset. CES ifo Working Paper No. 4254.

Lecuna, Antonio. 2012. Corruption and Size Decentralization. *Journal of Applied Economics*

Ledeneva, A. 2009. Corruption in Post-Communist Societies: A Re-Examination. *Perspectives on European Politics and Society*

Lederman, Daniel & William Maloney. 2008. In Search of the Missing Resource Curse. *Economia*

Lederman, Daniel, Norman Loayza & Rodrigo Soares. 2005. Accountability and Corruption: Political Institutions Matter. *Economics & Politics*

Ledyaeva, S., P. Karhunen, R. Kosonen & J. Whalley. 2015. Offshore Foreign Direct Investment, Capital Round-Tripping, and Corruption: Empirical Analysis of Russian Regions. *Economic Geography*

Ledyaeva, Svetlana, Paivi Karhunen & Riitta Kosonen. 2013. Birds of a Feather: Evidence on Commonality of Corruption and Democracy in the Origin and Location of Foreign Investment in Russian Regions. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Lee, Charles M.C. & David Ng. 2009. Corruption and International Valuation: does Virtue Pay? *Journal of Investing*

Lee, Cheol-Sung. 2007. Labor Unions and Good Governance: A Cross-National Comparative Analysis. *American Sociological Review*

Lee, Francis L.F. 2015. How Citizens React to Political Scandals Surrounding Government Leaders: A Survey Study in Hong Kong. *Asian Journal of Political Science*

Lee, H.H. Lim, D.D. Moore & J. Kim. 2013. How Police Organizational Structure Correlates with Frontline Officers' Attitudes Toward Corruption: A Multilevel Model. *Police Practice & Research: An International Journal*

Lee, J., K. Sigmund, U. Dieckmann & Y. Iwasa. 2015. Games of Corruption: How to Suppress Illegal Logging. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*

Lee, Judith A. & James D. Slear. 2007. Unique Problems with FCPA Compliance in the People's Republic of China. *Business Law Today*

Lee, L. 2014. Certainty in Coming Clean: Establishing an FCPA Safe Harbor for Self-Reporting Companies.

Lee, Paul L. 2010. A Renewed Focus on Foreign Corruption and Politically exposed Persons. Banking Law Journal

Lee, S. 2015. Quality of Government and Tourism Destination Competitiveness. Tourism Economics

Lee, Seung-Hyun & D. Weng. 2013. does Bribery in the Home Country Promote or Dampen Firm exports? Strategic Management Journal

Lee, Seung-Hyun & Kyeungrae Kenny Oh. 2007. Corruption in Asia: Pervasiveness and Arbitrariness. Asia Pacific Journal of Management

Lee, Seung-Hyun & Sungjin J. Hong. 2012. Corruption and Subsidiary Profitability: US MNC Subsidiaries in the Asia Pacific Region. Asia Pacific Management Journal

Lee, Seung-Hyun, Kyeungrae (Kenny) Oh & Lorraine Eden. 2010. Why Do Firms Bribe? Insights from Residual Control Theory. Management International Review

Lee, Young & Omar Azfar. 2007. Corruption and Trade Regulations: An Instrumental Variable Approach. Applied Economics Letters

Leemann, L. & D. Bochsler. 2014. A Systematic Approach to Study Electoral Fraud. Electoral Studies

Leenders, Reinoud. 2012. Spoils of Truce: Corruption and State-Building in Postwar Lebanon. Middle Eastern Studies (Cornell University Press).

Leeson, Peter T. & Russell S. Sobel. 2008. Weathering Corruption, Journal of Law and Economics.

Lehoucq, Fabrice. 2003. Electoral Fraud: Causes, Types, and Consequences. Annual Review of Political Science

Leibold, Annalisa. 2015. Extraterritorial Application of the FCPA Under International Law. *Willamette Law Review*

Leiken, Robert S. 1996. Controlling the Global Corruption Epidemic. *Foreign Policy*

Leitao, Alexandra. 2010. Corruption and the Environmental Kuznets Curve: Empirical Evidence for Sulfur. *Ecological Economics*

Leite, Carlos & Jens Weidmann. 1999. Does Mother Nature Corrupt? Natural Resources, Corruption, and Economic Growth. IMF Working Paper 99/85.

Lenz, Gabriel & Kevin Lim. 2009. Getting Rich(er) in Office? Corruption and Wealth Accumulation in Congress. Working paper.

Leontieva, L.S., T.V. Khalilova, L.F. Gaynullina & A.I. Khalilov. 2015. Social-Communicative Innovations in Anti-Corruption Activities. *Asian Social Science*

Leroy, Anne-Marie & Frank Fariello. 2012. The World Bank Group Sanctions Process and Its Recent Reforms (Washington, DC: World Bank).

Lessig, Lawrence. 2011. Republic Lost: How Money Corrupts Congress—and a Plan to Stop It.

Lessig, Lawrence. 2014. A Reply to Professors Cain and Charles. *California Law Review*

Lessig, Lawrence. 2014. What an Originalist would Understand “Corruption” to Mean. *California Law Review*

Lessmann, Christian & Gunther Markwardt. 2010. One Size Fits All? Decentralization, Corruption, and the Monitoring of Bureaucrats. *World Development*

Lestelle, Evan P. 2008. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, International Norms of Foreign Public Bribery, and Extraterritorial Jurisdiction. *Tulane Law Review*

Letyaev, V. & F. Letyaeva. 2015. Corruption Threats in Regulations: Analytical Review of the Literature and Case Materials of the Russian Federation. *Journal of Sustainable Development*

Letzkus, Sarah. 2008. Damned If You Do, Damned If You Don't: The Speech and Debate Clause and Investigating Corruption in Congress 40 *Ariz. St. L.J.* 1377

Leung, J. 2015. Xi's Corruption Crackdown: How Bribery and Graft Threaten the Chinese Dream. *Foreign Affairs*

Levchenko, Andrei A. 2013. International Trade and Institutional Change. *Journal of Law, Economics & Organization*

Leventhal, Robert. 2008. International Legal Standards on Corruption. *American Society of International Law Proceedings*

Levi, M. 2015. Money for Crime and Money From Crime: Financing Crime and Laundering Crime Proceeds. *European Journal on Criminal Policy & Research*

Levin, Ines, Gabe Cohn, R. Michael Alvarez & Peter C. Ordeshook. 2009. Detecting Voter Fraud in an Electronic Voting Context: An Analysis of the Unlimited Reelection Vote in Venezuela. Working paper.

Levin, Mark & Georgy Satarov. 2000. Corruption and Institutions in Russia. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Levin, Ronald M. 2001. Fighting the Appearance of Corruption. *Washington University Journal of Law & Policy*

Levmore, Saul. 1998. Efficiency and Conspiracy: Conflicts of Interest, Anti-Nepotism Rules, and Separation Strategies. *Fordham Law Review*

Levy, D. 2007. Price Adjustment under the Table: Evidence on Efficiency-Enhancing Corruption. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Lewis, Colin M. & Peter Lloyd-Sherlock. 2009. Social Policy and Economic Development in South America: An Historical Approach to Social Insurance. *Economics & Society*

Lewis, M. & P. Stenning. 2012. Considering the Glenister Judgment: Independence Requirements for Anti-Corruption Institutions. *SA Crime Quarterly*

Lewis, M. 2007. Informal Payments and the Financing of Health Care in Developing and Transition Countries. *Health Affairs*

Lewis, Margaret K. 2012. Presuming Innocence, or Corruption, in China. *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law*

Lewis, Maureen. 2006. Governance and Corruption in Public Health Care Systems. Center for Global Development Working Paper No. 78.

Lewis-Faupel, Sean, Yusuf Neggers, Benjamin A. Olken & Rohini Pande. 2014. Can Electronic Procurement Improve Infrastructure Provision? Evidence from Public Works in India and Indonesia. Working paper.

Li, H., M. Tang & N. Huhu. 2015. How does Democracy Influence Citizens' Perceptions of Government Corruption? A Cross-National Study. *Democratization*.

Li, Hongyi, Lixin Colin Xu & Heng-Fu. Zou. 2000. Corruption, Income Distribution, and Growth. *Economics & Politics*

Li, Ling. 2011. Performing Bribery in China: guanxi-practice, corruption with a human Face. *Journal of Contemporary China*

Li, Ling. 2011. The "Production" of Corruption in China's Courts – The Politics of Judicial Decision-Making and Its Consequences in a One-Party State. U.S. Asia Law Institute Working Paper.

Li, S., C. Buhren, B. Frank & H. Qin. 2015. Group Decision Making in a Corruption experiment: China and Germany Compared. *Jahrbucher Fur Nationalokonomie Und Statistik*

Li, Sha, Christoph Buhren, & Bjorn Frank. 2011. Group Decision Making in a Corruption experiment: China and Germany Compared. Working paper.

Li, Wei. 2002. "Corruption during the Economic Transition in China", in Donatella Della Porta & Susan Rose-Ackerman eds., *Corrupt Exchanges: Empirical Themes in the Politics and Political Economy of Corruption*.

Li, Wei. 2002. *Corruption and Resource Allocation: Evidence from China*.

Li, Wei. 2002. *Measuring Corruption under China's Dual-Track System*.

Li, Y., F.K. Yao & D. Ahlstrom. 2015. *The Social Dilemma of Bribery in Emerging Economies: A Dynamic Model of Emotion, Social Value, and Institutional Uncertainty*. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*

Licht, Amir, Chanan Goldschmidt & Shalom Schwartz. 2007. *Culture Rules: The Foundations of the Rule of Law and Other Norms of Governance*. *Journal of Comparative Economics*

Lien, Da Hsiang Donald. 1986. *A Note on Competitive Bribery Games*. *Economic Letters*

Lien, Da-Hsaing. 1990. *Corruption and Allocation Efficiency*. *Journal of Development Economics*.

Lierl, Malte. 2014. *Selection or Incentives? experimental Evidence on the Accountability of Village Leaders in Tanzania*. Working paper.

Liew, L.H. 1992. *Corruption as a Form of Insurance*. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Light, Matthew. 2014. *Police Reforms in the Republic of Georgia: The Convergence of Domestic and Foreign Policy in an Anti-Corruption Drive*. *Policing & Society*

Lim, Delphia, Maryum Jordan, Patrick Kibbe, David Donatti & Jose Vicente. 2013.

Access to Remedies for Transnational Public Bribery: A Governance Gap. ABA Criminal Justice

Lim, Linda Y.C. & Aaron Stern. 2002. *State Power and Private Profit: The Political Economy of Corruption in Southeast Asia*. *Asian-Pacific Economic Literature*

Lin, Jun & Steven X. Si. 2010. Can Guanxi Be a Problem? Contextes, Ties, and Some Unfavorable Consequences of Social Capital in China. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*.

Lin, Min-Wei & Chilik Yu. 2014. Can Corruption Be Measured? Comparing Global Versus Local Perceptions of Corruption in East and Southeast Asia. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis*7.

Lindberg, J. & D. Herath. 2014. Land and Grievances in Post-Conflict Sri Lanka: exploring the Role of Corruption Complaints. *Third World Quarterly*

Lindberg, Jonas & Camilla Orjuela. 2014. Corruption in the Aftermath of War: An Introduction. *Third World Quarterly*

Lindberg, Staffan I. 2003. "It's Our Time to 'Chop'": Do Elections Feed Neo-Patrimonialism Rather than Counteract It? *Democratization*

Linde, Jonas & Gissur O. Erlingsson. 2013. The Eroding Effect of Corruption on System Support in Sweden. *Governance*

Linde, Jonas. 2012. Why Feed the Hand that Bites You? Perceptions of Procedural Fairness and System Support in Post-Communist Democracies. *European Journal of Political Research*

Linder, A. & C. Santiso. 2002. Assessing the Predictive Power of Country Risk Ratings and Governance Indicators. *SAIS Working Paper 02/02*.

Lindgren, J. 1993. The Theory, History and Practice of the Bribery-Extortion Distinction. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* 141: 1695-1740.

Lindgren, James. 1988. The Elusive Distinction Between Bribery and Extortion: From the Common Law to the Hobbs Act. *UCLA Law Review* 35: 815-.

Lindkvist, Ida. 2013. "Using Salaries as a Deterrent to Informal Payments in the Health Sector", in Soreide, Tina & Aled Willams eds., *Corruption, Grabbing and Development* .

Lindkvist, Ida. 2013. Informal Payments and Health Worker Effort: A Quantitative Study from Tanzania. *Health Economics*

Lindsey, Carolyn. 2009. More Than You Bargained For: Successor Liability under the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Ohio Northern University Law Review*

Lindsey, R. & H. Dick. 2002. *Corruption in Asia: Rethinking the Good Governance Paradigm* (Annandale, NSW: Federation Press).

Lindskog, H., S. Brege & P.O. Brehmer. 2010. *Corruption in Public Procurement and Private Sector Purchasing. Organizational Transformation & Social Change*

Lindstedt, Catharina & Daniel Naurin. 2010. Transparency Is Not Enough: Making Transparency Effective in Reducing Corruption. *International Political Science Review*

Linhartova, V. & J. Volejnikova. 2015. Quantifying Corruption at a Subnational Level. *E&M Ekonomie A Management*

Lio, M.C., M.C. Liu & Y.P. Ou. 2011. Can the Internet Reduce Corruption? A Cross-Country Study Based on Dynamic Panel Data Models. *Government Information Quarterly*

Liou, Yu-Ming & Paul Musgrave. 2013. Refining the Oil Curse: Country-Level Evidence from Exogenous Variations in Resource Income. *Comparative Political Studies*

Lipinski, Arie J. 2011. Combating Government Corruption: Suing the Federal Government via a Proposed Amendment to the Civil RICO Statute. *Valparaiso University Law Review*

Lipper, Gregory M. 2010. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the Elusive Question of Intent. *American Criminal Law Review*

Lippitt, Anne H. 2013. An Empirical Analysis of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Virginia Law Review*

Lipset, Seymour Martin, Kyoung-Ryung Seong & John Charles Torres. 1993. A Comparative Analysis of the Social Requisites of Democracy. *International Social Science Journal*

Lipset, Seymour Martin. & Gabriel Salman Lenz. 2000. "Corruption, Culture and Markets" in Lawrence J. Harrison & Samuel P. Huntington eds. *Culture Matters: How Values Change Human Progress*, pp. 112-124. New York: Basic Books.

Litschig, Stephan & Yves Zamboni. 2014. *Judicial Presence and Rent Extraction*. Working paper.

Litwin, Daniel. 2013. *On the Divide Between Investor-State Arbitration and the Global Fight Against Corruption*. *Transnational Dispute Management*

Liu, Alan P.L. 1983. *The Politics of Corruption in the People's Republic of China*. *American Political Science Review*

Liu, Cheol & John L. Mikesell. 2014. *The Impact of Public Officials' Corruption on the Size and Allocation of U.S. State Spending*. *Public Administration Review*

Liu, L. 2015. *The Global Anti-Bribery Collaboration in Evolution*. *Journal of Financial Crime*

Liu, Q. & Y. Peng. 2015. *Determinants of Willingness to Bribe: Micro Evidence from the Educational Sector in China*. *Jahrbucher Fur Nationalokonomie Und Statistik*

Liu, Qijun & Yaping Peng. 2015. *Corruption in College Admissions Examinations in China*. *International Journal of Educational Development*

Liu, X., G.I. Christopoulos & Y. Hong. 2014. *The Role of Decision Frame on Decision-Making Regarding Bribe Giving*. *Academy of Management Proceedings*.

Liu, Yongzheng & Haibo Feng. 2015. *Tax Structure and Corruption: Cross-Country Evidence*. *Public Choice*.

Ljunge, M. 2015. *Social Capital and the Family: Evidence that Strong Family Ties Cultivate Civic Virtues*. *Economica*

Llamzon, Aloysius P. 2013. *State Responsibility for Corruption: The Attribution Asymmetry in International Investment Arbitration*. *Transnational Dispute Management*

Llamzon, Aloysius. 2009. The Control of Corruption through International Investment Arbitration: Potential and Limitations. American Society of International Law Proceedings

Lloyd-Ellis, Huw & Nicholas Marceau. 2003. Endogenous Insecurity and Economic Development. Journal of Development Economics

Lobnikar, B. & G. Mesko. 2015. Perception of Police Corruption and the Level of Integrity Among Slovenian Police Officers. Police Practice & Research

Loe, T.W., L. Ferrell & P. Mansfield. 2000. A Review of Empirical Studies Assessing Ethical Decision Making in Business. Journal of Business Ethics

Loftis, M.W. 2015. Deliberate Indiscretion? How Political Corruption Encourages Discretionary Policy Making. Comparative Political Studies

Lomnitz, C. 1995. Ritual, Rumor and Corruption in the Constitution of Polity in Mexico. Journal of Latin American Anthropology

Lomnitz, Larissa. 1988. Informal Exchange Networks in Formal Systems: A Theoretical Model. American Anthropologist

Long, D. Michael & Spuma Rao. 1995. The Wealth Effects of Unethical Business Behavior. Journal of Economics & Finance

Long. 2013. A Study of Drug-Related Police Corruption Arrests. Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies & Management

Lopatta, K., R. Jaeschke & C. Yi. 2014. The Strategic Use of Language in Corrupt Firms' Financial Reporting. Working paper.

Lopez, J. & J. Santos. 2014. Does Corruption Have Social Roots? The Role of Culture and Social Capital. Journal of Business Ethics

Lopez, L. 2015. Corruption and International Aid Allocation: A Complex Dance. Journal of Economic Development

Lopez, R. & S. Mitra. 2000. Corruption, Pollution and the Kuznets Environment Curve. *Journal of Environmental Economics & Management*.

J

Lord, Nicholas James. 2014. Detecting and Investigating Transnational Corporate Bribery in Centralised and Decentralised Enforcement Systems: Discretion and (De-)Prioritisation in the UK and Germany. *Policing & Society* .

Lord, Nicholas James. 2014. Responding to Transnational Corporate Bribery Using International Frameworks for Enforcement: Anti-Bribery and Corruption in the UK and Germany. *Criminology & Criminal Justice*

Losco, Michael A. 2014. Streamlining the Corruption Defense: A Proposed Framework for FCPA-ICSID Interaction. *Duke Law Journal*.

Lovei, L. & A. McKechnie. 2000. The Costs of Corruption for the Poor – The Energy Sector. World Bank, Public Policy for the Private Sector Note 207.

Lovell, David W. 2005. “Corruption as a Transitional Phenomenon: Understanding Endemic Corruption in Postcommunist States”, in Dieter Haller & Chris Shore eds., *Corruption: Anthropological Perspectives* (Pluto Press).

Low, Lucinda & John Davis. 1998. Coping with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: A Primer for Energy and Natural Resource Sector. *Journal of Energy & Natural Resources Law*

Low, Lucinda A. 1998. Transnational Corruption: New Rules for Old Temptations, New Players to Combat a Perennial Evil. *Am. Soc. Int’l L. Proceedings*

Low, Lucinda A. et al. 1998. The Inter-American Convention Against Corruption: A Comparison with the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Virginia Journal of International Law*

Low, Lucinda A., Thomas K. Sprange & Milos Barutciski. 2010. Global Anti-Corruption Standard and Enforcement: Implications for Energy Companies. *Journal of World Energy Law & Business*

Lowen, Aaron & Andrew Samuel. 2012. Bribery and Endogenous Monitoring Effort: An experimental Study. *Eastern Economic Journal*

Lowenheim, Oded. 2008. Examining the State: A Foucauldian Perspective on International “Governance Indicators”. *Third World Quarterly*

Lowenstein, Daniel. 1985. Political Bribery and the Intermediate Theory of Politics. *UCLA Law Review*

Lu, Tiffany. 2013. The “Obtaining or Retaining Business” Requirement: Breathing New Life into the Business Nexus Provision of the FCPA. *Fordham Journal of Corporate & Financial Crime*

Lu, Xiaobo. 2000. Booty Socialism, Bureaupreneurs, and the State in Transition: Organizational Corruption in China, *Comparative Politics*

Lubin, N. 2003. Who’s Watching the Watchdog? *Journal of International Affairs*

Ludwin-Peery, Ethan & Dustin Tingley. 2014. Ethical Situations and Their Effects on Judgments of Punishment. *Ethics & Behavior*

Lui, Francis T. 1985. An Equilibrium Queuing Model of Bribery. *Journal of Political Economy*

Lui, Francis T. 1986. A Dynamic Model of Corruption Deterrence. *Journal of Public Economics*

Lui, Francis T. 1996. Three Aspects of Corruption. *Contemporary Economic Policy*

Luiz, J. & C. Stewart. 2014. Corruption, South African Multinational Enterprises and Institutions in Africa. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Lukito, A.S. 2015. Fostering and Enhancing the Role of the Private Sector: A Prevention Way Towards Corruption Eradication in Indonesia. *Journal of Financial Crim*

Luo, Yadong, Stephanie L. Wang & Ying Huang. 2012. Guanxi and Organizational Performance: A Meta-Analysis. *Management & Organization Review*

Luo, Yadong. 2002. Corruption and Organization in Asian Management Systems. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*

Luo, Yadong. 2004. An Organizational Perspective of Corruption. *Management & Organization Review*.

Luo, Yadong. 2006. Political Behavior, Social Responsibility, and Perceived Corruption: A Structural Perspective. *Journal of International Business Studies*

Luo, Yadong. 2008. The Changing Chinese Culture and Business Behavior: The Perspective of Intertwinement between Guanxi and Corruption. *International Business Review*

Luo, Yadong. 2011. Strategic Responses to Perceived Corruption in an Emerging Market: Lessons from MNEs Investing in China. *Business & Society*

Luong, Pauline Jones & Erika Weinthal. 2010. *Oil Is Not a Curse: Ownership Structure and Institutions in Soviet Successor States* (New York: Cambridge University Press).

Ma, Stephen K. 2009. “‘Policing the Police’: A Perennial Challenge for China’s Anticorruption Agencies”, in Gong, T. & S.K. Ma eds., *Preventing Corruption in Asia: Institutional Design and Policy Capacity* (London: Routledge)

Maass, Arthur. 1987. U.S. Prosecution of State and Local Officials for Political Corruption: Is the Bureaucracy Out of Control in a High Stakes Operation Involving the Constitutional System? *Publius: The Journal of Federalism*

Mackenzie, G. Calvin with Michael Hafken. 2002. *Scandal Proof: Do Ethics Laws Make Government Ethical?* Washington, D.C., Brookings Institution.

Maclean, T., B.E. Litzky & D.K. Holderness. 2015. When Organizations Don’t Walk Their Talk: A Cross-Level Examination of How Decoupling Formal Ethics Programs Affects Organizational Members. *Journal of Business Ethics* 128

MacMillan, Joanna. 2011. Reformasi and Public Corruption: Why Indonesia’s Anti-Corruption Agency Strategy Should Be Reformed to Effectively Combat Public Corruption. *Emory International Law Review*

Macrae, John. 1982. Underdevelopment and the Economics of Corruption: A Game Theory Approach. World Development

Maestad, O. & A. Mwisongo. 2007. Informal Payments and the Quality of Health Care in Tanzania: Results from Qualitative Research. CMI Working Paper 2007:5 (Bergen: Chr. Michelsen Institute).

Maestad, O. & A. Mwisongo. 2011. Informal Payments and the Quality of Health Care: Mechanisms Revealed by Tanzanian Health Workers. Health Policy

Magaloni, Beatriz, Aila M. Matanock, Vidal Romero & Alberto Diaz-Cayeros. 2014. Living in Fear: The Dynamics of Extortion in Mexico's Criminal Insurgency.

Magrath, Willam B. 2012. "Corruption and Crime in Forestry", in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption ,

Mahagaonkar, P. 2008. Corruption and Innovation: A Grease or Sand Relationship? Jena Economic Research Papers, No. 2008,017.

Mahdavi, Paasha. 2014. Extortion in the Oil States: Nationalization, Regulatory Structure, and Corruption. Working paper.

Mahmood, R. 2004. Can Information and Communication Technology Help Reduce Corruption? How So and Why Not: Two Case Studies from South Asia. Perspectives on Global Development & Technology

Mahmood, Shakeel Ahmed Ibne. 2010. Public Procurement and Corruption in Bangladesh: Confronting the Challenges and Opportunities. Journal of Public Administration & Policy Research

Majeed, M.T. 2014. Corruption and Trade. Journal of Economic Integration

Majtan, Roman. 2013. The Self-Cleaning Dilemma: Reconciling Competing Objectives of Procurement Processes. George Washington International Law Review

Majumdar, Mukul & Seung Han Yoo. 2012. Strategic Analysis of Influence Peddling. *International Journal of Game Theory*

Makinwa, Abiola O. 2007. The Rules Regulating Transnational Bribery: Achieving a Common Standard? *International Business Law Journal*

Makinwa, Abiola O. 2009. "International Corruption and the Privatisation of Security: Resorting to Private Remedies", in M. Hildebrandt, A. Makinwa & A. Oehmichen eds., *Controlling Security in a Culture of Fear* (Boom Juridische Uitgevers)

Makinwa, Abiola O. 2009. "The Role of International Arbitration in the Fight against Corruption", in Olaf Meyer ed., *Civil Law Consequences of Corruption* (Baden-Baden: Nomos)

Makinwa, Abiola O. 2009. Researching Civil Remedies for International Corruption: The Choice of the Comparative Method. *Erasmus Law Review*

Makinwa, Abiola O. 2010. "A Transaction Approach to Fighting Corruption", in J. Blad, M. Hildebrandt, K. Rozenmond, M. Schuilenburg & P. Van Calster eds., *Governing Security under the Rule of Law?* (Eleven International Publishing)

Makinwa, Abiola O. 2010. Motivating Civil Remedies for International Corruption: Nigeria as an Illustrative Case Study. *CALS Review of Nigerian Law & Practice*

Makinwa, Abiola O. 2013. "Defining a Private Law Approach to Fighting Corruption", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D. Carrington eds., *Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role?* (Durham: Carolina Academic Press)

Makinwa, Abiola O. 2013. Good Governance: Negotiated Settlements for FCPA Violations as a Model. *Applied Research Today*.

Makinwa, Abiola O. 2013. *Private Remedies for Corruption: Towards an International Framework* (Eleven International Publishing).

Makuta, I. & B. O'Hare. 2015. Quality of Governance, Public Spending on Health and Health Status in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Panel Data Regression Analysis. *BMC Public Health*

Malec, Kathryn L. & John A. Gardiner. 1987. Measurement Issues in the Study of Official Corruption: A Chicago Example. *Corruption & Reform*

Malena, Carmen, Reiner Forster & Janmejay Singh. 2004. Social Accountability: An Introduction to the Concept and Emerging Practice. World Bank Social Development Paper No. 76.

Malesky, Edmund J. & Krislert Samphantharak. 2008. Predictable Corruption and Firm Investment: Evidence from a Natural experiment and Survey of Cambodian Entrepreneurs. *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*

Malesky, Edmund J., Cuong Viet Nguyen & Anh Tran. 2014. The Impact of Recentralization on Public Services: A Difference-in-Differences Analysis of the Abolition of Elected Councils in Vietnam. *American Political Science Review*

Malesky, Edmund J., Dimitar D. Gueorgulev & Nathan M. Jensen. 2014. Monopoly Money: Foreign Investment and Bribery in Vietnam, a Survey experiment. *American Journal of Political Science*

Malesky, Edmund, Paul Schuler & Anh Tran. 2012. The Adverse Effects of Sunshine: Evidence from a Field experiment on Legislative Transparency in an Authoritarian Assembly. *American Political Science Review* .

Malik, A.S. 1990. Avoidance, Screening and Optimal Enforcement. *Rand Journal of Economics*

Maljevic, A.D. Datzler, E. Muratbegovic & M. Budimlic. 2008. Professional Police in Bosnia and Herzegovina: Case Study – Police Corruption. *European Journal of Crime, Criminal Law & Criminal Justice*

Mandal, Biswajit & Sugata Markit. 2010. Corruption and Wage Inequality. *International Review of Economics & Finance*

Mandal, Biswajit. 2014. Traded Goods, Tax and Intermediation – the Role of Corrupt Nontraded Sector. Working paper.

Manea, T. 2015. Medical Bribery and the Ethics of Trust: The Romanian Case. *Journal of Medicine & Philosophy*

Manion, Melanie. 1996. Corruption by Design: Bribery in Chinese Enterprise Licensing. *Journal of Law, Economics & Organization*

Manion, Melanie. 1998. Correction to “Corruption by Design”. *Journal of Law, Economics & Organization*.

Manion, Melanie. 2004. *Corruption by Design: Building Clean Government in Mainland China and Hong Kong*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2004.

Manion, Melanie. 2014. What Is Corrupt? A Model of Unacceptable International Business Practices in China. Working paper.

Manion, Melanie. 2015. “Institutional Design and Anti-Corruption in Mainland China” , in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge).

Manning, P.K. 2009. *Bad Cops*. *Criminology & Public Policy*

Manning, T.J. 2015. Private Equity and the FCPA: Deal-Making as Reform Mechanism. *Pepperdine Law Review*

Mansour, Sarah, Vjollca Sadiraj & Sally Wallace. 2014. Political Institutions and Corruption: An experimental Examination of the Right to Recall. Working paper.

Manzetti, Luigi & Carole J. Wilson. 2006. Corruption, Economic Satisfaction, and Confidence in Government: Evidence from Argentina. *The Latin Americanist*

Manzetti, Luigi & Carole J. Wilson. 2007. Why Do Corrupt Governments Maintain Public Support? *Comparative Political Studies*

Manzetti, Luigi & Charles H. Blake. 1996. Market Reforms and Corruption in Latin America: New Means for Old Ways. *Review of International Political Economy*

Mao, Yina, Chi-Sum Wong & Kelly Z. Peng. 2013. Breaking Institutionalized Corruption: Is the experience of the Hong Kong Independent Commission Against Corruption Generalizable? *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*

Maor, Moshe. 2004. Feeling the Heat? Anticorruption Mechanisms in Comparative Perspective. Governance

Marat, E. 2015. Global Money Laundering and Its Domestic Political Consequences in Kyrgyzstan. Central Asian Survey

Marberg, Sarah. 2012. Promises of Leniency: Whether Companies Should Self-Disclose Violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law

Marceau, Justin F. 2007. A Little Less Conversation, A Little More Action: Evaluating and Forecasting the Trend of More Frequent and Severe Prosecutions Under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Fordham Journal of Corporate & Financial Law

Marcelo, Simeon V. 2004. "Combating Corruption in the Philippines", in Controlling Corruption in Asia and the Pacific. (Manila: Asian Development Bank)

Marcouiller, Douglas & Leslie Young. 1995. The Black Hole of Graft: The Predatory State and the Informal Economy. American Economic Review

Marengo, F.D. 1988. The Link Between Political Corruption and Political Scandal. Corruption & Reform 3

Mariani, Paola. 2012. How Damages Recovery Actions Can Improve the Fight Against Corruption: The Crisis of Criminal Law Policies and the Role of Private Enforcement in an Italian Case of Judicial Corruption. Bocconi University Legal Studies Research Paper No. 2007241.

Marique, Yseult. 2014. "Integrity in English and French Public Contracts: Changing Administrative Cultures?", in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach

Marjit, S. V. Mukherjee & A. Mukherjee. 2000. Harassment, Corruption and Tax Policy. European Journal of Political Economy

Marjit, S. V. Mukherjee & A. Mukherjee. 2003. Harassment, Corruption and Tax Policy: Reply. European Journal of Political Economy .

Marjit, Sugata & Heling Shi. 1998. On Controlling Crime with Corrupt Officials. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 34: 163-72.

Marjit, Sugata, Biswajit Mandal & Suryadipta Roy. 2014. Trade Openness, Corruption and Factor Abundance: Evidence from a Dynamic Panel. *Review of Development Economics*

Mark, Gideon. 2012. Private FCPA Enforcement. *American Business Law Journal*

Marong, Alhaji B.M. 2002. Toward a Normative Consensus Against Corruption: Legal Effects of the Principles to Combat Corruption in Africa. *Denver Journal of International Law & Policy*

Marquette, H. & C. Peiffer. 2014. Corruption and Collective Action: Shifting the Equilibrium?. *Birmingham Developmental Leadership Program Research Paper* 32.

Marquette, Heather & Alan Doig. 2004. Drilling Down to the Detail: A Case Study into Anti-Corruption Project Records and Record-Keeping. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Marquette, Heather, V. Pavarala & K. Malik. 2014. Religion and Attitudes Towards Corruption in India: A Collective Action Problem? *Development in Practice*

Marquette, Heather. 2001. Corruption, Democracy and the World Bank. *Crime, Law & Social Change* .

Marquette, Heather. 2004. The Creeping Politicization of the World Bank: The Case of Corruption. *Political Studies*

Marquette, Heather. 2007. Civic Education for Combating Corruption: Lessons from Hong Kong and the United States for Donor-Funded Programmes in Poor Countries. *Public Administration & Development*

Marquette, Heather. 2011. Donors, State-Building and Corruption: Lessons from Afghanistan and the Implications for Aid Policy. *Third World Quarterly*

Marquette, Heather. 2012. “Finding God” or “Moral Disengagement” in the Fight Against Corruption in Developing Countries? Evidence from India and Nigeria. *Public Administration & Development*

Marquette, Heather. 2013. "Corruption, Religion and Moral Development in Developing Countries", in Matthew Clarke ed., Handbook of Research on Development and Religion (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Marquette, Heather. 2015. "Religion, Ethics and Corruption: Field Evidence from India and Nigeria", in Paul M. Heywood ed., The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption (New York: Routledge)

Marshall, Andrew. 2013. What's Yours Is Mine: New Actors and New Approaches to Asset Recovery in Global Corruption Cases. Center for Global Development Policy Paper 018.

Marti, C. & Y. Kasperskaya. 2015. Public Financial Management Systems and Countries' Governance: A Cross-Country Study. Public Administration & Development

Martimort, David & Stephane Straub. 2009. Infrastructure Privatization and Changes in Corruption Patterns: The Roots of Public Discontent. Journal of Development Economics

Martin, A. Timothy. 1998. Corruption and Improper Payments: Global Trends and Applicable Laws. Alberta Law Review .

Martin, A. Timothy. 1999. The Development of International Bribery Law. Nat. Resources & Environment

Martin, A. Timothy. 2003. International Arbitration and Corruption: An Evolving Standard. International Energy & Mineral Arbitration

Martin, Susan Lorde. 1989. A Decade of Branti Decisions: A Government Official's Guide to Patronage Dismissals. American University Law Review 39:

Martins, Pedro S. 2010. Cronyism. IZA Discussion Paper 5349.

Mashaba, M. 2005. Organised Crime and Corruption – Fighting the Problem within the NEPAD Framework. African Security Review

Mashali, B. 2012. Analyzing the Relationship Between Perceived Grand Corruption and Petty Corruption in Developed Countries: Case Study of Iran. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*

Masron, Tajul Ariffin & Eliza Nor. 2013. FDI in ASEAN-8: does Institutional Quality Matter? *Applied Economics Letters*

Matei, Ani & Lucica Matei. 2009. Public Integrity and Performance of Governance: A Comparative Study for South-Eastern Europe. *Theoretical & Applied Economics*

Matei, Ani & Lucica Matei. 2011. Assessing the Anti-corruption Strategies: Theoretical and Empirical Models. *Journal of Management and Strategy*

Matei, Ani, Lucica Matei & Carmen Savulescu. 2010. Public Integrity, Economic Freedom and Governance Performance: A Comparative Study for the EU Member States and Acceding Countries. *Theoretical & Applied Economics*

Matei, Lucica & Ani Matei. 2009. “Globalisation of Corruption” and Development of the Binom “Corruption – Public Integrity” in the Context of Romania Integration into the European Union. *Theoretical & Applied Economics*

Mather, A. & K. Singh. 2013. Foreign Direct Investment, Corruption and Democracy. *Applied Economics*.

Mathy, Pamela. 2011. Honest Services Fraud After Skilling. *St. Mary’s Law Journal*

Mattarella, Bernardo Giorgio. 2014. “The Conflicts of Interests of Public Officers: Rules, Checks and Penalties”, in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., *Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach*

Matti, J. 2014. Corruption, Income Inequality, and Subsequent Economic Growth. *Undergraduate Economic Review*.

Matukhno, N. 2015. Corruption, Contention, and Reform: The Power of Deep Democratization. *Journal of Politics*

Mauro, Paolo. 1995. Corruption and Growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*

Mauro, Paolo. 1997. Why worry about corruption? *Economic Issues* 6.

Mauro, Paolo. 1998. Corruption and the Composition of Government expenditure. *Journal of Public Economics*

Mauro, Paolo. 1998. Corruption: Causes, Consequences, and Agenda for Further Research. *Finance & Development*

Mauro, Paolo. 2004. Persistence of Corruption and Slow Economic Growth. *IMF Staff Papers*

Maxwell, Amanda E. & Richard F. Winters. 2004. A Quarter Century of (Data on) Corruption in the American States. Working paper.

Maxwell, Amanda E. & Richard F. Winters. 2005. Political Corruption in America. Working paper.

Maxwell, Daniel, Peter Walker, Cheyanne Church, Paul Harvey, Kevin Savage, Sarah Bailey, Roslyn Hees & Marie-Luise Ahlendorf. 2008. Preventing Corruption in Humanitarian Assistance: Final Research Report.

Mayfield, Loomis. 1993. Voting Fraud in Early Twentieth-Century Pittsburgh. *Journal of Interdisciplinary History*

Mazar, Nina & Dan Ariely. 2006. Dishonesty in Everyday Life and Its Policy Implications. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*

Mazar, Nina, On Amir & Dan Ariely. 2008. The Dishonesty of Honest People: A Theory of Self-Concept Maintenance. *Journal of Marketing Research*

Mazzacuva, F. 2014. Justifications and Purposes of Negotiated Justice for Corporate Offenders: Deferred and Non-Prosecution Agreements in the UK and US Systems of Criminal Justice. *Journal of Criminal Law*

Mbaku, J.M. 1991. Military expenditures and Bureaucratic Competition for Rents. *Public Choice*.

Mbaku, John M. 2000. *Bureaucratic and Political Corruption in Africa: The Public Choice Perspective* (Krieger: Malabar).

Mbaku, John Mukum. 1996. Bureaucratic Corruption in Africa: The Futility of Cleanups. *Cato Journal*

Mbaku, John Mukum. 1999. Corruption Cleanups in Developing Societies: The Public Choice Perspective. *International Journal of Public Administration*

McAdam, P. & O. Rummel. 2004. Corruption: A Non-Parametric Analysis. *Journal of Economic Studies*

McAllister, I. & S. White. 2015. Electoral Integrity and Support for Democracy in Belarus, Russia, and Ukraine. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*

McAllister, I. 2000. Keeping Them Honest: Public and Elite Perceptions of Ethical Conduct Among Australian Legislators. *Political Studies*

McAllister, Ian. 2014. Corruption and Confidence in Australian Political Institutions. *Australian Journal of Political Science*

McCann, James A. & David Redlawsk. 2006. As Voters Go to the Polls, Will They Perceive a “Culture of Corruption”? *PS: Political Science & Politics*

McCann, James A. & Jorge I. Dominguez. 1998. Mexicans React to Electoral Fraud and Political Corruption: An Assessment of Public Opinion and Voting Behavior. *Electoral Studies*

McCann, James A. 2007. Corruption in the Eyes of American Citizens: Can It Ever Be Justified? does It Always Elicit Anger? Working paper.

McCarthy, B.J. 1984. Keeping an Eye on the Keeper – Prison Corruption and Its Control. *Prison Journal*

McChesney, Fred S. 1987. Rent Extraction and Rent Creation in the Economic Theory of Regulation. *Journal of Legal Studies*

McChesney, Fred S. 2001. Ever the Twain Shall Meet. *Michigan Law Review*.

McCoy, Jennifer & Heather Heckel. 2001. The Emergence of a Global Anti-Corruption Norm. *International Politics*

McCurley, C.M. & J.J. Mondak. 1995. Inspected by #1184063113: The Influence of Incumbents' Competence and Integrity in U.S. House Elections. *American Journal of Political Science*

McCusker, Rob. 2006. Review of Anticorruption Strategies. Australian Institute of Criminology Technical Background Paper 23.

McFarlane, John. 2000. Corruption and the Financial Sector: The Strategic Impact. *Dickinson Journal of International Law*

McGee, Robert W. & Serkan Benk. 2014. The Ethics of Accepting a Bribe: An Empirical Case Study of Turkey. *Business & Law*

McGirr, Shaun. 2013. Deliberate Indiscretion: Why Bureaucratic Agencies are Differently Corrupt. Working paper.

McLaren, John. 2003. Corruption and the Organization of Tax Administration: Non-Smithian Benefits from Specialization, in *Institutional Elements of Tax Design and Reform* (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

McClean, Nicholas M. 2012. Cross-National Patterns in FCPA Enforcement. *Yale Law Journal*

McLeod, Ross H. 2005. The Struggle to Regain Effective Government Under Democracy in Indonesia. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*

McManus, C., W.L. Miller, R. Markowski & J. Wasilewski. 2004. Why Is Corruption in Poland "A Serious Cause for Concern"? *Crime, Law & Social Change*

McMillan, J. 1991. Dango: Japan's Price-Fixing Conspiracies. *Economics & Politics*

McMillan, John & Pablo Zoido. 2004. How To Subvert Democracy: Montesinos in Peru. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*

McPake, B., D. Asiiimwe, F. Mwesigye, M. Ofumbi., L. Ortenblad, P. Streefland & A.

Turinde. 1999. Informal Economic Activities of Public Health Workers in Uganda: Implications for Quality and Accessibility of Care. *Social Science & Medicine* .

McWilliams, J. Nolan. 2007. Tug of War: The World Bank's New Governance and Anticorruption Efforts. *Kansas Journal of Law & Public Policy*

Meagher, Patrick. 2005. Anti-Corruption Agencies: Rhetoric versus Reality. *Journal of Policy Reform*

Meagher, Patrick. 2002. Anti-Corruption Agencies: A Review of experience (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

Mebane, Walter R., Jr. 2008. "Electoral Forensics: The Second-Digit Benford's Law Test and Recent American Presidential Elections", in R. Michal Alvarez, Thad E. Hall & Susan D. Hyde eds., *Election Fraud: Detecting and Deterring Electoral Manipulation*. (Washington, D.C.: The Brookings Institution)

Mebane, Walter R., Jr. 2011. Comment on "Benford's Law and the Detection of Election Fraud". *Political Analysis*.

Medard, F.H. 1996. *Public Corruption in Africa: A Comparative Perspective*. Corruption & Reform.

Medard, Jean-Francois. 2002. "Corruption in the Neo-Patrimonial States of Sub-Saharan Africa", in Arnold J. Heidenheimer & Michael Johnston eds., *Political Corruption— Concepts and Contexts* (London: Transaction Publishers).

Medina, Luis Fernando & Susan Stokes. 2007. Monopoly and Monitoring: An Approach to Political Clientalism, in H. Kitschelt & S. Wilkinson eds., *Patrons, Clients, and Policies: Patterns of Democratic Accountability and Political Competition* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).

Meessen, Karl M. 1995. Fighting Corruption Across the Border. *Fordham Int'l L. J.*

Mehlum, Halvor, Karl O. Moene & Ragnar Torvik. 2006. Cursed by Resources or Institutions? *World Economy*

Mehlum, Halvor, Karl O. Moene & Ragnar Torvik. 2006. Institutions and the Resource Curse. *Economic Journal*

Mehta, M.C. 2006. The Accountability Principle: Legal Solutions to Break Corruption's Impact on India's Environment. *Journal of Environmental Law & Litigation*

Meier, Kenneth J. & Thomas M. Holbrook. 1992. "I Seen My Opportunities and I Took 'Em": Political Corruption in the United States. *Journal of Politics*

Mejia Acosta, Andres. 2013. The Impact and Effectiveness of Accountability and Transparency Initiatives: The Governance of Natural Resources. *Development Policy Review*

Melgar, Natalia, Maximo Rossi & Tom W. Smith. 2010. The Perception of Corruption. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*

Melo, Luisa & Michael A. Quinn. 2015. Oil, Foreign Direct Investment and Corruption. *International Journal of Business & Finance Research*

Melo, Marcus Andre, Adailton B. Leite & E. Rocha. 2013. Municipal Corruption: Multilevel Estimates of the Effects of Checks and Political Competition in Brazil. Working paper.

Melo, Marcus Andre, Adailton Leite & Enivaldo Rocha. 2012. Competitive Corruption: Evidence from Randomized Brazilian Municipal Audits. Working paper.

Melo, Marcus Andre, Carlos Pereira & Carlos Mauricio Figueiredo. 2009. Political and Institutional Checks on Corruption: explaining the Performance of Brazilian Audit Institutions. *Comparative Political Studies*

Melville, Andrei, Denis Stukai & Mikhail Mironiuk. 2014. "King of the Mountain," or Why Postcommunist Autocracies Have Bad Institutions. *Russian Social Science Review*

Memoli, Vincenzo & Alessandro Pellegata. 2013. Electoral Systems, Corruption and Satisfaction with Democracy. Working paper.

Memoli, Vincenzo & Alessandro Pellegata. 2014. Corruption and EU Institutions: The Italians' Opinion. Perspectives on European Politics & Society

Menaker, Andrea J. 2010. The Determinative Impact of Fraud and Corruption on Investment Arbitrations. ICSID Review

Mendez, Fabio & Facundo Sepulveda. 2006. Corruption, Growth, and Political Regimes: Cross Country Evidence. European Journal of Political Economy

Méndez, Fabio & Facundo Sepulveda. 2009. What Do We Talk About When We Talk About Corruption? Journal of Law, Economics & Organization

Mendez, Fabio. 2014. Can Corruption Foster Regulatory Compliance? Public Choice

Mendoza, R.U., R.A. Lim & A.O. Lopez. 2015. Grease or Sand in the Wheels of Commerce? Firm Level Evidence on Corruption and SMEs. Journal of International Development

Mendoza, Ronald U., Ricardo A. Lim & Anne Beline C. Ong Lopez. 2013. Grease or Sand in the Wheels of Commerce? Firm Level Evidence on Corruption and SMEs. Working paper.

Menes, Rebecca. 2006. "Limiting the Reach of the Grabbing Hand: Graft and Growth in American Cities, 1880 to 1930", in Edward L. Glaeser & Claudia Goldin eds., Corruption and Reform: Lessons from America's Economic History (Chicago: University of Chicago Press)

Menezes, Flavio M. & Paulo Klinger Monteiro. 2006. Corruption and Auctions. Journal of Mathematical Economics

Mensah, Y.M. 2014. An Analysis of the Effect of Culture and Religion on Perceived Corruption in a Global Context. Journal of Business Ethics

Meny, Y. 1996. “Fin de Siecle” Corruption: Change, Crisis and Shifting Values. *International Social Science Journal*

Méon, Pierre-Guillaume & Khalid Sekkat. 2005. does Corruption Grease or Sand the Wheels of Growth? *Public Choice*.

Meon, Pierre-Guillaume & Laurent Weill. 2010. Is Corruption an Efficient Grease? *World Development*

Mesmer-Magnus, Jessica R. & Chockalingam Viswesvaran. 2005. Whistleblowing in Organizations: An Examination of Correlates of Whistleblowing Intentions, Actions, and Retaliation. *Journal of Business Ethics*.

Messick, D.M. & M.H. Bazerman. 1996. Ethical Leadership and Psychology of Decision Making. *Sloan Management Review*

Messick, Richard E. 2014. “Policy Considerations when Drafting Conflict of Interest Legislation”, in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., *Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach*

Mestre, J. 2015. A Bribe New World: The Federal Government Gets Creative in Chasing Foreign Officials for Taking Bribes. *University of Florida Journal of Law & Public Policy*

Meyer, B., T. van Roomen & E. Sikkema. 2014. Corporate Criminal Liability for Corruption Offences and the Due Diligence Defense: A Comparison of the Dutch and English Legal Frameworks. *Utrecht Law Review*

Meyer, M.E., J. Steyn & N. Gopal. 2013. exploring the Public Parameter of Police Integrity. *Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies & Management*

Meyer, Olaf. 2013. “The Formation of a Transnational Ordre Public against Corruption: Lessons for and from Arbitral Tribunals”, in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D. Carrington eds., *Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role?* (Durham: Carolina Academic Press),

Mi, Zengyu & Qiongzhi Liu. 2014. Income Inequality, Fiscal Redistribution, and Governmental Corruption: Evidence from Chinese Provincial Data. *Journal of Developing Areas*

Mialtu, M., C. Nedelcu & C. Banacu. 2015. The Balance of Accessing European Funds the End of 2014: The Influence of Corruption on Absorbed E.U. Funds in Romania. *Management Research & Practice*

Miceli, Marcia P. et al. 2008. *Whistleblowing in Organizations*. New York: Routledge.

Michael S. Kang. 2012. The End of Campaign Finance Law. *Virginia Law Review*

Michael, B. & I. Carr. 2015. How Can the ICAC Help Foster the Widespread Adoption of Company Anticorruption Programs in Hong Kong? *North Carolina Journal of International Law & Commercial Regulation*

Michael, B. 2004. *The Rise and Fall of the Anti-Corruption Industry: Toward Second Generation Anti-Corruption Reforms in Central and Eastern Europe*. New York: Open Society Institute.

Michael, B. 2015. Making Hong Kong Companies Liable for Foreign Corruption. *Journal of Financial Crime*.

Michael, Bryane. 2004. explaining Organizational Change in International Development: The Role of Complexity in Anti-Corruption Work. *Journal of International Development*

Michael, Bryane. 2004. What Do African-Donor Sponsored Anti-Corruption Programmes Teach Us About International Development in Africa? *Social Policy & Administration*

Michael, Bryane. 2006. Depoliticizing Anti-Corruption in Bolivia: Local International Intervention and the State. *International Journal of Public Administration*

Michael, Bryane. 2010. Issues in Anti-Corruption Law: Drafting Implementing Regulations for Anti-Corruption Conventions in Central Europe and the Former Soviet Union, *Journal on Legislation*

Michael, Bryane. 2012. “Activist Regulatory Practices in Corruption Prevention: A Case Study from Montenegro”, in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., *Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption* ,

Michael, Bryane. 2012. Do Customs Trade Facilitation Programs Help Reduce Customs-Related Corruption? *International Journal of Public Administration* 35: 81-97.

Michael, Bryane. 2014. Can the Hong Kong ICAC Help Reduce Corruption on the Mainland? *Chinese Journal of Comparative Law* 2: 78-119.

Michener, G. 2015. Policy Evaluation via Composite Indexes: Qualitative Lessons from International Transparency Policy Indexes. *World Development* 74: 184-196.

Miettinen, T. & P. Poutvaara. 2014. A Market for Connections. *European Journal of Political Economy*

Migliorisi, S. & C. Wescott. 2011. A Review of World Bank Support for Accountability Institutions in the Contract of Governance and Anti-Corruption. IEG Working Paper 2011/5 (Washington D.C.: World Bank).

Miguel, Edward & Mary Kay Gugerty. 2005. Ethnic Diversity, Social Sanctions, and Public Goods in Kenya. *Journal of Public Economics* 89(11-12): 2325-2368.

Milanovic, Branko, Karla Hoff & Shale Horowitz. 2010. Turnover in Power as a Restraint on Investing in Influence: Evidence from the Postcommunist Transition. *Economics & Politics*

Miles, Cameron A. 2012. Corruption, Jurisdiction and Admissibility in International Investment Claims. *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*

Miles, M.R. 2015. Turnout as Consent: How Fair Governance Encourages Voter Participation. *Political Research Quarterly*.

Miller, Kelly D. 2002. The Hobbs Act, the Interstate Commerce Clause, and *United States v. McFarland*: The Irrational Aggregation of Independent Local Robberies to Sustain Federal Convictions. *Tulane Law Review*

Miller, William L. 2006 Corruption and Corruptibility. *World Development*

Miller, William L., Ase B. Grodeland & Tatyana Y. Koshechkina. 2001. *A Culture of Corruption: Coping with Government in Post-Communist Europe*. Budapest: CEU Press.

Miller, William L., Ase B. Grodeland & Tatyana Y. Koshechkina. 2002. "Values & Norms versus Extortion & Temptation," in *Corrupt Exchanges: Empirical Themes in the Politics and the Political Economy of Corruption* (Donatella Della Porta & Susan Rose-Ackerman, eds.)

Miller, William M. 2006. "Perceptions, experience and Lies: What Measures Corruption and What Do Corruption Measures Measure?", in Charles Sampford, Arthur Shacklock, Carmel Connors & Fredrik Galtung eds., *Measuring Corruption* (Aldershot: Ashgate)

Mills, David & Robert Weisberg. 2008. *Corrupting the Harm Requirement in White Collar Crime*. Stanford Law Review

Milyo, J. 2014. *Corporate Influence and Political Corruption*. Independent Review .

Minovic, M., M. Milovanovic, V. Stavljanin, B. Draskovic & D. Lazic. 2014. *Semantic Technologies on the Mission: Preventing Corruption in Public Procurement*. Computers in Industry .

Mironov, Maxim & Ekaterina Zhuravskaya. 2012. *Corruption in Procurement and Shadow Campaign Financing: Evidence from Russia*. Unpublished manuscript.

Mironov, Maxim. 2005. *Bad Corruption, Good Corruption and Growth*. 2005. Working Paper, Graduate School of Business, University of Chicago.

Mironov, Maxim. 2015. *Should One Hire a Corrupt CEO in a Corrupt Country?* Journal of Financial Economics

Miroslav, Minovia et al. 2014. *Semantic Technologies on the Mission: Preventing Corruption in Public Procurement*. Computers in Industry

Misangyi, Vilmos F., G. Weaver & H. Elms. 2008. *Ending Corruption: The Interplay among Institutional Logics, Resources, and Institutional Entrepreneurs*. Academy of Management Review

Mishra, Ajit. 2002. *Hierarchies, Incentives and Collusion in a Model of Enforcement*. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization

Mishra, Ajit. 2005. *The Economics of Corruption*. Oxford University Press.

Mishra, Ajit. 2006. Persistence of Corruption: Some Theoretical Perspectives. *World Development*.

Mishra, P., A. Subramanian & P. Topalova. 2008. Tariffs, Enforcement, and Customs Evasion: Evidence from India. *Journal of Public Economics*

Mistree, D. 2015. Party-Directed Corruption in the Developing World. *Comparative Politics*

Mistry, J.J. & A. Jalal. 2012. An Empirical Analysis of the Relationship Between E-Government and Corruption. *International Journal of Digital Accounting Research* .

Mitchell, Paul. 2000. Voters and Their Representatives: Electoral Institutions and Delegation in Parliamentary Democracies. *European Journal of Policy Research*

Mitchell, Z., S. Merrington & P. Bell. 2014. A Comparative Analysis of the OECD Anti-Corruption Models (Asia & Europe) and Australia's Existing Anti-Corruption Platform. *International Journal of Business & Commerce*.

Mitra, Siddhartha. 2012. Corruption Re-examined. *Trade & Development Review*

Mixon, Franklin G., Jr., David N. Laband & Robert B. Eskelund Jr.. 1994. Rent Seeking and Hidden In-Kind Resource Distribution: Some Empirical Evidence. *Public Choice*

Mizoguchi, T. & N. Van Quyen. 2014. Corruption in Public Procurement Market. *Pacific Economic Review*.

Mo, Pak Hung. 2001. Corruption and Economic Growth. *Journal of Comparative Economics*

Mocan, Naci. 2008. What Determines Corruption? International Evidence from Micro Data. *Economic Inquiry*

Mock, Gregory. 2003. Undue Influence: Corruption and Natural Resources. *World Resources 2002-2004*.

Modnak, J.J. 1995. Competence, Integrity and the Electoral Success of Congressional Incumbents. *Journal of Politics*

Moene, Kalle & Tina Soreide. 2015. “Good Governance Facades”, in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul Lagunes eds., *Greed, Corruption, and the Modern State*

Mohamed, M.R., S.R. Kaliappan, N.W. Ismail & W.N.W. Azman-Saini. 2015. Effect of Foreign Aid on Corruption: Evidence from Sub-Saharan African Countries. *International Journal of Social Economics*

Mohammad, Ehsan. 2006. When Implementation Fails: The Case of Anti-Corruption Commission (ACC) and Corruption Control in Bangladesh. *Asian Affairs*

Mohtadi, Hamid & Terry L. Roe. 2003. Democracy, Rent Seeking, Public Spending, and Growth. *Journal of Public Economics*

Moiseienko, A. 2015. “No Safe Haven”: Denying Entry to the Corrupt as a New Anti-Corruption Policy. *Journal of Money Laundering Control*

Mokhtari, M. & M. Ashtari. 2012. Reducing Informal Payments in the Health Care System: Evidence from a Large Patient Satisfaction Survey. *Journal of Asian Economics*

Mokhtari, Manouchehr & Irina Grafova. 2007. Corruption: Theory and Evidence from the Russian Federation. *Economic Systems* .

Molinari, M.C. 2014. A Second Best Theory of Institutional Quality. *Public Organization Review* .

Monahan, Susanne C. & Beth A. Quinn. 2006. Beyond ‘Bad Apples’ and ‘Weak Leaders’: Toward a Neo-Institutional explanation of Organizational Deviance. *Theoretical Criminology*

Monteiro, Joana & Claudio Ferraz. 2010. does Oil Make Leaders Unaccountable? Evidence from Brazil’s Offshore Oil Boom. Working paper.

Montes, G.C. & P.C. Paschoal. 2015. Corruption: What Are the Effects on Government Effectiveness? Empirical Evidence Considering Developed and Developing Countries? *Applied Economics Letters*.

Montinola, Gabriella R. & Robert W. Jackman. 2002. Sources of Corruption: A Cross-Country Study. British Journal of Political Science

Montinola, Gabriella R. 1994. The Foundations of Political Corruption: Insights from the Philippine Case. Asian Journal of Political Science

Montinola, Gabriella, Yingyi Qian & Barry R. Weingast. 1995. Federalism, Chinese Style: The Political Basis for Economic Success. World Politics

Moody-Stuart, George. 1994. The Good Business Guide to Bribery: Grand Corruption in Third World Development.

Moohr, Geraldine Szott. 1994. Mail Fraud and the Intangible Rights Doctrine: Someone to Watch over Us. Harvard Journal on Legislation

Mookherjee, Dilip & Ivan P.L. Png. 1992. Monitoring vis-à-vis Investigation in Enforcement of Law. American Economic Review

Mookherjee, Dilip & Ivan P.L. Png. 1995. Corruptible Law Enforcers: How Should They Be Compensated? Economic Journal

Moore, D.A., P.E. Tetlock, L. Tanlu & M.H. Bazerman. 2006. Conflicts of Interest and the Case of Auditor Independence: Moral Selection and Strategic Issue Cycling. Academy of Management Review

Moore, E.C. 2007. Causes of Demand for International Bribery. Electronic Journal of Business Ethics & Organization Studies :

Moore, Mick. 2001. Political Underdevelopment: What Causes “Bad Governance’?, Public Management

Moore, Mick. 2004. Revenue, State Formation, and the Quality of Government in Developing Countries. International Political Science Review

Moran, Jon. 1999. Bribery and Corruption: The OECD Convention on Combating the Bribery of Foreign Public Officials in International Business Transactions. *Business Ethics: A European Review*

Moran, Jon. 2001. Democratic Transitions and Forms of Corruption. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Moroff, Holger & Diana Schmidt-Pfister. 2010. Anti-Corruption Movements, Mechanisms, and Machines – An Introduction. *Global Crime*

Moroff, Holger. 2004. A Polychromatic Turn in Corruption Research? *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Morris, C. 2015. In *International Study on Public Confidence in Police*. *Police Practice & Research*

Morris, Stephen D. & J.L. Klesner. 2010. Corruption and Trust: Theoretical Considerations and Evidence from Mexico. *Comparative Political Studies*

Morris, Stephen D. 1991. *Corruption and Politics in Contemporary Mexico*. (University of Alabama Press).

Morris, Stephen D. 2004. Corruption in Latin America: An Empirical Overview. *SECOLAS Annals*

Morris, Stephen D. 2008. Disaggregating Corruption: A Comparison of Participation and Perceptions in Latin America with a Focus on Mexico. *Bulletin of Latin American Research*

Morris, Stephen D. 2011. Forms of Corruption. *CESifo DICE Report*

Morrissey, David. 2007. The Fight Against Corruption by International Organizations. *George Washington International Law Review*

Morse, Stephen. 2006. Is Corruption Bad for Environmental Sustainability? A Cross-National Analysis. *Ecology & Society*

Moser, Carolyn. 2014. “Conflict of Interests of Government Members and the Risk of Corruption: An Assessment of Pre-Revolutionary Tunisia and Egypt”, in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., *Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach*

Moss, T.J., G. Pettersson & N. van de Walle. 2006. An Aid-Institutions Paradox? A Review Essay on Aid Dependency and State Building in Sub-Saharan Africa. Working paper.

Moyer, Homer E., Jr. 2004. The Role of Law in Combating Official Corruption: Recent U.S. Enforcement and Evolving International Standards, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting (American Society of International Law)

Muazu Ibrahim, Emmanuel Kumi & Thomas Yeboah. 2015. African Journal of Economic & Sustainable Development

Mudhai, Fredrick. 2007. Time to Harvest? Media, Corruption and Elections in Kenya. International Journal of Communication Ethics

Muffler, Stephen. 1995. Proposing a Treaty on the Prevention of International Corrupt Payments: Cloning the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Is Not the Answer. ILSA Journal of International & Comparative Law

Mukwiri, J. 2015. British Law on Corporate Bribery. Journal of Financial Crime

Mulgan, Richard & John Wanna. 2012. “Developing Cultures of Integrity in the Public and Private Sectors”, in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption ,

Muma, M.W. 2014. Toward Greater Guidance: Reforming the Definitions of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Michigan Law Review

Mungiu-Pippid, Alina. 2013. Becoming Denmark: Historical Designs of Corruption Control. Social Research

Mungiu-Pippidi, A. 2013. Controlling Corruption Through Collective Action. Journal of Democracy

Mungiu-Pippidi, A. 2015. Corruption: Good Governance Powers Innovation. Natur

Mungiu-Pippidi, A. et al. 2011. Contextual Choices in Fighting Corruption: Lessons Learned. Norwegian Agency for International Development.

Mungiu-Pippidi, Alina. 2005. Deconstructing Balkan Particularism: The Ambiguous Social Capital of Southeastern Europe. Southeast European & Black Sea Studies

Mungiu-Pippidi, Alina. 2006. Corruption: Diagnosis and Treatment. Journal of Democracy

Mungiu-Pippidi, Alina. 2013. The Good, the Bad and the Ugly: Controlling Corruption in the European Union. Working paper.

Mungiu-Pippidi, Alina. 2014. Of Virtuous Circles: Modeling Control of Corruption Beyond Modernization. Working paper.

Mungiu-Pippidi, Alina. 2015. The Quest for Good Governance: How Societies Develop Control of Corruption (Cambridge University Press).

Muralidharan, Karthik, Paul Niehaus & Sandip Sukhtankar. 2014. Payments Infrastructure and the Performance of Public Programs: Evidence from Biometric Smartcards in India. NBER Working Paper 19999.

Muralindharan, K. & Sundararaman, V. 2009. Teacher Performance Pay: experimental Evidence from India. (Working paper).

Murphy, Kevin et al. 1993. Why Is Rent-Seeking So Costly to Growth? American Economic Review

Murphy, Mark J. 1995. International Bribery: An Example of an Unfair Trade Practice? Brooklyn Journal of International Law

Murray, Cameron K. & Paul Frijters. 2015. Clean Money in a Dirty System: Relationship Networks and Land Rezoning in Queensland.

Murtazashvili, J. 2015. Gaming the State: Consequences of Contracting Out State Building in Afghanistan. Central Asian Survey

Musa, P.F., P. Meso & V.W. Mbarika. 2005. Toward Sustainable Adoption of Technologies for Human Development in Sub-Saharan Africa: Precursors, Diagnostics, and Prescriptions. Communications of the Association for Information Systems

Musila, Jacob W. 2013. does Democracy Have a Different Impact on Corruption in Africa? Journal of African Business

Musila, Jacob Wanjala & Simon Pierre Sigue. 2010. Corruption and International Trade: An Empirical Investigation of African Countries. World Economy

Mutebi, Alex. 2008. explaining the Failure of Thailand's Anti-Corruption Regime. Development & Change

Muzila, Lindy, Michelle Morales, Marianne Mathias & Tammar Berger. 2012. On the Take: Criminalizing Illicit Enrichment to Fight Corruption. Washington, D.C.: Stolen Asset Recovery Initiative.

Myers, Richard E. II. 2012. Who Watches the Watchers in Public Corruption Cases? University of Chicago Legal Forum 2012

Myerson, Roger B. 1993. Effectiveness of Electoral Systems for Reducing Government Corruption: A Game-Theoretic Analysis. Games & Economic Behavior

Myerson, Roger. 2006. Bipolar Multicandidate Elections with Corruption. Scandinavian Journal of Economics

Myint, U. 2000. Corruption: Causes, Consequences and Cures. Asia-Pacific Development Journal

Myles, Gareth D. & Hana Yousefi. 2015. Corruption and Seigniorage. Journal of Public Economic Theory

Nabin, Munirul Haque & Gautam Bose. 2008. Partners in Crime: Collusive Corruption and Search. The B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy 8(1).

Nadeau-Seguin, Sara. 2013. Commercial Arbitration and Corrupt Practices: Should Arbitrators Be Bound By a Duty to Report Corrupt Practices? *Transnational Dispute Resolution* 10(3).

Nadipuram, Abhay M. 2013. Is the OECD the Answer? It's Only Part of the Solution. *Journal of Corporation Law*

Nagin, Daniel S. & Greg Pogarsky. 2003. An experimental Investigation of Deterrence: Cheating, Self-Serving Bias, and Impulsivity. *Criminology*

Naidu, S. & A. Chand. 2014. exploring the Relationship between Freedom from Corruption and Business Governance in the Oceania Region. *Quality & Quantity*

Naim, Moises. 2005. Bad Medicine: The War on Corruption Is Leaving the World Worse Than We Found It. *Foreign Policy* 147 (May-June 2005): 96-95.

Nakahara, S. 2015. Light in the Darkness: Understanding the Future Directions of Organizational Corruption. *Journal of Legal, Ethical & Regulatory Issues*

Namawu, Alolo. 2004. Fighting Public Sector Corruption in Sub-Saharan Africa: does Gender Matter? *IDD Wchool of Public Policy Working Paper*.

Nana, Constantine Ntsanyu. 2013. West Africa: The Actions of the OHADA Arbitral Tribunal in the Face of Corruption. *Transnational Dispute Management* 10(3).

Nappert, S. 2012. "Nailing Corruption: Thoughts for a Gardener – A Comment on *World Duty Free Company Ltd v. The Republic of Kenya*", in Patrick Wautelet, Thalia Kruger & Govert Coppens eds., *The Practice of Arbitration: Essays in Honour of Hans van Houtte* (Hart Publishing).

Nas, Tevtik F., Albert C. Price & Charles T. Weber. 1986. A Policy-Oriented Theory of Corruption. *American Political Science Review* 80(1):107-119.

Nasarre, Cecilia A.S. 2013. International Commercial Arbitration and Corruption: The Role and Duties of the Arbitrator. *Transnational Dispute Resolution* 10(3).

Nasuti, Peter. 2012. The Determinants of Anti-Corruption Reform in the Republic of Georgia. Working paper.

Navarro, Nelly Calderon. 2006. Fighting Corruption: The Peruvian experience. Journal of International Criminal Justice

Navot, D. & N. Cohen. 2015. How Policy Entrepreneurs Reduce Corruption in Israel. Governance.

Navot, D. 2014. The Concept of Political Corruption. Public Integrity .

Nawaz, S. 2015. Growth Effects of Institutions: A Disaggregated Analysis. Economic Modelling

Nayeri, Rouzhna. 2014. No Longer the Sleeping Dog, the FCPA Is Awake and Ready To Bite: Analysis of FCPA Enforcements, the Implications, and Recommendations for Reform. New York International Law Review

Ndedi, Alain A. Pr & Kingsley Kelly Mua. 2015. The Role of Forensic Investigation Professionals in the Prevention of Fraud and Corruption in Developing Countries. Working paper.

Ndikumana, Leonce & Mina Bali moune-Lutz. 2009. "Corruption and Growth in African Countries: exploring the Investment Channel", in African Development Bank & UNECA, Africa's Development Challenges and Opportunities in the Global Arena

Ndikumana, Leonce. 2007. "Corruption and Pro-Poor Growth Outcomes: Evidence and Lessons for African Countries", in J. Mbaku, M. Martin & J. Karugia eds., Governance and Pro-Poor Growth in Sub-Saharan Africa

Ndikumana, Leonce. 2013. The Private Sector as Culprit and Victim of Corruption in Africa. Working paper.

Neal, M., J. Finlay, S. Karkoulian, D. Catana & R. Pellegrino. 2015. How Business Students View Corruption, and Why This Should Concern Us: Insights from Lebanon, Romania and the United States. Tertiary Education & Management

Neeman, Zvika, M. Daniele Paserman & Avi Simhon. 2008. Corruption and Openness. *B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy* 8(1) (Article 50) Negro, I. 2015. "Corruption Is Dirt": Metaphors for Political Corruption in the Spanish Press. *Bulletin of Hispanic Studies*

Neild, Robert. 2012. *Public Corruption: The Dark Side of Social Evolution* (London: Anthem Press)

Nell, Mathias. 2007. *Contracts Induced by Means of Bribery – Should They Be Void or Valid?* Working Paper.

Nell, Mathias. 2007. *Strategic Aspects of Voluntary Disclosure Programs for Corruption Offences: Toward a Design of Good Practice*. Working Paper.

Nelson, Michael A. 2013. "Corruption and the Size of Local Governments: Are They Related?", in S. Lago-Penas & J. Martinez-Vazquez eds., *The Challenge of Local Government Size: Theoretical Perspectives, International experience and Policy Reform* (Cheltenham, U.K.: Edward Elgar)

Nemeroff, Michael A. 2006. *The Limited Role of Campaign Finance Laws in Reducing Corruption by Elected Public Officials*. *Howard Law Journal*

Neoh, Anthony. 2010. "An Impartial and Uncorrupted Civil Service: Hong Kong's Fight Against Corruption in the Past 35 Years", in Christopher Forsyth et al. eds. *Effective Judicial Review: A Cornerstone of Good Government* (Oxford University Press) Nesbit, Julie B. 1998. *Transnational Bribery of Foreign Officials: A New Threat to the Future of Democracy*. *Vand. J. Transnational Law*

Nesti, L. 2014. *The 2010 "Agreement on Mutual Enforcement of Debarment Decisions" and Its Impact for the Fight against Fraud and Corruption in Public Procurement*. *Journal of Public Procurement*

Neu, Dean, Jeff Everett & Abu Shiraz Rahaman. 2015. *Preventing Corruption within Government Procurement: Constructing the Disciplined and Ethical Subject*. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*

Neudorfer, Benjamin & Natascha S. Neudorfer. 2015. *Decentralization and Political Corruption: Disaggregating Regional Authority*. *Publius*

Neudorfer, N.S. & U.G. Theurekauf. 2014. *Buying War Not Peace: The Influence of Corruption on the Risk of Ethnic War*. *Comparative Political Studies* 47(13): 1856-1886.

Neudorfer, Natascha S. 2015. Development, Democracy and Corruption: How Poverty and Lack of Political Rights Encourage Corruption. *Journal of Public Policy* (forthcoming).

Neudorfer, Natascha S. N.d. Gender and Graft: A Political Economy Argument about the Influence of Working Women on Political Corruption. *Journal of Women Politics & Policy* (forthcoming).

Neumayer, E. 2002. Is Good Governance Rewarded? A Cross-National Analysis of Debt Forgiveness. *World Development*

Neumayer, E. 2003. The Determinants of Aid Allocation by Regional Multilateral Development Banks and United Nations Agencies. *International Studies Quarterly*

Neupane, Arjun, Jeffrey Soar & Kishor Vaidya. 2012. Evaluating the Anti-Corruption Capabilities of Public E-Procurement in a Developing Country. *Electronic Journal on Information Systems in Developing Countries*

Neupane, Arjun, Jeffrey Soar & Kishor Vaidya. 2014. An Empirical Evaluation of the Potential of Public E-Procurement to Reduce Corruption. *Australasian Journal of Information Systems*

Neupane, Arjun, Jeffrey Soar & Kishor Vaidya. 2014. The Potential of E-Procurement Technology for Reducing Corruption. *International Journal of Information Technology & Management*.

Neupane, Arjun, Jeffrey Soar, Kishor Vaidya & Jianming Yong. 2014. Willingness to Adopt E-Procurement to Reduce Corruption. *Transforming Government: People, Process & Policy*

Neverova, E.G. & O.A. Malafeyef. 2015. A Model of Interaction between Anticorruption Authority and Corruption Groups. *AIP Conference Proceedings*

Ng, F. & A. Yeats. 1999. Good Governance and Trade Policy: Are They the Keys to Africa's Global Integration and Growth? *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 2038*.

Ngira, David Otieno. 2015. Accelerating the "War" Against Corruption: A Sociological Perspective. *Working paper*.

Ngo, Tak-Wing. 2009. "Rent Seeking under the Licensing State: The Institutional Sources of Economic Corruption in China", in Gong, T. & S.K. Ma eds., *Preventing Corruption in Asia: Institutional Design and Policy Capacity* (London: Routledge)

Niaz, I. 2014. *Corruption and the Bureaucratic Elite in Pakistan: The 1960s and 1970s Revisited*. *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*

Nice, David C. 1986. *The Policy Consequences of Political Corruption*. *Political Behavior*

Nichilo, Brian. 2011. *Honest Services Fraud: Consistency in Change*. *Temple Law Review*

Nichols, Philip J. 2016. *The Neomercantilist Fallacy and the Contextual Reality of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act*. *Harvard Journal on Legislation* (forthcoming).

Nichols, Philip M. 1996. *Corruption in the World Trade Organization: Discerning the Limits of the World Trade Organization's Authority*. *N.Y.U. Journal of International Law and Politics*, 28: 711-784.

Nichols, Philip M. 1997. *Outlawing Transnational Bribery Through the World Trade Organization*. *Law & Policy in International Business*

Nichols, Philip M. 1999. *Are Extraterritorial Restrictions on Bribery a Viable and Desirable International Policy Goal Under the Global Conditions of the Late Twentieth Century? Increasing Global Security by Controlling Transnational Bribery*. *Mich. J. Int'l L.* 20: 451-.

Nichols, Philip M. 1999. *Regulating Transnational Bribery in Times of Globalization and Fragmentation*. *Yale Journal of International Law*

Nichols, Philip M. 2000. *The Myth of Anti-Bribery Laws as Transnational Intrusion*. *Cornell International Law Journal*

Nichols, Philip M. 2001. *The Fit Between Changes to the Global Corruption Regime and Indigenous Perceptions of Corruption in Kazakhstan*. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law*

Nichols, Philip M. 2004. Corruption as an Assurance Problem. *American University International Law Review*

Nichols, Philip M. 2009. Multiple Communities and Controlling Corruption. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Nichols, Philip M. 2011. The Perverse Effect of Campaign Contribution Limits: Reducing the Allowable Amounts Increases the Likelihood of Corruption in the Federal Legislature. *American Business Law Journal*

Nichols, Philip M. 2012. The Business Case for Complying with Bribery Laws. *American Business Law Journal*.

Nichols, Philip M. 2012. The Psychic Costs of Violating Corruption Laws. *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*.

Nichols, Philip M. 2012. *United States v Lazarenko: The Trial and Conviction of Two Former Prime Ministers of Ukraine*. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*.

Nichols, Philip M., George J. Siedel & Matthew Kasdin. 2004. Corruption as a Pan-Cultural Phenomenon: An Empirical Study in Countries at Opposite Ends of the Former Soviet Empire, 39 *Tex. Int'l L.J*

Nichter, Simeon. 2008. Vote Buying or Turnout Buying? Machine Politics and the Secret Ballot. *American Political Science Review*

Nichter, Simeon. 2011. Electoral Clientalism or Relational Clientalism? Healthcare and Sterilization in Brazil. Working paper.

Nichter, Simeon. 2014. Conceptualizing Vote Buying. *Electoral Studies* (forthcoming).

Niehaus, Paul & Sandip Sukhtankar. 2013. Corruption Dynamics: The Golden Goose Effect. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*

Niehaus, Paul & Sandip Sukhtankar. 2013. The Marginal Rate of Corruption in Public Programs: Evidence from India. *Journal of Public Economics*

Niehaus, Paul, Antonia Atanassova, Marianne Bertrand & Sendhil Mullainathan. 2013.

Targeting with Agents. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*

Nielsen, R. 2003. Corruption Networks and Implications for Ethical Corruption Reform. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Nielsen, Richard P. 2000. The Politics of Long Term Corruption Reform: A Combined Social Movement and Action-Learning Approach. *Business Ethics*

Nieto, N. 2014. Corruption in Mexico: A Historical Legacy. *Social Science Diliman*

Nikolaev, P. 2014. Corruption Suppression Models: The Role of Inspectors' Moral Level. *Computational Mathematics & Modeling*.

Nilsson Hakkala, K., P. Norback & H. Svaleryd. 2008. Asymmetric Effects of Corruption on FDI: Evidence from Swedish Multinational Firms. *Review of Economics & Statistics*

Nishizaki, Y. 2005. The Moral Origin of Thailand's Provincial Strongman: The Case of Banharn Silpa-Archa. *South East Asia Research*

Nolan, Beth. 1992. Public Interest, Private Income: Conflicts and Control Limits on the Outside Income of Government Officials. *Northwestern University Law Review*

Noller, Lisa M. 2012. A Modest Practitioner Proposal: Striking a Balance in Whistleblower Lawsuits. *University of Chicago Legal Forum* 2012: 129-150.

Noonan, John T. 1985. *Bribes*. New York: MacMillan.

Norad. 2011. *Joint Evaluation of Support to Anticorruption Efforts, 2002-2009*.

Norton, Patrick M. 2006. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Dilemma. *China Business Review* 33 (Nov-Dec)

Nte, N.D. 2011. Police Corruption and the National Security Challenge in Nigeria: A Study of Rivers State Police Command. *International Journal of Human Sciences* .

Nurgaliyev, B., K. Ualiyev & B. Simonovich. 2015. Police Corruption in Kazakhstan: The Preliminary Results of the Study. *Review of European Studies*

Nur-Tegin, Kanybek & Hans J. Czap. 2012. Corruption: Democracy, Autocracy, and Political Stability. *Economic Analysis & Policy*

Nur-tegin, Kanybek & Tanya Sahin. 2013. Bribing Behavior by Firm Attributes: A Cross-Country Analysis. *International Journal of Public Policy*

Nur-Tegin, Kanybek. 2008. Determinants of Business Tax Compliance. *The B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy*

Nwabuzor, Augustine. 2005. Corruption and Development: New Initiatives in Economic Openness and Strengthened Rule of Law. *Journal of Business Ethics* .

Nwazubor, A. 2005. Corruption and Development: New Initiatives in Economic Openness and Strengthened Rule of Law. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Nyamu,-Musembi, C. 2007. "Gender and Corruption in the Administration of Justice", in Transparency International, *Global Corruption Report* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press)

Nyblade, Benjamin & Steven Reed. 2008. Who Cheats? Who Loots? Political Competition and Corruption in Japan, 1947-1993. *American Journal of Political Science*.

Nye, Joseph. 1967. Corruption and Political Development: A Cost-Benefit Analysis. *American Political Science Review*

Nyhan, Brendan & M. Marit Rehani. 2015. Tipping the Scales? Testing for Political Influence on Public Corruption Prosecutions. Working paper.

Nyhan, D. 2015. Scandal Potential: How Political Context and News Congestion Affect the President's Vulnerability to Media Scandal. *British Journal of Political Science*

Nystrand, M.J. 2014. Petty and Grand Corruption and the Conflict Dynamics in Northern Uganda. *Third World Quarterly*

O'Donnell, Madalene. 2008. "Corruption: A Rule of Law Agenda?", in Agnes Hurwitz with Reyko Huang eds., *Civil War and the Rule of Law* (Boulder: Lynne Rienner).

O'Fallon, M.J. & K.D. Butterfield. 2005. A Review of the Empirical Ethical Decision-Making Literature: 1996-2003. *Journal of Business Ethics*

O'Hara, Phillip Anthony. 2014. Political Economy of Systemic and Micro-Corruption Throughout the World. *Journal of Economic Issues*

O'Kelly, Ciaran & Melvin J. Dubnick. 2006. Taking Tough Choices Seriously: Public Administration and Individual Moral Agency. *Journal of Public Administration Research Theory*

O'Leary, Cornelius. 1962. *The Elimination of Corrupt Practices in British Elections, 1868-1911*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

O'Sullivan, Julie. 1996. The Independent Counsel Statute: Bad Law, Bad Policy. *American Criminal Law Review*

O'Toole, C.M. & F. Tarp. 2014. Corruption and the Efficiency of Capital Investment in Developing Countries. *Journal of International Development*

O'Toole, Conor M. & Finn Tarp. 2012. Corruption and the Efficiency of Capital Investment in Developing Countries. Working Paper.

Oarhe, O. 2014. Whither the Ivory Tower? Corruption and the Development of Higher Education in Nigeria. *Africa Education Review*

Obala, L.M. & M. Mattingly. 2014. Ethnicity, Corruption and Violence in Urban Land Conflict in Kenya. *Urban Studies*

Oberoi, Roopinder. 2014. Mapping the Matrix of Corruption: Tracking the Empirical Evidences and Tailoring Responses. *Journal of Asian & African Studies*

Obidzinski, K. & K. Kusters. 2015. Formalizing the Logging Sector in Indonesia: Historical Dynamics and Lessons for Current Policy Initiatives. *Society & Natural Resources*

Obolonskii, Aleksandr & Aleksei Barabashev. 2014. How to Clean Out the Augean Stable of Our Bureaucracy. *Russian Politics & Law*

Obydenkova, Anastassia & Alexander Libman. 2015. Understanding the Survival of Post-Communist Corruption in Contemporary Russia: The Influence of Historical Legacies. *Post-Soviet Affairs*.

Ocheje, D. Paul. 2000. Law and Social Change: A Socio-Legal Analysis of Nigeria's Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offenses Act, 2000. *Journal of African Law*

Ocheje, Paul D. 2000. Refocusing International Law on the Quest for Accountability in Africa: The Case Against "Other" Impunity. *Leiden Journal of International Law*

Ocheni, Stephen & Basil C. Nwankwo. 2012. The Effectiveness of Anti-Corruption Agencies in Enhancing Good Governance and Sustainable Developmental Growth in Africa: The Nigerian Paradox under Obasanjo Administration, 2003-2007. *Canadian Social Science*

Ochulor, Chinenye Leo. 2011. Ethical and Moral Implications of Corruption. *Canadian Social Science*.

Ockey, J. 2003. Change and Continuity in the Thai Political Party System. *Asian Survey*

Odd-Helge Fjeldstad. 2005. Revenue Administration and Corruption. (Bergen: Chr. Michelsen Institute, U4 Issue no. 2).

Odumosu, Ibrinke T. 2011. International Investment Arbitration and Corruption Claims: An Analysis of *World Duty Free v. Kenya*. *Law & Development Review*

Oduor, Jacinta Ayango et al. 2013. Left out of the Bargain: Settlements in Foreign Bribery Cases and Implications for Asset Recovery. Washington, D.C.: Stolen Asset Recovery Initiative. OECD/StAR. 2012. Identification and Quantification of the Proceeds of Bribery.

Offe, Claus. 2004. "Political Corruption. Conceptual and Practical Issues," in S. Rose-Ackerman & J. Kornai, eds. Building a Trustworthy State in Post-Socialist Transition (New York: Palgrave/Macmillan)

Offer, Avner. 1997. Between the Gift and the Market: The Economy of Regard. Economic History Review.

Ofosu-Amaah, W. Paati, Raj Soopramenien & Kishor Raj. 1999. Combating corruption: A comparative review of selected legal aspects of state practice and major international initiatives. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.

Ogan, Pekin. 1995. Predicting Noncompliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Bus. & Prof. Ethics

Oge, K. 2014. The Limits of Transparency Promotion in Azerbaijan: External Remedies to "Reverse the Curse". Europe-Asia Studies

Ogungbamila, Bolanle & I. Bola Udegbe. 2014. Gender Differences in the Effects of Perception of Organizational Injustice on Workplace Reactivity. Europe's Journal of Psychology

Ogunyemi, K. 2014. How Extortion Works (Evidence from Nigeria): The Extortion Cycle. Business & Professional Ethics Journal

Ogwang, T. & D. Cho. 2014. A Conceptual Framework for Constructing a Corruption Diffusion Index. Journal of Business Ethics

Ohnesorge, John K.M. 1999. "Ratch"eting up the Anti-Corruption Drive: Could a Look at Recent History Cure a Case of Theory-Determinism. Connecticut Journal of International Law

Ojha, Amitabh & Shailendra C. Jain Palvia. 2012. E-Government and the Fight Against Corruption: Conceptual Model and Five Case Studies from India. Journal of Information Technology & Application Research

Ojha, Amitabh, Shailendra Palvia & M.P. Gupta. 2008. "A Model for Impact of E-Government on Corruption: exploring Theoretical Foundations," in J. Bhattacharya ed., *Critical Thinking in Governance* (New Delhi: Gift Publishing),

Okada, Keisuke & Sovannroeun Samreth. 2012. *The Effect of Foreign Aid on Corruption: A Quantile Regression Approach*. Economics Letters

Okada, Keisuke & Sovannroeun Samreth. 2014. *How does Corruption Influence the Effect of Foreign Direct Investment on Economic Growth?* Global Economic Review

Okafor, G. 2015. *Locational Determinants of US Outward FDI into Sub-Saharan Africa*. Journal of Developing Areas

Okeahalam, C.C. & I. Bah. 1998. *Perceived Corruption and Investment in Sub-Saharan Africa*. South African Journal of Economics

Okojie, Paul & Abubakar Momoh. 2007. "Corruption and Reform in Nigeria", in Sarah Bracking ed., *Corruption and Development: The Anti-Corruption Campaigns* (Palgrave Macmillan)

Olaniyan, Kolawole. 2014. *Corruption and Human Rights Law in Africa* (Oxford: Hart Publishing).

Olatunde, J.O. 2014. *Does Democracy Breed Accountability? The Role of State Executives in Nigeria, 1999-2007*. International Journal of Critical Accounting 4(3): .

Olaya, Juanita, Kodjo Attisso & Anja Roth. 2010. *Repairing Social Damage Out of Corruption Cases: Opportunities and Challenges as Illustrated in the Alcatel Case in Costa Rica*. Working Paper.

Oldenburg, Philip. 1987. *Middlemen in Third-World Corruption: Implication of an Indian Case*. World Politics

Olen, Jared W. 2012. *The Devil's in the Intent: does 18 U.S.C. §666 Require Proof of Quid-Pro-Quo Intent?* Southwestern Law Review.

Oliva, P. 2015. *Environmental Regulations and Corruption: Automobile Emissions in Mexico City*. Journal of Political Economy

Oliver, Dawn. 1997. Regulating the Conduct of MPs: The British experience of Combating Corruption. Political Studies

Olivier de Sardan, J.P. 1999. A Moral Economy of Corruption in Africa? Journal of Modern African Studies

Olken, Benjamin & Patrick Barron. 2009. The Simple Economics of Extortion: Evidence from Trucking in Aceh. Journal of Political Economy

Olken, Benjamin A. & Rohini Pande. 2012. Corruption in Developing Countries. Annual Review of Economics.

Olken, Benjamin A. 2010. Direct Democracy and Local Public Goods: Evidence from a Field experiment in Indonesia. American Political Science Review

Olken, Benjamin. 2006. Corruption and the Costs of Redistribution: Micro Evidence from Indonesia. Journal of Public Economics

Olken, Benjamin. 2007. Monitoring Corruption: Evidence from a Field experiment in Indonesia. Journal of Political Economy.

Olken, Benjamin. 2009. Corruption Perceptions v. Corruption Reality. Journal of Public Economics

Olken, Benjamin. 2009. Do TV and Radio Destroy Social Capital? Evidence from Indonesian Villages. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics

Olowu, Dele. 1993. Roots and Remedies of Governmental Corruption in Africa. Corruption & Reform

Olsen, T. & G. Torsvik. 1998. Collusion and Renegotiation in Hierarchies: A Case of Beneficial Corruption. International Economic Review

Oluwaniyi, O.O. 2011. Police and the Institution of Corruption in Nigeria. Policing & Society

Omotoso, F. 2014. Public-Service Ethics and Accountability for Effective Service Delivery in Nigeria. *Africa Today*

Onoshchenko, O. & C.C. Williams. 2014. Evaluating the Role of Blat in Finding Graduate Employment in Post-Soviet Ukraine: The “Dark Side” of Job Recruitment? *Employee Relations*

Oquendo, Angel Ricardo. 1999. Corruption and Legitimation Crises in Latin America. *Connecticut Journal of International Law*

Oral, B. & F. Sayin. 2015. Can Corruption Be Prevented by Increasing Tax Auditing in Turkey? *Journal of Economic Cooperation & Development*

Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. 2008. *Specialised Anti-Corruption Institution: Review of Models*.

Orjuela, C. 2014. Corruption and Identity Politics in Divided Societies. *Third World Quarterly*

Ortega, B., A. Casquero & J. Sanjuan. 2014. Growth in Human Development: The Role of Corruption. *Journal of International Development*

Osei, Philip Duku. 2007. “Corruption Scandals and Anti-Corruption Institution Building Interventions in Jamaica”, in Sarah Bracking ed., *Corruption and Development: The Anti-Corruption Campaigns* (Palgrave Macmillan)

Osei-Hwedie, B.Z. & K. Osei-Hwedie. 2000. The Political, Economic and Cultural Bases of Corruption in Africa, in K.R. Sr., Hope & B. Chikulo eds., *Corruption and Development in Africa* (London, Palgrave)

Osei-Tutu, E., K. Offei-Nyako, C. Ameyaw & K.T. Ampofo. 2014. Conflict of Interest and Related Corrupt Practices in Public Procurement in Ghana. *International Journal of Civil Engineering Construction & Estate Management*.

Osifo, Omoregie Charles. 2014. An Ethical Governance Perspective on Anti-Corruption Policies and Procedures: Agencies and Trust in Cameroon, Ghana, and Nigeria Evaluation. *International Journal of Public Administration*

Osipian, Ararat L. 2009. Corruption and Reform in Higher Education in Ukraine. Canadian & International Education

Osipian, Ararat L. 2012. Economics of Corruption in Doctoral Education: The Dissertations Market. Economics of Education Review

Osipian, Ararat L. 2014. Will Bribery and Fraud Converge? Comparative Corruption in Russia and the USA. Compare: A Journal of Comparative & International Education.

Osipian, Ararat. 2010. Corrupt Organizational Hierarchies in the Former Soviet Bloc. Transition Studies Review

Oskembayev, Yessengali, Mesut Yilmaz & Kanat Abdulla. 2013. Resource Concentration, Institutional Quality and the Natural Resource Curse. Economic Systems

Osoba, Segun. 1996. Corruption in Nigeria: Historical Perspectives. Review of African Political Economy

Osterfeld, David. 1988. The Impact of Corruption on Third World Development. Economic Affairs

Ostergaard, Clemens Stubbe & Christina Petersen. 1991. Official Profiteering and the Tiananmen Square Demonstrations in China. Corruption and Reform.

Ostler, J. 2014. Corrupt Business Practices as a Market Entry Strategy. Academy of Management Proceedings .

Otahal, T. 2014. Mises, Hayek and Corruption. Journal of Business Ethics.

Oto-Peralias, D., D. Romero-Avila & C. Usabiaga. 2013. does Fiscal Decentralization Mitigate the Adverse Effects of Corruption on Public Deficits? European Journal of Political Economy.

Ott, Jan C. 2010. Good Governance and Happiness in Nations: Technical Quality Precedes Democracy and Quality Beats Size. Journal of Happiness Studies

Otusanya, O.J., S. Lauwo, O.J. Ige & O.S. Adelaja. 2015. Sweeping It Under the Carpet: The Role of Legislators in Corrupt Practice in Nigeria. *Journal of Financial Crime* 22(3): 354-377.

Ouma, S.O.A. 1991. Corruption in Public Policy and Its Impact on Development: The Case of Uganda Since 1979. *Public Administration & Development*.

Ouzounov, Nikolay A. 2004. Facing the Challenge: Corruption, State Capture and the Rule of Multinational Business. *John Marshall Law Review*

Oyamada, E. 2015. Anti-Corruption Measures the Japanese Way: Prevention Matters. *Asian Education & Development Studies*

Oyamada, Eiji. 2005. President Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo's Anti-Corruption Strategy in the Philippines: An Evaluation. *Asian Journal of Political Science*

Oye, N. 2013. Reducing Corruption in African Developing Countries: The Relevance of E-Governance. *Greener Journal of Social Sciences*

Ozturk, I. & U. Al-Mulali. 2015. Investigating the Validity of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Hypothesis in Cambodia. *Ecological Indicators*

Pacini, Carl, Mushfiq Swaleheen & Katherine Baker. 2012. Enhanced Enforcement of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: Improving the Ethics of U.S. Business Practices Abroad. *Research on Professional Responsibility & Ethics in Accounting*

Pacini, Carl. 2012. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: Taking a Bite Out of Bribery in International Business Transactions. *Fordham Journal of Corporate & Financial Law*

Pacoy, Emilia P. 2008. Tracking Anti-Corruption Initiatives: Perceptions and experiences in the Philippines. *Journal of Administration & Governance*

Padro i Miquel, G. 2007. The Control of Politicians in Divided Societies: The Politics of Fear. *Review of Economic Studies*

Paik, W. & R. Baum. 2014. Clientalism with Chinese Characteristics: Local Patronage Networks in Post-Reform China. *Political Science Quarterly*

Painter, Martin. 2006. Thaksinisation or Managerialism? Reforming the Thai Bureaucracy. *Journal of Contemporary Asia*

Painter, Martin. 2014. Myths of Political Independence, or How Not to Solve the Corruption Problem: Lessons for Vietnam. *Asia & the Pacific Policy Studies*

Painter, Richard W. 2009. *Getting the Government America Deserves: How Ethics Reform Can Make a Difference*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Paldam, M. & E. Gundlach. 2008. Two Views on Institutions and Development: The Grand Transition vs the Primacy of Institutions. *Kyklos*

Paldam, Martin. 2001. Corruption and Religion: Adding to the Economic Model. *Kyklos*

Paldam, Martin. 2002. The Cross-Country Pattern of Corruption: Economics, Culture and the Seesaw Dynamics. *European J. of Pol. Econ.*

Paler, L. 2013. Keeping the Public Purse: An experiment in Windfalls, Taxes, and the Incentives to Restrain. *American Political Science Review*

Palmier, Leslie. 2000. Corruption and Probity. *Asian Journal of Political Science* 8: 1-.

Pande, Rohini. 2008. Understanding Political Corruption in Low Income Countries. *Handbook of Development Economics*

Pande, Rohini. 2011. Can Informed Voters Enforce Better Governance? experiments in Low-Income Democracies. *Annual Review of Economics*

Pangalangan, Raul C. 2010. "The Philippines 'Sandiganbayan': Anti-Graft Courts and the Illusion of Self-Contained Anti-Corruption Regimes", in Andrew Harding & Penelope Nicholson eds., *New Courts in Asia* (London: Routledge)

Pani, M. 2011. Hold Your Nose and Vote: Corruption and Public Decisions in a Representative Democracy. Public Choice

Panizza, Ugo. 2001. Electoral Rules, Political Systems, and Institutional Quality. Economics & Politics

Pantzalis, C. & J.C. Park. 2014. Too Close for Comfort? Geographic Propinquity to Political Power and Stock Returns. Journal of Banking & Finance

Papaioannou, K. & J. Van Zanden. 2015. The Dictator Effect: How Long Years in Office Affect Economic Development. Journal of Institutional Economics

Pappa, E., R. Sajedi & E. Vella. 2014. Fiscal Consolidation with Tax Evasion and Corruption. Journal of International Economics (forthcoming).

Pappa, E., R. Sajedi & E. Vella. 2015. Fiscal Consolidation with Tax Evasion and Corruption. Journal of International Economics

Papyrakis, Elissaios & Pak Hung Mo. 2014. Fractionalization, Polarization, and Economic Growth: Identifying the Transmission Channels. Economic Inquiry

Parboteeah, K.P., H.T. Seriki & Martin Hoegl. 2014. Ethnic Diversity, Corruption and Ethical Climates in Sub-Saharan Africa: Recognizing the Significance of Human Resource Management. International Journal of Human Resource Management

Parchomovsky, Gideon & Peter Siegelman. 2009. Bribes vs. Bombs: A Study in Coasean Warfare. International Review of Law & Economics

Pardo, I. ed. 2004. Between Morality and the Law: Corruption, Anthropology and Comparative Society. Aldershot: Ashgate.

Paris, Timothee. 2014. "Is (French) Continental Law Efficient at Fighting Conflicts of Interest?", in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach

Park, Adam W. 2013. Keep Your Eye on the Bribe: Focusing FCPA Enforcement. *Journal of Law, Economics & Policy*

Park, Hoon. 2003. Determinants of Corruption: A Cross-National Analysis. *Multinational Business Review*

Park, Junghee. 2012. Corruption, Soundness of the Banking Sector, and Economic Growth: A Cross-Country Study. *Journal of International Money and Finance*

Parker, Christine & Vibeke Lehmann Nielsen. 2009. Corporate Compliance Systems: Could They Make Any Difference? *Administration & Society*

Parker, Christine & Vibeke Nielsen. 2009. The Challenge of Empirical Research on Business Compliance in Regulatory Capitalism. *Annual Review of Law & Social Science*

Parry, J. 2000. "The Crisis of Corruption and the Idea of India – a Worm's Eye View", in Pardo, I. ed. *Morals of Legitimacy: Between Agency and System*. Oxford: Berghahn Books.

Parsons, Christopher A., Johan Sulaeman & Sheridan Titman. 2014. *Swimming Upstream: Struggling Firms in Corrupt Cities*. Working paper.

Partasides, Costantine. 2010. Proving Corruption in International Arbitration: A Balanced Standard for the Real World. *ICSID Review*

Partridge, Hilary. 1995. Can the Leopard Change Its Spots? Sleaze in Italy. *Parliamentary Aff.*

Pascual-Ezama, D., T.R. Fosgaard, J.C. Cardenas, P. Kujal, R. Veszteg, B.G. Liano & P.

Pranas-Garza. 2015. Context-Dependent Cheating: experimental Evidence from 16 Countries. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* (forthcoming).

Passas, Nikos. 2006. *A Comparative Analysis of National Anti-Corruption Legislation and Programmes*. New York: UNDP.

Passas, Nikos. 2010. Anti-Corruption Agencies and the Need for Strategic Approaches. *Crime, Law & Social Change* 53(1):

Pathak, R., G. Singh, R. Belwal, R. Naz & R. Smith. 2008. E-Governance, Corruption and Public Service Delivery: A Comparative Study of Fiji and Ethiopia. *Journal of Administration & Governance*

Pathak, R.D., G. Singh, R. Belwal & R.F.I. Smith. 2007. E-Governance and Corruption — Developments and Issues in Ethiopia. *Public Organization Review*

Pathak, R.D., R. Naz, M.H. Rahman, R.F.I. Smith & K.N. Agarwai. 2009. E-Governance to Cut Corruption in Public Service Delivery: A Case Study of Fiji. *International Journal of Public Administration*

Pattie, Charles & Ron Johnston. 2012. The Electoral Impact of the UK 2009 MPs' expenses Scandal. *Political Studies*.

Paul, B.P. 2010. does Corruption Foster Growth in Bangladesh? *International Journal of Development Issues*

Paulus, M. & L. Kristoufek. 2015. Worldwide Clustering of the Corruption Perception. *Physica A*

Pauwelyn, Joost. 2013. "Different Means, Same End: The Contribution of Trade and Investment Treaties to Anti-Corruption Policy", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D.

Carrington eds., *Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role?* (Durham: Carolina Academic Press)

Pavel, J. & S. Risticova. 2015. Analysis of Relationship Between Indicators of the Public Procurement Market and the Level of Perceived Corruption in EU Member States. *Econonicky Casopis*

Pavlova, E. 2015. The Russian Federation and European Union Against Corruption: A Slight Misunderstanding? *Perspectives on European Politics & Society* .

Pazmandy, Matthias. 2011. Socio-Economic Influences on Corruption Perception – Empirical Evidence from 27 European Countries. *Hamburg Review of Social Sciences*

Pearlman, S. 2014. Officials versus Thieves: Is Public or Private expropriation More Harmful to Small Firms? *Journal of Development Studies*

Pearson, Zoe. 2001. An International Human Rights Approach to Corruption, in Peter Larmour & Nick Wolanin eds., *Corruption and Anti-Corruption* (Canberra: Asia Pacific Press).

Pearson, Zoe. 2001. *Human Rights and Corruption*. Canberra: Centre for Democratic Institutions.

Pecujilija, M., I. Cosic, L.Nesic-Grubic & S. Drobnjak. 2015. Corruption: Engineers Are Victims, Perpetrators or Both? *Science & Engineering Ethics*

Pedersen, Eric M. 2008. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and Its Application to U.S. Business Operations in China. *Journal of International Business & Law*

Peiffer, C. & R. Rose. 2014. Why Do Some Africans Pay Bribes While Other Africans Don't? *Afrobarometer Working Paper 148*.

Peiffer, Caryn & Richard Rose. 2013. Why Do Africans Differ in Paying Bribes?

Peiffer, Caryn. 2012. From Islands of Integrity to Understanding the Politics of Corruption Reduction. *DLP Research Paper 21*.

Peisakhin, Leonid & Paul Pinto. 2010. Is Transparency an Effective Anti-Corruption Strategy? Evidence from a Field experiment in India. *Regulation & Governance*

Peisakhin, Leonid V. 2011. "Field experimentation and the Study of Corruption", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Tina Soreide eds., *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption*, vol. 2 (Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing)

Peisakhin, Leonid. 2011. Transparency and Corruption: Evidence from India. *Journal of Law & Economics*

Pellegata, Alessandro. 2009. Democracy, Government Alternation and Political Corruption. GSSEPS Working Paper Series, 6/2009.

Pellegata, Alessandro. 2013. Constraining Political Corruption: An Empirical Analysis of the Impact of Democracy. Democratization

Pellegrini, Lorenzo & Reyer Gerlagh. 2004. Corruption's Effect on Growth and Its Transmission Channels. Kyklo

Pellegrini, Lorenzo & Reyer Gerlagh. 2006. Corruption and Environmental Policies: What Are the Implications for the Enlarged EU? European Environment

Pellegrini, Lorenzo & Reyer Gerlagh. 2006. Corruption, Democracy, and Environmental Policy: An Empirical Contribution to the Debate. Journal of Environment & Development

Pellegrini, Lorenzo & Reyer Gerlagh. 2008. Causes of Corruption: A Survey of Cross-Country Analyses and Extended Results. Economics of Governance

Pendergast, Shannon M., Judith A. Clarke & G. Cornelis van Kooten. 2011. Corruption, Development, and the Curse of Natural Resources. Canadian Journal of Political Science

Pensute, Chanintorn. 2014. Vote Buying and Violence in Thai Provincial Elections.

Pepinsky, H. 1992. Corruption, Bribery and Patriarchy in Tanzania. Crime, Law & Social Change

Pereira, C. & M. Melo. 2016. Reelecting Corrupt Incumbents in Exchange for Public Goods: Rouba mas Faz in Brazil. Latin American Research Review 51(1) (forthcoming).

Pereira, Carlos & Lucia S.G. Barros. 2015. Tolerance of Corruption or Ideological Blindness? Working paper.

Pereira, Carlos, Marcus Andre Melo & Carlos Mauricio Figueiredo. 2009. The Corruption-Enhancing Role of Re-Election Incentives? Counterintuitive Evidence from Brazil's Audit Reports. Political Research Quarterly

Perez, M. Fabricio, Josef C. Brada & Zdenek Drabek. 2012. Illicit Money Flows as Motives for FDI. *Journal of Comparative Economics*

Persily, Nathaniel & Kelli Lammie. 2004. Perceptions of Corruption and Campaign

Finance: When Public Opinion Determines Constitutional Law, *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*,

Persson, Anna & Bo Rothstein. 2015. *It's My Money: Why Big Government May Be Good Government*. *Comparative Politics*

Persson, Anna & Martin Sjoestedt. 2012. "State Legitimacy and the Corruptibility of Leaders," in B. Rothstein & S. Holmberg, eds. *Good Government: The Relevance of Political Science* (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Persson, Anna, Bo Rothstein & Jan Teorell. 2012. "Rethinking the Nature of the Grabbing Hand," in B. Rothstein & S. Holmberg, eds. *Good Government: The Relevance of Political Science* (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Persson, Anna, Bo Rothstein & Jan Teorell. 2013. *Why Anticorruption Reforms Fail— Systemic Corruption as a Collective Action Problem*. *Governance*

Persson, Torsten & Guido Tabellini. 2003. *The Economic Effects of Constitutions* (MIT Press)

Persson, Torsten, Guido Tabellini & Francesco Trebbi. 2003. Electoral Rules and Corruption. *Journal of the European Economic Association*

Petermann, Andrea, Juan Ignacio Guzman & John E. Tilton. 2007. Mining and Corruption. *Resources Policy*

Peters, John & Susan Welch. 1980. The Effects of Charges of Corruption on Voting Behavior in Congressional Elections. *Am. Pol. Sci.*

Petrou, A. 2014. Bank Foreign Affiliate Performance in the Face of Pervasive and Arbitrary Corruption. *European Management Review* 1

Petrou, A.P. 2014. The “Grabbing Hand” or the “Helping Hand” View of Corruption: Evidence from Bank Foreign Market Entries. *Journal of World Business*

Petrou, Andreas P. 2015. Arbitrariness of Corruption and Foreign Affiliate Performance: A Resource Dependence Perspective. *Journal of World Business*

Petrovsky, Nicolai, Claudia V. Avellaneda & Priyam Saharia. 2010. does Managerial Quality Determine Whether Easy Money Will Increase Organizational Slack? Testing the Rentier Hypothesis in Colombian Local Governments. Working paper.

Petrovsky, Nicolai. 2004. does Natural Resource Wealth Spoil and Corrupt Governments? A New Test of the Resource Curse Thesis. Working paper.

Petty, Aaron. 2006. How Qui Tam Actions Could Fight Public Corruption. University of Michigan *Journal of Law Reform*

Pevehouse, Jon. 2010. International Institutions and the Rule of Law: The Case of National Corruption. Working paper.

Pharr, Susan. 2002. “Public Trust and Corruption in Japan,” in Arnold Heidenheimer & Michael Johnston, eds. *Political Corruption, Concepts and Contexts*, 3rd edition (New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers)

Phillips, T.J. 2015. The Federal Common Law of Successor Liability and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *William & Mary Business Law Review*

Philp, M. & E. David-Barrett. 2015. Realism About Political Corruption. *Annual Review of Political Science*

Philp, M. 2014. New Advances in experimental Research on Corruption. *Political Studies Review*

Philp, Mark. 1997. Defining Political Corruption. *Political Studies*

Philp, Mark. 2006. "Corruption Definition and Measurement," in Charles Sampford, Arthur Shacklock, Carmel Connors & Fredrik Galtung eds., *Measuring Corruption* (Aldershot: Ashgate)

Philp, Mark. 2008. *Peacebuilding and Corruption. International Peacekeeping*

Philp, Mark. 2015. "The Definition of Political Corruption", in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge)

Picur, Ronald D. & Ahmed Riahi-Belkaoui. 2006. *The Impact of Bureaucracy and Corruption on Tax Compliance. Review of Accounting and Finance 2*

Pierce, S. 2006. *Looking Like a State: Colonialism and the Discourse of Corruption in Northern Nigeria. Comparative Studies in Society and History*

Pieroni, Luca & Giorgio d'Agostino. 2009. *Military Spending, Corruption and Economic Growth. Peace Economics, Peace Science & Public Policy*

Pieroni, Luca & Giorgio d'Agostino. 2013. *Corruption and the Effects of Economic Freedom. European Journal of Political Economy*

Pierre, N. 2015. *Foreign Direct Investment: Rule of Law and Corruption. Asia-Pacific Journal of Financial Studies*

Pieth, Mark et al., eds. 2007. *The OECD Convention on Bribery: A Commentary. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.*

Pieth, Mark. 2013. "From Talk to Action: The OECD experience", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D. Carrington eds., *Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role?* (Durham: Carolina Academic Press)

Pietrzyk-Reeves, Dorota. 2006. *Corruption and Democratization: A Civic Republican View. Acta Politica*

Piga, Gustavo. 2011. "A Fighting Chance Against Corruption in Public Procurement?", in International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption, Vol. II. (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Pillay, Soma & Nirmala Dorasamy. 2010. Linking Cultural Dimensions with the Nature of Corruption: An Institutional Theory Perspective. International Journal of Cross Cultural Management

Pillay, Soma & Ron Kluvers. 2014. An Institutional Theory Perspective on Corruption: The Case of a Developing Democracy. Financial Accountability & Management

Pina, V., L. Torres & S. Royo. 2007. Are ICTs Improving Transparency and Accountability in the EU Regional and Local Governments?: An Empirical Study. Public Administration

Pines, Daniel. 1994. Amending the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act to Include a Private Right of Action. California Law Review.

Pino, N.W. & L.M. Johnson. 2011. Police Deviance and Community Relations in Trinidad and Tobago. Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies & Management

Pinto, Jonathan, Carrie R. Leana & Frits K. Pil. 2008. Corrupt Organizations or Organizations of Corrupt Individuals? Two Types of Organization-Level Corruption. Academy of Management Review

Pinto, Pablo M. & Boliang Zhu. 2013. Fortune or Evil? The Effect of Inward Foreign Direct Investment on Corruption. Working paper.

Piplica, D. 2015. Corruption and Political Viewpoint of the Governments in Transition Countries/EU Members. Asian Economic & Financial Review

Piquero, Nicole Leeper & Jay S. Albanese. 2012. "The Relationship between Corruption and Financial Crime", in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption ,

Pitlik, H., B. Frank & M. Firchow. 2010. The Demand for Transparency: An Empirical Note. Review of International Organizations

Plummer, Janelle. 2012. Diagnosing Corruption in Ethiopia (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

Podobnik, B., J. Shao, D. Njavro, P.C. Ivanov & H.E. Stanley. 2008. Influence of Corruption on Economic Growth Rate and Foreign Investment. *European Physics Journal*

Poeschi, G. & R. Ribiero. 2012. Everyday Opinions on Grand and Petty Corruption: A Portuguese Study. Working paper.

Pogge, Thomas. 2005. Recognized and Violated by International Law: The Human Rights of the Global Poor. *Leiden Journal of International Law*

Poirson, H. 1998. Economic Security, Private Investment, and Growth in Developing Countries. IMF Working Paper 98/4.

Poisson, Muriel. 2013. "Grabbing in the Education Sector", in Soreide, Tina & Aled Willams eds., *Corruption, Grabbing and Development* .

Polese, A. 2014. Informal Payments in Ukrainian Hospitals: On the Boundary between Informal Payments, Gifts, and Bribes. *Anthropological Forum*

Polinsky, A. Mitchell & Steven Shavell. 2001. Corruption and Optimal Law Enforcement. *Journal of Public Economics*

Polishchuk, Leonid I. 2004. "Decentralization in Russia: Impact on Quality of Governance", in Mwangi S. Kimenyi & Patrick Meagher eds., *Devolution and Development: Governance Prospects in Decentralizing States*. (Ashgate).

Pollack, B.J. & A.W. Reisinger. 2014. Lone Wolf or the Start of a New Pack: Should the FCPA Guidance Represent a New Paradigm in Evaluating Corporate Criminal Liability Risks? *American Criminal Law Review*

Pollack, Benny & Ann Matar. 1997. Dictatorship, Democracy and Corruption in Chile. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Polzer, Tara. 2001. Corruption: Deconstructing the World Bank Discourse. LSE Development Studies Institute Working Paper 01-18.

Pomerantsev, Peter, Geoffrey Robertson, Jovan Ratkovic & Anne Applebaum. 2014.

Revolutionary Tactics: Insights from Police and Justice Reform in Georgia (London: Legatum Institute).

Poon, Delia. 1996. exposure to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: A Guide for U.S. Companies with Activities in the People's Republic of China to Minimize Liability. Hastings International & Comparative Law Review

Pop, Ioana. 2012. Acceptance of Corrupt Acts: A Comparative Study of Values Regarding Corruption in Europe. Journal of Social Research & Policy

Popa, Mircea. 2014. Legislative Bargaining and Open Access: Economic Reform in 19th Century Britain. Working paper.

Popa, Mircea. 2014. The Distributive Effects of Corruption. Political Science Research & Methods (forthcoming).

Popa, Mircea. 2015. Elites and Corruption. World Politics

Pope, Jeremy & Frank Vogl. 2000. Making Anticorruption Agencies More Effective. Finance & Development.

Pope, Jeremy. 2000. Confronting Corruption: The Elements of a National Integrity System. Transparency International.

Popescu, Ada-Iuliana. 2015. Financing Democracy or Corruption? Political Party Financing in the EU's Southeastern and Eastern Member States. Working paper.

Popoola, O.M.J., A. Che-Ahmad & R.S. Samsudin. 2015. An Empirical Investigation of Fraud Risk Assessment and Knowledge Requirement on Fraud Related Problem Representation in Nigeria. Accounting Research Journal

Popov, S.V. 2015. Decentralized Bribery and Market Participation. Scandinavian Journal of Economics

Popova, M. 2012. Why doesn't the Bulgarian Judiciary Prosecute Corruption? Problems of Post-Communism

Poprawe, M. 2015. A Panel Data Analysis of the Effect of Corruption on Tourism. Applied Economics

Poprawe, M. 2015. On the Relationship Between Corruption and Migration: Empirical Evidence from a Gravity Model of Migration. Public Choice

Porter, L.E. & C. Warrender. 2009. A Multivariate Model of Police Deviance: Examining The Nature of Corruption, Crime and Misconduct. Policing & Society

Porter, Robert H. & J. Douglas Zona. 1993. Detection of Bid Rigging in Procurement Auctions. Journal of Political Economy

Porto, Mauro. 2011. "The Media and Political Accountability", in Timothy Power & Matthew Taylor eds., Corruption and Democracy in Brazil: The Struggle for Accountability (Indiana: University of Notre Dame Press)

Posadas, Alejandro. 2000. Combating Corruption Under International Law. Duke Journal of Comparative & International Law 10.

Pottenger, M. 2014. Incentives and Norms in Anticorruption Reform. Australian Journal of Public Administration

Potter, Joshua & Margit Tavits. 2012. "Curbing Corruption with Political Institutions", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Tina Soreide eds., International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption, Vol. II. (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Potts, T. 2014. Governance, Corruption and Olympic Success. Applied Economics

Power, Timothy J. & Matthew M. Taylor. 2011. Corruption and Democracy in Brazil: The Struggle for Accountability. University of Notre Dame Press) Powpaka, Samart. 2002. Factors Affecting Managers' Decision to Bribe: An Empirical Investigation. Journal of Business Ethics.

Pozsgai Alvarez, Joseph. 2015. Low-Level Corruption Tolerance: An “Action-Based” Approach for Peru and Latin America. *Journal of Politics in Latin America*

Pradhan, Sanjay & Jose Edgardo Campos, eds. 2007. *The Many Faces of Corruption: Tracking Vulnerabilities at the Sector Level*. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.

Prado, Mariana Moto & Lindsey D. Carson. 2014. *Brazilian Anti-Corruption Legislation and its Enforcement: Potential Lessons for Institutional Design*. IRIBA Working Paper #9.

Prasad, Ambika & Sridevi Shivarajan. 2015. Understanding the Role of Technology in Reducing Corruption: A Transaction Cost Approach. *Journal of Public Affairs* 15(1): 19-36.

Prendergast, Canice. 2000. *Investigating Corruption* (World Bank Development Research Group, Policy Research Working Paper 2500)

Prendergast, Shannon, Judith A. Clarke & G. Cornelis Van Kooten. 2011. *Corruption, Development and the Curse of Natural Resources*. *Canadian Journal of Political Science*

Prenzler, T., A. Beckley & S. Bronitt. 2013. *Police Gifts and Benefits Scandals: Addressing Deficits in Policy, Leadership and Enforcement*. *International Journal of Police Science & Management*

Price, Michael. 2006. “Case Study: Integrity Due Diligence”, in B. Errath ed., *Business Against Corruption: Case Stories and Examples* (New York: United Nations Global Compact Office)

Price, Pamela G. 1999. *Cosmologies and Corruption in (South) India: Thinking Aloud*. *Forum for Development Studies*

Priess, Hans-Joachim. 2013. *Questionable Assumptions: The Case for Updating the Suspension and Debarment Regimes at the Multilateral Development Banks*. *George Washington International Law Review*

Priks, Michael. 2011. *Judiciaries in Corrupt Societies*. *Economics of Governance*

Priks, Michael. 2012. *Competition Among Officials and the Abuse of Power*. *Public Choice*

Proskuryakova, Liliana, Gulnara Abdrakhmanova & Hans Pitlik. 2013. Public Sector E-Innovations: E-Government and Its Impact on Corruption. Working paper.

Prud'homme, Remy. 1995. The Dangers of Decentralization. World Bank Research Observe

Puckett, Blake. 2008. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, OPIC, and the Retreat from Transparency. *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies* 15: 149-176.

Puckett, Blake. 2010. Clans and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: Individualized Corruption Prosecution in Situations of Systemic Corruption. *Georgetown Journal of International Law*

Puglisi, Riccardo & James M. Snyder, Jr. 2008. Media Coverage of Political Scandals.

Punch, Maurice. 2000. Police Corruption and Its Prevention. *European Journal on Criminal Policy & Researc*

Pyman, M. J. Cohen, M. Boardman, B. Webster, N. Seymour. 2012. Arresting Corruption in the Police: The Global experience of Police Corruption Reform Efforts. (London: Transparency International UK).

Qerimi, Q. & B.S. Sergei. 2012. The Effects of Economic Freedom on Institutional Performance in the Western Balkans Countries. *International Journal of Business Governance & Ethics*

Qian, X. & J. Sandoval-Hernandez. 2015. Corruption Distance and Foreign Direct Investment. *Emerging Markets Finance & Trade*.

Qizilbash, M. 2001. Corruption and Human Development: A Conceptual Discussion. *Oxford Development Studies*

Quah, Jon S.T. 1995. Controlling Corruption in City-States: A Comparative Study of Hong Kong and Singapore. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Quah, Jon S.T. 1999. Comparing Anti-Corruption Measures in Asian Countries: Lessons to be Learnt. *Asian Review of Public Administration* 11: 71-.

Quah, Jon S.T. 2004. Democratization and Political Corruption in the Philippines and South Korea: A Comparative Analysis. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Quah, Jon S.T. 2009. "Curbing Corruption in a One-Party Dominant System: Learning from Singapore's experience", in Gong, T. & S.K. Ma eds., *Preventing Corruption in Asia: Institutional Design and Policy Capacity* (London: Routledge).

Quah, Jon S.T. 2009. Benchmarking for Excellence: A Comparative Study of Seven Asian Anti-Corruption Agencies. *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Administration*

Quah, Jon S.T. 2009. Combating Corruption in the Asia-Pacific Countries: What Do We Know and What Needs to be Done? *International Public Management Review*

Quah, Jon S.T. 2010. Curbing Corruption in the Philippines: Is this an Impossible Dream? *Philippine Journal of Public Administration*

Quah, Jon S.T. 2010. Defying Institutional Failure: Learning from the experiences of Anti-Corruption Agencies in Four Asian Countries. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Quah, Jon S.T. 2010. Trust and Governance in the Philippines and Singapore: A Comparative Analysis. *International Public Management Review*

Quah, Jon S.T. 2013. Minimizing Corruption in China: Is This an Impossible Dream? *Maryland Series in Contemporary Asian Studies*, No. 4.

Quah, Jon S.T. 2015. Evaluating the Effectiveness of Anti-Corruption Agencies in Five Asian Countries. *Asian Education & Development Studies*

Quah, Jon S.T. 2015. Singapore's Corrupt Practices Investigation Bureau. *Asian Education & Development Studies*

Quah, Jon. 2003. *Curbing Corruption in Asia: A Comparative Study of Six Countries*. Singapore: Eastern Universities Press.

Querubin, Pablo & James M. Snyder, Jr. 2013. The Control of Politicians in Normal Times and Times of Crisis: Wealth Accumulation by U.S. Congressmen, 1850-1880. *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*.

Rabl, T. & T.M. Kuhlman. 2009. Why or Why Not? Rationalizing Corruption in Organizations. *Cross Cultural Management*

Rabl, T. 2015. When Allegedly Corrupt Organizations Are Attractive. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*

Radu, I., M. Sabau, C. Sendroiu & S. Pete. 2015. Coercive Economic Diplomacy – Corruption Trigger or Deterrent. *Economic Computation & Economic Cybernetics Studies & Research*

Raeschke-Kessler, Hilmar & Dorothee Gottwald. 2008. Corruption in Foreign Investment—Contracts and Dispute Settlement between Investors, States and Agents. *Journal of World Investment & Trade*

Rahman, Aminur, Gregory Kisunko & Kapil Kapoor. 2014. Estimating the Effects of Corruption: Implications for Bangladesh. Working paper.

Rajagopal, Balakrishnan. 1999. Corruption, Legitimacy and Human Rights: The Dialectic of the Relationship. *Connecticut Journal of International Law*

Rajan, R. & A. Subramanian. 2007. does Aid Affect Governance? *American Economic Review*

Rajkumar, A.S. & V. Swaroop. 2008. Public Spending and Outcomes: does Governance Matter? *Journal of Development Economics*

Ralchev, Plamen. 2004. The Role of Civil Society in Fighting Corruption and Organized Crime in Southeast Europe. *Journal of Southeast Europe & Black Sea Studies*

Ramalho, Rita. 2007. The Persistence of Corruption: Evidence from the 1992 Presidential Impeachment in Brazil. (World Bank working paper).

Ramasastriy, Anita. 2007. Odious Debt or Odious Payments? Using Anti-Corruption Measures to Prevent Odious Debt. *North Carolina Journal of International Law & Commercial Regulation* 32: 819-.

Ramirez, C.D. 2014. Is Corruption in China “Out of Control”? A Comparison with the US in Historical Perspective. *Journal of Comparative Economics*

Ramkumar, Vivek & Warren Krafchik. 2005. The Role of Civil Society Organizations in Auditing and Public Financial Management. Working paper.

Ramkumar, Vivek. 2007. expanding Collaboration Between Public Audit Institutions and Civil Society. Working paper.

Ramkumar, Vivek. 2008. Our Money, Our Responsibility: A Citizens’ Guide to Monitoring Government expenditures. The Internatioanl Budget Project.

Rand, John & Finn Tarp. 2012. Firm-Level Corruption in Vietnam. *Economic Development & Cultural Change*

Randall, Lisa Harriman. 1997. Multilateralization of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Minn. J. Global Trade*

Randrianarisoa, L.M., D. Bolduc, Y.Y. Choo, T.H. Oum & J. Yan. 2015. Effects of Corruption on Efficiency of the European Airports. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy & Practice*

Raouf, Mohamed Abdel. 2009. How Should International Arbitration Tackle Corruption Issues? *ICSID Review*

Rashid, Salim. 1981. Public Utilities in Egalitarian LDC’s: The Role of Bribery in Achieving Pareto Efficiency. *Kyklos: Int’l Rev. for Soc. Sci.*

Rasmusen, Eric & J. Mark Ramseyer. 1992. Cheap Bribes and the Corruption Ban: A Coordination Game Among Rational Legislators. *Public Choice*

Ratcliffe, R. 2014. The FCPA's Legacy: A Case for Imposing Aiding-and-Abetting Liability on Corporations through an Amended Alien Tort Claims Act. *Texas International Law Journal*

Rauch, James E. & Peter B. Evans. 2000. Bureaucratic Structure and Bureaucratic Performance in Less Developed Countries. *Journal of Public Economics*

Rauch, James E. 1995. Bureaucracy, Infrastructure, and Economic Growth: Evidence from U.S. Cities. *American Economic Review*

Rauch, James E. 2001. Leadership Selection, Internal Promotion, and Bureaucratic Corruption in Less Developed Polities, *Canadian J. Econ.*

Rauh, J. 2015. Predicting Political Influence on State Ethics Commissions: Of Course We Are Ethical —Nudge Nudge, Wink Wink. *Public Administration Review*

Razafindrakoto, M. & F. Roubaud. 2007. Corruption, Institutional Discredit, and Exclusion of the Poor: A Poverty Trap. *Afrobarometer Working Paper 86*.

Razafindrakoto, Mireille & Francois Roubaud. 2010. Are International Databases on Corruption Reliable? A Comparison of expert Opinion Surveys and Household Surveys in Sub-Saharan Africa. *World Development*

Razzano, Frank C. & Travis P. Nelson. 2008. The expanding Criminalization of Transnational Bribery: Global Prosecution Necessitates Global Compliance. *International Law* 42

Recanatini, Francesca, Alessandro Prati & Guido Tabellini. 2005. Why Are Some Public Agencies Less Corrupt than Others? Lessons for Institutional Reform from Survey Data.

Recanatini, Francesca. 2011. "Anti-Corruption Authorities: An Effective tool to Curb Corruption?", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Tina Soreide eds., *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption*, Vol. II. (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Recanatini, Francesca. 2012. "Assessing Corruption at the Country Level", in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., *Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption* ,

Recanatini, Francesca. 2013. "Tackling Corruption and Promoting Better Governance: The Road Ahead", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D. Carrington eds., *Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role?* (Durham: Carolina Academic Press)

Redlawsk, David P. & James A. McCann. 2005. Popular Interpretations of "Corruption" and their Partisan Consequences. *Political Behavior*

Reed, Q. & A. Fontana. 2011. *Corruption and Illicit Financial Flows: The Limits and Possibilities of Current Approaches*. U4 Issue Paper 2011(2).

Reed, Steven A. 1999. "Punishing Corruption: The Response of the Japanese Electorate to Scandals", in Ofer Feldman ed., *Political Psychology in Japan: Behind the Nails which Sometimes Stick Out (and Get Hammered Down)* (Commack, NY: Nova Science)

Reed, Steven. 1996. Political Corruption in Japan. *International Social Science Journal* 149.

Rehren, Alfredo. 1997. Corruption and Local Politics in Chile. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Reinikka, Ritva & Jakob Svensson. 2004. Local Capture: Evidence from a Central Government Transfer Program in Uganda. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*

Reinikka, Ritva & Jakob Svensson. 2005. Fighting Corruption to Improve Schooling: Evidence from a Newspaper Campaign in Uganda. *Journal of the European Economic Association*

Reinikka, Ritva & Jakob Svensson. 2006. Using Micro-Surveys to Measure and explain Corruption. *World Development*

Reinikka, Ritva & Jakob Svensson. 2011. The Power of Information in Public Services: Evidence from Education in Uganda. *Journal of Public Economics*

Reisman, W. Michael. 1989. Harnessing International Law to Restrain and Recapture Indigenous Spoliations. *American Journal of International Law*

Relly, J.E. & D. Cuillier. 2010. A Comparison of Political, Cultural, and Economic Indicators of Access to Information in Arab and Non-Arab States. *Government Information Quarterly*

Relly, J.E. & M. Sabharwal. 2009. Perceptions of Transparency of Government Policymaking: A Cross-National Study. *Government Information Quarterly*

Relly, Jeannine E. 2011. "Corruption, Secrecy, and Access-to-Information Legislation in Africa: A Cross-National Study of Political Institutions", in S. Maret ed., *Research in Social Problems and Public Policy* (Bingley, UK: Emerald Group)

Relly, Jeannine E. 2012. *Examining a Model of Vertical Accountability: A Cross-National Study of the Influence of Information Access on the Control of Corruption*. *Government Information Quarterly*

Remmer, Karen. 2007. The Political Economy of Patronage: expenditure Patterns in the Argentine Provinces, 1983-2003. *Journal of Politics*

Renno, Lucio R. 2011. "Corruption and Voting", in Timothy Power & Matthew Taylor eds., *Corruption and Democracy in Brazil: The Struggle for Accountability* (Indiana: University of Notre Dame Press)

Reno, William. 2008. Anti-Corruption Efforts in Liberia: Are They Aimed at the Right Targets? *International Peacekeeping*4.

Renoe, Curtis E. 2002. Institutionalized "Corruption": Implications for Legal Reform in Indonesia and the Need to Make Haste Slowly. *Stanford Journal of East Asian Affairs*

Reuben, E. & M. Stephenson. 2013. Nobody Likes a Rat: On the Willingness to Report Lies and the Consequences Thereof. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*

Reyes, Vicente C. Jr. 2007. Corruption and Policy Implementation in the Philippines: A Comparative Analysis of the Teacher Training and Textbook Delivery Programmes. *Asian Journal of Political Science*

Reyes, Vicente C. Jr. 2009. Case Study of Implementation amidst Corruption Linkages: The National Textbook Delivery Programme (TDP) of the Philippine Department of Education. *Journal of Educational Policy*

Reyes, Vicente C. Jr. 2009. Systemic Corruption at the Programme on Basic Education in the Philippine Department of Education. *Journal of Developing Societies*

Reyes, Vicente C. Jr. 2010. The Philippine Department of Education: Challenges of Policy Implementation amidst Corruption. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*

Riahi-Belkaoui, Ahmed. 2004. "Effects of Corruption on Earnings Opacity Internationally" in J. Timothy Sale ed., *Advances in International Accounting* (Elsevier).

Riahi-Belkaoui, Ahmed. 2008. "Bureaucracy, Corruption and Tax Compliance", in Robert McGee ed., *Taxation and Public Finance in Transitional and Developing Economies* (Springer Science).

Ribadu, Nuhu. 2011. *Show Me the Money: Leveraging Anti-Money Laundering Tools to Fight Corruption in Nigeria*. (Washington, DC: Center for Global Development).

Richard, S.B. 2014. *To Bribe a Prince: Clarifying the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act through Comparisons to the United Kingdom's Bribery Act of 2010*. *Boston College International & Comparative Law Review* 37(2): 419-450.

Richardson, D. & A. Tepikina. 2015. *Anti-Corruption Campaign in China—The Privileged Class*. *Banking Law Journal* .

Richardson, G. 2006. *Taxation Determinants of Fiscal Corruption: Evidence across Countries*. *Journal of Financial Crime*

Richey, Sean. 2010. *The Impact of Corruption on Social Trust*. *American Politics Research*

Richter, D. & P. Uhmeister. 2013. *Returning "Politically exposed Persons" Illicit Assets from Switzerland – International Law in the Force Field of Complexity and Conditionality*. *German Yearbook of International Law*

Rider, B. 1995. *The Wages of Sin – Taking the Profit Out of Corruption – A British Perspective*. *Dickinson Journal of International Law*

Rider, B. 2007. *Recovering the Proceeds of Corruption*. *Journal of Money Laundering Control*

Ridley, F.F. 1995. *Feet of Clay: Threat to the Temples of Democracy*. *Parliamentary Aff.*

Rieder, Markus S. & Andreas Schoenemann. 2013. Suspicion of Corruption in Arbitration: A German Perspective. *Transnational Dispute Management* 10(3).

Riera, Pedro, Pablo Barbera, Raul Gomez, Jose Antonio Mayoral & Jose Ramon Montero. 2013. The Electoral Consequences of Corruption Scandals in Spain. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Rijkers, Bob, Caroline Freund & Antonio Nucifora. 2014. All in the Family: State Capture in Tunisia. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper* 6810.

Riley, S. 2000. "Western Politics and African Realities: The New Anti-Corruption Agenda", in Hope, K. & B. Chikulo eds., *Corruption and Development in Africa: Lessons from Country Case Studies* (London: Macmillan).

Riley, S.P. 1999. Petty Corruption and Development. *Development & Practice*

Riley, Stephen P. 1993. Post-Independence Anti-Corruption Strategies and the Contemporary Effects of Democratization. *Corruption & Reform* 7:

Riley, Stephen P. 1998. The Political Economy of Anti-Corruption Strategies in Africa.

European Journal of Development Research

Rinaldi, Sergio, Gustav Feichtinger, & Franz Wirl. 1998. Corruption Dynamics in Democratic Societies. *Complexity*

Rinnert, D. 2015. The Politics of Civil Service and Administrative Reforms in Development—explaining Within-Country Variation of Reform Outcomes in Georgia After the Rose Revolution. *Public Administration & Development*

Rivas, M. Fernanda. 2013. An experiment on Corruption and Gender. *Bulletin of Economic Research*

Rivera-Batiz, Francisco L. 2001. International Financial Liberalization, Corruption and Economic Growth. *Review of International Economics*

Rivkin-Fish, Michele. 2005. "Bribes, Gifts and Unofficial Payments: Rethinking Corruption in Post-Soviet Health Care", in Dieter Haller & Chris Shore eds., *Corruption: Anthropological Perspectives* (Pluto Press).

Roath, P.T. 2014. *The Abuse of Incumbency on Trial: Limits on Legalizing Politics*. Columbia Journal of Law & Social Problems .

Robbins, Paul. 2000. *The Rotten Institution: Corruption in Natural Resource Management*. Political Geography.

Roberts, Andrew. 2008. *Hyperaccountability: Economic Voting in Central and Eastern Europe*. Electoral Studies.

Roberts, J. 2015. *The "Subject" of Corruption*. Critical Perspectives on Accounting.

Robertson, Christopher J. & Andrew Watson. 2004. *Corruption and Change: The Impact of Foreign Direct Investment*. Strategic Management Journal

Robertson-Snape, Fiona. 1999. *Corruption, Collusion and Nepotism in Indonesia*. Third World Quarterly

Robinson, James A. & Ragnar Torvik. 2005. *White Elephants*. Journal of Public Economics

Robinson, James A. & Thierry Verdier. 2013. *The Political Economy of Clientalism*. Scandinavian Journal of Economics

Robinson, James A., Ragnar Torvik & Thierry Verdier. 2006. *Political Foundations of the Resource Curse*. Journal of Development Economics 79:

Robinson, Mark. 1998. *Corruption and Development: An Introduction*. European Journal of Development Research

Robinson, Misty. 2012. *Global Approach to Anti-Bribery and Corruption, An Overview: Much Done, But a Lot More to Do...* Thurgood Marshall Law Review

Rocca, J. 1992. Corruption and Its Shadow: An Anthropological View of Corruption in China. *China Quarterly*.

Rock, Michael T. & Heidi Bonnett. 2004. The Comparative Politics of Corruption: Accounting for the East Asian Paradox in Empirical Studies of Corruption, Growth and Investment. *World Development*

Rock, Michael T. 2009. Corruption and Democracy. *Journal of Development Studies*

Rodino, Peter. 1994. The Case for the Independent Counsel. *Seton Hall Legislation Journal* 19: 5-.

Rodrigues-Neto, J.A. 2014. On Corruption, Bribes and the Exchange of Favors. *Economic Modelling*

Rodriguez, Francisco & Jeffrey D. Sachs. 1999. Why Do Resource Abundant Countries Grow More Slowly? *Journal of Economic Growth*

Rodriguez, Peter, Donald S. Siegel, Amy Hillman & Lorraine Eden. 2006. Three Lenses on the Multinational Enterprise: Politics, Corruption, and Corporate Social Responsibility. *Journal of International Business Studies*

Rodriguez, Peter, Klaus Uhlenbruck & Lorraine Eden. 2005. Government Corruption and the Entry Strategies of Multinationals. *Academy of Management Review*

Rodriguez-Pose, Andres & Michael Storper. 2006. Better Rules or Stronger Communities? On the Social Foundations of Institutional Change and Its Economic Effects. *Economic Geography*

Roebuk, Julian B. & Thomas Barker. 1974. A Typology of Police Corruption. *Social Problems*

Rogers, Sarah B. 2008. The World Bank Voluntary Disclosure Program (VDP): A Distributive Justice Critique. *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law*

Rogowski, Ronald. 1987. Trade and the Variety of Democratic Institutions. *International Organization*

Rohde, C. 2014. A New Affirmative Defense to the FCPA for Countries Exiting Major Internal Strife. Richmond Journal of Global Law & Business

Rohde, Chris. 2014. A New Affirmative Defense to the FCPA for Countries Exiting Major Internal Strife. Richmond Journal of Global Law & Business

Rohlfsen, Ryan J. 2012. Recent Developments in Foreign and Domestic Criminal Commercial Bribery Laws. University of Chicago Legal Forum

Rohwer, Anja. 2009. Measuring Corruption: A Comparison between the Transparency International's Corruption Perceptions Index and the World Bank's Worldwide Governance Indicators. CESifo DICE Report

Roiseland, A., J. Pierre & A. Gustavsen. 2015. Accountability by Professionalism or Managerialism? exploring Attitudes Among Swedish and Norwegian Local Government Leaders. International Journal of Public Administration

Roldan, Antonio C. 1989. A Brief Psychology of Corruption. Psychology: A Journal of Human Behavior 5.

Roman, A. 2014. The Multi-Shade Paradox of Public Corruption: The Moldovan Case of Dirty Hands and Collective Action. Crime, Law & Social Change

Roman, A.V. & H.T. Miller. 2014. Building Social Cohesion: Family, Friends, and Corruption. Administration & Society

Roman, A.V. 2014. Refocusing Perspectives on Public Corruption Away from the Individual: Insights from the Moldovan Social Matrix. Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences

Romer, Paul. 1994. New Goods, Old Theory, and the Welfare Costs of Trade Restrictions. Journal of Development Economics

Roniger, Luis. 2004. Political Clientalism, Democracy, and Market Economy. Comparative Politics

Ronit, K. & I. McMenemy. 2015. *If Money Talks, What does It Say? Corruption and Business Financing of Political Parties*. West European Politics

Root, Hilton L. 1996. *Small Countries, Big Lessons: Governance and the Rise of East Asia*. (Hong Kong: Oxford University Press).

Root, Hilton. 1996. *Corruption in China: Has it Become Systemic? Asian Survey*

Roper, Steven D. 2002. *The Influence of Romanian Campaign Finance Laws on Party System Development and Corruption*. Party Politics

Rosa, D.D., N. Gooroochurn & H. Gorg. 2015. *Corruption and Productivity: Firm-Level Evidence*. Jahrbucher Fur Nationalokonomie Und Statistik

Rosas, G. & L. Manzetti. 2015. *Reassessing the Trade-Off Hypothesis: How Misery Drives the Corruption Effect on Presidential Approval*. Electoral Studies

Rose, Cecily. 2011. *The Application of Human Rights Law to Private Sector Complicity in Governmental Corruption*. Leiden Journal of International Law

Rose, Cecily. 2012. *The Corner House Case and the Incomplete Incorporation of the OECD Anti-Bribery Convention in the United Kingdom*. Tulane Journal of International & Comparative Law

Rose, Cecily. 2014. "Corruption and Conflicts of Interests in the United Kingdom", in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., *Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach*

Rose, Cecily. 2014. *Questioning the Role of International Arbitration in the Fight Against Corruption*. Journal of International Arbitration

Rose, J. & P.M. Heywood. 2013. *Political Science Approaches to Integrity and Corruption*. Human Affairs

Rose, Jonathan. 2015. "Corruption and the Problem of Perception", in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge)

Rose, Paul. 2012. State Capitalism and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Ohio State Law Journal

Rose, Richard & William Mishler. 2007. Bridging the Gap Between the Perception and experience of Corruption. (Working paper).

Rose, Richard & William Mishler. 2010. experience versus Perception of Corruption: Russia as a Test Case. Global Crime

Rose, Richard. 2013. The Other Face of Bureaucracy: Perception of Bribery Is Worse than the Practice. WZB Mitteilungen 140(June)

Rose-Ackerman, Susan & Benjamin Billa. 2009. Treaties and National Security. NYU Journal of International Law & Policy .

Rose-Ackerman, Susan & Rory Truex. 2013. "Corruption and Policy Reform", in Bjorn Lomborg ed., Global Problems, Smart Solutions: Costs and Benefits (Cambridge University Press).

Rose-Ackerman, Susan & Sinead Hunt. 2012. Transparency and Business Advantage: The Impact of International Anti-Corruption Policies on the United States National Interest. NYU Annual Survey of American Law

Rose-Ackerman, Susan, ed. 2006. International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption.

Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 1978. Corruption: A Study in Political Economy. New York: Academic Press.

Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 1996. Democracy and 'Grand' Corruption. International Social Science Journal

Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 1997. The Role of the World Bank in Controlling Corruption. Law & Policy in International Business.

Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 1999a. Corruption and Government: Causes, Consequences, and Reform. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 1999b. Political Corruption and Democracy. Connecticut Journal of International Law 14

Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 2001a. "Political Corruption and Democratic Structures," in Arvind K. Jain, ed. The Political Economy of Corruption (New York: Routledge)

Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 2001b. Trust, Honesty, and Corruption: Reflection of the State-Building Process. European Journal of Sociology.

Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 2002a. "Grand" Corruption and the Ethics of Global Business. Journal of Banking & Finance 26: 1889-.

Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 2002b. Corruption and the Criminal Law. Forum on Crime & Society

Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 2008. Corruption and Government. International Peacekeeping

Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 2010a. Corruption: Greed, Culture and the State. Yale Law Journal

Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 2010b. The Law and Economics of Bribery and Extortion. Annual Review of Law & Social Science

Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 2013. "Introduction: The Role of International Actors in Fighting Corruption", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D. Carrington eds., Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role? (Durham: Carolina Academic Press)

Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 2014. "Corruption and Conflicts of Interest", in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach

Rosenson, Beth Ann. 2009. The Effect of Political Reform Measures on Perceptions of Corruption. Election Law Journal

Roser, W. 1992. The Independent Commission Against Corruption: The New Star Chamber? Criminal Law Journal

Ross, Lauren Ann. 2012. Using Foreign Relations Law to Limit Extraterritorial Application of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Duke Law Journal*

Ross, Michael L. 1999. The Political Economy of the Resource Curse. *World Politics* .

Ross, Michael L. 2015. What Have We Learned About the Resource Curse? *Annual Review of Political Science*

Ross, Michael. 2001. does Oil Hinder Democracy? *World Politics*

Rossbacher, Henry H. & Tracy W. Young. 1997. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Within the American Response to Domestic Concerns. *Dickinson Journal of International Law*.

Rosser, Andrew. 2006. The Political Economy of the Resource Curse: A Literature Survey. IDS Working Paper 268.

Rothrock, Jay. 2008. Striking a Balance: The Speech or Debate Clause's Testimonial Privilege and Policing Government Corruption, 24 *Touro L. Rev.*

Rothstein, Bo & Daniel Eek. 2009. Political Corruption and Social Trust: An experimental Approach. *Rationality & Society*

Rothstein, Bo & Davide Torsello. 2014. Bribery in Preindustrial Cultures: Understanding the Universalism-Particularism Puzzle. *Journal of Anthropological Research*

Rothstein, Bo & Eric M. Uslaner. 2005. All for All: Equality, Corruption, and Social Trust. *World Politics* .

Rothstein, Bo & Jan Teorell. 2008. What Is Quality of Government: A Theory of Impartial Political Institutions. *Governance: An International Journal of Policy & Administration*

Rothstein, Bo & Jan Teorell. 2015. "Causes of Corruption" , in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge)

Rothstein, Bo & Jan Teorell. 2015. Getting to Sweden, Part II: Breaking with Corruption in the Nineteenth Century. *Scandinavian Political Studies*

Rothstein, Bo, Marcus Samanni & Jan Teorell. 2012. explaining the Welfare State: Power Resources vs. The Quality of Government. *European Political Science Review*

Rothstein, Bo. 2011. Anti-Corruption: The Indirect “Big Bang” Approach. *Review of International Political Economy*

Rothstein, Bo. 2011. *Corruption, Social Trust, and Inequality in International Perspective*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Rothstein, Bo. 2011. *The Quality of Government: Corruption, Social Trust, and Inequality in International Perspective*. University of Chicago Press.

Rothstein, Bo. 2013. Corruption and Social Trust: Why the Fish Rots from the Head Down. *Social Research* 80(4): 1009-1032.

Rothstein, Bo. 2014. What Is the Opposite of Corruption? *Third World Quarterly*.

Rowe, M. 2009. Notes on a Scandal: The Official Enquiry Into Deviance and Corruption in New Zealand Police. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Criminology*

Rubin, Nora M. 1998. A Convergence of 1996 and 1997 Global Efforts to Curb Corruption and Bribery in International Business Transactions: The Legal Implications of the OECD Recommendations and Convention for the United States, Germany, and Switzerland. *American University International Law Review*

Rudi, L.M., H. Azadi, F. Witlox & P. Lebailly. 2014. Land Rights as an Engine of Growth? An Analysis of Cambodian Land Grabs in the Context of Development Theory. *Land Use Policy*

Rueda, Miguel R. 2015. Buying Votes with Imperfect Local Knowledge and a Secret Ballot. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*

Ruengdet, K. & W. Wongsurawat. 2015. The Mechanisms of Corruption in Agricultural Price Intervention Projects: Case Studies from Thailand. *Social Science Journal*

Runde, Daniel F. & Sadika Hameed with Jeremiah Magpile. 2014. The Costs of Corruption: Strategies for Ending a Tax on Private-Sector-Led Growth. (Washington, D.C.: Center for Strategic & International Studies).

Runnels, Michael B. & Adam M. Burton. 2012. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and New Governance: Incentivizing Ethical Foreign Direct Investment in China and Other Emerging Economies. *Cardozo Law Review*

Rus, H. 2014. Corruption, Conflict and the Management of Natural Resources. *Economics of Governance*

Ruske, R. 2015. does Economics Make Politicians Corrupt? Empirical Evidence from the United States Congress.

Ruud, A.E. 2000. Corruption as Everyday Practice: The Public-Private Divide in Local Indian Society. *Forum for Development Studies*

Ruziev, K. & P. Midmore. 2015. Connectedness and SME Financing in Post-Communist Economies: Evidence from Uzbekistan. *Journal of Development Studies*

Ryan, Ann Marie et al. 1997. Workplace Integrity: Differences in Perceptions of Behaviors and Situational Factors. *Journal of Business and Psychology*

Ryvkin, Dmitry & Danila Serra. 2012. How Corruptible Are You? Bribery under Uncertainty. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*

Ryvkin, Dmitry & Danila Serra. 2013. does Competition Among Public Officials Reduce Corruption? An experiment. Departmental Working Papers 1301, Southern Methodist University, Department of Economics.

Ryznar, Margaret & Samer Korkor. 2011. Anti-Bribery Legislation in the United States and United Kingdom: A Comparative Analysis of Scope and Sentencing. *Missouri Law Review*

Saastomoinen, Antti & Timo Kuosmanen. 2014. Is Corruption Grease, Grit or a Gamble? Corruption Increases Variance of Productivity Across Countries. *Applied Economics*

Saba, Roberto Pablo & Luigi Manzetti. 1997. Privatization in Argentina: The Implications for Corruption. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Sabato, Larry J. & Glenn R. Simpson. 1996. Dirty Little Secrets: The Persistence of Corruption in American Politics.

Sabet, D.M. & A. Tazreen. 2015. Governing Growth: Understanding Problems in Real Estate Development in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Journal of South Asian Development*

Sabet, D.M. 2012. Corruption or Insecurity? Understanding Dissatisfaction with Mexico's Police. *Latin American Politics & Society*

Sabic-El-Rayess, Amra. 2014. Acting and Reacting: Youth's Behavior in Corrupt Educational Settings. *Peabody Journal of Education*

Sachs, Jeffrey D. & Andrew M. Warner. 2001. The Curse of Natural Resources. *European Economic Review*

Sadanandan, Anoop. 2012. Patronage and Decentralization: The Politics of Poverty in India. *Comparative Politics*

Sadigov, T. 2014. Corruption and Social Responsibility: Bribe Offers Among Small Entrepreneurs in Azerbaijan. *East European Politics*

Sadowsky, Justin M. 2005. The Transparency Myth: A Conceptual Approach to Corruption and the Impact of Mandatory Disclosure Laws, *4 Conn. Pub. Int.*

Safavian, M.S., H.G. Douglas & C. Gonzalez-Vega. 2001. Corruption and Microenterprises in Russia. *World Development*

Sah, Raaj K. 1991. Social Osmosis and Patterns of Crime. *Journal of Political Economy*

Sah, Raaj. 2007. Corruption Across Countries and Regions: Some Consequences of Local Osmosis. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*

Sah, S. & G. Loewenstein. 2010. Effect of Reminders of Personal Sacrifice and Suggested Rationalizations on Residents' Self-Reported Willingness to Accept Gifts: A Randomized Trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association*

Saha, B. & T. Thampy. 2006. Extractive Bribe and Default in Subsidized Credit Programs. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*

Saha, Bibhas & Trivikraman Thampy. 2008. Corruption, Default and Optimal Credit in Welfare Programs. Working paper.

Saha, Bibhas. 2001. Red Tape, Incentive Bribe and the Provision of Subsidy. *Journal of Development Economics*

Saha, Bibhas. 2003. Harassment, Corruption and Tax Policy: A Comment on Marjit, Mukherjee and Mukherjee [*Eur. J. Political Economy* 16 (2000) 75-94], *European Journal of Political Economy* 1

Saha, S. & G. Yap. 2015. Corruption and Tourism: An Empirical Investigation in a Non-Linear Framework. *International Journal of Tourism Research*

Saha, S., R. Gounder, N. Campbell & J. Su. 2014. Democracy and Corruption: A Complex Relationship. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Saha, S., R. Gounder & J.J. Su. 2009. The Interaction Effect of Economic Freedom and Democracy on Corruption: A Panel Cross-Country Analysis. *Economics Letters*

Saha, Shrabani & Jen-Je Su. 2012. Investigating the Interaction Effect of Democracy and Economic Freedom on Corruption: A Cross-Country Quantile Regression Analysis. *Economic Analysis & Policy*

Saisana, Michaela & Andrea Saltelli. 2012. Corruption Perceptions Index 2012 Statistical Assessment. JRC Scientific & Policy Reports, European Commission.

Sajo, Andras. 1998. Corruption, Clientalism and the Future of the Constitutional State in Eastern Europe. *East Europe Constitutional Review* 7

Sala-i-Martin, Xavier & Arvind Subramanian. 2013. Addressing the Natural Resource Curse: An Illustration from Nigeria. *Journal of African Economics*

Salbu, Steven R. 1994. True Codes Versus Voluntary Codes of Ethics in International Markets: Towards the Preservation of Colloquy in Emerging Global Communities.

University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Business Law

Salbu, Steven R. 1997. Bribery in the Global Market: A Critical Analysis of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Washington & Lee Law Review*

Salbu, Steven R. 1999. Battling Global Corruption in the New Millennium. *Law & Policy in International Business*

Salbu, Steven R. 1999. Extraterritorial Restriction of Bribery: A Premature Evocation of the Normative Global Village. *Yale Journal of International Law*

Salbu, Steven R. 1999. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act as a Threat to Global Harmony. *Mich. J. Int'l L.*

Salbu, Steven R. 2000. A Delicate Balance: Legislation, Institutional Change, and Transnational Bribery. *Cornell International Law Journal*

Salbu, Steven R. 2001. Information Technology in the War Against International Bribery and Corruption: The Next Frontier of Institutional Reform. *Harvard Journal on Legislation*

Saleh, S. 2014. Challenges in Combating Corruption and Fixing Accountability: In Iraq's Perspective. *International Journal of Business & Social Science*

Salinas-Jimenez, M.M. & J. Salinas-Jimenez. 2007. Corruption, Efficiency and Productivity in OECD Countries. *Journal of Policy Modeling*

Salmon, Timothy C. & Danila Serra. 2013. does Social Judgment Diminish Rule Breaking? (CSAE Working Paper WPS/2013-05.)

Salvatore, D. 2004. Growth and Poverty in a Globalizing World. *Journal of Policy Modeling*.

Salvatore, D. 2007. Growth, International Inequalities, and Poverty in a Globalized World. *Journal of Policy Modeling*

Salvatoriello, Paul. 2001. The Practical Necessity of Federal Intervention Versus the Ideal of Federalism: An expansive View of Section 666 in the Prosecution of State and Local Corruption. *Georgetown Law Journal*

Samimi, A. & H. Hosseinmardi. 2011. Gender and Corruption: Evidence from Selected Developing Countries. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*

Sampford, Charles et al. eds. 2006. *Measuring Corruption* (Ashgate).

Sampson, S. 2007. Can the World Bank Do the Right Thing? When Anti-Corruption Movements Become Anti-Corruption Budget Lines. Working paper.

Sampson, S. 2010. "Diagnostics: Indicators and Transparency in the Anti-Corruption Industry", in S. Jansen, E. Schroeter & N. Stehr eds., *Transparenz: Multidisziplinäre Durchsichten Durch Phänomene und Theorien des Undurchsichtigen*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag. Sampson, S. 2015. *The Audit Juggernaut*. *Social Anthropology*

Sampson, Steven. 1983. *Bureaucracy and Corruption as Anthropological Problems: A Case Study from Romania*. Folk,

Sampson, Steven. 2005. "Integrity Warriors: Global Morality and the Anticorruption Movement in the Balkans", in Dieter Haller & Chris Shore eds., *Corruption: Anthropological Perspectives* (Pluto Press).

Sampson, Steven. 2010. *The Anti-Corruption Industry: From Movement to Institution*. *Global Crime*

Samuel, Andrew & Aaron Lowen. 2010. *Bribery and Inspection Technology*. *Economics of Governance* .

Samuel, Andrew. 2009. Preemptive Collusion Among Corruptible Law Enforcers. 71 *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*

Sanchez, Andrew. 2015. "Criminal Entrepreneurship: A Political Economy of Corruption and Organized Crime in India" , in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge)

Sandgren, Claes. 2005. Combating Corruption: The Misunderstood Role of Law. *International Law*

Sandholtz, Wayne & Mark M. Gray. 2003. International Integration and National Corruption, *International Organization*

Sandholtz, Wayne & Rein Taagepara. 2005. Corruption, Culture, and Communism. *International Review of Sociology*

Sandholtz, Wayne & William Koetzle. 2000. Accounting for Corruption: Economic Structure, Democracy, and Trade. *International Studies Quarterly*

Sandoval-Ballestreros, Irma. 2014. Rethinking Accountability and Transparency: Breaking the Public Sector Bias in Mexico. *American University International Law Review*

Sands, Barbara N. 1990. Decentralizing an Economy: The Role of Bureaucratic Corruption in China's Economic Reforms. *Public Choice*

Sandu, A.M. & M.L. Nitu. 2014. The Perception of Corruption in Public Administration. *Contemporary Readings in Law & Social Justice*

Santiso, C. 2006. Improving Fiscal Governance and Curbing Corruption: How Relevant Are Autonomous Audit Agencies? *International Public Management Review*

Sanyal, A. 2002. Audit Hierarchy in a Corrupt Tax Administration: A Note with Qualifications and Extensions. *Journal of Comparative Economics* 30: 317-324.

Sanyal, A., I.N. Gang & O. Goswami. 2000. Corruption, Tax Evasion and the Laffer Curve. *Public Choice*

Sanyal, R. & S. Samanta. 2002. Corruption Across Countries: The Cultural and Economic Factors. Business & Professional Ethics Journal

Sanyal, R. & S. Samanta. 2008. Effect of Perception of Corruption on Outward US Foreign Direct Investment. Global Business & Economics Review

Sanyal, R. & S. Samanta. 2004. Correlates of Bribe Giving in International Business. International Journal of Commerce and Management

Sanyal, Rajib. 2005. Determinants of Bribery in International Business: The Cultural and Economic Factors. Journal of Business Ethics

Sargiacomo, M., L. Ianni, A. D'Andreamatteo & S. Servalli. 2015. Accounting and the Fight Against Corruption in Italian Government Procurement: A Longitudinal Critical Analysis (1992-2014). Critical Perspectives on Accounting

Sarkar, Hiren & M. Aynul Hasan. 2001. Impact of Corruption on the Efficiency of Investment: Evidence from a Cross-Country Analysis. Asia-Pacific Development Journal

Sarlo, P. 2014. The Global Financial Crisis and the Transnational Anti-Corruption Regime: A Call for Regulation of the World Bank's Lending Practices. Georgetown Journal of International Law

Sarte, P.D. 2000. Informality and Rent-Seeking Bureaucracies in a Model of Long-Run Growth. Journal of Monetary Economics

Sarte, Pierre-Daniel G. 2001. Rent-Seeking Bureaucracies and Oversight in a Simple Growth Model. Journal of Economic Dynamics & Control

Sato, Hideki. 2011. Raising Wages as a Strategy to Reduce Corruption. Journal of Management & Strategy

Savage, James D. 1994. Corruption and Virtue at the Constitutional Convention. Journal of Politics .

Savona, Ernesto U. 1995. Beyond Criminal Law in Devising Anticorruption Policies: Lessons from the Italian experience. *European Journal of Criminal Policy & Research*

Sayed, Abdulhay. 2004. Corruption in International Trade and Commercial Arbitration.

Schaffer, Fred C. 2002. Might Cleaning Up Elections Keep People Away from the Polls? Historical and Comparative Perspectives. *International Political Science Review*

Schaffer, Frederic C. 2007. *Elections for Sale: The Causes and Consequences of Vote Buying* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers).

Schaffer, Frederic Charles. 2005. Clean Elections and the Great Unwashed: Vote Buying and Voter Education in the Philippines. Working paper.

Schaffer, Frederic Charles. 2008. *The Hidden Costs of Clean Election Reform*. (Cornell University Press.)

Schatz, Florian. 2013. Fighting Corruption with Social Accountability: A Comparative Analysis of Social Accountability Mechanisms' Potential to Reduce Corruption in Public Administration. *Public Administration & Development* 33: 161-174.

Schefer, Krista Nadakavukaren. 2009. Corruption and the WTO Legal System. *Journal of World Trade*

Scheiner, E. 2005. Pipelines of Pork: Japanese Politics and a Model of Local Opposition Party Failure. *Comparative Political Studies*

Schelling, Thomas. 1973. Hockey Helmets, Concealed Weapons, and Daylight Saving: A Study of Binary Choices with Externalities. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*

Scheppele, Kim Lane. 1999. The Inevitable Corruption of Transition. *Connecticut Journal of International Law*

Scher, Daniel. 2005. Asset Recovery: Repatriating Africa's Looted Billions. *African Security Review*

Scherer, Matthias. 2002. Circumstantial Evidence in Corruption Cases before International Arbitration Tribunals. *International Arbitration Law Review*

Schikora, Jan Theodor. 2011. Bringing Good and Bad Whistle-Blowers to the Lab.

Schikora, Jan Theodor. 2013. How Do Groups Stabilize Corruption? *Journal of International Development*

Schleiter, Petra & Alisa M. Voznaya. 2014. Party System Competitiveness and Corruption. *Party Politics*

Schmidt, Diana. 2007. Anti-Corruption: What Do We Know? Research on Preventing Corruption in the Post-Communist World. *Political Studies Review*

Schmidt, Timothy W. 2009. Sweetening the Deal: Strengthening Transnational Bribery Laws Through Standard International Corporate Accounting Guidelines. *Minnesota Law Review*

Schneider, Bryan A. 1992. Do Not Go Gentle into That Good Night: The Unquiet Death of Political Patronage. *Wisconsin Law Review*

Schneider, Friedrich & Dominik H. Enste. 2000. Shadow Economies: Size, Causes, and Consequences. *Journal of Economic Literature*

Schopf, J.C. 2015. Detering Extortive Corruption in Korea Through Democratization and the Rule of Law. *Journal of Comparative Asian Development* (forthcoming).

Schroth, P.W. & P. Sharma. 2003. Transnational Law and Technology as Potential Forces Against Corruption in Africa. *Management Decision*

Schroth, Peter W. 2002. The United States and the International Bribery Conventions. *American Journal of Comparative Law* 50(supp.)

Schroth, Peter W. 2003. National and International Constitutional Law Aspects of African Treaties and Laws Against Corruption. *Transnational Law & Contemporary Problems*.

Schroth, Peter W. 2004. International Constitutional Law and Anti-Corruption Measures in the European Union's Accession Negotiations: Romania in Comparative Perspective. *American Journal of Comparative Law*

Schroth, Peter W. 2006. Corruption and Accountability of the Civil Service in the United States, *The American Journal of Comparative Law*.

Schueth, Sam. 2011. Assembling International Competitiveness: The Republic of Georgia, USAID, and the Doing Business Project. *Economic Geography*

Schultz, Jessica & Tina Soreide. 2008. Corruption in Emergency Procurement. *Disasters Journal*

Schulze, Guenther G., Bambang Suharnoko Sjarir & Nikita Zakharov. 2013. Corruption in Russia. Working paper.

Schulze, Gunther G. & Bjorn Frank. 2003. Deterrence versus Intrinsic Motivation: experimental Evidence on the Determinants of Corruptibility. *Economics of Governance*

Schumacher, Ingmar. 2013. Political Stability, Corruption and Trust in Politicians. *Economic Modelling*

Schuppan, T. 2009. E-Government in Developing Countries: experiences from Sub-Saharan Africa. *Government Information Quarterly*

Schuttauf, Nicholas. 2012. Repeal Anti-Bribery Legislation? A Defense of Laws Promoting Clean Business and Transparent Government. *New England Law Review*

Schutte, Sofie Arjon & Simon Butt. 2013. The Indonesian Court for Corruption Crimes: Circumventing Judicial Impropriety? U4 Brief. (September).

Schutte, Sofie Arjon. 2007. The Fight Against Corruption in Indonesia. *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs*

Schutte, Sofie Arjon. 2011. Appointing Top Officials in a Democratic Indonesia: The Corruption Eradication Commission. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*

Schutte, Sofie Arjon. 2012. *Against the Odds: Anti-Corruption Reform in Indonesia*. Public Administration & Development

Schwenke, S. 2000. *The Moral Critique: Corruption in Developing Countries*. *Journal of Public & International Affairs*

Scott, Ian. 2012. "The Hong Kong ICAC's Approach to Corruption Control", in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., *Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption* ,

Scott, James C. 1969. *Corruption, Machine Politics, and Political Change*. *American Political Science Review*

Scott, James C. 1969. *The Analysis of Corruption in Developing Nations*. *Comparative Studies in Society & History*

Scott, James C. 1972. *Comparative Political Corruption* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall).

Scott, Kandis. 2005. *The European Union and Romanian Mentalitate: A Case Study of Corruption*. *Santa Clara Journal of International Law*

Seabright, Paul. 1996. *Accountability and Decentralisation in Government: An Incomplete Contracts Model*. *European Economic Review*

Segal, Lydia. 1997. *Pitfalls of Political Decentralization and Proposals for Reform: The Case of New York City Public Schools*. *Public Administration Review*

Segal, Lydia. 1998. *Can We Fight the New Tammany Hall?: Difficulties of Prosecuting Political Patronage and Suggestions for Reform*, *Rutgers L. Rev.*

Segal, Lydia. 1999. *Corruption Moves to the Center: An Analysis of New York's 1996 School Governance Law*. *Harvard Journal on Legislation*

Segal, Lydia. 2002. *Roadblocks in Reforming Corrupt Agencies: The Case of the New York City School Custodians*, *Public Administration Review*

Segal, Lydia. 2004. *Battling Corruption in America's Public Schools*. Boston: Northeast University Press.

Segal, Lydia. 2010. *Independence from Political Influence—A Shaky Shield: A Study of Ten Inspectors General*. Public Integrity

Segal, Philip. 2006. *Coming Clean on Dirty Dealing: Time for a Fact-Based Evaluation of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act*. Florida Journal of International Law

Sehhat, Saeed, Mehdi Jafarzadeh Kenari & Mohsen Mijani. 2012. *An Empirical Study on Relationship Between Organizational Culture and Administrative Corruption*. Management Science Letters

Seiler, Norbert & Jelena Madir. 2012. *Fight Against Corruption: Sanctions Regimes of Multilateral Development Banks*. Journal of International Economic Law

Seim, Line Tondel & Tina Soreide. 2009. *Bureaucratic Complexity and Impacts of Corruption in Utilities*. Utilities Policy

Sekalala, S. & M. Kirya. 2015. *Challenges in Multi-Level Health Governance: Corruption in the Global Fund's Operations in Uganda and Zambia*. Hague Journal on the Rule of Law

Seker, M. & J.S. Yang. 2014. *Bribery Solicitations and Firm Performance in the Latin American and Caribbean Region*. Journal of Comparative Economics

Seldadyo, Harry & Jakob De Haan. 2011. *Is Corruption Really Persistent?* Pacific Economic Review

Seldadyo, Harry, Emmanuel Pandu Nugroho & Jakob de Haan. 2007. *Governance and Growth Revisited*.

Seldadyo, Henry & Jakob de Haan. 2006. *The Determinants of Corruption: A Literature Survey and New Evidence*. (Paper prepared for the 2006 EPCS Conference, Turku, Finland, 20-23 April).

Seligson, Mitchell A. 2001. *Corruption and Democratization: What Is To Be Done?* Public Integrity

Seligson, Mitchell A. 2002. On the Measurement of Corruption

Seligson, Mitchell A. 2002. The Impact of Corruption on Regime Legitimacy: A Comparative Study of Four Latin American Countries, *Journal of Politics*

Seligson, Mitchell A. 2006. The Measurement and Impact of Corruption Victimization: Survey Evidence from Latin America. *World Development*

Selmier, W. Travis II. 2013. Stand by Me: Friends, Relationship Banking and Financial Governance in Asia. *Business Horizons*.

Semukhina, Olga & K. M. Reynolds. 2014. Russian Citizens' Perceptions of Corruption and Trust of the Police. *Policing & Society*

Sengupta, M. 2014. Anna Hazare's Anti-Corruption Movement and the Limits of Mass Mobilization in India. *Social Movement Studies*

Sequeira, Sandra & Simeon Djankov. 2013. "Trade Costs and Corruption in Ports," in Cantens, Thomas, Robert Ireland & Gael Raballand eds., *Reform by Numbers: Measurement Applied to Customs and Tax Administrations in Developing Countries*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.

Sequeira, Sandra & Simeon Djankov. 2014. Corruption and Firm Behavior: Evidence from African Ports. *Journal of International Economics*

Sequeira, Sandra. 2012. "Advances in Measuring Corruption", in Danila Serra & Leonard Wantchekon eds., *New Advances in experimental Research on Corruption* (Emerald Publishing).

Sequeira, Sandra. 2015. "Corruption and Trade Costs", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul Lagunes eds., *Greed, Corruption, and the Modern State*

Sequeira, Sandra. 2015. Corruption, Trade Costs and Gains from Tariff Liberalization: Evidence from Southern Africa. Working paper.

Serafeim, George. 2013. Firm Competitiveness and Detection of Bribery. Working paper.

Serfontein, E. & E. de Waal. 2015. The Corruption Bogey in South Africa: Is Public Education Safe? South African Journal of Education

Serio, Mario. 2008. Politically exposed Persons: AML, Taking the Profit Out of Corruption and Problems for the Banks. Journal of Money Laundering Control

Serra, Danila & Leonard Wantchekon. 2012. New Advances in experimental Research on Corruption. (London: Emerald Books).

Serra, Danila. 2006. Empirical Determinants of Corruption: A Sensitivity Analysis. Public Choice

Serra, Danila. 2011. Combining Top-Down and Bottom-Up Accountability: Evidence from a Bribery experiment. Journal of Law, Economics & Organization

Serritzlew, S., K.M. Sonderskov & G.T. Svendsen. 2014. Do Corruption and Social Trust Affect Economic Growth? A Review. Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research & Practice

Sevukten, M., M. Nargelecekenler & F. Guray. 2010. A Cross-Country Comparison of Corruption and Public expenditure: A Panel Data Approach. International Journal of Public Administration

Shabbir, Ghulam & Mumtaz Anwar. 2007. Determinants of Corruption in Developing Countries. Pakistan Development Review

Shafiq, M.N. 2015. Aspects of Moral Change in India, 1990-2006: Evidence from Public Attitudes toward Tax Evasion and Bribery. World Development

Shah, Anwar & M. Schacter. 2004. Combating Corruption: Look Before You Leap. Finance & Development

Shah, Anwar, Theresa Thompson & Heng-fu Zou. 2004. The Impact of Decentralization on Service Delivery, Corruption, Fiscal Management and Growth in Developing and Emerging Market Economies: A Synthesis of Empirical Evidence. CESifo Dice Report, A Quarterly Journal for Institutional Comparisons, 2(Spring)

Shah, Anwar. 2006. Corruption and Decentralized Public Governance. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 3824.

Shah, Anwar. 2007. "Tailoring the Fight against Corruption to Country Circumstances", in Anwar Shah ed., Performance Accountability and Combating Corruption (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

Shah, Anwar. 2014. Decentralized Provision of Public Infrastructure and Corruption. International Center for Public Policy Working Paper 14-18.

Shan, M., A. Chan, Y. Le, B. Xia & Y. Hu. 2015. Measuring Corruption in Public Construction Projects in China. Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education & Practice

Shan, M., A.P.C. Chan, Y. Le & Y. Hu. 2015. Investigating the Effectiveness of Response Strategies for Vulnerabilities to Corruption in the Chinese Public Construction Sector. Science & Engineering Ethics

Shao, J., P.C. Ivanov, B. Podobnik & H.E. Stanley. 2007. Quantitative Relations Between Corruption and Economic Factors. European Physics Journal B

Shapiro, Joshua L. 2006. Corporate Media Power, Corruption, and the Media Exemption. Emory Law Journal.

Sharafutdinova, G. 2010. What explains Corruption Perceptions? The Dark Side of Political Competition in Russia's Regions. Comparative Politics

Sharma, Deepankar & Shrey Ashat. 2014. Corruption: Reasons & Remedy to Sustain Economic Development.

Sharman, J.C. & Jo-Anne Gilbert. 2015. Turning a Blind Eye to Bribery: explaining Failures to Comply with the International Anti-Corruption Regime. Political Studies

Sharman, J.C. 2010. Shopping for Anonymous Shell Companies: An Audit Study of Financial Anonymity and Crime. Journal of Economic Perspectives

Sharman, Jason C. & David Chaikin. 2009. Corruption and Anti-Money Laundering Systems: Putting a Luxury Good to Work. Governance

Sharman, Jason C. 2011. *The Money Laundry: Regulating Criminal Finance in the Global Economy*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Sharman, Jason C. 2012. Chasing Kleptocrats' Loot: Narrowing the Effectiveness Gap. U4 Issue 2012(4).

Sharman, Jason C., Emile van der does de Willebois, Emily Halter, Robert A. Harrison

& Ji Won. 2011. *The Puppet Masters: How the Corrupt Use Legal Structures to Hide their Stolen Assets and What to Do About it*. . Washington, D.C.: World Bank.

Shaw, Bill. 2000. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and Progeny: Morally Unassailable. *Cornell International Law Journal*

Shaw, Philip, M. Katsaiti & B. Pecoraro. 2015. On the Determinants of Educational Corruption: The Case of Ukraine. *Contemporary Economic Policy*

Shaw, Philip, Marina-Selini Katsaiti & Marius Jurgilas. 2011. Corruption and Growth under Weak Identification. *Economic Inquiry*

Shaxson, Nicholas. 2007. Oil, Corruption, and the Resource Curse. *International Affairs*

Sheahen, Kyle P. 2010. I'm Not Going to Disneyland: Illusory Affirmative Defenses Under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Wisconsin International Law Journal*

Sheffet, Mary Jane. 1995. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988: Did They Change Corporate Behavior? *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*

Sheifer, Andrei. 2004. does Competition Destroy Ethical Behavior? *American Economic Review*

Shekshnia, Stanislav, Alena Ledeneva & Elena Denisova-Schmidt. 2014. Reflective Leadership v. Endemic Corruption in Emerging Markets. INSEAD Working Paper No. 2013/121/EFE.

Shelley, Louise I. & John T. Picarell. 2002. Methods, Not Motives: Implications of the Convergence of International Organized Crime and Terrorism. *Police Practice & Research*

Shelley, Louise. 2005. The Unholy Trinity: Transnational Crime, Corruption and Terrorism. *Brown Journal of World Affairs*

Shen, Ce & John B. Williamson. 2005. Corruption, Democracy, Economic Freedom and State Strength. A Cross-National Analysis. *International Journal of Comparative Sociology*

Shepherd, Ben. 2009. Speed Money: Time, Corruption, and Trade. MPRA Paper 17337.

Shepherd, Benjamin. 2009. More Speed, Less “Speed Money”: Asia-Pacific Firm-Level Evidence on Border Crossing Times as Corruption Incentives. Working paper.

Sherlock, Stephen. 2002. Combating Corruption in Indonesia? The Ombudsman and the Assets Auditing Commission. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*

Sherman, Lawrence W. 1980. Three Models of Organizational Corruption in Agencies of Social Control. *Social Problems*.

Sheyn, Elizabeth. 2011. Criminalizing the Denial of Honest Services After Skilling . *Wisconsin Law Review*

Shi, Shouyong & Ted Temzelides. 2004. A Model of Bureaucracy and Corruption. *International Economic Review*

Shih, Victor, Christopher Adolph & Mingxing Liu. 2012. Getting Ahead in the Communist Party: explaining the Advancement of Central Committee Members in China. *American Political Science Review*

Shihata, Ibrahim. 1997. Corruption—A General Review with an Emphasis on the Role of the World Bank. *Dickinson Journal of International Law*

Shim, D.C. & T.H. Eom. 2008. E-Government and Anti-Corruption: Empirical Analysis of International Data. *International Journal of Public Administration*

Shim, D.C. & T.H. Eom. 2009. Anticorruption Effects of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) and Social Capital. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*

Shin, J.H. 2015. Voter Demands for Patronage: Evidence from Indonesia. *Journal of East Asian Studies*

Shirazi, Moiz A. 2012. The Impact of Corruption on International Trade. *Denver Journal of International Law & Policy*

Shirin, S.S. 2015. Corruption in Higher Education in Russia—First Decade of the 21st Century. *International Education Studies*

Shleifer, Andrei & Robert Vishny. 1994. Politicians and Firms. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*

Shleifer, Andrei & Robert W. Vishny. 1993. Corruption. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*

Shola, Omatola. 2006. Through a Glass Darkly: Assessing the “New” War against Corruption in Nigeria. *African Insign*

Sholderer, Olga. 2013. The Drivers of Police Reform: The Cases of Georgia and Armenia. *CEU Political Science Journal*

Shore, Cris & Dieter Haller. 2005. “Sharp Practice: Anthropology and the Study of Corruption,” in Dieter Haller & Chris Shore eds., *Corruption: Anthropological Perspectives* (Pluto Press)

Shore, Cris. 2005. “Culture and Corruption in the EU: Reflections on Fraud, Nepotism and Cronyism in the European Commission”, in Dieter Haller & Chris Shore eds., *Corruption: Anthropological Perspectives* (Pluto Press).

Shrewsbury, Diane A. 2012. Degrees of Corruption: The Current State of “Corrupt Persuasion” in 18 USC § 1512. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*

Shu, Lisa L. & Francesca Gino. 2012. Sweeping Dishonesty Under the Rug: How Unethical Actions Lead to Forgetting of Moral Rules. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*

Shu, Lisa L., Francesca Gino & M.H. Bazerman. 2011. Dishonest Deed, Clear Conscience: When Cheating Leads to Moral Disengagement and Motivated Forgetting. *Personality & Social Psychology Bulletin*

Shulman, S. 2014. The Criminalization of Bribery: Can the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Be Applicable to the Anti-Bribery Provisions of the United Nations Convention Against Corruption? *American University International Law Review*

Siddiquee, N.A. 2010. Combating Corruption and Managing Integrity in Malaysia: A Critical Overview of Recent Strategies and Initiatives. *Public Organization Review*

Silva, Emilson C.D., Charles M. Khan & Xie Zhu. 2007. Crime and Punishment and Corruption: Who Needs “Untouchables”? *Journal of Public Economic Theor*

Silverman, Eli B. 1998. The Price of Controlling Corruption. *Public Administration Review*.

Simpser, Alberto. 2008. Cheating Big: On the Logic of Electoral Corruption in Developing Countries.

Simpser, Alberto. 2012. does Electoral Manipulation Discourage Voter Turnout? Evidence from Mexico. *Journal of Politics*

Simpser, Alberto. 2013. The Intergenerational Persistence of Attitudes Toward Corruption. Working paper.

Simpson, D. 2014. The Art of the Watchdog: Fighting Fraud, Waste, Abuse, and Corruption in Government. *Political Science Quarterly*

Simpson, Matthew. 2014. Terrorism and Corruption: Alternatives for Goal Attainment within Political Opportunity Structures. *International Journal of Sociology*

Singer, Sam. 2009. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act in the Private Equity Era: Extracting a Hidden Element. *Emory International Law Review*

Singh, D. 2015. explaining Varieties of Corruption in the Afghan Justice Sector. *Journal of Intervention & Statebuilding*

Singh, G., R. Pathak, R. Naz & R. Belwal. 2010. E-Governance for Improved Public Sector Service Delivery in India, Ethiopia and Fiji. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*

Singh, Gurharpal. 1997. Understanding Political Corruption in Contemporary Indian Politics. *Political Studies*.

Sinlapapiromsuk, Tidarat. 2013. The Legal Consequences of Investor Corruption in Investor-State Disputes: How Should the System Proceed? *Transnational Dispute Management*

Sivachenko, Irina. 2013. Corporate Victims of “Victimless Crime”: How the FCPA’s Statutory Ambiguity, Coupled with Strict Liability, Hurts Businesses and Discourages Compliance. *Boston College Law Review*

Siverson, Randolph M. & Richard A.I. Johnson. 2014. Politics and Parasites: The Contribution of Corruption to Human Misery. *International Studies Quarterly*

Sjahaar, Bambang Suharnoko, Krisztina Kis-Katos & Guenther G. Schulze. 2014.

Administrative Overspending in Indonesian Districts: The Role of Local Politics. *World Development*

Sjoberg, Fredrik M. 2014. Autocratic Adaptation: The Strategic Use of Transparency and the Persistence of Election Fraud. *Electoral Studies*

Skidmore, Max J. 1996. Promise and Peril in Combating Corruption: Hong Kong’s ICAC. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*

Skladany, Martin. 2009. Buying Our Way Out of Corruption: Performance-Based Incentive Bonuses for Developing Country Politicians and Bureaucrats. *Yale Human Rights & Development Law Journal*

Skoblikov, Petr A. 2006. How Is Corruption Punished in Present Day Russia? A Comparative Legal Analysis of Criminal Legislation and Judiciary Practice. *European Journal of Crime, Criminal Law & Criminal Justice*

Slomczynski, Kazimierz M. & Goldie Shabad. 2012. Perceptions of Political Party Corruption and Voting Behavior in Poland. *Party Politics*

Smaltz, Donald C. 1998. The Independent Counsel: A View from Inside. *Georgetown Law Journal*

Smart, A. & C.L. Hsu. 2007. "Corruption or Social Capital? Tact and the Performance of Guanxi in Market Socialist China", in M. Juijten & G. Anders eds., *Corruption and the Secret of Law: A Legal Anthropological Perspective*. (Burlington, VT: Ashgate)

Smarzynska, B.K. & S.-J. Wei. 2000. Corruption and the Composition of Foreign Direct Investment: Firm Level Evidence. NBER Working Paper No. 7969.

Smilov, D. 2010. Anticorruption Agencies: expressive, Constructivist and Strategic Uses. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Smirnova, Larisa. 2014. Political Reform and the Fight Against Corruption in the PRC: International experience and the Chinese Model. *International Affairs*

Smith, Brian. 2008. Edmund Burke, the Warren Hastings Trial, and the Moral Dimension of Corruption. *Polity*

Smith, Charles F. & Brittany D. Parling. 2012. "American Imperialism": A Practitioner's experience with Extraterritorial Enforcement of the FCPA. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*

Smith, D., H. Stettler & W. Beedles. 1984. An Investigation of the Information Content of Foreign Sensitive Payment Disclosures. *Journal of Accounting & Economics*

Smith, Daniel J. 2014. Corruption Complaints, Inequality and Ethnic Grievances in Post-Biafra Nigeria. *Third World Quarterly*

Smith, Daniel Jordan. 2001. Kinship and Corruption in Contemporary Nigeria. *Ethnos* 66(3): 344-364.

Smith, Daniel Jordan. 2008. A Culture of Corruption: Everyday Deception and Popular Discontent in Nigeria.

Smith, Eric J. 2012. Resolving Ambiguity in the FCPA through Compliance with the OECD Convention on Bribery of Foreign Public Officials. Maryland Journal of International Law

Smith, L.E. 2014. Is Strict Liability the Answer in the Battle against Foreign Corporate Bribery? Brooklyn Law Review

Smith, N. & E. Thomas. 2015. Determinants of Russia's Informal Economy: The Impact of Corruption and Multinational Firms. Journal of East-West Business

Smith, Richard C. 2013. Combating FCPA Charges: Is Resistance Futile? Virginia Journal of International Law

Smith, Russell G. & Penny Jorna. 2012. "Corrupt Misuse of Information and Communications Technologies", in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption ,

Smith, Trevor. 1995. Political Sleaze in Britain: Causes, Concerns, and Cures. Parliamentary Affairs

Smith-Crowe, K. & D. Warren. 2014. The Emotion-Evoked Collective Corruption Model: The Role of Emotion in the Spread of Corruption within Organizations. Organizational Science

Smulovitz, Catalina & Enrique Peeruzzotti. 2000. Societal Accountability in Latin America. Journal of Democracy

Smyth, Russell & Joanne Xiaolei Qian. 2008. Corruption and Left-Wing Beliefs in a Post-Socialist Transition Economy: Evidence from China's Harmonious Society. Economics Letters .

Sneath, D. 2002. Reciprocity and Notions of Corruption in Contemporary Mongolia. Mongolian Studies

Sneath, David. 2006. Transacting and Enacting: Corruption, Obligation, and the Use of Monies in Mongolia. Ethnos

Snider, Thomas R. & Won Kidane. 2007. Combating Corruption Through International Law in Africa: A Comparative Analysis. *Cornell International Law Journal*

Snow Bailard, Catie. 2009. Mobile Phone Diffusion and Corruption in Africa. *Political Communication*.

Snyder, James M., Jr. & David Stromberg. 2010. Press Coverage and Political Accountability. *Journal of Political Economy*

Sohail, M. & S. Cavill. 2008. Accountability to Prevent Corruption in Construction Projects. *Journal of Construction Engineering & Management*

Sohmen, Helmut. 1999. Critical Importance of Controlling Corruption. *International Law*

Somlo, M.J. 2015. Historical Inefficiencies and Future Potential: A Critical Analysis of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977. *International Journal of Economics & Accounting*

Song, Xuguang & Wenhao Cheng. 2012. Perceptions of Corruption in 36 Major Chinese Cities: Based on Survey of 1,642 experts. *Social Indicators Research*

Soper, Daniel S. & Haluk Demirkan. 2012. How ICTs Affect Democracy and Corruption in Emerging Societies. *IEEE IT Professional*

Soper, Daniel S. 2007. ICT Investment Impacts on Future Levels of Democracy, Corruption, and E-Government Acceptance in Emerging Countries. Working paper.

Soreide, Tina & Rory Truex. 2013. Multistakeholder Groups for Better Sector Performance: A Key to Fight Corruption in Natural Resource Governance? *Development Policy Review*

Soreide, Tina, Linda Groning & Rasmus H. Wandall. 2015. An Efficient Anticorruption Sanctions Regime? The Case of the World Bank. (Working paper.)

Soreide, Tina. 2006. Is It Wrong to Rank? A Critical Assessment of Corruption Indices.

CMI Working Paper 2006:1. Chr. Michelsen Institute, Bergen.

Soreide, Tina. 2008. Beaten by Bribery: Why Not Blow the Whistle? *Journal of Institutional & Theoretical Economics*.

Soreide, Tina. 2009. Too Risk Averse to Stay Honest? *Business Corruption, Uncertainty and Attitudes Toward Risk. International Review of Law & Economics*

Soreide, Tina. 2013. "Democracy's Shortcomings in Anti-Corruption", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D. Carrington eds., *Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role?* (Durham: Carolina Academic Press).

Soreide, Tina. 2014. *Drivers of Corruption: A Limited Review*. Working paper.

Sorensen, Juliet. 2012. *Ideals without Illusions: Corruption and the Future of a Democratic North Africa*. *Northwestern University Journal of International Human Rights*

Sosa, Luis A. 2004. *Wages and Other Determinants of Corruption*. *Review of Development Economics*.

Sovacool, B. & N. Andrews. 2015. *Does Transparency Matter? Evaluating the Governance Impacts of the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI) in Azerbaijan and Liberia*. *Resources Policy*

Soyaltin, D. 2015. *Political Corruption in Comparative Perspective: Sources, Status and Prospects*. *Political Studies Review*

Spagnolo, Giancarlo. 2004. *Divide et Imperia: Optimal Leniency Programmes*. *CEPR Discussion Papers 4840*.

Spahn, Elizabeth K. 2012. *Local Law Provisions under the OECD Anti-Bribery Convention*. *Syracuse Journal of International Law & Commerce*

Spahn, Elizabeth K. 2012. *Multijurisdictional Bribery Law Enforcement: The OECD Anti-Bribery Convention*. *Virginia Journal of International Law*

Spahn, Elizabeth. 2009. Discovering Secrets: Act of State Defenses to Bribery Cases. Hofstra Law Review

Spahn, Elizabeth. 2009. International Bribery: The Moral Imperialism Critiques. Minnesota Journal of International La

Spahn, Elizabeth. 2010. Nobody Gets Hurt? Georgetown Journal of International Law

Spalding, Andrew Bradley. 2014. Corruption, Corporations, and the New Human Right. Washington University Law Review

Spalding, Andrew Brady. 2010. Unwitting Sanctions: Understanding Anti-Bribery Legislation as Economic Sanctions Against Emerging Markets. Florida Law Review

Spalding, Andrew Brady. 2011. The Irony of International Business Law: U.S. Progressivism and China's New Laissez-Faire. UCLA Law Review

Spalding, Andrew Brady. 2012. Four Unchartered Corners of Anti-Corruption Law: In Search of Remedies. Wisconsin Law Review

Spalding, Andrew Brady. 2014. Restorative Justice for Multinational Corporations.

Sparrow, Malcolm K. 1996. License to Steal: Why Fraud Plagues America's Health Care System (Westview Press).

Speck, Bruno. 2011. "Auditing Institutions", in Timothy Power & Matthew Taylor eds., Corruption and Democracy in Brazil: The Struggle for Accountability (Indiana: University of Notre Dame Press).

Spector, Bertram I., ed. 2005. Fighting Corruption in Developing Countries: Strategies and Analysis. Bloomfield, CT: Kumarian Press.

Spector, Bertram I., Michael Johnston & Phyllis Dininio. 2005. "Learning Across Cases: Trends in Anticorruption Strategies," in Bertram Spector ed. *Fighting Corruption in Developing Countries: Strategies and Analysis* (Bloomfield, CT: Kumarian Press)

Spence, Matthew J. 2005. *American Prosecutors as Democracy Promoters: Prosecuting Corrupt Foreign Officials in U.S. Courts*. *Yale Law Journal*

Spinesi, L. 2009. Rent-Seeking Bureaucracies, Inequality, and Growth. *Journal of Development Economics*

Spinney, Laura. 2011. *The Underhand Ape: Why We Are All Corrupt*. *New Scientist*

Sporkin, Stanley. 1998. *The Worldwide Banning of Schmiergeld: A Look at the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act on its Twentieth Birthday*. *Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business*

Stanig, Piero. 2015. Regulation of Speech and Media Coverage of Corruption: An Empirical Analysis of the Mexican Press. *American Journal of Political Science*

Stansbury, J. & B. Barry. 2007. Ethics Programs and the Paradox of Control. *Business Ethics Quarterly*

Stansbury, Jason M. & Bart Victor. 2009. Whistle-Blowing among Young Employees: A Life-Course Perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Stapenhurst, F., K. Jacobs & R. Pelizzo. 2014. *Corruption and Legislatures*. *Public Integrity*

Stapenhurst, Rick & Sahr J. Kpundeh eds. 1999. *Curbing Corruption: Toward a Model for Building National Integrity* (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

Stapenhurst, Rick. 2000. *The Media's Rule in Curbing Corruption*. Working Paper, World Bank Institute.

Stark, Andrew. 2000. *Conflict of Interest in American Public Life* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press).

Starr, Sonja. 2007. Extraordinary Crimes at Ordinary Times: International Justice Beyond Crisis Situations. *Northwestern University Law Review*

Stead, D. 2015. What does the Quality of Government Imply for Urban Prosperity? *Habitat International*

Stefes, Christoph H. 2007. Measuring, Conceptualizing, and Fighting Systemic Corruption: Evidence from Post-Soviet Countries. *Perspectives on Global Issues*

Stein, Alex. 2012. Corrupt Intentions: Bribery, Unlawful Gratuity, and Honest-Services Fraud. *Law & Contemporary Problems*

Stein, Elizabeth A. & Marisa Kellam. 2014. Programming Presidential Agendas: Partisan and Media Environments that Lead Presidents to Fight Crime and Corruption. *Political Communication*

Steinitz, Maya & Paul A. Gowder, Jr. 2014. Corruption and the Transnational Litigation Prisoners' Dilemma. Working paper.

Stensota, Helena, Lena Wangnerud & Richard Svensson. 2015. Gender and Corruption: The Mediating Power of Institutional Logics. *Governance*

Stephan, Paul B. 1999. Rationality and Corruption in the Post-Socialist World. *Connecticut Journal of International Law*

Stephan, Paul B. 2013. Regulatory Competition and Anticorruption Law. *Virginia Journal of International Law Digest*

Stephenson, Kevin, Larissa Gray & Ric Power. 2011. Barriers to Asset Recovery: An Analysis of the Key Barriers and Recommendations for Action. Washington, D.C.: Stolen Asset Recovery Initiative.

Stephenson, Matthew C. 2015. "Corruption and Democratic Institutions: A Review and Synthesis", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul Lagunes eds., *Greed, Corruption, and the Modern State*

Stepurko, T., M. Pavlova, I. Gryga & W. Groot. 2010. Empirical Studies on Informal Patient Payments for Health Care Services: A Systematic and Critical Review of Research Methods and Instruments. BMC Health Services Research

Stessens, Guy. 2001. The International Fight Against Corruption. International Review of Penal Law

Stevens, P. 2003. Resource Impact: Curse or Blessing? A Literature Survey. Journal of Energy Literature

Stevenson, Drury D. & Nicholas J. Wagoner. 2011. FCPA Sanctions: To Big to Debar? Fordham Law Review

Steves, Franklin & Alan Rousso. 2003. Anti-Corruption Programmes in Post-Communist Transitions Countries and Changes in the Business Environment, 1999-2002. EBRD

Stevulak, C. & M.P. Brown. 2011. Activating Public Sector Ethics in Transitional Societies. Public Integrity

Stewart, Carter. 2012. The FCPA Is Just as Relevant and Necessary Today as Thirty-Five Years Ago. Ohio State Law Journal

Stinson, P.M., J. Liederbach, S.L. Brewer, H.D. Schmalzried, B.E. Mathna, & K.L.

Long. 2013. A Study of Drug-related Police Corruption Arrests. Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies & Management

Stockemer, Daniel & Aksel Sundstrom. 2013. Corruption and Citizens' Satisfaction with Democracy in Europe: What Is the Empirical Linkage? Comparative Governance & Politics .

Stockemer, Daniel, Bernadette LaMontagne & Lyle Scruggs. 2012. Bribes and Ballots: The Impact of Corruption on Voter Turnout in Democracies. International Political Science Review

Stokes, Susan C. 2005. Perverse Accountability: A Formal Model of Machine Politics with Evidence from Argentina. American Political Science Review

Stolen Assets Recovery Initiative. 2012. Public Office, Private Interests: Accountability through Income and Asset Disclosure. Washington, D.C.: Stolen Asset Recovery Initiative.

Stoltenberg, Clyde D. Globalization, “Asian Values,” and Economic Reform: The Impact of Tradition and Change on Ethical Values in Chinese Business. Cornell International Law Journal

Stone, B. 2015. Accountability and the Design of an Anticorruption Agency in a Parliamentary Democracy. Policy Studies

Strader, J. Kelly. 2011. Skilling Reconsidered: The Legislative-Judicial Dynamic, Honest Services Fraud, and the Ill-Conceived “Clean Up Government Act”. Fordham Urban Law Journal

Stratmann, Thomas. 2003. Do Strict Electoral Campaign Finance Rules Limit Corruption? CESinfoDICE Report

Straub, Stephane. 2005. Corruption and Product Market Competition. ESE Discussion Papers 131 (Edinburgh School of Economics, University of Edinburgh) Straub, Stephane. 2008. Opportunism, Corruption and the Multinational Firm’s Mode of Entry. Journal of International Economics

Straub, Stephane. 2009. Regulatory Intervention, Corruption and Competition. Review of Industrial Organization

Straub, Stephane. 2015. “The Story of Paraguayan Dams: The Long-Term Consequences of Wrongdoing in Procurement”, in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul Lagunes eds., Greed, Corruption, and the Modern State

Strauss, David A. 1994. Corruption, Equality, and Campaign Finance Reform. Columbia Law Review .

Strauss, Emily N. 2013. “Easing Out” the FCPA Facilitation Payment Exception. Boston University Law Review

Stremlau, N., E. Fantini & I. Gagliardone. 2015. Patronage, Politics and Performance: Radio Call-In Programmes and the Myth of Accountability. Third World Quarterly

Stringhini S., S. Thomas, P. Bidwell, T. Mtui & A. Mwisongo. 2009. Understanding Informal Payments in Health Care: Motivation of Health Workers in Tanzania. Human Resources for Health

Stromberg, David. 2001. Mass Media and Public Policy. European Economic Review 4.

Stromberg, David. 2004. Mass Media Competition, Political Competition, and Public Policy. Review of Economic Studies.

Stromberg, David. 2004. Radio's Impact on Public Spending. Quarterly Journal of Economics

Subedi, M.S. 2006. Corruption in Nepal: An Anthropological Inquiry. Journal of Sociology & Anthropology

Sukhtankar, Sandip. 2012. Sweetening the Deal? Political Connections and Sugar Mills in India. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics

Sukhtankar, Sandip. 2015. The Impact of Corruption on Consumer Markets: Evidence from the Allocation of Second-Generation Wireless Spectrum in India. Journal of Law & Economics

Summerfield, Jason Noah. 2009. The Corruption Defense in Investment Disputes: A Discussion of the Imbalance between International Discourse and Arbitral Decisions. Transnational Dispute Management

Sun, X., J. Zhu & Y. Wu. 2014. Organizational Clientalism: An Analysis of Private Entrepreneurs in Chinese Local Legislatures. Journal of East Asian Studies

Sun, Y. 2001. The Politics of Conceptualizing Corruption in Reform China. Crime, Law & Social Change.

Sun, Yan & Michael Johnston. 2009. Does Democracy Check Corruption? Insights from China and India. Comparative Politics

Sun, Yan. 1999. Reform, State, and Corruption: Is Corruption Less Destructive in China than in Russia? Comparative Politics

Sun, Yan. 2004. Corruption and Market in Contemporary China.

Sun, Yan. 2009. “Cadre Recruitment and Corruption: What Goes Wrong?”, in Gong, T. & S.K. Ma eds., Preventing Corruption in Asia: Institutional Design and Policy Capacity (London: Routledge),

Sundell, Anders. 2012. Legalize It: An Argument for Formalizing Petty Corruption when State Capacity is Low. QoG Working Paper Series

Sundell, Anders. 2012. What Is the Best Way to Recruit Public Servants? QoG Working Paper Series

Sundell, Anders. 2013. Nepotism in the Swedish Central Public Administration 1790-1925.

Sundell, Anders. 2014. Are Formal Civil Service Examinations the Most Meritocratic Way to Recruit Civil Servants? Not in All Countries. Public Administration

Sundell, Anders. 2014. Understanding Informal Payments in the Public Sector: Theory and Evidence from Nineteenth Century Sweden. Scandinavian Political Studies

Sundet, Geir. 2007. Public expenditure Tracking Studies: Lessons from Tanzania. U4 Brief No. 14 (Bergen: Chr. Michelsen Institute).

Sundet, Geir. 2008. Following the Money: Do Public expenditure Tracking Surveys Matter? U4 Issue 2008:8. Bergen, Norway: U4 Anti-Corruption Resource Center.

Sundstrom, A. & D. Stockemer. 2015. Voter Turnout in the European Regions: The Impact of Corruption Perceptions. Electoral Studies

Sundstrom, A. 2015. Covenants with Broken Swords: Corruption and Law Enforcement in Governance of the Commons. Global Environmental Change—Human & Policy Dimensions

Sundstrom, Aksel & Lena Wangnerud. 2014. Corruption as an Obstacle to Women’s Political Representation: Evidence from Local Councils in 18 European Countries. Party Politics .

Sundstrom, Aksel. 2012. Corruption and Regulatory Compliance: experimental Findings from South African Small-Scale Fisheries. *Marine Policy*

Sundstrom, Aksel. 2013. Corruption in the Commons: Why Bribery Hampers Enforcement of Environmental Regulations in South African Fisheries. *International Journal of the Commons*

Sung, Hung-En & Doris Chu. 2003. does Participation in the World Economy Reduce Political Corruption? An Empirical Inquiry. *International Journal of Comparative Criminology*

Sung, Hung-En. 2002. A Convergence Approach to the Analysis of Political Corruption: A Cross-National Study. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Sung, Hung-En. 2003. Fairer Sex or Fairer System? Gender and Corruption Revisited. *Social Forces*

Sung, Hung-En. 2004. Democracy and Political Corruption: A Cross-National Comparison. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Sung, Hung-En. 2005. Between Demand and Supply: Bribery in International Trade. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Sung, Hung-En. 2006. From Victims to Saviors? Women, Power, and Corruption. *Current History*

Sung, Hung-En. 2009. Transnational Corruption in Weapon Procurement in East Asia: A Case Analysis. *Sociological Focus*

Sung, Hung-En. 2012. Women in Government, Public Corruption, and Liberal Democracy: A Panel Analysis. *Crime, Law & Social Chang*

Sunstein, Cass R. 1998. Bad Incentives and Bad Institutions. *Georgetown Law Journal*

Suphachalasai, Suphachol. 2005. Bureaucratic Corruption and Mass Media. Working Paper.

Suriya, Komsan & Sumate Pruekruedee. 2014. Bounded Rational Corruptoin Model.

Empirical Econometrics & Quantitative Economics Letters 3(1): 1-32.

Surjadinata, Kenneth U. 1998. Revisiting Corrupt Practices from a Market Perspective. Emory Int'l L.

Suryadarma, Daniel. 2012. How Corruption Diminishes the Effectiveness of Public Spending on Education in Indonesia. Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies 48(1): 85-100.

Sutherland, E. 2014. Corruption and Internet Governance. Info

Sutherland, E. 2015. Bribery and Corruption in Telecommunications—The Kingdom of Morocco..

Svensson, Jakob. 2000. Foreign Aid and Rent-Seeking. Journal of International Economics.

Svensson, Jakob. 2003. Who Must Pay Bribes and How Much? Evidence from a Cross Section of Firms. Quarterly Journal of Economics

Svensson, Jakob. 2005. Eight Questions about Corruption, J. Econ. Perspectives,

Swaleheen, Mushfiq us. 2007. Corruption and Investment Choices: A Panel Data Study. Kyklos

Swaleheen, Mushfiq us. 2008. Corruption and Saving in a Panel of Countries. Journal of Macroeconomics

Swaleheen, Mushfiq. 2011. Economic Growth with Endogenous Corruption: An Empirical Study. Public Choice

Swamy, Anand, Stephen Knack, Young Lee & Omar Azfar. 2001. Gender and Corruption. Journal of Development Economics

Swanson, Todd. 2007. Greasing the Wheels: British Deficiencies in Relation to American Clarity in International Anti-Corruption Law. Georgia Journal of International & Comparative Law

Syfert, Scott D. 1999. Capitalism or Corruption? Corporate Structure, Western Investment and Commercial Crime in the Russian Federation. N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp.

Szanto, Z. 1999. Principals, Agents, and Clients: Review of the Modern Concept of Corruption. Innovation: The European Journal of the Social Sciences

Szarek-Mason, Patrycja. 2014. "OLAF: The Anti-Corruption Policy within the European Union", in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach

Szeftel, Morris. 1998. Misunderstanding African Politics: Corruption and the Governance Agenda. Review of African Political Economy

Szeftel, Morris. 2000. Between Governance & Under-Development: Accumulation & Africa's 'Catastrophic Corruption'. Review of African Political Economy

Szeftel, Morris. 2000. Clientalism, Corruption and Catastrophe. Review of African Political Economy

Tabish, S.Z.S. & Kumar Neeraj Jha. 2012. The Impact of Anti-Corruption Strategies on Corruption Free Performance in Public Construction Projects. Construction Management & Economics

Takyi-Asiedu, Stephen. 1993. Some Socio-Cultural Factors Retarding Entrepreneurial Activity in Sub-Saharan Africa. Journal of Business Venturing

Tamashasky, Eric. 2004. The Lewis Carroll Offense: The Ever-Changing Meaning of "Corruptly" Within the Federal Criminal Law. Journal of Legislation

Tambulasi, R.I.C. 2009. The Public Sector Corruption and Organised Crime Nexus: The Case of the Fertiliser Subsidy Programme in Malawi. African Security Review 1

Tamm, I., C. Lucky & S. Humphreys. 2005. Legal Remedies for the Resource Curse: A Digest of experience in Using Law to Combat Natural Resource Corruption.

Tangri, Roger & Andrew M. Mwenda. 2001. Corruption and Cronyism in Uganda's Privatisation in the 1990s. African Affairs

Tangri, Roger & Andrew M. Mwenda. 2003. Military Corruption and Ugandan Politics Since the Late 1990s. *Review of African Political Economy* 98:

Tangri, Roger & Andrew M. Mwenda. 2006. Politics, Donors and the Ineffectiveness of Anti-Corruption Institutions in Uganda. *Journal of Modern African Studies*

Tannenbaum, Brette M. 2012. Reframing the Right: Using Theories of Intangible Property to Target Honest Services Fraud After Skilling. *Columbia Law*

Tansung, Cagla. 2014. "The Legal Regulations for the Prevention of Corruption of Civil Servants in Turkey and the Council of Ethics for Public Service", in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., *Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach*

Tanzi, Vito & Hamid R. Davoodi. 1997. Corruption, Public Investment and Growth. *International Monetary Fund Working Paper No. 97/139*.

Tanzi, Vito. 1995. Corruption, Governmental Activities, and Markets. *Finance & Development*

Tanzi, Vito. 1998. Corruption Around the World: Causes, Consequences, Scope and Cures. *IMF Staff Papers*

Tanzi, Vito. 2000. *Policies, Institutions and the Dark Side of Economics* (Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar).

Tarullo, Daniel K., Claire M. Dickerson, Joel Paul & Susan Rose-Ackermanl. 2000.

Political Corruption as an International Offense. *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting (American Society of International Law)* 94: 56-59

Tarullo, Daniel. 2004. The Limits of Institutional Design: Implementing the OECD Anti-Bribery Convention. *Virginia Journal of International Law*

Tarun, Robert W. & Peter P. Tomczak. 2010. A Proposal for a United States Department of Justice Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Leniency Policy. *American Criminal Law Review*

Tavares, Jose. 2003. does Foreign Aid Corrupt? Economic Letters

Tavares, Samia Costa. 2007. Do Rapid Political and Trade Liberalizations Increase Corruption? European Journal of Political Economy

Tavits, Margit & Joshua D. Potter. 2012. Electoral Institutions and Corruption in Campaign Finance. .

Tavits, Margit. 2007. Clarity of Responsibility and Corruption. American Journal of Political Science.

Tavits, Margit. 2008. Representation, Corruption, and Subjective Well-Being. Comparative Political Studies

Tavits, Margit. 2010. Why Do People Engage in Corruption? The Case of Estonia. Social Forces

Taylor, Cherie O. 2008. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: A Primer. International Trade Law Journal

Taylor, Jennifer Dawn. 2001. Ambiguities in the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: Unnecessary Costs of Fighting Corruption? Louisiana Law Review

Taylor, Scott D. 2006. Divergent Politico-Legal Responses to Past Presidential Corruption in Zambia and Kenya: Catching the “Big Fish”, or Letting Them Off the Hook? Third World Quarterly

Teachout, Zephyr. 2009. The Anti-Corruption Principle. Cornell Law Review

Teachout, Zephyr. 2014. Corruption in America (Cambridge: Harvard University Press).

Teixeira, A.A.C. & L. Guimaraes. 2015. Corruption and FDI: does the Use of Distinct Proxies for Corruption Matter? Journal of African Business

Teksoz, S. Utku. 2004. Corruption and Foreign Direct Investment: An Empirical Analysis. Working paper.

Tenbrunsel, A.E. & D.M. Messick. 1999. Sanctioning Systems, Decision Frames, and Cooperation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*

Tenbrunsel, Ann E. & David E. Messick. 2004. Ethical Fading: The Role of Self-Deception in Unethical Behavior. *Social Justice Research*

Tenbrunsel, Ann E., K. Smith-Crowe & E.E. Umphress. 2003. Building Houses on Rocks: The Role of the Ethical Infrastructure in Organizations. *Social Justice Research*

Tenbrunsel, A.E. & K. Smith-Crowe. 2008. Ethical Decision Making: Where We've Been and Where We're Going. *Academy of Management Annals*

Teorell, Jan & Bo Rothstein. 2015. Getting to Sweden, Part I: War and Malfeasance, 1720-1850. *Scandinavian Political Studies*

Teorell, Jan. 2007. Corruption as an Institution: Rethinking the Origins of the Grabbing Hand. QoG Working Paper Series 2007: 5 (The Quality of Government Institute, University of Gothenburg)

Testa, Cecilia. 2010. Bicameralism and Corruption. *European Economic Review*

Thachil, Tariq & Emmanuel Teitelbaum. 2015. Ethnic Parties and Public Spending. *Comparative Political Studies*

Thachuk, Kimberly. 2005. Corruption and International Security. *SAIS Review*

Thampi, Gopakumar & Sita Sekhar. 2006. "Citizen Report Cards", in Charles Sampford, Arthur Shacklock, Carmel Connors & Fredrik Galtung eds., *Measuring Corruption* (Aldershot: Ashgate)

Themudo, Nuno S. 2013. Reassessing the Impact of Civil Society: Nonprofit Sector, Press Freedom, and Corruption. *Governance*

Themudo, Nuno S. 2014. Government Size, Nonprofit Sector Strength, and Corruption: A Cross-National Examination. *American Review of Public Administration*

Theobald, Robin. 1990. *Corruption, Development, and Underdevelopment* (Durham: Duke University Press)

Theobald, Robin. 1994. Lancing the Swollen African State: Will It Alleviate the Problem of Corruption? *Journal of Modern African Studies*

Theobald, Robin. 1997. Can Debt Be Used To Combat Political Corruption in Africa? *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Theobald, Robin. 1999. So What Really Is the Problem about Corruption? *Third World Quarterly*

Thomas, Chantal. 1999. does the “Good Governance Policy” of the International Financial Institutions Privilege Markets at the expense of Democracy? *Connecticut Journal of International Law*

Thomas, Cortney C. 2010. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: A Decade of Rapid expansion explained, Defended, and Justified. *Review of Litigation* 29: 439-470.

Thomas, M.A. & Patrick Meagher. 2004. *A Corruption Primer: An Overview of Concepts in the Corruption Literature*. IRIS Discussion Paper No. 04/03.

Thomas, Melissa. 2010. What Do the Worldwide Governance Indicators Measure? *European Journal of Development Research* 2

Thompson, Dennis F. 1985. *Ethics in Congress: From Individual to Institutional Corruption*. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution.

Thompson, Dennis F. 1993. Mediated Corruption: The Case of the Keating Five. *American Political Science Review*

Thompson, Dennis F. 2005. Two Concepts of Corruption: Making Campaigns Safe for Democracy. *George Washington Law Review*

Thompson, L.D. 2014. In-Sourcing Corporate Responsibility for Enforcement of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *American Criminal Law Review*

Thompson, Robin & Ana Xavier. 2003. Unofficial Payments for Acute State Hospital Care in Kazakhstan: A Model of Physician Behavior with Price Discrimination and Vertical Service Differentiation. Royal Economic Society Annual Conference 2003, 224.

Thornton, J. 2008. Corruption and the Composition of Tax Revenue in Middle East and African Economies. South African Journal of Economics

Thornton, M. 2002. Corruption and Foreign Aid.

Thoumi, Francisco E. 1987. Some Implications of the Growth of the Underground Economy in Columbia. J. Interamerican Stud. & World Af.

Tian, P. 2015. A Tale of Two Systems. Nature

Tian, Xiaowen & Vai Io Lo. 2009. Conviction and Punishment: Free Press and Competitive Elections as Deterrents to Corruption. Public Management Review

Tignor, Robert L. 1993. Political Corruption in Nigeria Before Independence. Journal of Modern African Studies

Tillipman, Jessica. 2012. A House of Cards Falls: Why “Too Big to Debar” Is All Slogan and Little Substance. Fordham Law Review Res Getae

Timamy, K.M.H. 2005. African Leaders and Corruption. Review of African Political Economy .

Timmons, J.F. & F. Garifas. 2015. Revealed Corruption, Taxation, and Fiscal Accountability: Evidence from Brazil. World Development.

Timofeyev, Y. 2015. Analysis of Predictors of Organizational Losses Due to Occupational Corruption. International Business Review

Ting, Michael M. 2008. Whistleblowing. American Political Science Review

Tirole, Jean. 1986. Hierarchies and Bureaucracies—On the Role of Collusion in Organizations. *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization* .

Tirole, Jean. 1996. A Theory of Collective Reputations with Applications to the Persistence of Corruption and to Firm Quality Review of *Economic Studies*

Tiwari, Aviral Kumar. 2012. Corruption, Democracy and Bureaucracy. *Theoretical & Applied Economics*

To, Phuc Xuan, Sango Mahanty & Wolfram Dressler. 2014. Social Networks of Corruption in the Vietnamese and Lao Cross-Border Timber Trade. *Anthropological Forum*.

Tomaszewksa, Ewa & Anwar Shah. 2000. Phantom Hospitals, Ghost Schools and Roads to Nowhere: The Impact of Corruption on Public Service Delivery Performance in Developing Countries. (World Bank Working Paper).

Tomini, S. & H. Maarse. 2011. How Do Patient Characteristics Influence Informal Payments for Inpatient and Outpatient Health Care in Albania: Results of Logit and OLS Models Using Albanian LSMS 2005. *BMC Public Health*

Tomsa, D. 2015. Local Politics and Corruption in Indonesia's Outer Islands. *Journal of the Humanities & Social Sciences of Southeast Asia & Oceania* 171(2-3): 196-.

Tonoyan, Vartuhi, M. Perlitz & W.W. Wittmann. 2004. "Corruption and Entrepreneurship: Unwritten Codes of Conduct, Trust and Social Values, East-West Comparison", in *Frontiers of Entrepreneurship* (Babson Park: Babson College)

Tonoyan, Vartuhi, Robert Strohmeier, Moshin Habib & Manfred Perlitz. 2010.

Corruption and Entrepreneurship: How Formal and Informal Institutions Shape Small Firm Behavior in Transition and Mature Market Economies. Entrepreneurship: Theory & Practice .

Tonoyan, Vartuhi. 2003. Corruption and Entrepreneurship: does Trust Matter? *Journal of Transforming Economies & Societies*

Tonoyan, Vartuhi. 2005. "The Dark Side of Trust: Corruption and Entrepreneurship", in H. Hohmann & F. Welter eds., *Trust and Entrepreneurship: A West-East-Perspective* (Cheltenham: Edward Elgar)

Torenvlied, Rene & Carolien M. Klein Haarhuis. 2008. Polarization and Policy Reform: Anti-Corruption Policymaking in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Peace Research*

Torgler, Benno & Bin Dong. 2009. Corruption and Political Interest: Empirical Evidence at the Micro Level. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Economics*

Torgler, Benno & Marco Piatti. 2013. Extraordinary Wealth, Globalization, and Corruption. *Review of Income & Wealth*.

Torgler, Benno & Neven T. Valev. 2006. Corruption and Age. *Journal of Bioeconomics*

Torgler, Benno & Neven T. Valev. 2007. Public Attitudes toward Corruption and Tax Evasion: Investigating the Role of Gender Over Time. Working paper.

Torgler, Benno & Neven T. Valev. 2010. Gender and Public Attitudes toward Corruption and Tax Evasion. *Contemporary Economic Policy*

Torgler, Benno. 2004. Tax Morale, Trust and Corruption: Empirical Evidence from Transition Countries. Working paper.

Tornell, A. & P. Lane. 1999. Voracity and Growth. *American Economic Review*

Tornell, Aaron & Philip Lane. 1999. The Voracity Effect. *American Economic Review*

Tornell, Aaron & Philip R. Lane. 1998. Are Windfalls a Curse? A Non-Representative Agent Model of the Current Account. *Journal of International Economics* 44: 83-112.

Torres-Fowler, R. Zachary & Kenneth Anderson. 2011. The Bribery Act's New Approach to Corporate Hospitality. *Virginia Journal of International Law Digest*

Torres-Fowler, R. Zachary. 2012. Undermining ICSID: How the Global Antibribery Regime Impairs Investor-State Arbitration. *Virginia Journal of International Law*

Torrez, Jimmy. 2002. The Effect of Openness on Corruption. *Journal of International Trade & Economic Development*

Torsello, Davide. 2010. Corruption and the Economic Crisis: Empirical Indications from Eastern Europe. *Slovak Foreign Policy Affairs*

Torsello, Davide. 2011. The Ethnographic Study of Corruption: Research Themes in Political Anthropology. Working Paper 2011:2, Quality of Government Institute, University of Gothenburg.

Torsello, Davide. 2012. Environmental Activism, Corruption and Local Responses to the EU Enlargement: Case Studies from Eastern and Western Europe. *Urbanites* 1(1): 54-59.

Torsello, Davide. 2015. "The Ethnographic Study of Corruption: Methodology and Research Focuses", in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge)

Torvik, Ragnar 2002. Natural Resources, Rent Seeking and Welfare. *Journal of Development Economics*

Tosun, M.U., M.O. Yurdakul & Varol Iyidogan. 2014. The Relationship between Corruption and Foreign Direct Investment Inflows in Turkey: An Empirical Examination. *Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences*

Townsend, Janet G. & Alan R. Townsend. 2004. Accountability, Motivation and Practice: NGOs North and South. *Social & Cultural Geography*

Toye, John & Mick Moore. 1998. Taxation, Corruption and Reform. *European Journal of Development Research*

Tran, Anh. 2011. Which Regulations Reduce Corruption? Evidence from the Internal Records of a Bribe-Paying Firm.

Tran, Ngoc Anh. 2010. "Corruption, Political Systems and Human Development," in A.K. Rajivan & R. Gampat eds., *Perspectives on Corruption and Human Development* (McMillan)

Trautman, Lawrence J. & Kara Altenbaumer-Price. 2011. *The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: Minefield for Directors*. *Virginia Law & Business Review*

Treisman, Daniel. 2000. *The Causes of Corruption: A Cross National Study*. *Journal of Public Economics*

Treisman, Daniel. 2006. *Fiscal Decentralization, Governance, and Economic Performance: A Reconsideration*. *Economics & Politic*

Treisman, Daniel. 2007. *What Have We Learned About Causes of Corruption from Ten Years of Cross-National Empirical Research?* *Annual Review of Political Science*

Treisman, Daniel. 2015. "What does Cross-National Empirical Research Reveal About the Causes of Corruption?" , in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge)

Trevino, L.K. & G.R. Weaver. 2001. *Organizational Justice and Ethics Program Follow Through: Influences on Employees' Helpful and Harmful Behavior*. *Business Ethics Quarterly*

Trevino, L.K. & G.R. Weaver. 2003. *Managing Ethics in Business Organizations* (Stanford, CA: Stanford Business Books).

Trevino, L.K. & S.A. Youngblood. 1990. *Bad Apples in Bad Barrels: A Causal Analysis of Ethical Decision-Making Behavior*. *Journal of Applied Psychology*

Trevino, Linda K., G.R. Weaver, D. Gibson & B. Toffler. 1999. *Managing Ethics and Legal Compliance: What Works and What Hurts*. *California Management Review*

Trevino, Linda K., Gary R. Weaver & Scott J. Reynolds. 2006. *Behavior Ethics in Organizations: A Review*. *Journal of Management*

Trivunovic, M., J. Johnson & N. Taxell. 2013. The Role of Civil Society in the UNCAC Review Process: Moving Beyond Compliance? U4 Issue 2013:4 (Bergen: CMI).

Tromme, M. & M.A.L. Otaola. 2014. Enrique Pena Nieto's National Anti-Corruption Commission and the Challenges of Waging War Against Corruption in Mexico. *Mexican Studies*

Trongmateerut, P. & J.T. Sweeney. 2013. The Influence of Subjective Norms on Whistle-Blowing: A Cross-Cultural Investigation. *Journal of Business Ethics* 112(3): 437-451.

Tronnes, Robert D. 2000. Ensuring Uniformity in the Implementation of the 1997 OECD Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials in International Business Transactions. *George Washington International Law Review*

Trost, Christine & Alison L. Gash. 2008. *Conflict of Interest and Public Life: Cross-National Perspectives*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Truex, Rory & Tina Soreide. 2011. "Why Multi-Stakeholder Groups Succeed and Fail", in *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption*, Vol. II. (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Truex, Rory. 2011. *Corruption, Attitudes, and Education: Survey Evidence from Nepal*. World Development

Trzcinski, Leah M. 2013. The Impact of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act on Emerging Markets: Company Decision-Making in a Regulated World. *New York University Journal of International Law & Politics*

Tsalikis, John & Osita Nwachukwu. 1991. A Comparison of Nigerian to American Views of Bribery and Extortion in International Commerce. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Tudoroiu, Theodor. 2014. *Democracy and State Capture in Moldova*. Democratization.

Tullock, Gordon. 1996. *Corruption Theory and Practice*. Contemporary Economic Policy

Tummala, Krishna K. 2002. *Corruption in India: Control Measures and Consequences*. Asian Journal of Political Science.

Turk, Matthew C. 2013. A Political Economy Approach to Reforming the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business*

Turner, Wayne. 2009. The False Claims Act: How Vigilantes Find Justice Fighting Government Fraud and Corruption. *University of the District of Columbia Law Review*

Tusalem, R.F. 2015. State Regulation of Religion and the Quality of Governance. *Politics & Policy*

Tverdova, Yuliya V. 2011. See No Evil: Heterogeneity in Public Perception of Corruption. *Canadian Journal of Political Science*

Tyburski, M.D. 2014. Curse or Cure? Migrant Remittances and Corruption. *Journal of Politics*

Tyler, Andrew. 2011. Enforcing Enforcement: Is the OECD Anti-Bribery Convention's Peer Review Effective? *George Washington International Law Review*

Tyler, Tom R. & Steven L. Blader. 2005. Can Businesses Effectively Regulate Employee Conduct? The Antecedents of Rule Following in Work Settings. *Academy of Management Journal*

Uberti, L.J. 2014. Is Separation of Powers a Remedy for the Resource Curse? Firm Licensing, Corruption and Mining Development in Post-War Kosovo. *New Political Economy*

Uche, R.D. 2014. Students' Perception of Corrupt Practices Among Nigerian Universities Lecturers. *American Journal of Humanities & Social Sciences*

Uchendu, F.N. & T.O. Abolarin. 2015. Corrupt Practices Negatively Influenced Food Security and Life expectancy in Developing Countries. *Pan African Medical Journal*

Udin, M. Bashir. 2014. Human Trafficking in South Asia: Issues of Corruption and Human Security. *International Journal of Social Work & Human Services*

Udombana, Nsongurua J. 2003. Fighting Corruption Seriously? Africa's Anti-Corruption Convention. *Sing. J. Int'l & Comp.*

Ugol'nitskii, G.A. & A.B. Usov. 2014. Dynamic Models of Struggle against Corruption in Hierarchical Management Systems of exploitation of Biological Resources. *Journal of Computer & Systems Sciences International*

Ugur, M. & N. Dasgupta. 2011. Evidence on the Economic Growth Impacts of Corruption in Low-Income Countries and Beyond: A Systematic Review. University of London, EPPI-Centre.

Ugur, Mehmet. 2014. Corruption's Direct Effects on Per-Capita Income Growth: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Economic Surveys* .

Uhlenbruck, K., P. Rodriguez, J. Doh & L. Eden. 2006. The Impact of Corruption on Entry Strategy: Evidence from Telecommunication Projects in Emerging Economies. *Organization Science*

Ujhelyi, Gergely. 2014. Civil Service Rules and Policy Choices: Evidence from U.S. State Governments. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*

Ukase, Patrick & Bem Audu. 2015. The Role of Civil Society in the Fight Against Corruption in Nigeria's Fourth Republic: Problems, Prospects and the Way Forward. *European Scientific Journal*

Ul Haque, Nadeem & Ratna Sahay. 1996. Corruption and the Rate of Temptation: Do Government Wage Cuts Close Budget Deficits? *Costs of Corruption*. IMF Staff Papers

Umek, Lan. 2014. An Application of Subgroup Discovery Algorithm on the Case of Decentralization and Quality of Governance in EU. *International Public Administration Review*

Underkuffler, Laura. 2013. *Captured by Evil: The Idea of Corruption in Law*. (New Haven: Yale University Press).

UNDP Bratislava Regional Centre. 2011. Study on the Role of Social Media for Enhancing Public Transparency and Accountability in Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States: Emerging Models, Opportunities and Challenges.

Ungpakorn, J.G. 2002. From Tragedy to Comedy: Political Reform in Thailand. *Journal of Contemporary Asia* 32(2): 191-205.

United Nations Development Program. 2014. Anticorruption Strategies: Understanding What Works, What doesn't and Why, Lessons Learned from the Asia Pacific Region. (Bangkok: UNDP).

United Nations Development Programme. 2005. Institutional Arrangements to Combat Corruption: A Comparative Study.

Uribe, L.J. 2014. The Dark Side of Social Capital Re-Examined from a Policy Analysis Perspective: Networks of Trust and Corruption. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis*

Urofsky, Philip, Hee Won (Marina) Moon & Jennifer Rimm. 2012. How Should We Measure the Effectiveness of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act? Don't Break What Isn't Broken—The Fallacies of Reform. *Ohio State Law Journal*

Urofsky, Philip. 2009. "Recent Trends and Patterns in FCPA Enforcement," in *White Collar Crime 2009: Prosecutors and Regulators Speak*

Uslaner, Eric M. & Bo Rothstein. 2014. The Historical Roots of Corruption: State Building, Economic Inequality, and Mass Education. Working paper.

Uslaner, Eric M. 2004. "Trust and Corruption," in Johan Graff Lamsdorf, Markus Taube

& Matthias Schramm, eds. *The New Institutional Economics of Corruption* (London: Routledge)

Uslaner, Eric M. 2007. "Inequality and Corruption," in George Mavrotas & Anthony Shorrocks, eds. *Advancing Development: Core Themes in Global Economics* (London: Palgrave).

Uslaner, Eric M. 2008. *Corruption, Inequality, and the Rule of Law: The Bulging Pocket Makes the Easy Life*. Cambridge University Press.

Uslaner, Eric M. 2009. "Corruption, Inequality, and Trust," in Gert Tinggaard Svendsen

& Gunnar Lind Haase Svendsen, eds. *Handbook on Social Capital* (London: Edward Elgar).

Uslaner, Eric M. 2010. "Tax Evasion, Corruption, and the Social Contract in Transition,"

in James Alm, Jorge Martinez-Vazquez & Benno Torgler, eds. *Developing Alternative Frameworks for explaining Tax Compliance* (London: Routledge), pp. 206-225.

Uslaner, Eric M. 2011. *Corruption and Inequality*. CESifo DICE Report

Uslaner, Eric M. 2012. "Counting the Cost of Corruption," in Paul Heywood, ed.

International Handbook of Political Corruption (London: Routledge).

Uslaner, Eric M. 2015. "The Consequences of Corruption" , in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge), pp. 199-211.

Uzoma Ihugba, B. 2014. A Critical Analysis of the Auditing and Reporting Functions of Nigeria Extractive Industry Transparency Initiative (NEITI) Act 2007. *Journal of International Trade Law & Policy* 13(3): 232-.

Vachudova, M. 2009. *Corruption and Compliance in the EU's Post-Communist Members and Candidates*. *Journal of Common Market Studies*

Vadlamannati, Krishna Chaitanya. 2015. *Fighting Corruption or Elections? The Politics of Anti-Corruption Policies in India*. *Journal of Comparative Economics* (forthcoming).

Vagliasindi, Maria. 2011. "Public versus Private Governance and Performance: Evidence from Public Utility Service Provision", in *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption*, Vol. II. (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Vaidya, Samarth. 2005. *Corruption in the Media's Gaze*. *European Journal of Political Economy*

van Aaken, Anne, Eli Salzberger & Stefan Voigt. 2004. *The Prosecution of Public Figures and the Separation of Powers. Confusion Within the Executive Branch--A Conceptual Framework*. *Constitutional Political Economy*

van Aaken, Anne, Lars Feld & Stefan Voigt. 2010. Do Independent Prosecutors Deter Political Corruption? An Empirical Evaluation Across Seventy-Eight Countries, *American Law & Economics Review*

Van Alstine, Michael P. 2012. Treaty Double Jeopardy: The OECD Anti-Bribery Convention and the FCPA. *Ohio State Law Journal*

van Biezen, Ingrid & Petr Kopecky. 2007. The State and the Parties: Public Funding, Public Regulation and Rent-Seeking in Contemporary Democracies. *Party Politics*

van de Mortel, Elma & Peter Cornelisse. 1994. The Dynamics of Corruption and Black Markets: An Application of Catastrophe Theory. *Public Finance* 49(Supp)

van der does de Willebois, Emile & Jean-Pierre Brun. 2013. Using Civil Remedies in Corruption and Asset Recovery Cases. *Case Western Journal of International Law*

van der does de Willebois, Emile et al. 2011. *The Puppet Masters: How the Corrupt Use Legal Structures to Hide Stolen Assets and What to Do About It*. Washington, D.C.: Stolen Asset Recovery Initiative.

van der Ploeg, F. & S. Poelhekke. 2010. The Pungent Smell of “Red Herrings”: Subsoil Assets, Rents, Volatility and the Resource Curse. *Journal of Environmental Economics & Management*

Van der Ploeg, F. 2011. Natural Resources: Curse or Blessing? *Journal of Economic Literature*

van Harn, C. & S.I. Lindberg. 2015. From Sticks to Carrots: Electoral Manipulation in Africa, 1986-2012. *Government & Opposition*

Van Klinken, Gerry & Edward Aspinall. 2011. “Building Relations: Corruption, Competition and Cooperation in the Construction Industry”, in Edward Aspinall & Gerry Ven Klinken eds., *The State and Illegality in Indonesia* (Leiden: KITLV Press).

Van Rijckeghem, Caroline & Beatrice Weder. 2001. “Bureaucratic Corruption and the Rate of Temptation: Do Wages in the Civil Service Affect Corruption, and By How Much?” *J. Development Econ.*

van Rooij, Benjamin. 2005. China's War on Graft: Politico-Legal Campaigns Against Corruption in China and Their Similarities to the Legal Reactions to Crisis in the U.S. *Pacific Rim Law & Policy Journal*

van Vuuren, Hennie. 2014. South Africa: Democracy, Corruption and Conflict Management. Democracy Works Conference Paper.

Vanhanen, T. 1999. Domestic Ethnic Conflict and Ethnic Nepotism. *Journal of Peace Research*

Vanucci, A. 2009. The Controversial Legacy of 'Mani Pulite': A Critical Analysis of Italian Corruption and Anti-Corruption Policies. *Bulletin of Italian Politics*

Varese, Federico. 1997. The Transition to the Market and Corruption in Post-Socialist Russia. *Political Studies*

Varese, Federico. 2015. "Underground Banking and Corruption", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul Lagunes eds., *Greed, Corruption, and the Modern State* ,

Varoufakis, Yanis. 2006. The Bonds that Impede: A Model of the Joint Evolution of Corruption and Apathy. *Indian Economic Journal*

Varvarigos, D. & P. Arsenis. 2015. Corruption, Fertility, and Human Capital. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*

Vaughn, Robert G. 1990. Ethics in Government and the Vision of Public Service. *George Washington Law Review*

Vega, Matt A. 2009. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the Culture of Bribery: expanding the Scope of Private Whistleblower Suits to Overseas Employees. *Harvard Journal on Legislation*

Vega, Matt A. 2010. Balancing Judicial Cognizance and Caution: Whether Transnational Corporations Are Liable for Foreign Bribery Under the Alien Tort Statute. *Michigan Journal of International Law*

Venard, Bertrand. 2009. Corruption in Emerging Countries: A Matter of Isomorphism. *M@n@gement*

Venard, Bertrand. 2009. Organizational Isomorphism and Corruption: An Empirical Research in Russia. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Venard, Bertrand. 2013. Institutions, Corruption and Sustainable Development. *Economics Bulletin*.

Venard, Bertrand & Mohamed Hanafi. 2008. Organizational Isomorphism and Corruption in Financial Institutions: Empirical Research in Emerging Countries. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Ventelou, Bruno. 2002. Corruption in a Model of Growth: Political Reputation, Competition and Shocks. *Public Choice*

Verardi, Vincenzo. 2004. Electoral Systems and Corruption. *Revista Latinoamericana de Desarrollo Economico*

Verbrugge, Randal J. 2006. Nonergodic Corruption Dynamics (or, Why Do Some Regions within a Country Become More Corrupt Than Others?). *Journal of Public Economic Theory*

Verma, Arvind. 1999. Cultural Roots of Police Corruption in India. *Policing*.

Verma, P. & S. Sengupta. 2015. Bribe and Punishment: An Evolutionary Game-Theoretic Analysis of Bribery.

Veron, Rene, Glyn Williams, Stuart Corbridge & Manoj Srivastava. 2006. Decentralized Corruption or Corrupt Decentralization? Community Monitoring of Poverty-Alleviation Schemes in Eastern India. *World Development*

Verver, J. 2015. Lessons from the FIFA Corruption Scandal. *Risk Management*

Vian, Taryn & L.J. Burak. 2006. Beliefs About Informal Payments in Albania. *Health Policy & Planning* .

Vian, Taryn, William D. Savedoff & Harald Mathisen. 2010. *Anticorruption in the Health Sector: Strategies for Transparency and Accountability* (Sterling, VA: Kumarian Press).

Vian, Taryn. 2009. Review of Corruption in the Health Sector: Theory, Methods and Interventions. Health Policy & Planning

Vicente, Pedro C. & Leonard Wantchekon. 2009. Clientalism and Vote Buying: Lessons from Field experiments in African Elections. Oxford Review of Economic Policy

Vicente, Pedro C. 2010. does Oil Corrupt? Evidence from a Natural experiment in West Africa. Journal of Development Economics

Vicente, Pedro C. 2011. “Oil, Corruption, and Vote-Buying: A Review of the Case of Sao Tome and Principe”, in International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption, Vol. II. (Edward Elgar Publishing).

Vicente, Pedro C. 2014. Is Vote Buying Effective? Evidence from a Field experiment in West Africa. Economc Journal

Vigneswaran, D.V. 2011. Incident Reporting: A Technique for Studying Police Corruption. Policing & Society

Villareal, Andres. 2002. Political Corruption and Violence in Mexico: Hierarchical Social Control in Local Patronage Structures. American Sociological Review

Vincke, Francois. 1997. How Effective Is the Business Community in Combating Corruption? American Society of International Law Proceedings

Vincke, Francois. 2013. Recent Anti-Corruption Initiatives and Their Impact on Arbitration. ICC International Court of Arbitration Bulletin

Vinod, Hrishikesh D. 1999. Statistical Analysis of Corruption Data and Using the Internet to Reduce Corruption. Journal of Asian Economics

Vinod, Hrishikesh D. 2003. Open Economy and Financial Burden of Corruption: Theory and Application to Asia. Journal of Asian Economics

Vinogradov, A. 2014. Government, Business, and Corruption in China. Far Eastern Affair

Vitell, Scott J., Saviour L. Nwachukwu & James H. Barnes. 1993 The Effects of Culture on Ethical Decision-Making: An Application of Hofstede's Typology. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Vithiatharan, V. & E.T. Gomez. 2014. Politics, Economic Crises and Corporate Governance Reforms: Regulatory Capture in Malaysia. *Journal of Contemporary Asia*

Vivyan, Nick, Markus Wagner & Jessica Tarlov. 2012. Representative Misconduct, Voter Perceptions and Accountability: Evidence from the 2009 House of Commons expenses Scandal. *Electoral Studies*

Vlasic, Mark V. & Gregory Cooper. 2011. Beyond the Duvalier Legacy: What New

“Arab Spring” Governments Can Learn from Haiti and the Benefits of Stolen Asset Recovery. *Northwestern Journal of International Human Rights*

Vlasic, Mark V. & Gregory Cooper. 2011. Repatriating Justice: New Trends in Stolen Asset Recovery. *Georgetown Journal of International Affairs*

Vlasic, Mark V. & Jenae N. Noell. 2010. Fighting Corruption to Improve Global Security: An Analysis of International Asset Recovery Systems. *Yale Journal of International Affairs*. .

Vlasic, Mark V. & Peter Atlee. 2014. Myanmar and the Dodd-Frank Whistleblower

“Bounty”: The U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and Curbing Grand Corruption through Innovative Action. *American University International Law Review*

Vogl, Frank. 1998. The Supply Side of Global Bribery. *Finance & Development*

Vogl, Frank. 2013. Waging War on Corruption: Inside the Movement Fighting the Abuse of Power.

Vohs, Kathleen D. & Jonathan W. Schooler. 2008. The Value of Believing in Free Will: Encouraging a Belief in Determinism Increases Cheating. *Psychological Science* 19: 49-54.

Voigt, Lydia & William E. Thornton. 2015. Disaster-Related Human Rights Violations and Corruption: A 10-Year Review of Post-Hurricane Katrina New Orleans. *American Behavioral Scientist*

Voigt, S. & J. Gutmann. 2015. On the Wrong Side of the Law – Causes and Consequences of a Corrupt Judiciary. *International Review of Law & Economics* (forthcoming).

Voigt, Stefan & Lorenz Blume. 2012. The Economic Effects of Federalism and Decentralization: A Cross-Country Assessment. *Public Choice*

Volrasarn, Thananun & Napat Harnpornchai. 2014. The Estimation of Bounded Rational Corruption Model. *International Journal of Intelligent Technologies & Applied Statistics*

Von Alemann, Ulrich. 2004. The Unknown Depths of Political Theory: The Case for a Multidimensional Concept of Corruption. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Von Luebke, C. 2010. The Politics of Reform: Political Scandals, Elite Resistance, and Presidential Leadership in Indonesia. *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs*,

Von Maravic, Patrick. 2007. Decentralized Corruption in Germany. *Public Administration Quarterly*

Von Maravic, Patrick. 2007. Public Management Reform and Corruption –

Conceptualizing the Unintended Consequences. *Administration & Public Management Review*

Von Muhlenbrock, Gisela. 1997. Discretion and Corruption: The Chilean Judiciary. *Crime, Law & Social Change* .

Von Rosenvinge, D. Alison. 2009. Global Anti-Corruption Regimes: Why Law Schools May Want to Take a Multi-Jurisdictional Approach. *German Law Journal*

von Soest, Christian. 2009. Stagnation of a “Miracle”: Botswana’s Governance Record Revisited. Working paper.

Vorster, J.M. 2015. The Possible Contribution of Civil Society in the Moral Edification of South African Society: The Example of the “United Democratic Front” and the “Treatment Action Campaign” (1983-2014). *Hervormde Teologiese Studies*

Vostroknutova, Ekaterina. 2003. Shadow Economy, Rent-Seeking Activities and the Perils of Reinforcement of the Rule of Law.

Voyer, P.A. & P.W. Beamish. 2004. The Effect of Corruption on Japanese Foreign Direct Investment. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Wabwile, N. 2015. Transnational Corruption, Violations of Human Rights and States’ Extraterritorial Responsibility: A Case for International Action Strategies. *African Journal of Legal Studies*

Wade, Robert. 1982. The System of Administrative and Political Corruption: Canal Irrigation in South India. *Journal of Development Studies*

Wade, Robert. 1984. Irrigation Reform in Conditions of Popular Anarchy: An Indian Case. *Journal of Development Economics*.

Wade, Robert. 1985. The Market for Public Office: Why the Indian State Is Not Better at Development. *World Development*

Wadhwa, W.A. 2014. Education, Rent Seeking and the Curse of Natural Resources. *Economics & Politics*

Wagner, Benjamin & Leslie Gielow Jacobs. 2009. Retooling Law Enforcement to Investigate and Prosecute Entrenched Corruption: Key Criminal Procedure Reforms for 289

Indonesia and Other Nations. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Law*

Wahyuni, Z., Y. Adriani & Z. Nihaya. 2015. The Relationship Between Religious Orientation, Moral Integrity, Personality, Organizational Climate and Anti Corruption Intentions in Indonesia. *International Journal of Social Science & Humanity*

Wallace, Claire & Rossalina Latcheva. 2006. Economic Transformation Outside the Law: Corruption, Trust in Public Institutions and the Informal Economy in Transition Countries of Central and Eastern Europe. *Europe-Asia Studie*

Wallace, J. Clifford. 1998. Resolving Judicial Corruption While Preserving Judicial Independence: Comparative Perspectives. *California Western International Law Journal*

Wallace, J.J., P.V. Fishback & S. Kantor. 2006. "Politics, Relief, and Reform: Roosevelt's Efforts to Control Corruption and Political Manipulation during the New Deal", in E.L. Glaeser & C. Goldin eds., *Corruption and Reform: Lessons from America's Economic History* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press)

Wallace-Bruce, Nii Lante. 2000. Corruption and Competitiveness in Global Business— The Dawn of a New Era. *Melbourne University Law Review*

Waller, Christopher J., Thierry Verdier & Roy Gardner. 2002. Corruption: Top Down or Bottom Up? *Economic Inquiry*

Wallis, John Joseph. 2005. Constitutions, Corporations, and Corruption: American States and Constitutional Change, 1842 to 1852. *Journal of Economic History*

Walton, Grant & S. Dix. 2013. Papua New Guinean Understandings of Corruption: Insights from a Nine Province Survey. Working paper.

Walton, Grant W. 2013. An Argument for Reframing Debates about Corruption: Insights from Papua New Guinea. *Asia Pacific Viewpoint*

Walton, Grant. 2009. "Rifling Through Corruption's Baggage: Understanding Corruption Through Discourse Analysis," in M. Dobashi, J. Hooker & P. Madsen eds., *A Survey of International Corporate Responsibility, Volume 4* (Charlottesville: Philosophy Documentation Centre)

Walton, Grant. 2009. Rural People's Perceptions of Corruption in Papua New Guinea.

Walton, Grant. 2010. A Comparison of Two Papua New Guinean Anti-Corruption Agencies and their Policies.

Walton, Grant. 2011. "The Rise of Economic Discourse: Corruption and Anti-Corruption in the Development Industry," in S.B. Dahiya, K. Chakravarty, N. Rathee & S.V. Lamba eds., *Governance Issues and Corruption* (Rohtak, India: Intellectual Foundation)

Walton, Grant. 2013. *Is All Corruption Dysfunctional? Perceptions of Corruption and its Consequences in Papua New Guinea*. Public Administration & Development

Walton, Grant. 2015. *Defining Corruption where the State is Weak: The Case of Papua New Guinea*. Journal of Development Studies

Wamey, Julius M. 1999. *Can Corruption Be Measured?* Bank's World

Wang, Chin-Shou & Charles Kurzman. 2007. "The Logistics: How to Buy Votes", in Frederic Charles Schaffer ed., *Elections for Sale: The Causes and Consequences of Vote Buying* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner)

Wang, Hongying & James N. Rosenau. 2001. *Transparency International and Corruption as an Issue of Global Governance*. Global Governance.

Wang, K. & S. Tu. 2014. *The Influence of National Leader Change on Corruption and Sovereign Rating*. Acta Oeconomica

Wang, L. & J.K. Murnighan. 2014. *Money, Emotions, and Ethics Across Individuals and Countries*. Journal of Business Ethics

Wang, P. 2014. *Extra-Legal Protection in China*. British Journal of Criminology

Wang, Shing-Yi. 2013. *Marriage Networks, Nepotism, and Labor Market Outcomes in China*. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics

Wang, Tangjia. 2014. *Is Confucianism a Source of Corruption in Chinese Society? A New Round of Debate in Mainland China*. Dao

Wang, V. & L. Rakner. 2005. The Accountability Function of Supreme Audit Institutions in Malawi, Uganda and Tanzania. U4 Report 2005:4 (Bergen: CMI).

Wang, Yuhua. 2014. Institutions and Bribery in an Authoritarian State. Studies in Comparative International Development

Wangnerud, Lena. 2010. Variation in Corruption between Mexican States: Elaborating the Gender Perspective.

Wangnerud, Lena. 2012. “Why Women Are Less Corrupt Than Men”, in Soren Holmberg & Bo Rothstein eds., Good Government: The Relevance of Political Science .

Wangnerud, Lena. 2015. “Gender and Corruption” , in Paul M. Heywood ed., The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption (New York: Routledge)

Wank, David L. “Bureaucratic Patronage and Private Business: Changing Networks of Power in Urban China”, in Andrew G. Walder ed., The Waning of the Communist State (Berkeley: University of California Press)

Wank, David L. 2002. Evolving Business-State Clientalism in China: The Institutional Organization of a Smuggling Operation. Working paper.

Wanlin, Lori Ann. The Gap Between Promise and Practice in the Global Fight Against Corruption. Asper Review of International Business & Trade Law.

Wantchekon, Leonard. 2003. Clientalism and Voting Behavior: Evidence from a Field experiment in Benin. World Politics

Warburton, John. 2001. “Corruption as a Social Process: From Dyads to Networks,” in Corruption and Anti-Corruption (Peter Lamour & Nick Wolanin, eds.)

Ward, H. & H. Dorussen. 2015. Public Information and Performance: The Role of Spatial Dependence in the Worldwide Governance Indicators Among African Countries. World Development.

Ware, Glenn T., Shaun Moss, J. Edgardo Campos & Gregory P. Noone, “Corruption in Procurement”, in Adam Graycar & Russell G. Smith eds., Handbook of Global Research and Practice in Corruption

Warin, F. Joseph & Andrew S. Boutros. 2007. Deferred Prosecution Agreements: A View from the Trenches and a Proposal for Reform. Virginia Law Review In Brief

Warin, F. Joseph, Charles Falconer & Michael S. Diamant. 2010. The British Are Coming!: Britain Changes Its Law on Foreign Bribery and Joins the International Fight Against Corruption. Texas International Law Journal

Warin, F. Joseph, Michael S. Diamant & Jill M. Pfenning. 2010. FCPA Compliance in China and the Gifts and Hospitality Challenge. Virginia Law & Business Review

Warin, F. Joseph, Michael S. Diamant & Veronica S. Root. 2011. Somebody’s Watching Me: FCPA Monitorships and How They Can Work Better. University of Pennsylvania Journal of Business Law

Warner, Carolyn M. 2015. “Sources of Corruption in the European Union” , in Paul M.

Heywood ed., The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption (New York: Routledge)

Warner, Carolyn. 2007. “Globalisation and Corruption”, in G. Ritzer ed., The Blackwell Companion to Globalisation. London: Blackwell.

Warren, M. 2004. “Social Capital and Corruption”, in D. Castiglione ed., Social Capital: Interdisciplinary Perspectives. (Oxford: Oxford University Press)

Warren, Mark E. 2004. What does Corruption Mean in a Democracy? American Journal of Political Science

Warren, Mark E. 2006. Democracy and Deceit: Regulating Appearances of Corruption. American Journal of Political Science

Warren, Mark E. 2015. “The Meaning of Corruption in Democracies” , in Paul M.

Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge).

Watts, P. 2014. *Corrupt Company Controllers, Their Companies and Their Companies' Creditors: Dealing with Pleas of Ex Turpi Causa*. *Journal of Business Law*

Wayne, S. & R. Taagepera. 2005. *Corruption, Culture and Communism*. *International Review of Sociology*

Weaver, G.R. & L.K. Trevino. 1999. *Compliance and Values Oriented Ethics Programs: Influences on Employees' Attitudes and Behavior*. *Business Ethics Quarterly*

Weaver, G.R. 2001. *Ethics Programs in Global Business: Culture's Role in Managing Ethics*. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Weaver, G.R. 2006. *Virtue in Organizations: Moral Identity as a Foundation for Moral Agency*. *Organization Studies*

Weaver, G.R., L.K. Trevino & P.L. Cochran. 1999. *Corporate Ethics Programs as Control Systems: Influences of Executive Commitment and Environmental Factors*. *Academy of Management Journal*

Weaver, G.R., L.K. Trevino & P.L. Cochran. 1999. *Integrated and Decoupled Corporate Social Performance: Management Values, External Pressures, and Corporate Ethics Practices*. *Academy of Management Journal*

Webb, Philippa. 2005. *The United Nations Convention Against Corruption: Global Achievement or Missed Opportunity?* *Journal of International Economic Law*

Weber Abramo, Claudio. 2008. *How Much Do Perceptions of Corruption Really Tell Us?* *Economics – The Open-Access, Open Assessment E-Journal*

Weber, J. & K.A. Getz. 2004. *Buy Bribes or Bye-Bye Bribes: The Future Status of Bribery in International Commerce*. *Business Ethics Quarterly*

Weber, J. 2015. *Investigating and Assessing the Quality of Employee Ethics Training Programs Among US-Based Global Organizations*. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Webster, Mary Evans. 2008. *Fifteen Minutes of Shame: The Growing Notoriety of Grand Corruption*. Hastings International & Comparative Law Quarterly

Wedel, J. 2015. *High Priests and the Gospel of Anti-Corruption*. Challenge

Wedel, Janine R. 2001. *Corruption and Organized Crime in Post-Communist States: New Ways of Manifesting Old Patterns*. Trends in Organized Crime

Wedel, Janine R. 2012. *Rethinking Corruption in an Age of Ambiguity*. Annual Review of Law & Social Science

Wedeman, Andrew. 1997. "Looters, Rent-Scrapers, and Dividend Collectors: The Political Economy of Corruption in Zaire, South Korea, and the Philippines," *Journal of Developing Areas*,

Wedeman, Andrew. 2002. "Development and Corruption: The East Asian Paradox," in E.T. Gomez, ed. *Political Business in East Asia* (Routledge)

Wedeman, Andrew. 2002. "State Predation and Rapid Growth: The Polarisation of Business in China," in E.T. Gomez, ed. *Political Business in East Asia* (Routledge)

Wedeman, Andrew. 2004. *The Intensification of Corruption in China*. China Quarterly

Wedeman, Andrew. 2009. "China's War on Corruption", in Gong, T. & S.K. Ma eds., *Preventing Corruption in Asia: Institutional Design and Policy Capacity* (London: Routledge),

Weeks, Joseph R. 1986. *Bribes, Gratuities and the Congress: The Institutionalized Corruption of the Political Process, the Impotence of the Criminal Law to Reach It, and a Proposal for Change*. Journal on Legislation

Weghorst, Keith R. & Staffan I. Lindberg. 2011. *Effective Opposition Strategies: Collective Goods or Clientalism? Democratization*

Wei, Shang-Jin & Yi Wu. 2002. Negative Alchemy? Corruption, Composition of Capital Flows, and Currency Crises, in Sebastian Edwards & Jeffrey Frankel, eds. Preventing Currency Crises in Emerging Markets (Chicago: University of Chicago Press)

Wei, Shang-Jin. 2000. How Taxing is Corruption on International Investors? Review of Economics & Statistics.

Wei, Shang-Jin. 2000. Local Corruption and Global Capital Flows. Brookings Papers on Economic Activity

Wei, Shang-Jin. 2000. Natural Openness and Good Government. NBER Working Paper No. 7765.

Wei, Shang-Jin. 2001. Corruption in Economic Development: Grease or Sand?, in Economic Survey of Europe No. 2 (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, Geneva)

Wei, Shang-Jin. 2001. does Corruption Provide Relief on Tax and Capital Control for International Investors?, in James R. Hines, Jr., ed. International Taxation and Multinational Activity (Chicago: University of Chicago Press)

Wei, Shang-Jin. 2001. Domestic Crony Capitalism and International Fickle Capital: Is There a Connection? International Finance

Weinograd, Charles B. 2010. Clarifying Grease: Mitigating the Threat of Overdeterrence by Defining the Scope of the Routine Government Action Exception. Virginia Journal of International Law

Weintraub, Ellen L. 2004. Perspectives on Corruption. Election Law Journal

Weisel, Ori & Shaul Shalvi. 2015. The Collaborative Roots of Corruption. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

Weiser, Stuart Marc. 1997. Dealing with Corruption: Effectiveness of Existing Regimes on Doing Business. American Society of International Law Proceedings

Weismann, Miriam F. 2009. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: The Failure of the Self-Regulatory Model of Corporate Governance in the Global Business Environment. Journal of Business Ethics

Weismann, Miriam F., Christopher A. Buscaglia & Jason Peterson. 2014. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: Why It Fails to Deter Bribery as a Global Market Entry Strategy. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Weiss, David C. 2009. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, SEC Disgorgement of Profits, and the Evolving International Bribery Regime: Weighing Proportionality, Retribution, and Deterrence. *Michigan Journal of International Law*

Weissman, Andrew & Alixandra Smith. 2010. Restoring Balance: Proposed Amendments to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Chamber Institute for Legal Reform).

Weitz, Justin. 2011. The Devil Is in the Details: 18 U.S.C. § 666 After *Skilling v. United States*. *NYU Journal of Legislation and Public Policy* 14: 805-.

Weitzel, Utz & Sjors Berns. 2006. Cross-Border Takeovers, Corruption, and Related Aspects of Governance. *Journal of International Business Studies*

Weitz-Shapiro, Rebecca & Matthew S. Winters. 2015. Can Citizens Discern? Information Credibility, Political Sophistication, and the Punishment of Corruption in Brazil.

Weitz-Shapiro, Rebecca. 2012. What Wins Votes: Why Some Politicians Opt Out of Clientalism. *American Journal of Political Science*

Welch, Susan & John R. Hibbing. 1997. The Effects of Charges of Corruption on Voting Behavior in Congressional Elections, 1982-1990, *The Journal of Politics*

Wells, Jill. 2013. "Corruption and Collusion in Construction: A View from the Industry", in Soreide, Tina & Aled Willams eds., *Corruption, Grabbing and Development* .

Welsch, Heinz. 2004. Corruption, Growth and the Environment: A Cross-Country Analysis. *Environment & Development Economics*

Welsch, Heinz. 2008. The Welfare Costs of Corruption. *Applied Economics*

Wen, Shuangge. 2013. The Achilles Heel that Hobbles the Asian Giant: The Legal and Cultural Impediments to Antibribery Initiatives in China. *American Business Law Journal*

Weng, W.W., C.K. Woo, Y.S. Cheng, T. Ho & I. Horowitz. 2015. Public Trust and Corruption Perception: Disaster Relief. *Applied Economics*

Werb, D., K.D. Wagner, L. Beletsky, P. Gonzalez-Zuniga, G. Rangel & S.A. Strathdee.

2015. Police Bribery and Access to Methadone Maintenance Therapy within the Context of Drug Policy Reform in Tijuana, Mexico. *Drug & Alcohol Dependence*

Werlin, Herbert H. 1994. Revisiting Corruption with a New Definition. *International Review of Administrative Sciences* .

Werlin, Herbert H. 2002. Secondary Corruption: The Concept of Political Illness. *Journal of Social, Political & Economic Studies*

Werlin, Herbert. 2013. Understanding International Corruption and What to Do About It. *Challenge*

Werner, C. 2000. Gifts, Bribes, and Development in Post-Soviet Kazakhstan. *Human Organization* .

Wesberry, James P., Jr. 1998. International Financial Institutions Face the Corruption Eruption: If the IFIs Put Their Muscle and Money Where Their Mouth Is, the Corruption 296 Eruption May Be Capped. *Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business*

Wescott, C. 2001. E-Government in the Asia-Pacific Region. *Asia Journal of Political Science*

Wescott, Clay G. 2003. E-Government to Combat Corruption in the Asia Pacific Region.

Westbrook, Amy Deen. 2010. Enthusiastic Enforcement, Informal Legislation: The Unruly expansion of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Georgia Law Review*

Westbrook, Amy Deen. 2012. Double Trouble: Collateral Shareholder Litigation Following Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Investigations. *Ohio State Law Journal*

Wetter, J. Giles. 1994. Issues of Corruption before International Arbitral Tribunals: The Authentic Text and True Meaning of Judge Gunnar Lagergren's 1963 Award in ICC Case No. 1110. *Arbitration International*

Weyland, Kurt. 1998. The Politics of Corruption in Contemporary Latin America. *Journal of Democracy*

Wheeler, D. & A. Mody. 1992. International Investment Location Decisions: The Case of U.S. Firms. *Journal of International Economics*

Whitaker Charles N. 1992. Federal Prosecution of State and Local Bribery: Inappropriate Tools and the Need for a Structured Approach. *Virginia Law Review*

White, Andrew. 2006. The Paradox of Corruption as Antithesis to Economic Development: does Corruption Undermine Economic Development in China and Indonesia and Why Are the experiences Different in Each Country? *Asian-Pacific Law & Policy Journal* 8: 1-.

White, Brent T. 2009. Rotten to the Core: Project Capture and the Failure of Judicial Reform in Mongolia. *East Asia Law Review*

White, Brent T. 2010. Putting Aside the Rule of Law Myth: Corruption and the Case for Juries in Emerging Democracies. *Cornell International Law Journal*

White, Simone. 2014. "Footprints in the Sand: Regulating Conflict of Interest at EU Level", in Jean-Bernard Auby, Emmanuel Breen & Thomas Perroud eds., *Corruption and Conflicts of Interest: A Comparative Law Approach*

Widmalm, Sten. 2005. explaining Corruption at the Village and Individual Level in India: Findings from a Study of the Panchayati Raj Reforms. *Asian Survey*

Widmalm, Sten. 2008. *Decentralisation, Corruption, and Social Capital—From India to the West* (Los Angeles: Sage Publications).

Widoyoko, D. 2007. Tackling Corruption to Improve Housing Services in Indonesia (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

Wienekea, A. & T. Gries. 2011. SME Performance in Transition Economies: The Financial Regulation and Firm-Level Corruption Nexus. *Journal of Comparative Economics* 39: 221-229.

Wiens, David. 2013. Natural Resources and Institutional Development. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*.

Wigley, Simon. 2003. Parliamentary Immunity: Protecting Democracy or Protecting Corruption? *Journal of Political Philosophy*.

Wilhelm, Paul G. 2002. International Validation of the Corruption Perceptions Index. *Journal of Business Ethics*.

Wilkinson, P. 2006. "Reporting on Countering Corruption", in B. Errath ed., *Business Against Corruption: Case Stories and Examples* (New York: United Nations Global Compact Office)

Williams Robert, ed. 2000. *Party Finance and Political Corruption*. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Williams, A. Rebecca. 2015. An Inside Job: Using In-Court Sting Operations to Uncover Corruption in an Inadequate Self-Regulating System. *Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics*

Williams, Andrew & Abu Siddique. 2008. The Use (and Abuse) of Governance Indicators in Economics: A Review. *Economics of Governance* .

Williams, Andrew. 2009. On the Release of Information by Governments: Causes and Consequences. *Journal of Development Economics*

Williams, Andrew. 2011. Shining a Light on the Resource Curse: An Empirical Analysis of the Relationship Between Natural Resources, Transparency, and Economic Growth. *World Development*

Williams, C.C. 2015. Evaluating Cross-National Variations in Envelope Wage Payments in East-Central Europe. *Economic & Industrial Democracy*

Williams, C.C. 2015. explaining Cross-National Variations in the Informalisation of Employment: Some Lessons from Central and Eastern Europe. *European Societies*.

Williams, C.C. 2015. Tackling Informal Employment in Developing and Transition Economies: A Critical Evaluation of the Neo-Liberal Approach. *International Journal of Business & Globalisation*

Williams, Gregory Howard. 1990. Good Government by Prosecutorial Decree: The Use and Abuse of Mail Fraud. *Arizona Law Review*

Williams, H. 2002. Core Factors of Police Corruption Across the World. *Forum on Crime & Society*

Williams, J.W. & M.E. Beare. 1999. The Business of Bribery: Globalization, Economic Liberalization, and the “Problem” of Corruption. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Williams, Robert & Alan Doig. 2004. A Good Idea Gone Wrong? Anti-Corruption Commissions in the Twenty-First Century. Bergen: The Christian Michelsen Institute.

Williams, Robert. 1999. New Concepts for Old? *Third World Quarterly*.

Williams, Robert. 2000. *Party Finance and Political Corruption* (MacMillan).

Williams, Sope. 2007. The Debarment of Corrupt Contractors from World Bank-Financed Contracts. *Public Contract Law Journal*

Wilsher, D. 2006. inexplicable Wealth and Illicit Enrichment of Public Officials: A Model Draft that Respects Human Rights in Corruption Cases. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Wilson, Bruce M. 2014. Costa Rica’s Anti-Corruption Trajectory: Strengths and Limitations.

Wilson, John K. & Richard Damania. 2005. Corruption, Political Competition and Environmental Policy. *Journal of Environmental Economics & Management*

Wilson, Natasha N. 2014. Pushing the Limits of Jurisdiction Over Foreign Actors Under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. *Washington University Law Review*

Wiltermuth, Scott S. 2011. Cheating More when the Spoils Are Split. *Organizational Behavior & Human Decision Processes*

Windsor, Duane & Kathleen A. Getz. 2000. Multilateral Cooperation to Combat Corruption: Normative Regimes Despite Mixed Motives and Diverse Values. *Cornell International Law Journal*

Wines, W. & N. Napier. 1992. Toward an Understanding of Cross-Cultural Ethics: A Tentative Model. *Journal of Business Ethics*

Winters, Jeffrey A. 2002. "Criminal Debt", in Jonathan R. Pincus & Jeffrey A. Winters eds., *Reinventing the World Bank*.

Winters, L.A. 2004. Trade Liberalisation and Economic Performance: An Overview. *Economic Journal* .

Winters, M.S. & G. Martinez. 2015. The Role of Governance in Determining Foreign Aid Flow Composition. *World Development*

Winters, Matthew S. & Rebecca Weitz-Shapiro. 2013. Lacking Information or Condoning Corruption? When Will Voters Support Corrupt Politicians? *Comparative Politics*

Winters, Matthew S. & Rebecca Weitz-Shapiro. 2015. Political Corruption and Partisan Engagement: Evidence from Brazil. *Journal of Politics in Latin America*

Winters, Matthew S. & Rebecca Weitz-Shapiro. 2015. Who's in Charge here? Voter Punishment of Municipal Corruption.

Winters, Matthew S. 2010. Choosing to Target: What Types of Countries Get Different Types of World Bank Projects. *World Politics*

Winters, Matthew S. 2014. Targeting, Accountability and Capture in Development Projects. *International Studies Quarterly* .

Wirl, Franz. 1998. Socio-Economic Typologies of Bureaucratic Corruption and Implications. *Journal of Evolutionary Economics* 8: 199-220.

Witten, Roger M., Kimberly A. Parker, Jay Holtmeier & Thomas J. Koffer. 2009.

Prescriptions for Compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: Identifying Bribery Risks and Implementing Anti-Bribery Controls in Pharmaceutical and Life Sciences Companies. *Business Lawyer*

Wolf, Sebastian. 2010. Assessing Eastern Europe's Anti-Corruption Performance: Views from the Council of Europe, OECD, and Transparency International. *Global Crime*

Wolfers, Justin. 2006. Point Shaving: Corruption in NCAA Basketball. *American Economic Review* 96: 279-283.

Woodin, Christine M. 2012. A Solution to the Conflict over the Appropriate Unit of Prosecution for 18 USC § 666. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*

Woods, Neal D. 2008. The Policy Consequences of Political Corruption: Evidence from State Environmental Programs. *Social Science Quarterly*

World Bank, Energy, Transport & Water Department. 2009. *Deterring Corruption and Improving Governance in the Electricity Sector* (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

World Bank, Independent Evaluation Group. 2008. *Public Sector Reform: What Works and Why? An IEG Evaluation of World Bank Support*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.

World Bank, Independent Evaluation Group. 2011. *A Review of World Bank Support for Accountability Institutions in the Context of Governance and Anticorruption*.

World Bank. 1997. *Helping Countries Combat Corruption: The Role of the World Bank*.

World Bank. 2000. "Designing an Effective Anticorruption Strategy," in *Anticorruption in Transition: A Contribution to the Policy Debate* (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

World Bank. 2010. *Silent and Lethal: How Quiet Corruption Undermines Africa's Development Efforts* (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

World Bank. 2011 . *Curbing Fraud, Corruption, and Collusion in the Roads Sector*. (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

World Bank. 2012. *Fighting Corruption in Public Services: Chronicling Georgia's Reforms*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.

Worthy, Ben & Tom McClean. 2015. "Freedom of Information and Corruption" , in Paul M. Heywood ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Political Corruption* (New York: Routledge)

Wouters, Jan, Cedric Ryngaert & Ann Sofie Cloots. 2013. *The International Legal Framework against Corruption*. Melbourne Journal of International Law

Wrage, Alexandra & Kerry Mandernach. 2006. "Facilitation Payments", in B. Errath ed., *Business Against Corruption: Case Stories and Examples* (New York: United Nations Global Compact Office)

Wrage, Alexandra Addison. 2007. *Bribery and Extortion*.

Wren-Lewis, L. 2015. *Do Infrastructure Reforms Reduce the Effect of Corruption? Theory and Evidence form Latin America and the Caribbean*. World Bank Economic Review

Wren-Lewis, Liam. 2013. "Anti-Corruption Policy in Regulation and Procurement: The Role of International Actors", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D. Carrington eds., *Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role?* (Durham: Carolina Academic Press)

Wright, B. 2010. *Civilianising the 'Blue Code'? An Examination of Attitudes to Misconduct in the Police Extended Family*. International Journal of Police Science & Management.

Wright, G. & J. Czelusta. 2004. *Why Economies Slow: The Myth of the Resource Curse*. Challenge

Wrong, Michela. 2009. *It's Our Turn to Eat: The Story of a Kenyan Whistle-Blower*.

Wrong, Michela. 2013. "How International Actors Can Help the Media in Developing Countries Play a Stronger Role in Combating Corruption", in Susan Rose-Ackerman & Paul D. Carrington eds., *Anti-Corruption Policy: Can International Actors Play a Constructive Role?* (Durham: Carolina Academic Press)

Wu, S.-Y.. 2006. Corruption and Cross-Border Investment by Multinational Firms. *Journal of Comparative Economics*

Wu, Xun. 2005. Corporate Governance and Corruption: A Cross-Country Analysis. *Governance*

Wu, Z.Y., J.C. Wu, S.C. Lin & C. Wang. 2014. An Electronic Voting Mechanism for Fighting Bribery and Coercion. *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*

Wyatt, G. 2003. Corruption, Productivity, and Socialism. *Kyklos* .

Xin, Xiaohui & Thomas K. Rudel. 2004. The Context for Political Corruption: A Cross-National Analysis. *Social Science Quarterly*

Yackee, Jason Webb. 2012. Investment Treaties and Investor Corruption: An Emergent Defense for Host States? *Virginia Journal of International Law*

Yamamura, E. 2014. Corruption and Perceived Risk: A Case of the 2011 Fukushima Disaster. *International Journal of Social Economics*

Yamamura, Eiji 2014. Impact of Natural Disaster on Public Sector Corruption. *Public Choice*

Yan, J. & T.H. Oum. 2014. The Effect of Government Corruption on the Efficiency of US Commercial Airports. *Journal of Urban Economics*

Yan, Zhang & Yang Liu. 2016. The Competing Media Frame Study in One Country of Two Systems: The Case Study on Scandal of Chen Liangyu. *International Journal of Social Science & Humanities*

Yang, Dean. 2008. Can Enforcement Backfire? Crime Displacement in the Context of Customs Reform in the Philippines. *Review of Economics & Statistics*

Yang, Dean. 2008. Integrity for Hire: An Analysis of a Widespread Customs Reform. *Journal of Law & Economics*

Yanva, Vineeta. 2011. *Political Parties, Business Groups, and Corruption in Developing Countries*. Oxford University Press.

Yao, Shuntian. 2002. Privileges and Corruption: The Problems of China's Socialist Market Economy. *American Journal of Economics and Sociology*

Yap, O. Fiona. 2013. When Do Citizens Demand Punishment of Corruption? Results from experimental Surveys in Australia and the United States. *Australian Journal of Political Science*

Yap, O. Fiona. 2014. Citizens' Response to Government Corruption: experimental Evidence from Australia, Singapore, and the United States. Working paper.

Yavas, Cemile. 1998. A Comment on "Corruption by Design". *Journal of Law, Economics & Organization*

Yavas, Cemile. 2009. The Ghost of Corruption. *B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy* 7.

Yilmaz, G. & D. Soyaltin. 2014. Zooming into the "Domestic" in Europeanization: Promotion of Fight Against Corruption and Minority Rights in Turkey. *Journal of Balkan & Near Eastern Studies*

Yingling, M. Patrick & Mohamed A. Arafa. 2013. After the Revolution: Egypt's Changing Forms of Corruption. *University of Baltimore Journal of International Law*

Yockey, Joseph W. 2011. Solicitation, Extortion, and the FCPA. *Notre Dame Law Review*

Yockey, Joseph W. 2012. FCPA Settlement, Internal Strife, and the "Culture of Compliance" *Wisconsin Law Review*

Yockey, Joseph W. 2013. Choosing Governance in the FCPA Reform Debate. *Journal of Corporation Law*

Yolles, Maurice & Gerhard Fink. 2014. Personality, Pathology and Mindsets: Part 3 – Pathologies and Corruption. *Kybernetes* 4

Yong Hyo, C. & C. Byung-Dae. 2004. E-Government to Combat Corruption: The Case of Seoul Metropolitan Government. *International Journal of Public Administration*

Yoo, Seung Han. 2008. Petty Corruption. *Economic Theory*

Yoo, Seung Han. 2013. Competition, Corruption, and Institutional Design. Working paper.

You, Jong-Sung & Sanjeev Khagram. 2005. A Comparative Study of Inequality and Corruption. *American Sociological Review*.

Young, Nicola Man W., H. Worth., A. Kelly, D.P. Wilson & P. Siba. 2014. Is Endemic Political Corruption Hampering Provision of ART and PMTCT in Developing Countries? *Journal of the International AIDS Society*

Young, Simon N.M. 2009. Why Civil Actions Against Corruption? *Journal of Financial Crime*

Yu, Chilik, Chun-Ming Chen, Lung-Teng Hu & Wen-Jong Juang. 2009. “Evolving Perceptions of Government Integrity and Changing Anticorruption Measures in Taiwan”, in Gong, T. & S.K. Ma eds., *Preventing Corruption in Asia: Institutional Design and Policy Capacity* (London: Routledge),

Yukins, Christopher R. 2007. Integrating Integrity and Procurement: The United Nations Convention Against Corruption and the UNCITRAL Model Procurement Law. *Public Contract Law Journal*

Yusuf, M., C.A. Malarvizhi, M.N. Huda Mazumder & Z. Su. 2014. Corruption, Poverty, and Economic Growth Relationship in the Nigerian Economy. *Journal of Developing Areas*

Zabyelina, Y. & J. Arsovska. 2013. Rediscovering Corruption’s Other Side: Bribing for Peace in Post-conflict Kosovo and Chechnya. *Crime, Law & Social Change*

Zagainova, Anastasiya. 2007. “Challenges of Anti-Corruption Policies in Post-Communist Countries”, in Sarah Bracking ed., *Corruption and Development: The Anti-Corruption Campaigns* (Palgrave Macmillan)

Zagaris, Bruce & Shaila Lakhani Ohri. 1999. The Emergence of an International Enforcement Regime on Transnational Corruption in the Americas. *Law & Policy Int'l Bus.*

Zaloznaya, M. 2015. does Authoritarianism Breed Corruption? Reconsidering the Relationship Between Authoritarian Governance and Corrupt Exchanges in Bureaucracies. *Law & Social Inquiry*

Zaloznaya, Marina. 2014. The Social Psychology of Corruption: Why It does Not Exist and Why It Should. *Sociology Compass*

Zaman, Asad & Faiz-Ur-Rahim. 2009. Corruption: Measuring the Unmeasurable. *Humanomics*

Zaman, G. & L. Ionescu. 2014. The Impact of International Economic Crises on Corruption in Romania. *Economic Computation & Economic Cybernetics Studies & Research* .

Zamboni, Yves & Stephan Litschig. 2014. Audit Risk and Rent Extraction: Evidence from a Randomized Evaluation in Brazil. Working paper.

Zaum, D. 2013. Political Economies of Corruption in Fragile and Conflict-Affected States: Nuancing the Picture. (Bergen: CMI).

Zazueta, Irvin Mikhail Soto & Willy W. Cortez. 2015. The Impact of Political Alternation on Corruption in Mexico. *Revista de Ciencia Politica*

Zerilli, Filippo M. 2005. "Corruption, Property Restitution and Romanianness", in Dieter Haller & Chris Shore eds., *Corruption: Anthropological Perspectives* (Pluto Press).

Zervos, Alexandros. 2006. Amending the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act: Repealing the Exemption for "Routine Government Action" Payments. *Penn State International Law Review*

Zeume, Stefan. 2014. Bribes and Firm Value. .

Zhang, T., F. Gino & M.H. Bazerman. 2014. Morality Rebooted: exploring Simple Fixes to Our Moral Bugs. *Research in Organizational Behavior*

Zhao, J., S. Kim & J. Du. 2003. The Impact of Corruption and Transparency on Foreign Direct Investment: An Empirical Analysis. *Management International Review*

Zhao, X. & H. Xu. 2015. E-Government and Corruption: A Longitudinal Analysis of Countries . *International Journal of Public Administration*

Zheng, Q., Y. Luo & S.L. Wang. 2013. Moral Degradation, Business Ethics, and Corporate Social Responsibility in a Transition Economy. *Journal of Business Ethics* (forthcoming).

Zhong, C.B., B. Strojcek & N. Sivanathan. 2010. A Clean Self Can Render Harsh Moral Judgment. *Journal of experimental Social Psychology*

Zhong, C.B., V.K. Bohns & F. Gino. 2010. Good Lamps Are the Best Police: Darkness Increases Dishonesty and Self-Interested Behavior. *Psychological Science*

Zhu, Boliang. 2009. Economic Integration and Corruption: The Case of China. Working paper.

Zhu, Boliang. 2016. MNCs, Rents and Corruption: Evidence from China. *American Journal of Political Science* (forthcoming).

Zhu, J.N. & Y.P. Wu. 2014. Who Pays More “Tributes” to the Government? Sectoral Corruption of China’s Private Enterprises. *Crime, Law & Social Change* 61(3): 309-333.

Zhuang, J., E. De Dios & A. Lagman-Martin. 2010. Governance and Institutional Quality and the Links with Economic Growth and Income Inequality: With Special Reference to Developing Asia. *Asian Development Bank Economics Working Paper* 193.

Zhuravskaya, Ekaterina. 2000. Incentives To Provide Local Public Goods: Fiscal Federalism, Russian Style. *Journal of Public Economics*

Ziblatt, Daniel. 2009. Shaping Democratic Practice and the Causes of Electoral Fraud: Theory and Evidence from Pre-1914 Germany. *American Political Science Review*

Zimmerman, Stephen S. & Frank A. Feriello, Jr. 2011. Coordinating the Fight against Fraud and Corruption, Agreement on Cross-Debarment among Multilateral Development Banks. World Bank Legal Review

Zimring, Franklin E. & David T. Johnson. 2009. On the Comparative Study of Corruption. Pacific McGeorge Global Business & Development Law Journal

Zinnbauer, Dieter. 2015. Crowdsourced Corruption Reporting: What Petrified Forests, Street Music, Bath Towels, and the Taxman Can Tell Us About the Prospects for Its Future. Policy & Internet

Ziobrowski, Alan J. et al. 2004. Abnormal Returns from the Common Stock Investments of Members of the United States Senate. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis

Zipparo, L. 1998. Factors Which Deter Public Officials from Reporting Corruption. Crime, Law & Social Change

Zisser, Eyal. 2014. Spoils of Truce: Corruption and State-Building in Postwar Lebanon. Middle Eastern Studies

Zitzewitz, Eric. 2012. Forensic Economics. Journal of Economic Literature

Zitzewitz, Eric. 2014. does Transparency Reduce Favoritism and Corruption? Evidence from the Reform of Figure Skating Judging. Journal of Sports Economics

Zook, Darren C. 2009. The Curious Case of Finland's Clean Politics. Journal of Democracy

Zou, Keyuan. 2000. Judicial Reform Versus Judicial Corruption: Recent Developments in China. Criminal Law Forum

Zouhaier, Hadhek. 2011. Corruption, Investment and Economic Growth. International Journal of Economics & Research

Zuber, F. 2015. Spread of Unethical Behavior in Organizations: A Dynamic Social Network Perspective. Journal of Business Ethics

Zuleta, J.C., A. Leyton & E. Fanta Ivanovic. 2007. “Combating Corruption in the Revenue Service: The Case of VAT Refunds in Bolivia”, in J.E. Campos & S. Pradhan eds., *The Many Faces of Corruption: Tracking Vulnerabilities at the Sector Level* (Washington, D.C.: World Bank).

Zurowicki, Leon & Moshin Habib. 2010. *Corruption and Foreign Direct Investment: What Have We Learned?* *International Business & Economics Research Journal*

Acknowledgments & Additional Exhibits

Witnesses, victims and informants are protected by: <https://www.sos.ca.gov/registries/safe-home/> ; Public Domain use rights; Non-Commercial free information rights; Fair Use rights; Freedom of The Press; U.S. First Amendment; SLAPP; United Nations Human Rights Law - Per Amnesty International; GDPR; Privacy Tools At: <http://privacytools.io>; ACLU; ICIJ investigation group; State and Federal Constitutions; whistle-blower laws and the digital “insurance files”.

ICIJ, ACLU, Transparency International, ProPublica, Reddit Users, Voat Users, Sunlight Foundation, Judicial Watch, Cause Of Action and hundreds of other organizations, and their members, have assisted in this investigation. Every member of the public is encouraged to learn forensic investigative techniques and technologies online and deeply monitor and investigate the suspects listed in this document.

Additional EXHIBITS, Numbers 2 through 18 are provided as additional PDF documents:

EXHIBIT_TWO.pdf

EXHIBIT_THREE.pdf

EXHIBIT_FOUR.pdf

EXHIBIT_FIVE.pdf

EXHIBIT_SIX.pdf

EXHIBIT_SEVEN.pdf

EXHIBIT_EIGHT.pdf

et al.....

PRESS COVERAGE OF THE CASE

The government has spent over \$5M+ covering-up and obfuscating this case that every reporter, and millions of voters already know about.

Why are our government officials wasting time and taxpayer dollars on a matter they can easily settle for far, far, less money and hassle?



The New York Times



San Jose Mercury News



GSA BUSINESS



POPULAR SCIENCE THE REAL



San Francisco Chronicle



Startup electric car company accuses Energy Department of corruption

By Gary Gastner / Published November 20, 2012 - Washington Post



The Washington Post

[Back to previous page](#)


Car company says Obama team steered stimulus 1 to political favorites

By Carol D. Leonnig, Thursday, January 10, 8:10 AM

An electric car start-up and its sister company sued the U.S. Department of Energy Thursday, claiming Secretary Steven C...



The Department of Energy is coming under pressure from a company that wants to build a partially inflatable car. San Francisco-based startup XP Technology is building a lawsuit against the Department of Energy, charging it with "corruption and...





Elon Musk's Congo Child Labor Cobalt Mines Have Caused Millions To Flee Bloodshed As Congo Falls Apart From Musk's Corruption



Bild: Noah Friedman-Rudovsky

Afghanistan: The Saudi Arabia of Lithium?

Lithium, which is from mobile phone nation's economy.

By JAMES RISEN
Published: June 13, 2010

WASHINGTON – The United States has discovered nearly \$1 trillion in untapped mineral deposits in Afghanistan, far beyond any previously known reserves and enough to fundamentally alter the

As of late February held Ener1 Group.

loan to Think Global, which is trying to emerge from bankruptcy — is held by Bzinfin, a British Virgin Islands company whose "indirect beneficial owner" is Boris Zingarevich, a Russian businessman. Zingarevich has close ties to Russian President Dmitry Medvedev and Prime Minister Vladimir Putin.

Investing in Lithium Mining Stocks

How To Profit from the Lithium Boom

By Brian Hicks
Friday, October 16th, 2009

Editor's Note:

While Western Lithium remains trades — and possibly a third opportunity to double if not trip

Green power corrupts

June 08, 2012 - 8:00 PM

Goldman Sachs culture 'toxic'? Letter confirms suspicions about Wall Street.

A123 lithium-ion battery maker bankruptcy fuels criticism of President Barack Obama's alternative

Energy & Genius

The Saudi Arabia of Lithium

Brendan I. Koerner, 10.30.08, 06:00 PM EST
Forbes Magazine dated November 24, 2008

The gas engine made petroleum the world's biggest commodity. The electric car could do the same for the third element on the

Ener1 Wants to Win Lithium Ion Battery Race

Share Tweet Stumble

U.S. Identifies Vast Mineral Riches in Afghanistan



RUSSIAN OWNED

The problem with lithium

David Booth, National Post

Goldman Sachs discloses ownership in Talison Lithium Corp.

N chloride ring SQM creating the illusion the ultra-arid sala

Image: CarSpace

Talison Lithium Ltd's

Lithium ion battery manufacturer Ener1 (HEV) could become the country's first lithium ion battery mass producer—if it wins a \$480M Department of Energy loan. [CNNMoney](#) has more:

FOCUS: NATIONAL SECURITY

John Boehner and seven GOP lawmakers back from secret Afghanistan trip

Energy & Genius

The Saudi Arabia of Lithium

Brendan I. Koerner, 10.30.08, 06:00 PM EST Forbes Magazine dated November 24, 2008

The gas engine made petroleum the world's biggest commodity. The electric car could do the same for the third element on the periodic table.

Economics/Emerging Business Opportunities in Energy Storage

McKinsey & Company Dickon Finner October 23, 2008

Afghan boy relives terror of "bomb-like" landslide THE TRILLION DOLLAR AFGHAN MINING SCAM



The problem with lithium

David South, National Post



How quickly we have forgotten. Yesterday's front-page headline is today's page 13 filler, consigned to the back of the newspaper as

Presented by National Post Podcasts

Doing God's Work

How Goldman Sachs Rigs the Game

March 2011



A123 lithium-ion battery maker bankruptcy fuels Republican criticism of President Barack Obama's alternative-energy policy

October 11, 2012 | Comments

Recommended | People interested in

The Christian Science Monitor - CSMonitor.com

Goldman Sachs culture 'toxic'? Letter confirms suspicions about Wall Street.

Polls show that Americans hold a very low opinion of Wall Street, and a damning public letter of resignation from a Goldman Sachs executive could only amplify that perception.

U.S. Identifies Vast Mineral Riches in Afghanistan

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 2010

Goldman Sachs discloses ownership in Talison Lithium Corp.

TALISON LITHIUM LTD.'s one STOCKING at one of its plants in Greenbushes.



Green power corrupts

June 06, 2012 - 8:00 PM

1 Comment

Diana Furchtgott-Roth

Power corrupts, even at highest levels of government. Even in the White House. That's the message from the government's energy loan guarantees, as revealed by a little reported House Government Reform and Oversight subcommittee hearing last month.

E-mails about clean-energy loans provide new details on White House involvement

By Carol D. Leonnig and Joe Stephens. Published: August 8 | Updated: Thursday, August 9, 7:23 AM

President Obama's staff arranged for him to be personally briefed last summer on a loan program to help

A sleek GAZON Province seems to offer little, but a Pentagon study says it may have among the world's largest deposits of lithium.

By JAMES RISEN Published: June 13, 2010

WASHINGTON — The United States has discovered nearly \$1 trillion in untapped mineral deposits in Afghanistan, far beyond any

RECOMMEND TWITTER

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL WSJ.com

SMALL BUSINESS | DECEMBER 15, 2009

Venture Capitol: New VC Force

By NEIL KING JR.

When tiny Fisker Automotive Inc. hit a financing glitch last year, threatened electric hybrid car in Finland, it turned to the U.S. Department of Energy.

The DOE had a bolder idea. Why not also step up the company's plans to develop a less-expensive model, and assemble it in a closed U.S. auto plant?

Lithium and Obama's Electrification of America

Commodities / Metals & Mining Aug 13, 2009 - 02:50 PM

By: Richard Mills

13 Battery Startups Hitting the Road With Lithium-ion

Filed: Jul 20, 2009 2:50 AM EDT

Email | Print | Show | Reprints | Google Page

(-) Text (1)



By Jose Garthwaite - Earth2Tech

With billions of dollars in government funds coming down the pipeline for advanced batteries courtesy of the stimulus package, and the auto industry gearing up to make its first real go at marketing plug-in vehicles for the masses, the race to build lithium-ion batteries for vehicles has never been hotter.


Goldman Sachs, Citi, Recruit Iraq and Afghanistan Vets

Citi and Goldman among other banks were recruiting at a job fair aboard the USS Intrepid.

Tags: Goldman Sachs, Citi, career management, Wall Street jobs.

By Melanie Rodter @mrodter

JUNE 24, 2011




CLICK HERE FOR FULL COVERAGE

The lithium craze explains only a portion of Potash's interest in taking over SQM. The Chilean company gets 58% of its revenue from fertilizers,

compared to 11% from lithium. But it's clear that investors are intrigued by SQM's rapidly expanding operations in the Atacama desert. Chile boasts at least ten more salars that have yet to be explored for lithium reserves. If GM is right and drivers are willing to pay a steep premium for lithium-powered cars, SQM could be poised for a windfall.

Goldman Sachs: American Clean Energy: Energy Storage: TNR, V, CEN, RM, V, LL, V, WLC, v, CLAY, TSLA, HEV, AONE, FCX, RFP, BHP, SQM, BNC, ROC, F, GM.



We have another report on Energy Storage space which provides a view from Goldman Sachs on the sector this time. In Lithium space we have our own fast developing story, when Japanese Trading Houses are in a rush to secure lithium supply.

*Asian Lithium push continues. Our more Asian to Lithium is gone from the market for potential deals. We were not following professional as source of lithium in